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#### Abstract

We obtain in this paper a considerable improvement over a method developed earlier by Ballester and Pereyra for the solution of systems of linear equations with Vandermonde matrices of coefficients. This is achieved by observing that a part of the earlier algorithm is equivalent to Newton's interpolation method. This allows also to produce a progressive algorithm which is significantly more efficient than previous available methods. Algol-60 programs and numerical results are included. Confluent Vandermonde systems are also briefly discussed.


Introduction. In [1] an algorithm was derived for solving a Vandermonde system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \mathbf{x}=\mathrm{b} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V=V\left(\alpha_{0} . \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ is the Vandermonde matrix

$$
V\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1  \tag{2}\\
\alpha_{0} & \alpha_{1} & \cdots & \alpha_{n} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\alpha_{0}^{n} & \alpha_{1}^{n} & \cdots & \alpha_{n}^{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

These systems, and the corresponding dual systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{T} \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{f} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

appear naturally, and have to be solved, in many applications. Some important examples are interpolation, construction of spline functions [3], approximation of linear functionals [1], [5], etc.

In this paper a new algorithm for solving (1) will be developed which is faster, needs less storage and, from experimental results, is more accurate than that in [1]. Also, a progressive version of the algorithm will be given, allowing the updating of a solution $\mathbf{x}$ when a new value of $\alpha$ is added. Corresponding algorithms for the dual system (3) will also be given. They compare very favorably with the algorithm proposed in [4].

Only the nonconfluent case, $\alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{i}$ when $i \neq j$, will be treated in detail here, although the generalization to the confluent case will be outlined. In a separate paper Galimberti and Pereyra [8] consider in detail the general confluent case (of Hermite type) with an approach similar to [1]. The original matrix is reduced to block triangular form with diagonal blocks being nonconfluent Vandermonde systems to which the algorithm of this paper is applied. Also Galimberti and Pereyra in [7] use the method of this paper in the solution of multidimensional Vandermonde
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systems. In [9], Gustafson develops algorithms and computer programs for the confluent case.

1. Algorithms for Solving Vandermonde Systems. We will first derive an algorithm for the dual system (3). Let a be the solution to (3) and introduce the polynomial

$$
P(z)=\left(1, z, \cdots, z^{n}\right) \mathrm{a} .
$$

Then $P(z)$ is the unique interpolating polynomial of degree at most $n$, such that

$$
P\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=f_{k}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots, n .
$$

One of the most efficient ways to determine $P(z)$ is by Newton's method. We introduce the polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}(z)=1, \quad Q_{k}(z)=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right), \quad k=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write $P(z)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\left(Q_{0}(z), Q_{1}(z), \cdots, Q_{n}(z)\right) \mathrm{c} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{k}, k=0,1, \cdots, n$, are the divided differences of $k$ th order,

$$
c_{k}=f\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}\right] .
$$

It is well known that these divided differences can be recursively generated from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left[\alpha_{i-k-1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i}\right]=\frac{f\left[\alpha_{i-k}, \cdots, \alpha_{i}\right]-f\left[\alpha_{j-k-1}, \cdots, \alpha_{j-1}\right]}{\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i-k-1}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When c is known, we can use, essentially, Horner's scheme to evaluate $P(z)$. We have $P(z)=q_{0}(z)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}(z)=c_{n}, \quad q_{k}(z)=\left(z-\alpha_{k}\right) q_{k+1}+c_{k}, \quad k=n-1, \cdots, 1,0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we substitute here

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}(z)=a_{k}^{(k)}+a_{k+1}^{(k)} z+\cdots+a_{n}^{(k)} z^{n-k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we get a recurrence relation for computing the unknowns $a_{k}=a_{k}^{(0)}$.
Now introduce, for $k=0,1, \cdots, n$, the vectors

$$
\mathbf{c}^{(k)}=\left(c_{0}, \cdots, c_{k}, f\left[\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{k+1}\right], \cdots, f\left[\alpha_{n-k}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right]\right)^{T}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{a}^{(k)}=\left(c_{0}, \cdots, c_{k-1}, a_{k}^{(k)}, \cdots, a_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{T}
$$

From these definitions it follows immediately that

$$
c^{(0)}=f, \quad c^{(n)}=a^{(n)}=c, \quad a^{(0)}=a,
$$

and from (6), (7) and (8) we get:
Algorithm for the Dual System.
Step (i). Put $\mathbf{c}^{(0)}=\mathbf{f}$ and, for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$, compute

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i}^{(k+1)} & =\left(c_{i}^{(k)}-c_{j-1}^{(k)}\right) /\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i-k-1}\right), & & j=n, n-1, \cdots, k+1,  \tag{9}\\
& =c_{i}^{(k)}, & & j=k, \cdots, 1,0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Step (ii). Put $\mathbf{a}^{(n)}=\mathbf{c}$ and, for $k=n-1, \cdots, 1,0$, compute

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{i}^{(k)} & =a_{i}^{(k+1)}, & j & =0,1, \cdots, k-1, n,  \tag{10}\\
& =a_{i}^{(k+1)}-\alpha_{k} a_{i+1}^{(k+1)}, & j & =k, k+1, \cdots, n-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Now define the lower bidiagonal matrix $L_{k}(\alpha)$ of order $n+1$ by

$k$ th column
It then follows from (9) that the vectors $\mathrm{c}^{(k)}$ are recursively generated by

$$
\mathrm{c}^{(0)}=\mathrm{f}, \quad \mathrm{c}^{(k+1)}=D_{k}^{-1} M_{k} \mathrm{c}^{(k)}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots, n-1,
$$

where

$$
M_{k}=L_{k}(1), \quad D_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{1, \cdots, 1,\left(\alpha_{k+1}-\alpha_{n}\right), \cdots,\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-k-1}\right)\right\}
$$

Also from (10) it follows that

$$
\mathbf{a}^{(n)}=\mathbf{c}, \quad \mathbf{a}^{(k)}=N_{k}^{T} \mathbf{a}_{k}^{(k+1)}, \quad k=n-1, \cdots, 1,0,
$$

where

$$
N_{k}=L_{k}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)
$$

Collecting these results, we find that Newton's algorithm for solving (3) can be written in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}=U^{T} \mathrm{f}, \quad \mathbf{a}=L^{T} \mathbf{c} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{T}=D_{n-1}^{-1} M_{n-1} \cdots D_{0}^{-1} M_{0}, \quad L^{T}=N_{0}^{T} N_{1}^{T} \cdots N_{n-1}^{T} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

are lower triangular and upper unit triangular, respectively. Since a $=\boldsymbol{V}^{\boldsymbol{- T}} \mathbf{f}$, we have $V^{-T}=L^{T} U^{T}$ or

$$
V^{-1}=U L, \quad V=L^{-1} U^{-1}
$$

Thus, we have found a factorization in bidiagonal factors of the unique triangular matrices in the $U L$-decomposition of the inverse Vandermonde matrix $V^{-1}$.

The factorization of $V^{-1}$ can obviously be used to write down an algorithm for solving (1). From

$$
\mathbf{x}=V^{-1} \mathbf{b}=\left(M_{0}^{T} D_{0}^{-1} \cdots M_{n-1}^{T} D_{n-1}\right)\left(N_{n-1} \cdots N_{1} N_{0}\right) b,
$$

we obtain the recurrence relations for computing $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$,

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{d}^{(0)}=\mathrm{b}, & \mathrm{~d}^{(k+1)}=N_{k} \mathrm{~d}^{(k)}, & k=0,1, \cdots, n-1, \\
\mathbf{x}^{(n)}=\mathrm{d}^{(n)}, & \mathbf{x}^{(k)}=M_{k}^{T} D_{k}^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}, & k=n-1, \cdots, 1,0 .
\end{array}
$$

Writing down these relations in component form we get:
Algorithm for the Primal System.
Step (i). Put $\mathrm{d}^{(0)}=\mathrm{b}$ and, for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$, compute

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{i}^{(k+1)} & =d_{i}^{(k)}-\alpha_{k} d_{i-1}^{(k)}, & & j=n, n-1, \cdots, k+1,  \tag{14}\\
& =d_{i}^{(k)}, & & j=k, \cdots, 1,0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Step (ii). Put $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}=\mathrm{d}^{(n)}$ and, for $k=n-1, \cdots, 1,0$, compute

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i}^{(k+1 / 2)} & =x_{i}^{(k+1)}, & & j=0,1, \cdots, k, \\
& =x_{i}^{(k+1)} /\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i-k-1}\right), & & j=k+1, \cdots, n-1, n,  \tag{15}\\
x_{i}^{(k)} & =x_{i}^{(k+1 / 2)}, & & j=0,1, \cdots, k-1, n, \\
& =x_{i}^{(k+1 / 2)}-x_{i+1}^{(k+1 / 2)}, & & j=k, \cdots, n-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

2. Progressive Algorithms. We now consider the problem of updating the solution when a new value $\alpha$ is added. We write the systems (1) and (3)

$$
V_{n} \mathrm{x}_{n}=\mathrm{b}_{n}, \quad V_{n}^{T} \mathrm{a}_{n}=\mathrm{f}_{n},
$$

where

$$
V_{n}=V\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right) .
$$

From well-known properties of the $L U$-decomposition and triangular matrices it follows that the decomposition $V_{n}^{-1}=U_{n} L_{n}$ can be written in partitioned form as


$$
=\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 
& u_{0}^{(n)} \\
U_{n-1} & \vdots \\
u_{n-1}^{(n)} \\
\hline 0 & u_{n}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 
\\
L_{n-1} & 0 \\
\hdashline m_{0}^{(n)} \cdots m_{n-1}^{(n)} & m_{n}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In Step (i) of the algorithm for the dual problem we have

$$
\mathbf{c}^{(n)}=U_{n}^{T} f_{n},
$$

and thus, when $\alpha_{n}$ is added, the first $n-1$ components in $\mathbf{c}^{(n)}$ are unchanged and only $c_{n}^{(n)}$ has to be computed. If the quantities $c_{n-1}^{(k)}, k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$, have been saved, then (9) with $j=n$ can be used to compute $c_{n}^{(k)}, k=0,1, \cdots, n$. In part (ii) we have

$$
\mathbf{a}_{n}=L_{n}^{T} c^{(n)}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{a}_{n-1} \\
0
\end{array}\right)+c_{n}^{(n)}\left(\mathbf{m}^{(n)}\right)^{T},
$$

where $\mathbf{m}^{(n)}$ is the last row in $L_{n}$. Thus all components in $\mathbf{a}^{(n)}$ will change and Step (ii) requires greater modification. From (4) and (12) it follows that

$$
Q_{n}(z)=m_{0}^{(n)}+m_{1}^{(n)} z+\cdots+m_{n}^{(n)} z^{n} .
$$

From this it is easy to derive a recursion formula to compute $\mathbf{m}^{(n)}$, and we get:
Progressive Algorithm for the Dual System. Put $a_{0}^{(0)}=c_{0}^{(0)}=f_{0}, m_{0}^{(0)}=1$ and, for $n=1,2,3, \cdots$, compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{n}^{(0)}=f_{n}, \quad c_{n}^{(k+1)}=\left(c_{n}^{(k)}-c_{n-1}^{(k)}\right) /\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-k-1}\right), k=0,1, \cdots, n-1, \\
& m_{n}^{(n)}=1, \quad a_{n}^{(n)}=c_{n}^{(n)}, \quad m_{-1}^{(n-1)}=0, \\
& m_{k}^{(n)}=m_{k-1}^{(n-1)}-\alpha_{n-1} m_{k}^{(n-1)}, \quad a_{k}^{(n)}=a_{k}^{(n-1)}+m_{k}^{(n)} c_{n}^{(n)}, \\
& k=n-1, \cdots, 1,0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the primal system we have in Step (i) of the algorithm

$$
\mathbf{d}^{(n)}=L_{n} \mathbf{b}_{n}
$$

Again, the first $n-1$ components are unchanged. Provided $d_{n-1}^{(k)}, k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$, have been saved, $d_{n}^{(k)}, k=0,1, \cdots, n$, can be computed by taking $j=n$ in (14). In Step (ii) we have

$$
\mathbf{x}_{n}=U_{n} \mathbf{d}^{(n)}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{x}_{n-1} \\
0
\end{array}\right]+d_{n}^{(n)} \mathbf{u}^{(n)}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ is the last column in $U_{n}$. Thus all components in $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ change, and we must find a way to generate $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Taking the last component of the relation $c^{(n)}=U_{n}^{T} \mathrm{f}_{n}$ we get

$$
f\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{k}^{(n)} f_{k} .
$$

Thus $u_{k}^{(n)}$ are coefficients which express the divided difference of $n$th order in terms of function values. It is a well-known result that these coefficients are

$$
u_{k}^{(n)}=\left[\left(\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{0}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{k-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{k+1}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{n}\right)\right]^{-1} .
$$

Using this expression we easily derive:
Progressive Algorithm for the Primal System. Put $x^{(0)}=d^{0)}=b_{0}, u_{0}^{(0)}=1$ and, for $n=1,2,3, \cdots$, compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{n}^{(0)}=b_{n}, \quad d_{n}^{(k+1)}=d_{n}^{(k)}-\alpha_{k} d_{n-1}^{(k)}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots, n-1, \\
& u_{n}^{(n)}=\left[\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{0}\right)\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right)\right]^{-1}, \quad x_{n}^{(n)}=d_{n}^{(n)} u_{n}^{(n)},  \tag{17}\\
& u_{k}^{(n)}=u_{k}^{(n-1)}\left(\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{n}\right), \quad x_{k}^{(n)}=x_{k}^{(n-1)}+d_{n}^{(n)} u_{k}^{(n)}, \quad k=n-1, \cdots, 1,0 .
\end{align*}
$$

3. Efficiency. In the nonprogressive algorithms for the primal and dual system we transform the right-hand side, by a sequence of simple transformations, into the solution vector. If the components are modified in a suitable order, then each new quantity can over-write an old one, and no extra storage is needed. The number of operations required by the nonprogressive primal and dual algorithms is by construction exactly the same. It is easily verified that this number is

$$
\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \cdot(3 A+2 M)
$$

where $A$ stands for one addition or one subtraction and $M$ for one multiplication or one division.

In the progressive versions, the storage of two extra $(n+1)$-vectors is needed. The required number of operations, when the points $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ are introduced one at a time, is for the

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { primal algorithm: } & \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)(3 A+4 M), \\
\text { dual algorithm: } & \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)(4 A+3 M) .
\end{array}
$$

This is only slightly more than for the nonprogressive versions and compares fairly well with the number of operations required in [4] (see [1, p. 300]).

If the points $\alpha_{i}$ are symmetrically situated around zero, then the amount of work can be halved by first applying the preliminary transformation given in [4]. This transforms by simple row and column operations the Vandermonde matrix $V=V\left(-\alpha_{n}, \cdots,-\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ to a $2 \times 2$ block-diagonal form

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
V^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad V^{\prime}=V\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

This means that two problems, each with only half the number of points, have to be solved.

We note that it is possible to compute $V^{-1}$ by applying the primal or dual algorithm to the unit matrix. This will, however, be an $n^{3}$-process. Since in [5] Traub has given an algorithm which computes $V^{-1}$ in $(1 / 2) n(7 n-9) M$ and $(5 / 2) n(n-1) A$, this is clearly inefficient.

Note also that even when $V^{-1}$ is explicitly known it takes $n^{2}(M+A)$ to compute $\mathbf{x}=V^{-1} \mathrm{~b}$ in the ordinary way. This is only slightly fewer operations than for our nonprogressive algorithms. Since also $n^{2}$ memory locations are needed to store $V^{-1}$, it may often be better not to handle it explicitly.
4. Confluent Vandermonde Systems. Let us replace, in the Vandermonde matrix $V\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{0}+\epsilon, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, the second column by the difference of the second and first column, divided by $\epsilon$. In the limit, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the confluent Vandermonde matrix

$$
V\left(\alpha_{0}, 2 ; \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha_{0} & 1 & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{n} \\
\alpha_{0}^{2} & 2 \alpha_{0} & \alpha_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{n}^{2} \\
\cdots \cdots & \cdots \cdots & \cdots \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\alpha_{0}^{n} & n \alpha_{0}^{n-1} & \alpha_{2}^{n} & \cdots & \alpha_{n}^{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In the same way, in the Vandermonde matrix $V\left(\alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{j}=\alpha_{0}+j \epsilon, j=0,1, \cdots, p-1$, we can replace column $(j+1)$ by $\epsilon^{-i}$ times the $j$ th order difference of the first $(j+1)$ columns. In the limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get the Vandermonde matrix $V\left(\alpha_{0}, p ; \alpha_{p}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, where the order of confluency at $\alpha_{0}$ is $(p-1)$.

In the general case, the order of confluency is $\left(\gamma_{i}-1\right)$ at the $(m+1)$ points $\beta_{i}, j=0,1, \cdots, m$, and we denote the corresponding Vandermonde matrix by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n}=V\left(\beta_{0}, \gamma_{0} ; \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1} ; \cdots ; \beta_{m}, \gamma_{m}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This matrix has $(n+1)$ columns, where

$$
n=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \gamma_{i}\right)-1
$$

and the elements in the $\gamma_{i}$ columns corresponding to $\beta_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i, n_{i}+k}=\left(\frac{d^{k}\left(\beta^{i}\right)}{d \beta^{k}}\right)_{\beta-\beta_{i}}, \quad n_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{i-1} \gamma_{i}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots, \gamma_{i}-1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now want to find out rules on how to modify our algorithms for solving (1) and (3) in the confluent case. To do this we again start from the dual system (3), where $V$ now is the matrix (18). The polynomial $P(z)$ defined by (4) now solves the interpolation problem

$$
P^{(k)}\left(\beta_{i}\right)=f_{j}^{(k)}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots, \gamma_{i}-1, \quad j=0,1, \cdots, m
$$

where the right-hand side of (3) has been denoted by

$$
\mathrm{f}=\left(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{0}^{\left(\gamma_{0}-1\right)}, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{1}^{\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)}, \cdots, f_{m}, \cdots, f_{m}^{\left(\gamma_{m}-1\right)}\right)^{T}
$$

It is well known that Newton's method of interpolation can be generalized to the confluent case. An excellent survey is given in an appendix in [6]. If we let $\alpha_{n_{i}+k}=$ $\beta_{i}, k=0,1, \cdots, \gamma_{i}-1, j=0,1, \cdots, m$, then the fundamental polynomials $Q_{k}(z)$ in (4) are unchanged and only the divided differences have to be generalized. As long as a divided difference has at least two different arguments, (6) can be used to reduce the order. When a divided difference with all arguments equal is reached this is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left[\beta_{i}, k+1\right]= & f\left[\beta_{i}, \cdots, \beta_{i}\right]=f_{i}^{(k)} / k! \\
& (k+1 \text { times })
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we deduce the important rule: if the maximum order of confluency is

$$
q=\max _{0 \leqq i \leq m} \gamma_{i}-1
$$

then in the dual algorithm only Step (i) for $k=0,1, \cdots, q-1$, (in the primal algorithm only Step (ii) for $k=q-1, \cdots, 1,0$ ) is modified. To simplify the discussion we restrict ourselves in the following to the case when only one point $\beta_{i}$ is confluent i.e., $\gamma_{i}=1$ if $j \neq i, \gamma_{i}=q+1$. We then have $V^{-T}=L^{T} U^{T}$, where the factorization of $L^{T}$ is given by (13) but that of $U^{T}$ is modified to

$$
U^{T}=D_{n-1}^{-1} M_{n-1} \cdots D_{q}^{-1} M_{q}\left(D_{a-1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} M_{q-1}^{\prime} \cdots\left(D_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} M_{0}^{\prime} .
$$

Here the matrix $M_{k}^{\prime}$ is equal to $M_{k}$ except in the rows $i+k, \cdots, i+q$. These $(q-k+1)$ rows are now of the form


The matrix $D_{k}^{\prime}$ is changed in the rows $i+k, \cdots, i+q-1$, where the diagonal entries now should be $(k+1)$. Thus, in the dual algorithm, (9) is modified for $k=$ $0,1, \cdots, q-1$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i}^{(k+1)} & =\left(c_{i}^{(k)}-c_{j-1-a+k}^{(k)}\right) /\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i-k-1}\right), \quad j=i+q+1 \\
& =c_{i}^{(k)} /(k+1), \quad j=i+q, i+q-1, \cdots, i+k+1
\end{aligned}
$$

For all other values of $j$ the formula (9) can still be applied. The changes in the primal algorithm can easily be deduced from this.

The general case can be treated by superposing changes from single points of confluency. The corresponding formulas are, however, rather awkward to write down, and there seems to be no point in doing this. Many important special cases can, however, easily be treated, e.g., that with only the two endpoints of confluency greater than one, or that with all points of the same order of confluency. For some more results on this subject, see [9].
5. Test Results. To test the accuracy of the given algorithms, a few test examples have been run on an IBM $360 / 50$. The programs used were FORTRANversions of the Algol-procedures 'pvand' and 'dvand' given in the appendix. They were run in double precision, which corresponds to 14 hexadecimal digits in the mantissa, or a unit of precision equal to $u=16^{-13}=2.22 \times 10^{-16}$.

For the primal algorithm, test systems were chosen with $\alpha_{i}=1 /(i+3), b_{i}=$ $1 / 2^{i}, i=0,1, \cdots, n$. The exact solution can be shown to be

$$
x_{i}=(-1)^{i}\binom{n+1}{i+1}\left(1+\frac{i+1}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

Lel $x_{i}$ be the computed solution and take as a measure of the relative error

$$
e_{n}=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right| /\left|x_{i}\right|
$$

The results from runs with $n+1=5(5) 30$ are summarized in the following table:

| $n+1$ | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{n} / u$ | 4 | 5 | 10 | 54 | 81 | 280 |.

The same systems were also solved with the algorithm described in [1]. The solution then deteriorated completely after $n=15$. In fact, considering the ill-conditioned nature of the test systems, the observed errors for the new algorithm are surprisingly small.

It seems as if at least some problems connected with Vandermonde systems, which traditionally have been considered too ill-conditioned to be attacked, actually can be solved with good precision.

For the dual algorithm, test systems were solved for $n+1=5(5) 30$ with $\alpha_{i}=$ $1 /(i+2), f_{i}=T_{n}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), i=0,1 \cdots, n$, where $T_{n}(x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of order $n$. Thus, the problem is to retrieve the coefficients of $T_{n}(x)$ from function values at the points $\alpha_{i}$. Here, however, the solutions from the dual algorithm deteriorated completely after $n=10$. The systems were also solved with a dual version of the algorithm in [1]. The errors now showed the same behaviour, and were only slightly larger than for the new algorithm.

Appendix. Below we give Algol procedures for the derived algorithms. The direct versions are named "pvand" and "dvand", the progressive versions "pvandprg" and "dvandprg".

```
procedure pvand(n,alpha,x,b);
```

value $n$; integer $n$; array alpha, $x, b$;
corment The procedure pvand solves the system of equations $\mathrm{Vx}=\mathrm{b}$,
where V is the non-confluent Vandermonde matrix V (alphe[ 0 ],...,
alpha[n]). The right hand side $b$ is left unchanged, unless the
formal parameters $x$ and $b$ correspond to the same actual parameter;
begin integer $j, k ;$
for $k:=0$ step 1 until $n$ do $x[k]:=b[k]$;
for $k:=0$ step 1 until $n-1$ do
for $j:=n$ step -1 until $k+1$ do
$x[j]:=x[j]-a l p h a[k] \times x[j \sim 1] ;$
for $k:=n-1$ step ${ }^{-1}$ until 0 do
begin for $j:=k+1$ ster 1 until $n$ do
$\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{j}]:=\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{j}] /($ alpha $[\mathrm{j}]-\mathrm{alpha}[\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{k}-1])$;
for $j:=k$ step 1 until $n-1$ do
$x[j]:=x[j]-x[j+1]$
end
end
procedure dvand( $n, a l$ phe $, a, f$ );
value $n$; integer $n$; array alpha, $a, f$;
comment The procedure dvand solves the system of equations $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{f}$,
where V is the non-confluent Vandermonde matrix V (alpha [ 0 ],...
alpha[n]). The right hand side $f$ is left unchanged, unless the
formal parameters a and $f$ correspond to the same actual parameter;
begin integer $j, k ;$
for $k:=0$ step 1 until $n$ do $a[k]:=f[k]$;
for $k:=0$ step 1 until $n-1$ do
for $j:=n$ step -1 until $k+1$ do
$a[j]:=(a[j]-a[j-1]) /(a l p h a[j] \cdots$ alpha $[j-k-1])$;
for $k:=n-1$ step -1 until 0 do
for $j:=k$ step 1 until $n-1$ do
$a[j]:=a[j]-$ alpha $[k] \times a[j+1]$
end;
procedure pvandprg( $n, a l$ pha, $d, u, x, b)$;
value $n$; integer $n$; array alpha,d, $u, x, b$;
comment The procedure pvandprg updates the solution to the system of equations $V x=b$, where $V$ is the non-confluent Vendermonde matrix $V$ (alpha $[0], \ldots$, , alpha $[n-1]$ ), when the value alpha $[n]$ is added. The procedure must be called successively with $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ The content of the arrays $d, u[0: n m e x]$, which are used as working storage, must not be changed between calls;

```
vegin integer j; real delta,dn;
```

$\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{n}]:=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{n}]$;
for $j:=n^{-1}$ step -1 until 0 do
$\mathrm{d}[j]:=\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{j}+1] \cdots$ alpha[n-j-1]$\times \mathrm{a}[j]$;
dn := $\alpha[0] ; \quad u[n]:=1$;
for $j:=0$ step 1 until $n-1$ do
begin delta $:=$ alpha[n] - elpha[j];

```
\(u[j]:=u[j] \times\) delta; \(u[n]:=u[n] \quad x\) delta;
\(x[j]:=x[j]+m / u[j]\)
end; \(x[n]:=d n / u[n]\)
```

end;
procedure dvandprg(n, $\Omega 1$ pha, $c, m, \varepsilon, f)$;
value $n$; integer $n$; array alpha, $c, m, a, f$;
comment The procedure dvandprg updates the solution to the system of equations $V^{T} a=f$, where $V$ is the non-confluent Vandermonde matrix $V$ (elpha [0],... alpha[n-1]), when the value alpha[n] is added. The procedure must be called successively with $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ The content of the arrays $c, m[0: n m e x]$, which are used as working storage, must not be changed between calls;
begin integer $j$; real cn ;
$c[n]:=f[n] ;$
for $j:=n-1$ step -1 until 0 do
$c[j]:=(c[j+1]-c[j]) /(a l p h e[n]$ - alpha $[j])$;
$m[n]:=$ if $n=0$ then 1 else $0 ;$ on $:=a[n]:=c[0] ;$
for $j:=n$ step -1 untii 1 do
begin $m[j]:=m[j]-a l p h a[n-1] \times m[j-1]$;
$a[n-j]:=a[n-j]+m[j] \times \mathrm{cn}$
end
end;
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