DOCUMBNT RESUME

BD 142 299	PS 009 407
AUTHOR	Nucci, Larry; Turiel, Elliot
TITLE	Social Interactions and the Development of Social Concepts in Pre-School Children.
PUB DATE	Apr 76
NOTE	24p.; Paper presented at the Western Psychological Association Convention (Los Angeles, California, April 8-11, 1976); Tables have been filmed from best available copy
EDRS PRICE	MP-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	*Classroom Observation Techniques; *Concept Formation; Justice; *Noral Development; *Peer Relationship: *Preschool Children; Preschool Education; Socialization; Social Relations; *Teacher Role
IDENTIFIERS	Kohlberg (Lawrence); Piaget (Jean)

ABSTRACT

This study investigated social interactions and the development of social concepts in preschool children in terms of two conceptual domains, the moral and the societal. The former has to do with concepts of justice and fairness, the latter with social conventions, rules and social organization. Subjects were children 3 to 5 years of age enrolled in ten preschools. Preliminary results include data from observations made at four of these schools. A total of 98 events that involved social conventional or moral transgressions were observed and rated. The data suggests that essential information regarding the injustice of the act is directly available to the actor through the nature of the victims' response. It thus becomes possible for the very young child to construct conceptions of morality. Social connections, however, cannot be discussed in terms of personal loss but rather in relation to the social order. Responses to moral transgressions appear to be qualitatively different from those made to violations of social conventions. It is argued that since there is no difference in intensity of adult responses to moral or social conventional transgressions, the intensity of adult responses cannot be viewed as a salient variable to the child. The data seens to support Plaget's suggestion that it is the peer interactions which are the more salient events in the development of the child's moral reasoning. (HS)

E0142299

US DEPARTMENT OF NEALTH, EDUCATION & NELFARE MATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REFRO. DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PEASON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATEO DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Social Interactions and the Development

cf Social Concepts in Pre-School Children

Larry Nucci University of California, Santa Cress College of Education University of Illinois at Chicago Circle and

Elliot Turiel University of California, Santa Cruz

anđ

Institute of Human Development University of California, Berkeley

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PS 009407

Paper presented at the Western Psychological Association Convention, Los Angeles, California, April 10, 1976.

We wish to express our appreciation to Jan Fried and Maria Santiago for their work on this project.

Social Interactions and the Development of Social Concepts in Pre-School Children

In this paper we discuss preliminary results from observational research examining one aspect of the social experiences which contribute to the pre-school child's construction of social normative concepts. The research to be presented was conducted within the framework of a model of social develogment recently proposed by Turiel (1976). In this model, which is outlined in Table 1, Turiel makes a distinction between the individual's concepts and the individual's methods of obtaining information about the social environment. The conceptual frameworks differ from the methods of information gathering. The concepts are ways of structuring the social environment and making inferences about it. The methods are ways of gathering information or data from the environment. Included as methods are observation, communication, imitation, and role taking.

In this model Turiel also distinguishes between three distinct domains of social concepts. These are the moral (justice), the societal (social groupings, social systems and social organizations), and the psychological (attributes of the person and causes of behavior). The developmental basis for the proposition that there exist distinct conceptual domains is the hypothesis that concepts are constructed out of the child's interactions with the environment (Turiel, 1975). A corollary hypothesis is that the types of conceptual frameworks constructed by the child would be influenced by the nature of the environment.

The present research dealt with two of the proposed conceptual domains-the moral and societal. Within this theoretical framework morality is differentiated from concepts of society. One central aspect of social systems is their normative regulation as reflected in custom and convention.



The hypothesis is, therefore, that moral concepts are distinct from concepts about conventions. This implies a narrow definition of morality as justice or fairness. The proposition is that children develop concepts of fairness which apply to a relatively narrow range of issues--such as the value of life, physical and psychological harm to others, trust and responsibility. Concepts of this class of issues are structured by the underlying conceptualization of justice.

2

Social conventions are included within a separate conceptual domain concerned with different and broader aspects of culture and social organization. These conceptions deal with normative regulation of such issues as family patterns, forms of address, manners, dress codes, sex-roles, sexual mores, and national or religious order and regulation. Concepts about this class of issues are structured by the underlying conceptualization of social organization.

The distinction between morality and convention <u>has been</u> made by Max Weber, in <u>Dis</u> analyses of social organization. Weber identified three categories of social actions: custom, convention and ethics. Weber used the term custom to refer to actions which are performed with some regularity, but which do not serve a social organizational function and thus are readily alterable. Consequently, customs are not regulated by external sanctions: "Today it is customary every morning to eat a breakfast which, within limits, conforms to a certain pattern. But there is no obligation to do so" (Weber, 1922, p. 122). In contrast, conventions are a significant aspect of the "legitimate order" of social organization and are regulated by sanctions:

The term convention will be employed to designate that part of the custom followed within a given social group



which is recognized as 'binding' and protected against violation by sanctions of disapproval. . . . Conformity with convention in such matters of the usual forms of greeting, the mode of dress recognized as appropriate or respectable, and various of the rules governing the restrictions on social intercourse, both in form and in content, is very definitely expected of the individual and regarded as binding on him. (pp. 127-128) 3

In turn, the conventional is distinct from the ethical:

Every system of ethics which has in a sociological sense become validly established is likely to be upheld to a large extent by the probability that disapproval will result in its violation, that is, by convention. On the other hand, it is by no means necessary that all conventionally or legally guaranteed forms of order should claim the authority of ethical norms. (p. 130)

This distinction between morality and convention, however, is <u>not one</u> which is generally made by investigators of moral development. Durkheim (1924, 1925), for example, defined morality as respect for society and adherence to the authority, more, and rules of the collective system. From this viewpoint moral development is seen as a process of enculturation or socialization of the child by caretakers, such as parents or teachers. In viewing all social behaviors and values as the incorporation of externally determined and imposed content theorists such as Durkheim make no conceptual distinctions among different social behaviors. That is, the proposed two categories of moral and conventional are treated as one category. More contemporary examples of this approach can be seen in the work of Aronfreed (1968), and Hogan (1973), among others.

Alternatively there are theorists who maintain that moral development is not internalization, but the construction of universal judgments of right and wrong or good or bad. In this case, it is presumed that moral judgments of right and wrong may apply to any form of social behavior. Consequently the conventional is treated as a sub-class of the moral. An



example of this approach can be seen in the early work of Piage: (1932) on children's moral judgments. Unlike Durkheim, Piaget viewed moral development as progressing toward individual autonomy and mutual respect among equals--which represents the triumph of principle over convention or justice over society. In that formulation, convention is viewed as an inadequate form of morality.

More recently, Kohlberg (1969) has provided descriptions of moral judgment development that are somewhat different from Piaget's descriptions. However, Kohlberg's descriptions also fail to distinguish between moral and societal domains. In Kohlberg's scheme it takes more years and more stages than in Piaget's, but nevertheless, convention is defined as an inadequate form of morality and development is seen as progressing toward the triumph of justice over society.

There is some evidence in support of the proposed distinction between convention and morality. For instance, a recent study we conducted asked subjects from 6 to 17 years of age to rate rules pertaining to moral and conventional issues. The findings were that subjects at each of these ages discriminated between the two types of rules (Turiel 1976). Furthermore, interview studies of children and adolescents have shown that the form of social conventional reasoning changes with age (Turiel 1975, 1976).

The research to be discussed here dealt with younger children--3 to 5 year olds--and with responses to transgressions in a naturalistic setting. The hypothesis underlying the research was that the child forms distinct conceptual frameworks out of different types of social interactions. We assumed that the child's concepts of the social world are not of one kind, but that at a relatively young age, children start to form different conceptual frameworks and thereby discriminate between the moral and

6

societal domains. It is hypothesized that certain types of experiences lead to concepts about convention and other types of experiences lead to moral concepts. The types of social interactions that are likely to generate justice conceptions would include those situations that entail the infliction of physical or psychological harm by one person upon another. For instance, young children deal with conflicts revolving around children hitting each other or taking possessions from each other. In contrast, social experiences likely to generate concepts concerning social conventions would include situations related to social order and regularity.

5

The present study marks our initial attempts (a) to gather observational data in support of this developmental model and (b) to identify the different types of social interactions experienced by the young child. The aim was to define the nature of the responses mode by adults or other children to a child's social transgressions. It is our hypothesis that responses made to moral transgressions would come more often from peers than from adults and that responses to moral transgressions would focis on the personal loss or injury to the victim of the action and to the attendant feelings and emotional states. In contrast, responses to transgressions of social conventions would most often come from adults and that these responses would consist largely of commands and rule statements intended to generate behavior within the prescribed social order.

<u>Method</u>

Our investigation wasconducted at ten pre-schools in the Santa Cruz area. The schools were chosen in order to maximize the variance in class size, social class of the children, and the training and attitudes of the teachers.

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Two 90-minute observation periods were conducted at each school. A pair of investigators was used: ore served as the observer and the other as an interviewer. The observer's function was to tape record a . descriptive narrative of events comprising any observed social transgression and to rate the responses to the transgression on a standard checklist. Descriptions of the major categories comprising the checklist are included in Table 3. At the same time, the second investigator interviewed a child from the pre-school who had observed the event. The child was questioned about his or her conception of the nature of the event. The interview was based on one developed by Turiel (1975) and had as its main objective the determination of the child's perception of the transgression as a moral or social conventional act. The child was considered to have interpreted an act as moral if his statements indicated that the transgressor's action was wrong regardless of the presence or absence of a social rule, and social conventional if the child perceived the act as wrong only if a rule concerning the act was in effect.

6

The next step was for a trained judge to rate the transcribed descriptions of the observed events. The judge had not served as an observer or interviewer and did not know the hypothesis of the study. An event was scored as moral if it resulted in an injustice: personal injury, loss, breach of promise, etc. An act was scored as social conventional if it violated the social order: creating a mess, improper manners, engaging in an activity not appropriate to a given area of the school (e.g., jumping rope indoors, etc). Then the judge's ratings of the event descriptions were compared with the children's assessment of the events in those cases in which interViews were conducted. Judge's ratings of events as being oral or social conventional based on the observer's



descriptions agree with the classification of events as being moral or social conventional based on the interviews of children in 43 of the 46 events in which children were interviewed.

7

Results

These preliminary results include data from the first four schools in which observations were conducted. A total of 98 observed events are reported: 50 of which are social conventional and 48 moral. Since these are preliminary results w: can only provide descriptive statistics at this time.

Interjudge reliability for observer ratings of the responses to transgressions observed in 37 events ranged from 72 to 100 percent agreement (summarized in Table 4).

Moral Events

a) Adult Responses:

Table 5 shows that 17.5% of the moral transgressions had an adult as the sole respondent. Most of these instances concerned transgressions against the property of individuals who were not observers of the transgression. In total adults responded to moral transgressions 37.5% of the time. It should be pointed out however, that these figures are based on only three schools since teachers at the Montessori school made no responses to moral transgressions. In 23% of the events adult responses consisted of commands to the transgressor. 54% of the events were scored as rationale personal. 39% of the adult responses included attempts by the adult to get the victim to state his/her feelings to the transgressor. In 13% of the observed moral events adults stated feelings on behalf of the victim. In 85% of the events adult responses to moral transgressions were classified as either rationale personal or as falling within one of the



two categories involving the victim's feelings. In only 15% of the events was a command given as the sole response to the transgression. Responses falling within the remaining adult categories occured in less than 7.5% of the events. Average intensity score for adult response to moral transgressions was 2.42% with scores of above 2 occuring 27.5% of the time.

b) Child Responses:

As can be seen in Table 6, 62.5% of cases classified as moral events had a child as the sole respondent: an additional 20% of the cases had both a child and an adult as a respondent for a total of 82.5% of the moral events involving a child as a respondent. Children responding to motal transgressions were almost always the victims of the transgression. 36% of the responses of these children expressed personal injury or loss. In 67% of the instances children responding to moral transgressions did so in an emotional manner including such behavioral displays as angry commands and outbursts of crying. A third of the time children responded to moral transgressions with physical responses such as hitting the transgressor. The extent to which children sought adult intervention varied somewhat from school to school with a low of zero requests to a hign of 31% of the events including requests for adult involvement.

Social Conventions

a) Adult Responses:

Table 5 also shows that all but 4 of the events classified as social conventional involved an adult as the sole respondent. In all but the Montessori school commands were involved in 50 to 75% of the events. Montessori teachers achieved the same end by responding to 75% of the social conventional transgressions with requests for appropriate behavior. The combined scores for the request and command categories show these responses



10

occuring in 71% of the events classified as social conventions. In 31% of the events either a command or a request for prescribed behavior was the sole adult response. 13% of the events included adult statements directly dealing with classroom order. Statement of rules governing the act occured in 24% of the events. In 11% of events adults made statements regarding negative sanctions for rule transgression. .verage intensity score for adult response to transgressions of social conventions was 1.45; with scores of above 2 occuring 26% of the time.

9

b) Child Responses:

Only 4 events involving social conventions involved a child as the respondent. In 3 of the 4 cases the child was directly imitating the response an adult had made to the transgression.

<u>Discussion</u>

The results from this study are in support of the proposal that young children engage in qualitatively different social interactions that correspond to moral and societal concepts. Results from this study indicate that moral transgressions committed by pre-school children invoke responses from both children and adults which focus upon injury or personal loss incurred by the victims of such acts. In most instances children committing moral transgressions are doing so against one of their peers. Such transgressions result in direct and salient responses which provide immediate information regarding the feeling states of the victim. Adult responses to children's moral transgressions are a combination of commands and requests for appropriate conduct with attendant rationales in terms of the victim's personal injury or loss. In addition, the pre-school teachers we observed sometimes acted as facilitators in peer interactions by encouraging the victims to speak directly to the transgressors regarding the feelings



ĺŁ

generated by the transgressors' acts. It should be noted, however, that adult responses to child-child moral transgressions occured in only 20% of the moral events. Piaget (1932) has suggested that it is the peer interactions which are the more salient events in the development of the child's moral reasoning. Our data indicate that essential information regarding the injustice of the act is directly available to the actor through the nature of the victim's response. It thus becomes possible for the very young child to construct conceptions of morality.

Social conventions, on the other hand, cannot properly be discussed in terms of personal loss, but rather in relation to the social order. Results from this preliminary research indicate that it is the adult members of society who are the principle respondents to the young child's transgressions of conventions. In large part these responses consist of commands or indirect commands to the child to refrain from norm violating behavior or to direct the child to engage in socially prescribed acts. Often the child is given a statement of the rule governing the act. The reasons most often provided to the child for the existence of social conventions center around the maintenance of classroom order. However, such reasons were provided infrequently. The child's compliance with the social convention was sometimes encouraged through adult statements posing negative sanctions as a result of non-compliance.

To summarize, it is our contention that responses to moral transgressions are qualitatively different from those made to violations of social conventions. On the basis of our findings we can hypothesize that pre-school children construct distinct concepts, which stem from these different types of responses. Since there was no difference in intensity of adult responses to moral or social conventional transgressions, we conclude

12

that the intensity of adult responses cannot be viewed as a salient variable to the child. Social rule violations as well as moral transgressions can evoke strong emotional responses from adults. We offer the following as an example of a teacher's extreme response to a social transgression and ask the reader's pardon if this instance brings back unpleasant memories.

> "Benji MacMaster, give the crayon to me. After school you're going to take some Ajax and clean that up. That's one of our big rules at achool: you don't draw on things. That's really out of the ordinary. That's ridiculous!"

Moral events result in direct responses from peers (victims) who make direct intense verbal and physical statements regarding their displeasure with the transgressor in "erms of their personal loss or injury and attendant emotional states. Adult responses to moral transgressions reiterate those of the victim.

Violations of social conventions result in adult responses consisting primarily of commands to perform socially prescribed acts within the context of social rules intended to maintain social order.



Ê.

-0

References

Aronfreed, J. Conduct and conscience. New York: Academic Press, 1968.

Durkheim, E. (1924) <u>Sociology and philosophy</u>. New York: The Free Press, 1974.

- Durkheim, E. (1925) <u>Moral education</u>. Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1961.
- Hogan, R. Moral conduct and moral character: a psychological perspective. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 1973, <u>79</u>, 217-232.
- Kohlberg, L. Stage and sequence: the cognitive-developmental approach to socialization. In D. Goslin (Ed.), <u>Handbook of Socialization Theory</u> and <u>Resea</u>rch. Chicago: Rand McNally & Co., 1969.
- Piaget, J. The moral judgment of the child, 1932. Glencoe, Illinois: Free Press, 1948.
- Turiel, E. Conflict and transition in adolescent moral development. <u>Child</u> <u>Development</u>, 1974, <u>45</u>, 14-29.
- Turiel, E. The development of social concepts. Mores, customs and conventions. In D. J. DePalma and J. M. Foley (eds.), <u>Moral</u> <u>development: Current theory and research</u>. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Laurence Erlbaum Associates, 1975.
- Turiel, E. Concepts of society in children and adolescents. Paper presented at the Western Regional Conference of the Society for Research in Child Development, Emeryville, California, April 3, 1976.
- Weber, M. (1922) The theory of social and economic organization. Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1947.



Late :

Substitutions of Social Domains (Periol, 1976)

-

	Conceptual (rame forks (Coralis)	Verhods of Jocial Information Cathering
1) (4221) In	stico; Teirness	Observation Otherunication
	Al Stal (Intipuigs Sal System and organization Surversion and Sustan	Jole - Widag Lucation
a) – Psycho Vr	scenali Seed as it cho putton (usif Life a stal	

۰.



.

<u> 7 A H L 2 2</u>

Procedure

Τ.

a) Investigator 1 observes event, haps repeated a descriptive marrative of the ovent and rates the event on observation checklist. b) Investigator 2 interviews a child in the classroom (who has also seen the event) regarding the nature of the events

a)Judge 1 (trained adult) rates investigator' 1's transcribed descriptions of the observed events as moral or conventional b)Judge 2 (trained adult) scores transcribed interviews of child interpretations of " observed events as moral or conventional

(Judges have not served as observers or interviewercs)

213 3 2

Category Definitions

Injury Statement - Any statement indicating pain. Anjury to purson or property.

and shaled at loss-paramal space, property , als .

Example: _) "That hurts."

- 2) "That's nine."
- 3) "Cive it back."
- 4) "Stop hitting me."
 - 5) "You hurt my Teelings." ----

Emotional Statement - Any statement given with intense affect or any statement indicating emotional state.

Example: i) Out

٠.

- 2) "You make me madi"
- 3) "I'm gonna kill you!"
- *) "I'm really sad."
- 5% Crying, etc.

Disorder Statement - Indication that behavior is creating a mass, disorder

or chaos.

Example: 1) "It's too noisy in here."

2) "You're getting crumbs all over the floor.

3) "You're making a mess."

Rule Statement - Any statements specifying the rule governing the action.

Exuple: _) We're not allowed to hit at school."

🎻 "It's clean-up times"

"You've not allowed to sat in the plock area."

Reading Statement And Statement Indianaly and a reader to a statement and statements

1

Example: 1) We can't have seach until We sting up."

 "If you do that one comp time you're noing to be punished," etc. Rationale Personal - Reason for the rule given in terms of personal needs,

Example: 1) "You shouldn't hit because you hurt people "

2) "You should share so that everyone can have some." Rationals Order - Reason for the rule given in terms of order

Examples 1) 'You shouldn't eat in the block area because it ankes a mess,"

2) "If you yell inside things becare all confused " etc. Conformity Statement - Everyone should be doing the same thing. Any statement which attempts to compare the individuals behavior with that of others.

Example: 1) "Look how nice everyone is sitting at their oppose" 2) "Suzie, key to share and be nice like everyone size," Chaland - A clear statement to do or cease from doing a mething without

a statement of rule.

1.00

and the second states a

Example: 1) 'Get down from there!

- 2) Stop that'
- J) Disrybody close col[®]

i channel any star what indicating of thrifedian here a be over got thracks in terms of the bounder press and that

Complex (1) (1)6 Blick 10 Constant Constant

implies-----fille datet ()

involve Adult - Any request for teacher intervention, or any statement by a child to an adult describing the misbehavior of another, or any threat to tell the teacher of another's misbehavior.

Example: 1) "I'm telling teacher."

SAU ARADA SARAHAN

- 2) "Teacher, Johnny won't let Frank blay with the blocks."
- "Teacher, Suzie's wrecking my picture; tell her to stop it."

Statement Made in Adult Role - Child makes a rule statement in the context of an adult role fantasy.

Example: Girl pretending to be the teacher says, "Joe, I told you to clean up."

Reward Any "if-then" statement which promises a positive outcome if the behavior is enacted.

Example: 1) "If everybody is quiet we can all have a cookis."

2) "If you apologize to John, you can play outside." Physical Response - Any physical act taken by an adult or child toward

the rule viola or,

Examples: Hitting, tickling, shaking, pubsing, hugging, stc.

Ask Transgressor to "Use Words" - When teacher attempts to settle dispute by telling rule violator to use words rather than engaging in physical acts.

Example: Child A taking toy from child B. Teacher responder "A. plasse tell B you would like to play son, and get all if he will share with you "

3

it fior a consistence in the second sec

2.

Sente Featings for Victim - Teacher tells transcressor how it hous is be the victim of the act.

Septole A takes toy from B. Tendner, "A. B is roully upset it makes him engry when you rule his to into.

lesponse intrasity Racinge

1.1

- where prepared to transmostion in a martab of them to a diwhere at normal conversational fevel.
- 2 mones motomises wild who space is institution (ultimate in a space is satisfied and get
- 3. the responds were upper or levaled ended on the restricts of r. The respondence of the second second



 $\mathbf{20}$

Tib o 4

CH - . stossori Jahool

and the second second states and the second second

- CD UCOD Sampus Day Care Centur
- 13 Community Funded Day Dare Venter

0 - Private deseschool Throage decond limits

Total Sumper of Svenza

Lioral		Social Convention	Tours,		
CH	11	13	14		
CD	12	*3	14		
1.7	12	25	77		
<u>ç</u>	5	7	<u>i2</u>		
Total	40	58	92		

Interjudge Reliability (37 events)

•	terreter aftract	2611 ÷	
Category	Chlid	Alen Lt	B-01182-1
Comand	91		
Request	>	87	
Rule Statement	56.2	105	
Rationale Farsonal		12	
Order Scategent	œ,	- 5	
Nagriorul Peoponse	9)	1	
itatement of injury of 1983	72		
Chysical Reponse	59		
Jak Vieturs to State Petings	\$	1 10	
State Paelings for Victors		1 .523	
Latenaity of Recommend	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Persent Agreement

Table 3

ь.

____-

	Le 💈				•
Aault A Lat	1		N 2. 1	ta untur	2. F 🐇
Citegory				1	1, E1
Compand		Jan . A James	1 2		
Request			: 5		7
Request & Command Only	جه. 1	3.8	:6		15
Rationale Personal		50	59	<u>io</u> [53
Ask Victim to State Fuelings	•		50	10	-19
State Feelings for Victia	-	30	-	4.	* 5
Rule Statement	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1	16		
Rationale Personal					85
S Events Responded to by an Acult Only				:7.)	

Social Conventional Social Conventional Social System States States States States					
Category	CH	11	1.1	127 - Sant	tal
Comane		50		1.5	
Request	73	20	27		<u>j0</u>
Request & Command	75	70	50	. 13	71
Request & Contand Only	25	:6			
Rule Statement		L			
Grie- Statement		<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	
Sanction Stationent	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	<u></u>	1.9	i y man kun) apprendente me

	<u> </u>	* 172399_ [3*5.11]3/
	an Saspon s e	Incensity Decespensis 2 and Lights
Son.1	1.42	and a second second The second se The second s The second se The second
Statet for - Man tillat		c ;

ERIC Pruit East Provided Ery Eric

 $\mathbf{22}$

Teriè 5 Child Prapanser 2013

and the provide the state of the second s

A of events in which the smap lowerst

Category	SK .	CD		5	Total
Compand	64	2	<u>;</u> ;0	10	37
Command Only		10			3
Statement of Injury or Personal Less	22	57	},d≱	.	35
Exotional Statement	54	70	67	٤7	\$?
Physical Response	21	50	41. 41. 41. 4	30	30
Luvolve Agult		30	37	4	<u>±3</u>

Summary

Preliminary findings are reported from a study conducted within a model of social development proposing that children's conceptions of morality and social convention are constructed within distinct conceptual domains, and that these constructions arise from qualitatively differing social interactions. This study hypothesized that responses to moral transgressions would differ qualitatively from responses to transgressions of socialconvention.

Observations were conducted at ten pre-schools. A pair of investigators was used. One served as observer. This person tape recorded a narrative description of each event, and recorded responses to transgressions on a standard checklist. The second investigator interviewed a child who had observed the event. On the basis of these interviews and the observer's description events were classified as moral or social-conventional.

Findings indicated that moral events result in direct responses from peers (victims) who make direct intense verbal and physical statements regarding their displeasure with the transgressor in terms of their personal loss or injury and attendant emotional states. Adult responses to moral transgressions reiterate those of the victim.

Violations of social conventions result in adult responses consisting primarily of commands to perform socially prescribed acts within the context of social rules intended to maintain social order.