

**SOCIAL MEDIA ENGAGEMENT BEHAVIOUR:  
A USES AND GRATIFICATIONS PERSPECTIVE**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment  
of the requirement for the degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

By

**Rebecca Marie Dolan, B.Com. (Hons)**

School of Marketing and Management  
Adelaide Business School  
University of Adelaide

**2015**

# Table of Contents

<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>Publications</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Key Terms, Definitions and Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>xvi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background to the Research.....	1
1.2 Research Problem and Propositions .....	1
1.3 Justification for the Research .....	4
1.4 Research Context.....	8
1.5 Research Method.....	10
1.6 Delimitation and Scope of the Thesis.....	11
1.7 Outline of the Thesis .....	12
1.8 Chapter Summary.....	14
<b>CHAPTER 2. Literature Review</b> .....	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	15
2.2 Social Media.....	15
2.2.1 Social Media Definitions .....	16
2.2.2 Types of Social Media .....	19
2.2.3 Social Networking Sites.....	20
2.3 Uses and Gratifications Theory .....	24
2.3.1 Internet Uses and Gratifications.....	26
2.3.2 Social Media Gratifications .....	27
2.4 Customer Engagement .....	35
2.4.1 Customer Engagement Theoretical Foundations .....	36
2.4.2 Engagement Conceptualisation.....	37
2.4.3 Customer Engagement Related Concepts, Antecedents and Consequences...44	
2.4.4 Dimensions of Customer Engagement.....	51
2.4.5 Customer Engagement Behaviour .....	51
2.5 Chapter Summary.....	53

<b>CHAPTER 3. Social Media Engagement Behaviour .....</b>	<b>54</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	54
3.2 Social Media Engagement Behaviour .....	55
3.2.1 Definition of Social Media Engagement Behaviour .....	55
3.2.2 Social Media Engagement Behaviour Intensity .....	55
3.2.3 Social Media Engagement Behaviour Valence.....	56
3.2.4 Social Media Engagement Behaviour Construct .....	57
3.2.5 Social Media Engagement Behaviour Typologies.....	62
3.3 Chapter Summary.....	71
<b>CHAPTER 4. Conceptual Model Development .....</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	73
4.2 The Conceptual Model .....	73
4.3 Hypotheses .....	75
4.3.1 Informational Content .....	75
4.3.2 Entertaining Content .....	77
4.3.3 Remunerative Content.....	78
4.3.4 Relational Content.....	81
4.3.5 Simultaneous Presence of Social Media Content Categories .....	82
4.3.6 Moderating Variables.....	83
4.4 Chapter Summary.....	88
<b>CHAPTER 5. Research Design .....</b>	<b>90</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	90
5.2 The Research Objective and Questions.....	90
5.3 Philosophical Stance.....	91
5.4 The Research Methods .....	92
5.4.1 Context of the Study .....	92
5.4.2 The Research Design .....	94
5.4.3 Data Collection Sources.....	95
5.4.4 Data Collection .....	96
5.5 Content Analysis .....	100
5.5.1 Defining Content Analysis.....	100
5.5.2 Purpose of Content Analysis.....	101
5.5.3 Content Analysis Process.....	101
5.6 Descriptive Results.....	121

5.6.1 Social Media Content.....	121
5.6.2 Social Media Engagement Behaviour.....	123
5.6.3 Moderating Variables.....	125
5.6.4 Control Variables .....	126
5.7 Hypothesis Testing.....	129
5.7.1 Binary Logistic Regression.....	129
5.7.2 Process Analysis .....	131
5.8 Chapter Summary.....	133
<b>CHAPTER 6. Results.....</b>	<b>135</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	135
6.2 Social Media Content .....	135
6.2.1 Informational Content Presence.....	136
6.2.2 Informational Content Level.....	145
6.2.3 Entertaining Content Presence .....	148
6.2.4 Entertaining Content Level .....	155
6.2.5 Remunerative Content Presence .....	157
6.2.6 Remunerative Content Level .....	166
6.2.7 Relational Content Presence .....	168
6.2.8 Relational Content Level .....	176
6.2.9 Social Media Content Presence Summary .....	178
6.2.10 Social Media Content Level Summary .....	180
6.3 Interaction Effects .....	182
6.3.1 Informational and Entertaining Content Interaction .....	184
6.3.2 Informational and Relational Content Interaction .....	186
6.3.3 Informational and Remunerative Content Interaction .....	187
6.3.4 Entertaining and Remunerative Content Interaction.....	187
6.3.5 Entertaining and Relational Content Interactions .....	188
6.3.6 Relational and Remunerative Content Interaction .....	189
6.3.7 Interaction Effects Summary .....	190
6.4 Moderation .....	191
6.4.1 Hayes PROCESS Moderation Model with Three Category Moderator .....	192
6.4.2 Media Richness .....	192
6.4.3 Congruity .....	197
6.4.4 Community Size.....	206

6.4.5 Moderation Effect Summary .....	218
6.5 Summary of Results .....	220
6.6 Chapter Summary.....	221
<b>CHAPTER 7. Discussion and Conclusion.....</b>	<b>222</b>
7.1 Introduction .....	222
7.2 Contributions of the Research .....	222
7.2.1 Development of the SMEB Construct .....	222
7.2.2 Application of the UGT Perspective to Engagement.....	225
7.2.3 Establishment of the Relationship between Social Media Content and SMEB.....	227
7.2.4 Social Media Data Analytics.....	230
7.3 Limitations.....	232
7.4 Directions for Future Research.....	235
7.4.1 User Progression through SMEB.....	235
7.4.2 Identification of Further Antecedents to SMEB .....	236
7.4.3 Investigation of SMEB Consequences.....	238
7.4.4 Incorporation of the Three Dimensional View of Customer Engagement ...	239
7.5 Managerial Implications.....	240
7.5.1 High Level of Dormancy and Low Engagement Rates among Users .....	240
7.5.2 Enhancing Engagement through Strategic Content Design.....	241
7.6 Concluding Thoughts .....	247
<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>249</b>
Appendix A: Email to Participating Wine Brands .....	249
Appendix B: NVivo10 Word Frequency Report.....	250
Appendix C: Word Search Formulas for Post Content Coding .....	254
Appendix D: Kappa Coefficient Calculation .....	265
Appendix E: Binary Logistic Regression Results (Interactions).....	267
<b>References .....</b>	<b>289</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Conceptual Framework.....	2
Figure 3.1 Social Media Engagement Behaviour Construct.....	59
Figure 4.1 Conceptual Model of Social Media Content and Engagement Behaviour.....	74
Figure 5.1 Quantitative Content Analysis Process.....	102
Figure 5.2 PROCESS Model 2 Conceptual Diagram .....	132
Figure 5.3 PROCESS Model 2 Statistical Diagram.....	132
Figure 6.1 A Visual Representation of the Moderation of the Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares) by Richness ...	196
Figure 6.2 Visual Representation of the Moderation of the Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes) By Congruity. ....	201
Figure 6.3 Visual Representation of the Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Creating Behaviour by Congruity. ....	204
Figure 6.4 Visual Representation of the Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes) by Community Size. ....	210
Figure 6.5 A Visual Representation of the Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares) by Community Size.....	213
Figure 6.6 A Visual Representation of the Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Dormant Behaviour by Community Size. ....	216

## List of Tables

Table 2.1 Recent Studies with a UGT Perspective Applied to Online Media Use.....	28
Table 2.2 Customer Engagement Definitions .....	39
Table 2.3 Customer Engagement Conceptual Relationships .....	48
Table 3.1 Positively- and Negatively-Valenced Social Media Engagement Behaviours .....	60
Table 4.1 Hypotheses .....	89
Table 5.1 Facebook Insights and NCapture Data Metrics .....	97
Table 5.2 Brand Profiles .....	98
Table 5.3 Facebook Insights Post Metrics .....	98
Table 5.4 Number of Comments by Brand .....	99
Table 5.5 Social Media Content Categories.....	105
Table 5.6 Informational Content Codes .....	106
Table 5.7 Entertaining Content Codes .....	108
Table 5.8 Remunerative Content Codes .....	109
Table 5.9 Relational Content Codes .....	110
Table 5.10 Social Media Engagement Behaviour Operationalisation .....	112
Table 5.11 Media Richness Operationalisation .....	113
Table 5.12 Congruity Operationalisation.....	114
Table 5.13 Community size operationalization .....	114
Table 5.14 Image Coding Scheme .....	115
Table 5.15 Kappa Value Interpretation.....	120
Table 5.16 Post Content Categories .....	121
Table 5.17 Descriptive Statistics for Social Media Engagement Behaviour .....	124
Table 5.18 Media Richness .....	125
Table 5.19 Congruity .....	125
Table 5.20 Community Size.....	126
Table 5.21 Post Distribution by Week .....	127
Table 5.22 Post Distribution by 12 Months .....	127
Table 5.23 Post Distribution by Hour .....	128
Table 5.24 Independent Variable Coding .....	130
Table 5.25 Dependent Variable Coding.....	130

Table 5.26 Control Variable Coding.....	130
Table 6.1 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Informational Content Presence on Creating Behaviour.....	138
Table 6.2 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Informational Content Presence on Contributing Behaviour (Shares).....	140
Table 6.3 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Informational Content Presence on Contributing Behaviour (Likes).....	141
Table 6.4 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Informational Content Presence on Consuming Behaviour.....	142
Table 6.5 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Informational Content Presence on Dormant Behaviour.....	143
Table 6.6 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Informational Content Presence on Detaching Behaviour.....	144
Table 6.7 Summarised Logistic Regression Results for H1.....	145
Table 6.8 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Informational Content Level on SMEB.....	146
Table 6.9 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Entertaining Content Presence on Creating Behaviour.....	149
Table 6.10 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Entertaining Content Presence on Contributing (Likes) Behaviour.....	150
Table 6.11 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Entertaining Content Presence on Contributing (Shares) Behaviour.....	151
Table 6.12 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Entertaining Content Presence on Consuming Behaviour.....	152
Table 6.13 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Entertaining Content Presence on Dormant Behaviour.....	153
Table 6.14 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Entertaining Content Presence on Detaching Behaviour.....	154
Table 6.15 Summarised Logistic Regression Results for H2.....	155
Table 6.16 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Entertaining Content Level on SMEB.....	156
Table 6.17 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Remunerative Content Presence on Creating Behaviour.....	159
Table 6.18 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Remunerative Content Presence on Contributing Behaviour (Shares).....	160
Table 6.19 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Remunerative Content Presence on Contributing Behaviour (Likes).....	161



Table 6.20 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Remunerative Content Presence on Consuming Behaviour.....	162
Table 6.21 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Remunerative Content on Dormant Behaviour .....	164
Table 6.22 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Remunerative Content on Detaching Behaviour .....	165
Table 6.23 Summarised Logistic Regression Results for H3 .....	166
Table 6.24 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Remunerative Content Level on SMEB .....	167
Table 6.25 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Relational Content Presence on Creating Behaviour.....	170
Table 6.26 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Relational Content Presence on Contributing Behaviour (Shares).....	171
Table 6.27 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Relational Content Presence on Contributing Behaviour (Likes).....	172
Table 6.28 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Relational Content Presence on Consuming Behaviour .....	173
Table 6.29 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Relational Content Presence on Dormant Behaviour .....	174
Table 6.30 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Relational Content Presence on Detaching Behaviour .....	175
Table 6.31 Summarised Logistic Regression Results for H4 .....	176
Table 6.32 Logistic Regression Showing Effect of Relational Content Level on SMEB .....	177
Table 6.33 Binary Logistic Regression Results for Social Media Content and Social Media Engagement Behaviour .....	179
Table 6.34 Interaction Effects Summary .....	184
Table 6.35 Richness Operationalisation.....	193
Table 6.36 Partial Output from PROCESS Model 2 Examining Moderation of the Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares) by Media Richness.....	194
Table 6.37 PROCESS Data for Visualising Conditional Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares).....	194
Table 6.38 Conditional Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares) At Values of the Moderator .....	195
Table 6.39 Congruity Operationalisation.....	198

Table 6.40 Partial Output from PROCESS Model 2 Examining Moderation of the Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes) by Congruity .....	199
Table 6.41 PROCESS Data for Visualising Conditional Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes).....	200
Table 6.42 Conditional Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes) At Values of the Moderator.....	200
Table 6.43 Partial Output from PROCESS Model 2 Examining the Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Creating Behaviour by Congruity ....	202
Table 6.44 PROCESS Data for Visualising Conditional Effect of Entertaining Content on Creating Behaviour .....	203
Table 6.45 Conditional Effect of Entertaining Content on Creating Behaviour at Values of the Moderator .....	204
Table 6.46 Partial Output From PROCESS Model 2 Examining Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes) by Community Size .....	207
Table 6.47 PROCESS Data for Visualising Conditional Effect of Entertaining Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes).....	208
Table 6.48 Conditional Effect of Entertaining Content on Contributing Behaviour (Likes) at Values of the Moderator.....	209
Table 6.49 Partial Output From PROCESS Model 2 Examining Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares) by Community Size. ....	211
Table 6.50 PROCESS Data for Visualising Conditional Effect of Informational Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares) .....	212
Table 6.51 Conditional Effect of Entertaining Content on Contributing Behaviour (Shares) At Values of The Moderator .....	212
Table 6.52 Partial Output from PROCESS Model 2 Examining Moderation of the Effect of Entertaining Content on Dormant Behaviour by Community Size .....	214
Table 6.53 PROCESS Data for Visualising Conditional Effect of Entertaining Content on Dormant Behaviour.....	215
Table 6.54 Conditional Effect of Entertaining Content on Dormant Behaviour at Values of The Moderator.....	215
Table 6.55 Summary of Hypotheses and Results.....	220

## **Abstract**

The proliferation of social media platforms in recent years has precipitated a paradigm shift among consumers, as they become more proactive in their direct interactions with brands. Practitioners recognise the value of these interactions, and are endeavouring to build engagement through their social media content. However, despite recent research in this field, theoretically-based academic guidance on a strategic approach to developing engagement in new-media social networks remains limited. In addition, while the Uses and Gratifications theoretical perspective has long claimed that media users are motivated by a need to engage with content, it is unclear whether this perspective can explain the engagement of customers in a social media context.

This dissertation aims to advance existing knowledge on social media content types by examining the effect of informational, entertaining, remunerative and relational content on the engagement behaviour of social media users. A social media engagement behaviour (SMEB) construct is developed to provide a richer understanding of the nature of engagement behaviour in this context. This construct includes six discrete levels of behavioural intensity that recognise the positively- and negatively-valenced nature of engagement behaviour.

This study used *Facebook Insights* and *NCapture* to extract data from Facebook to provide insight into the actual behaviours of consumers using social media, rather than relying on self-reported data to examine the proposed hypotheses. Social media data was collected from twelve Australian wine brands, yielding a total of 2,236 social media posts. Quantitative content analysis (QCA), binary logistic regression, and Process moderation analysis were used to analyse the set of data and establish the significance of the hypothesised relationships.

The results show that the four social media content types have distinct and independent effects on SMEB, demonstrating the need to consider each individually. Supported by the notion of information overload, the results demonstrate that for each type of content, the positive relationship with SMEB only exists at lower levels of each content type. This demonstrates that the amount of content is an important consideration impacting on the resultant engagement behaviour. Minimal interaction effects among content types were found, which suggests that there is little benefit in designing social media content that attempts to simultaneously appeal to users' needs for information, entertainment, remuneration and relational interaction. The results also showed significant moderating effects of media richness, community size, and congruity of the social media content, which affect the relationships with SMEB.

This study contributes to our knowledge of engagement by exploring online engagement behaviour in greater depth and integrating specific levels and valence of behaviour into a singular construct. It extends the utility of Uses and Gratifications Theory in engagement research, demonstrating how this theory can be evolved to explore emerging media such as social networking sites. The study supports the need for the strategic design of social media content in business by linking specific types of content to different aspects of SMEB. In doing so, it provides guidance to managers on delivering social media content to enhance engagement among social media users.

## **Declaration**

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree. I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the Internet, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through Internet search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> December, 2015

## **Publications**

The following publications are based upon the research presented in this thesis, and may contain results and materials presented herein.

Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Fahy, J., and Goodman, S. (forthcoming) “Social Media Engagement Behaviour: A Uses and Gratifications Perspective” *Journal of Strategic Marketing*.

Dolan, R., Conduit, J., and Fahy, J. (forthcoming) “Social Media Engagement: A Construct of Positively and Negatively Valenced Engagement Behaviours” in R. Brodie, L.Hollebeek and J.Conduit, (Eds.) *Customer Engagement: Contemporary Issues and Challenges*. Routledge.

Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Fahy, J., and Goodman, S. (forthcoming) “Big Social Data and Social Media Analytics: Tools for exploring Social Media Engagement Behaviour” *Australian and New Zealand Marketing Academy Conference*, Sydney Australia.

Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Goodman, S., and Fahy, J (forthcoming) “Facebook for Wine Brands: An Analysis of Strategies for Facebook Posts and User Engagement Actions” *Academy of Wine Business Research Conference*, Adelaide Australia.

Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Fahy, J., and Goodman, S. (2014) “Customer Brand Engagement Behaviour in Online Social Networks: a Conceptual Framework” *Australian and New Zealand Marketing Academy Conference*, Brisbane Australia.

## **Acknowledgements**

This PhD has been a challenging, but enjoyable journey which would not have been possible without the support of many special people.

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors Professor. John Fahy, Dr. Jodie Conduit, and Dr. Steve Goodman.

John provided me with the clarity, direction and confidence that was needed to take my research to a higher level. His constant support and belief in me, and my research, facilitated an extremely productive and enjoyable experience. Jodie has been an inspiring supervisor, mentor, friend, and teacher. I simply cannot thank her enough for the many ways in which she has encouraged me to constantly achieve more. I admire her passion and enthusiasm for research, and the advice and skills that she has shared with me go well beyond the scope of this PhD. I am sure that they will stay with me throughout my career. I would not have started my Ph.D. if it was not for the rewarding experience that I shared during my honours year with Dr. Steve Goodman. Steve has provided me with so many fantastic teaching and research opportunities, which I am very grateful for. The assistance of Ray Adams in editing this thesis is also gratefully acknowledged.

I am very grateful to the many people who have provided me with advice during presentations and doctoral colloquiums. A special thank you to Dr. Chris Medlin, Dr. Carolin Plewa, and Professor Rod Brodie for their valuable support and guidance.

I would like to thank the wonderful friends that I have made throughout my PhD candidature. Thank you to Teagan, who spent many hours at Cibo with me, which always meant starting my day with a guaranteed laugh. A special thanks to Hande for

her contagious enthusiasm and spirit, and the many hours that she spent helping me with my thesis. Thank you also to Ervin. We have shared so many wonderful and interesting experiences since the beginning of our honours year. Ervin has always been there for me, and I don't think I would have survived this Ph.D. without his infectious smile and evil laugh.

I would also like to thank my family for their love, inspiration, understanding and never-ending support and belief in me. They have always pushed me to achieve everything that I set out to. The last 8 years of study would definitely not have been possible without your guidance, love and generosity. I would also like to thank my best friend and sister, Sarah, who has survived being my housemate and tutor for many years. We share such a special bond, without which I don't think either of us would be able to survive the pressure of our studies. A special thank you also to my Gran and Grandpa, for the many years of Monday night dinners which have provided me with a wealth of encouragement, love, and laughter that I will forever cherish.

To the Marsland family, thank you for being such a significant source of support throughout my studies, and for providing me with so much happiness and laughter. A special thanks to Ian for the many hours that he spent proof-reading my chapters.

Finally, I would like to thank Ryan. The gratitude I feel for everything that you have done for me is beyond words. Your constant encouragement and positivity throughout this entire process has been so wonderful. You have provided me with endless coffee, laughter, and love, for which I will be forever grateful.



## **Key Terms, Definitions and Abbreviations**

The definitions of selected terms are listed to provide clarity and to set certain terminologies for the context in which they were utilised in this thesis;

**Uses and Gratifications Theory (UGT):** An approach to understanding why and how people actively seek out specific media to satisfy specific needs. UGT is an audience-centred approach to understanding mass communication. It assumes that audience members are not passive consumers of media. Rather, the audience participants have power over their media consumption and assume an active role in interpreting and integrating media into their own lives (Severin & Tankard, 1997).

**Social Networking Sites (SNS):** Web-based services that allow individuals to construct a public or semi-public profile within a bounded system, articulate a list of other users with whom they share a connection, and view and traverse their list of connections and those made by others within the system (Boyd and Ellison, 2008, p. 210).

**Social Media Content:** Social media content in this thesis refers to the content of posts to users, made by brands via Facebook. This content is categorised into four types: informational, entertaining, remunerative and relational.

**Customer Engagement Behaviour (CEB):** defined as “a customer’s behavioural manifestations that have a brand or firm focus, beyond purchase” (van Doorn et al., 2010 p.254).

**Social Media Engagement Behaviour (SMEB):** Social media engagement behaviours go beyond transactions, and may be specifically defined as a customer’s behavioural manifestations that have a social media focus, beyond purchase, resulting from motivational drivers.

**Positively-Valenced Social Media Engagement Behaviour:** is reflected in favourable or affirmative user behaviours. This thesis categorises three positively-valenced social media engagement behaviours: consuming, contributing and creating.

**Negatively-Valenced Social Media Engagement Behaviour:** Negatively-valenced engagement behaviour is exhibited through unfavourable behaviours directed towards the brand (Hollebeek and Chen, 2014). This thesis categorises two negatively-valenced engagement behaviours in the social media context: detaching and destructing.

**Creating SMEB:** Users engage with brands and other users by creating positively-valenced content on social media platforms. Creating epitomises a highly active level of SMEB. Creating users exhibit specific creating behaviours of knowledge seeking, sharing experiences, advocating, socialising, co-developing and affirming.

**Contributing SMEB:** Users contribute to existing content in social media platforms. Contributing users exhibit a moderate level of positively-valenced SMEB.

**Consuming SMEB:** Users passively consume content without any form of active reciprocation or contribution. Consuming users demonstrate a minimum level of positive, passive SMEB.

**Dormant SMEB:** A temporary state of inactive, passive engagement by users who may have previously interacted with the focal brand.

**Detaching SMEB:** Users take action to remove content of the brand appearing in their news-feed or equivalent home page. Detaching users exhibit a moderate level of negatively-valenced SMEB.

**Destructing SMEB:** Negative, active contributions to existing content on social media platforms are created by destructive users. Destructive users represent a highest level of negatively-active SMEB.

**Facebook Insights:** Facebook Insights is a tool provided to administrators of Facebook brand pages to enable high-level monitoring of the activities on the Facebook page. Facebook Insights allows administrators to download data concerning the performance of a social media post.

**NCapture:** NCapture is a web browser extension developed by QSR International. It allows researchers to quickly and easily capture content including web pages, online PDF's and social media for analysis within NVivo 10.

**Quantitative Content Analysis (QCA):** This research follows Neuendorf's (2002) approach to quantitative content analysis (QCA), suitable for this study due to its focus on summarising the quantitative analysis of messages. Content analysis is most commonly defined as a research technique for the objective, systematic and quantitative description of the manifest content of communication (Berelson, 1952).