

Smoking, Drinking, and Thinking

The Zutphen Elderly Study

L. J. Launer,¹ E. J. M. Feskens,¹ S. Kalmijn,^{1,2} and D. Kromhout¹

The authors examine the cross-sectional and longitudinal relation of smoking habits and current alcohol intake to cognitive status and decline over a 3-year period as well as the extent to which these relations are modified by the presence of clinical conditions indicating atherosclerosis (cardiovascular disease(CVD)/ diabetes). Data are from the cohort of men followed in the longitudinal Zutphen Elderly Study in 1990 (n = 489) and 1993 (n = 333). Cognitive function was measured in 1990 and 1993 with the 30-point Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). After adjustment for age, education, and alcohol intake, current smokers made 20% more errors on the MMSE than never smokers in the cross-sectional analyses. Cognitive decline was greatest in those with CVD/diabetes who currently smoked and never smoked (-1.9 and -1.3 points, respectively). After adjustment for age, education, and smoking status, men with CVD/diabetes and low-to-moderate alcohol intake had a significantly lower risk for poor cognitive function (MMSE ≤ 25) than abstainers (odds ratios of 0.3 for less than one drink and 0.2 for one to two drinks per day). Alcohol intake was not associated with cognitive decline. These findings do not support the hypothesis of a protective effect of smoking on cognitive function; they suggest that smoking may be harmful among those with CVD/diabetes. Alcohol may result in an acute beneficial effect on cognitive function among those with CVD/diabetes. However, selection bias and unmeasured confounding should be of concern when evaluating these results. Am J Epidemiol 1996; 143:219-27.

aged; alcohol drinking; cognition; longitudinal studies; smoking

Adequate cognitive functioning is essential to independent living. As the population grows proportionately older, the prevalence of cognitive impairment is expected to increase. This will necessitate studies to identify risk factors that predict its occurrence. Studies point to long-term and acute processes through which both cigarette smoking and alcohol may potentiate changes in the cerebrovascular system that could ultimately affect cognitive function. As both drinking and smoking are potentially modifiable behaviors, it is of interest to examine their relation to cognitive function.

Cigarette smoking may have a negative or positive effect on cognitive function. Long-term negative ef-

fects can be mediated via smoking-related events such as thrombosis, atherogenesis, and stroke (1-4). The negative effect of smoking habits could also be short term as smoking cessation reduces the risk for stroke and improves cerebral perfusion (5, 6). In contrast, cigarette smoking has been inversely correlated with the risk for Alzheimer's disease (7, 8). This beneficial effect of smoking may be mediated via nonvascular pathways involving nicotine receptors and neurotransmitter function (9). Indeed, nicotine delivery may improve performance on selected cognitive tests (10).

The effect of alcohol on cognitive function may depend on the amount consumed and the underlying cerebral pathology leading to cognitive impairment. Moderate alcohol intake has been associated with an increased risk for hemorrhagic stroke but a decreased risk for the more prevalent thromboembolic stroke (11). This protective effect may be mediated via acute responses in blood lipids, vasomodulators, and hemostatic factors (12–15). Chronic and heavy use of alcohol has been associated with neurotoxicity leading to the Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome, which is characterized by severe memory impairment, or possibly to a distinct type of dementia (16, 17).

Received for publication November 22, 1994, and in final form October 10, 1995.

Abbreviations: CVA, cerebral vascular accident; CVD, cardiovascular disease; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

¹ Department of Chronic Diseases and Environmental Epidemiology, National Institute for Public Health and Environmental Protection, Bilthoven, the Netherlands.

² Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Erasmus University Medical School and Netherlands Institute for Health Science, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

Reprint requests to Dr. Launer, Department of Chronic Diseases and Environmental Epidemiology, National Institute for Public Health and Environmental Protection, P.O. Box 1, 3720BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands.

Although smoking and drinking have been examined in relation to clinical endpoints such as Alzheimer's disease and cardiovascular events (1–3, 6-8, 17–19), few studies have systematically investigated these habits in relation to cognitive function in community samples (20–22). In this study, we examine the cross-sectional and longitudinal relation of smoking and alcohol intake to cognitive status and change as well as the extent to which clinical conditions indicating atherosclerosis modify these relations. Data are from the cohort of men followed in the longitudinal Zutphen Elderly Study.

METHODS

The Zutphen Elderly Study is an extension of the original cohort that formed the Dutch contribution to the Seven Country Study (23, 24). In 1985, 1,266 men were asked to participate, 555 from the original cohort and 711 from a randomly selected sample from all other men living in Zutphen in the same age range (65–84 years). A total of 939 men agreed to participate. In 1990, 560 men (78 percent of the 718 survivors) participated; and in 1993, 390 men (71 percent of the 553 survivors) participated. Follow-up between surveys was complete. The average years of age for the participating cohort members were 71.6 \pm 5.4 in 1985, 75.1 \pm 4.7 in 1990, and 78.7 \pm 4.3 in 1993.

In 1985 and 1990, the interviews, dietary assessments, and medical examinations were conducted at homes and at a study center. In 1993, the interviews took place at home. Factors were measured repeatedly with the same questions or methodology, although cognitive function was tested in 1990 and 1993 only. Data from all three surveys were used to assess possible bias from nonparticipation (due to death and refusal) and behavior change that followed and did not precede cognitive impairment or cardiovascular disease (CVD)/diabetes. Data from 1990 were used to examine the cross-sectional relation of cognitive function to smoking and drinking. Cognitive function measures from 1990 and 1993 were used to examine the relation between 1990 habits and cognitive decline.

Definition of variables

Cognitive function was assessed with the 30-point Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) of Folstein et al. (25). This test of orientation, attention, memory, language, and constructional abilities is used extensively in clinical and epidemiologic studies as a general measure of cognitive function (26). From the test of 20 questions, four or fewer missing answers were coded as wrong (27); and for more than four missing answers, the total score was coded as missing.

To assess smoking behavior, respondents were asked about the amount smoked and the ages when they started and stopped smoking. Smoking behavior was categorized as follows: current smokers, never smokers, and former smokers, divided further by the time since they stopped smoking (<10 years or >10years ago). As only 12 percent of the men smoked more than 10 cigarettes per day, we did not further categorize the current smokers according to the amount smoked. These categories, rather than packyears, were used to better study the effects of current compared with former and never smokers. Additional analyses did not suggest a consistent dose-response relation between pack-years and the risk for cognitive impairment (cross-sectional analyses) and decline (longitudinal analyses).

Usual alcohol intake in the month preceding the interview was calculated from a validated cross-check dietary history adapted to the Dutch setting (28). The number and type of drink were converted into grams of alcohol and categorized as follows: none, less than one drink (<13.2 g), one to two drinks, and more than three drinks per day.

The following covariate and confounding variables were considered in these analyses: age, education $(0-6, 7-12, and \geq 13 \text{ years})$, and clinical conditions indicating atherosclerosis. Clinical conditions indicating atherosclerosis included cerebrovascular accident (CVA), transient ischemic attack, myocardial infarction, intermittent claudication, and angina pectoris. These conditions were assessed by a standard medical interview that included questions from the Rose Questionnaire (29). We also included diabetes mellitus because it is strongly related to atherosclerosis (30) and to cognitive function in this cohort (31). Diabetes was ascertained by questionnaire or detected on the basis of a baseline fasting glucose level of >7.8 mmol/liter or a 2-hour postload glucose level of >11.1 mmol/ liter. Diagnosis of each reported condition was verified with hospital discharge data and written information from the general practitioners, which was reviewed and uniformly coded by one physician. Records from individuals not reporting medical conditions in the interview were not examined.

Because of their relation to stroke and possibly to cognitive function, we considered the following conditions as potential moderators and mediators: hypertension, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, and fibrinogen. Hypertension was defined as the use of antihypertensive medication, as a systolic blood pressure of >160 mmHg, or as a diastolic blood pressure of >95 mmHg, determined on the basis of the mean of two blood pressure measurements taken with a random mercury sphygmomanometer. Nonfasting blood sam-

ples were used for the determinations of high density lipoprotein cholesterol (32) and fibrinogen (33).

Statistical analyses

The final sample for the cross-sectional analyses included 489 respondents with complete data on all risk factors; the longitudinal analyses included 333 respondents. Univariate relations were tested with chisquare for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous outcomes.

To assess bias from nonparticipation, we examined the association of drinking and smoking habits in 1985 to participation in 1990. In this context, we examined the association between 1985 behavior and death due to cardiovascular disease and also the association of participation in 1993 to behavior and cognitive function in 1990.

The cross-sectional relation of the MMSE to smoking behavior was examined in several ways. First, we compared the performance on the MMSE of smokers (current smokers, former smokers who quit < 10 years ago, and those who quit >10 years ago) to never smokers. Performance was expressed as the number of errors (30 points-achieved score), which followed a Poisson distribution. Poisson regression was used to calculate the rate ratio of the mean number of erroneous answers in the index versus the reference group. Robust measures of the standard error were estimated to account for extra Poisson variation (34). Univariate analyses and analyses adjusted for age, education, and alcohol intake are shown. We also examined the risk for cognitive impairment in smoking groups compared with never smokers. This was done by dichotomizing the MMSE at <25 points and estimating risk as an odds ratio (95 percent confidence interval) with logistic regression. This cutoff has been used to screen for dementia (35) and is related to the probability of cognitive impairment (36). Both univariate and adjusted analyses are shown, as described above. Finally, we examined whether smoking category was related to change in the MMSE between 1990 and 1993 and whether smokers experienced more decline in the MMSE score than never smokers. Multiple linear regression was used for these analyses; in addition to the variables entered into the cross-sectional models, we also controlled for the 1990 MMSE score.

Analyses on alcohol intake were conducted in a similar manner wherein no reported alcohol intake was used as the reference. All models were adjusted for smoking status (never, former, current), age, and education; the analyses of cognitive decline also included the 1990 MMSE score. These analyses excluded 12 subjects who decreased their intake two or more categories between 1985 and 1990.

To evaluate the role of clinical disease in the relations of interest, two strategies were undertaken. First, factors possibly mediating the relation between the behavior and cognitive function were entered into the multivariate models. Second, we conducted stratified analyses by the presence or absence of CVD/diabetes. We expected that the risk associated with predominately nonvascular mechanisms would be more clearly seen on the one hand in the group without evidence of cardiovascular disease and diabetes, mimicking the situation in which risk is studied in Alzheimer's disease patients (7, 8, 19). On the other hand, the acute effect of smoking and alcohol in those at risk for cerebrovascular damage may be more evident in those with disease. Effect modification of the presence of CVD/diabetes was tested by entering into the adjusted model a term for the presence of disease and an interaction term between disease and smoking category. Similar analyses were conducted by hypertension status, inasmuch as hypertension has been shown to modify the relation of cigarette smoking to stroke (3) and to Alzheimer's disease (19). Analyses were conducted using the SAS statistical package (version 6.09) (37).

RESULTS

Participation and change in reported behavior

There was no selective participation in 1990 by 1985 drinking or smoking habits. However, total mortality was slightly greater among 1985 never smokers compared with former and current smokers and slightly greater among those who abstained from alcohol compared with those who did not (data not shown).

The samples for the cross-sectional and longitudinal samples did not differ in percent from low education (14.7 percent in the cross-sectional and 12.0 percent in the longitudinal sample), with hypertension (39.7 vs. 38.5 percent), with CVD/diabetes (39.7 vs. 35.8 percent), and with CVA (4.1 vs. 3.6 percent). Overall, nonparticipants in 1993 had a lower median 1990 MMSE score (26 vs. 27 for participants) and proportionately included more current smokers (p < 0.05) and abstainers from alcohol (p < 0.07). Those abstainers who participated in 1993 had a median 1990 MMSE score of 27 compared with 25 for nonparticipants. Otherwise, there was no difference between participants and nonparticipants by category of drinking or smoking status.

Smoking and drinking habits

In 1990, 22.5 percent of the men currently smoked; 43 percent had stopped smoking more than 10 years

ago. The respective durations of smoking (and standard deviations) were as follows: 57.1 (6.3) years among current smokers; 57.7 (7.0) years among those quitting within 10 years; and 31.5 (12.8) years among those quitting over 10 years ago. The average exposures in pack-years (and standard deviations) were 857.3 (454.6), 1,056.9 (753.4), and 486.9 (486.3) for current smokers, those quitting within 10 years, and those quitting more than 10 years ago, respectively. Alcohol intake was low to moderate: 45 percent reported an intake of less than one drink per day, and only 4 percent reported drinking three or more drinks per day.

Disagreement between 1985 and 1990 reports on smoking (i.e., in 1985 the respondent reported currently smoking and in 1990 reported not smoking) or alcohol intake may indicate reporting error or behavior change. Neither poor cognitive function, cognitive change between 1990 and 1993, hypertension, nor CVD/diabetes was associated with disagreement between 1985 and 1990 reports on smoking (data not shown). Disagreement in alcohol intake categories was not associated with any of these factors either. These factors also did not differ among those reporting abstention in 1990 and 1985 (n = 71) and those who reported abstention only in 1990 (n = 54).

Current smokers were relatively younger and reported drinking more alcohol than the other men. A greater percent of never smokers had CVD, and specifically CVA, compared with former and current smokers (table 1). Similarly, abstainers from alcohol had significantly more CVD/diabetes. In addition, education was associated with an increased intake of alcohol.

Smoking, drinking, and cognitive function

Older age and less education were associated with cognitive impairment and decline. After controlling for these variables, the presence of CVD/diabetes was not related to the rate of making errors or to poor cognitive function (MMSE < 25); however, those with CVD experienced a significantly larger decline in MMSE than those without CVD (-0.90, 95 percent)confidence interval -1.41 to -0.39).

Compared with never smokers, current smokers made 20 percent more errors on the MMSE; otherwise, the risk for cognitive impairment did not differ by category of smoking status (table 2). There was no evidence of effect modification in the presence of CVD/diabetes, although those quitting within 10 years and current smokers with CVD/diabetes had slightly lower risks and those without CVD/diabetes, a slightly higher risk for poor cognitive function compared with never smokers. Results were similar when expressed as an error rate ratio (data not shown).

The effect of alcohol intake on cognitive function was modified by the presence of CVD/diabetes (table 3). Those individuals with CVD/diabetes who were moderate drinkers of two or fewer glasses per day had a significantly lower risk for poor cognitive function compared with the abstainers. Moderate drinkers without CVD/diabetes tended to have a higher but nonsignificant risk for poor function compared with abstain-

			Cigaret	tte smold	ng statu	s, 1990				Dally	alcohol	intake, 1	990		
	No.		ver 91)	Fon (л =	-		rent 110)	-	ne 125)	<1 d (n = 1		1–2 d (n =	Irinks 122)		rinks 21)
		Mean	(SD†)	Mean	(SD)	Меал	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)
Smoking status (%)															
Never	91							23.2		19.0		14.7		9.5	
Former	288							53.6		58.4		64.6		61.9	
Current	110							23.2		22.6		20.5		28.6	
Age (years)	489	75.7	(5.1)	75.2	(4.6)	74.1	(4.0)*	75 5	(4.7)	75.0	(4.5)	74.8	(4.6)	73.8	(4.0)
Education (years) (%)															
≤6	72	15.4		15.6		11.8		21.6		13.5		11.5		4.6**	¥
7–12	303	57.1		60.7		69.1		61 6		66.0		56.6		52.3	·
≥13	114	27.5		23.6		19.1		16.8		20.4		32.0		42.9	
Hypertension (%)	194	38.0 41.8	•	40.6		38.1		37.6		40.7		39.3		42.8	
CVD†/diabetes‡ (%)	194			39.6		38.1		50.4		34.8		40.1		23.8**	**
CVA† (%)	20	5.5		4.2		2.7		7.2		4.9		0.8		0***	

TABLE 1. Correlates of smoking and drinking habits, the Zutphen Elderly Study of Men

* p < 0.05 by analysis of variance for the relation of age (mean (SD)) to smoking status; ** p < 0.005 by chi-square for the relation of education to alcohol Intake: *** p < 0.05 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of CVD to alcohol intake; **** p < 0.06 by chi-square for the relation of

‡ CVD includes CVA, transient ischemic attack, myocardial infarction, intermittent claudication, and angina pectoris.

Cigaretia emolyting status, 1990ModianError rate itPoor organitive tunctionsWith CVD* JudiabeliesWith mould cVDediabelies1990No.1990199019901990 $\frac{266}{80006}$ $\frac{266}{8000$	Ckparette smokting status, No. No. No. No. Nover 91 Never 91 Former/quit (years ago) 212 210 212 <10 212 <10 76 Current 110 * MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examin † (30 points - achieved score) on th ‡ Ratio of erroneous answers given § MMSE score of <26 in 1990. ORs	Median - 1990 1990 MMSE+ score 27 27 27 27 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	Mean Mean 3.8 4.1 4.1 1400 Cond	Error (SD•) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9)	ratet Error allot 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 X group	95% CI* 95% CI* 0.87-1.20 0.9-1.4 1.0-1.4	P000 A28 MMSE MMSE Score	r cognitive OR+.5 0.9 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	1 function§ 95% CI 0.5-1.5 0.6-2.3 0.6-2.2 , confidence	niterval: 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	With C) 266 MM SE 80018 80018 80018 39.5 34.5 34.5 34.5 33.3 33.3 33.3 34.5 34.5	0.1 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	bettas 85% CI 0.4-2.1 0.3-3.0	88 471 53 No.		A CVDW A 0.0 3 1.4	95% 95% 0.4-
No. TB30 T030 Error mMSE CBN mMSE Error male CBN Score Error male CBN Score Error mMSE CBN Score Error mMSE CBN Score Error mMSE CBN Score Error mMSE CBN Score Error mMSE CBN Score MMSE Score No. CAB MMSE Score CAB Score CAB Score <thcab Score CAB Score <t< th=""><th>Advances structury status, Never 1990 No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.</th><th>1990 MMSE* score 27 27 27 26 26 26 001, C/</th><th>Mean 3.8 4.1 4.3 4.3</th><th>(SD*) (SD*) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9)</th><th>ratio ratio 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.2 r diseas x group</th><th>95% CI* 0.87-1.20 0.9-1.4 1.0-1.4 e; SD, standd</th><th>26 MMNSE 85076 85076 85076 83.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5</th><th>OR*.§ 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 0.0 0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0</th><th>95% Cl 0.5–1.5 0.6–2.3 0.6–2.2 , confidence</th><th>No. No. 85 85 29 No. 142 29</th><th>AMANSE MANSE 80078 80078 39.5 34.5 34.5 33.3 34.5 33.3 33.3 34.5 33.3 34.5 33.3 34.5 33.3 35.3 35</th><th></th><th>85% CI 0.4-2.1 0.3-3.0</th><th>No. 127 53 68 68 68</th><th>l i l</th><th></th><th></th></t<></thcab 	Advances structury status, Never 1990 No.	1990 MMSE* score 27 27 27 26 26 26 001, C/	Mean 3.8 4.1 4.3 4.3	(SD*) (SD*) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9)	ratio ratio 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.2 r diseas x group	95% CI* 0.87-1.20 0.9-1.4 1.0-1.4 e; SD, standd	26 MMNSE 85076 85076 85076 83.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5 33.5	OR*.§ 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 0.0 0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	95% Cl 0.5–1.5 0.6–2.3 0.6–2.2 , confidence	No. No. 85 85 29 No. 142 29	AMANSE MANSE 80078 80078 39.5 34.5 34.5 33.3 34.5 33.3 33.3 34.5 33.3 34.5 33.3 34.5 33.3 35.3 35		85% CI 0.4-2.1 0.3-3.0	No. 127 53 68 68 68	l i l		
91 27 3.8 (2.9) 1.0 34.1 1.0 38 39.5 1.0 53 30.2 1.0 str/quit (years ago) 212 27 3.8 (3.1) 1.0 0.87-1.20 30.2 0.9 0.5-1.5 85 34.5 0.9 0.4-2.1 127 27.6 0.9 76 27 4.1 (2.9) 1.1 0.9-1.4 34.2 1.1 0.6-2.3 29 34.5 0.9 0.3-3.0 47 34.0 1.3 11 26 27 4.1 (2.9) 1.1 0.9-1.4 33.6 1.1 0.6-2.2 42 33.0 0.3-3.0 47 34.0 1.3 55. Mini-Mental State Examination: CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. 68 33.8 1.4 55. Mini-Mental State Examination: CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviator, CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. 68 33.8 1.4 55. Mini-Mental State Examination: CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviator, CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. 68 33.8 1.4 55. Mini-Mental State Examination: CVD, car	Never 91 Former/quit (years ago) 212 ≥10 212 <10 76 Current 110 * MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examin † (30 points – acchieved score) on t ‡ Ratio of arroneous answers given § MMSE score of <26 in 1990. ORs	27 27 27 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	3.8 3.8 4.1 4.3 70, card	(2.9) (3.1) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) fovescula 0	1.0 1.1 1.2 X group	0.87-1.20 0.9-1.4 1.0-1.4 e; SD, stand	34.1 34.2 33.6 33.6 and devi	1.0 0.9 1.1 1.1 1.1 0.00 adjur	0.5-1.5 0.6-2.3 0.6-2.2 , confidence	38 85 85 29 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	39.5 34.5 33.3 33.3 20.0	[0.4-2.1	53 127 47 68		1	
0.4-2.1 127 27.6 0.9 0.3-3.0 47 34.0 1.3 0.3-2.3 68 33.8 1.4 ntake.	Former/quit (years ago) 212 210 212 212 212 212 212 212 76 Current 110 * MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examin † (30 points – achieved score) on th ‡ Ratio of erroneous answers given § MMSE score of <26 in 1990. ORs § CVD includes cerebral vascular a	27 27 26 26 mation; CV	3.8 4.1 4.3 VD, card	(3.1) (2.9) (2.9) (2.9) 00. n the inde	1.0 1.1 1.2 r diseas	0.87-1.20 0.9-1.4 1.0-1.4 e; SD, stand	30.2 34.2 33.6 and devi	0.9 1.1 1.1 aution; Cl	0.5-1.5 0.6-2.3 0.6-2.2 0.6-2.2 , confidence	85 29 29 interval:	34.5 34.5 33.3 33.3		0.4-2.1 0.3-3.0	127 47 68		1	
0.3–2.3 68 33.8 1.4 ntake. ris.	Current 110 * MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examin † (30 points – achieved score) on th ‡ Ratio of erroneous answers given § MMSE score of ∠26 in 1990. ORs ↓ CVD includes cerebral vascular a	26 nation; C/	4.3 VD, card	(2.9) Jovescula 0. n the inde	1.2 r diseas x group	1:0-1.4 e; SD, stand	33.6 and devi	1.1 lation; Cl	0.6-2.2 , confidence stad for ade.	42 interval; aducati	33.3 . OB or		03-03	8		1	
 MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. (30 points - achieved score) on the MMSE in 1990. Ratio of erroneous answers given on the MMSE in the index group vs. the reference group adjusted for age, education, and alcohol intake. MMSE score of <26 in 1990. ORs (adjusted for age, education, and alcohol intake) and 95% CIs are for poor vs. good function. CVD includes cerebral vascular accident, transient ischemic attack, myocardial intartent daudication, and angina pectoris. 	 MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examin † (30 points – achieved score) on th ‡ Ratio of erroneous answers given § MMSE score of ∠26 in 1990. ORs CVD includes cerebral vascular a 	nation; C\	VD, card	fiovascula 00. n the inde	r diseas x group	e; SD, stand	ard devi	lation; CI, oup adjus	, confidence sted for ade.	interval; aducati	a C J						
		n on the f s (adjuste accident,	MMSE in MMSE in ad for ag transien	ge, educat nt ischemi	tion, and cattack	vs. the refer I alcohol intal myocardial i	ence gr ke) and infarctio	95%, Clk n, interm	are for poor ittent claudic	r vs. goo ation, a	on, and xd functi nd angi	ids ratic alcohol on. va pect). intaka. oris.				
				Total se	amplet							«	oor cognitive	function] #		
Total samplet Poor cognitive functions			Ш	Error ratel		æ	or cogniti	Ive functio	 #	NH NH	L CVDS	/dtabete	0		Without	I CVD#/	diabetes
Total sample† Morten Error ratel Poor cognitive function‡ With CVDS,#/dabe	Dally alcohol intake, meuaui					96				AC.	Å				8		

					Total sample†	umplet							Poor cognitive function‡	function:	+		
Patricia di Santa di S		Madlan		Ш Ц	Error ratel		Poor	Poor cognitive function #	function ‡		WITH CVC	With CVDS,#/dtabetes	tes	[Without CVD#/diabetes	CVD#/dia	betes
ылу аколом пламы, 1990	° X	1990 MMSE§ score	Mean	(Sas)	Error ratio	95% CI§	≪26 MMSE \$6019 (%)	ЯЮ	86% CI	No.	AMSE 9006	5	95% CI	Ö	MMSE \$26 (%)	5	95% CI
None	117	8	4.6	(3.7)	1.0		41.0	1.0		57	56.1	1.0		8	26.7	1.0	
Drinks																	
₽	218	27	3.7	(2.5)	0.8	0.71.0	33.0	0.8	0.5-1.3	76	31.6	0.3	0.2-0.7	142	33.8	33.8 1.8**	0.8-3.8
1-2	122	27	3.6	(5.6)	0.8	0.7-1.0	22.9	0.5	0.3-0.9	49	16.3	0.2	0.1-0.4	73	27.4	1.3**	0.6-3.0
23	21	27	3.6	(2.4)	0.9	0.7-1.2	19.0*	0.5	0.2-1.7	S	20.2*	0.2	0.0-2.3	16	18.7 1	1.2	0.3-5.4
• p < 0.01 by chi-square for the relation of alcohol intake to poor cognitive function; ** p < 0.001 for the interaction between presence of CVD/diabetes and category of alcohol intake vs. no alcohol intake.	ni-square intake.	for the r	elation of	alcohol i	intake to j	ooor cognitiv	e function) > d ** !	0.001 for the	interactic	on betwee	n preser	nce of CVD/c	liabetes	and cat	tegory c	f alcohol
T Excludes 12 people who reported changing their accord intake by two categories between the 1955 and 1990 surveys. MMSF extra of 26 in 1990 OBs (adjingted for exe adjingtion and emoking status) and 05%. Ols are for montive mod filmetion	elopte wi vf ∕26 in		a chang a (adius)	hed for an	alconol in adura	take by two find	categones vking eten	BBTWBB	ПШ 619402 - El 1547. С 16 arra 1		surveys. .e mod fi						

+∽**-** == #

MMSE score of <26 in 1990. ORs (adjusted for age, education, and smoking status) and 95% Cls are for poor vs. good function. MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviation; Cl, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. (30 points – achieved score) on the MMSE in 1990. Ratio of erroneous answers given on the MMSE in the index group vs. the reference group adjusted for age, education, and alcohol intake. CVD indudes cerebral vascular accident, transient ischemic attack, myocardial interction, intermittent claudication, and angina pectoris.

•

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/aje/article/143/3/219/77921 by guest on 21 August 2022

ers. However, the difference in effect between strata is primarily due to the difference between the abstainers; 56.1 percent of those with CVD/diabetes scored <25 on the MMSE compared with 26.7 percent in the group without disease. Control for hypertension, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, or fibrinogen did not materially change the estimates.

The effect of smoking on cognitive decline was modified by the presence of CVD/diabetes (table 4). In each category of smoking, those with CVD/diabetes had a larger decline in the MMSE than those without CVD; those without CVD/diabetes experienced little change in cognitive function (-0.04-point decline to 0.24-point improvement). Among those with CVD, never smokers experienced a significantly greater decline (p < 0.05) in function than former smokers and a similar magnitude of decline as current smokers.

There was no difference in the amount of change in the MMSE score by number of alcohol drinks per day and no evidence that the presence of CVD/diabetes modified this relation (table 4). Only those with CVD/ diabetes who reported less than one drink per day experienced a significant decline in the MMSE score.

DISCUSSION

We studied the relation of smoking and drinking habits to cognitive function in a sample of very old men (mean age 75 \pm 5 years) participating in the longitudinal Zutphen Elderly Study. The median MMSE score of 27 is similar to that reported for other randomly selected population-based samples of the same age (38, 39). Data on cognitive decline in a population-based sample are scarce. The 3-year decline of -0.30 (2.5 standard deviation) is less than the -1.3 points reported for an older community-based sample (40) and less than the 1-point annual rate of decline reported for clinic populations of Alzheimer's disease patients with an initial score of 25 on the MMSE (41). The magnitude of decline in this study may be somewhat underestimated because the first MMSE was administered in the research center and the second, at home where participants may have felt more at ease.

The relation of smoking and drinking to performance on various cognitive tests has been examined with cohorts living in Framingham (20), East Boston (21, 42), and rural France (22). None of these studies reported consistent relations of cognitive function to smoking or alcohol intake in cross-sectional or longitudinal analyses. These studies did not examine how the relation of alcohol and smoking to cognitive change may be moderated or mediated by the presence of cardiovascular disease and risk factors. Using data from three visits, we found no selective participation in the 1990 survey according to reported behavior in 1985. However, compared with the 1990 participants, 1993 participants scored better on the 1990 MMSE and included proportionately fewer current smokers and abstainers.

In the cross-sectional analyses, low-to-moderate alcohol intake was moderately protective against poor cognitive function, particularly among those with CVD/diabetes. Cognitive decline was not associated with alcohol intake. The difference between these two analyses could arise for the following reasons. First, alcohol may provide short-term protection against cerebral lesions and consequently cognitive impairment, particularly in those with CVD/diabetes (43). A reduction in intake over the 3 years could reduce this acute alcohol effect. Second, the cross-sectional findings could reflect the effects of relatively poor health status among the abstainers. Between 1985 and 1990, abstainers had a higher rate of mortality; and in 1990, they had more prevalent CVD/diabetes. Furthermore, the effect modification of CVD/diabetes resulted mainly from differences between the abstainers; perhaps the abstainers had more severe disease than those taking alcohol. In addition, abstainers with relatively poor cognitive function did not participate in 1993, which minimizes the differences from those taking alcohol. These findings should be examined in another setting, particularly in light of other issues discussed below, which should be taken into account when interpreting these results.

Similar to the findings with alcohol intake, there was a difference in the results of the cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of the relation of smoking to cognitive function. There was no significant crosssectional association between smoking and cognitive function; in the longitudinal analysis, both never smokers and current smokers with CVD/diabetes experienced significant cognitive decline. As with the alcohol abstainers, there was some evidence that never smokers were more ill than those who continued to smoke. The hypothesis that smoking is harmful could explain why there was no difference in cognitive function in the cross-sectional analysis. More illness could also explain why never smokers declined significantly in cognitive function. This finding probably does not represent a protective effect of smoking since the decline was significant only in those with CVD/diabetes and current smokers with CVD/diabetes also experienced significant decline. The finding in current smokers is consistent with the hypothesis that individuals who are susceptible to vascular damage are acutely affected by smoking (5, 6). However, other explanations for these findings should be considered

					Change In cog	philve funct	on between	Change in cognitive function between 1990 and 1993			
					ī		Ä	Adjusted change			
	_	crute crange	D		Total		With CVD‡,§/dlabetes	§/dlabetes		Without CVD§/dabetes	/dtabetes
	Ŷ	Mean	(‡gs)	Mean	95% CI‡	No.	Mean	96% CI	Ч.	Mean	95% CI
Total	333	-0.3	(2.5)								
Cigarette smoking status, 1990											
Never	89	-0.9	(2.7)	-0.8	-1.3 to -0.2	25	-1.9	-2.9 to -1.0*	4	0.03	-0.6-0.7
Quit ≿10 years ago	152	-0.3	(2.4)	-0.2	-0.5-0.2	ß	-	-1.1-0.2**	8	-0.04	-0.5-0.4
Quit <10 years ago	48	0.1	(2.7)	-0.2	-0.8-0.5	18	-0.4	-1.5-0.7**	8	- 0.02	-0.8-0.7
Current	65	-0.1	(2.4)	-0.3	-0.8-0.3	24	-1.3	-2.3 to -0.3***	41	0.2	-0.4-0.9
Daily alcohol intake. 1990											
None Drinks	17	-0.2	(2.5)	-0.2	-0.7-0.4	31	-0.1	-1.1-0.8	40	- 0.2	-0.9-0.5
•	156	-0.4	(2.6)	-0.4	-0.8 to -0.01	51	-1.4	-2.1 to -0.6*,**	105	0.1	-0.3-0.5
1-2	87	-0.3	(2.3)	-0.3	-0.8-0.3	ន	10.8	-1.8-0.1	2	-0.04	-0.6-0.6
≥3	16	0.5	(2.0)	0.3	-0.9-1.6	e	-0.7	-3.8-2.3	13	0.9	-0.4-2.1

before drawing conclusions regarding the long- and short-term effects of smoking on cognitive function.

Eighteen percent of the men with complete data reported never smoking; most men reported quitting smoking over 10 years ago, and those who continued smoked relatively little. Smoking is related to coronary events and death (24). If the men lost to smokingrelated illness were also at risk for cognitive impairment, this would diminish any relation between the two that may have existed (44). Furthermore, never smokers might represent a special group, inasmuch as smoking was probably a norm for this cohort. Characteristics related to survival as well as to the adoption, continuation, and eventual cessation of smoking may represent unmeasured confounders. If these characteristics are associated with atherosclerosis and resulting cognitive impairment, or if they are related to cognitive impairment in some other way, then our estimates would be biased (44). Such unmeasured confounders could also affect the association of alcohol intake to cognitive function. Specific examples of unmeasured putative confounding could include genetic susceptibility (8, 45), disease severity, previous life style, depression (38), and possibly use of some types of medications (46, 47).

Because of the difficulties in measuring smoking behavior, alcohol intake, and cognitive function (12), misclassification may also bias these findings. However, it is unlikely that the measurement error in the exposure differed systematically with the outcome. We showed that a change in reported behavior was not associated with categories of cognitive function. In addition, the factors leading to error in the measurement of cognitive function, such as the time of testing and the interviewer, are not likely to be related to whether the respondent smoked or consumed alcohol. Regression toward the mean may be a problem in the analysis of cognitive decline given that those who participated in 1993 had a higher median MMSE in 1990 than those who did not participate (48). This selection would have led to an overestimate of decline. However, it is not likely that the regression to the mean differentially affected the results across categories of smoking or drinking, as the baseline values were similar. Finally, insensitivity of the MMSE to change in cognitive function at the upper end of performance also may have affected our ability to detect differences in this cohort. However, because only 4.1 percent of the sample scored the maximum 30 points in 1990, this is not likely to have greatly affected our results.

In addition to bias, other factors should be taken into account when interpreting the results. Separating out the various effects of smoking and alcohol on cogni-

tive function is difficult in practice. Both protective and harmful vascular and nonvascular factors that may acutely or chronically affect cognitive function can be postulated. Even if the effect of these behaviors is studied within groups that are more homogeneous regarding risk, such as we attempted in our stratification analysis, comorbidity exists. For instance, Alzheimer's disease patients also have evidence of vascular lesions (49). In addition, cigarette smoke and alcohol may differentially affect various components of the vascular system; damage to each system has a potentially different effect on cognitive function. In the absence of more detailed information on the underlying pathology, studies of cognitive function can provide an estimate of the mean effect of these factors on cognitive function. These effects may differ from sample to sample, depending on the type of morbidity and comorbidity. The age of the sample may also be an important factor moderating the effect of smoking and drinking on cognitive function, inasmuch as the composition of the sample and their habits may change over time.

In conclusion, moderate alcohol intake appears to be inversely associated with poor cognitive function in a cross-sectional analysis. Current and never smokers experienced a larger decline in cognitive function compared with former smokers. The association of these behaviors with cognitive function and decline was strongest in those with CVD/diabetes. These effects may represent the net effect of both putative protective and harmful effects that alcohol and cigarette smoking could have on cognitive function. However, selection bias and unmeasured confounding should be of concern when evaluating these results.

REFERENCES

- 1. Colditz GA, Bonita R, Stampfer MJ, et al. Cigarette smoking and risk for stroke in middle-aged women. N Engl J Med 1988;318:937-41.
- 2. Shinton R, Beevers G. Meta-analysis of the relation between cigarette smoking and stroke. Br Med J 1989;298:789-94.
- Bonita R, Scragg R, Stewart A, et al. Cigarette smoking and risk for premature stroke in men and women. Br Med J 1986:293:6-8.
- Haapanen A, Koskenvuo M, Kaprio J, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis in identical twins discordant for cigarette smoking. Circulation 1989;80:101-16.
- Rogers RL, Meyer JS, Judd BW, et al. Abstention from cigarette smoking improves cerebral perfusion among elderly chronic smokers. JAMA 1985;253:2970-4.
- Wolf PA, D'Agostino R, Kannel WB, et al. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for stroke: the Framingham Heart Study. JAMA 1988;259:1025-9.
- Graves AB, van Duijn CM, Chandra V, et al. Alcohol and tobacco consumption as risk factors for Alzheimer's disease: a collaborative re-analysis of case-control studies. Int J Epidemiol 1991;20(suppl 2):48-57.
- 8. van Duijn CM, Havekes LM, van Broeckhoven C, et al.

Apolipoprotein E genotype and association between smoking and early onset of Alzheimer's Disease. BMJ 1995;310: 627-31.

- Whitehouse PJ, Martino AM, Wagster MV, et al. Reductions in [³H]nicotinic acetylcholine binding in Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease: an autoradiographic study. Neurology 1988;38:720-3.
- Levin ED. Nicotinic systems and cognitive function. Psychopharmacology 1992;108:417-31.
- 11. Camargo CĂ. Moderate alcohol consumption and stroke: the epidemiologic evidence. Stroke 1989;20:1611-26.
- 12. Gorelick P. The status of alcohol as a risk factor for stroke. Stroke 1989;20:1611-26.
- 13. Landolfi R, Steiner M. Ethanol raises prostacyclin in vivo and in vitro. Blood 1984;64:679-82.
- Gaziano KM, Buring JE, Breslow JL, et al. Moderate alcohol intake, increased levels of high density lipoprotein and its subfractions, and decreased risk of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1829-34.
- 15. Lang WE. Ethyl alcohol enhances plasminogen activator secretion by endothelial cells. JAMA 1983;250:772-6.
- Lofti J, Meyer JS. Cerebral hemodynamic and metabolic effects of chronic alcoholism. Cerebrovasc Brain Metabol Rev 1989;1:2–23.
- Saunders PA, Copeland JRM, Dewey ME, et al. Heavy drinking as a risk factor for depression and dementia in elderly men. Br J Psychiatry 1991;159:213-16.
- Hebert LE, Scherr PA, Beckett LA, et al. Relation of smoking and alcohol consumption to incident Alzheimer's disease. Am J Epidemiol 1992;135:347-55.
- Brenner DE, Kukull WA, van Belle G, et al. Relation between cigarette smoking and Alzheimer's disease in a populationbased case-control study. Neurology 1993;43:293–300.
- Farmer ME, White LR, Abbott, RD, et al. Blood pressure and cognitive performance: the Framingham Study. Am J Epidemiol 1987;126:1103–14.
- Scherr PA, Albert MS, Funkenstein HH, et al. Correlates of cognitive function in an elderly community population. Am J Epidemiol 1988;128:1084-1101.
- 22. Letenneur L, Dartigues J-F, Commenges D, et al. Tobacco consumption and cognitive impairment in elderly people: a population-based study. Ann Epidemiol 1994;4:449-54.
- 23. van Buchem FSP, Dalderup LM. The town of Zutphen, the Netherlands. In: Keys A, Aravanis C, Blackburn HW, eds. Epidemiological studies related to coronary heart disease: characteristics of men aged 40-59 in seven countries. Acta Med Scand Suppl 1966;460:191-208.
- 24. Keys A. Seven countries: a multivariate analysis of death and coronary heart disease. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1980:136-60.
- Folstein M, Folstein S, McHugh PR. "Mini-Mental State": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975;12;189-98.
- Feher EP, Mahurin RK, Doody RS, et al. Establishing the limits of Mini-Mental State. Arch Neurol 1992;49:87-92.
 Fillenbaum GG, George LK, Blazer DG. Scoring nonresponse
- Fillenbaum GG, George LK, Blazer DG. Scoring nonresponse on the Mini-Mental State Examination. Psychol Med 1988; 18:1023-5.
- Bloemberg BPM, Kromhout D, Oberman-de Boer GL, et al. The reproducibility of dietary intake data assessed with crosscheck dietary history method. Am J Epidemiol 1989;130: 1047-56.

- 29. Rose GA, Blackburn H. Cardiovascular survey methods. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1988.
- West KM. Epidemiology of diabetes and its vascular lesions. New York: Elsevier, 1978.
- 31. Kalmijn S, Feskens E, Launer LJ, et al. Glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinaemia and cognitive function in a general population of elderly men. Diabetologia 1995 38:1096-1102.
- Warnick GR, Benderson J, Albers JJ. Dextran sulfate-Mg² precipitation procedure for quantification of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Clin Chem 1982;28:1379-88.
- Clauss A. Gerinnungphysiologische Schnellmethode zur Bestimmung des Fibrinogens (in German). Acta Hematol 1957;17:237-46.
- 34. Royall RM. Model robust confidence intervals using maximum likelihood estimators. Int Stat Rev 1986;54:221-6.
- 35. Launer LJ. An overview of incidence studies of dementia conducted in Europe. Neuroepidemiology 1992;11(suppl 1): 2-13.
- 36. Siu AL. Screening for dementia and investigating its causes. Ann Int Med 1991;115;122-32.
- SAS Institute, Inc. SAS/STAT user's guide, release 6.09. Cary NC: SAS Institute, Inc., 1993.
- Launer LJ, Dinkgreve MHM, Jonker C, et al. Are age and education independent correlates of the Mini-Mental State Exam performance of community-dwelling elderly? J Gerontol 1993;48:P271-7.
- Breteler MMB, Claus JJ, Grobbee DE, et al. Cardiovascular disease and distribution of cognitive function in elderly people: the Rotterdam Study. BMJ 1994;308;1604-8.
- Brayne C, Gill C, Paykei ÉS, et al. Cognitive decline in an elderly population—a two wave study of change. Psych Med (in press).
- Morris JC, Edland S, Clear C, et al. The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part IV. Rates of cognitive change in the longitudinal assessment of probable Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 1993;43: 2457-65.
- 42. Hebert LE, Scherr PA, Beckett LA, et al. Relation of smoking and low-to-moderate alcohol consumption to change in cognitive function: a longitudinal study in a defined community of older persons. Am J Epidemiol 1993;8:881–91.
- Moncada S, Radomski MW. The problems and the promise of prostaglandin influences in atherogenesis. Ann NY Acad Sci 1985;454:121-30.
- Robbins J. The control of confounding by intermediate variables. Stat Med 1989;8:679-701.
- Riggs JE. Smoking and Alzheimer's disease: protective effect or differential survival bias? (Viewpoint). Lancet 1993;342: 793-4.
- 46. Andersen K, Launer LJ, Ott A, et al. Do nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs decrease the risk for Alzheimer's disease? The Rotterdam Study. Neurology 1995;45:1441-5.
- Bulpitt CJ, Fletcher AE. Cognitive function and angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors in comparison with other antihypertensive drugs. J Cardiovas Pharm 1992;19(suppl 6): S100-4.
- Hannan PJ, Jacobs DR Jr, McGovern P, et al. Estimating the effect of regression toward the mean under stochastic censoring. Am J Epidemiol 1994;139;422–31.
- Blass JP. Pathophysiology of the Alzheimer's syndrome. Neurology 1993;43(suppl 4):S25-38.