

The Journal of Immunology

This information is current as of August 9, 2022.

SOCS3 Deficiency Promotes M1 Macrophage Polarization and Inflammation

Hongwei Qin, Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Yudong Liu, Stephanie L. Reynolds, Lora L. Yanagisawa and Etty N. Benveniste

J Immunol 2012; 189:3439-3448; Prepublished online 27 August 2012; doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1201168 http://www.jimmunol.org/content/189/7/3439

References This article **cites 53 articles**, 25 of which you can access for free at: http://www.jimmunol.org/content/189/7/3439.full#ref-list-1

Why *The JI*? Submit online.

- Rapid Reviews! 30 days* from submission to initial decision
- No Triage! Every submission reviewed by practicing scientists
- Fast Publication! 4 weeks from acceptance to publication

*average

- **Subscription** Information about subscribing to *The Journal of Immunology* is online at: http://jimmunol.org/subscription
- **Permissions** Submit copyright permission requests at: http://www.aai.org/About/Publications/JI/copyright.html
- **Email Alerts** Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at: http://jimmunol.org/alerts
 - Errata An erratum has been published regarding this article. Please see next page or: /content/197/1/387.full.pdf



SOCS3 Deficiency Promotes M1 Macrophage Polarization and Inflammation

Hongwei Qin, Andrew T. Holdbrooks, Yudong Liu, Stephanie L. Reynolds, Lora L. Yanagisawa, and Etty N. Benveniste

Macrophages participate in both the amplification of inflammation at the time of injury and downregulation of the inflammatory response to avoid excess tissue damage. These divergent functions of macrophages are dictated by their microenvironment, especially cytokines, which promote a spectrum of macrophage phenotypes. The M1 proinflammatory phenotype is induced by LPS, IFN- γ , and GM-CSF, and IL-4, IL-13, and M-CSF induce anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages. Suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins function as feedback inhibitors of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway, and they can terminate innate and adaptive immune responses. In this study, we have evaluated the influence of SOCS3 on macrophage polarization and function. Macrophages obtained from LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice, which lack SOCS3 in myeloid lineage cells, exhibit enhanced and prolonged activation of the JAK/STAT pathway compared with macrophages from SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice. Furthermore, SOCS3-deficient macrophages have higher levels of the M1 genes IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, IL-23, and inducible NO synthase owing to enhanced transcriptional activation and chromatin modifications. SOCS3-deficient M1 macrophages also have a stronger capacity to induce Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation than M1 macrophages from SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice. Lastly, LPS-induced sepsis is exacerbated in LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice and is associated with enhanced STAT1/3 activation and increased plasma levels of M1 cytokines/chemokines such as IL-1 β , TNF- α , IL-6, CCL3, CCL4, and CXCL11. These findings collectively indicate that SOCS3 is involved in repressing the M1 proinflammatory phenotype, thereby deactivating inflammatory responses in macrophages. *The Journal of Immunology*, 2012, 189: 3439–3448.

M acrophage effector function significantly influences the quality, duration, and magnitude of innate immune responses. It is now appreciated that there are multiple macrophage phenotypes that carry out differential functions and elicit divergent effects on surrounding cells. This functional diversity reflects a complex interplay between intrinsic differentiation pathways and inputs received from the microenvironment, particularly cytokines (1–3). Furthermore, macrophages are critical for priming and dictating T cell responses and differentiation, thus extending the function of macrophages to control of the adaptive immune response.

Depending on the microenvironment, macrophages can acquire distinct functional phenotypes, referred to as classically activated, proinflammatory macrophages (M1) and alternatively activated, anti-inflammatory macrophages (M2) (4). Macrophages are polarized to the M1 phenotype by exposure to Th1 cytokines such as IFN- γ and GM-CSF, or in the presence of bacterial products such

Copyright © 2012 by The American Association of Immunologists, Inc. 0022-1767/12/\$16.00

as LPS. M1 macrophages produce high levels of TNF-a, IL-6, IL-1B, IL-12, IL-23, and CCL2, increased levels of reactive oxygen species, low levels of IL-10, and they participate in the induction of Th1 and Th17 responses (4-6). M2 macrophages are polarized by stimulation with Th2 cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-13, as well as M-CSF (7), and they have upregulated expression of scavenger and mannose receptors, FIZZ1, the IL-1R antagonist, arginase-1, and the chitinase family protein Ym1. M2 macrophages are associated with anti-inflammatory and homeostatic functions linked to wound healing and tissue repair, and they induce the differentiation of Th2 cells (4, 6, 7). The cytokines that promote polarization of macrophage phenotypes signal predominantly through the JAK/STAT pathway, leading to the activation of transcription factors that dictate M1/M2 polarization (1, 8–11). Macrophage polarization is plastic, suggesting that the M1 to M2 switch during the progression of inflammatory responses enables the dual role of macrophages in orchestrating the onset of inflammation and subsequently promoting healing and repair (4, 7, 8).

Suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins, CIS and SOCS1–7, are feedback inhibitors of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway (12). Through a variety of mechanisms, SOCS proteins negatively regulate both innate and adaptive immune responses. Our previous studies have demonstrated the negative regulatory function of SOCS3 in microglia, astrocytes, and CD4⁺ T cells by inhibiting STAT1 and STAT3 activation (13–15). SOCS3 is essential for the suppression of IL-6/gp130 signaling in macrophages owing to the ability of SOCS3 to bind to the Tyr⁷⁵⁹ region of gp130 (16). Additionally, SOCS3 was shown to bind directly to JAK1, JAK2, and TYK2, serving as a noncompetitive tyrosine kinase inhibitor (17). We have recently demonstrated that myeloid-specific SOCS3-deficient mice are vulnerable to a neuroinflammation model, which is characterized by enhanced STAT3 signaling, expression of M1-related genes, and an immune re-

Department of Cell, Developmental and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294

Received for publication April 20, 2012. Accepted for publication July 27, 2012.

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grant NS45290 (to E.N.B.), National Multiple Sclerosis Society Collaborative Research Center Grant CA 1059-A-13 (to E.N.B.), and Center for AIDS Research Developmental Grant P30 AI27767 (to H.Q.). YLL is supported by a National Multiple Sclerosis Society Collaborative Research Center grant.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Hongwei Qin, Department of Cell, Developmental and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1918 University Boulevard, MCLM 392, Birmingham, AL 35294. E-mail address: hoin@uab.edu

Abbreviations used in this article: BMDM, bone marrow-derived macrophage; iNOS, inducible NO synthase; IRF, IFN regulatory factor; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; SOCS, suppressor of cytokine signaling; UN, untreated; WT, wild-type.

sponse dominated by Th1 and Th17 cells (18). In this study, we have evaluated the polarization of macrophages from wild-type (WT; SOCS3^{fl/fl}) and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice. We found that SOCS3-deficient bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) from LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice expressed higher levels of genes related to M1 polarization, such as IL-1B, IL-6, IL-12, IL-23, inducible NO synthase (iNOS), CCL2, and CXCL10, compared with BMDMs from WT mice upon stimulation with M1 inducers. Furthermore, SOCS3 deletion enhanced LPS-, IFN-y-, and GM-CSF-induced STAT activation, but it had no significant effect on LPS-induced NF-KB and MAPK activation. SOCS3-deficient M1 macrophages exhibited a higher phagocytic capacity and a more potent ability to promote Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation than did WT M1 macrophages. To investigate the function of myeloid SOCS3 in inflammation, an LPS-induced endotoxic shock model was used. Our findings reveal that in the absence of myeloid SOCS3, LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice are hypersensitive to LPS, which is associated with elevated M1-associated proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine expression, as well as enhanced STAT activation. These findings identify SOCS3 as a regulator of macrophage activation and M1 polarization via the STAT pathway, and they indicate a protective influence of SOCS3 on macrophage inflammatory responses.

Materials and Methods

Mice

C57BL/6 and OVA-TCR α/β transgenic OT-II mice (19) were bred in the animal facility at the University of Alabama at Birmingham (Birmingham, AL). SOCS3 floxed transgenic (SOCS3^{fl/fl}) mice (20) were the gift of Dr. Warren Alexander (Cancer and Haematology Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, VIC, Australia) and were bred at the University of Alabama at Birmingham. SOCS3 conditional knockout (LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl}) mice were generated by serial breeding of SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice with mice expressing Cre recombinase under the control of the LysM promoter, in which the conditional SOCS3 allele is excised in myeloid cells (18). All experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Alabama at Birmingham.

Recombinant proteins and reagents

Escherichia coli LPS was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and recombinant mouse IFN-γ, GM-CSF, IL-4, M-CSF, IL-6, and IL-23 and human TGF-β1 were from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). Synthetic bacterial lipoprotein Pam2CSK4 was purchased from InvivoGen (San Diego, CA). Abs against phospho-STAT1 (Tyr⁷⁰¹), phospho-STAT3 (Tyr⁷⁰⁵), phospho-STAT5 (Tyr⁶⁹⁴), phospho-STAT6 (Tyr⁶⁴¹), phospho-p65 (Ser⁵³⁶), phospho-ERK1/ERK2 (Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴), STAT1, STAT3, STAT5, STAT6, p65, and ERK1/2 were from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). Abs against SOCS1 and SOCS3 were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 Abs were purchased from BioLegend (San Diego, CA), and Ab against GAPDH was from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Neutralizing Abs to IL-4 and IFN-γ, as well as conjugated Abs to CD4, IFN-γ, and IL-17A, were from eBioscience (San Diego, CA).

BMDM preparation

Bone marrow cells were flushed from the femurs and tibiae of 7- to 8-wk-old C57BL/6, SOCS3^{fl/fl}, or LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice, as previously described (18). These cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FBS and 10 ng/ml murine M-CSF for 5–7 d. Cell purity was determined by FACS analysis for CD11b (>96%).

RNA Isolation, RT-PCR, and TaqMan gene expression assays

Total cellular RNA was isolated from untreated or cytokine-stimulated BMDMs from C57BL/6, SOCS3^{fl/fl}, or LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice, and reverse transcription reactions were performed as previously described (18). Five hundred nanograms RNA was used to reverse transcribe into cDNA and subjected to RT-PCR or quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) (21). The ABI Prism 7500 sequence detection system, TaqMan gene expression master mix, and TaqMan gene expression assay probes were from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) and were used for qRT-PCR to determine mRNA levels. The data were analyzed using the comparative C_t method to obtain relative quantitation values (21).

FIGURE 1. M1 and M2 gene expression in macrophages. (A) BMDMs from C57BL/6 mice were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d and then incubated with medium, LPS (10 ng/ml), IFN-y (10 ng/ml), LPS plus IFN-y, GM-CSF (50 ng/ml), or LPS plus GM-CSF for 4 h, and mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR for IL-1B, IL-6, TNF-a, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, CCL2, CXCL10, and GAPDH expression. Quantification of the data is shown on the right. (B) BMDMs were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d and then incubated with medium (untreated [UN]), IL-4 (10 ng/ ml), M-CSF (10 ng/ml), or IL-4 plus M-CSF for 4 h, and mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR for FIZZ1, PPAR-y, IL-10, Ym1, Arginase-1, and GAPDH expression. Quantification of the data is shown on the right. Results are representative of three independent experiments.



Immunoblotting

Thirty micrograms cell lysate was separated by electrophoresis on 10 or 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and probed with specific Abs as described previously (18).

ELISA and multiplex analysis of cytokines and chemokines

Supernatants were collected from untreated or cytokine stimulated BMDMs from C57BL/6, SOCS3^{fl/fl}, or LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice and assayed by ELISA kits (BioLegend, San Diego, CA) or Millipore mouse cytokine/ chemokine panel II (MPXMCYTO-70K) (Millipore, Billerica, MA) for secretion of murine cytokines (IFN- γ , IL-1 α , IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-17, TNF- α , and G-CSF) and chemokines (CCL2 [MCP-1], CCL3 [MIP-1 α], CCL4 [MIP-1 β], CCL5 [RANTES], CXCL1 [KC], CXCL2 [MIP-2], CXCL9 [MIG], CXCL10 [IP-10], and CXCL11 [eotaxin]). Expression levels of cytokines/chemokines were normalized to total protein levels as previously described (18).

Phagocytosis assay

Macrophage phagocytic activity was determined by cellular uptake of pHsensitive rhodamine-conjugated *E. coli* particles (pHrodo phagocytosis particle labeling kit for flow cytometry; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Briefly, 1×10^5 BMDMs treated with LPS for 24 h were prepared in 100 µl uptake buffer (HBSS with an additional 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.4]). Two hundred microliters pHrodo dye-labeled *E. coli* was added to the cells with uptake buffer and incubated at 37°C for 30–120 min. Phagocytosis was terminated by exposure of tubes to ice, and fluorescence from ingested *E. coli* particles was detected by flow cytometry.

Intracellular cytokine staining

BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were polarized to the M1 phenotype with LPS plus IFN- γ for 48 h and then used as APCs. Naive CD4⁺ T cells were isolated from the spleen of OVA-TCR transgenic OT-II mice (22). M1 macrophages and CD4⁺ T cells were cultured at a 1:5 ratio for Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation. Th1 cells were differentiated with OVA peptide (5 µg/ml) and IL-12 (10 ng/ml) plus anti–IL-4 (10 µg/ ml) stimulation for 4 d. Th17 cells were differentiated with OVA peptide (5 μ g/ml), TGF- β (5 ng/ml), IL-6 (20 ng/ml), IL-23 (10 ng/ml) plus anti–IFN- γ (10 μ g/ml), and anti–IL-4 (10 μ g/ml) stimulation for 4 d. At day 4, cells were stimulated with PMA/ionomycin (25 ng/ml and 1 μ g/ml) plus GolgiStop (BD Pharmingen) for 4 h and were analyzed for intracellular production of cytokines by staining with anti-cytokine Abs and subsequent flow cytometry, as described (18).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis was done following a protocol provided by Upstate Biotechnology with modifications as described previously (13, 23). Primary macrophages were incubated with medium or LPS (10 ng/ml) for 4 h and fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, and then nuclei were isolated. Chromatin was sheared by sonication and precipitated overnight at 4°C with 5 µg Abs. Input chromatin and immunoprecipitated chromatin were incubated at 65°C overnight to reverse cross-links. DNA was extracted with the Qiagen MiniPrep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Purified DNA was analyzed by PCR. The following primer pairs were used: 5'-AGTATCTCTGCCTCCTTCCTT-3' and 5'-GCAACACTGAAAACTAGTGTC-3' for the murine IL-12p40 promoter; 5'-CCCGACCTAGGCCTCTAGCCCA-3' and 5'-AAGGTCC-CTGCACTGTAAGGCG-3' for the murine IL-23p19 promoter; 5'-CTA-GTGAGTCCCAGTTTTGAAG-3' and 5'-CCCTGGCAGCAGCCATCA-GGTA-3' for the murine iNOS promoter; and 5'-TCATGCTGGGATCT-GAGCTTCT-3' and 5'-CGGAAGTCACCTTAGCACTCAGT-3' for the murine IL-10 promoter.

Administration of LPS to mice

SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were subjected to i.p. injection of repurified LPS at 2.5 mg/kg. In survival studies, mice were monitored during a 4-d time period. In short-term studies, blood was collected from mice by check puncture into EDTA-coated BD Vacutainer blood collection tubes before (0 h time point) and 4 h after injection with LPS, and then plasma was collected to determine expression levels of cytokines and chemokines as described previously (24). At the indicated times, animals were sacrificed and spleens and livers were collected.

FIGURE 2. Plasticity of M1 and M2 macrophage phenotype upon LPS and IL-4 stimulation. (A) BMDMs were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d, treated with LPS, IL-4, or LPS plus IL-4 for 4 h, and then mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR for IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, TNF-α, and GAPDH expression. (B) BMDMs were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d, treated with LPS, IL-4, or LPS plus IL-4 for 4 h, and then mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR for Arginase-1, FIZZ1, PPAR-y, Ym1, and GAPDH expression. (C) BMDMs were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d, treated with LPS, IL-4, or LPS plus IL-4 for 4 h, and then protein lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the specified Abs. The densitometric quantification of phospho-NF-ĸBp65, phospho-ERK1/ERK2, phospho-STAT1, phospho-STAT3, and phospho-STAT6 was determined using an image analysis program (ImageJ 1.41oh) by comparing to untreated samples. Results represent three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001.



Densitometric and statistical analyses

Densitometric quantitation of immunoblotting images in the linear range was performed using an image analysis program (ImageJ 1.41o; National Institutes of Health). All experiments were repeated a minimum of three times. Levels of significance for comparison between samples were determined by the Student *t* test distribution. All results are shown as mean \pm SD. A *p* value ≤ 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

M1 and M2 gene expression profiles

LPS, IFN- γ , and GM-CSF have been shown to induce the M1 macrophage phenotype to various degrees. We analyzed the influence of LPS, IFN- γ , and GM-CSF, alone and in combination, on expression levels of M1 markers in BMDMs. The bacterial product LPS induced expression of IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α , IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, CCL2, and CXCL10 compared with untreated cells (Fig. 1A, *lanes 1* and 2). IFN- γ induced the expression of TNF- α , iNOS, CCL2, and CXCL10 while not affecting other M1 genes (Fig. 1A, *lane 3*). However, LPS plus IFN- γ exerted additive and/or synergistic effects on IL-6, IL-12p40, and iNOS gene expression (Fig. 1A, *lane 4*). GM-CSF promoted expression of IL-1 β , TNF- α , CCL2, and CXCL10 (Fig. 1A, *lane 5*) and had a synergistic effect with LPS on IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, and iNOS expression (Fig. 1A, *lane 6*). FIZZ1, PPAR- γ ,

IL-10, Ym1, and Arginase-1 are genes reflective of the M2 macrophage phenotype, which can be induced by IL-4 and M-CSF (7). IL-4 induced expression of all M2 markers to varying degrees (Fig. 1B, *lane 2*). M-CSF strongly induced PPAR- γ expression, but did not significantly influence other M2 genes (Fig. 1B, *lane 3*). The combination of IL-4 and M-CSF promoted a synergistic effect on FIZZ1, PPAR- γ , IL-10, and Arginase-1 expression (Fig. 1B, *lane 4*). These results indicate that M1 inducers have varying levels of effectiveness on M1 gene expression, with LPS being more potent than IFN- γ or GM-CSF alone, and the combination of LPS and IFN- γ or LPS and GM-CSF having the strongest effect on M1 gene expression. For induction of M2 genes, IL-4 was more potent than M-CSF (except for PPAR- γ expression), and the combination of IL-4 plus M-CSF had the strongest influence on M2 gene expression.

Plasticity of M1 and M2 macrophage phenotypes

Macrophages are highly heterogeneous cells that can rapidly change their phenotype and function in response to microenvironmental signals, and studies have documented the flexibility of macrophage activation (25–28). We next investigated whether IL-4 could affect the M1 phenotype induced by LPS and, conversely, whether LPS affects the M2 phenotype induced by IL-4. As shown in Fig. 2A, IL-4 potently inhibited LPS-induced M1 gene ex-

FIGURE 3. Absence of SOCS3 enhances STAT activation in macrophages. (A) BMDMs were treated with LPS, IFN-y, LPS plus IFN-y, GM-CSF, LPS plus GM-CSF, IL-4, M-CSF, or IL-4 plus M-CSF for 4 h, and then cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with SOCS1, SOCS3, and GAPDH Abs. (B) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d, treated with IFN- γ for up to 4 h, and then mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR for SOCS1, SOCS3, and GAPDH expression. BMDMs were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d, treated with IFN-γ (C), GM-CSF (D), LPS (E), or IL-4 (F) for up to 4 h, and then protein lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the specified Abs. Results represent five independent experiments. **p < 0.001.



pression (lane 4). Conversely, LPS inhibited IL-4-induced M2 genes (Fig. 2B, lane 4). LPS signaling is complex and involves activation of numerous signaling cascades, including NF-KB, STATs, and ERK1/2 (29). To determine whether IL-4 affects LPSinduced signaling pathways, cells were stimulated with LPS, IL-4, or both for 4 h and then pathway activation was evaluated. LPS treatment led to the activation of NF-kB p65, ERK1/2, STAT1, and STAT3 (Fig. 2C, lane 2). Inclusion of IL-4 inhibited LPSinduced NF-kB p65, STAT1, and STAT3 activation, with no effect on ERK pathway activation (Fig. 2C, lane 4). IL-4 is a strong activator of STAT6 (Fig. 2C, lane 3), which was inhibited in the presence of LPS (lane 4). These results suggest that antagonism of LPS activation of STAT1/STAT3 and NF-KB by IL-4 correlates with inhibition of LPS-induced M1 gene expression, and LPS suppression of IL-4-induced STAT6 signaling is associated with inhibition of IL-4-induced M2 gene expression.

SOCS3 deficiency promotes STAT activation and M1 macrophage polarization

SOCS proteins function as feedback inhibitors for cytokines that use the JAK/STAT pathway, and they can inhibit inflammatory responses in macrophages (12). To determine whether SOCS proteins are involved in macrophage polarization, we first per3443

formed experiments to analyze expression of SOCS1 and SOCS3 in BMDMs. Our results indicate that LPS, IFN- γ , and GM-CSF induce SOCS1 protein expression, with IL-4 and M-CSF having a modest effect (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, no synergistic induction of SOCS1 expression was observed with the combination of LPS and IFN- γ (*lane 4*), and GM-CSF coincubation with LPS inhibited SOCS1 expression (*lane 6*). For SOCS3, LPS was the most potent inducer (*lane 2*) and synergized with IFN- γ (*lane 4*) and GM-CSF (*lane 6*) for enhanced SOCS3 expression. IL-4 and M-CSF, alone or in combination, did not influence SOCS3 expression. These results indicate that M1 inducers such as LPS alone and in conjunction with IFN- γ or GM-CSF are potent stimuli for SOCS3 expression.

To study the involvement of SOCS3 in macrophage polarization, we examined myeloid-specific SOCS3-deficient cells (18). As shown in Fig. 3B, IFN- γ -induced SOCS3 mRNA expression was abolished in BMDMs of LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice, with no compensatory expression of SOCS1. The same results were observed using LPS as the stimulus (data not shown). BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were incubated with LPS, IFN- γ , or GM-CSF for up to 4 h to examine signaling pathway activation. Deletion of SOCS3 led to enhanced and prolonged IFN- γ -induced STAT1 and STAT3 activation (Fig. 3C) and GM-CSF-

FIGURE 4. SOCS3 deletion in macrophages leads to enhanced M1 polarization. (A) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysM-Cre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were incubated with medium or LPS for 4 h. mRNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for IL-1B, IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, TNF-α, iNOS, IL-10, and GAPDH expression. (B) BMDMs were incubated with medium (untreated [UN]) or LPS for up to 16 h, and supernatants were analyzed for IL-6 protein by ELISA. (C) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were incubated with medium (UN) or LPS for 24 h. Supernatants were analyzed for production of nitrite, a stable end product of NO production, using the Griess reagent. *p < 0.05. (**D**) BMDMs were incubated with medium (UN) or LPS for 4 h, and then cells were cross-linked with formaldehyde. Soluble chromatin was subjected to immunoprecipitation with Abs against histone acetylation (Ac-H3 and Ac-H4) or normal rabbit IgG. PCR analysis of the positive control (input) indicates that soluble chromatin samples obtained from each time point had equal amounts of chromatin fragments containing the IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, and IL-10 promoters. (E) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were treated with Pam2CSK4 (10 ng/ml) for 1 and 2 h, and then protein lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the specified Abs. (F) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were treated with Pam2CSK4 (10 ng/ml) for 4 h. mRNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, and GAPDH expression. Results represent three independent experiments.



induced STAT5 activation (Fig. 3D). We have previously shown that LPS induces rapid activation of NF-kB p65 and ERK1/2 in macrophages, whereas STAT1 and STAT3 are activated with delayed kinetics, owing to IFN- β production and signaling (30). Deletion of SOCS3 had no effect on LPS-induced NF-KB p65 and ERK1/2 activation, but enhanced LPS-induced STAT1 and STAT3 activation (Fig. 3E). There was no difference in the ability of IL-4 to induce STAT6 activation between WT and SOCS3-deficient macrophages (Fig. 3F). These findings indicate that upon SOCS3 deletion, BMDMs display an increased sensitivity to M1 classical polarizing stimuli such as IFN- γ , GM-CSF, and LPS.

Given that LPS-induced STAT signaling was enhanced in the absence of SOCS3, we next examined M1/M2 gene expression. LPS-induced mRNA expression of the M1 markers IL-1B, IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, and iNOS was significantly enhanced in SOCS3-deficient BMDMs compared with BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, LPS induction of the M2 marker IL-10 was significantly decreased (Fig. 4A). Two of these genes were examined at the protein level: LPS-induced IL-6 and nitrite expression were significantly enhanced in SOCS3-deficient BMDMs compared with SOCS3^{fl/fl} BMDMs (Fig. 4B, 4C).

To determine the influence of SOCS3 on M1 and M2 gene transcription, BMDMs were incubated in medium untreated (UN) or LPS for 4 h, and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were performed. PCR analysis of the positive control (input) indicated that soluble chromatin samples obtained from each treatment had equal amounts of chromatin fragments containing the IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, and IL-10 promoters (Fig. 4D). In BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (WT), acetylation of histones H3 and H4 on all four promoters was enhanced by LPS stimulation (Fig. 4D). H3 and H4 acetylation of the IL-12p40, IL-23p19, and iNOS promoters upon LPS treatment was substantially higher in SOCS3deficient macrophages compared with WT macrophages (Fig. 4D). LPS-induced H3 and H4 acetylation of the IL-10 promoter was lower in SOCS3-deficient macrophages compared with WT macrophages (Fig. 4D), which correlates with decreased IL-10 mRNA expression (Fig. 4A). These data collectively indicate that deletion of SOCS3 enhances the expression of M1 markers in BMDMs while inhibiting IL-10 expression, indicating that SOCS3

functions as a modulator of macrophage polarization. Further-

more, the influence of SOCS3 appears to be at the transcriptional level. As a control, the TLR2 ligand Pam2CSK4 was used to investigate the effect of SOCS3 deletion on JAK/STAT independent signaling pathways. Deletion of SOCS3 had a modest enhancing effect on Pam2CSK4-induced NF-KB p65 and ERK1/2 activation (Fig. 4E), but it did not affect Pam2CSK4-induced mRNA expression of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, or iNOS (Fig. 4F).

SOCS3 deficiency enhances M1-induced phagocytosis and Th1/Th17 differentiation

One important function of macrophages is to phagocytose pathogens and foreign materials (3), and M1 macrophages have potent phagocytic capacity (31). LPS-induced M1 macrophages from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were analyzed for phagocytic function, and the results demonstrate that deletion of SOCS3 enhances the phagocytic capacity of M1 macrophages (Fig. 5A).

Activated M1 macrophages express MHC class II, CD40, CD80, and CD86 and function as APCs, which leads to efficient Th1 and Th17 responses (9). We next determined whether SOCS3 expression in macrophages influences their ability to promote differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells by examining OVA-specific Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation. Macrophages from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were polarized to the M1 phenotype with LPS plus IFN- γ for 48 h and then used as APCs. The results demonstrate an enhancement of IFN- γ^+ Th1 cells (Fig. 5B) and enhanced expression of IFN-y and the Th1-specifying transcription factor T-bet mRNA (Fig. 5C) under Th1 cell differentiation conditions with SOCS3-deficient M1 macrophages compared with M1 macrophages from SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice. We also observed an enhancement of IL-17A-producing Th17 cells (Fig. 5D) and higher

FIGURE 5. Myeloid SOCS3 influences phagocytosis and Th1/Th17 differentiation. (A) Macrophages from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were polarized to the M1 phenotype with LPS for 48 h, and phagocytosis was assessed using the pHrodo E. coli BioParticles phagocytosis kit for flow cytometry. *p < 0.05. (**B–E**) LPS plus IFN- γ –polarized M1 macrophages were used as APCs and cultured with naive CD4⁺ T cells isolated from the spleen of OVA-TCR transgenic OT-II mice at a 1:5 ratio for Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation. Th1 cells were differentiated with IL-12 (10 ng/ml), anti-IL-4 (10 µg/ml), and OVA peptide (5 µg/ml), and Th17 cells were differentiated with TGF-B (5 ng/ml), IL-6 (20 ng/ ml), IL-23 (10 ng/ml), anti-IFN-y (10 µg/ml), anti-IL-4 (10 µg/ml), and OVA peptide (5 µg/ml). At day 4, cells were stimulated with PMA/ionomycin plus GolgiStop for 4 h and stained for the surface marker CD4 and by intracellular flow for IFN- γ protein (B), for IFN-y and T-bet mRNA expression by qRT-PCR (C), by intracellular flow for IL-17A protein (D), or for IL-17A and RORyt mRNA expression by qRT-PCR (E). Results represent three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.



IL-17A and the Th17-specifying transcription factor ROR γ t mRNA expression (Fig. 5E) under Th17 cell differentiation conditions with SOCS3-deficient M1 macrophages compared with SOCS3^{fl/fl} M1 macrophages. Hence, the absence of SOCS3 enhances phagocytosis by M1 macrophages and enhances the ability of M1 macrophages to promote differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells into the Th1 and Th17 phenotypes.

Importance of SOCS3 in a mouse inflammation model

Thus far, our data indicate that SOCS3-deficient BMDMs polarized to the M1 phenotype produce significantly higher levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, and iNOS, and less IL-10, in response to stimulation with LPS than do macrophages from SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 4A). To investigate the physiological relevance of these findings, the function of SOCS3 was evaluated in an in vivo model of inflammation, LPS-induced septic shock. SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were challenged with a sublethal dose of LPS (2.5 mg/kg) injected i.p. There was no difference in the levels of cytokines and chemokines in the plasma of SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice before LPS injection (Fig. 6A); however, there was a significant enhancement of cytokines/



FIGURE 6. Function of myeloid SOCS3 in an LPS-induced septic shock model. (**A**) Expression of IL-1 β , TNF- α , IL-6, CCL3, and CXCL11 protein in the plasma of SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice before LPS administration, as determined by ELISA. (**B**) Expression of IL-1 β , TNF- α , IL-6, IL-17A, CCL3, CCL4, and CXCL11 protein in the plasma of SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice 4 h after administration of LPS (2.5 mg/kg body weight), as determined by ELISA. (**C**) Survival rate of SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (*n* = 4/group/experiment) after i.p. injection of LPS (2.5 mg/kg body weight). Results represent three independent experiments. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.001.

chemokines in the plasma of LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice compared with SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice 4 h after LPS challenge (Fig. 6B). In particular, the M1 cytokine IL-6 was significantly increased, as was the expression of CCL3 (MIP-1 α) and CCL4 (MIP-1 β), two chemokines associated with M1 polarization (32, 33). In vivo, LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were more susceptible to LPS administration; only 20% of LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice survived at day 4 after LPS administration, compared with 90% of SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 6C). These findings indicate that deletion of SOCS3 in myeloid cells enhances M1-associated in vivo inflammatory responses induced by the TLR agonist LPS.

Splenomegaly and enhanced STAT1/3 activation in LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice

The spleen and liver are important organs in monitoring infections, especially polysaccharide encapsulated bacterial infections (34, 35). Enlarged spleens were observed in LvsMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice after LPS administration, as evidenced by comparing spleen weight (~2.0-fold increase) (Fig. 7A). STAT1, STAT3, and ERK1/ 2 activation, as well as inflammatory gene expression in spleen and liver, was examined in SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice with systemic LPS challenge. Immunoblotting demonstrated that STAT1 and STAT3 tyrosine phosphorylation was exaggerated and prolonged in the liver and spleen of LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} compared with SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 7B, 7C). The activation of ERK1/2 was enhanced in the spleen, but not in liver, of LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} compared with SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 7B, 7C). Furthermore, significant enhancement of IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IL-6 mRNA expression was observed in the spleen and liver from LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice in response to LPS (Fig. 7D), with IL-6 expression being the most elevated. This correlates with LPSinduced hypersensitivity and exaggerated activation of STAT1 and STAT3 in LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice. Collectively, these data demonstrate that SOCS3 is an important negative regulator of LPS-driven inflammatory responses in vivo, affecting activation of the STAT pathway.

Discussion

We identify a novel role for the SOCS3 protein in regulation of macrophage polarization in vitro and in vivo. A deficiency of SOCS3 in macrophages promotes a heightened responsiveness to IFN- γ , LPS, and GM-CSF with respect to STAT activation and expression of genes that characterize M1 classically activated macrophages. Furthermore, in vivo studies utilizing an LPSinduced septic shock model reveal that SOCS3 deficiency in myeloid cells promotes exacerbation of disease, which is associated with increased expression of M1 cytokines and chemokines, as well as aberrant STAT activation. Collectively, these findings identify SOCS3 as a modulator of macrophage activation and M1 polarization via the STAT signaling pathway.

Classical M1 macrophages are activated by proinflammatory cytokines and pathogen-associated molecular patterns, and they produce proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines and an array of cytotoxic molecules that aid in the clearance of invading pathogens (1). SOCS3 is implicated in regulating innate immune responses and in attenuating proinflammatory cytokine signals, leading to inhibition of STAT activation (12, 36, 37). We observed several striking features of SOCS3-deficient macrophages. In the absence of SOCS3, IFN- γ -, LPS-, and GM-CSF-induced activation of the STAT pathway was enhanced and prolonged, indicating increased sensitivity to M1-inducing stimuli. Activation of the NF- κ B and ERK1/2 pathways in response to LPS was not affected by the absence of SOCS3. Although SOCS3 was initially identified as an inhibitor of IL-6 cytokine family signaling via interaction with the



kine expression in LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice. (A) Relative size of LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} (right) spleen compared with SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice (left) after i.p. administration of 2.5 mg/kg LPS for 16 h. Average spleen weight is represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3). *p < 0.05. (**B**) SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were administered LPS (2.5 mg/ kg), and STAT1, STAT3, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation was measured by immunoblotting in liver lysates at the indicated time points. Quantification of the data is shown on the right. *p <0.05, **p < 0.001. (**C**) Spleen lysates from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were immunoblotted as in (B) at the indicated time points. Quantification of the data is shown on the *right*. *p <0.05, **p < 0.001. (**D**) qRT-PCR analysis of IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6 mRNA expression in liver and spleen tissue from mice after i.p. administration of LPS (2.5 mg/kg) (0-6 h). Results represent three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 versus data from SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding time points.

gp130 receptor subunit (36, 37), it is appreciated that SOCS3 can negatively impact signaling by other cytokines, including IFN- γ and GM-CSF (38). SOCS3 was recently shown to directly inhibit JAK1, JAK2, and TYK2 via a conserved three-residue motif (17). IFN- γ signaling utilizes JAK1 and JAK2, and GM-CSF signals through a JAK2 homodimer. We have previously shown that LPS stimulation of macrophages results in rapid activation of the NFκB pathway, subsequent IFN-β production, and delayed activation of STAT1 and STAT3 (30). IFN-B signals through JAK1 and TYK2, thus explaining how SOCS3 deficiency allows heightened LPS activation of the STAT pathway. Interestingly, no differences were observed in the ability of IL-4 to activate STAT6 in the absence/presence of SOCS3. IL-4 signals through JAK1 and JAK3, and thus the inability of SOCS3 to repress JAK3 activation may explain the comparable levels of STAT6 activation in SOCS3^{fl/fl} and SOCS3-deficient macrophages. We have previously shown that IL-4 can promote M2 polarization in SOCS3-deficient macrophages to the same extent as in SOCS3^{fl/fl} macrophages (18), which is likely the result of comparable activation of the STAT6 transcription factor. These results indicate that in absence

of SOCS3, macrophages have a heightened sensitivity to inducers of the M1 phenotype such as LPS, IFN-y, and GM-CSF. Conversely, the ability to respond to the M2 phenotype inducer IL-4 is not altered.

We further demonstrate that in response to LPS plus IFN- γ , SOCS3-deficient macrophages display a gene signature of the M1 phenotype, which is more pronounced than that of WT M1 macrophages. This is attributed to the enhanced STAT activation observed in the absence of SOCS3, resulting in downstream gene expression. The influence of SOCS3 appears to be at the level of gene transcription, as the IL-12p40, IL-23p19, and iNOS promoters, reflective of the M1 phenotype, all displayed enhanced acetylation of histones H3 and H4, characteristics of actively transcribing promoters (39). IFN regulatory factor (IRF)5 was recently demonstrated as a transcription factor that promotes M1 macrophage polarization by directly binding to IL-12 and IL-23 promoters (9). We previously demonstrated that IRF5 expression was enhanced in the absence of SOCS3 (18); thus, this may be one mechanism contributing to the heightened M1 phenotype observed in our studies. Notably, IL-10 mRNA expression was reduced in SOCS3-deficient macrophages, and histone H3 and H4 acetylation of the IL-10 promoter was also diminished in the absence of SOCS3. Again, this may reflect the role of IRF5, which functions as a direct repressor of the IL-10 promoter (9).

With respect to macrophage function, we examined two parameters, phagocytosis and Th cell differentiation. The phagocytic capacity of SOCS3-deficient M1 macrophages was enhanced compared with WT M1 macrophages, and their ability to promote Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation was also enhanced. This is attributed to heightened IL-12 production by SOCS3-deficient macrophages, which will promote Th1 cell differentiation (40), and enhanced secretion of IL-1β, IL-6, and/or IL-23, which will promote the differentiation of Th17 cells (40). Th1 cells express IFN- γ and the transcription factor T-bet (40), whereas Th17 cells express IL-17A and the transcription factor RORyt (40). All of these Th1 and Th17 markers were upregulated in M1 SOCS3deficient macrophages compared with WT M1 cells. Thus, SOCS3-deficient macrophages provide the microenvironment for differentiation of Th1 and Th17 cells, which function as immune effector cells in many autoimmune diseases, including arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, and multiple sclerosis (38). In this regard, we have recently shown that mice with conditional SOCS3 deletion in myeloid cells are vulnerable to neuroinflammation characterized by M1 polarization, enhanced STAT activation, and Th1/Th17 cell differentiation (18). Collectively, these findings document an important role for SOCS3 in limiting polarization to the M1 phenotype, and ultimately attenuating the inflammatory potential of these cells.

SOCS3 expression has been shown to have a beneficial role in attenuating inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (18, 41-45). SOCS3 expression is upregulated in immune cells (macrophages and neutrophils) in response to a septic challenge induced by cecal ligation and puncture (42), which may protect from a fulminant immune response. Gene delivery of SOCS3 protected mice from endotoxic septic shock and was associated with decreased levels of TNF- α in the serum (43). Adenovirus-mediated gene delivery of SOCS3 significantly reduced parameters of arthritic inflammation (44), whereas a recombinant cell-penetrating form of SOCS3 inhibited the lethal effects of LPS by reducing production of inflammatory cytokines and attenuating liver apoptosis and hemorrhagic necrosis (45). In an acute lung injury model induced by LPS, SOCS3 expression in alveolar macrophages was protective by regulating oxidative stress (46). Our findings demonstrate that in the absence of myeloid SOCS3, LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice exhibit exacerbated inflammation in response to LPS-mediated septic shock, which is associated with increased expression of M1 macrophage products such as IL-6, TNF- α , IL-1 β , CCL3, CCL4 and CXCL11, and STAT1 and STAT3 activation in the liver and spleen. Our results in agreement with those of Greenhill et al. (24), who demonstrated that LPS-induced septic shock is associated with IL-6 trans-signaling and inappropriate STAT3 activation, which sensitizes mice to LPS/TLR4-driven inflammatory responses. In contrast, our findings differ from Yasukawa et al. (36), in which LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were resistant to LPSinduced septic shock. A possible explanation for the discrepancy is that Yasukawa et al. used a low-dose LPS model (250-500 ng) in conjunction with galactosamine, in contrast to our high-dose LPS model. LPS toxicity in galactosamine-treated mice involves TNF-α-induced caspase-3-dependent acute liver injury, not systemic inflammatory response (47).

Previous studies demonstrated that myeloid STAT3 functions in a protective manner in models of liver inflammation and injury using mice with deletion of STAT3 in myeloid cells (48, 49). Our findings in the LPS-induced septic shock model are different, with STAT3 activation exerting profound inflammatory responses. However, note that deletion of SOCS3 from myeloid cells likely has effects other than STAT3 activation, as SOCS3 also functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase (50). Thus, the regulatory functions of SOCS3 and STAT3 in various inflammatory models are likely to be different.

In conclusion, our results identify SOCS3 as a modulator of macrophage polarization, and they show that SOCS3 deficiency skews macrophages toward the M1 phenotype. The beneficial role of SOCS3 in myeloid lineage cells has been documented in restricting inflammatory responses in a variety of animal models for multiple sclerosis, arthritis, allograft rejection, lung injury, atherosclerosis, and septic shock (18, 51–53). Therefore, strategies to regulate SOCS3 expression in myeloid cells can be exploited to restrict the duration of M1 polarization and subsequent effects on inflammation and Th1/Th17 differentiation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Warren Alexander for the SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice and members of the Benveniste Laboratory for helpful discussions. We also thank the Rheumatic Diseases Core Center staff for advice and technical assistance.

Disclosures

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

References

- Lawrence, T., and G. Natoli. 2011. Transcriptional regulation of macrophage polarization: enabling diversity with identity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 11: 750–761.
- Murray, P. J., and T. A. Wynn. 2011. Obstacles and opportunities for understanding macrophage polarization. J. Leukoc. Biol. 89: 557–563.
- Murray, P. J., and T. A. Wynn. 2011. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 11: 723–737.
- Mantovani, A., and M. Locati. 2009. Orchestration of macrophage polarization. Blood 114: 3135–3136.
- Khallou-Laschet, J., A. Varthaman, G. Fornasa, C. Compain, A. T. Gaston, M. Clement, M. Dussiot, O. Levillain, S. Graff-Dubois, A. Nicoletti, and G. Caligiuri. 2010. Macrophage plasticity in experimental atherosclerosis. *PLoS ONE* 5: e8852.
- Benoit, M., B. Desnues, and J. L. Mege. 2008. Macrophage polarization in bacterial infections. J. Immunol. 181: 3733–3739.
- 7. Gordon, S., and F. O. Martinez. 2010. Alternative activation of macrophages: mechanism and functions. *Immunity* 32: 593–604.
- Galli, S. J., N. Borregaard, and T. A. Wynn. 2011. Phenotypic and functional plasticity of cells of innate immunity: macrophages, mast cells and neutrophils. *Nat. Immunol.* 12: 1035–1044.
- Krausgruber, T., K. Blazek, T. Smallie, S. Alzabin, H. Lockstone, N. Sahgal, T. Hussell, M. Feldmann, and I. A. Udalova. 2011. IRF5 promotes inflammatory macrophage polarization and T_H1-T_H17 responses. *Nat. Immunol.* 12: 231–238.
- Ishii, M., H. Wen, C. A. Corsa, T. Liu, A. L. Coelho, R. M. Allen, W. F. Carson, IV, K. A. Cavassani, X. Li, N. W. Lukacs, et al. 2009. Epigenetic regulation of the alternatively activated macrophage phenotype. *Blood* 114: 3244–3254.
- Satoh, T., O. Takeuchi, A. Vandenbon, K. Yasuda, Y. Tanaka, Y. Kumagai, T. Miyake, K. Matsushita, T. Okazaki, T. Saitoh, et al. 2010. The Jmjd3-*Irf4* axis regulates M2 macrophage polarization and host responses against helminth infection. *Nat. Immunol.* 11: 936–944.
- Yoshimura, A., T. Naka, and M. Kubo. 2007. SOCS proteins, cytokine signalling and immune regulation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 7: 454–465.
- Qin, H., K. L. Roberts, S. A. Niyongere, Y. Cong, C. O. Elson, and E. N. Benveniste. 2007. Molecular mechanism of lipopolysaccharide-induced SOCS-3 gene expression in macrophages and microglia. *J. Immunol.* 179: 5966–5976.
- Qin, H., S. A. Niyongere, S. J. Lee, B. J. Baker, and E. N. Benveniste. 2008. Expression and functional significance of SOCS-1 and SOCS-3 in astrocytes. J. Immunol. 181: 3167–3176.
- Qin, H., L. Wang, T. Feng, C. O. Elson, S. A. Niyongere, S. J. Lee, S. L. Reynolds, C. T. Weaver, K. Roarty, R. Serra, et al. 2009. TGF-β promotes Th17 cell development through inhibition of SOCS3. *J. Immunol.* 183: 97–105.
- Sommer, U., C. Schmid, R. M. Sobota, U. Lehmann, N. J. Stevenson, J. A. Johnston, F. Schaper, P. C. Heinrich, and S. Haan. 2005. Mechanisms of SOCS3 phosphorylation upon interleukin-6 stimulation: contributions of Srcand receptor-tyrosine kinases. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 31478–31488.
- Babon, J. J., N. J. Kershaw, J. M. Murphy, L. N. Varghese, A. Laktyushin, S. N. Young, I. S. Lucet, R. S. Norton, and N. A. Nicola. 2012. Suppression of cytokine signaling by SOCS3: characterization of the mode of inhibition and the basis of its specificity. *Immunity* 36: 239–250.
- Qin, H., W.-I. Yeh, P. De Sarno, A. T. Holdbrooks, Y. Liu, M. T. Muldowney, S. L. Reynolds, L. L. Yanagisawa, T. H. I. Fox, III, K. Park, et al. 2012. Signal

transducer and activator of transcription-3/suppressor of cytokine signaling-3 (STAT3/SOCS3) axis in myeloid cells regulates neuroinflammation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109: 5004–5009.

- Kang, Z., C. Z. Altuntas, M. F. Gulen, C. Liu, N. Giltiay, H. Qin, L. Liu, W. Qian, R. M. Ransohoff, C. Bergmann, et al. 2010. Astrocyte-restricted ablation of interleukin-17-induced Act1-mediated signaling ameliorates autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Immunity* 32: 414–425.
- Croker, B. A., D. L. Krebs, J.-G. Zhang, S. Wormald, T. A. Willson, E. G. Stanley, L. Robb, C. J. Greenhalgh, I. Förster, B. E. Clausen, et al. 2003. SOCS3 negatively regulates IL-6 signaling in vivo. *Nat. Immunol.* 4: 540–545.
- Akhtar, L. N., H. Qin, M. T. Muldowney, L. L. Yanagisawa, O. Kutsch, J. E. Clements, and E. N. Benveniste. 2010. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 inhibits antiviral IFN-β signaling to enhance HIV-1 replication in macrophages. J. Immunol. 185: 2393–2404.
- Feng, T., L. Wang, T. R. Schoeb, C. O. Elson, and Y. Cong. 2010. Microbiota innate stimulation is a prerequisite for T cell spontaneous proliferation and induction of experimental colitis. J. Exp. Med. 207: 1321–1332.
- Ma, X., S. L. Reynolds, B. J. Baker, X. Li, E. N. Benveniste, and H. Qin. 2010. IL-17 enhancement of the IL-6 signaling cascade in astrocytes. *J. Immunol.* 184: 4898–4906.
- Greenhill, C. J., S. Rose-John, R. Lissilaa, W. Ferlin, M. Ernst, P. J. Hertzog, A. Mansell, and B. J. Jenkins. 2011. IL-6 *trans*-signaling modulates TLR4dependent inflammatory responses via STAT3. *J. Immunol.* 186: 1199–1208.
- Mylonas, K. J., M. G. Nair, L. Prieto-Lafuente, D. Paape, and J. E. Allen. 2009. Alternatively activated macrophages elicited by helminth infection can be reprogrammed to enable microbial killing. J. Immunol. 182: 3084–3094.
- Stout, R. D., C. Jiang, B. Matta, I. Tietzel, S. K. Watkins, and J. Suttles. 2005. Macrophages sequentially change their functional phenotype in response to changes in microenvironmental influences. J. Immunol. 175: 342–349.
- Liao, X., N. Sharma, F. Kapadia, G. Zhou, Y. Lu, H. Hong, K. Paruchuri, G. H. Mahabeleshwar, E. Dalmas, N. Venteclef, et al. 2011. Krüppel-like factor 4 regulates macrophage polarization. J. Clin. Invest. 121: 2736–2749.
- Sierra-Filardi, E., A. Puig-Kröger, F. J. Blanco, C. Nieto, R. Bragado, M. I. Palomero, C. Bernabéu, M. A. Vega, and A. L. Corbí. 2011. Activin A skews macrophage polarization by promoting a proinflammatory phenotype and inhibiting the acquisition of anti-inflammatory macrophage markers. *Blood* 117: 5092–5101.
- Barton, G. M., and R. Medzhitov. 2003. Toll-like receptor signaling pathways. Science 300: 1524–1525.
- Qin, H., C. A. Wilson, S. J. Lee, X. Zhao, and E. N. Benveniste. 2005. LPS induces CD40 gene expression through the activation of NF-κB and STAT-1α in macrophages and microglia. *Blood* 106: 3114–3122.
- 31. van der Does, A. M., H. Beekhuizen, B. Ravensbergen, T. Vos, T. H. Ottenhoff, J. T. van Dissel, J. W. Drijfhout, P. S. Hiemstra, and P. H. Nibbering. 2010. LL-37 directs macrophage differentiation toward macrophages with a proinflammatory signature. J. Immunol. 185: 1442–1449.
- Cassol, E., L. Cassetta, C. Rizzi, M. Alfano, and G. Poli. 2009. M1 and M2a polarization of human monocyte-derived macrophages inhibits HIV-1 replication by distinct mechanisms. *J. Immunol.* 182: 6237–6246.
- 33. Shaykhiev, R., A. Krause, J. Salit, Y. Strulovici-Barel, B. G. Harvey, T. P. O'Connor, and R. G. Crystal. 2009. Smoking-dependent reprogramming of alveolar macrophage polarization: implication for pathogenesis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J. Immunol. 183: 2867–2883.
- Greenhill, C. J., J. Gould, M. Ernst, P. J. Hertzog, A. Mansell, and B. J. Jenkins. 2011. LPS hypersensitivity of gp130 mutant mice is independent of elevated haemopoietic TLR4 signaling. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 56: 1–5.
- Refaie, S., S. Gagnon, H. Gagnon, R. Desjardins, F. D'Anjou, P. D'Orléans-Juste, X. Zhu, D. F. Steiner, N. G. Seidah, C. Lazure, et al. 2012. Disruption of protein convertase 1/3 (PC1/3) expression in mice causes innate immune defects and uncontrolled cytokine secretion. J. Biol. Chem. 287: 14703–14717.
- Yasukawa, H., M. Ohishi, H. Mori, M. Murakami, T. Chinen, D. Aki, T. Hanada, K. Takeda, S. Akira, M. Hoshijima, et al. 2003. IL-6 induces an anti-

inflammatory response in the absence of SOCS3 in macrophages. Nat. Immunol. 4: 551-556.

- Lehmann, U., J. Schmitz, M. Weissenbach, R. M. Sobota, M. Hortner, K. Friederichs, I. Behrmann, W. Tsiaris, A. Sasaki, J. Schneider-Mergener, et al. 2003. SHP2 and SOCS3 contribute to Tyr-759-dependent attenuation of interleukin-6 signaling through gp130. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278: 661–671.
- Palmer, D. C., and N. P. Restifo. 2009. Suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) in T cell differentiation, maturation, and function. *Trends Immunol.* 30: 592–602.
- Horn, P. J., and C. L. Peterson. 2002. Molecular biology. Chromatin higher order folding—wrapping up transcription. *Science* 297: 1824–1827.
- Murphy, K. M., and B. Stockinger. 2010. Effector T cell plasticity: flexibility in the face of changing circumstances. *Nat. Immunol.* 11: 674–680.
- Ramgolam, V. S., and S. Markovic-Plese. 2011. Regulation of suppressors of cytokine signaling as a therapeutic approach in autoimmune diseases, with an emphasis on multiple sclerosis. J. Signal Transduct. 2011: 635721.
- Grutkoski, P. S., Y. Chen, C. S. Chung, and A. Ayala. 2003. Sepsis-induced SOCS-3 expression is immunologically restricted to phagocytes. J. Leukoc. Biol. 74: 916–922.
- Fang, M., H. Dai, G. Yu, and F. Gong. 2005. Gene delivery of SOCS3 protects mice from lethal endotoxic shock. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 2: 373–377.
- 44. Shouda, T., T. Yoshida, T. Hanada, T. Wakioka, M. Oishi, K. Miyoshi, S. Komiya, K. Kosai, Y. Hanakawa, K. Hashimoto, et al. 2001. Induction of the cytokine signal regulator SOCS3/CIS3 as a therapeutic strategy for treating inflammatory arthritis. J. Clin. Invest. 108: 1781–1788.
- Jo, D., D. Liu, S. Yao, R. D. Collins, and J. Hawiger. 2005. Intracellular protein therapy with SOCS3 inhibits inflammation and apoptosis. *Nat. Med.* 11: 892– 898.
- Hilberath, J. N., T. Carlo, M. A. Pfeffer, R. H. Croze, F. Hastrup, and B. D. Levy. 2011. Resolution of Toll-like receptor 4-mediated acute lung injury is linked to eicosanoids and suppressor of cytokine signaling 3. *FASEB J.* 25: 1827– 1835.
- Mignon, A., N. Rouquet, M. Fabre, S. Martin, J. C. Pagès, J. F. Dhainaut, A. Kahn, P. Briand, and V. Joulin. 1999. LPS challenge in D-galactosaminesensitized mice accounts for caspase-dependent fulminant hepatitis, not for septic shock. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 159: 1308–1315.
- Lafdil, F., H. Wang, O. Park, W. Zhang, Y. Moritoki, S. Yin, X. Y. Fu, M. E. Gershwin, Z. X. Lian, and B. Gao. 2009. Myeloid STAT3 inhibits T cellmediated hepatitis by regulating T helper 1 cytokine and interleukin-17 production. *Gastroenterology* 137: 2125–2135, e1–e2.
- 49. Horiguchi, N., F. Latdil, A. M. Miller, O. Park, H. Wang, M. Rajesh, P. Mukhopadhyay, X. Y. Fu, P. Pacher, and B. Gao. 2010. Dissociation between liver inflammation and hepatocellular damage induced by carbon tetrachloride in myeloid cell-specific signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 gene knockout mice. *Hepatology* 51: 1724–1734.
- Babon, J. J., J. K. Sabo, A. Soetopo, S. Yao, M. F. Bailey, J. G. Zhang, N. A. Nicola, and R. S. Norton. 2008. The SOCS box domain of SOCS3: structure and interaction with the elonginBC-cullin5 ubiquitin ligase. *J. Mol. Biol.* 381: 928–940.
- Li, Y., N. Chu, A. Rostami, and G. X. Zhang. 2006. Dendritic cells transduced with SOCS-3 exhibit a tolerogenic/DC2 phenotype that directs type 2 Th cell differentiation in vitro and in vivo. J. Immunol. 177: 1679–1688.
- 52. Rønn, S. G., A. Börjesson, C. Bruun, P. E. Heding, H. Frobøse, T. Mandrup-Poulsen, A. E. Karlsen, J. Rasschaert, S. Sandler, and N. Billestrup. 2008. Suppressor of cytokine signalling-3 expression inhibits cytokine-mediated destruction of primary mouse and rat pancreatic islets and delays allograft rejection. *Diabetologia* 51: 1873–1882.
- 53. Chen, Y. H., S. C. Hsieh, W. Y. Chen, K. J. Li, C. H. Wu, P. C. Wu, C. Y. Tsai, and C. L. Yu. 2011. Spontaneous resolution of acute gouty arthritis is associated with rapid induction of the anti-inflammatory factors TGFβ1, IL-10 and soluble TNF receptors and the intracellular cytokine negative regulators CIS and SOCS3. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 70: 1655–1663.

Corrections

Qin, H., A. T. Holdbrooks, Y. Liu, S. L. Reynolds, L. L. Yanagisawa, and E. N. Benveniste. 2012. SOCS3 deficiency promotes M1 macrophage polarization and inflammation. J. Immunol. 189: 3439–3448.

Following an inquiry by a reader who noticed a discrepancy in the figures published in our article, Dr. Pamela J. Fink, Editor-in-Chief of *The Journal of Immunology*, informed us that we used incorrect images for the total STAT6 lanes in Fig. 3F and the input lanes of IL-12p40 promoter and IL-23p19 promoter in Fig. 4D. After carefully identifying the original data, our published Figs. 3F and 4D are now replaced by these new images depicting the correct data. These changes do not affect the conclusions derived from our findings in Figs. 3 and 4. The legends are correct as published and are shown below for reference.



FIGURE 3. Absence of SOCS3 enhances STAT activation in macrophages. (**A**) BMDMs were treated with LPS, IFN- γ , LPS plus IFN- γ , GM-CSF, LPS plus GM-CSF, IL-4, M-CSF, or IL-4 plus M-CSF for 4 h, and then cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with SOCS1, SOCS3, and GAPDH Abs. (**B**) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d, treated with IFN- γ for up to 4 h, and then mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR for SOCS1, SOCS3, and GAPDH expression. BMDMs were cultured with M-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 5 d, treated with IFN- γ (**C**), GM-CSF (**D**), LPS (**E**), or IL-4 (**F**) for up to 4 h, and then protein lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the specified Abs. Results represent five independent experiments. **p < 0.001.



FIGURE 4. SOCS3 deletion in macrophages leads to enhanced M1 polarization. (**A**) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were incubated with medium or LPS for 4 h. mRNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, TNF- α , iNOS, IL-10, and GAPDH expression. (**B**) BMDMs were incubated with medium (untreated [UN]) or LPS for up to 16 h, and supernatants were analyzed for IL-6 protein by ELISA. (**C**) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were incubated with medium (UN) or LPS for 24 h. Supernatants were analyzed for production of nitrite, a stable end product of NO production, using the Griess reagent. *p < 0.05. (**D**) BMDMs were incubated with medium (UN) or LPS for 4 h, and then cells were cross-linked with formaldehyde. Soluble chromatin was subjected to immunoprecipitation with Abs against histone acetylation (Ac-H3 and Ac-H4) or normal rabbit. IgG. PCR analysis of the positive control (input) indicates that soluble chromatin samples obtained from each time point had equal amounts of chromatin fragments containing the IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, and IL-10 promoters. (**E**) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were treated with Pam2CSK4 (10 ng/ml) for 1 and 2 h, and then protein lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the specified Abs. (**F**) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were treated with Pam2CSK4 (10 ng/ml) for 1 and 2 h, and then protein lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the specified Abs. (**F**) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were treated with Pam2CSK4 (10 ng/ml) for 1 and 2 h, and then protein lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the specified Abs. (**F**) BMDMs from SOCS3^{fl/fl} and LysMCre-SOCS3^{fl/fl} mice were treated with Pam2CSK4 (10 ng/ml) for 4 h. mRNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR for IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12p40, IL-23p19, iNOS, and GAPDH expression. Results represent three independent experiments.

www.jimmunol.org/cgi/doi/10.4049/jimmunol.1600710