$\label{eq:hardenergy} \begin{cases} \mbox{Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics} \\ \mbox{Volume 44 (3) (2015), } 559-568 \end{cases}$ 

# Soft separation axioms in soft topological spaces

Sabir Hussain \* and Bashir Ahmad <sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

Shabir et. al [27] and D. N. Georgiou et. al [7], defined and studied some soft separation axioms, soft  $\theta$ -continuity and soft connectedness in soft spaces using (ordinary) points of a topological space X. In this paper, we redefine and explore several properties of soft  $T_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, soft regular, soft  $T_3$ , soft normal and soft  $T_4$  axioms using soft points defined by I. Zorlutuna [30]. We also discuss some soft invariance properties namely soft topological property and soft hereditary property. We hope that these results will be useful for the future study on soft topology to carry out general framework for the practical applications and to solve the complicated problems containing uncertainties in economics, engineering, medical, environment and in general man-machine systems of various types.

**Keywords:** Soft topology, Soft open(closed) sets, Soft interior(closure), Soft  $T_i$ ; (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) spaces, Soft regular spaces, Soft normal spaces and Invariance properties.

Received 03/02/2014 : Accepted 12/03/2014 Doi : 10.15672/HJMS.2015449426

### 1. Introduction

In 1999, Molodtsov [22] initiated the theory of soft sets as a new mathematical tool to deal with uncertainties while modelling the problems with incomplete information in engineering, physics, computer science, economics, social sciences and medical sciences. Soft set theory does not require the specification of parameters. Instead, it accommodates approximate description of an object as its starting point which makes it a natural mathematical formalism for approximate reasoning. So the application of soft set theory in other disciplines and real life problems are now catching momentum. In [23], Molodtsov applied soft sets successfully in directions such as smoothness of functions,

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Qassim University, P. O. Box 6644, Buraydah 51482, Saudi Arabia.

Email: sabiriub@yahoo.com, sh.hussain@qu.edu.sa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, King Abdul Aziz University, P. O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

Email: drbashir9@gmail.com

game theory, operations research, Riemann-integration, Perron integration, probability and theory of measurement. Maji et. al [20] applied soft sets in a multicriteria decision making problems. It is based on the notion of knowledge reduction of rough sets. They applied the technique of knowledge reduction to the information table induced by the soft set. In [21], they defined and studied several basic notions of soft set theory. In 2005, Pei and Miao [25] and Chen [6] improved the work of Maji et.al [20-21]. A. Kharal and B. Ahmad [19] defined and discussed the several properties of soft images and soft inverse images of soft sets. They also applied these notions to the problem of medical diagnosis in medical systems. Many researchers have contributed towards the algebraic structure of soft set theory [1-2],[5], [7], [9-19], [24], [27-28].

In 2011, Shabir and Naz [27] initiated the study of soft topological spaces. Also in 2011, S. Hussain and B. Ahmad [9] continued investigating the properties of soft open(closed), soft neighbourhood and soft closure. They also defined and discussed the properties of soft interior, soft exterior and soft boundary.

Shabir et. al [27] and D. N. Georgiou et. al [7], defined and studied some soft separation axioms, soft  $\theta$ -continuity and soft connectedness in soft spaces using (ordinary) points of a topological space X. In this paper, we redefine and explore several properties of soft  $T_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, soft regular, soft  $T_3$ , soft normal and soft  $T_4$  axioms using soft points defined by I. Zorlutuna [30]. We also discuss some soft invariance properties namely soft topological property and soft hereditary property. We hope that these results will be useful for the future study on soft topology to carry out general framework for the practical applications and to solve the complicated problems containing uncertainties in economics, engineering, medical, environment and in general man-machine systems of various types.

### 2. Preliminaries

For the definitions and results on soft set theory, we refer to [1-2],[5], [7], [9-19], [24], [27-28]. However, we recall some definitions and results on soft set theory and soft topology.

**Definition 1 [22].** Let X be an initial universe and E a set of parameters. Let P(X) denote the power set of X and A a non-empty subset of E. A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over X, where F is a mapping given by  $F : A \to P(X)$ . In other words, a soft set over X is a parameterized family of subsets of the universe X. For  $e \in A$ , F(e) may be considered as the set of e-approximate elements of the soft set (F, A). Clearly, a soft set is not a set.

**Definition 2 [22].** The complement of a soft set (F, A) is denoted by  $(F, A)^c$  and is defined by  $(F, A)^c = (F^c, A)$  where,  $F^c : A \to P(U)$  is a mapping given by  $F^c(\alpha) = U - F(\alpha)$ , for all  $\alpha \in A$ .

Let us call  $F^c$  to be the soft complement function of F. Clearly  $(F^c)^c$  is the same as F and  $((F, A)^c)^c = (F, A)$ .

**Definition 3 [30].** A soft set (F, A) over X is said to be a null soft set, denoted by  $\Phi_A$ , if for all  $e \in A$ ,  $F(e) = \phi$ . Clearly,  $(\Phi_A^c)^c = \Phi_A$ .

**Definition 4 [30].** A soft set (F, A) over X is said to be an absolute soft set, denoted by  $X_A$ , if for all  $e \in A$ , F(e) = X. Clearly,  $X_A^c = \Phi_A$ .

**Definition 5 [27].** Let  $\tau$  be the collection of soft sets over X with the fixed set of parameters A. Then  $\tau$  is said to be a soft topology on X, if

(1)  $\Phi_A$ ,  $X_A$  belong to  $\tau$ ,

(2) the union of any number of soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ ,

(3) the intersection of any two soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

The triplet  $(X, \tau, A)$  is called a soft topological space over X. The members of  $\tau$  are called soft open sets. The soft complement of a soft open set A is called the soft closed set in  $(X, \tau, A)$ . If (F, A) belongs to  $\tau$ , we write  $(F, A)\tilde{\in}\tau$ .

**Proposition 1 [27].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. Then the collection  $\tau_{\alpha} = \{F(e) : (F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}\}$ , for each  $e \in A$  defines a topology on X.

**Remark 1.** It is known that the intersection of two soft topologies over the same universe X is a soft topology, whereas the union may or may not be a soft topology as given in [27].

Hereafter,  $SS(X)_A$  denotes the family of soft sets over X with the set of parameters A.

**Definition 6 [30].** The soft set  $(F, A) \in SS(X)_A$  is called a soft point in  $X_A$ , denoted by  $e_F$ , if for the element  $e \in A$ ,  $F(e) \neq \phi$  and  $F(e') = \phi$ , for all  $e' \in A - \{e\}$ .

**Definition 7 [30].** The soft point  $e_F$  is said to be in the soft set (G, A), denoted by  $e_F \tilde{\in}(G, A)$ , if for the element  $e \in A$ ,  $F(e) \subseteq G(e)$ .

**Proposition 2 [30].** Let  $e_F \tilde{\in} X_A$  and  $(G, A) \tilde{\in} SS(X)_A$ . If  $e_F \tilde{\in} (G, A)$ , then  $e_F \tilde{\notin} (G, A)^c$ . **Definition 8 [30].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and (F, A) a soft set in  $SS(X)_A$ . The soft point  $e_F \tilde{\in} X_A$  is called a soft interior point of a soft set (F, A), if there exists a soft open set (H, A) such that  $e_F \tilde{\in} (H, A) \tilde{\subseteq} (F, A)$ . The soft interior of a soft set (F, A) is denoted by  $(F, A)^\circ$  and is defined as the union of all soft open sets contained in (F, A). Clearly  $(F, A)^\circ$  is the largest soft open set contained in (F, A).

**Definition 9 [30].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space. Then a soft set (G, A)in  $SS(X)_A$  is called a soft neighborhood (briefly: soft nbd) of the soft point  $e_F \in X_A$ , if there exists a soft open set (H, A) such that  $e_F \in (H, A) \subseteq (G, A)$ .

The soft neighborhood system of a soft point  $e_F$ , denoted by  $N_{\tau}(e_F)$ , is the family of all its soft neighborhoods.

**Definition 10 [27].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. Then a soft set (G, A) in  $SS(X)_A$  is called a soft neighborhood (briefly: soft nbd) of the soft set (F, A), if there exists a soft open set (H, A) such that  $(F, A) \subseteq (H, A) \subseteq$ (G, A).

**Definition 11 [27].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and (F, A) a soft set over X. Then the soft closure of (F, A), denoted by  $\overline{(F, A)}$ , is the intersection of all soft closed supersets of (F, A). Clearly  $\overline{(F, A)}$  is the smallest soft closed set in  $(X, \tau, A)$  which contains (F, A).

**Definition 12 [27].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Then  $\tau_Y = \{(F_Y, A) = Y_A \cap (F, A) | (F, A) \in \tau\}$  is said to be the soft relative topology on Y, where  $F_Y(e) = Y \cap F(e)$ , for all  $e \in A$ .  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is called a soft subspace of  $(X, \tau, A)$ . We can easily verify that  $\tau_Y$  is, in fact, a soft topology on Y.

**Proposition 3 [27].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Then  $(Y, \tau_{eY})$  is a subspace of  $(X, \tau_e)$ , for each  $e \in A$ .

**Proposition 4 [27].** Let  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  be a soft subspace of a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, A)$  and (F, A) a soft open set in  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$ . If  $Y_A \in \tilde{\tau}$ , then  $(F, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$ .

**Theorem 1 [27].** Let  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  be a soft subspace of a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, A)$  and (F, A) a soft set over X. Then

(1) (F, A) is soft open in  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  if and only if  $(F, A) = Y_A \tilde{\cap} (G, A)$ , for some soft open set (G, A) in  $(X, \tau, A)$ .

(2) (F, A) is soft closed in  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  if and only if  $(F, A) = Y_A \tilde{\cap}(G, A)$ , for some soft closed set (G, A) in  $(X, \tau, A)$ .

## **3. Soft** $T_i$ ; (i = 0, 1, 2) **Spaces**

In this section, we redefine soft separation axioms namely soft  $T_i$  axioms, for (i = 0, 1, 2) using soft points and discuss several properties and their relationship with the help of examples. Note that some authors ([7],[27]) defined soft separation axioms using ordinary points of a topological space. Now we define:

**Definition 13.** Two soft sets (G, A), (H, A) in  $SS(X)_A$  are said to be soft disjoint, written  $(G, A) \cap (H, A) = \Phi_A$ , if  $G(e) \cap H(e) = \phi$ , for all  $e \in A$ .

**Definition 14.** Two soft points  $e_G$ ,  $e_H$  in  $X_A$  are distinct, written  $e_G \neq e_H$ , if there corresponding soft sets (G, A) and (H, A) are disjoint.

**Definition 15.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ . If there exist at least one soft open set  $(F_1, A)$  or  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A)$ ,  $e_H \notin (F_1, A)$  or  $e_H \in (F_2, A)$ ,  $e_G \notin (F_2, A)$ , then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is called a soft  $T_0$ -space.

**Definition 16.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ . If there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A)$ ,  $e_H \notin (F_1, A)$  and  $e_H \in (F_2, A)$ ,  $e_G \notin (F_2, A)$ , then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is called a soft  $T_1$ -space.

**Definition 17.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ . If there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A)$ ,  $e_H \in (F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ , then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is called a soft  $T_2$ -space.

**Proposition 5.** (1) Every soft  $T_1$ -space is a soft  $T_0$ -space. (2) Every soft  $T_2$ -space is a soft  $T_1$ -space. **Proof.** (1) Obvious.

(2) If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space, then by definition of soft  $T_2$ -space, for  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$ ,  $e_G \neq e_H$ , there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A)$ ,  $e_H \in (F_2, A)$ and  $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ . Since  $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ ,  $e_G \notin (F_2, A)$  and  $e_H \notin (F_1, A)$ . Thus it follows that  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space.  $\Box$ 

Note that every soft  $T_1$ -space is a soft  $T_0$ -space. Every soft  $T_2$ -space is a soft  $T_1$ -space. The converses do not hold in general.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\tau = \{\Phi_A, X_A, (F, A)\}$ , where  $(F, A) = \{(e_1, \{x_1\}), (e_2, \{x_2\})\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft topological space over X. There are two pairs of soft points namely  $e_{1(G_1)} = (e_1, \{x_2\})$ ,  $e_{1(H_1)} = (e_1, \{x_1\})$  and  $e_{2(G_2)} = (e_2, \{x_1\})$ ,  $e_{2(H_2)} = (e_2, \{x_2\})$ . Since  $e_{1(G_1)} \neq e_{1(H_1)}$ , then there is soft open set (F, A) such that  $e_{1(G_1)} \notin (F, A)$ . Similarly for the pair  $e_{2(G_2)} \neq e_{2(H_2)}$ , there is soft open set (F, A) such that  $e_{2(H_2)} \in (F, A)$ ,  $e_{2(G_2)} \notin (F, A)$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_0$ -space. Clearly  $(X, \tau, A)$  is not a soft  $T_1$ -space.

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $A = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\tau = \{\Phi_A, X_A, (F_1, A), (F_2, A), (F_3, A), (F_4, A)\}$ , where  $(F_1, A) = \{(e_1, \{x_2\}), (e_2, \{x_1\})\}$ ,  $(F_2, A) = \{(e_1, \{x_1\})\}$ ,  $(e_2, \{x_2\})\}$ ,  $(F_3, A) = \{(e_1, \{x_1\})\}$ ,  $(F_4, A) = \{(e_1, X), (e_2, \{x_1\})\}$  Then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft topological space over X. Note that  $\tau_{e_1} = \{\phi, X, \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}\}$  and  $\tau_{e_2} = \{\phi, X, \{x_1\}, \{x_2\}\}$  are topologies on X. Clearly  $(X, \tau_{e_1})$  and  $(X, \tau_{e_2})$  are  $T_i$ -spaces ( for i = 0, 1). There are two pairs of distinct soft points namely,  $e_{1(G_1)} = (e_1, \{x_2\})$ ,  $e_{1(H_1)} = (e_1, \{x_1\})$  and  $e_{2(G_2)} = (e_2, \{x_1\})$ ,  $e_{2(H_2)} = (e_2, \{x_2\})$ . Then for the soft pair  $e_{1(G_1)} \neq e_{1(H_1)}$  of points,

562

there are soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_{1(G_1)} \tilde{\in}(F_1, A)$ ,  $e_{1(H_1)} \notin(F_1, A)$  and  $e_{1(H_1)} \tilde{\in}(F_2, A)$ ,  $e_{1(G_1)} \notin(F_2, A)$ . Similarly for the pair  $e_{2(G_2)} \neq e_{2(H_2)}$ , there are soft open sets  $(F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A)$  such that  $e_{2(G_2)} \notin(F_2, A)$ ,  $e_{2(H_2)} \tilde{\in}(F_2, A)$  and  $e_{2(H_2)} \notin(F_1, A)$ ,  $e_{2(G_2)} \tilde{\in}(F_1, A)$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space and hence a soft  $T_0$ -space. Note that  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space.

**Example 3.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}, A = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\tau = \{\Phi_A, X_A, (F_1, A), (F_2, A), (F_3, A)\}$ , where  $(F_1, A) = \{(e_1, \{x_1\})\}, (F_2, A) = \{(e_2, \{x_2\})\}, (F_3, A) = \{(e_1, \{x_1\}), (e_2, \{x_2\})\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft topological space over X. There are two pairs of distinct soft points namely,  $e_{1(G_1)} = (e_1, \{x_2\}), e_{1(H_1)} = (e_1, \{x_1\})$  and  $e_{2(G_2)} = (e_2, \{x_1\}), e_{2(H_2)} = (e_2, \{x_2\})$ . Then for the soft pair  $e_{1(G_1)} \neq e_{1(H_1)}$  of points, there does not exist soft disjoint soft open sets (F, A) and (G, A) such that  $e_{1(G_1)} \tilde{\in}(F, A), e_{1(H_1)} \tilde{\notin}(F, A)$  and  $e_{1(H_1)} \tilde{\in}(G, A), e_{1(G_1)} \tilde{\notin}(G, A)$ . Thus  $(X, \tau, A)$  is not a soft  $T_2$ -space. Clearly  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space and hence a soft  $T_0$ -space.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. Then each soft point is soft closed if and only if  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space.

**Proof.** Suppose soft points  $e_F = (F, A)$ ,  $e_G = (G, A)$  are soft closed and  $e_F \neq e_G$ . Then  $(F, A)^c$  and  $(G, A)^c$  are soft open in  $(X, \tau, A)$ . Then by definition  $(F, A)^c = (F^c, A)$ , where  $F^c(e) = X - F(e)$  and  $(G, A)^c = (G^c, A)$ , where  $G^c(e) = X - G(e)$ . Since  $F(e) \cap G(e) = \Phi$ . This implies  $F(e) \subseteq X - G(e) = G^c(e)$ , for all e. This implies  $e_F = (F, A)\tilde{\in}(G, A)^c$ . Similarly  $e_G = (G, A)\tilde{\in}(F, A)^c$ . Thus we have  $e_F\tilde{\in}(G, A)^c$ ,  $e_G\tilde{\notin}(G, A)^c$  and  $e_F\tilde{\notin}(F, A)^c$ ,  $e_G\tilde{\in}(F, A)^c$ . This proves that  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft  $T_1$ -space.

Conversely, let  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft  $T_1$ -space. To prove that  $e_F = (F, A) \in \tilde{X}_A$  is soft closed, we show that  $(F, A)^c$  is soft open in  $(X, \tau, A)$ . Let  $e_G = (G, A)\tilde{\in}(F, A)^c$ . Then  $e_F \notin e_G$ . Since  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft  $T_1$ -space, there exists a soft open set (H, A) such that  $e_G \tilde{\in}(H, A)$ and  $e_F \tilde{\notin}(H, A)$ . Thus  $e_G \tilde{\in}(H, A)\tilde{\subseteq}(F, A)^c$  and hence  $\tilde{\bigcup}_{e_G}\{(H, A), e_G \tilde{\in}(F, A)^c\} = (F, A)^c$ . This proves that  $(F, A)^c$  is soft open in  $(X, \tau, A)$ , that is  $e_F = (F, A)$  is soft closed in  $(X, \tau, A)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.** In general, if  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space, then  $(X, \tau_e)$  is not necessarily a  $T_1$ -space for  $e \in A$ . The following propositions give conditions for  $(X, \tau_e)$  to be a  $T_1$  space. We use Proposition 3 to prove this.

**Proposition 6.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ . If there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A)$  and  $e_H \in (F_1, A)^c$  or  $e_H \in (F_2, A)$  and  $e_G \in (F_2, A)^c$ , then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_0$ -space and  $(X, \tau_e)$  is a  $T_0$ -space, for each  $e \in A$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $e_H \tilde{\in} (F_1, A)^c = (F_1^c, A)$  implies  $e_H \tilde{\notin} (F_1, A)$ . Similarly  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F_2, A)^c = (F_2^c, A)$  implies  $e_G \tilde{\notin} (F_2, A)$ . Thus we have  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F_1, A)$ ,  $e_H \tilde{\notin} (F_1, A)$  or  $e_H \tilde{\in} (F_2, A)$ ,  $e_G \tilde{\notin} (F_2, A)$ . This proves  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_0$ -space. Now for any  $e \in A$ ,  $(X, \tau_e)$  is a topological space and  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F_1, A)$  and  $e_H \tilde{\in} (F_1, A)^c$  or  $e_H \tilde{\in} (F_2, A)$  and  $e_G \tilde{\notin} (F_2, A)^c$ . So that  $G(e) \tilde{\in} F_1(e)$ ,  $H(e) \tilde{\notin} F_1(e)$  or  $H(e) \tilde{\in} F_2(e)$ ,  $G(e) \tilde{\notin} F_2(e)$ . Thus  $(X, \tau_e)$  is a  $T_0$ -space.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 7.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ . If there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A), e_H \in (F_1, A)^c$  and  $e_H \in (F_2, A), e_G \in (F_2, A)^c$  then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space and  $(X, \tau_e)$  is a  $T_1$ -space, for each  $e \in A$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 6.  $\Box$ 

The following propositions 8, 9 and 11 show that the each soft  $T_i$ , (i = 0, 1) property is a soft hereditary property.

**Proposition 8.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $Y \subseteq X$ . If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_0$ -space, then  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is a soft  $T_0$ -space.

**Proof.** Let  $e_G, e_H \in Y_A$  be such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ . Then  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$ . Since  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_0$  space, therefore there exist soft open sets (F, A) and (G, A) in  $(X, \tau, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F, A)$  and  $e_H \notin (F, A)$  or  $e_H \in (G, A)$  and  $e_G \notin (G, A)$ . Therefore  $e_G \in Y_A \cap (F, A) =$  $(F_Y, A)$ . Similarly it can be proved that if  $e_H \in (G, A)$  and  $e_G \notin (G, A)$ , then  $e_H \in (G_Y, A)$ and  $e_G \notin (G_Y, A)$ . Thus  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is a soft  $T_0$ -space.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 9.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and Y be a nonempty subset of X. If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space, then  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is a soft  $T_1$ -space. **Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 8.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 10.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space over X, then  $(X, \tau_e)$  is a  $T_2$ -space, for each  $e \in A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. For any  $e \in A$ ,

 $\tau_e = \{F(e) : (F, A)\tilde{\in}\tau\}$  is a topology on X. Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Since  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft- $T_2$  space, therefore soft points  $e_G, e_H \tilde{\in} X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$  and  $x \in G(e)$ ,  $y \in H(e)$ , there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F_1, A), e_H \tilde{\in} (F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A) \tilde{\cap} (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ . This imply that  $x \in G(e) \subseteq F_1(e), y \in H(e) \subseteq F_2(e)$  and  $F_1(e) \cap F_2(e) = \phi$ . This proves that  $(X, \tau_e)$  is a  $T_2$ -space.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 11.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $Y \subseteq X$ . If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space, then  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space and  $(X, \tau_e)$  is a  $T_2$ -space, for each  $e \in A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $e_G, e_H \in Y_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ . Then  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$ . Since  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft- $T_2$ -space, therefore there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A)$ ,  $e_H \in (F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ . Thus  $e_G \in Y_A \cap (F_1, A) = (F_{1_Y}, A), e_H \in Y_A \cap (F_2, A) = (F_{2_Y}, A)$  and  $(F_{1_Y}, A) \cap (F_{2_Y}, A) = \Phi_A$ . This proves that  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space and for any  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ , then there exist soft closed sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (F_1, A)$ ,  $e_H \notin (F_1, A)$  and  $e_G \notin (F_2, A)$ ,  $e_H \in (F_2, A)$ , and  $(F_1, A) \cup (F_2, A) = X_A$ .

**Proof.** Since  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_2$ -space and  $e_G, e_H \in X_A$  such that  $e_G \neq e_H$ , there exist soft open sets  $(G_1, A)$  and  $(G_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \in (G_1, A)$  and  $e_H \in (G_2, A)$  and  $(G_1, A) \cap (G_2, A) = \Phi_A$ . Clearly  $(G_1, A) \subseteq (G_2, A)^c$  and  $(G_2, A) \subseteq$ 

 $(G_1, A)^c$ . Hence  $e_G \tilde{\in} (G_2, A)^c$ . Put  $(G_2, A)^c = (F_1, A)$ . This gives  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F_1, A)$  and  $e_H \tilde{\notin} (F_1, A)$ . Also  $e_H \tilde{\in} (G_1, A)^c$ . Put  $(G_1, A)^c = (F_2, A)$ . Therefore  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F_1, A)$  and  $e_H \tilde{\in} (F_2, A)$ . Moreover

 $(F_1, A)\tilde{\cup}(F_2, A) = (G_2, A)^c\tilde{\cup}(G_1, A)^c = X_A.$ 

## 4. Soft Regular, Soft Normal and Soft $T_i$ ; (i = 4, 3) Spaces

In this section, we redefine soft regular and soft  $T_3$  spaces using soft points and characterize soft regular and soft normal spaces. Moreover, we prove that soft regular and soft  $T_3$  properties are soft hereditary, whereas soft normal and soft  $T_4$  are soft closed hereditary properties.

Now we define soft regular space as:

**Definition 18.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X, (G, A) a soft closed set in  $(X, \tau, A)$  and  $e_F \in X_A$  such that  $e_F \notin (G, A)$ . If there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$  and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_F \in (F_1, A)$ ,  $(G, A) \subseteq (F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ , then  $(X, \tau, A)$ is called a soft regular space.  $\Box$ 

In the following theorem, we give the characterizations of soft regular spaces.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1)  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft regular.

(2) For any soft open set (F, A) in  $(X, \tau, A)$  and  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F, A)$ , there is a soft open set (G, A) containing  $e_G$  such that  $e_G \tilde{\in} (\overline{G, A}) \tilde{\subseteq} (F, A)$ .

(3) Each soft point in  $(X, \tau, A)$  has a soft nbd base consisting of soft closed sets.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let (F, A) be a soft open set in  $(X, \tau, A)$  and  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F, A)$ . Then  $(F, A)^c$  is a soft closed set such that  $e_G \tilde{\notin} (F, A)^c$ . By the soft regularity of  $(X, \tau, A)$ , there are soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$ ,  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $e_G \tilde{\in} (F_1, A)$ ,  $(F, A)^c \tilde{\subseteq} (F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A) \tilde{\cap} (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ . Clearly  $(F_2, A)^c$  is a soft closed set contained in (F, A). Thus  $(F_1, A) \tilde{\subseteq} (F_2, A)^c \tilde{\subseteq} (F, A)$ . This gives  $\overline{(F_1, A)} \tilde{\subseteq} (F_2, A)^c$ 

 $\tilde{\subseteq}(F,A)$ . Put  $(F_1,A) = (G,A)$ . Consequently,  $e_G \tilde{\in}(G,A)$  and  $\overline{(G,A)} \tilde{\subseteq}(F,A)$ . This proves (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $e_G \tilde{\in} X_A$ . For soft open set (F, A) in  $(X, \tau, A)$ , there is a soft open set (G, A) containing  $e_G$  such that  $e_G \tilde{\in} (G, A)$ ,  $\overline{(G, A)} \tilde{\subseteq} (F, A)$ . Thus for each  $e_G \tilde{\in} X_A$ , the sets  $\overline{(G, A)}$  form a soft nbd base consisting of soft closed sets of  $(X, \tau, A)$ . This proves (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let (F, A) be a soft closed set such that  $e_G \notin (F, A)$ . Then  $(F, A)^c$  is a soft open nbd of  $e_G$ . By (3), there is a soft closed set  $(F_1, A)$  which contains  $e_G$  and is a soft nbd of  $e_G$  with  $(F_1, A) \subseteq (F, A)^c$ . Then  $e_G \notin (F_1, A)^c$ ,  $(F, A) \subseteq (F_1, A)^c = (F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft regular.  $\Box$ .

The following theorem shows that soft regularity is a soft hereditary property:

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft regular space over X. Then every soft subspace of  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft regular.

**Proof**. Let  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  be a soft subspace of a soft regular space  $(X, \tau, A)$ . Suppose (H, A) is a soft closed set in  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  and  $e_F \tilde{\in} Y_A$  such that  $e_F \notin (H, A)$ . Then  $(H, A) = (G, A) \cap Y_A$ , where (G, A) is soft closed in  $(X, \tau, A)$ . Then  $e_F \notin (G, A)$ . Since  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft regular, there exist soft disjoint soft open sets  $(F_1, A), (F_2, A)$  in  $(X, \tau, A)$  such that  $e_F \in (F_1, A), (G, A) \subseteq (F_2, A)$ . Clearly  $e_F \in (F_1, A) \cap Y_A = (F_{1_Y}, A)$  and  $(H, A) \subseteq (F_2, A) \cap Y_A = (F_{2_Y}, A)$  such that  $(F_{1_Y}, A) \cap (F_{2_Y}, A) = \Phi_A$ . This proves that  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is a soft regular subspace of  $(X, \tau, A)$ .  $\Box$ 

Next we give another characterization of soft regular spaces.:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. A space  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft regular if and only if for each  $e_H \in X_A$  and a soft closed set (F, A) in  $(X, \tau, A)$  such that  $e_H \notin (F, A)$ , there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$ ,  $(F_2, A)$  in  $(X, \tau, A)$  such that  $e_H \in (F_1, A)$ and  $(F, A) \subseteq (F_2, A)$  and  $(\overline{F_1, A}) \cap (\overline{F_2, A}) = \Phi_A$ .

**Proof.** For each  $e_H \in X_A$  and a soft closed set (F, A) such that  $e_H \notin (F, A)$ , by Theorem 4(2), there is a soft open set (G, A) such that  $e_H \in (G, A)$ ,  $\overline{(G, A)} \subseteq (F, A)^c$ . Again by Theorem 4(2), there is a soft open set  $(F_1, A)$  containing  $e_H$  such that  $\overline{(F_1, A)} \subseteq (G, A)$ . Let

 $(F_2, A) = (\overline{(G, A)})^c. \text{ Then } \overline{(F_1, A)} \tilde{\subseteq} (G, A) \tilde{\subseteq} \overline{(G, A)} \tilde{\subseteq} (F, A)^c \text{ implies } (F, A) \tilde{\subseteq} (\overline{(G, A)})^c = \underline{(F_2, A)} \text{ or } (F, A) \tilde{\subseteq} (F_2, A). \text{ Also } \overline{(F_1, A)} \tilde{\cap} \overline{(F_2, A)} = \overline{(F_1, A)} \tilde{\cap} \overline{((G, A))}^c \tilde{\subseteq} (G, A) \tilde{\cap} \overline{((G, A))}^c \tilde{\subseteq} \overline{(G, A)} \tilde{\cap} \overline{((G, A))}^c = \overline{\Phi_A} = \Phi_A.$ 

Thus  $(F_1, A)$ ,  $(F_2, A)$  are the required soft open sets in  $(X, \tau, A)$ . This proves the necessity. The sufficiency is immediate.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 19.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. Then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is said to be a soft  $T_3$ -space, if it is a soft regular and a soft  $T_1$ -space.

**Remark 3.** (1) A soft  $T_3$ -space may not be a soft  $T_2$ -space. (2) If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_3$ -space, then  $(X, \tau_e)$  may not be a  $T_3$ -space for each parameter  $e \in A$ .

The following proposition follows form Proposition 9 and Theorem 5. **Proposition 12.** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X and  $Y \subseteq X$ . If  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft  $T_3$ -space then  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is a soft  $T_3$ -space.

The notions of soft normal and soft  $T_4$  spaces have been introduced in [25]as: **Definition 20[25].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X, (F, A) and (G, A)soft closed sets over X such that  $(F, A) \tilde{\cap}(G, A) = \Phi_A$ . If there exist soft open sets  $(F_1, A)$ and  $(F_2, A)$  such that  $(F, A) \subseteq (F_1, A)$ ,  $(G, A) \subseteq (F_2, A)$  and  $(F_1, A) \cap (F_2, A) = \Phi_A$ , then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is called a soft normal space.

**Definition 21[25].** Let  $(X, \tau, A)$  be a soft topological space over X. Then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is said to be a soft  $T_4$ -space, if it is soft normal and soft  $T_1$ -space.

Now we prove the following theorem which characterizes soft normal spaces.: **Theorem 7.** A soft topological space  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft normal if and only if for any soft closed set (F, A) and soft open set (G, A) such that  $(F, A) \tilde{\subset} (G, A)$ , there exists at least one soft open set (H, A) containing (F, A) such that

$$(F, A) \subseteq (H, A) \subseteq \overline{(H, A)} \subseteq \overline{(G, A)}.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(X, \tau, A)$  is a soft normal space and (F, A) is any soft closed subset of  $(X, \tau, A)$  and (G, A) a soft open set such that  $(F, A) \subseteq (G, A)$ . Then  $(G, A)^c$  is soft closed and  $(F, A) \cap (G, A)^c = \Phi_A$ . So by supposition, there are soft open sets (H, A) and (K, A) such that  $(F, A) \subseteq (H, A)$ ,  $(G, A)^c \subseteq (K, A)$  and  $(H, A) \cap (K, A)$  $= \Phi_A$ . Since  $(H, A) \cap (K, A) = \Phi_A$ ,  $(H, A) \subseteq (K, A)^c$ . But  $(K, A)^c$  is soft closed, so that  $(F, A) \subseteq (H, A) \subseteq (H, A) \subseteq (K, A)^c \subseteq (G, A)$ . Hence  $(F, A) \subseteq (H, A) \subseteq (H, A)$  $\subseteq (G, A)$ .

Conversely, suppose that for every soft closed set (F, A) and a soft open set (G, A) such that  $(F, A) \subseteq (G, A)$ , there is a soft open set (H, A) such that  $(F, A) \subseteq (H, A) \subseteq (\overline{H}, A) \subseteq (G, A)$ . Let  $(F_1, A)$ ,  $(F_2, A)$  be any two soft disjoint soft closed sets. Then  $(F_1, A) \subseteq (F_2, A)^c$ , where  $(F_2, A)^c$  is soft open. Hence there is a soft open set (H, A) such that  $(F_1, A) \subseteq (H, A) \subseteq (\overline{(H, A)}) \subseteq (F_2, A)^c$ . But then  $(F_2, A) \subseteq (\overline{(H, A)})^c$  and  $(H, A) \cap (\overline{(H, A)})^c \neq \Phi$ . Hence  $(F_1, A) \subseteq (H, A), (F_2, A) \subseteq (\overline{(H, A)})^c$  with  $(H, A) \cap (\overline{(H, A)})^c = \Phi_A$ . Hence  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft normal. This completes the proof.

The following proposition is easy to proof.

**Proposition 13.** Let  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  be a soft subspace of a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, A)$  and (F, A) be a soft open (closed) in  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$ . If  $Y_A$  is soft open(closed) in  $(X, \tau, A)$ , then (F, A) is soft open(closed) in  $(X, \tau, A)$ .

Soft normality is a soft closed hereditary property as is proved in the following: **Theorem 8.** A soft closed subspace of a soft normal space is soft normal.

**Proof.** Let  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  be soft subspace of soft normal space  $(X, \tau, A)$  such that  $Y_A \in \tau^c$ . Let  $(F_1, A)$ ,  $(F_2, A)$  be two disjoint soft closed subsets of  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$ . Then there exists soft closed sets (F, A), (G, A) in  $(X, \tau, A)$  such that  $(F_1, A) = Y_A \cap (F, A)$  and  $(F_2, A) = Y_A \cap (G, A)$ . Since  $Y_A$  is soft closed in  $(X, \tau, A)$ , therefore  $(F_1, A), (F_2, A)$  are soft disjoint soft closed in  $(X, \tau, A)$ . Then  $(X, \tau, A)$  is soft normal implies that there exist soft open sets  $(F_3, A), (F_4, A)$  in  $(X, \tau, A)$  such that  $(F_1, A) \subseteq (F_3, A), (F_2, A) \subseteq (F_4, A)$  and  $(F_3, A) \cap (F_4, A) = \Phi_A$ . But then  $(F_1, A) \subseteq Y_A \cap (F_3, A), (F_2, A) \subseteq Y_A \cap (F_4, A)$ , where  $Y_A \cap (F_3, A), Y_A \cap (F_4, A)$  are soft disjoint soft open subsets of  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$ . This proves that  $(Y, \tau_Y, A)$  is soft normal. Hence the proof.

The following corollary directly follows from proposition 9 and Theorem 8. Corollary 1. Every soft closed subspace of a soft  $T_4$ -space is a soft  $T_4$ -space.

**Conclusion :** The study of soft sets and soft topology is very important in the study of possible applications in classical and non classical logic. We redefined and explored soft separation axioms, namely soft  $T_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, soft regular, soft  $T_3$ , soft normal and soft soft  $T_4$  axioms using soft point defined by I. Zorlutuna [30]. We also discussed some soft invariance properties namely soft topological property and soft hereditary property . These soft separation axioms would be useful for the development of the theory of soft topology to solve the complicated problems containing uncertainties in economics, engineering, medical, environment and in general man-machine systems of various types. These findings are the addition for strengthening the toolbox of soft topology.

## References

- U. Acar, F. Koyuncu, B. Tanay: Soft sets and soft rings, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 59 (2010) 3458-3463.
- [2] H. Aktas, N. Cagman: Soft sets and soft groups, Information Sciences 1(77) (2007) 2726-2735.
- [3] K. Atanassov: Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20(1986) 87-96.
- K. Atanassov: Operators over interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994) 159-174.
- [5] N. Cagman, S. Karatas, S. Enginoglu: Soft Topology, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 62 (2011) 351-358.
- [6] D. Chen: The parametrization reduction of soft sets and its applications, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 49 (2005) 757-763.
- [7] D. N. Georgiou, A. C. Megaritis, V. I. Petropoulos: On Soft Topological Spaces, Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences 7(2) (2013) 1889-1901.
- [8] M. B. Gorzalzany: A method of inference in approximate reasoning based on interval-valued fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21 (1987) 1-17.
- [9] S. Hussain, B. Ahmad : Some Properties of Soft Topological Spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 62 (2011) 4058-4067.
- [10] Y. B. Jun: Soft BCK/BCI-algebras, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 56 (2008) 1408-1413.
- [11] Y. B. Jun, C. H. Park: Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras, Information Sciences 178 (2008) 2466-2475.
- [12] Y. B. Jun, C. H. Park: Applications of soft sets in Hilbert algebras, Iranian Journal Fuzzy Systems 6(2) (2009) 55-86.
- [13] Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim, J. Neggers: Pseudo d-algebras, Information Sciences 179 (2009) 1751-1759.

- [14] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, A. Khan: Soft ordered semigroups, Mathematical Logic Quarterly 56(1) (2010) 42-50.
- [15] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, C. H. Park: Soft set theory applied to commutative ideals, in BCKalgebras, Journal of Applied Mathematics Informatics 26(3-4) (2008) 707-720.
- [16] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, C. H. Park: Soft set theory applied to ideals in d-algebras, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 57 (2009) 367-378.
- [17] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, C. H. Park: Fuzzy soft set theory applied to BCK/BCI-algebras, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 59 (2010) 3180-3192.
- [18] Y. B. Jun, C. H. Park: Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-Algebras, Inform. Sci. 178 (2008) 2466-2475.
- [19] A. Kharral, B. Ahmad: Mappings on soft classes, New Mathematics and Natural Computations, 7(3)(2011), 471–481.
- [20] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, R. Roy: An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 44 (2002) 1077-1083.
- [21] P. K. Maji R. Biswas, R. Roy: Soft set theory, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 45 (2003) 555-562.
- [22] D. Molodtsov: Soft set theory first results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 37 (1999)19-31.
- [23] D. Molodtsov, V. Y. Leonov, D. V. Kovkov: Soft sets technique and its application, Nechetkie Sistemy i Myagkie Vychisleniya 1(1) (2006) 8-39.
- [24] Z. Pawlak: Rough sets, Int. J. Comput. Sci. 11 (1982) 341-356.
- [25] D. Pie, D. Miao: From soft sets to information systems, Granular Computing, 2005 IEEE Inter. Conf. 2, 617-621.
- [26] C. H. Park, Y. B. Jun, M. A. Ozturk: Soft WS-algebras, Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society 23(3) (2008) 313-324.
- [27] M. Shabir, M. Naz: On Soft Topological Spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 61 (2011) 1786-1799.
- [28] Q. M. Sun, Z. L. Zhang, J. Liu: Soft sets and soft modules, Proceedings of Rough Sets and Knowledge Technology, Third International Conference, RSKT 2008, 17-19 May, Chengdu, China, pp. 403-409, 2008.
- [29] L. A. Zadeh: Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [30] I. Zorlutana, N. Akdag, W. K. Min, S. Atmaca: Remarks on Soft Topological Spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 3(2) (2012) 171-185.

568