

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

SOLITONS FOR THE INVERSE MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

GREGORY DRUGAN, HOJOO LEE AND GLEN WHEELER

Volume 284 No. 2

October 2016

SOLITONS FOR THE INVERSE MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

GREGORY DRUGAN, HOJOO LEE AND GLEN WHEELER

We investigate self-similar solutions to the inverse mean curvature flow in Euclidean space. Generalizing Andrews' theorem that circles are the only compact homothetic planar solitons, we apply the Hsiung–Minkowski integral formula to prove the rigidity of the hypersphere in the class of compact expanders of codimension one. We also establish that the moduli space of compact expanding surfaces of codimension two is large. Finally, we update the list of Huisken–Ilmanen's rotational expanders by constructing new examples of complete expanders with rotational symmetry, including topological hypercylinders, called *infinite bottles*, that interpolate between two concentric round hypercylinders.

1. Main results

In this paper, we study self-similar solutions to the inverse mean curvature flow in Euclidean space. After a brief introduction, we present the definitions of the homothetic and translating solitons and discuss the one-dimensional examples. We prove that families of cycloids are the only translating solitons (Theorem 8), and we show how to construct translating surfaces via a tilted product of cycloids.

Next, we consider the rigidity of homothetic solitons. In the class of closed homothetic solitons of codimension one, we prove that round hyperspheres are rigid (Theorem 10). For the higher codimension case, we observe that any minimal submanifold of the standard hypersphere is an expander, so in light of Lawson's construction [1970] of minimal surfaces in S^3 , there exist compact embedded expanders for any genus in \mathbb{R}^4 .

We conclude with an investigation of homothetic solitons with rotational symmetry. First, we construct new examples of complete expanders with rotational symmetry, called *infinite bottles* (see Figure 1), which are topological hypercylinders that interpolate between two concentric round hypercylinders (Theorem 14). Then, we show how the analysis in the proof of Theorem 14 can be used to construct other examples of complete expanders with rotational symmetry, including the examples of Huisken and Ilmanen [1997a].

MSC2010: 53C44.

Keywords: inverse mean curvature flow, self-similar solutions.




Figure 1. A numerical approximation of the part of a curve whose rotation about the horizontal axis is the self-expanding *infinite bottle* in \mathbb{R}^3 .

2. Inverse mean curvature flow: history and applications

Round hyperspheres in Euclidean space expand under the inverse mean curvature flow (IMCF) with an exponentially increasing radius. This behavior is typical for the flow. Gerhardt [1990] and Urbas [1990] showed that compact, star-shaped initial hypersurfaces with strictly positive mean curvature converge under IMCF, after suitable rescaling, to a round sphere.

Strictly positive mean curvature is an essential condition. For the IMCF to be parabolic, the mean curvature must be strictly positive. Huisken and Ilmanen [2008] proved that smoothness at later times is characterized by the mean curvature remaining bounded strictly away from zero; see also Smoczyk [2000]. Within the class of strictly mean-convex surfaces, however, a solution to inverse mean curvature flow will, in general, become singular in finite time. For example, starting from a thin embedded torus with positive mean curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 , the surface fattens up under IMCF and, after finite time, the mean curvature reaches zero at some points [Huisken and Ilmanen 2001, p. 364]. Thus, the classical description breaks down, and any appropriate weak definition of inverse mean curvature flow would need to allow for a change of topology.

Huisken and Ilmanen [2001] used a level-set approach and developed the notion of weak solutions for IMCF to overcome these problems. They showed existence for weak solutions and proved that Geroch's monotonicity [1973] for the Hawking mass carries over to the weak setting. This enabled them to prove the Riemannian Penrose inequality, which also gave an alternative proof for the Riemannian positive mass theorem. For a summary, we refer the reader to Huisken and Ilmanen [1997a; 1997b]. The work of Huisken and Ilmanen also shows that weak solutions become star-shaped and smooth outside some compact region and thus, by the results of Gerhardt [1990] and Urbas [1990], round in the limit. Using a different geometric evolution equation, Bray [2001] proved the most general form of the Riemannian

Penrose inequality. An overview of the different methods used by Huisken, Ilmanen, and Bray can be found in [Bray 2002]. An approach to solving the full Penrose inequality involving a generalized inverse mean curvature flow was proposed in [Bray et al. 2007]. To our knowledge, the full Penrose inequality is still an open problem.

Finally, let us mention some other applications and new developments in IMCF. Using IMCF, Bray and Neves [2004] proved the Poincaré conjecture for 3-manifolds with σ -invariant greater than that of $\mathbb{R}P^3$; see also [Akutagawa and Neves 2007]. Connections with p -harmonic functions and the weak formulation of inverse mean curvature flow are described in [Moser 2007], where a new proof for the existence of a proper weak solution is given, and in [Lee et al. 2011], where gradient bounds and nonexistence results are proved. Recently, Kwong and Miao [2014] discovered a monotone quantity for the IMCF, which they used to derive new geometric inequalities for star-shaped hypersurfaces with positive mean curvature.

3. Definitions and one-dimensional examples

Definition 1 (homothetic solitons of arbitrary codimension). A submanifold Σ^n of \mathbb{R}^N with nonvanishing mean curvature vector field \vec{H} is called a *homothetic soliton for the inverse mean curvature flow* if there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad -\frac{1}{|\vec{H}|^2} \vec{H} = CX^\perp \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

where the vector field X^\perp denotes the normal component of X . Notice that, for any constant $\lambda \neq 0$, the rescaled immersion λX is a soliton with the same value of C .

Remark 2. On a homothetic soliton $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we observe that the condition (1) implies

$$|\vec{H}|^2 = \langle \vec{H}, \vec{H} \rangle = \langle -C|\vec{H}|^2 X^\perp, \vec{H} \rangle = -C|\vec{H}|^2 \langle X, \vec{H} \rangle.$$

Since the mean curvature vector field \vec{H} is nonvanishing, this shows

$$-\langle \vec{H}, X \rangle = \frac{1}{C} \quad \text{or} \quad -\langle \Delta_g X, X \rangle = \frac{1}{C} \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta_g |X|^2 = 2\left(n - \frac{1}{C}\right),$$

where g denotes the induced metric on Σ .

Proposition 3 (homothetic solitons of codimension one). *Let $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface with nowhere vanishing mean curvature vector field $\vec{H} = \Delta_g X$. Then, it becomes a homothetic soliton to the inverse mean curvature flow if and only if there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ satisfying*

$$(2) \quad -\langle \vec{H}, X \rangle = \frac{1}{C} \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad -\langle \Delta_g X, X \rangle = \frac{1}{C}.$$

Proof. According to the observation in Remark 2, the vector equality in (1) implies the scalar equality in (2). To see that (2) implies (1), let N denote a unit normal

vector, and let $H = -(\operatorname{div}_\Sigma N)$ be the corresponding scalar mean curvature. Then $\vec{H} = \Delta_g X = HN$, and the condition (2) becomes

$$-\langle HN, X \rangle = \frac{1}{C},$$

which implies

$$CX^\perp = \langle N, CX \rangle N = -\frac{1}{H}N = -\frac{1}{H^2}\vec{H}. \quad \square$$

Remark 4. A complete classification of the homothetic solitons for the inverse curve shortening flow in the plane was established by J. Urbas [1999]. If a plane curve \mathcal{C} is a solution to (2), then its curvature function κ satisfies the Poisson equation

$$\Delta_C \frac{1}{\kappa^2} = 2(C - 1),$$

and this guarantees the existence of constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{1}{(C - 1)s^2 + \alpha_1 s + \alpha_2},$$

where s denotes an arc length parameter on the curve \mathcal{C} . It is a straightforward exercise to find explicit parametrizations of these homothetic solitons; for instance, see [Castro and Lerma 2016, Section 4]. Examples include circles, involutes of circles, classical logarithmic spirals, epicycloids, and hypocycloids.

Definition 5 (translators of arbitrary codimension). A submanifold $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with nonvanishing mean curvature vector field \vec{H} is called a *translator for the inverse mean curvature flow* if there exists a nonzero constant vector field V satisfying

$$(3) \quad -\frac{1}{|\vec{H}|^2}\vec{H} = V^\perp \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

where the vector field V^\perp denotes the normal component of V . We say that V is the *velocity* of the translator Σ .

Proposition 6 (translators of codimension one). *Let $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface with nonvanishing mean curvature vector field $\vec{H} = \Delta_g X$, where g denotes the induced metric on Σ . Then Σ^n is a translator to the inverse mean curvature flow if and only if there exists a nonzero constant vector field V satisfying*

$$(4) \quad \langle V, \vec{H} \rangle = -1.$$

Proof. We first observe that the condition (3) implies the equality

$$-1 = \left\langle -\frac{1}{|\vec{H}|^2}\vec{H}, \vec{H} \right\rangle = \langle V^\perp, \vec{H} \rangle = \langle V, \vec{H} \rangle.$$

It remains to check that the scalar equality (4) implies the vectorial equality in (3). Let N denote a unit normal vector and $H = -(\operatorname{div}_\Sigma N)$ its scalar mean curvature, so

that $\vec{H} = \Delta_g X = HN$. Then the condition (4) becomes $-1 = \langle V, \vec{H} \rangle = H \langle V, N \rangle$, which implies

$$V^\perp = \langle V, N \rangle N = -\frac{1}{H} N = -\frac{1}{H^2} \vec{H}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 7 (height function on translating hypersurfaces). *A submanifold Σ^n of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with nonvanishing mean curvature is a **translator to the inverse mean curvature flow** with velocity $V = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ if and only if*

$$(5) \quad -1 = \Delta_\Sigma x_{n+1} \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

Now we prove that cycloids are the only one-dimensional translators in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Theorem 8 (classification of translating curves in \mathbb{R}^2). *Any translating curves with unit speed for the inverse mean curvature flow in the Euclidean plane are congruent to cycloids generated by a circle of radius $\frac{1}{4}$.*

Proof. Let the connected curve \mathcal{C} be a translator in the xy -plane with unit velocity $V = (0, 1)$. Adopt the parametrization $X(s) = (x(s), y(s))$, where s denotes the arc length on \mathcal{C} , and introduce the tangential angle function $\theta(s)$ such that the tangent $dX/ds = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ and the normal $N(s) = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$. The translator condition reads

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa} = \cos \theta.$$

Now, we integrate

$$\left(\frac{dx}{d\theta}, \frac{dy}{d\theta} \right) = \left(\frac{ds}{d\theta} \frac{dx}{ds}, \frac{ds}{d\theta} \frac{dy}{ds} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \cos \theta, \frac{1}{\kappa} \sin \theta \right) = (-\cos^2 \theta, -\cos \theta \sin \theta)$$

to recover, up to translation, the curve

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(-2\theta - \sin(2\theta), 1 + \cos(2\theta)).$$

After introducing the new variable $t = -\pi + 2\theta$, we have

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(-\pi - t + \sin t, 1 - \cos t).$$

Reflecting about the x -axis and then translating along the $(1, 0)$ direction, the translator is congruent to the cycloid represented by $\frac{1}{4}(t - \sin t, 1 - \cos t)$. Therefore, we conclude that \mathcal{C} is congruent to the cycloid through the origin, generated by a circle of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. □

Example 9 (tilted cycloid products: one-parameter family of translators with the same speed in \mathbb{R}^3). We can use cycloids (one-dimensional translators in \mathbb{R}^2) to construct a one-parameter family of two-dimensional translators with velocity $(0, 0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $(\alpha(s), \beta(s))$ denote a unit speed patch of the translating curve

\mathcal{C} with velocity $(0, 1)$ in the $\alpha\beta$ -plane, so that $\beta''(s) = -1$ on the translator \mathcal{C} . For each constant $\mu \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we introduce orthonormal vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = (\cos \mu, 0, -\sin \mu), \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = (0, 1, 0), \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = (\sin \mu, 0, \cos \mu),$$

and associate the product surface $\Sigma_\mu = \mathbb{R} \times \frac{1}{\cos \mu} \mathcal{C}$ defined by the patch

$$X(s, h) = h\mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{\alpha(s)}{\cos \mu} \mathbf{v}_2 + \frac{\beta(s)}{\cos \mu} \mathbf{v}_3.$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$\langle \Delta_{\Sigma_\mu} X, (0, 0, 1) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\cos \mu} (\alpha''(s)\mathbf{v}_2 + \beta''(s)\mathbf{v}_3), (0, 0, 1) \right\rangle = \beta''(s) = -1,$$

which guarantees that Σ_μ becomes a translator with velocity $(0, 0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

4. Rigidity of hyperspheres and spherical expanders

We first prove that hyperspheres, as homothetic solitons to the inverse mean curvature flow, are exceptionally rigid. This is a higher-dimensional generalization of Andrews' result [2003, Theorem 1.7] that circles centered at the origin are the only compact homothetic solitons in \mathbb{R}^2 . We then explain that the moduli space of spherical expanders of higher codimension is large. Hereafter, we assume $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 10 (uniqueness of spheres as compact solitons). *Let Σ^n be a homothetic soliton hypersurface for the inverse mean curvature flow in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . If Σ is closed, then it is a round hypersphere (centered at the origin).*

Proof. Since Σ is a compact hypersurface with nonvanishing mean curvature vector, there exists an inward pointing unit normal vector field N along Σ . Then $\vec{H} = \Delta_g X = HN$, where the scalar mean curvature $H = -\operatorname{div}_\Sigma N$ is positive. Since Σ is a homothetic soliton, we have

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{C} = -\langle X, \vec{H} \rangle = -H\langle X, N \rangle,$$

for some constant $C \neq 0$. The Hsiung–Minkowski formula [Hsiung 1956] gives

$$0 = \int_\Sigma \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \langle X, \vec{H} \rangle \right) d\Sigma = \left(1 - \frac{1}{nC} \right) \int_\Sigma 1 d\Sigma.$$

It follows that $C = 1/n$. Let $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ be principal curvature functions on Σ . In terms of

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_i \kappa_j = \frac{H^2}{n^2} - \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\kappa_i - \kappa_j)^2,$$

we have the classical symmetric means inequality

$$\frac{H^2}{n^2} - \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\kappa_i - \kappa_j)^2 \geq 0.$$

Applying the Hsiung–Minkowski formula again, we obtain the integral identity

$$0 = \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2}{H} \langle X, \vec{H} \rangle \right) d\Sigma = \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H}{n} - \frac{n\sigma_2}{H} \right) d\Sigma = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{n}{H} \left(\frac{H^2}{n^2} - \sigma_2 \right) d\Sigma.$$

Hence, $H^2/n^2 - \sigma_2$ vanishes on Σ , which implies that $\kappa_1 = \dots = \kappa_n$ on Σ . Since Σ^n is a closed umbilic hypersurface in Euclidean space, it is a hypersphere. It follows from (6) that this hypersphere is centered at the origin. \square

Lemma 11. *A minimal submanifold of the hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{q \geq 2}$ is an expander for the inverse mean curvature flow in \mathbb{R}^{q+1} .*

Proof. Let $\Sigma^{p \geq 1}$ be a minimal submanifold of the hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^q \subset \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$, and let X denote the position vector field in \mathbb{R}^{q+1} . On the one hand, since X is already normal to the hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^q \subset \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$, we observe the equality

$$X^\perp := X^{\perp(\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{q+1})} = X.$$

On the other hand, according to the minimality of Σ^p in \mathbb{S}^q , we obtain

$$(7) \quad \Delta_g X + pX = 0,$$

where g denotes the induced metric on Σ^p . Thus, we have

$$(8) \quad \vec{H} := \vec{H}_{\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{q+1}}(X) = \Delta_g X = -pX \quad \text{and} \quad |\vec{H}| = p|X| = p.$$

Combining the four equalities on Σ and taking $C = \frac{1}{p} > 0$, we get

$$-\frac{1}{|\vec{H}|^2} \vec{H} = CX^\perp,$$

which indicates that Σ is an expander for the inverse mean curvature flow. \square

Theorem 12. *For any integer $g \geq 1$, there exists at least one two-dimensional compact embedded expander of genus g in \mathbb{R}^4 .*

Proof. For any integer g , Lawson [1970] showed that there exists a compact embedded minimal surface Σ of genus g in \mathbb{S}^3 . Lemma 11 shows that Σ becomes an expander to the inverse mean curvature flow in \mathbb{R}^4 . \square

Remark 13. Castro and Lerma [2016] proved that the converse of Lemma 11 holds.

5. Expanders with rotational symmetry

In this section, we investigate homothetic solitons in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with rotational symmetry about a line through the origin. To a profile curve \mathcal{C} parametrized by $(r(t), h(t))$ for $t \in I$ in the half-plane $\{(r, h) \mid r > 0, h \in \mathbb{R}\}$, we associate the rotational hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} defined by

$$\Sigma^n = \{X = (r(t)\mathbf{p}, h(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid (r(t), h(t)) \in \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

The rotational hypersurface Σ satisfies the homothetic soliton (2) if and only if the profile curve $(r(t), h(t))$ satisfies the ODE

$$(9) \quad -\left(\frac{\dot{r}\ddot{h} - \dot{h}\ddot{r}}{(\dot{r}^2 + \dot{h}^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{n-1}{(\dot{r}^2 + \dot{h}^2)^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{\dot{h}}{r}\right) \frac{-\dot{h}r + \dot{r}h}{(\dot{r}^2 + \dot{h}^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{C}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. We observe:

- i. As long as the quantity $r\dot{h} - h\dot{r}$ is nonzero, we may write (9) as

$$\frac{\dot{r}\ddot{h} - \dot{h}\ddot{r}}{\dot{r}^2 + \dot{h}^2} = -\frac{(n-1)\dot{h}}{r} + \frac{\dot{r}^2 + \dot{h}^2}{C(r\dot{h} - h\dot{r})}.$$

- ii. The ODE (9) is invariant under the dilation $(r, h) \mapsto (\lambda r, \lambda h)$, unlike the profile curve equation for shrinkers or expanders for the mean curvature flow.
- iii. Spheres are expanders. The half-circle $(r(t), h(t)) = (R \cos t, R \sin t)$ with $t \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ having the origin as its center obeys the ODE (9) with $C = 1/n$.
- iv. Cylinders become expanders. The lines $r(t) = \text{constant}$ are solutions to the ODE (9) when $C = 1/(n-1)$.
- v. We outline a way to deduce the ODE (9) using the homothetic soliton equation

$$\Delta_g |X|^2 = 2\left(n - \frac{1}{C}\right).$$

We observe that Σ is a homothetic soliton with rotational symmetry if and only if

$$(10) \quad 2\left(n - \frac{1}{C}\right) = \Delta_g(r^2 + h^2) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}(\dot{r}^2 + \dot{h}^2)^{1/2}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{r^{n-1}}{(\dot{r}^2 + \dot{h}^2)^{1/2}} \frac{d}{dt}(r^2 + h^2) \right),$$

which is equivalent to (9).

5.1. Construction of expanding infinite bottles. Writing the profile curve \mathcal{C} as a graph $(r(h), h)$, we have the second-order nonlinear differential equation

$$(11) \quad \frac{r''}{1+r'^2} = \frac{n-1}{r} - \frac{1+r'^2}{C(r-hr')}.$$

When $C = 1/(n - 1)$, this equation becomes

$$(12) \quad \frac{r''}{1+r'^2} = (n - 1) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1+r'^2}{r-hr'} \right).$$

Observe that $r(h) = \text{constant}$ is a solution to (12), which corresponds to a round hypercylinder expander. Moreover, if $r(h)$ is a solution to (12) with $r'(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $r(h) \equiv r(a)$. Consequently, any nonconstant solution to (12) must be strictly monotone.

In this section, we construct new examples of entire solutions to (12), which correspond to hypercylinder expanders that interpolate between two concentric round hypercylinders.

Theorem 14 (construction of infinite bottles). *Let r_0, h_0 , and r'_0 be constants satisfying $r_0 > 0, h_0 < 0$, and $r'_0 \in (0, -h_0/r_0)$, and let $r(h)$ be the unique solution to (12) satisfying the initial conditions $r(h_0) = r_0$ and $r'(h_0) = r'_0$. Then $r(h)$ is an entire solution, and there are constants $0 < r_{\text{bot}} < r_{\text{top}} < \infty$ such that $r(h)$ interpolates between r_{bot} and r_{top} . More precisely, $r(h)$ is strictly increasing, $\lim_{h \rightarrow -\infty} r(h) = r_{\text{bot}}, \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = r_{\text{top}}$, and there exists a point $h_1 \in (h_0, 0)$ such that $r''(h_1) = 0$ and $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $(h_1 - h)$ when $h \neq h_1$.*

Proof. We separate the proof into two parts. First, we show that the solution is entire and increasing, and there is a unique point where the concavity changes sign. Second, we establish estimates that bound the solution between two positive constants. We note that the rotation of the profile curve about the h -axis has the appearance of an infinite bottle, which interpolates between two concentric cylinders.

Part 1: Existence of expanding infinite bottles.

Notice that the condition $r'(h_0) = r'_0 > 0$ shows that r is a nonconstant solution and guarantees that $r'(h) > 0$. Also, observe that the assumption $r'_0 \in (0, -h_0/r_0)$ coupled with the defining initial conditions for $r(h)$ shows that $h + r'r$ is negative at $h = h_0$. In fact, by assumption, the terms $r', -h - r'r, r$, and $r - hr'$ are all positive at $h = h_0$. So, writing (12) as

$$(13) \quad r'' = (n - 1)(1 + r'^2) \frac{r'(-h - r'r)}{r(r - hr')}$$

we see that $r''(h_0) > 0$.

In the following lemma, we show that the concavity of $r(h)$ changes sign exactly once when $r(h)$ is a maximally extended solution.

Lemma 15 (existence of a unique inflection point). *Let $r : (h_{\text{min}}, h_{\text{max}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a maximally extended solution. Then there exists a point $h_1 \in (h_0, 0)$ such that $r''(h_1) = 0$. Furthermore, $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $(h_1 - h)$ when $h \neq h_1$.*

Proof. Step A. We claim that there exists a point $h_1 \in (h_0, 0)$ such that $r''(h_1) = 0$. We first treat the case where $h_{\max} \leq 0$. In this case, proving the claim is equivalent to showing there is a point $h_1 \in (h_0, h_{\max})$ such that $r''(h_1) = 0$. Suppose to the contrary that

$$r''(h) > 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in (h_0, h_{\max}).$$

As $h_{\max} \leq 0$ and both r and r' are positive, we have $(r - hr') > 0$ for $h \in (h_0, h_{\max})$. In fact, since $(d/dh)(r - hr') = -hr'' > 0$, we see that $(r - hr') > r_0 - h_0r'_0$. Using (13) and the positivity of the functions r , r' , $(r - hr')$, and r'' , we arrive at the inequality $(-h - rr') > 0$, which leads to the estimate

$$0 < r'(h) < -\frac{h}{r} < -\frac{h_0}{r_0} \quad \text{for all } h \in (h_0, h_{\max}).$$

Now, returning to (13), we have the estimate

$$0 \leq r''(h) = (n - 1)(1 + r'^2) \frac{r'(-h - r'r)}{r(r - hr')} \leq (n - 1) \left(1 + \left(\frac{h_0}{r_0}\right)^2\right) \frac{(-h_0/r_0)(-h_0)}{r_0(r_0 - h_0r'_0)}$$

for $h \in (h_0, h_{\max})$. These estimates contradict the finiteness of the maximal endpoint h_{\max} , and we conclude that the claim is true in the case where $h_{\max} \leq 0$.

It still remains to prove the claim in the case where $h_{\max} > 0$. However, in this case the solution $r(h)$ is defined when $h = 0$, and (12) implies

$$r''(0) = -(n - 1) \frac{r'(0)^2}{r(0)} (1 + r'(0)^2) < 0.$$

It follows that there exists a point $h_1 \in (h_0, 0)$ such that $r''(h_1) = 0$.

Step B. We claim that $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $h_1 - h$. Taking a derivative of (11), we have

$$\frac{r'''}{1+r'^2} = \frac{2r'(r'')^2}{(1+r'^2)^2} - \frac{n-1}{r^2}r' - \frac{2r'r''}{C(r-hr')} - \frac{1+r'^2}{C(r-hr')^2}hr''.$$

At the point h_1 , we obtain

$$\frac{r'''(h_1)}{1+r'(h_1)^2} = -(n - 1) \frac{r'(h_1)}{r(h_1)^2} < 0,$$

which shows that $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $h_1 - h$ in a neighborhood of h_1 . In fact, at any point \bar{h} where $r''(\bar{h}) = 0$, we have $r'''(\bar{h}) < 0$. This property tells us that the sign of r'' can only change from positive to negative, and consequently r'' vanishes at most once. Thus, $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $h_1 - h$ for all $h \in (h_{\min}, h_{\max})$. \square

Next, we prove that the profile curves corresponding to the infinite bottles come from entire graphs.

Lemma 16 (existence of entire solutions). *We have $h_{\min} = -\infty$ and $h_{\max} = \infty$.*

Proof. Step A. We claim that $h_{\max} = \infty$. First, we show that $h_{\max} > 0$. To see this, notice that $0 \leq r'(h) \leq r'(h_1)$, $r(h) \geq r_0$, and $r - hr' \geq r_0$ whenever $h_1 \leq h \leq 0$. It follows from (12) that the solution $r(h)$ can be extended past $h \leq 0$. Thus, $h_{\max} > 0$. Next, we show that $h_{\max} = \infty$. Since $h_1 < 0$, we have $(d/dh)(r - hr') = -hr'' \geq 0$ when $h \geq 0$ so that $(r - hr') \geq r(0)$ when $h \geq 0$. We also have $0 \leq r'(h) \leq r'(h_1)$ and $r(h) \geq r_0$ when $h \geq 0$. As before, it follows from (12) that the solution $r(h)$ can be extended past any finite point.

Step B. We claim that $h_{\min} = -\infty$. Suppose to the contrary that $h_{\min} > -\infty$. Then at least one of the functions r' , $1/r$, or $1/(r - hr')$ must blow up at the finite point $h = h_{\min}$. Since $r'' > 0$ on (h_{\min}, h_1) , the positive function r' is increasing, and we have $r'(h) \leq r'(h_0) = r'_0$ for all $h \in (h_{\min}, h_0)$. So, the function r' does not blow up at h_{\min} . If the function $1/r$ is bounded above on (h_{\min}, h_0) , then the inequality $0 < r(h) < r(h) - hr'(h)$ (when $h \leq 0$) guarantees that $1/(r - hr')$ is also bounded above on (h_{\min}, h_0) , in which case, the solution can be extended prior to h_{\min} . Therefore, the function $1/r$ must blow up at $h = h_{\min}$. In other words,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow h_{\min}^+} r(h) = 0.$$

Observing this and using $0 < r'(h) < r'_0$ on (h_{\min}, h_0) , we can find a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ so that $r'(h)r(h) \geq -h_0/2$ for all $h \in (h_{\min}, h_{\min} + \delta]$. Also, the inequality $(d/dh)(r - hr') = -hr'' > 0$ guarantees that

$$0 < r(h) - hr'(h) \leq \epsilon_1 := r(h_{\min} + \delta) - (h_{\min} + \delta)r'(h_{\min} + \delta).$$

It follows from these estimates and (12) that

$$\frac{d}{dh}(\arctan r') = \frac{r''}{1+r'^2} = (n-1) \frac{-(h+r'r)}{r-hr'} \cdot \frac{r'}{r} \geq \epsilon_2 \frac{d}{dh}(\ln r),$$

where

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{(n-1)(-h_0/2)}{\epsilon_1} > 0$$

is a constant. Hence, the function $F(h) := \arctan(dr/dh) - \epsilon_2 \ln r(h)$ is increasing on $(h_{\min}, h_{\min} + \delta]$. Thus, we have the estimate

$$\epsilon_2 \ln r(h) \geq -F(h_{\min} + \delta) + \arctan r' > -F(h_{\min} + \delta).$$

Taking the limit as $h \rightarrow h_{\min}^+$ and using $\lim_{h \rightarrow h_{\min}^+} r(h) = 0$ leads to a contradiction. We conclude that $h_{\min} = -\infty$. □

So far, we have proved the existence of an entire bottle solution $r(h)$ to (12). In the next part of the proof we will establish estimates that squeeze the ends of the infinite bottles between two cylinders.

Part 2: Squeezing infinite bottles by two hypercylinders.

To establish upper and lower bounds for the solution $r(h)$, we study the profile curve \mathcal{C} by writing it as a graph over the axis of rotation: $(r, h(r))$. Then, we have the second-order nonlinear differential equation

$$(14) \quad \frac{h''}{1+h'^2} = -\frac{(n-1)}{r}h' + \frac{1+h'^2}{C(rh'-h)},$$

or equivalently,

$$(15) \quad \frac{h''}{1+h'^2} = \frac{(n-1)hh' + \frac{1}{C}r}{r(rh'-h)} + \left(\frac{1}{C} - (n-1)\right) \frac{h'^2}{(rh'-h)}.$$

Throughout this section, we take $C = 1/(n-1)$, so that (14) takes the form

$$(16) \quad \frac{h''}{1+h'^2} = -(n-1) \left(\frac{h'}{r} - \frac{1+h'^2}{rh'-h} \right) = \frac{n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{r+hh'}{rh'-h}.$$

Now, let $h(r)$ be a maximally extended solution to (16) defined on $(r_{\text{bot}}, r_{\text{top}})$. Lemma 15 tells us that there is a point $r_1 \in (r_{\text{bot}}, r_{\text{top}})$ such that $h'(r) > 0$ and $h''(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (r_1, r_{\text{top}})$ and that $r_1h'(r_1) - h(r_1) > 0$.

Lemma 17 (existence of the outside cylinder barrier). *We have*

$$r_{\text{top}} < \infty, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{top}}^-} h'(r) = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{top}}^-} h(r) = \infty.$$

Proof. We introduce the angle functions $\theta, \phi : (r_1, r_{\text{top}}) \rightarrow (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, defined by

$$\theta(r) = \arctan \frac{dh}{dr} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(r) = \arctan \frac{h}{r},$$

to rewrite the profile curve (16) as

$$(17) \quad \frac{d\theta}{dr} = \frac{n-1}{r \cdot \tan(\theta - \phi)}.$$

Combining this and $0 < \tan(\theta - \phi) \leq \tan \theta$, we have $d\theta/dr \geq (n-1)/(r \cdot \tan \theta)$, which implies

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\tan \theta}{r^{n-1}} \right) \geq \frac{n-1}{r^n \tan \theta} \geq 0.$$

This tells us that the continuous function $(\tan \theta)/r^{n-1}$ is increasing for $r > r_1$. Set $\theta_1 = \theta(r_1)$. According to the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(h - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{nr_1^{n-1}} r^n \right) = \tan \theta - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{r_1^{n-1}} r^{n-1} = \left(\frac{\tan \theta}{r^{n-1}} - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{r_1^{n-1}} \right) r^{n-1} \geq 0,$$

we see that the function

$$h - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{nr_1^{n-1}} r^n$$

is increasing. In particular, we have the height estimate

$$h \geq h_1 + \frac{\tan \theta_1}{nr_1^{n-1}}(r^n - r_1^n).$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{\tan(\theta - \phi)} = \frac{1 + \tan \theta \tan \phi}{\tan \theta - \tan \phi} \geq \tan \phi.$$

Combining this with (17), we have

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{d\theta}{dr} \geq \frac{\tan \phi}{r} = \frac{h}{r^2} \geq \frac{1}{r^2} \left(h_1 + \frac{\tan \theta_1}{nr_1^{n-1}}(r^n - r_1^n) \right),$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\theta}{n-1} + \left(h_1 - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{n} r_1 \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{n(n-1)r_1^{n-1}} r^{n-1} \right) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the function

$$F(r) = \frac{\theta}{n-1} + \left(h_1 - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{n} r_1 \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{n(n-1)r_1^{n-1}} r^{n-1}$$

is increasing, and for all $r \in (r_1, r_{\text{top}})$, we have

$$\frac{\theta}{n-1} \geq F(r_1) - \left(h_1 - \frac{\tan \theta_1}{n} r_1 \right) \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\tan \theta_1}{n(n-1)r_1^{n-1}} r^{n-1}.$$

Since the left-hand side is bounded above, and the right-hand side becomes arbitrarily large as r goes to ∞ , we conclude that $r_{\text{top}} < \infty$. It then follows that the increasing, concave up function $h(r)$ satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{top}}^-} h'(r) = \infty$. If $h(r)$ has a finite limit as r approaches r_{top} , then by the uniqueness of the cylinder $r(h) \equiv r_{\text{top}}$, we get a contradiction. Therefore, we also have $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{top}}^-} h(r) = \infty$. \square

Next, we prove the following lemma, which shows that a solution with $h < 0$, $h' > 0$, and $h'' < 0$ cannot approach the axis of rotation.

Lemma 18 (existence of the inside cylinder barrier). *We have*

$$r_{\text{bot}} > 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{bot}}^+} h'(r) = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{bot}}^+} h(r) = -\infty.$$

Proof. We first observe that $h - rh' < 0$ and $hh' < 0$. We introduce three well-defined functions $\theta : (r_{\text{bot}}, r_0] \rightarrow (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 : (r_{\text{bot}}, r_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\theta(r) = \arctan \frac{dh}{dr}, \quad \Psi_1(r) = \frac{-hh'}{rh' - h}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_2(r) = \frac{r + hh'}{hh'},$$

and we rewrite the profile curve (16) as

$$(18) \quad \frac{d\theta}{dr} = -\frac{n-1}{r} \Psi_1 \Psi_2.$$

Using the estimate

$$\frac{d\Psi_1}{dr} = \frac{-r(h')^3 + h((h')^2 + hh'')}{(h - rh')^2} \leq 0,$$

we see that Ψ_1 is decreasing on $(r_{\text{bot}}, r_0]$, and setting $\epsilon_1 = \Psi_1(r_0)$, we have

$$(19) \quad \Psi_1(r) \geq \epsilon_1 > 0.$$

Observing $(hh')' = h'^2 + h''h > 0$ and defining a constant $\epsilon_2 = -h(r_0)h'(r_0) > 0$, we have the estimate $hh' \leq -\epsilon_2$ for all $r \in (r_{\text{bot}}, r_0]$. It follows that

$$(20) \quad \Psi_2(r) = 1 + \frac{r}{hh'} \geq 1 - \frac{r}{\epsilon_2}.$$

Combining (18), (19), and (20), we have

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\theta}{(n-1)\epsilon_1} + \ln r - \frac{r}{\epsilon_2} \right) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, the function $\Psi(r) = \frac{\theta}{(n-1)\epsilon_1} + \ln r - \frac{r}{\epsilon_2}$ is decreasing, and for all $r \in (r_{\text{bot}}, r_0]$, we have

$$\frac{\theta}{(n-1)\epsilon_1} \geq -\ln r + \frac{r}{\epsilon_2} + \Psi(r_0).$$

Since the left-hand side is bounded above, and the right-hand side becomes arbitrarily large as r goes to 0, we conclude that $r_{\text{bot}} > 0$. It then follows that the increasing, concave down function $h(r)$ satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{bot}}^+} h'(r) = \infty$. If $h(r)$ has a finite limit as r approaches r_{bot} , then by comparison with the cylinder $r(h) \equiv r_{\text{bot}}$, we get a contradiction. Therefore, we also have $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{bot}}^+} h(r) = -\infty$.

This completes the proof of both the lemma and Theorem 14. \square

5.2. Other examples of complete solitons. Huisken and Ilmanen [1997a] used a phase-plane analysis to exhibit complete, rotationally symmetric expanders for the inverse mean curvature flow which are topological hyperplanes. For each $C > 1/n$, they showed there exists a half-entire solution to (11) which intersects the h -axis perpendicularly, and they provided numeric descriptions of these profile curves. For $C > 1/n$ and $C \neq 1/(n-1)$, they also indicated the existence of entire solutions to (11) which are symmetric about the r -axis and correspond to topological hypercylinders. (We note that the rotational expander constructed in Theorem 14 is nonsymmetric in the sense that its profile curve is not symmetric about the r -axis.) In this section, we explain how the techniques from Section 5.1 can be used to recover the examples and numeric pictures presented in [Huisken and Ilmanen 1997a].

Hyperplane expanders. We begin by considering the initial value problem where we shoot perpendicularly to the axis of rotation. For $C > 0$, let $h(r)$ be a solution to (14) with $h(0) = h_0 < 0$ and $h'(0) = 0$. This singular shooting problem is well-defined (see [Baouendi and Goulaouic 1976] and [Drugan 2015]), and the solution satisfies $h''(0) = -1/(nCh_0) > 0$. Differentiating (14) and analyzing the equation for $h'''(r)$ shows that, under the above conditions, we have $h''(r) > 0$ and $h'(r) > 0$, for $r > 0$, as long as the solution is defined. The global behavior of the solution ultimately depends on the value of C .

When $h(r)$ is a solution to the above shooting problem, the graph $(r, h(r))$ is part of a profile curve \mathcal{C} , which corresponds to a rotational expander for the inverse mean curvature flow. Applying the techniques from the proof of Theorem 14 to the profile curve \mathcal{C} leads to a description of the global behavior of this expander, which ultimately depends on the value of $C > 1/n$. In terms of the profile curve \mathcal{C} written as a graph over the h -axis, we have the following result.

Theorem 19. *For $C > 1/n$ and $h_0 < 0$, there exists a half-entire solution $r(h)$ to (11) that is defined for $h > h_0$, and such that the curve $(h, r(h))$ intersects the h -axis perpendicularly when $h = h_0$. The solution $r(h)$ has three types of behavior, depending on the value of C :*

- (1) *If $C = 1/(n - 1)$, then $r' > 0$, $r'' < 0$, and there exists $0 < r_{\text{top}} < \infty$ such that $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = r_{\text{top}}$.*
- (2) *If $C > 1/(n - 1)$, then $r' > 0$, $r'' < 0$, and $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = \infty$.*
- (3) *If $1/n < C < 1/(n - 1)$, then there exists a point h_1 such that $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $(h - h_1)$, and $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = 0$.*

Proof. When $C = 1/(n - 1)$, the convexity of $h(r)$ along with the analysis from Lemma 17 shows that there is a point $r_{\text{top}} < \infty$ such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{top}}^-} h'(r) = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_{\text{top}}^-} h(r) = \infty$. Written as a graph over the h -axis, this shows that there is a solution $r(h)$ to (11), defined for $h > h_0$, which intersects the h -axis perpendicularly at h_0 and satisfies $r' > 0$, $r'' < 0$, and $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = r_{\text{top}}$.

Next, when $C > 1/(n - 1)$, we claim that the solution $h(r)$ must exist for all $r > 0$. To see this, suppose to the contrary that h' increases to ∞ at a point $r_{\text{top}} < \infty$. Then, since $C > 1/(n - 1)$, (14) forces $h \geq \epsilon rh'$ when r is close to r_{top} , for some $\epsilon > 0$. However, integrating this inequality shows that h' does not blow up at a finite point; hence the solution exists for all $r > 0$. Therefore, the solution $h(r)$ exists for all $r > 0$, and using $h'' > 0$ and $h' > 0$, we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h(r) = \infty$. Written as a graph over the h -axis, this shows that there is a solution $r(h)$ to (11), defined for $h > h_0$, which intersects the h -axis perpendicularly at h_0 and satisfies $r' > 0$, $r'' < 0$, and $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = \infty$.

Finally, when $1/n < C < 1/(n - 1)$, the factor $\frac{1}{C} - (n - 1)$ in (15) is positive and the analysis in Lemma 17 can be used to show that $h(r)$ does not exist for all

$r > 0$. Moreover, using the positivity of $\frac{1}{C} - (n - 1)$ and integrating (14), we arrive at an inequality that provides an upper bound for h . In terms of the profile curve written as a graph over the h -axis, this says that the solution $r(h)$ achieves a global maximum at a finite point. Reading (9) in polar coordinates, we can show that $r(h)$ is defined for $h > h_0$. This forces the concavity of $r(h)$ to change sign at a finite point, and as in the proof of Lemma 15, it follows that there is a point h_1 such that $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $(h - h_1)$. Then, an argument similar to the one in the previous paragraph shows that $r(h)$ is not bounded below by a positive constant, and we conclude that $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = 0$. \square

We remark that when $1/n < C < 1/(n - 1)$, the analogue of Lemma 17 holds, but as we saw in the proof of the previous theorem, the analogue of Lemma 18 is not true. Similarly, if $C > 1/(n - 1)$, then the analogue of Lemma 18 holds, but the analogue of Lemma 17 does not.

Hypercylinder expanders. We finish this section with a result on the construction of rotational expanders that are topological hypercylinders.

Theorem 20. *For $C > 1/n$ and $r_0 > 0$, there is a unique solution $r(h)$ to (11) that is symmetric about the r -axis and satisfies the initial condition $r(0) = r_0$, $r'(0) = 0$. The solution $r(h)$ has three types of behavior, depending on the value of C :*

- (1) *If $C = 1/(n - 1)$, then $r(h) \equiv r_0$ (which corresponds to the round hypercylinder).*
- (2) *If $C > 1/(n - 1)$, then $r(h)$ has a global minimum at $h = 0$, and there exists a point $h_1 > 0$ such that $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $(h_1 - |h|)$. Also, $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = \infty$.*
- (3) *If $1/n < C < 1/(n - 1)$, then $r(h)$ has a global maximum at $h = 0$, and there exists a point $h_1 > 0$ such that $r''(h)$ has the same sign as $(|h| - h_1)$. Also, $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} r(h) = 0$.*

Proof. It follows from (11) that the condition $r'(0) = 0$ forces the solution to be constant when $C = 1/(n - 1)$, to have a global minimum at $h = 0$ when $C > 1/(n - 1)$, and to have a global maximum at $h = 0$ when $1/n < C < 1/(n - 1)$. To see that there is a finite point $h_1 > 0$ where the concavity of $r(h)$ changes sign when $C > 1/(n - 1)$, we first observe that $r(h)$ is increasing when $h > 0$, and consequently, it is defined for all $h > 0$. An analysis of (14) shows that a positive solution $h(r)$ cannot satisfy $h''(r) < 0$ and $h'(r) > 0$ for all $r > 0$ when $C > 1/(n - 1)$; hence, there is a finite point $h_1 > 0$ where the concavity of $r(h)$ changes sign. When $1/n < C < 1/(n - 1)$, the analysis in the proof of Theorem 19 can be used to show that the concavity of $r(h)$ changes sign at a finite point $h_1 > 0$. The proofs of the remaining properties are similar to the proofs given for Theorems 14 and 19. \square

Acknowledgement

We warmly thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and their suggestions.

References

- [Akutagawa and Neves 2007] K. Akutagawa and A. Neves, “3-manifolds with Yamabe invariant greater than that of $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ ”, *J. Differential Geom.* **75**:3 (2007), 359–386. MR 2301449 Zbl 1119.53027
- [Andrews 2003] B. Andrews, “Classification of limiting shapes for isotropic curve flows”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**:2 (2003), 443–459. MR 1949167 Zbl 1023.53012
- [Baouendi and Goulaouic 1976] M. S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic, “Singular nonlinear Cauchy problems”, *J. Differential Equations* **22**:2 (1976), 268–291. MR 0435564 Zbl 0344.35012
- [Bray 2001] H. L. Bray, “Proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality using the positive mass theorem”, *J. Differential Geom.* **59**:2 (2001), 177–267. MR 1908823 Zbl 1039.53034
- [Bray 2002] H. L. Bray, “Black holes, geometric flows, and the Penrose inequality in general relativity”, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **49**:11 (2002), 1372–1381. MR 1936643 Zbl 1126.83304
- [Bray and Neves 2004] H. L. Bray and A. Neves, “Classification of prime 3-manifolds with Yamabe invariant greater than $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ ”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **159**:1 (2004), 407–424. MR 2052359
- [Bray et al. 2007] H. Bray, S. Hayward, M. Mars, and W. Simon, “Generalized inverse mean curvature flows in spacetime”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **272**:1 (2007), 119–138. MR 2291804 Zbl 1147.53052
- [Castro and Lerma 2016] I. Castro and A. M. Lerma, “Lagrangian homothetic solitons for the inverse mean curvature flow”, *Results Math.* (online publication July 2016).
- [Drugan 2015] G. Drugan, “An immersed S^2 self-shrinker”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367**:5 (2015), 3139–3159. MR 3314804 Zbl 06429009
- [Gerhardt 1990] C. Gerhardt, “Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres”, *J. Differential Geom.* **32**:1 (1990), 299–314. MR 1064876 Zbl 0708.53045
- [Geroch 1973] R. Geroch, “Energy extraction”, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **224**:1 (1973), 108–117. Zbl 0942.53509
- [Hsiung 1956] C.-C. Hsiung, “Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces in Riemannian space”, *Pacific J. Math.* **6** (1956), 291–299. MR 0082160 Zbl 0071.37502
- [Huisken and Ilmanen 1997a] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, “A note on the inverse mean curvature flow”, 1997, available at <https://people.math.ethz.ch/~ilmanen/papers/saitama.ps>. Presented at the Workshop on Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Saitama University, 1997.
- [Huisken and Ilmanen 1997b] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, “The Riemannian Penrose inequality”, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1997**:20 (1997), 1045–1058. MR 1486695 Zbl 0905.53043
- [Huisken and Ilmanen 2001] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, “The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality”, *J. Differential Geom.* **59**:3 (2001), 353–437. MR 1916951 Zbl 1055.53052
- [Huisken and Ilmanen 2008] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, “Higher regularity of the inverse mean curvature flow”, *J. Differential Geom.* **80**:3 (2008), 433–451. MR 2472479 Zbl 1161.53058
- [Kwong and Miao 2014] K.-K. Kwong and P. Miao, “A new monotone quantity along the inverse mean curvature flow in \mathbb{R}^n ”, *Pacific J. Math.* **267**:2 (2014), 417–422. MR 3207590 Zbl 1295.53074
- [Lawson 1970] H. B. Lawson, Jr., “Complete minimal surfaces in S^3 ”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **92** (1970), 335–374. MR 0270280 Zbl 0205.52001

- [Lee et al. 2011] Y.-I. Lee, A.-N. Wang, and S. W. Wei, “On a generalized 1-harmonic equation and the inverse mean curvature flow”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **61**:2 (2011), 453–461. MR 2746129 Zbl 1220.53078
- [Moser 2007] R. Moser, “The inverse mean curvature flow and p -harmonic functions”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **9**:1 (2007), 77–83. MR 2283104 Zbl 1116.53040
- [Smoczyk 2000] K. Smoczyk, “Remarks on the inverse mean curvature flow”, *Asian J. Math.* **4**:2 (2000), 331–335. MR 1797584 Zbl 0989.53040
- [Urbas 1990] J. I. E. Urbas, “On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures”, *Math. Z.* **205**:3 (1990), 355–372. MR 1082861 Zbl 0691.35048
- [Urbas 1999] J. Urbas, “Convex curves moving homothetically by negative powers of their curvature”, *Asian J. Math.* **3**:3 (1999), 635–656. MR 1793674 Zbl 0970.53039

Received October 25, 2015. Revised April 26, 2016.

GREGORY DRUGAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
EUGENE, OR 97403-1222
UNITED STATES
drugan@uoregon.edu

HOJOO LEE
CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL CHALLENGES
KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY
HOEGIRO 85
DONGDAEMUN-GU
SEOUL 02455
SOUTH KOREA
momentmaplee@gmail.com

GLEN WHEELER
INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS
UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG
NORTHFIELDS AVENUE
WOLLONGONG NSW 2522
AUSTRALIA
glenw@uow.edu.au

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$440/year for the electronic version, and \$600/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 284 No. 2 October 2016

Spherical CR Dehn surgeries	257
MIGUEL ACOSTA	
Degenerate flag varieties and Schubert varieties: a characteristic free approach	283
GIOVANNI CERULLI IRELLI, MARTINA LANINI and PETER LITTELMANN	
Solitons for the inverse mean curvature flow	309
GREGORY DRUGAN, HOJOO LEE and GLEN WHEELER	
Bergman theory of certain generalized Hartogs triangles	327
LUKE D. EDHOLM	
Transference of certain maximal Hilbert transforms on the torus	343
DASHAN FAN, HUOXIONG WU and FAYOU ZHAO	
The Turaev and Thurston norms	365
STEFAN FRIEDL, DANIEL S. SILVER and SUSAN G. WILLIAMS	
A note on nonunital absorbing extensions	383
JAMES GABE	
On nonradial singular solutions of supercritical biharmonic equations	395
ZONGMING GUO, JUNCHENG WEI and WEN YANG	
Natural commuting of vanishing cycles and the Verdier dual	431
DAVID B. MASSEY	
The nef cones of and minimal-degree curves in the Hilbert schemes of points on certain surfaces	439
ZHENBO QIN and YUPING TU	
Smooth approximation of conic Kähler metric with lower Ricci curvature bound	455
LIANGMING SHEN	
Maps from the enveloping algebra of the positive Witt algebra to regular algebras	475
SUSAN J. SIERRA and CHELSEA WALTON	



0030-8730(201610)284:2;1-Z