JOURNAL OF RESEARCH of the National Bureau of Standards-A. Physics and Chemistry Vol. 78A, No. 6, November-December 1974

Solubility of CaHPO₄ · 2H₂O in the Quaternary System $Ca(OH)_2 - H_3PO_4 - NaCl - H_9O at 25 °C*$

P. R. Patel,** T. M. Gregory*** and W. E. Brown †

Institute for Materials Research, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234

(August 12, 1974)

Solubility of CaHPO4 · 2H2O was determined in the quaternary system Ca(OH)2 - H3PO4 - NaCl-H₂O at 25 °C in the pH range 4.39-6.38; ionic strengths of the saturated solutions varied from 0.00485 to 0.545, Satisfactory constancy in the solubility product, $(K_{sp} = [Ca^{2+}] \cdot [HPO_4^{2-}] \cdot \gamma_{Ca^{2+}} \cdot \gamma_{HPO_4^{2-}})$ $2.49 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-7}$ mol² × 1⁻²) was obtained when (i) the ion activity coefficients, γ_i , were calculated with the Debye-Hückel equation, $\log \gamma_i = -AZ_i^2 \sqrt{I}/(1 + B\alpha_i \sqrt{I}) + 0.0626 I$; the value 0.0626 for the coefficient in the linear term was derived from the solubility data by utilizing a statistical procedure, and (ii) formation of an ion pair NaHPO4 was taken into account; a statistically derived value for the stability constant of this ion pair is 7.0 ± 2.4 1 × mol⁻¹. The ion pair NaHPO₄ appears to have significant concentrations in physiological fluids.

Key words: Brushite; CaHPO₄ \cdot 2H₂O; NaHPO₄ ion pair; solubility product; system Ca(OH)₂ - H₃PO₄-H₂O-NaCl.

Introduction 1.

The sparingly soluble calcium phosphates, $Ca_{5}(PO_{4})_{3}OH$, $Ca_{8}H_{2}(PO_{4})_{6} \cdot 5H_{2}O$, β - $Ca_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}$, $CaHPO_{4} \cdot 2H_{2}O$ and $CaHPO_{4}$ are of considerable physiological interest. For this reason, we have been investigating their solubilities with two major objectives in mind. First, we wish to develop an internally consistent set of thermodynamic quantities-solubility products, enthalpies and entropies of dissolution-for as many of these salts as is feasible experimentally. Second, we wish to try to develop ionic models which will satisfactorily explain the experimental solubility data, and which can subsequently be used to describe the behavior of these salts in physiological systems.

These data were collected at four temperatures (5, 15, 25, 37 °C), over a broad range of concentration (approximately 1000-fold variations in H⁺, Ca, and P concentrations), and for four salts (Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH, β -Ca₃(PO₄)₂, CaHPO₄ · 2H₂O and CaHPO₄). Nearly all of these measurements were carried out in the ternary system, Ca(OH)2-H3PO4-H2O, with the objective of using the simplest possible system to assure ease of interpretation of the data [1-6].1 In

only one instance was a study made in a quaternary system $(Ca_5(PO_4)_3OH)$ in the system $Ca(OH)_2 - H_3PO_4 - H_3PO_4$ $H_2O-HCl)$ [7]. In the present study, solubility measurements were extended to include the physiologically important salt, NaCl, as the fourth component.

In order to calculate the ion activity products a knowledge of ion activity coefficients is required; these are usually calculated with the use of either the Debye-Hückel equation [8], or the Davies equation [9] when the solutions have low ionic strengths. Previous solubility studies on calcium phosphates [1-7] have shown that both of these equations for calculating ion activity coefficients are adequate; the ionic strengths of most of these solutions were less than 0.1.

The models which satisfactorily account for the above data require the assumption of the presence of the ion pairs $CaHPO_4^0$ and $CaH_2PO_4^+$ [1, 2] in addition to the usual dissociation products of Ca(OH)₂, H₃PO₄, and H₂O. In the present work we find it necessary to include the ion pair NaHPO₄.

The purposes of the present investigation were (i) to test the usefulness of the expressions for ion activity coefficients in the system Ca(OH)₂-H₃PO₄-NaCl-H₂O at ionic strengths considerably higher than 0.1, and (ii) to determine whether or not there is an interaction between the ions of NaCl with those of Ca(OH)₂ and H₃PO₄. This was achieved by determination of the solubility of CaHPO₄ · 2H₂O in the quaternary system over a range of NaCl concentrations up to 0.5 M. The salt CaHPO₄ \cdot 2H₂O was selected for this purpose because (i) it is relatively easy to prepare in

^{*} This investigation was supported in part by Research Grant DE00572 to the American Dental Association from the National Institute of Dental Research and is part of the dental research program conducted by the National Buv.au of Standards in cooperation with the American Dental Association Health Foundatior. ** Present address: School of Dentistry, University of Maryland, Baltimore, Md. 21201. *** Research Associate, American Dental Association Health Foundation, Research Unit, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234. † Director, American Dental Association Health Education Foundation, Research Unit, National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234.

a pure state, (ii) its relatively high solubility under the most propitious experimental conditions contributes to accuracy in the derived constants, and (iii) our experience indicates that its chemical properties are conducive to rapid attainment of equilibrium between it and the aqueous phase.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The CaHPO₄ \cdot 2H₂O used in this study was prepared by bubbling ammonia into an aqueous solution saturated with reagent grade Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ \cdot H₂O maintained at about 5 °C [10]; crystals that precipitated in the pH range 4.0 to 4.2 were collected, washed with distilled water and acetone, air dried, and stored in a refrigerator to prevent dehydration until needed.

The H_3PO_4 solutions of various concentrations (P₀, table 1) were made from doubly crystallized $H_3PO_4 \cdot \frac{1}{2}H_2O$ and freshly boiled distilled water. Known weights of reagent grade NaCl, dried for 24 hours at 125 °C, were dissolved in the H_3PO_4 solutions to provide the desired concentrations of NaCl.

2.2. Solubility Experiments

Each 2.5 g sample of CaHPO₄ · 2H₂O was equilibrated with 200 ml of NaCl-H₃PO₄ solution for two week periods in a thermostated water bath at 25 °C ± 0.01 °C equipped with an end-over-end rotating device. The equilibrated solutions were filtered through cellulose filters with average pore diameters of 0.24 μ m. The pH values of the solutions were measured with a research pH meter which was standardized with NBS certified buffers; the pH cell was {glass electrode | test solution || saturated KCl | Hg₂Cl₂; Hg}; the estimated standard error in the pH measurements is ± 0.01 pH units. Calcium concentrations, Ca_t , of the equilibrated solutions were determined with a relative error of 0.025 by means of an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Phosphorus concentrations, P_t , were determined to a relative error 0.015 with a double beam spectrophotometer using vanadomolybdate reagent [11].

2.3. Calculations

a. Ion-Activity Coefficients

In most of our calculations, the Debye-Hückel expression, eq (1), was used to evaluate the activity coefficients, γ_i , needed to calculate ion activity products.

$$\log \gamma_i = \{ -AZ_i^2 \sqrt{I} / (1 + B \cdot \alpha_i \sqrt{I}) \} + \beta I.$$
(1)

In this equation A and B are temperature dependent constants; Z_i is the charge number of the *i*th ion; α_i is its ion-size parameter and I is the ionic strength,

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma C_i Z_i^2, \tag{2}$$

TABLE	1. Solubility of CaHPO4 · 2H2O in the syste	m
	Ca(OH) ₂ -H ₃ PO ₄ -NaCl-H ₂ O at 25 °C	

Solution No.	NaCl, M	Equili	Ca		
		pН	$\overset{\operatorname{Ca}_{\ell}}{_{M imes 10^3}}$	$\stackrel{\mathrm{P}_{t}}{M \times 10^{3}}$	$\mathbf{P}_t - \mathbf{P}_0$
		$P_0 = 14.$	8 M×10 ³		
A-1 A-2 A-3 A-4 A-5 A-6	0.000 .050 .100 .150 .250 .500	$\begin{array}{r} 4.39\\ 4.48\\ 4.54\\ 4.58\\ 4.64\\ 4.70\end{array}$	14.7 14.8 14.9 15.0 15.1 15.2	29.8 29.8 29.8 29.8 29.8 29.8 29.9	0.980 .987 .993 1.00 1.01 1.01
		$P_0 = 13$.	2 M×10 ³		
B-1 B-4 B-6	0.000 .150 .500	$4.47 \\ 4.68 \\ 4.80$	$13.2 \\ 13.5 \\ 14.0$	26.2 26.3 27.2	$1.02 \\ 1.03 \\ 1.00$
		$P_0 = 10.$	5 M×10 ³		
C-1 C-2 C-3 C-4 C-5 C-6	0.000 .050 .100 .150 .250 .500	4.62 4.74 4.81 4.85 4.91 4.97	$\begin{array}{c} 10.6 \\ 10.7 \\ 10.8 \\ 10.9 \\ 11.1 \\ 11.4 \end{array}$	21.8 21.9 21.9 21.8 22.0 22.1	0.938 .939 .947 .965 .965 .983
		P ₀ =3.5	$2 M \times 10^3$		
D-1 D-2 D-3 D-4 D-5 D-6	0.000 .050 .100 .150 .250 .500	5.41 5.59 5.66 5.70 5.74 5.79	3.74 3.93 4.08 4.24 4.52 4.98	7.30 7.47 7.59 7.76 8.02 8.74	$\begin{array}{c} 0.989 \\ .995 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 0.954 \end{array}$
		P ₀ =1.2	1 M×10 ³		
E-1 E-2 E-3 E-4 E-5 E-6	0.000 .050 .100 .150 .250 .500	$\begin{array}{c} 6.19 \\ 6.32 \\ 6.36 \\ 6.37 \\ 6.38 \\ 6.37 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.60\\ 2.06\\ 2.36\\ 2.57\\ 2.93\\ 3.62 \end{array} $	2.79 3.23 3.51 3.74 4.12 4.82	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.01 \\ 1.02 \\ 1.03 \\ 1.02 \\ 1.01 \\ 1.00 \\ \end{array} $

where C_i is the molar concentration of an ion. The linear term, βI , in eq (1) was introduced by Hückel [12] to account for changes in the dielectric constant of the medium as a function of *I*. There is no accepted value for the parameter β . In all of our previous solubility studies of calcium phosphates [1–7] the value of β was set equal to zero, which is appropriate for solutions having low ionic strengths (< 0.1).

b. Solubility Product Constant

The solubility product constant of $CaHPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ is defined as

$$K_{sp} = (Ca^{2+}) (HPO_4^{2-}) = [Ca^{2+}] [HPO_4^{2-}] \gamma_{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \gamma_{HPO^{2-}}$$
(3)

in which the quantities in parentheses represent molar ion activities and in brackets represent molar concentrations. Apparent solubility products, K'_{sp} , for each solution were calculated initially from the raw data using a standard iterative procedure [13]; the needed activity coefficients were calculated from eq (1) with $\beta=0$. Values for ionization constants for H₃PO₄ and H₂O, the association constants for the ion pairs CaHPO⁴₄ and CaH₂PO⁺₄, and the constants A and B in eq (1) were the same as those used by Gregory et al. [1]. The possible presence of the ion pair NaHPO⁻₄ was neglected in the calculation of \bar{K}'_{sp} . Henceforth any other quantity calculated in this manner ($\beta=0$ and [NaHPO⁻₄]=0) is indicated as a primed quantity.

Final values of solubility products, K_{sp} , for each solution were calculated similarly, except that statistically derived values for β in eq (1) and for K_N , the stability constant of ion pair NaHPO₄⁻ (see sec. 2.3.d), were incorporated into the computations. The stability constant for the ion pair NaHPO₄⁻ is defined as

$$K_{N} = \frac{[\mathrm{NaHPO}_{4}]^{-} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{NaHPO}_{4}}}{[\mathrm{Na}^{+}] [\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}] \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{Na}+} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{HPO}_{2}^{2-}}}$$
(4)

Ion size parameters for Na⁺ and Cl⁻ were taken as 4.0 and 3.0 [14]; the value used for NaHPO₄⁻, 4.0, was the same as for H₂PO₄⁻. Henceforth, quantities calculated taking into account the estimated values for β and K_N are denoted by symbols without primes.

c. Electroneutrality Unbalance and Ionic Strength

For the system Ca(OH)₂—H₃PO₄—NaCl—H₂O, electroneutrality unbalance is defined here,

$$U(\pm) = -\sum C_i Z_i \tag{5}$$

in which the sum includes the ions Ca^{2+} ; H^+ ; CaH_2 PO₄⁺; Na⁺; NaHPO₄⁻; OH⁻; Cl⁻; $H_2PO_4^-$; HPO₄²⁻; and PO₄³⁻. Apparent and final values of the unbalances, $U(\pm)'$ and $U(\pm)$, as defined in eq (5), and of the ionic strengths, I' and I, as defined in eq (2), were also calculated.

d. Statistical Evaluation of β , $K_{\rm N}$, and $K_{\rm sp}^{\circ}$

Values of the parameter β in eq (1), the stability constant of ion pair NaHPO₄, and an "overall" adjusted value of solubility product constant, K_{sp}^0 , for CaHPO₄ · 2H₂O were determined from the raw data utilizing a generalized least-squares approach based on the procedure given by Deming [15] and Guest [16]; the application of this method to solubility data of CaHPO₄ · 2H₂O in the ternary system has been described in detail by Gregory *et al.* [1].

In brief, the raw data were adjusted giving consideration to the weights of the measurements, so that the sum of squares of the weighted adjustments in the measurements was minimal. The weights were taken as inversely proportional to the squares of the standard errors in the measurements (Ca_t \pm 2.5%; P₀ and P_t \pm 1.5%; NaCl \pm 1.0%; pH \pm 0.01). These adjustments were subjected to the condition that the adjusted measurements and the final estimated parameters would fulfill the following restrictions of the ionic model (in which the Debye-Hückel equation is used to calculate single-ion activity coefficients):

$$I^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum C_i^* Z_i^2$$
 (6)

$$\log \gamma_i^* = \{-AZ_i^2 \sqrt{I}^*/(1 + B\alpha_i \sqrt{I}^*)\} + \beta I^* \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{Ca_t^*}{P_t^* - P_0^*} = 1.0$$
(8)

$$2[Ca^{2+}]^{*} + [H^{+}]^{*} + [CaH_{2}PO_{4}^{+}]^{*} + [Na^{+}]^{*}$$

= [OH⁻]^{*} + [NaHPO_{4}^{-}]^{*} + [Cl⁻]^{*} + [H_{2}PO_{4}^{-}]^{*}
+ 2[HPO₄²⁻]^{*} + 3[PO₄³⁻]^{*} (9)

$$K_{\rm sp}^{\circ} = [{\rm Ca}^{2+}]^* \cdot [{\rm HPO}_4^{2-}]^* \cdot \gamma_{{\rm Ca}^{2+}}^* \cdot \gamma_{{\rm HPO}_4^{2-}}^* \qquad (10)$$

$$K_{N} = \frac{[\text{NaHPO}_{4}^{-}]^{*} \cdot \gamma_{\text{NaHPO}_{4}}^{*}}{[\text{Na}^{+}]^{*} \cdot [\text{HPO}_{4}^{2}^{-}]^{*} \cdot \gamma_{\text{HPO}_{4}}^{*}^{-} \cdot \gamma_{\text{Na}}^{*}}; \qquad (11)$$

In eqs (6-11), the starred quantities are either the final statistically adjusted measurements or the quantities derived from such values. Equations (8) and (9) are the conditions for dissolution stoichiometry for CaHPO₄·2H₂O and electroneutrality balance for the solutions, respectively.

In a separate, but similar calculation, the Davies equation (eq 12) for obtaining ion-activity coefficients was used instead of the Debye-Hückel equation (eq 7):

$$\log \gamma_i^* = \{ -AZ_i^2 \sqrt{I}^* / (1 + \sqrt{I}^*) \} + \Delta AZ_i^2 I^*.$$
 (12)

In this equation Δ is an adjustable parameter. The previously accepted value, 0.2, for Δ [9] has been revised [17] to a new value, 0.3, based on newer compilations of activity data. Nevertheless, an independent estimate for its value was obtained from the present solubility data.

3. Results

The compositions of initial and equilibrated solutions, and the dissolution stoichiometry of the solid, $Ca_t/(P_t - P_0)$, are given in table 1. The equilibrium pH values in sets A, B, C, and D increased with increasing amounts of NaCl; this is probably due to the effect of increasing ionic strength on the ion activity coefficient of H⁺. This effect is masked in set E because formation of NaHPO₄⁻ has tendency to reduce pH; thus, the net effect of the two counter-balancing processes is a near constancy in pH in solutions E-2 to E-6. Calcium and phosphorus concentrations were nearly constant within the sets A, B, and C; however, appreciable increases in the concentrations were observed in the sets D and E with increasing amounts of NaCl. Stoichiometries of the dissolved solid were close to unity for all the sets (last column in table 1) except for small deviations in solutions C-1 to C-5and D-6.

Statistically derived values of parameters β and Δ in the Debye-Hückel and Davies equations, respectively, and the values of K_N and K_{sp}^0 obtained with the two models are given in table 2.

Apparent and final values of ionic strength, electroneutrality unbalance, and solubility product for CaHPO₄ \cdot 2H₂O are given in table 3; these were calculated with the use of the Debye-Hückel equation. Percentage of total phosphorus bound to Na⁺ in the form of the ion pair NaHPO₄⁻ is given in the last column of table 3. Only I values are listed, because I' never differed from I by more than 0.5 percent.

TABLE 2. Statistically derived values of parameters in the ion activity coefficient equations, stability constant for NaHPO₁ and solubility product constant for CaHPO₁· 2H₂O

Derived quantity	Debye-Hückel Equation	Davies Equation
Equation parameter	$\beta = 0.0626 \pm 0.0054$	$\Delta\!=\!0.228\pm\!0.007$
K_N	$7.0 \pm 2.4 \ 1.mol^{-1}$	$9.4 \pm 5.2 \ 1.mol^{-1}$
$K_{ m sp}^{ m o} imes 10^7$	$2.48 \pm 0.02 \text{ mol}^2 .1^{-2}$	$2.25 \pm 0.02 \text{ mol}^2 .1^{-2}$

TABLE 3.	Calculated	values o	of electr	oneutrali	y unbalan	ce, ionic	strength,	solubility
pro	duct constant	, and p	ercent d	of total p	hosphorus	bound to	sodium io	ns

Solution No.	Ionic Model With Debye-Hückel Equation							
	$\beta = 0.0;$	$K_N = 0.0$	$\beta = 0.0626; K_N = 7.0$					
	$U'(\pm)$ $M \times 10^3$	$K_{ m sp}^{\prime} imes 10^7$	I, M	$\begin{array}{c} U \ (\pm) \\ M \times 10^3 \end{array}$	$K_{\rm sp} imes 10^7$	100× [NaHPO ₄]/P		
		Р	$_{0}$ =14.8 $M \times$	103				
A-1 A-2 A-3 A-4 A-5 A-6	0.361 .237 .0936 0633 190 179	2.52 2.42 2.39 2.36 2.31 2.11	0.0422 .0928 .143 .194 .294 .545	$\begin{array}{c} 0.361 \\ .253 \\ .128 \\00765 \\0870 \\ .0471 \end{array}$	2.55 2.48 2.49 2.48 2.50 2.44	0.0 .051 .11 .18 .34 .74		
		Р	$_{0} = 13.2 M \times$	103				
B-1 B-4 B-6	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.194 \\514 \\407 \end{array} $	2.50 2.39 2.23	0.0378 .189 .541	-0.193 452 150	2.53 2.51 2.57	0.0 .23 .93		
		Po	$= 10.5 M \times$	103		4		
C-1 C-2 C-3 C-4 C-5 C-6	0.670 .653 .517 .263 .152 208	2.53 2.49 2.48 2.42 2.39 2.20	0.0309 .0815 .132 .182 .283 .534	0.670 .675 .565 .339 .291 .0952	2.56 2.55 2.57 2.53 2.58 2.52	$0.0 \\ .094 \\ .21 \\ .34 \\ .63 \\ 1.4$		
		Pg	$= 3.52 M \times$	103				
D-1 D-2 D-3 D-4 D-5 D-6	0.0330 .00260 0502 0912 224 0743	2.44 2.41 2.38 2.37 2.32 2.30	0.0110 .0613 .112 .163 .264 .515	0.0332 .0497 .0525 .0705 .0540 .511	2.45 2.44 2.42 2.43 2.40 2.47	0.0 .65 1.4 2.2 3.8 7.6		
		'Po	$=1.21$ $M \times$	10 ³				
E-1 E-2 E-3 E-4 E-5 E-6	-0.0488 192 299 336 424 676	2.52 2.50 2.57 2.55 2.56 2.59	0.00485 .0564 .107 .158 .259 .511	$\begin{array}{r} -0.0488 \\119 \\155 \\128 \\0976 \\0959 \end{array}$	2.52 2.47 2.51 2.46 2.45 2.45 2.44	0.0 2.9 5.5 7.7 11.6 18.7		

4. Discussion

Calculation of ion activity products for solutions of calcium phosphates with ionic strengths of about 0.16 is of interest to investigators involved in the study of biological calcification. In dilute calcium phosphate systems (I < 0.1), the Debye-Hückel and the Davies expressions ($\beta = 0.0$ or $\Delta = 0.3$) have given consistently reliable ion activity products as judged by the constancy of the solubility products in solutions saturated with respect to solid phases such as CaHPO₄ · 2H₂O [1], CaHPO₄ [2], β -Ca₃(PO₄)₂ [3], and Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH [4-7]. The data presented here test the applicability of these expressions for solutions with ionic strengths in the range 0.005-0.55. These data also show that significant concentrations of the ion pair NaHPO₄ form at high concentrations of Na⁺ and at higher pH's; this obviously has considerable significance relative to the activities of phosphate ions in fluids such as blood.

4.1. Solubility Product Constant

The data presented in table 3 and figures 1a and 2a show that at higher values of I the Debye-Hückel



FIGURE 1. (a) Plot of $-\log K'_{sv}$ versus ionic strength; Debye-Hückel equation with $\beta = 0.0$ was used and ion pair NaHPO₄ was omitted from the calculations.

Key for the symbols: \odot Set A; \odot Set B; \ominus Set C; \ominus Set D; and \odot Set E of table 3. (b) Plot of $-\log K_{sp}$ versus ionic strength; the Debye-Hückel equation with $\beta = 0.0626$ and the value 7.0 for the stability constant of the ion pair NAHPO₄ were used in the calculations.

The broken line corresponds to a mean $-\log K_{sp}$ value of 6.603; the standard error in the $-\log K_{sp}$ values (±0.009) is shown by the bar to the right of the points.

equation $(\beta = 0)$ and the Davies equation $(\Delta = 0.3)$ do not give constant pK'_{sp} ; instead these products decrease (figure 1a) or first decrease and then increase (figure 2a) as a function of increasing ionic strength. We attribute these deviations (i) to failure of the Debye-Hückel and Davies expressions with $\beta=0$ and $\Delta=0.3$, respectively, at high ionic strengths, and (ii) to formation of the ion pair NaHPO₄.

The final adjustments required to fulfill the restrictions of the ionic model (eqs 6-11) with Debye-Hückel activity coefficients were, in general, within the standard errors of the measurements and were randomly positive and negative. However, for solutions C-1 to C-5, the adjustments for phosphorus concentrations were all negative (-2.6, -2.6,-2.4, -1.6, and -1.9 % respectively) and larger than the standard error, 1.5 percent. Solution D-6 required a large positive adjustment (3.8%) in the calcium measurement (standard error 2.5%). Slightly abnormal dissolution stoichiometries in these particular solutions (table 1) are explainable on the basis of the possible systematic errors in the phosphorus concentrations for the solutions C-1 to C-5 and in the calcium concentration for the solution D-6.

The results of the final adjustments obtained with the Davies expression (eqs (6) and (8–12)), although not listed, were similar to those described above and the same conclusions can be drawn from them.

Plots of pK'_{sp} $(-\log K'_{sp})$ and pK_{sp} $(-\log K_{sp})$ against ionic strength are given in figures 1 and 2 for calculations made with the Debye-Hückel and Davies equations, respectively.

When the statistically estimated value (0.0626) for the parameter β is used in the linear term of the Debye-Hückel equation and when the presence of NaHPO₄ is incorporated into the calculations, the final values of pK_{sp} show greatly improved constancy (fig. lb). Note especially that the trend in the points apparent in figure 1a, is eliminated; the scatter of the points of series *E* (filled circles) is reduced through the incorporation of the ion pair NaHPO₄ into the model.

The positive value of the parameter β obtained in this study (table 2) is in accord with the view that an increase in I decreases the dielectric constant of the medium, as was shown for 14 other electrolyte systems by Hasted et al. [19]. Moreno et al. [10] reported a value (-0.082) for β in their solubility experiments with CaHPO₄·2H₂O in H₃PO₄ solutions containing NaCl. However, only three solutions containing NaCl were used in their study; the maximum NaCl concentration was only 0.1045 M, and the presence of ion pairs between calcium and phosphate species was not taken into account. However, we recalculated their data, taking into account the presence of the ion pairs CaHPO₄ and CaH₂PO₄⁺, with the finding that the $K_{\rm sp}$ became essentially constant and β in the Debye-Hückel expression became non-determinant.

Robinson and Stokes [20] have used a value of $\beta = 0.055$ in the Debye-Hückel equation to describe experimental values of activity coefficients of NaCl solutions up to 2 *M*; the value, 0.0626, obtained in this study compares reasonably well with theirs.

Our value (table 2) for K_{sp}^{0} , $2.48 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-7}$, obtained with $\beta = 0.0626$ compares well with the value, $2.56 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-7}$, obtained by Gregory et al [1] through use of a similar statistical treatment of data (except that β was set equal to zero) for the ternary system in which the ionic strengths were too small for the term βI to make a significant difference.

Similar calculations of $K'_{\rm sp}$ and $K_{\rm sp}$ were also made with the Davies equation; these results are shown in figure 2. In this case, the $pK'_{\rm sp}$ values decreased as a function of *I*, up to I = 0.18 and then increased rapidly. The $pK_{\rm sp}$ values obtained with the Davies equation (fig. 2b), contrary to those in figure 1b obtained with the Debye-Hückel equation, show an apparent trend. For this reason, the Debye-Hückel expression with $\beta = 0.0626$ appears to be preferable to the Davies equation, at least for this system. It should be noted that the persisting trend in figure 2 may be due to the absence of the ion size parameters, α_i , in the Davies equation.

4.2. Electroneutrality Unbalances

Theoretically, the electroneutrality unbalances, $U(\pm)'$ and $U(\pm)$ should be zero. However, in prac-



FIGURE 2. (a) Plot of $-\log K'_{sp}$ versus ionic strength; Davies equation with $\Delta = 0.3$ was used and the ion pair NaHPO₄ were used in the calculations.

Key for the symbols: Same as in figure 1.

(b) Plot of $-\log K_{sp}$ versus ionic strength; the Davies equation with $\Delta = 0.228$ and a value of 9.4 for the stability constant of the ion pair NaHPO₄ were used in the calculations.

The broken line corresponds to a mean $-\log K_{sp}$ value of 6.647; the standard error in the $-\log K_{sp}$ values (±0.023) is shown by the bar to the right of the points.

tice there are at least four reasons why the unbalances differ from zero. (1) Experimental errors in the pH, and concentrations of calcium and phosphorus are always present; (2) the presence of unknown ionic impurities are not taken into account in the calculation of unbalances; (3) an ionic species such as NaHPO₄ may be omitted from the working model even though it may have a significant concentration; and (4) errors in the relative concentrations of the various phosphate ions may result from the use of incorrect dissociation constants or from deficiencies in the expressions for activity coefficients.

The unbalances listed in table 3 are small and random in sign for the sets A and B. The apparent trend in $U(\pm)'$ in the sets D and E-almost all values are negative and increasing with increasing amounts of ion pair formation—is attributed to the omission of the ion pair NaHPO₄⁻ in calculation of the values of $U(\pm)'$; the corresponding $U(\pm)$ values, which take into account the ion pair NaHPO₄⁻, do not show this trend, and, thus support the existence of that ion pair. The abnormal value of the unbalance in solution D-6 and a trend in the unbalance of solutions C-1 to C-5 may be caused by experimental errors as mentioned in the section 3. The inclusion of the linear term (0.0626 I) in the Debye-Hückel equation does not change the $U(\pm)'$ values significantly.

4.3. Dissolution Stoichiometry

The dissolution stoichiometry of CaHPO₄·2H₂O_. Ca_t/(P_t - P₀) should be 1.0. In most of the cases (table 1) this stoichiometry is close to 1.0 and within the standard error \pm 0.03.

4.4. Metastability With Respect to Other Calcium Phosphates

All the equilibrated solutions in this study were supersaturated with respect to Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH (solubility product constant = 4.8×10^{-59} [5-7]); the activity products (Ca²⁺)⁵ (PO₄³⁻)³ (OH⁻), varied from 2.7×10^{-58} in A-1 to 3.6×10^{-52} in E-6. The solutions A-1 to D-6 were undersaturated, and the solutions E-1 to E-6 supersaturated, with respect to $\beta - Ca_3$ (PO₄)₂ (solubility product constant = 1.4 $\times 10^{-29}$ [3]); the activity product, $(Ca^{2+})^3 (PO_4^{3-})^2$, varied from 5.5×10^{-32} to 6.1×10^{-30} in A-1 to D-6 and 3.5×10^{-29} to 6.31×10^{-29} in *E*-1 to *E*-6. All the solutions were undersaturated with Ca₈H₂ (PO₄)₆·5H₂O (solubility product constant = 1.1×10^{-94} [18]); the activity product, $(Ca^{2+})^{8}(H^{+})^{2}(PO_{4}^{3-})^{6}$, varied from 4.1×10^{-101} to 4.9×10^{-95} in A-1 to E-6. The above activity products were calculated with the Debye-Hückel equation ($\beta = 0.0626$ and $K_N = 7.0$).

Thus the solubility study reported here involved metastable equilibria; this situation also existed ir previous solubility studies with CaHPO₄·2H₂O [1] CaHPO₄ [2], and β – Ca₃ (PO₄)₂ [3]. The effects of crystallization of Ca₅ (PO₄)₃OH or β – Ca₃ (PO₄)₂

during the solubility experiments are not important because of very slow growth rates of these salts. Significant precipitation of other calcium phosphate salt in the solution would cause deviations in the apparent dissolution stoichiometry of CaHPO₄·2H₂O. However, the values of $U(\pm)'$ and $U(\pm)$ would not be affected. Even though the greatest potential for precipitation of Ca₅ (PO₄)₃OH or β -Ca₃(PO₄)₂ is in solutions E-1 to E-6, close to ideal stoichiometry of dissolution is observed in these solutions, confirming the earlier conclusion that the deviations in C-1 to C-5 and D-6 must have been caused by factors other than precipitation of other calcium phosphate salts.

4.5. Formation of the Ion Pair NaHPO₄

Formation of this ion pair should become significant at high Na⁺ and HPO₄²⁻ activities. Percentages of total phosphorus in the form of this ion pair are given in the last column of table 3. It is seen that a maximum of 19 percent of the phosphorus is bound in the form of this ion pair (solution E-6). For comparison, a serum with Na⁺=0.140 *M*, Ca_t=2.45 × 10⁻³ *M*, P_t=1.33 ×10⁻³ *M*, pH=7.4 and I=0.16 would have about 18 percent of its phosphorus in the form NaHPO₄. This, of course, would be a maximum value because of the presence of other ion pairs and complexes, but this calculation reveals that this ion pair should be given consideration.

The stability constant obtained with the Davies equation, 9.4 ± 5.2 , (table 2), for NaHPO₄, is within the limits of error of that obtained with the Debye-Hückel equation, 7.0 ± 2.4 .

An "apparent" stability constant value, 4.0, was reported by Smith and Alberty [21] in a study carried out at constant ionic strength of 0.2. Through the use of this ionic strength and the Debye-Hückel equation (β =0.0626), a corrected value 18 is obtained. This cannot be compared directly with our value 7.0 because its uncertainty is not known, but at least it has the same order of magnitude.

Formation of this ion pair would increase the dissolution of a calcium phosphate in a sodium-containing solution. This is apparent in the increases in the concentrations of calcium and phosphorus with NaCl concentration in Sets D and E as compared to sets A to C (table 1).

The greater formation of this ion pair in set E, as compared to sets A to C, is also manifested by the fact that the pH increases with increasing ionic strength much less slowly in set E than in the other sets. Here, formation of the ion pair NaHPO $_{4}^{-}$ has the effect of increasing the overall dissociation of the phosphoric acid. Thus, the presence of this ion pair may have a significant role in physiological processes.

The authors thank E. M. S. Miletta for technical assistance.

5. References

- Gregory, T. M., Moreno, E. C., and Brown, W. E., J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), **74A**, (Phys. and Chem.), No. 4, 461–475 (July-Aug., 1970).
- [2] McDowell, H., Brown, W. E., and Sutter, J. R., Inorg. Chem. 10, 1638-1643 (1971).
- [3] Moreno, E. C., Patel, J. M., Gregory, T. M., and Brown, W. E., Abstracted Internatl. Assoc. Dent. Res. Program and Abstracts of Papers No. 183, 1970.
- [4] Moreno, E. C., Gregory, T. M., and Brown, W. E., J. Kes. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), **72A**, (Phys. and Chem.), No. 6, 773–782 (Nov.-Dec. 1966).
- [5] Avnimelech, Y., Moreno, E. C., and Brown, W. E., J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), 77A, (Phys. and Chem.), No. 1, 149–155 (1973).
- [6] McDowell, H., Wallace, B. M. and Brown, W. E., Abstracted Internatl. Assoc. Dent. Res. Program and Abstracts of Papers, No. 340, 1969.
- [7] Chuong, R., J. Dental Res., 52, 911-914 (1973).
- [8] Debye, P. and Hückel, E., Phys. Z. 24, 185-206 (1923).
- [9] Davies, C. W., J. Chem. Soc. (London) 2093-2098 (1938).
- [10] Moreno, E. C., Brown, W. E., and Osborn, G., Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 24, 94-98 (1960).
- [11] Brabson, J. A., Dunn, R. L., Epps, E. A. Jr., Hoffman, W. M. and Jacob, K. D., J. Assoc. Official Agricultural Chemists 41, 517-524 (1958).
- [12] Hückel, E., Phys. Z. 26, 93-147 (1925).
- [13] Moreno, E. C., Gregory, T. M., and Brown, W. E., J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), **70A**, (Phys. and Chem.), No. 6, 545–552 (Nov.-Dec. 1966).
- [14] Kielland, J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 1675-1678 (1937).
- [15] Deming, W. E., Statistical Adjustment of Data, pp. 49–58 (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1943). (Reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, N.Y., 1964).
- [16] Guest, P. G., Numerical Methods of Curve Fitting, pp. 370-381 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1961).
- [17] Davies, C. W., Ion Association (Butterworth and Co., Ltd. London, England, 1962).
- [18] Moreno, E. C., Brown, W. E., and Osborn, G., Soil Science Society of American Proceedings 24, 99-102 (1960).
- [19] Hasted, J. B., Ritson, D. M., and Collie, C. H., J. Chem. Phys. 16, 1-21 (1948).
- [20] Robinson, R. A. and Stokes, R. H. Electrolyte Solutions, pp. 236-237 (Butterworth and Co., Ltd., London, England, 1959 [Sec. Edition]).
- [21] Smith, R. M. and Alberty, R. A., J. Phys. Chem. 60, 180-184 (1956).

(Paper 78A6-840)