Sodium-glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors and the Risk for Urosepsis – A Multisite Prevalent New-user Cohort Study

Anat Fisher MD PhD¹, Michael Fralick MD PhD^{2,3}, Kristian B. Filion PhD^{4,5}, Sophie Dell'Aniello MSc⁵, Antonios Douros MD PhD^{4,5,6}, Éric Tremblay BPharm MSc⁷, Baiju R. Shah MD PhD^{3,8,9}, Paul E. Ronksley PhD¹⁰, Silvia Alessi-Severini PhD^{11,12}, Nianping Hu PhD¹³, Shawn C. Bugden PharmD MSc^{11,14}, Pierre Ernst MD MSc^{5,15}, Lisa M. Lix PhD¹⁶ for the Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies (CNODES) Investigators^{*}

- 1. Research Associate, Department of Anesthesiology, Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver B.C, Canada ORCID ID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9730-5107</u>
- 2. Sinai Health System, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 3. Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- 4. Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montreal, QC
- 5. Centre for Clinical Epidemiology, Lady Davis Institute, Montreal, QC
- 6. Institute of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany
- 7. Institut national d'excellence en santé et en services sociaux (INESSS), Quebec City, Quebec, Canada
- 8. I.C.E.S, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- 9. Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- 10. Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
- 11. College of Pharmacy, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
- 12. Manitoba Centre for Health Policy, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
- 13. The Health Quality Council, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada
- 14. School of Pharmacy, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada
- 15. Department of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC

16.Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Short running title "SGLT2i and urosepsis" Word Count: 3475; Abstract Word Count: 249, Number of references 40, Number of tables 3, Number of figures 2

Corresponding author: Lisa Lix PhD Professor Department of Community Health Sciences University of Manitoba 3rd floor, 753 McDermot Ave Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada Telephone: (204) 789-3573 Fax: (204) 789-3905 Email: <u>lisa.lix@umanitoba.ca</u>

*The Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies (CNODES) Investigators are: Samy Suissa (Principal Investigator); Colin R. Dormuth (British Columbia); Brenda R. Hemmelgarn (Alberta); Jacqueline Quail (Saskatchewan); Dan Chateau (Manitoba); J. Michael Paterson (Ontario); Jacques LeLorier (Québec); Adrian R. Levy (Atlantic: Nova Scotia, Newfoundland and Labrador, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island); Pierre Ernst and Kristian B. Filion (UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)); Lisa M. Lix (Database); Robert W. Platt (Methods); and Ingrid S. Sketris (Knowledge Translation). CNODES, a collaborating centre of the Drug Safety and Effectiveness Network (DSEN), is funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Grant Number DSE-146021).

1 Abstract

AIMS: The United States Food and Drug Administration has warned of an increased
risk of serious urinary tract infection (UTI) and Fournier's gangrene in patients with
diabetes mellitus type 2 treated with sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors
(SGLT2i). However, evidence on these risks is limited. We aimed to compare urosepsis
rates in SGLT2i users with users of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i) in a realworld setting.

8 **METHODS**: We conducted a matched cohort study using a prevalent new-user design 9 with time-conditional propensity scores. New users of SGLT2i from seven Canadian provinces and the United Kingdom were matched to DPP4i users. The primary outcome 10 11 was hospitalization with a diagnosis of urosepsis, and the secondary outcome was 12 Fournier's gangrene. Site-specific hazard ratios for urosepsis comparing SGLT2i with 13 DPP4i were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models and pooled using a 14 random-effects meta-analysis. **RESULTS:** We included 208,244 users of SGLT2i and 208,244 users of DPP4i. Among 15 16 SGLT2i users, 42% initiated canagliflozin, 31% dapagliflozin, and 27% empagliflozin. 17 During a mean follow-up of 0.9 years, patients initiating SGLT2i had a lower rate of 18 urosepsis compared with those receiving DPP4i. The pooled adjusted hazard ratio was 19 0.58; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.42-0.80. The incidence rates of Fournier's 20 gangrene were numerically similar in SGLT2i (0.08 per 1000 person-years; 95% CI: 21 0.05-0.13) and DPP4i users (0.14; 95% CI: 0.09-0.21).

- 22 **CONCLUSIONS**: In this large multi-site study, we did not observe an increased risk for
- 23 urosepsis associated with SGLT2i compared with DPP4i among patients with type 2
- 24 diabetes in a real-world setting.

25 Introduction

26 In May 2015, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) warned of an 27 increased risk for serious urinary tract infection (UTI) in patients treated with sodiumglucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i).¹ A second FDA warning, issued in August 28 2018, reported on a possible association between SGLT2i treatment and severe genital 29 30 infections resulting in necrotizing fasciitis of the perineum (Fournier's gangrene).² The safety warnings were issued in response to 19 cases of urosepsis^{1,3} and 12 cases of 31 Fournier's gangrene² identified from the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System and the 32 33 literature. The FDA reports provided limited evidence on causal relationships between the SGLT2i and these conditions, and rates could not be calculated.⁴ 34

35 Multiple meta-analyses of clinical trial data have examined the association between 36 SGLT2i and UTI. Meta-analyses of randomized clinical trials (RCTs) from 2012 to 2016 identified an increased risk of UTI⁵⁻⁹ while more recent large meta-analyses did not.^{10,11} 37 RCTs can underestimate the rate of important adverse events,^{12,13} and the participants 38 39 may not represent patients treated in routine care;¹⁴ therefore, it is important to also 40 consider real-world studies. Four large observational studies found similar, if not lower, 41 rates of UTI with SGLT2i compared to other second-line medications for type 2 diabetes.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ While these studies provided important reassurance regarding the risk of 42 43 UTI, only one study also assessed urosepsis. This study found no increased risk of 44 urosepsis in patients treated with SGLT2i compared with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i) and with glucagon-like peptide1 (GLP1) receptor agonists.¹⁶ 45

46 With regards to Fournier's gangrene, a recent meta-analysis of RCTs did not identify an

47	increased risk of this rare outcome. ¹⁹ Similarly, two recent observational studies in users
48	of SGLT2i did not detect an increased rate of Fournier's gangrene compared with users
49	of DPP4i, ^{20,21} or non-SGLT2i antidiabetic medications. ²⁰ However, because Fournier's
50	gangrene is rare (i.e., incidence is 0.016 per 1000^{22}), the three epidemiological
51	studies ¹⁹⁻²¹ were underpowered to detect a difference. Given the limited available
52	evidence on the risk of urosepsis and Fournier's gangrene, the severity of these
53	outcomes, and the increasing use of SGLT2i among patients with type 2 diabetes, ^{23,24}
54	there remains an urgent need to assess these potential safety issues.
55	The Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies (CNODES) ²⁵ used
56	population-based data from seven Canadian provinces and the United Kingdom (UK)
57	Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) to test whether SGLT2i were associated
58	with an increased rate of urosepsis compared with DPP4i among adults with type 2
59	diabetes, and to describe the risk of Fournier's gangrene.

60

61 Methods

62 Study design and data sources

63 We conducted matched cohort studies using a prevalent new-user design with time-

64 conditional propensity scores (TCPS).²⁶ Patients were identified from administrative

healthcare databases in seven Canadian provinces (Alberta, British Columbia,

66 Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Quebec, and Saskatchewan), and primary-care clinical

67 data from the CPRD.²⁷ The Canadian data included physician billing claims,

68 hospitalization discharge diagnoses, and pharmacy dispensations of prescription

drugs.²⁵ In Alberta, data were available for individuals aged 19 years or older; in 69 Ontario, data were available for individuals 65 years or older. Quebec data were 70 71 restricted to 40% of the total Quebec population who were aged 65 years or older, 72 beneficiaries of social assistance, or subscribers to the province's public insurance drug 73 plan. The CPRD data included primary care medical records—with prescription data 74 rather than dispensations—for over 15 million people enrolled from over 700 UK 75 practices. We restricted inclusion to patients who were linkable to hospitalization data 76 (76% of CPRD practices).

The study protocol was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/
NCT04017221), and research ethics board approval was obtained at each participating
site. Site-specific analyses were conducted using SAS, and meta-analyses were
conducted using Review Manager, version 5.3.

81

82 Study population

83 The source population included individuals dispensed or prescribed (CPRD) an 84 antidiabetic medication between January 1, 2006 and June 30, 2018 or the end of data 85 availability in each site (Supplement Table S1). Antidiabetic medications included 86 metformin, sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, DPP4i, SGLT2i, GLP1 receptor agonists, 87 alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, meglitinides, insulin, or combinations of these drugs. With 88 the source population covering 2006 to 2018, we were able to identify all patients with a 89 previous history of DPP4i use. From the source population, we identified patients who 90 received a first dispensation for an SGLT2i or a dispensation for a DPP4i during the

91 identification period (Supplement Tables S1, S2), which started in each site on the date
92 of the first recorded dispensation of SGLT2i at this site and ended on June 30, 2018 or
93 the last date with available data. We excluded new users of SGLT2i if they had also
94 started using a DPP4i on the same date, and users of a DPP4i if they had used an
95 SGLT2i earlier.

We excluded patients who were younger than 18 years or had healthcare coverage for less than 365 days before the first dispensation of an SGLT2i or a DPP4i. Users of SGLT2i were excluded if they had a prior hospitalization for urinary tract infection or acute pyelonephritis within 30 days before cohort entry, spinal cord injury affecting bladder function within three years before cohort entry or a urinary catheter within the last year before cohort entry. For users of DPP4i, we applied the same exclusion criteria for each DPP4i dispensation.

103

104 Matched study cohort

Using the prevalent new-user design,²⁶ we included new users of SGLT2i, who were 105 106 either incident new-users, i.e., patients who did not receive a DPP4i in the previous 107 year, and prevalent new-users, i.e., those who received treatment with a DPP4i in the 108 year before starting an SGLT2i. For each initial dispensation of a SGLT2i, we selected a 109 comparator dispensation from the cohort of DPP4i patients matched on calendar time, 110 prior treatment, and TCPS. First, we defined an exposure set for each initial 111 dispensation of an SGLT2i. To minimize the risk of calendar time bias, each exposure 112 set included DPP4i dispensations occurring within 120 days of the new SGLT2i

113 prescription. Exposure sets for incident new users of SGLT2i included incident 114 dispensations of DPP4i (i.e., a new DPP4i dispensation for a patient with no DPP4i use 115 in the previous year). Exposure sets for prevalent new users of SGLT2i included DPP4i 116 users who had the same duration of prior use of DPP4i (±180days) and did not switch to 117 or add an SGLT2i. All exposure sets were further matched on level of antidiabetic 118 therapy (categorized as insulin use, use of at least two different classes of antidiabetic 119 medications, or 0-1 classes of non-insulin antidiabetic medications) and the use of 120 GLP1 receptor agonists in the previous year. Cohort entry date was the date of the 121 initial SGLT2i dispensation or by the date of the matched DPP4i dispensation in the exposure set. Patients were followed from the day after cohort entry until the occurrence 122 123 of the outcome, death, end of the study period, end of data, discontinuation of the study 124 drug, or switching from a DPP4i to an SGLT2i, whichever occurred first.

125 Next, we computed the TCPS (i.e., the probability of receiving an SGLT2i versus a 126 DPP4i) using conditional logistic regression stratified by exposure set. Estimation was 127 done separately for incident and prevalent new users, and scores were computed for 128 each individual in each exposure set; hence, an individual may have different scores for 129 exposure sets they enter, depending on the time of entry (i.e., time conditional). The 130 conditional logistic regression models included demographics, duration of diabetes, 131 medical conditions in the three years before cohort entry, and medication and 132 healthcare use in the year before cohort entry, for a total of 47 covariates (Supplement, 133 Table S3). The variables were selected based on clinical expertise and prior literature 134 identifying predictors of UTI (e.g., age, sex, antibiotic use) and variables associated with DPP4i use or SGLT2i use (e.g., presence of cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney 135

136 disease, peripheral vascular disease). For the CPRD analysis we included additional 137 clinical variables at cohort entry: body mass index, smoking, race, blood pressure level, 138 estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR), and hemoglobin A1c level. These clinical 139 variables were not included in other databases. Missing values were considered a 140 separate category. To satisfy the positivity assumption, we excluded exposure sets if 141 the TCPS of the patient treated with an SGLT2i was not within the range of the TCPS 142 distribution of the corresponding DPP4i exposure set. Finally, in chronological order, we 143 used the nearest TCPS to match new users of SGLT2i on a one-to-one basis (without 144 replacement) to patients using DPP4i in their exposure set. In sites that experienced 145 more than 10% loss of exposure sets after satisfying the positivity assumption and 146 matching, we allowed matching with replacement using a caliper width of ±0.2 standard 147 deviations of the log TCPS, to reduce the number of times a given individual was 148 selected in the comparator group. Matching with replacement was done in five sites 149 (Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, and Saskatchewan).

150

151 **Exposure**

Exposure was defined using an as-treated approach (i.e., defined at cohort entry and considered time-fixed). Patients were assigned one of the two mutually exclusive categories: 1) user of SGLT2i (canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, or empagliflozin) alone or in combination with other antidiabetic drugs; or 2) user of DPP4i (alogliptin, linagliptin, saxagliptin, sitagliptin, or vildagliptin) alone or in combination with other non-SGLT2i antidiabetic drugs. Treatment discontinuation was defined by a treatment gap of 30 days or more between successive prescriptions. Patients from the SGLT2i groups were

allowed to add on a DPP4i, but they were censored if they discontinued the SGLT2i and

160 switched to a DPP4i. DPP4i users were censored when they initiated an SGLT2i

161 (regardless of whether or not they discontinued their DPP4i) and were allowed to move

162 to the SGLT2i exposure group.

163

164 **Outcomes**

165 The primary outcome was urosepsis, defined as a hospitalization with a diagnostic code

166 for acute pyelonephritis, UTI, or acute cystitis in any position (International Classification

167 of Diseases version 10 Canadian Version, ICD-10-CA codes N10, N15.1, N39.0 or

168 N30.0) and a corresponding code for sepsis (ICD-10-CA codes A41.xx, R57.2, or

169 R65.2). The secondary outcome was Fournier's gangrene, defined by inpatient ICD-10-

170 CA diagnostic codes N49.3, N76.8x, or N76.88 in any diagnosis position. The date of

171 hospital admission defined the event date.

172

173 Statistical analysis

Rates and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using the Poisson distribution for both outcomes. We used time-dependent Cox proportional hazards models to estimate hazard ratios and 95% CIs for urosepsis with SGLT2i versus DPP4i, with follow-up time as the underlying time axis. The outcome model included age (continuous variable), sex, diabetes duration (continuous variable), and decile of TCPS. In sites where matching with replacement was required, we corrected for dependence of observations of the same patient, by using the robust sandwich

estimate for the covariance. Site-specific results were pooled using DerSimonian and
Laird random-effects models with inverse variance weighting.²⁸ We estimated betweensite heterogeneity using the l²-statistic. To avoid overfitting the data, sites with fewer
than five events in each exposure group were not included in the meta-analysis (CPRD,
Manitoba, Nova Scotia). Power calculations are provided in the Supplement (page S12).

186

187 Additional analyses

188 We use stratified analysis to evaluated effect modification by age (\geq 70 or <70 years), 189 sex, and prior insulin use and to explore the risk of specific SGLT2 molecules. We also 190 conducted three sensitivity analyses. First, we broadened the main outcome definition 191 to include all hospitalizations with UTI as the primary diagnosis. Next, we varied the 192 treatment gap used to define discontinuation to 0 and 60 days after the exhaustion of a 193 dispensation. Last, we assessed the effect separately in incident and prevalent new 194 users of SGLT2i. We also considered conducting an intention-to-treat analysis with a 195 maximum follow-up of one year; however, the mean follow-up in our primary analysis was 0.9 years and we did not anticipate that results would differ substantially. 196

197

198 Results

We identified 270,902 patients who initiated an SGLT2i, and 632,114 patients who
initiated a DPP4i (Figure 1). After applying the exclusion criteria, 214,992 new users of
SGLT2i and 501,077 new users of DPP4i were considered for matching. The matched

study cohorts included 208,244 new users of SGLT2i and 208,244 users of DPP4i. Of
these, 102,743 (49%) matched pairs were incident new users of SGLT2i and the
remaining 105,501 (51%) were prevalent new users.

205 After matching, baseline characteristics were well balanced between the two treatment 206 groups, with the exception of age and GFR in the CPRD, and prior use of metformin in 207 the Saskatchewan database (Table 1, Supplement Table S4). Patients were mostly 208 male (58%) with a mean age of 64 years. About 60% of the patients had a duration of 209 diabetes of over 10 years. In the previous year, 87% of the patients were treated with 210 metformin and 28% were treated with insulin. Among 208,244 users of SGLT2i, 42.3% 211 initiated treatment with canagliflozin, 30.7% dapagliflozin, and 27.0% empagliflozin. We 212 observed a similar distribution of SGLT2i molecules in incident new users and prevalent 213 new users. Additional characteristics of the matched users of SGLT2i and DPP4i in the 214 CPRD are provided in Table 2. Approximately 5% of adults in the CPRD prescribed an SGLT2i had GFR less than 60 mL/min/1.73m² compared with 10% of users of DPP4i. 215 216 Patients were followed for a mean duration of 0.9 years (standard deviation 0.76), until 217 the event, censoring, or treatment discontinuation, for a total of 369,753 person-years. 218 During follow-up, there were 189 events of urosepsis among users of SGLT2i 219 (incidence rate of 1.00 per 1000 person-years; 95% CI: 0.87-1.16), and 368 events 220 among the users of DPP4i (incidence rate 2.03 per 1000 person-years; 95% CI: 0.83-221 2.24). For the primary outcome of urosepsis, we pooled hazard ratios from five sites and

found that the use of SGLT2i was associated with a decreased risk of urosepsis

compared with DPP4i. The unadjusted hazard ratio was 0.53; 95% CI 0.41-0.68. The

adjusted hazard ratio was 0.58, 95% CI: 0.42-0.80 (l²: 56%; Figure 2).

The incidence rates of Fournier's gangrene were numerically similar between users of SGLT2i and users of DPP4i (number of events: 15 vs. 25; incidence rate 0.08 per 1000 person-years; 95% CI: 0.05-0.13 vs. 0.14; 95% CI: 0.09-0.21). Results from the additional analyses are summarized in Table 3. We found no evidence of effect modification by age, sex, prior insulin use, or SGLT2i molecule. The overall results of the sensitivity analyses were consistent with results from our primary analysis.

231 Discussion

232 Our study, including data from seven Canadian provinces and the CPRD, is one of the 233 largest real-world studies assessing the occurrence of urosepsis and Fournier's 234 gangrene among patients with type 2 diabetes treated with SGLT2i. We found a lower 235 rate of urosepsis with SGLT2i compared with DPP4i (adjusted hazard ratio 0.58; 95% 236 CI: 0.42-0.80). The risk reduction was similar for each of the SGLT2i molecules studied; 237 canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, or empagliflozin. The incidence rate of Fournier's gangrene 238 was numerically similar for SGLT2i and DPP4i (0.08 vs 0.14 per 1000 person-years with overlapping CIs); however, this finding should be interpreted with caution given the lack 239 240 of statistical adjustment and wide 95% CIs.

The effect of SGLT2i on the risk for UTI has been studied in over 35 meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials and four real-world observational studies. Meta-analyses from 2012 to 2016 often reported an increase in risk for UTI with SGLT2i monotherapy or as add-on to other pharmacological therapies.⁵⁻⁹ However, the recent meta-analyses did not find an increased risk.^{10,11} This inconsistency in the results could be explained by differences in comparators among the included studies. Meta-analyses comparing

SGLT2i to active treatments usually found no difference in UTI.^{10,29,30} On the other 247 hand, compared with placebo or a combination of placebo and active treatment, SGLT2i 248 treatment had an increased UTI risk.^{7-9,31-33} In three meta-analyses, SGLT2i had similar 249 risk for UTI compared with DPP4i.^{30,31,34} Inconsistencies in the results of the meta-250 251 analyses could also be related to the specific SGLT2i molecule studied; early meta-252 analyses, which included mainly studies on dapagliflozin, often found an increase in UTI.³⁵⁻³⁸ Similarly, more recent large meta-analyses found that dapagliflozin was 253 254 associated with an increased risk of UTI, while canagliflozin and empagliflozin were not.^{10,11,39} 255

As for the outcome of the current study, urosepsis, a recent meta-analysis found no significant increase in the risk of urosepsis compared to placebo (22 cases reported in 9 studies, risk ratio 1.41; 95% CI: 0.57-3.48) or active comparators (1 case reported in 2 studies, risk ratio 1.39; 95% CI: 0.07-28.33).¹⁰ One study using data from routine care found no increase in risk for urosepsis in patients treated with SGLT2i compared with DPP4i and with GLP1 receptor agonists.¹⁶ The incidence rate of urosepsis with SGLT2i was similar to that estimated in our study (1.8-2.3 per 1000 person-years).¹⁶

Other observational studies examined UTI, but not urosepsis and found a similar or lower rate of UTI with SGLT2i compared to DPP4i,^{15,17} GLP1 receptor agonists,¹⁸ or both.¹⁶ Most of these studies used an active comparator, new-user design with one-toone propensity score matching. Two of the studies examined serious UTI (defined by a UTI diagnosis with hospitalization) and found no increased risk in users of SGLT2i, with hazard ratios of 0.89, 95% CI: 0.67-1.19, compared with GLP1 receptor agonists.²¹ Two

other studies examined outpatient UTI, with the outcome defined using antibiotics
prescription refills and/or UTI diagnoses. These two studies found that patients treated
with SGLT2i had a similar or lower UTI risk compared with DPP4i, with hazard ratios
0.90; 95% CI: 0.66-1.24¹⁵ and 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78-1.00.¹⁷

274 Few studies have examined the association between SGLT2i use and Fournier's gangrene. A recent meta-analysis pooled data from three RCTs and failed to detect any 275 276 association between SGLT2i and Fournier's gangrene, perhaps due to the small 277 number of events detected (9 events in over 28,000 patients, odds ratio 0.41; 95% CI: 278 0.09-1.82).¹⁹ Because Fournier's gangrene is rare, real-world data can provide 279 important information that may not be apparent from clinical trials. Three observational 280 studies conducted using data from the United States found that the rates of Fournier's gangrene in patients treated with SGLT2i were (numerically or statistically) similar to 281 those treated with DPP4i^{20,21,40}. However, the number of events in SGLT2i users in the 282 three real-world studies, including ours, remains small (105 events overall). 283

284 Our study has several strengths. The use of the prevalent new-user design allowed us 285 to include SGLT2i patients who had recently switched from treatment with a DPP4i. By 286 using this study design, we avoided the exclusion of approximately 50% of SGLT2i 287 users and were therefore better able to reflect real-world diabetes treatment. Although 288 there were changes in the standard of care for patients with type 2 diabetes during the 289 study period, we matched on calendar time (caliper 120 days), minimizing the possibility 290 of residual confounding due to these temporal changes. Additionally, the inclusion of 291 multiple data sources and the large number of patients examined permitted the 292 calculation of precise estimates for urosepsis.

293 Our study findings should be interpreted in light of its limitations. First, while the 294 observed baseline characteristics were well balanced, this does not guarantee that 295 unmeasured characteristics were also well balanced (residual confounding). The 296 duration of follow-up was relatively short (i.e., mean 0.9 years) and thus our results do not provide risk estimates for long-term SGLT2i use. Our results might be partly 297 298 explained by confounding by indication (or contraindication) if patients at highest risk of 299 UTI had preferentially received a DPP4i following the FDA warning on SGLT2i in 2015. 300 Also, matching with replacement in some sites may have caused atypical patients to be 301 selected repeatedly. However, the hazard ratios were consistent across the sites, 302 regardless of the matching strategy, and therefore we are confident that this had 303 minimal impact on our results. Finally, although the prevalent new-user design offers 304 several advantages, it is not without limitations. The design included a mixing of causal 305 contrasts, i.e., initiating SGLT2i vs. DPP4i compared with switching from a DPP4i to 306 SGLT2i vs. maintaining DPP4i. We cannot rule out confounding by indication in the 307 prevalent user sub-cohort, i.e., the reason for switching to a SGLT2i as opposed to 308 maintaining DPP4i treatment may also be related to the outcome. We allowed for 309 previous use of DPP4i among SGLT2i users but not vice versa to mimic an RCT-we 310 focused on new users of SGLT2i and censored those who switched to DPP4i. This 311 censoring was minimal (5.5% of DPP4i dispensations) and unlikely to have had much 312 impact on our results. We are confident that this did not increase the bias, as 313 comparable results were found among incident new users and prevalent new users 314 (Table 3).

In this large multi-site cohort study, patients with type 2 diabetes treated with SGLT2i had a lower rate of urosepsis compared with those treated with DPP4i, a medication used at a similar stage in the treatment of diabetes. Given the FDA warnings on the possible increased risk of serious UTI associated with SGLT2i, confounding by contraindication is a consideration. Our results provide reassurance regarding the risk of urosepsis associated with this increasingly used drug class; however, considering all

321 available evidence, SGLT2i treatment may not be safer than DPP4i.

Acknowledgements

The Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies (CNODES), a collaborating centre of the Drug Safety and Effectiveness Network (DSEN), is funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR: Grant # DSE-146021). This study was made possible through data sharing agreements between the CNODES member research centres and the respective provincial governments of Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba (HIPC # 2018/2019-58), Nova Scotia, Ontario, Quebec, and Saskatchewan. This study was approved by the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC; protocol # 19 007A2) of the CPRD; the approved protocol was made available to journal reviewers. This manuscript is under concurrent review by the Saskatchewan Ministry of Health and, based on their recommendations, may be subject to change within 30 days from the date of submission to a journal. The B.C Ministry of Health and the B.C Vital Statistics Agency approved access to and use of B.C data facilitated by Population Data B.C for this study. British Columbia data sources were as follows (http://www.popdata.bc.ca/data): British Columbia Ministry of Health [creator] (2018): Medical Services Plan (MSP) Payment Information File. B.C Ministry of Health [publisher]. MOH (2018); British Columbia Ministry of Health [creator] (2018): PharmaNet. B.C Ministry of Health [publisher]. Data Stewardship Committee (2018); Canadian Institute for Health Information [creator] (2018): Discharge Abstract Database (Hospital Separations). B.C Ministry of Health [publisher]. MOH (2018); British Columbia Ministry of Health [creator] (2018): Consolidation File (MSP Registration & Premium Billing). B.C Ministry of Health [publisher]. MOH (2018); B.C Vital Statistics Agency [creator] (2018): Vital Statistics Deaths. V2. B.C Ministry of Health [publisher]. Vital Statistics Agency (2018). Parts of

this material are based on data and information compiled and provided by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC). This study was supported by ICES, which is funded by an annual grant from the MOHLTC. Parts of this material are based on data and/or information compiled and provided by the Canadian Institute for Health information (CIHI). The opinions, results, and conclusions reported in this paper are those of the authors. No endorsement by the provinces, data stewards, ICES, CIHI, or the *Institut national d'excellence en santé et en services sociaux* is intended or should be inferred.

We thank Ms. Audray St-Jean MSc and Corine Mizrahi at the CNODES Coordinating Center for their important contributions to this work. We thank Ms. Ellen Reynolds for editorial assistance. We also acknowledge the programming and analytical support of the analysts at each site: Greg Carney PhD and Jason Kim MPH, BHSc (British Columbia), Zhihai Ma MSc (Alberta), Matthew Dahl BSc (Manitoba), Yan Wang MSc and Steve Doucette MSc (Nova Scotia), C. Fangyun Wu MSc MAcc (Ontario), Jean-Marc Daigle MSc (Quebec), and Hui Yin MSc and Christopher Filliter MSc (CPRD). We also thank Hala Tamim PhD and Vanessa Brunetti MSc for their contributions to this study. Dr. Filion is supported by a salary support award from the *Fonds de recherche du Québec* – *santé* (FRQS) and a William Dawson Scholar award from McGill University. Dr. Lix is supported by a Tier I Canada Research Chair.

Disclosures

Dr. Alessi-Severini received research grants from Pfizer and Merck for projects not involving SGLT2i or DPP4i.

References

1. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA drug safety communication: FDA revises labels of SGLT2 inhibitors for diabetes to include warnings about too much acid in the blood and serious urinary tract infections. Available from:

http://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communicationfda-revises-labels-sglt2-inhibitors-diabetes-include-warnings-about. Accessed Dec 3, 2019.

2. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA warns about rare occurrences of a serious infection of the genital area with SGLT2 inhibitors for diabetes. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-warns-about-rare-occurrences-serious-infection-genital-area-sglt2-inhibitors-diabetes. Accessed Dec 3, 2019.

3. Hsia DS, Grove O, Cefalu WT. An update on SGLT2 inhibitors for the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes*. 2017;24(1):73-9.

4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Questions and answers on FDA's adverse event reporting system (FAERS). Available from:

http://www.fda.gov/drugs/surveillance/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-eventreporting-system-faers. Accessed Jan 3, 2020.

5. Vasilakou D, Karagiannis T, Athanasiadou E, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors for type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;159(4):262-74.

6. Vasilakou D, Karagiannis T, Athanasiadou E, Tsapas A. Review: Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors reduce HbA1c and weight but increase infections. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(2):J10.

7. Liu XY, Zhang N, Chen R, Zhao JG, Yu P. Efficacy and safety of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials for 1 to 2 years. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2015;29(8):1295-303.

8. Wu JHY, Foote C, Blomster J, et al. Effects of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors on cardiovascular events, death, and major safety outcomes in adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2016;4(5):411-9.

9. Monami M, Nardini C, Mannucci E. Efficacy and safety of sodium glucose cotransport-2 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2014;16(5):457-66.

10. Puckrin R, Saltiel M, Reynier P, Azoulay L, Yu OHY, Filion KB. SGLT-2 inhibitors and the risk of infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Acta Diabetol.* 2018;55(5):503-14.

11. Rådholm K, Wu JH, Wong MG, et al. Effects of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors on cardiovascular disease, death and safety outcomes in type 2 diabetes – A systematic review. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2018;140:118-28.

12. Detry MA, Lewis RJ. The intention-to-treat principle: How to assess the true effect of choosing a medical treatment. *JAMA*. 2014;312(1):85-6.

13. Golder S, Loke YK, Wright K, Norman G. Reporting of adverse events in published and unpublished studies of health care interventions: A systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(9):e1002127.

14. Elliott L, Fidler C, Ditchfield A, Stissing T. Hypoglycemia event rates: A comparison between real-world data and randomized controlled trial populations in insulin-treated diabetes. *Diabetes Ther*. 2016;7(1):45-60.

15. Gadzhanova S, Pratt N, Roughead E. Use of SGLT2 inhibitors for diabetes and risk of infection: Analysis using general practice records from the NPS MedicineWise MedicineInsight program. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2017;130:180-5.

16. Dave CV, Schneeweiss S, Kim D, Fralick M, Tong A, Patorno E. Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors and the risk for severe urinary tract infections: A population-based cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2019;171(4):248-56.

17. Lega IC, Bronskill SE, Campitelli MA, et al. Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and risk of genital mycotic and urinary tract infection: A population-based study of older women and men with diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2019;21(11):2394-404.

18. Ueda P, Svanstrom H, Melbye M, et al. Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and risk of serious adverse events: Nationwide register based cohort study. *BMJ* (*Online*). 2018;363(363):k4365.

19. Silverii GA, Dicembrini I, Monami M, Mannucci E. Fournier's gangrene and sodiumglucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020;22(2):272-5.

20. Yang JY, Wang T, Pate V, Buse JB, Stürmer T. Real-world evidence on sodiumglucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor use and risk of fournier's gangrene. *BMJ open diabetes research & care*. 2020;8(1).

21. Dave CV, Schneeweiss S, Patorno E. Association of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor treatment with risk of hospitalization for fournier gangrene among men. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;179(11):1587-90.

22. Sorensen MD, Krieger JN, Rivara FP, et al. Fournier's gangrene: Population based epidemiology and outcomes. *J Urol*. 2009;181(5):2120-6.

23. Lipscombe L, Booth G, Butalia S, et al. Pharmacologic glycemic management of type 2 diabetes in adults. *Can J Diabetes*. 2018;42:S88-S103.

24. Das SR, Everett BM, Birtcher KK, et al. 2018 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on novel therapies for cardiovascular risk reduction in patients with type 2 diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: A report of the american college of cardiology task force on expert consensus decision pathways. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72(24):3200-23.

25. Suissa S, Henry D, Caetano P, et al. CNODES: The canadian network for observational drug effect studies. *Open Med.* 2012;6(4):134.

26. Suissa S, Moodie EE, Dell'Aniello S. Prevalent new-user cohort designs for comparative drug effect studies by time-conditional propensity scores.

Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2017;26(4):459-68.

27. Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, et al. Data resource profile: Clinical practice research datalink (CPRD). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44(3):827-36.

28. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials*.1986;7(3):177-88.

29. Yang L, Zhang L, He H, Zhang M, An Z. Efficacy and safety of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in east asians with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Ther*. 2019;10(5):1921-34.

30. Wang K, Zhang Y, Zhao C, Jiang M. SGLT-2 inhibitors and DPP-4 inhibitors as second-line drugs in patients with type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Horm Metab Re*. 2018;50(10):768-77.

31. Storgaard H, Gluud LL, Bennett C, et al. Benefits and harms of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(11):e0166125.

32. Figueiredo IR, Paes Rose SC, Freire NB, Patrocinio MS, Pierdona N, Bittencourt RJ. Use of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors and urinary tract infections in type 2 diabetes patients: A systematic review. *Rev Assoc Med Bras*. 2019;65(2):246-52.

33. Cahyadi A, Jimeno CA. Blood pressure lowering effects of sodium glucose transporter 2 inhibitors among adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. *Philipp J Intern Med*. 2018;56(3):176-88.

34. Mishriky BM, Tanenberg RJ, Sewell KA, Cummings DM. Comparing SGLT-2 inhibitors to DPP-4 inhibitors as an add-on therapy to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab.* 2018;44(2):112-20.

35. Clar C, Gill JA, Court R, Waugh N. Systematic review of SGLT2 receptor inhibitors in dual or triple therapy in type 2 diabetes. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2(5):e001007.

36. Berhan A, Barker A. Sodium glucose co-transport 2 inhibitors in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis of randomized double-blind controlled trials. *BMC Endocr Disord*. 2013;13:58.

37. Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G. A novel approach to control hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: Sodium glucose co-transport (SGLT) inhibitors. systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Ann Med*. 2012;44(4):375-93.

38. Zhang M, Zhang L, Wu B, Song H, An Z, Li S. Dapagliflozin treatment for type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Metab Res.* 2014;30(3):204-21.

39. Li D, Wang T, Shen S, Fang Z, Dong Y, Tang H. Urinary tract and genital infections in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors:
A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2017;19(3):348-55.

40. Petruski-Ivleva N, Schneeweiss S, Eapen S, Rajan A, Jan S. Fournier's gangrene in patients with type 2 diabetes using second-line antidiabetic medications. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2020;22(2):267-71.

Legends to figures

FIGURE 1. Flowchart of study cohort.

DPP4i: dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors; SGLT2i: sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors; UTI: urinary tract infection.

† Numbers may not add up because of small cells suppressed and replace by a value of 3 due to privacy restrictions.

‡ Patients <19 years in Alberta and <66 years in Ontario.

§ The last four exclusions in the DPP4i cohort were applied to each DPP4i dispensation (rather than patients), thus they are not listed.

FIGURE 2. Adjusted hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals of urosepsis associated with sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor (SGLT2i) use compared with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor (DPP4i) use among patients with type 2 diabetes

Tables

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics of users of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) and their matched users of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i)[†]

	SGLT2i	DPP4i
	(n=208,244)	(n=208,244)
Site <i>n</i> (% from the final cohort)		
Alberta	26,120 (12.5)	26,120 (12.5)
British Columbia	43,311 (20.8)	43,311 (20.8)
Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)	5,422 (2.6)	5,422 (2.6)
Manitoba	12,074 (5.8)	12,074 (5.8)
Nova Scotia	1,135 (0.5)	1,135 (0.5)
Ontario	64,928 (31.2)	64,928 (31.2)
Quebec	44,442 (21.3)	44,442 (21.3)
Saskatchewan	10,812 (5.2)	10,812 (5.2)
Incident new-user status	102,743 (49.3)	102,743 (49.3)
Age (years) mean ± SD [‡]	63.8 ± 9.5	64.0 ± 9.5
18-35	3,477 (1.7)	3,696 (1.8)
36-45	12,305 (5.9)	11,757 (5.6)
46-55	31,042 (14.9)	30,194 (14.5)
56-65	48,018 (23.1)	48,485 (23.3)
66-75	89,451 (43.0)	88,171 (42.3)
76-85	21,968 (10.5)	23,788 (11.4)
>85	1,983 (1.0)	2,153 (1.0)
Females	86,320 (41.5)	86,413 (41.5)
Calendar year at cohort entry		
2013	325 (0.2)	342 (0.2)
2014	6,990 (3.4)	7,425 (3.6)
2015	51,645 (24.8)	51,141 (24.6)
2016	66,398 (31.9)	66,351 (31.9)

	SGLT2i	DPP4i
	(n=208,244)	(n=208,244)
2017	61,321 (29.4)	61,122 (29.4)
2018	21,565 (10.4)	21,863 (10.5)
SGLT2i molecule		
Canagliflozin	88,096 (42.3)	_
Dapagliflozin	63,980 (30.7)	_
Empagliflozin	56,168 (27.0)	_
Diabetes duration (years) mean ± SD	12.6 ± 6.6	12.6 ± 6.6
<1 year	7,154 (3.4)	7,441 (3.6)
1-4.9 years	25,214 (12.1)	25,187 (12.1)
5-10 years	52,568 (25.2)	52,757 (25.3)
>10 years	123,308 (59.2)	122,859 (59.0)
Comorbidities [§]		
Alcohol-related disorders	3,620 (1.7)	3,639 (1.7)
Cancer	21,599 (10.4)	22,094 (10.6)
Myocardial infarction	5,371 (2.6)	5,254 (2.5)
Ischemic stroke	2,465 (1.2)	2,553 (1.2)
Peripheral arterial disease	4,818 (2.3)	4,839 (2.3)
Diabetic retinopathy	5,381 (2.6)	5,512 (2.6)
Diabetic neuropathy	3,951 (1.9)	4,017 (1.9)
Diabetic nephropathy	7,530 (3.6)	7,715 (3.7)
Cystitis	11,577 (5.6)	11,744 (5.6)
Pyelonephritis	1,062 (0.5)	1,082 (0.5)
Stones or urinary tract obstruction	7,263 (3.5)	7,251 (3.5)
Urinary tract infection in the year prior	6,770 (3.3)	6,702 (3.2)
Use of medications ^{§,¶}		
Metformin	180,954 (86.9)	180,831 (86.8)
Sulfonylureas	108,623 (52.2)	108,599 (52.1)
Thiazolidinediones	5,193 (2.5)	4,954 (2.4)
Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP1) receptor agonists	8,585 (4.1)	8,585 (4.1)

	SGLT2i	DPP4i
	(n=208,244)	(n=208,244)
Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors	3,043 (1.5)	2,932 (1.4)
Meglitinides	4,709 (2.3)	4,695 (2.3)
Insulin	57,622 (27.7)	57,622 (27.7)
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	94,809 (45.5)	94,380 (45.3)
Angiotensin II receptor blockers	66,831 (32.1)	66,521 (31.9)
Beta-blockers	59,026 (28.3)	58,496 (28.1)
Calcium channel blockers	63,521 (30.5)	63,516 (30.5)
Loop diuretics	21,375 (10.3)	21,657 (10.4)
Thiazide diuretics	45,175 (21.7)	44,846 (21.5)
Other diuretics	18,550 (8.9)	18,544 (8.9)
Direct renin inhibitors	104 (0.0)	84 (0.0)
Aldosterone antagonists	6,159 (3.0)	6,046 (2.9)
Digitalis-like agents	2,604 (1.3)	2,688 (1.3)
Statins	160,128 (76.9)	159,741 (76.7)
Other lipid lowering therapy	23,569 (11.3)	22,908 (11.0)
Acetylsalicylic acid	37,071 (17.8)	36,871 (17.7)
Non-acetylsalicylic acid antiplatelet drugs	14,100 (6.8)	13,816 (6.6)
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	40,396 (19.4)	40,109 (19.3)
Oral anticoagulants	13,439 (6.5)	13,420 (6.4)
Oral glucocorticoids	12,957 (6.2)	13,054 (6.3)
Antibiotics to treat urinary tract infection (UTI)		
From 90 days prior to or on cohort entry	23,464 (11.3)	23,324 (11.2)
From 91 to 365 days prior to cohort entry	51,213 (24.6)	51,034 (24.5)
Number of different classes of non-antidiabetic		
drugs [¶]		
0-1	8,478 (4.1)	8,650 (4.2)
2-5	66,064 (31.7)	66,670 (32.0)
≥6	133,702 (64.2)	132,924 (63.8)
Health care use		

	SGLT2i	DPP4i
	(n=208,244)	(n=208,244)
Inpatient hospitalizations		
0	177,415 (85.2)	176,920 (85.0)
1-2	28,544 (13.7)	28,967 (13.9)
≥3	2,284 (1.1)	2,356 (1.1)
Number of physician visits		
0-2	14,999 (7.2)	14,950 (7.2)
3-5	31,902 (15.3)	32,298 (15.5)
≥6	161,343 (77.5)	160,996 (77.3)

† Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise specified.

‡SD, standard deviation.

§ Unless otherwise specified, comorbidities were assessed in the 3 years prior to cohort entry, and medications and healthcare use were assessed in the year prior to cohort entry.

¶ In Saskatchewan, Quebec, and the CPRD, the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) codes are unavailable; the Number of non-antidiabetic drug class were defined using BNF code (CPRD), AHFS classification (Quebec), number of distinct medication in the covariates list (Saskatchewan).

Numbers may not add up because of small cells suppressed and replace by 3 due to privacy restrictions.

TABLE 2. Additional characteristics of new users of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) and their matched users of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i), in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)

Characteristics ^{1,‡}	SGLT2i	DPP4i
	(n=5,422)	(n=5,422)
Body mass index (kg/m²)		
<30	1,529 (28.2)	1,730 (31.9)
≥30	3,875 (71.5)	3,665 (67.6)
Unknown	18 (0.3)	27 (0.5)
Smoking status		
Never	S§	2,121 (39.1)
Ever	3,249 (59.9)	3,294 (60.8)
Unknown	S§	7 (0.1)
Race		
White	3,955 (72.9)	3,894 (71.8)
Other	534 (9.8)	602 (11.1)
Unknown	933 (17.2)	926 (17.1)
Blood pressure		
Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) <90 and	3,506 (64.7)	3,563 (65.7)
systolic blood pressure (SBP) <140		
DPB ≥90 or SBP ≥140	1,908 (35.2)	1,846 (34.0)
Unknown	8 (0.1)	13 (0.2)
Glomerular filtration rate		
(mL/min/1.73m²)		
<60	284 (5.2)	531 (9.8)
≥60	5,131 (94.6)	4,884 (90.1)
Unknown	7 (0.1)	7 (0.1)
Hemoglobin A1c (%)		
≤7	181 (3.3)	230 (4.2)
7.1-8	1,048 (19.3)	1,075 (19.8)
>8	4,157 (76.7)	4,077 (75.2)

	SGLT2i	DPP4i
	(n=5,422)	(n=5,422)
Unknown	36 (0.7)	40 (0.7)

† Data are presented as n (%).

‡ Assessment of body mass index, smoking status, blood pressure, eGFR and hemoglobin A1c was based on the last measurement before study cohort entry, and race was assessed ever before.

§ Values suppressed due to privacy restrictions are presented as s.