

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1007/BF01237498

Small sets of even type and codewords — [Source link](#)

Jennifer D. Key, M. J. de Resmini

Institutions: Clemson University, Sapienza University of Rome

Published on: 01 Feb 1998 - Journal of Geometry (Birkhäuser-Verlag)

Topics: Projective plane, Blocking set, Duality (projective geometry), Real projective plane and Fano plane

Related papers:

- [Designs and their codes](#)
- [The \$F_p\$ span of the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane](#)
- [Geometric Codes over Fields of Odd Prime Power Order](#)
- [On \$\(q + t\)\$ -arcs of type \$\(0, 2, t\)\$ in a desarguesian plane of order \$q\$](#)
- [On \$\(q + t, t\)\$ -Arcs of Type \$\(0, 2, t\)\$](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/small-sets-of-even-type-and-codewords-3ma4hllxy2>

SMALL SETS OF EVEN TYPE AND CODEWORDS

Dedicated to Professor Helmut Karzel on the occasion of his 70th birthday

J. D. Key and M. J. de Resmini

We examine some geometric configurations of points in designs that give rise to vectors in the codes associated with the designs. In particular we look at small sets of points in projective planes of even order that are met evenly by all the lines of the plane, and find vectors of small weight in the binary hull and in the code's orthogonal.

1 INTRODUCTION

Given any design or incidence structure \mathcal{D} , for any prime p we define the p -ary code of \mathcal{D} to be the vector space C spanned over the field F_p of order p by the incidence vectors of the blocks of \mathcal{D} . In [1] the code C , its orthogonal code C^\perp , the hull $(C \cap C^\perp)$ of \mathcal{D} , and $C + C^\perp$, are studied. We look here for the occurrence of the incidence vectors of certain geometric structures in these codes, extending some of the ideas from [4].

Suppose \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (v, k, λ) design, and let C be its code over a field F . Evidently the minimum weight of C will be at most the block size, k . For the orthogonal code C^\perp , or the hull $C \cap C^\perp$, knowledge of the minimum weight or the minimum-weight vectors might not be easy to deduce. It is well known (see [1, Lemma 2.4.2] for a proof) that if C is not the full space then C^\perp has minimum weight at least $(r + \lambda)/\lambda$, where r is the replication number of the design, i.e. the number of blocks through a point, $(v - 1)\lambda/(k - 1)$. Further, if F has characteristic 2 and \mathcal{D} has even order, then a word of this weight in C^\perp will have support that is an oval for \mathcal{D} . A natural question arises as to what the minimum weight of C^\perp is in the case where \mathcal{D} is known not to have ovals.

Our strategy here will be to look at sets of points of a design that intersect the blocks of the design in a particular way, and thus to deduce that the incidence vector of the set of points

is in one of the codes mentioned above. We will restrict our attention in this paper mainly to the case of projective planes of even order. Our main results concern the existence of words of weight $2n$ that are not the difference of the incidence vectors of two lines, in the binary hull of some classes of non-desarguesian projective planes of even order n (see Section 4, Proposition 2 and Corollary 3), and words of weight $n + 4$ in the binary orthogonal code of even-order projective planes (Section 5). In particular we exhibit such sets in all the 22 known planes of order 16 (Section 6) and thus obtain the proposition:

Proposition 1 *All the 22 known projective planes of order 16 have words of weight 20 in their orthogonal binary codes, and four of these codes have 20 as the minimum weight.*

In Section 6 we also exhibit some new complete 16-arcs for one of the planes of order 16, and also some even sets of sizes other than 20.

First we review some terminology.

2 BACKGROUND

The notation used is generally standard and we refer the reader to Assmus and Key [1].

An incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ with point set \mathcal{P} and block set \mathcal{B} is a t -(v, k, λ) design if every block is incident with precisely k points and any set of t distinct points are together incident with precisely λ blocks. It follows (see [1, Chapter 1]) that \mathcal{D} is an s -design for any $s < t$; we denote the number of blocks incident with s points by λ_s . The *order* of a t -design, where $t \geq 2$, is $n = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. A Steiner design has $\lambda = 1$. A *symmetric design* is a 2-design with $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{B}|$, and in this case the *dual structure* $\mathcal{D}^t = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P})$ is also a symmetric design, with the same parameters. A *projective plane* is a symmetric design with $\lambda = 1$.

For F any field, $F^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the vector space of functions from \mathcal{P} to F with basis given by the characteristic functions of the singleton subsets of \mathcal{P} . If $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is an incidence structure, the *code* $C_F(\mathcal{D})$ of \mathcal{D} over F is the subspace of $F^{\mathcal{P}}$ spanned by the characteristic functions (incidence vectors) of the blocks of \mathcal{D} . If $X \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, denoting the characteristic function on X by v^X , then $C_F(\mathcal{D}) = \langle v^B | B \in \mathcal{B} \rangle$. If F has characteristic p (in fact, $F = F_p$) then the dimension of $C_F(\mathcal{D})$ is referred to as the p -rank of \mathcal{D} . It is well known that the code of a design of order n will only be of any interest or use in characterizations of the design when the prime p divides n : see [1], for example. Since we shall also be looking at the code of the dual structure of \mathcal{D} , i.e. \mathcal{D}^t , we introduce also the notation that was used in [1] for incidence vectors in the space $F^{\mathcal{B}}$, viz. w^T , where $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Thus w^x , where $x \in \mathcal{P}$, is the incidence vector of a block in \mathcal{D}^t , and has weight r .

The *orthogonal code* C^\perp (where the orthogonal is taken with respect to the standard inner

product in F^v , i.e. , for $u, w \in F^v$, $(u, w) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} u(x)w(x)$ is defined by

$$C^\perp = \{u \mid u \in F^v \text{ and } (u, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in C\}.$$

The *hull* of a design \mathcal{D} with code C over the field F is the code

$$\text{Hull}_F(\mathcal{D}) = H_F(\mathcal{D}) = C \cap C^\perp.$$

Recall that the *weight* of a vector is the number of non-zero entries in the vector. Clearly the code from a design will have minimum weight at most the block size k . The vector in F^v , all of whose entries are 1, is called the *all-one vector* and denoted by \mathbf{j} . Thus $\mathbf{j} = v^\mathcal{P}$.

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a 2 - (v, k, λ) design. A set of points $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ such that no three points of \mathcal{S} are together on a block of \mathcal{B} is called an *arc*. A block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is

- *tangent* to \mathcal{S} if B meets \mathcal{S} in a single point;
- *secant* to \mathcal{S} if B meets \mathcal{S} in two points;
- *exterior* to \mathcal{S} if B does not meet \mathcal{S} .

(More generally, if \mathcal{S} is a set of points in \mathcal{D} , then a block is called a j -secant if it meets \mathcal{S} in j points.) In general, we will use the following notation for the intersections of blocks with a set \mathcal{S} of points in a design:

- t_j is the number of j -secants to \mathcal{S} ;
- u_j is the number of j -secants through a point not on \mathcal{S} ;
- v_j is the number of j -secants through a point on \mathcal{S} .

Thus u_j and v_j will depend on the point chosen, in general.

It is easy to show (see [1, Chapter 1]) that if $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a 2 - (v, k, λ) design of order n , where $k \geq 3$, and if S is an s -arc of \mathcal{D} , then

- (1) if n is odd, or n is even and λ does not divide r , $s \leq (r + \lambda - 1)/\lambda$;
- (2) if n is even and λ divides r , $s \leq (r + \lambda)/\lambda$.

An *oval* (usually called a *hyperoval* in the literature when \mathcal{D} is a projective plane of even order) in \mathcal{D} is an arc of maximum size m , where

- (1) $m = (r + \lambda - 1)/\lambda$ if n is odd, or if n is even and λ does not divide r (i.e. every point on the arc is on a unique tangent);

(2) $m = (r + \lambda)/\lambda$ if n is even and λ divides r (i.e. there are no tangents).

An arc \mathcal{S} is *complete* if no point can be adjoined without \mathcal{S} losing the property of being an arc. The secants (also called 2-secants) to a complete arc cover all the points off the arc. Given a set of points \mathcal{S} in a design \mathcal{D} the intersection numbers associated with \mathcal{S} are the sizes of the intersections of the blocks with \mathcal{S} . Thus in a 2-design an arc has intersection numbers from the set $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and an oval (hyperoval) has intersection numbers 0 and 2.

3 SETS OF EVEN TYPE

Let Π be a finite projective plane of order n , i.e. Π is a 2 - $(n^2 + n + 1, n + 1, 1)$ design. It is well known that the p -ary code C of Π , where $p|n$, has minimum weight $n + 1$ and the minimum-weight vectors are the multiples of the incidence vectors of the lines of Π : see [1, Chapter 6], for example. Thus the code completely determines the plane. In general, less is known about C^\perp and the hull; for the desarguesian plane, the minimum weight of the hull is $2n$, with the minimum-weight vectors the scalar multiples of the difference of two incidence vectors of lines. It is not known if this is the minimum weight for the hull of any plane, but we do know that for some non-desarguesian planes vectors of weight $2n$ that are not of this form may be present in the hull: see below. Similarly, not a great deal is known about C^\perp , except that for binary codes the minimum weight is at least the size of a hyperoval, i.e. $n + 2$, and any vector of this weight in C^\perp must be the incidence vector of a hyperoval. In the case where p is odd, even the minimum weight of C^\perp is not known in general. Until quite recently there was no finite plane known that had no hyperoval; however, four planes of order 16 are now known to have no hyperovals: see [10]. The question then arises: what is the minimum weight of C^\perp ? Is it then $n + 4$, the next possible weight? We show in Section 6 that in the case of the 22 known planes of order 16 vectors of weight 20 can be constructed in C^\perp . We also look at the general question: are there always weight- $(n + 4)$ vectors in the binary code C^\perp when n is even?

A non-empty set \mathcal{S} of points in a plane is said to be of *even type* if every line of the plane meets it evenly. It follows that $|\mathcal{S}|$ and the order n of the plane must be even, and that $|\mathcal{S}| = n + 2s$, where $s \geq 1$. The incidence vector of a set of even type is thus clearly in the orthogonal binary code of the plane. More specifically, a set of points will be said to have type (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) if any line meets it in n_i points for some i , and for each i there is at least one line that meets it in n_i points. Thus the set is of even type if all the n_i are even.

4 WORDS OF WEIGHT- $2n$ IN THE HULL

Consider the Hall plane Π of order 16, as described in [15]. This plane has complete 16-arcs. If \mathcal{A} is a complete 16-arc then in the dual plane Π^t the 32 tangents to \mathcal{A} form a set of even type. Computationally, using the construction described in [15] and Magma [2], and the first complete 16-arc in [15, Section 6], we obtained a vector of weight 32 in the hull of Π^t that is not the difference (sum) of the incidence vectors of two lines, since lines meet the set in 0, 2, 4, 6 or 8 points.

More generally, the Hall plane of order q^2 , when $q = 2^m$ and $m \geq 2$, has complete q^2 -arcs, by Menichetti [7], and the $2q^2$ tangents to such an arc form a set of even type in the dual plane. This will always give us words of weight $2n$ in the binary hull of such planes of even order n , as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 2 *Let Π be a projective plane of even order n and suppose that \mathcal{S} is a complete n -arc in Π . Then the set \mathcal{T} of tangents to \mathcal{S} in the dual plane Π^t has incidence vector $w^{\mathcal{T}}$ in the binary hull of Π^t . Furthermore, the vector $w^{\mathcal{T}}$ is not the difference of the incidence vectors of two lines in Π^t .*

Proof: Every point $x \in \mathcal{S}$ is on two tangents, so clearly there are $2n$ tangents. Let \mathcal{T} denote the set of tangents, as points in the dual plane Π^t . Then \mathcal{T} is a set of even type in Π^t . Thus $w^{\mathcal{T}} \in (C^t)^\perp$, where C^t is the binary code of Π^t .

Now we show that $w^{\mathcal{T}} \in C^t$ and thus is also in $\text{Hull}(\Pi^t)$. For this notice that if $w = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} w^x$ then

$$w(L) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L \text{ is a tangent} \\ 0 & \text{if } L \text{ is a secant or exterior} \end{cases}$$

and so $w = w^{\mathcal{T}} \in C^t$.

Finally, we need show that $w^{\mathcal{T}}$ is not the difference of the incidence vectors of two lines of Π^t , i.e. we need show that no point of Π is on n tangents to \mathcal{S} . But this is clear since \mathcal{S} is a complete n -arc. \square

Menichetti's result gives us the following:

Corollary 3 *Every dual Hall plane of even square order n has a vector of weight $2n$ in its binary hull that is not the difference of the incidence vectors of two lines.*

There are complete 16-arcs in the dual derived semifield plane of order 16, as we will exhibit in Section 6 (13), and thus the derived semifield plane will also have vectors of weight 32 in its binary hull that are not the difference of the incidence vectors of two lines. Similarly, there are complete 16-arcs in the Johnson-Walker plane, as shown in [14].

Notice, however, that we are still not able to settle the question of the actual minimum weight of the hull of a plane. However, Proposition 2 does verify once again the well-known result (see, for example, Hirschfeld [3]) that there are no complete arcs of size n in a desarguesian plane of order n , since we know from coding theory that the only words of weight $2n$ in the binary hull of a desarguesian plane of order n are the differences of the incidence vectors of two lines: see [1, Chapters 5,6]. These codes are generalized Reed-Muller codes.

5 SETS OF EVEN TYPE OF SIZE $n + 4$

If \mathcal{S} is a set of even type in an even-order plane then $v^{\mathcal{S}} \in C^{\perp}$. The minimum possible weight of vectors in C^{\perp} is $n + 2$, in which case the set is a hyperoval. If no hyperovals are present we would like to know what the minimum weight of C^{\perp} can be, and, in particular, if it is $n + 4$. We know it is at most $2n$, since the difference of the incidence vectors of two lines has this weight. We first make the following observation:

Lemma 4 *If a set \mathcal{S} of size $n + 4$ in a plane of even order n is of even type, then it is of type $(0, 2, 4)$.*

Proof: Let x be a point of \mathcal{S} and let v_j be the number of j -secants through it. Counting gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1} v_{2i} &= n + 1 \\ \sum_{i=1} (2i - 1)v_{2i} &= n + 3 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\sum_{i=1} (2i - 2)v_{2i} = 2,$$

and thus $v_{2i} = 0$ for $i \geq 3$, $v_4 = 1$, and we have the result. \square

Migliori [8] considered generally sets of size $n + s$ and of even type $(0, 2, s)$, when the order n of the plane is even, and in particular she obtains such sets for $s = \frac{1}{2}n$ in desarguesian planes. This of course gives vectors of weight $n + \frac{1}{2}n$ in C^{\perp} .

Korchmáros and Mazzocca [5] consider $(n + t)$ -sets of type $(0, 2, t)$ in the desarguesian plane of order n . They show that sets of size $n + 4$ of type $(0, 2, 4)$ always exist in the desarguesian planes of orders $n = 4, 8, 16$, but have no existence results beyond this for even sets of size $n + 4$. With Magma we constructed some of the sets described in that paper in the desarguesian plane of order 16; the set described in their Proposition 7.1 (the statement of which has a printing error in that η^9 should read η^7) is the union of two complete 10-arcs

that are mapped onto one another by an elation of the plane. In fact it seems that a 20-set of type $(0, 2, 4)$ can always be split into two complete 10-arcs by some choice of two points on each of the five 4-secants. The same appears to hold for 12-sets of type $(0, 2, 4)$ in the plane of order 8. (Note again that there is an error in the statement of Theorem 4 of [5]: for $(a^2 + 1)$ and $(a^2 + a)$ read their inverses. Similarly, in Theorem 5, for the polynomial f_2 the set of w_i should read $\{w^4, w^{11}, w^{13}\}$.)

We will show in Section 6 that all the known planes of order 16 have sets of even type of size 20, and thus C^\perp has minimum weight 18 in the case where the plane has hyperovals, or 20 in the case of the four planes that do not have hyperovals.

First we make some general observations about sets of type $(0, 2, 4)$. If \mathcal{S} is such then, as we observed before, $|\mathcal{S}| = n + 2s$ where $s \geq 1$. Using the notation defined in Section 2, for any point on \mathcal{S} , let v_j denote the number of j -secants through it. Then $v_2 + v_4 = n + 1$ and $v_2 + 3v_4 = n + 2s - 1$, so that $v_4 = s - 1$. If $s = 2$ then $v_4 = 1$ and we see that the 4-secants partition the points of \mathcal{S} . Further, keeping $s = 2$, i.e. $|\mathcal{S}| = n + 4$, if we denote by t_j the number of j -secants to \mathcal{S} , for $j \in \{0, 2, 4\}$, then counting shows that $t_4 = \frac{1}{4}(n + 4)$, $t_2 = \frac{1}{2}n(n + 4)$ and $t_0 = \frac{1}{4}n(2n - 5)$. Finally take a point not on \mathcal{S} and let u_j denote the number of j -secants through it. Then $u_0 + u_2 + u_4 = n + 1$ and $2u_2 + 4u_4 = n + 4$.

In all but one of the cases we have constructed, i.e. for $n \leq 16$, and also for the desarguesian planes in general (from [5, Theorem 1, page 448]), the 4-secants are concurrent. Following [5] we will refer to such a point as the 4-nucleus of the 20-set. This point would have $u_2 = 0$ and $u_4 = \frac{1}{4}n + 1$. Notice that since $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}n + 2 - 2u_4$ and $n \geq 4$, u_2 is always even. It is clear that it is not possible to have a point with $u_4 = \frac{1}{4}n$, since in that case the last 4-secant cannot be constructed; thus in particular, for $n = 8$, which is the desarguesian case proved already in [5], the 4-secants must be concurrent. The 20-set without a nucleus that we found by computation in one of the planes of order 16 has two points with $u_4 = 3$ and four with $u_4 = 2$: see Section 6 (17). The five 4-secants and the six points of intersection have the property that they can be completed to a Fano plane by the addition of one more point and two more lines.

We consider now possible constructions of $(n + 4)$ -sets of type $(0, 2, 4)$. First note that we need $n \geq 4$, and that for $n = 4$ we simply take the symmetric difference of two lines. Thus we take $n \geq 8$. A possible construction of an $(n + 4)$ -set of type $(0, 2, 4)$ involves the existence of complete $(\frac{1}{2}n + 2)$ -arcs:

Proposition 5 *Suppose the projective plane Π of even order n has two complete $(\frac{1}{2}n + 2)$ -arcs \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , with the following properties:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' share exactly one interior point (i.e. point on no tangent) and the $\frac{1}{4}n + 1$ secants on it;
- (ii) the $\frac{1}{2}n(\frac{1}{2}n + 2)$ tangents to \mathcal{A} are also tangents to \mathcal{A}' , and conversely;

(iii) the $\binom{\frac{1}{2}n+2}{2} - (\frac{1}{4}n + 1) = \frac{1}{8}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n$ secants to \mathcal{A} other than the $\frac{1}{4}n + 1$ secants on the common interior point are exterior to \mathcal{A}' , and similarly interchanging \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' .

Then $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}'$ is an $(n + 4)$ -set of type $(0, 2, 4)$.

Proof: The proof is straightforward. \square

In fact for the planes of order 16 we have found 20-sets of type $(0, 2, 4)$ arising from this construction, apart from the one example where no 4-nucleus was present. Conversely, starting with a 20-set \mathcal{S} of type $(0, 2, 4)$ with a 4-nucleus, in all the cases we computed we found that we could choose any subset \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{S} such that \mathcal{T} had one point from one of the 4-secants, say L , but met all the other 4-secants twice, then a point x could be found on $L \cap \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{T} \cup \{x\}$ turned out to be a complete 10-arc. This is also true for the planes of order 4 and 8, and might follow generally. In the one case of a 20-set without a 4-nucleus the set still split in many ways into two complete 10-arcs, but the choices were not quite as free. Furthermore, for some of the complete 10-arcs from some splittings in some of the planes, once the finite points are chosen, there may or may not be some freedom in the points at infinity on the 10-arc.

We also note a rather obvious fact that can be employed in the search for $(n + 4)$ -sets: our primary interest was in the construction of $(n + 4)$ -sets of even type in the case when no hyperoval is present in the plane, since this would give the minimum weight of the plane's binary code's orthogonal as $n + 4$. However, since we are interested now in the existence in all planes of $(n + 4)$ -sets of even type, we note the following construction that quite frequently can be made:

Proposition 6 *If a projective plane Π of even order n has two hyperovals that intersect in exactly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points, then the symmetric difference of the two hyperovals is an $(n + 4)$ -set of even type.*

Proof: In the orthogonal binary code the sum of the two incidence vectors of the two intersecting hyperovals has support of weight $n + 4$. Since it is clearly a set of even type, it is of type $(0, 2, 4)$, by Lemma 4, as required. \square

Note that the two arcs of size $\frac{1}{2}n + 2$ that are the non-intersecting parts of the pair of hyperovals are obviously not two complete $(\frac{1}{2}n + 2)$ -arcs that the $(n + 4)$ -set might split into. Such a splitting must be found from other considerations. Notice also that not all planes have such pairs of intersecting hyperovals, and in particular not all of the planes of order 16 do.

We now give general constructions of 20-sets of type $(0, 2, 4)$ with a 4-nucleus in the known planes of order 16. The existence of these sets with a 4-nucleus obtained by these constructions in the known planes of order 16 is a consequence of the fact that all of these planes have

some 4-group acting on them. It may be a Baer 4-group or simply some subgroup of the elementary abelian 2-group acting on the plane. The non-translation planes also admit some translations and/or shears. All these planes have a distinguished line (the line at infinity) and/or a distinguished point; furthermore, in many cases there is a distinguished flag.

Construction 7 *Let Π be any of the known planes of order 16. Then Π has a 20-set of even type.*

Proof: To construct a 20-set we start with two of its 4-secants. Denoting the set of eight starting points by S , these points yield sixteen 2-secants, all of whose points not in S become forbidden points, i.e. they cannot be added to S in order to get a 20-set of even type.

If the sixteen 2-secants come in pencils and these pencils have collinear centres, then we can find points to add to S . For this we need S to have a Klein 4-group V_4 in its stabilizer. Then the two 4-secants which form S must meet on the distinguished line (possibly at the distinguished point).

One way that guarantees such a situation is to choose as S the set of finite points on two lines of a Baer subplane Π_0 of Π with the line at infinity of Π_0 coinciding with the line at infinity, $a0$, of Π . Let I be the point on $a0$ where the two 4-secants meet. Since Π_0 is a Baer subplane of Π , it is a blocking set. Thus the sixteen 2-secants produced by S kill all points of Π but those of $\Pi - \Pi_0$ on the three lines of Π_0 through I other than the two starting 4-secants. This leaves $3 \cdot 12$ points that can be added to S to complete the 20-set. Indeed there are usually three possible choices and again the eight finite points that are added to S must be chosen so that they are stabilized by the same V_4 that stabilizes S . With such a choice the four points at infinity that the 20-set has come out naturally. (If Π is a shear plane then $a0$ is substituted by a shear axis.)

Moreover, to construct a 20-set with 4-nucleus it is enough to choose the set S of eight starting points on two 4-secants so that the two 4-secants meet at a point I on the distinguished line of Π (possibly $I = A0$ where $A0$ is the distinguished point of Π) and S is stabilized by a V_4 . Then the sixteen 2-secants provided by S come in pencils with centres on the distinguished line of Π (on a shear axis). Once the forbidden points are deleted, points remain on lines through I that can be added to S , using the V_4 to pick them out. Thus a 20-set is constructed. Usually we get six 20-sets starting from S . \square

6 SOME 20-SETS IN THE KNOWN PLANES OF ORDER 16

We give in this section specific 20-sets of even type for the known planes of order 16. In most of the cases we are able to describe the specific sets with reference to published papers

constructing the plane, but in some cases we had to resort to pure computation, using Magma, looking for words of weight 20 in the code's orthogonal, and then analysing the structure of the set. In such cases we refer the interested reader to the first author for data containing the plane and the 20-set.

All the planes have 20-sets; we refer to the notation used in the various papers, as quoted. All the sets found by construction have a 4-nucleus, and all split into two complete 10-arcs, in **many** ways. We indicate a splitting in each case, giving just one of the complementary complete 10-arcs. Note that the desarguesian plane of order 16 has these sets and they can be constructed as described earlier, using the results of [5]. The existence of the 20-sets that we describe below is in all cases a consequence of the existence of an elementary abelian 2-group acting on the plane. In the notation for these planes, the points labelled A_i are the points on the line at infinity, labelled a_0 . The remaining points are the finite points.

The method of obtaining the 20-sets is either that outlined in Proposition 6 or Construction 7. In the latter case, starting with eight points, if the eight points are together in a Baer subplane then three distinct 20-sets arise; if the eight points are not in a common Baer subplane then more 20-sets might arise. Similarly, it will be noticed that in certain situations for some of the planes the complementary complete 10-arcs have the property that their finite points might be completed to two hyperovals whose intersection is the set of finite points. This then produces yet another 20-set. Furthermore, different splittings into complete 10-arcs might yield distinct possibilities.

The planes are enumerated by ascending 2-rank, which is noted in each case, along with the symbols used to denote the designs as in [10]. To be consistent with that notation we have denoted the dual of a plane Π by Π^* . We have included 20-sets for the planes that we examined computationally; in this case the plane is obtained from the ftp site **cs.uwa.edu.au** in the directory **pub/graphs/planes16** [9].

Remark 1 The points in our case are labelled 1 to 273 instead of 0 to 272. Thus to retrieve the plane as given in the ftp site [9], subtract 1 from each point in our notation.

We can omit the desarguesian plane, since 20-sets can be constructed from [5], but since we found two hyperovals (one regular and one Lunelli-Sce [6]) that intersect in eight points, we will include these two hyperovals, in terms of homogeneous coordinates, just for completeness.

The sets given below then constitute a proof of Proposition 1 as stated in Section 1.

(1) **Desarguesian plane ($\text{PG}_2(16)$ 2-rank 82)**

If w is a root of the primitive polynomial $x^4 + x + 1$ over F_2 , then

$$(1, w^{14}, w), (1, w^{12}, w^3), (1, w^5, w^{10}), (1, w^2, w^{13}), (1, w^8, w^7), (1, w^7, w^8), (1, w^6, w^9), \\ (1, w^9, w^6), (1, w^4, w^{11}), (1, 0, 0), (1, w, w^{14}), (1, w^{11}, w^4), (0, 1, 0), (1, w^{10}, w^5), (0, 0, 1), \\ (1, w^3, w^{12}), (1, w^{13}, w^2), (1, 1, 1)$$

is a regular hyperoval and

$$(1, w^{14}, w), (1, w^{12}, w^3), (1, w^5, w^{10}), (1, w^2, w^{13}), (1, w^8, w^7), (1, w^7, w^8), (1, w^6, w^9), \\ (1, w^9, w^6), (1, w^{12}, w^9), (1, w^6, w^7), (1, w^2, w^8), (1, w^5, w^4), (1, w^{14}, w^4), (1, w^7, w), \\ (1, w^8, w^{10}), (1, w^9, w^3), (1, w^{10}, w^{13}), (1, w^{10}, w^6)$$

is a Lunelli-Sce hyperoval, and they meet in eight points. The resulting 20-set has 4-nucleus $(1, w^{10}, w^4)$ and splits into two complete 10-arcs, one of which is:

$$(1, w^{10}, w^5), (1, w^{14}, w^4), (1, 0, 0), (1, w^{11}, w^4), (1, w^9, w^3), (1, 1, 1), (1, w^2, w^8), (0, 0, 1), \\ (1, w^6, w^7), (1, w^{13}, w^2).$$

(2) **Semifield plane with kern F_2 (SEMI2 2-rank 98) [11]**

A pair of hyperovals ([11, page 145], γ_1, γ_2) intersecting in eight points gives the 20-set with 4-nucleus A_3 in this self-dual plane:

$$K_{13} K_{14} R_{13} R_{14} F_5 F_{16} X_5 X_{16} A_{15} A_{16} D_8 D_{15} N_8 N_{15} M_9 M_{11} P_9 P_{11} A_4 A_{14},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$K_{13} K_{14} R_{13} R_{14} M_9 M_{11} P_9 P_{11} A_4 A_{14}.$$

Note in addition that the eight finite points of each of the complementary complete 10-arcs completes uniquely to a hyperoval which for the 10-arc given above is

$$K_{13} K_{14} R_{13} R_{14} M_9 M_{11} P_9 P_{11} B_3 B_6 L_7 L_{12} W_7 W_{12} Z_3 Z_6 A_2 A_{13}.$$

Alternatively, starting with the eight points $F_3 P_3 L_3 S_3 F_6 P_6 L_6 S_6$ not in a Baer subplane and using the method of Construction 7, six 20-sets are obtained, all with 4-nucleus A_1 :

$$F_3 P_3 L_3 S_3 F_6 P_6 L_6 S_6 B_9 B_{11} C_9 C_{11} D_9 D_{11} K_9 K_{11} A_4 A_7 A_{14} A_{16}, \\ F_3 P_3 L_3 S_3 F_6 P_6 L_6 S_6 B_{13} B_{14} C_{13} C_{14} D_{13} D_{14} K_{13} K_{14} A_4 A_8 A_9 A_{12}, \\ F_3 P_3 L_3 S_3 F_6 P_6 L_6 S_6 H_1 H_2 Z_1 Z_2 N_1 N_2 R_1 R_2 A_3 A_8 A_{13} A_{14}, \\ F_3 P_3 L_3 S_3 F_6 P_6 L_6 S_6 H_9 H_{11} Z_9 Z_{11} N_9 N_{11} R_9 R_{11} A_6 A_{10} A_{12} A_{13}, \\ F_3 P_3 L_3 S_3 F_6 P_6 L_6 S_6 M_1 M_2 X_1 X_2 T_1 T_2 W_1 W_2 A_6 A_9 A_{11} A_{16}, \\ F_3 P_3 L_3 S_3 F_6 P_6 L_6 S_6 M_{13} M_{14} X_{13} X_{14} T_{13} T_{14} W_{13} W_{14} A_3 A_7 A_{10} A_{11}.$$

A splitting of the first of these into complete 10-arcs gives:

$$B_9 B_{11} C_9 C_{11} F_3 F_6 P_3 P_6 A_4 A_7,$$

and the eight finite points complete uniquely to a hyperoval:

$$B_9 B_{11} C_9 C_{11} F_3 F_6 P_3 P_6 H_4 H_{10} Z_4 Z_{10} M_8 M_{15} X_8 X_{15} A_3 A_7.$$

The point A_7 is on the 20-set, the 10-arc, and the hyperoval.

(3) **Semifield plane with kern F_4 (SEMI4 2-rank 98)** [17]

This plane also is self-dual. Starting with the points $B1 B2 B13 B14 C1 C2 C13 C14$ in the Baer subplane α_1 , using the method of Construction 7, three 20-sets with the 4-nucleus $A0$ are obtained:

$$B1 B2 B13 B14 C1 C2 C13 C14 T3 T6 W3 W6 T8 T15 W8 W15 A8 A10 A12 A15,$$

$$B1 B2 B13 B14 C1 C2 C13 C14 T4 T10 W4 W10 T9 T11 W9 W11 A5 A6 A13 A14,$$

and

$$B1 B2 B13 B14 C1 C2 C13 C14 T5 T16 T7 T12 W5 W16 W7 W12 A7 A9 A11 A16.$$

A splitting of the first of these into two complete 10-arcs yields:

$$B1 B2 C1 C2 T3 T6 W3 W6 A8 A12.$$

The eight finite points of this 10-arc can be completed to the following two hyperovals that intersect in these eight points and whose symmetric difference gives a 20-set with 4-nucleus $A0$:

$$B1 B2 C1 C2 T3 T6 W3 W6 A8 A10 D4 D10 K4 K10 M7 M12 X7 X12,$$

$$B1 B2 C1 C2 T3 T6 W3 W6 A12 A15 D9 D11 K9 K11 M5 M16 X5 X16.$$

Similarly, starting with the points $B1 D1 M1 T1 F7 H7 L7 R7$ in the Baer subplane β_3 , three 20-sets are obtained, with 4-nucleus $A1$, one of which is

$$B1 D1 M1 T1 F7 H7 L7 R7 C9 K9 P15 S15 W9 X9 N15 Z15 A0 A8 A13 A16,$$

giving a complete 10-arc:

$$B1 M1 L7 R7 C9 K9 N15 Z15 A0 A8.$$

Again, starting with the points $R1 R3 R8 R13 W2 W3 W11 W16$ in the Baer subplane γ_3 , three 20-sets are obtained with no points on the line $a0$ at infinity, with 4-nucleus $A0$, one of which is

$$R1 R3 R8 R13 W2 W3 W11 W16 B1 B2 B4 B10 D6 D8 D10 D11 F4 F6 F13 F16,$$

giving a complete 10-arc:

$$B1 B2 F4 F6 D6 D8 W2 W3 R1 R3.$$

Alternatively, one can start with the eight points $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6$ not in a Baer subplane and obtain in a similar manner six 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 :

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 D_4 D_{10} D_7 D_{12} K_4 K_{10} K_7 K_{12} A_5 A_6 A_{13} A_{14},$$

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 D_5 D_{16} D_9 D_{11} K_5 K_{16} K_9 K_{11} A_7 A_9 A_{11} A_{16},$$

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 L_5 L_{16} L_9 L_{11} S_5 S_{16} S_9 S_{11} A_3 A_9 A_{12} A_{14},$$

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 L_8 L_{15} L_{13} L_{14} S_8 S_{15} S_{13} S_{14} A_4 A_{10} A_{13} A_{16},$$

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 N_4 N_{10} N_7 N_{12} R_4 R_{10} R_7 R_{12} A_4 A_6 A_7 A_{12},$$

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 N_8 N_{15} N_{13} N_{14} R_8 R_{15} R_{13} R_{14} A_3 A_5 A_{10} A_{11}.$$

A splitting of the first of these to give a complete 10-arc is:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_4 D_{10} K_4 K_{10} A_5 A_6.$$

The eight finite points here again complete to two hyperovals:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_4 D_{10} K_4 K_{10} F_8 F_{15} P_8 P_{15} L_5 L_{16} S_5 S_{16} A_6 A_{15}$$

and

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_4 D_{10} K_4 K_{10} M_7 M_{12} X_7 X_{12} T_3 T_6 W_3 W_6 A_8 A_{10}.$$

(4) **Hall plane (HALL 2-rank 98)** [15]

Starting with the eight points $B_1 B_2 B_4 B_{10} C_1 C_2 C_4 C_{10}$ in a Baer subplane, and using Construction 7, we get three 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 , one of which is:

$$B_1 B_2 B_4 B_{10} C_1 C_2 C_4 C_{10} F_9 F_{11} F_{13} F_{14} P_9 P_{11} P_{13} P_{14} A_9 A_{11} A_{13} A_{14},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 F_9 F_{11} P_9 P_{11} A_9 A_{11}.$$

(In each of the three 20-sets obtained here the eight added finite points are also in a Baer subplane.)

The eight finite points of the complete 10-arc complete to two hyperovals that meet in these eight points:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 F_9 F_{11} P_9 P_{11} N_3 N_6 R_3 R_6 T_5 T_{16} W_5 W_{16} A_9 A_{13},$$

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 F_9 F_{11} P_9 P_{11} N_7 N_{12} R_7 R_{12} T_8 T_{15} W_8 W_{15} A_{11} A_{14},$$

and whose symmetric difference thus gives another 20-set with 4-nucleus A_0 . (Note that the eight points $N_3 N_6 R_3 R_6 N_7 N_{12} R_7 R_{12}$ of this 20-set are together on a Baer subplane.)

Alternatively, starting with eight points $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6$ not in a Baer subplane, we get five 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 , one of which is:

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 D_4 D_{10} D_7 D_{12} K_4 K_{10} K_7 K_{12} A_4 A_9 A_{10} A_{14},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_3 C_1 C_6 D_{10} D_{12} K_4 K_7 A_4 A_{10}.$$

(5) **Dual Hall plane (HALL* 2-rank 98)**

Using [9] (but see Remark 1) 20-sets with 4-nucleus and splitting into complete 10-arcs were found by computation. A 20-set with 4-nucleus 273:

$$\{1, 3, 10, 12, 152, 155, 158, 160, 230, 231, 233, 237, 242, 244, 245, 255, 257, 258, 265, 267\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{1, 12, 155, 158, 231, 233, 242, 255, 265, 267\}.$$

(6) **Johnson-Walker plane (JOWK 2-rank 100) [14]**

This is one of the planes with pairs of hyperovals meeting in eight points, and thus we can get a 20-set with 4-nucleus A_0 from the first pair of hyperovals in Ex. 2, page 136 of [14]:

$$A_7 A_{12} D_3 D_6 H_3 H_6 K_7 K_{12} Z_7 Z_{12} A_8 A_{15} D_5 D_{16} H_5 H_{16} K_8 K_{15} Z_8 Z_{15},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$A_7 A_{12} D_3 D_6 H_3 H_6 K_7 K_{12} Z_7 Z_8.$$

Alternatively, starting with eight points $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6$ from the Baer subplane α_2 on page 126 of [14], and using Construction 7, we get three 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 :

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 D_4 D_{10} D_7 D_{12} K_4 K_{10} K_7 K_{12} A_4 A_{10} A_7 A_{12},$$

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 D_5 D_{16} D_9 D_{11} K_5 K_{16} K_9 K_{11} A_5 A_{16} A_9 A_{11},$$

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 D_8 D_{15} D_{13} D_{14} K_8 K_{15} K_{13} K_{14} A_8 A_{15} A_{13} A_{14}.$$

Splitting of the first of these gives a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_4 D_{10} K_4 K_{10} A_4 A_{10}.$$

(7) **Dual Johnson-Walker plane (JOWK* 2-rank 100)**

Using [9] (but see Remark 1), 20-sets with 4-nucleus and splitting into complete 10-arcs were found by computation. A 20-set with nucleus 273:

$$\{4, 8, 12, 14, 215, 218, 219, 224, 231, 232, 234, 238, 244, 251, 252, 256, 258, 263, 267, 271\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{12, 14, 215, 224, 231, 232, 244, 256, 258, 267\}.$$

(8) **Dempwolff plane (DEMP 2-rank 102) [12]**

Starting with the eight points $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6$, which are not in a Baer subplane, and using Construction 7, we obtain five 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 , one of which is:

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_6 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_6 D_4 D_{10} D_7 D_{12} K_4 K_{10} K_7 K_{12} A_3 A_4 A_8 A_{14},$$

containing a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_4 D_{10} K_4 K_{10} A_3 A_4.$$

The 2-secants of the 20-set through the original eight points are concurrent in fours at the points A_1, A_2, A_9, A_{15} .

Alternatively, starting with the eight points $B_1 B_2 B_4 B_{10} C_1 C_2 C_4 C_{10}$, which are in the Baer subplane α ([12, page 56]), we obtain three 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 , one of which is:

$$B_1 B_2 B_4 B_{10} C_1 C_2 C_4 C_{10} F_3 F_6 F_7 F_{12} P_3 P_6 P_7 P_{12} A_9 A_{10} A_{15} A_{16},$$

containing a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 F_3 F_6 P_3 P_6 A_9 A_{15}.$$

Sets can also be constructed from hyperovals using Proposition 6. For example, using the two hyperovals on page 62 of [12], we get the 20-set with 4-nucleus A_1 :

$$H_7 H_{12} Z_7 Z_{12} N_3 N_6 R_3 R_6 A_{15} A_{16} M_7 M_{12} X_7 X_{12} T_3 T_6 W_3 W_6 A_9 A_{10},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$H_7 H_{12} Z_7 Z_{12} N_3 N_6 T_3 T_6 A_9 A_{15}.$$

(9) **Dual Dempwolff plane (DEMP* 2-rank 102)**

Using [9] (but see Remark 1), 20-sets with 4-nucleus and splitting into complete 10-arcs were found by computation. A 20-set with nucleus 273:

$$\{2, 7, 8, 9, 214, 219, 220, 221, 231, 233, 235, 236, 242, 246, 248, 253, 262, 265, 268, 270\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{2, 8, 220, 221, 231, 233, 242, 253, 265, 268\}.$$

(10) **Lorimer plane (LHMR 2-rank 106) [20]**

Pairs of hyperovals intersecting in eight points exist in this plane: the first two on page 147 of [20] give the 20-set

$$n15 n16 r13 r14 t15 t16 x13 x14 a13 a14 p7 p8 s5 s6 w7 w8 z5 z6 a11 a12,$$

and a complete 10-arc

$$n15 n16 t15 t16 p7 p8 w7 w8 a11 a12.$$

Alternatively, starting with the eight points $d1 d2 d3 d4 k1 k2 k3 k4$ not in a Baer subplane, Construction 7 yields six 20-sets with 4-nucleus $a0$, one of which is:

$$d1 d2 d3 d4 k1 k2 k3 k4 r13 r14 r15 r16 w13 w14 w15 w16 a3 a5 a9 a15,$$

and a complete 10-arc

$$d3 d4 k3 k4 r13 r14 w13 w14 a3 a5.$$

The eight finite points of this complete 10-arc form the intersection of two hyperovals:

$$d3 d4 k3 k4 r13 r14 w13 w14 b11 b12 m11 m12 n5 n6 z5 z6 a11 a12,$$

and

$$d3 d4 k3 k4 r13 r14 w13 w14 c15 c16 l15 l16 p1 p2 x1 x2 a3 a4,$$

whose symmetric difference is thus a 20-set.

(11) **Dual Lorimer plane (LHMR* 2-rank 106) [20]**

Starting with the eight points $B1 B2 B3 B4 C1 C2 C3 C4$, which are in a Baer subplane, and using Construction 7, we obtain three 20-sets with 4-nucleus $A0$, one of which is:

$$B1 B2 B3 B4 C1 C2 C3 C4 D5 D6 D7 D8 F5 F6 F7 F8 A5 A6 A7 A8,$$

containing a complete 10-arc:

$$B1 B2 C1 C2 D5 D6 F5 F6 A5 A6.$$

A pair of hyperovals ([20, page 145], ω_1, ω_2) intersecting in eight points gives the 20-set:

$$N7 N8 R5 R6 T7 T8 X5 X6 A11 A12 P15 P16 S13 S14 W15 W16 Z13 Z14 A13 A14,$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$N7 N8 T7 T8 P15 P16 W15 W16 A11 A12.$$

Alternatively, starting with the eight points $K1 K2 K3 K4 P1 P2 P3 P4$, which are not in a Baer subplane, we obtain six 20-sets with 4-nucleus $A0$, one of which is:

$$K1 K2 K3 K4 P1 P2 P3 P4 D9 D10 D11 D12 X9 X10 X11 X12 A3 A8 A11 A16,$$

containing a complete 10-arc:

$$K1 K2 P1 P2 D9 D10 X9 X10 A3 A8.$$

The eight finite points of this arc complete to two hyperovals:

$$K1 K2 P1 P2 D9 D10 X9 X10 B7 B8 T7 T8 M15 M16 S15 S16 A9 A10$$

and

$$K1 K2 P1 P2 D9 D10 X9 X10 C5 C6 W5 W6 L13 L14 R13 R14 A15 A16,$$

whose symmetric difference will give another 20-set.

We also obtained a 32-set of type $(0, 2, 4)$:

$$B9 B10 B13 B14 C9 C10 C13 C14 X9 X10 X13 X14 Z9 Z10 Z13 Z14$$

$$D11 D12 D15 D16 F11 F12 F15 F16 T11 T12 T15 T16 W11 W12 W15 W16.$$

It has 56 4-secants which can be partitioned into seven pencils with centres at $A0, A1, A2, A4, A5, A10, A15$; the remaining lines on each of these points are external. All lines but $a0$ through any other point on $a0$ are 2-secants, and there are 160 2-secants.

Furthermore, we obtained a 32-set of type $(0, 2, 4, 8)$:

$$B1 B2 C1 C2 D5 D6 F5 F6 H7 H8 K7 K8 L3 L4 M3 M4$$

$$N1 N2 P1 P2 R5 R6 S5 S6 T7 T8 W7 W8 X3 X4 Z3 Z4.$$

This set has four concurrent 8-secants, $n1, n2, n9, n10$, and 32 4-secants.

(12) **Derived semifield plane (DSFP 2-rank 106)** [13]

A pair of hyperovals ([13, page 108], the first two hyperovals) intersecting in eight points gives the 20-set with 4-nucleus A_6 :

$$H_9 H_{11} T_1 T_2 W_3 W_6 Z_5 Z_{16} A_8 A_{12} R_9 R_{11} M_1 M_2 N_5 N_{16} X_3 X_6 A_7 A_{13},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$H_9 H_{11} R_9 R_{11} M_1 M_2 T_1 T_2 A_7 A_{12}.$$

As for some of the other planes already mentioned, the eight finite points of the complete 10-arc complete to two hyperovals whose intersection is this set of eight points:

$$H_9 H_{11} R_9 R_{11} M_1 M_2 T_1 T_2 N_4 N_{10} Z_4 Z_{10} W_{13} W_{14} X_{13} X_{14} A_3 A_7$$

and

$$H_9 H_{11} R_9 R_{11} M_1 M_2 T_1 T_2 B_{13} B_{14} D_{13} D_{14} F_4 F_{10} L_4 L_{10} A_{11} A_{14},$$

whose symmetric difference will thus give another 20-set with 4-nucleus A_1 .

Alternatively, starting with points $B_1 B_2 B_5 B_{16} C_1 C_2 C_5 C_{16}$ from a Baer subplane, and using Construction 7, we obtain three 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 , one of which is:

$$B_1 B_2 B_5 B_{16} C_1 C_2 C_5 C_{16} H_3 H_6 Z_3 Z_6 H_9 H_{11} Z_9 Z_{11} A_3 A_6 A_9 A_{11},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 H_3 H_6 Z_3 Z_6 A_3 A_6,$$

and the eight finite points of this arc do not complete to a hyperoval.

(13) **Dual derived semifield plane (DSFP* 2-rank 106)** [13]

Note that this is one of the planes that does not contain any hyperovals and thus the minimum weight of the plane's binary orthogonal code will be 20.

Starting with the points $d_1 d_2 d_4 d_{10} k_1 k_2 k_4 k_{10}$ in a Baer subplane β , and using Construction 7, three 20-sets with 4-nucleus a_0 are obtained, one of which is:

$$d_1 d_2 d_4 d_{10} k_1 k_2 k_4 k_{10} \ell_3 \ell_6 s_3 s_6 \ell_7 \ell_{12} s_7 s_{12} a_3 a_6 a_7 a_{12},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$d_1 d_2 k_1 k_2 \ell_3 \ell_6 s_3 s_6 a_3 a_6.$$

The finite points of this complete 10-arc and of its complementary arc form parts of four complete 16-arcs:

$$d_1 d_2 k_1 k_2 \ell_3 \ell_6 s_3 s_6 h_{13} h_{14} m_7 m_{12} x_9 x_{11} z_7 z_{12},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& d1\ d2\ k1\ k2\ \ell3\ \ell6\ s3\ s6\ n8\ n15\ r7\ r12\ t7\ t12\ w5\ w16, \\
& d4\ d10\ k4\ k10\ \ell7\ \ell12\ s7\ s12\ h9\ h11\ m3\ m6\ x13\ x14\ z3\ z6, \\
& d4\ d10\ k4\ k10\ \ell7\ \ell12\ s7\ s12\ n5\ n16\ r3\ r6\ t3\ t6\ w8\ w15.
\end{aligned}$$

(See the comment after Corollary 3 relating to these arcs.)

However, using the starting points $f3\ f6\ f7\ f12\ p3\ p6\ p7\ p12$ from a Baer subplane and proceeding as before yields again three 20-sets with 4-nucleus $a0$, one of which is:

$$f3\ f6\ f7\ f12\ p3\ p6\ p7\ p12\ b1\ b2\ c1\ c2\ b4\ b10\ c4\ c10\ a3\ a6\ a7\ a12,$$

with a complete 10-arc

$$b1\ b2\ c1\ c2\ f3\ f6\ p3\ p6\ a3\ a6,$$

but which does not complete to a complete 16-arc on removing the infinite points.

Starting with the eight points $\ell1\ \ell2\ \ell4\ \ell10\ s1\ s2\ s4\ s10$ in the Baer subplane β (see the first example above), yields three 20-sets with 4-nucleus $a0$, one of which is

$$\ell1\ \ell2\ \ell4\ \ell10\ s1\ s2\ s4\ s10\ d3\ d6\ d7\ d12\ k3\ k6\ k7\ k12\ a3\ a6\ a7\ a12,$$

with a complete 10-arc:

$$\ell1\ \ell2\ s1\ s2\ d7\ d12\ k7\ k12\ a3\ a6,$$

which gives complete 16-arcs from its finite points:

$$\ell1\ \ell2\ s1\ s2\ d7\ d12\ k7\ k12\ h3\ h6\ m13\ m14\ x3\ x6\ z9\ z11,$$

$$\ell1\ \ell2\ s1\ s2\ d7\ d12\ k7\ k12\ n3\ n6\ r5\ r16\ t8\ t15\ w3\ w6.$$

The symmetric difference of these two complete 16-arcs is not a complete 16-arc.

(14) **Mathon plane (MATH 2-rank 109)**

Using [9] (but see Remark 1), we found two hyperovals meeting in eight points:

$$\{45, 46, 75, 80, 99, 106, 115, 126, 136, 141, 152, 153, 171, 176, 201, 202, 264, 271\},$$

and

$$\{1, 17, 45, 62, 75, 96, 106, 126, 141, 153, 176, 187, 202, 217, 230, 246, 257, 266\},$$

whose symmetric difference is the 20-set

$$\{1, 17, 46, 62, 80, 96, 99, 115, 136, 152, 171, 187, 201, 217, 230, 246, 257, 264, 266, 271\},$$

with 4-nucleus 268, and complete 10-arc

$$\{1, 46, 80, 99, 115, 136, 171, 187, 257, 264\}.$$

There are also 20-sets that are not the symmetric difference of two hyperovals:

$$\{3, 5, 11, 13, 18, 24, 26, 32, 227, 229, 235, 237, 242, 248, 250, 256, 259, 260, 262, 268\},$$

with 4-nucleus 257 and complete 10-arc

$$\{3, 11, 24, 26, 235, 237, 242, 256, 259, 268\}.$$

(15) **Dual Mathon plane (MATH* 2-rank 109)** [19]

Note that this plane is referred to as the Mathon plane in [19]. Starting with the eight points $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4$ in the Baer subplane 17(i) of [19], a 20-set with 4-nucleus A_0 is obtained:

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4 D_5 D_6 D_7 D_8 F_5 F_6 F_7 F_8 A_5 A_6 A_7 A_8,$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_5 D_6 F_5 F_6 A_6 A_8.$$

(16) **Classical semi-translation plane (BBH1 2-rank 110)** [18]

This is a self-dual plane. Starting with the points $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4$ in a Baer subplane, using the method of Construction 7, three 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 are obtained, one of which is:

$$B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4 C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4 D_5 D_{10} F_5 F_{10} D_7 D_{13} F_7 F_{13} A_5 A_6 A_7 A_8,$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_5 D_{10} F_5 F_{10} A_5 A_6.$$

The eight finite points of this complete 10-arc can be completed to complete 14-arcs in four ways, for example:

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_5 D_{10} F_5 F_{10} H_6 H_{16} P_6 P_{16} A_5 A_7$$

and

$$B_1 B_2 C_1 C_2 D_5 D_{10} F_5 F_{10} H_{12} H_{15} P_{12} P_{15} A_6 A_8.$$

New 20-sets with 4-nucleus A_0 may be obtained from eight points in the symmetric difference of these two arcs, one of which is:

$$H_6 H_{16} H_{12} H_{15} P_6 P_{16} P_{12} P_{15} L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4 T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 A_9 A_{10} A_{11} A_{12}.$$

A 20-set with 4-nucleus A_0 with, unusually, no points at infinity was found:

$$H_2 H_7 H_{11} H_{12} P_1 P_6 P_7 P_8 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4 L_4 L_6 L_{11} L_{13} T_3 T_8 T_{12} T_{13},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$H_7 H_{11} P_7 P_8 D_1 D_2 L_4 L_6 T_8 T_{13}.$$

(17) **Derived dual Hall plane (BBH2 2-rank 114)**

Using [9] (but see Remark 1), 20-sets were found by computation and some of the sets did not have a 4-nucleus. They all split into two complete 10-arcs. A 20-set without 4-nucleus:

$$\{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 12, 13, 16, 21, 22, 28, 29, 209, 210, 215, 216, 217, 218, 223, 224\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{2, 3, 12, 13, 22, 28, 209, 210, 223, 224\}.$$

The five 4-secants of the 20-set meet in a set of six points, and this set can be completed, by the addition of one more point and two more lines, to a Fano subplane. The points of this Fano subplane are

$$\{17, 163, 165, 167, 176, 257, 265\}.$$

A 20-set with 4-nucleus 263:

$$\{18, 20, 25, 26, 30, 32, 225, 226, 227, 232, 234, 237, 239, 240, 259, 261, 267, 269, 272, 273\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{18, 25, 26, 226, 227, 232, 234, 267, 269, 272\}.$$

Neither of these two 20-sets is the symmetric difference of two hyperovals intersecting in eight points, but such hyperovals do exist in this plane:

$$\{18, 29, 82, 86, 145, 154, 164, 169, 177, 182, 192, 200, 203, 228, 238, 250, 251, 264\}$$

and

$$\{18, 19, 86, 88, 93, 130, 144, 145, 154, 167, 169, 177, 181, 185, 243, 247, 250, 251\},$$

giving the 20-set with 4-nucleus 172:

$$\{19, 29, 82, 88, 93, 130, 144, 164, 167, 181, 182, 185, 192, 200, 203, 228, 238, 243, 247, 264\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{88, 93, 144, 167, 182, 200, 238, 243, 247, 264\}.$$

(18) **Dual derived dual Hall plane (BBH2* 2-rank 114)**

This is one of the planes without hyperovals. Using [9] (but see Remark 1), 20-sets

with 4-nucleus and splitting into complete 10-arcs were found by computation. A 20-set with 4-nucleus 9:

$$\{14, 15, 16, 32, 48, 64, 80, 96, 112, 128, 144, 160, 176, 192, 208, 224, 240, 256, 272, 273\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{14, 15, 48, 80, 112, 144, 176, 192, 224, 256\}.$$

(19) **Johnson strict semi-translation plane (JOHN 2-rank 114)** [16]

Starting with the eight points $D3 D6 D4 D10 K3 K6 K4 K10$ in the Baer subplane at the bottom of page 148 of [16], and using Construction 7, a 20-set with 4-nucleus $A0$ is obtained:

$$D3 D6 D4 D10 K3 K6 K4 K10 F5 F16 F13 F14 P5 P16 P13 P14 A3 A6 A4 A10,$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$D3 D6 K4 K10 F5 F16 P13 P14 A3 A6.$$

A different splitting gives the complete 10-arc

$$D3 D6 K3 K6 F5 F16 P5 P16 A3 A4,$$

and its complement, to which the following hyperovals are attached:

$$D3 D6 K3 K6 F5 F16 H13 H14 Z13 Z14 T4 T10 W4 W10 A7 A12,$$

$$D3 D6 K3 K6 F13 F14 P13 P14 H4 H10 Z4 Z10 T5 T16 W5 W16 A7 A12.$$

This plane has only a small number of hyperovals, and no pairs intersecting in eight points.

(20) **Dual Johnson strict semi-translation plane (JOHN* 2-rank 114)** [16]

This is one of the planes that does not contain any hyperovals and thus the minimum weight of the plane's binary orthogonal code will be 20. Starting with the eight points $d3 d6 d4 d10 k3 k6 k4 k10$ in a Baer subplane, and using Construction 7, three 20-sets with 4-nucleus $a0$ are obtained:

$$d3 d6 d4 d10 k3 k6 k4 k10 f8 f15 f9 f11 p8 p15 p9 p11 a5 a16 a13 a14,$$

$$d3 d6 d4 d10 k3 k6 k4 k10 f5 f16 f13 f14 p5 p16 p13 p14 a8 a15 a9 a11,$$

$$d3 d6 d4 d10 k3 k6 k4 k10 f1 f2 f7 f12 p1 p2 p7 p12 a3 a6 a4 a10.$$

A splitting for the first of these gives the complete 10-arc:

$$d3 d6 k4 k10 f8 f15 p9 p11 a5 a16.$$

(21) **Derived dual semifield plane (BBS4 2-rank 114)**

Using [9] (but see Remark 1), 20-sets with 4-nucleus and splitting into complete 10-arcs were found by computation. A 20-set with 4-nucleus 53:

$$\{1, 9, 14, 17, 19, 22, 25, 204, 207, 212, 215, 235, 240, 243, 248, 257, 258, 259, 263, 269\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{1, 14, 22, 25, 212, 215, 235, 243, 257, 258\}.$$

(22) **Dual derived dual semifield plane (BBS4* 2-rank 114)**

This is one of the planes without hyperovals. Using [9] (but see Remark 1), 20-sets with 4-nucleus and splitting into complete 10-arcs were found by computation. A 20-set with 4-nucleus 273:

$$\{6, 10, 12, 15, 36, 41, 46, 47, 209, 210, 211, 212, 241, 246, 247, 248, 258, 259, 260, 261\},$$

and a complete 10-arc:

$$\{12, 15, 36, 46, 209, 210, 246, 247, 258, 259\}.$$

Acknowledgement:

The first author acknowledges support of NSF grant GER-9450080. Both authors would like to thank the Department of Computer Science and Engineering and the Center for Communication and Information Science (CCIS) at the University of Nebraska for their hospitality, and the first author would like to thank the Dipartimento di Matematica at the Università di Roma 'La Sapienza' for their hospitality, and the C.N.R. (Italy) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Assmus, Jr. and J. D. Key. *Designs and their Codes*. Cambridge University Press, 1992. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 103 (Second printing with corrections, 1993).
- [2] W. Bosma and J. Cannon. *Handbook of Magma Functions*. Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, November 1994.
- [3] J. W. P. Hirschfeld. *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*. Oxford University Press, 1979.

- [4] J. D. Key and M. J. de Resmini. Codewords for a projective plane from sets of type (s, t) . *European J. Combin.*, 15:259–268, 1994.
- [5] G. Korchmáros and F. Mazzocca. On $(q+t)$ -arcs of type $(0, 2, t)$ in a desarguesian plane of order q . *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 108:445–459, 1990.
- [6] L. Lunelli and M. Sce. k -archi completi nei piani proiettivi desarguesiani di rango 8 e 16. Technical report, Centro Calcoli Numerici, Politecnico di Milano, 1958.
- [7] G. Menichetti. q -archi completi nei piani di Hall di ordine $q = 2^k$. *Lincei - Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat.*, 56:518–525, 1974.
- [8] G. Migliori. Insiemi di tipo $(0, 2, q/2)$ in un piano proiettivo e sistemi di terne di Steiner. *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, 7:77–82, 1987.
- [9] T. Penttila, G. F. Royle, and M. K. Simpson. ftp site: cs.uwa.edu.au. Directory: pub/graphs/planes16.
- [10] T. Penttila, G. F. Royle, and M. K. Simpson. Hyperovals in the known projective planes of order 16. *J. Combin. Des.*, 4:59–65, 1996.
- [11] M. J. de Resmini. On the semifield plane of order 16 with kern GF(2). *Ars Combin.*, 25B:75–92, 1988.
- [12] M. J. de Resmini. On the Dempwolff plane. *Contemp. Math.*, 111:47–64, 1990.
- [13] M. J. de Resmini. On the derived semifield plane of order 16. *Ars Combin.*, 29B:97–109, 1990.
- [14] M. J. de Resmini. On the Johnson-Walker plane. *Simon Stevin*, 64:113–139, 1990.
- [15] M. J. de Resmini. Some remarks on the Hall planes of order 16. *Congr. Numer.*, 70:17–27, 1990.
- [16] M. J. de Resmini. On an exceptional semi-translation plane. In J. W. P. Hirschfeld et al., editor, *Finite Geometries and Designs*, pages 141–162. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991.
- [17] M. J. de Resmini. On the semifield plane of order 16 with kern GF(4). In A. Barlotti et al., editor, *Combinatorics '88, Vol. 2*, pages 369–390. Mediterranean Press, 1991. Research and Lecture Notes in Mathematics.
- [18] M. J. de Resmini. On the classical semitranslation plane of order 16. *Ars Combin.*, 46:191–209, 1997.
- [19] M. J. de Resmini. On the Mathon plane. *J. Geom.*, 60:47–64, 1997.
- [20] M. J. de Resmini and L. Puccio. Some combinatorial properties of the dual Lorimer plane. *Ars Combin.*, 24A:131–148, 1987.

J. D. Key
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Clemson University
Clemson SC 29634
U.S.A

M. J. de Resmini
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Roma 'La Sapienza'
I-00185 Rome
Italy

Eingegangen am 1. November 1996