

Solubility of Anthracene in Binary Alkane + 2-Methyl-1-propanol Solvent Mixtures

Anita I. Zvaigzne, Joshua Wolfe, and William E. Acree, Jr.*

Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas 76203-5068

Experimental solubilities are reported for anthracene dissolved in seven binary mixtures containing 2-methyl-1-propanol with hexane, heptane, octane, cyclohexane, methylcyclohexane, 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, and *tert*-butylcyclohexane at 25 °C. Results of these measurements are used to test two mathematical representations based upon the combined nearly ideal binary solvent (NIBS)/Redlich-Kister equation and modified Wilson model. For the systems studied, the combined NIBS/Redlich-Kister and modified Wilson equations were found to provide very reasonable mathematical representations with deviations between experimental and back-calculated values being on the order of $\pm 1.5\%$ or less.

Introduction

Solid-liquid equilibrium data of organic nonelectrolyte systems are becoming increasingly important in the petroleum industry, particularly in light of present trends toward heavier feedstocks and known carcinogenicity/mutagenicity of many of the larger polycyclic aromatic compounds. Solubility data for a number of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (*i.e.*, anthracene and pyrene) and heteroatom polynuclear aromatics (*i.e.*, carbazole, dibenzothiophene, and xanthene) have been published in the recent chemical literature (1-6). Despite efforts by experimentalists and scientific organizations, in terms of both new experimental measurements and critically-evaluated data compilations, there still exist numerous systems for which solubility data are not readily available.

To address this problem, researchers have turned to group contribution methods and semiempirical expressions to predict desired quantities. Group contribution methods have proved fairly successful in estimating solid solubility in pure and binary solvent mixtures from structural information. Practical application though is limited to systems for which all group interaction parameters are known. Generally, interaction parameters are evaluated from solid-liquid and liquid-vapor equilibrium data. It is important that the data base contain as many different functional groups as possible, preferably with adequate representation from both mono- and multifunctional solute/solvent molecules to permit evaluation of potential synergistic effects. The data base should contain sufficient experimental values near infinite dilution in the event that one wishes to determine separate interaction parameters for finite concentration and infinite dilution activity coefficient predictions. The UNIFAC model (7) now has two sets of group interaction parameters, with the publication of the infinite dilution values by Bastos *et al.* (8).

Continued development of solution models for describing the thermodynamic properties of a solute in binary solvent systems requires that a large data base be available for assessing the applications and limitations of derived expressions. Currently, only a limited data base exists for crystalline nonelectrolyte solubility in binary solvent mixtures. For this reason, anthracene solubilities were determined in seven binary alkane + 2-methyl-1-propanol solvent mixtures.

Table 1. Experimental Mole Fraction Solubilities of Anthracene (A) in Binary Alkane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C) Solvent Mixtures at 25.0 °C

x_C^o	x_A^{sat}	x_C^o	x_A^{sat}	x_C^o	x_A^{sat}
Hexane (B) + 2-Methyl-2-propanol (C)					
0.0000	0.001 274	0.4807	0.001 063	0.8524	0.000 645
0.1473	0.001 299	0.5889	0.000 947	0.9250	0.000 560
0.2688	0.001 236	0.6846	0.000 838	1.0000	0.000 470
Heptane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C)					
0.0000	0.001 571	0.5123	0.001 181	0.8567	0.000 680
0.1644	0.001 514	0.6094	0.001 031	0.9294	0.000 575
0.2825	0.001 435	0.7105	0.000 899	1.0000	0.000 470
Octane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C)					
0.0000	0.001 838	0.5373	0.001 278	0.8741	0.000 693
0.1786	0.001 742	0.6371	0.001 111	0.9385	0.000 581
0.3077	0.001 601	0.7281	0.000 955	1.0000	0.000 470
Cyclohexane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C)					
0.0000	0.001 553	0.4297	0.001 232	0.8155	0.000 692
0.1267	0.001 565	0.5441	0.001 073	0.9131	0.000 575
0.2262	0.001 488	0.6400	0.000 928	1.0000	0.000 470
Methylcyclohexane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C)					
0.0000	0.001 649	0.4769	0.001 265	0.8428	0.000 693
0.1438	0.001 697	0.5837	0.001 100	0.9143	0.000 590
0.2568	0.001 568	0.6739	0.000 963	1.0000	0.000 470
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C)					
0.0000	0.001 074	0.5402	0.000 880	0.8780	0.000 591
0.1859	0.001 087	0.6451	0.000 805	0.9413	0.000 536
0.3227	0.001 019	0.7334	0.000 730	1.0000	0.000 470
<i>tert</i> -Butylcyclohexane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C)					
0.0000	0.001 978	0.5548	0.001 336	0.8806	0.000 699
0.1860	0.001 892	0.6531	0.001 150	0.9449	0.000 574
0.3238	0.001 716	0.7351	0.000 990	1.0000	0.000 470

Results of these measurements are used to further test the descriptive abilities of several previously derived expressions.

Experimental Methods

Anthracene (Aldrich, 99.9+%) was used as received. Cyclohexane (Aldrich, HPLC), hexane (Aldrich, 99%), heptane (Aldrich, HPLC), octane (Aldrich, 99+%, anhydrous), methylcyclohexane (Aldrich, 99+%, anhydrous), 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (Aldrich, HPLC), and *tert*-butylcyclohexane (Aldrich, 99%) were stored over molecular sieves to reduce trace amounts of water shortly before use. 2-Methyl-1-propanol (Aldrich, 99+%, anhydrous) was stored over both anhydrous sodium sulfate and molecular sieves before being fractionally distilled. Gas chromatographic analysis showed solvent purities to be 99.7 mol% or better. Karl-Fisher titration gave

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Table 2. Mathematical Representation of Anthracene Solubilities in Several Alkane (B) + 2-Methyl-1-propanol (C) Solvent Mixtures

	two-param eq 1		three-param eq 1		eq 2	
	S_i^a	% dev ^b	S_i^a	% dev ^b	$\Delta_{ij}^{adj\ c}$	% dev ^b
hexane + 2-methyl-1-propanol	1.267 -0.080	1.2	1.177 -0.032 0.322	0.5	1.557 1.417	0.6
heptane + 2-methyl-1-propanol	1.356 -0.350	1.1	1.284 -0.301 0.266	0.7	1.265 1.721	0.5
octane + 2-methyl-1-propanol	1.540 -0.570	1.4	1.422 -0.464 0.447	0.4	1.141 1.969	0.5
cyclohexane + 2-methyl-1-propanol	1.214 0.148	1.3	1.116 0.172 0.341	0.3	2.197 1.249	0.6
methylcyclohexane + 2-methyl-1-propanol	1.462 -0.070	1.8	1.342 0.121 0.430	0.6	1.961 1.501	0.9
2,2,4-trimethylpentane + 2-methyl-1-propanol	1.090 -0.221	1.5	0.972 -0.100 0.462	0.4	1.133 1.525	0.9
tert-butylcyclohexane + 2-methyl-1-propanol	1.688 -0.549	1.4	1.576 -0.435 0.432	0.1	1.345 2.017	0.5

^a Combined NIBS/Redlich-Kister curve-fit parameters are ordered as S_0 , S_1 , and S_2 . ^b Deviation (%) = $(100/N)\sum[\ln[(x_A^{sat})^{calc}/(x_A^{sat})^{exp}]]$. ^c Adjustable parameters for the modified Wilson equation are ordered as Λ_{BC}^{adj} and Λ_{CB}^{adj} .

a water content (mass/mass %) of <0.01% for 2-methyl-1-propanol. Binary solvent mixtures were prepared by mass so that compositions could be calculated to 0.0001 mole fraction.

Excess solute and solvent were placed in amber glass bottles and allowed to equilibrate in a constant-temperature water bath at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C for several days. Attainment of equilibrium was verified by repetitive measurements after several additional days and by approaching equilibrium from supersaturation by preequilibrating the solutions at a higher temperature. Aliquots of saturated anthracene solutions were transferred through a coarse filter into a tared volumetric flask to determine the amount of sample and diluted quantitatively with methanol. Concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically at 356 nm on a Bausch and Lomb Spectronic 2000. Experimental anthracene solubilities in seven binary alkane + 2-methyl-1-propanol mixtures studied are listed in Table 1. Numerical values represent the average of between four and eight independent determinations, with the measured values being reproducible to within $\pm 1.3\%$.

Results and Discussion

Acree and co-workers (9, 10) suggested possible mathematical representations for isothermal solubility data based upon either a combined NIBS/Redlich-Kister model

$$\ln x_A^{sat} = x_B^\circ \ln(x_A^{sat})_B + x_C^\circ \ln(x_A^{sat})_C + x_B^\circ x_C^\circ \sum_{i=0}^n S_i (x_B^\circ - x_C^\circ)^i \quad (1)$$

or modified Wilson equation (11)

$$\ln[a_A(s)/x_A^{sat}] = 1 - x_B^\circ \{1 - \ln[a_A(s)/(x_A^{sat})_B]\} / (x_B^\circ + x_C^\circ \Lambda_{BC}^{adj}) - x_C^\circ \{1 - \ln[a_A(s)/(x_A^{sat})_C]\} / (x_B^\circ \Lambda_{CB}^{adj} + x_C^\circ) \quad (2)$$

where the various S_i and Λ_{ij}^{adj} "curve-fit" parameters can be evaluated via least-squares analysis. In eqs 1 and 2 x_B° and x_C° refer to the initial mole fraction composition of the binary

solvent calculated as if the solute were not present, $a_A(s)$ is the activity of the solid solute, and $(x_A^{sat})_i$ is the saturated mole fraction solubility of the solute in pure solvent i . The numerical value of $a_A(s) = 0.01049$ (12) used in the modified Wilson computations was calculated from

$$\ln a_A(s) = -\Delta_{fus}H_A(T_{mp} - T)/(RTT_{mp}) \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta_{fus}H_A$ is the molar enthalpy of fusion at the normal melting point temperature of the solute, T_{mp} .

The ability of eqs 1 and 2 to mathematically represent the experimental solubility of anthracene in the seven binary alkane + 2-methyl-1-propanol solvent systems is summarized in Table 2 in the form of curve-fit parameters and percent deviations in back-calculated solubilities for the two-parameter and three-parameter expressions. Careful examination of Table 2 reveals that both representations provide very reasonable mathematical descriptions for how the solubility of anthracene varies with solvent composition. For the most part, back-calculated and experimental values differ by less than $\pm 1.5\%$, which is comparable to the experimental uncertainty. From a computational standpoint, eq 1 will likely be preferred because most research groups involved in reporting thermodynamic properties have computer programs for evaluating the Redlich-Kister coefficients. With this idea in mind, we recommend not only that the future presentation of experimental isothermal solubility data for slightly soluble solid solutes dissolved in binary solvent mixtures include a tabulation of the actual observed values but, if possible, that the solubility data be mathematically represented by eq 1. Realizing that a single equation will not be applicable to all systems encountered, we further suggest eq 2 as an alternative mathematical representation for systems having extremely large solubility ranges and/or highly asymmetrical $\ln x_A^{sat}$ versus x_B° curves, such as the carbazole + alkane + tetrahydropyran systems reported previously (10).

Literature Cited

- Zvaigzne, A. I.; Acree, W. E., Jr. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 1994, 39, 114.
- Zvaigzne, A. I.; Acree, W. E., Jr. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 1994, 39, 117.
- McCargar, J. W.; Acree, W. E., Jr. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* 1987, 17, 123.

- (4) McCargar, J. W.; Acree, W. E., Jr. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1987, 76, 572.
- (5) Acree, W. E., Jr. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* 1990, 22, 157.
- (6) Coon, J. E.; Sediawan, W. B.; Auwaerter, J. E.; McLaughlin, E. J. *Solution Chem.* 1988, 17, 519.
- (7) Fredenslund, A.; Jones, R. L.; Prausnitz, J. M. *AIChE J.* 1975, 21, 1086.
- (8) Bastos, J. C.; Soares, M. E.; Medina, A. G. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 1988, 27, 1269.
- (9) Acree, W. E., Jr.; Zvaigzne, A. I. *Thermochim. Acta* 1991, 178, 151.
- (10) Acree, W. E. Jr.; McCargar, J. W.; Zvaigzne, A. I.; Teng, I.-L. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* 1991, 23, 27.
- (11) Comer, J. F.; Kopecni, M. M. *Anal. Chem.* 1990, 62, 991.

- (12) Acree, W. E., Jr.; Rytting, J. H. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1983, 72, 292.

Received for review October 22, 1993. Accepted March 8, 1994.*
This research was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CTS-8922485). J.W. also thanks the U.S. Department of Education for support provided to him under the Upward Bound Math and Science Program.

* Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, April 15, 1994.