

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Nat Genet*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 02.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Genet. 2014 November ; 46(11): 1170-1172. doi:10.1038/ng.3116.

Somatic mutations of *SUZ12* in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors

Ming Zhang^{1,2}, Yuxuan Wang^{1,2}, Sian Jones³, Mark Sausen³, Kevin McMahon^{1,2}, Rajni Sharma⁴, Qing Wang^{1,2}, Allan J Belzberg⁵, Kaisorn Chaichana⁵, Gary L Gallia⁵, Ziya L Gokaslan⁵, Greg J Riggins⁵, Jean-Paul Wolinksy⁵, Laura D Wood⁴, Elizabeth A Montgomery⁴, Ralph H Hruban⁴, Kenneth W Kinzler^{1,2}, Nickolas Papadopoulos^{1,2}, Bert Vogelstein^{1,2}, and Chetan Bettegowda^{1,2,5}

¹Ludwig Center for Cancer Genetics, Johns Hopkins Kimmel Cancer Center, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

³Personalized Genome Diagnostics, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁴Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁵Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Abstract

Neurofibromatosis 1 is a hereditary syndrome characterized by the development of numerous benign neurofibromas, a small subset of which progress to malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs). To better understand the genetic basis for MPNSTs, we performed genome-wide or targeted sequencing on 50 cases. Sixteen MPNSTs but none of the neurofibromas tested were found to have somatic mutations in *SUZ12*, implicating it as having a central role in malignant transformation.

^{© 2014} Nature America, Inc. All rights reserved.

Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://www.nature.com/reprints/index.html.

Correspondence should be addressed to B.V. (vogelbe@jhmi.edu) or C.B. (cbetteg1@jhmi.edu).

Accession codes. Whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing data have been deposited in the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA) under accession EGAS00001000974.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.

Author Contributions: K.W.K., N.P., B.V. and C.B. designed and supervised the project and analyzed the data. M.Z., Y.W., S.J., M.S., K.M. and Q.W. performed the sequencing studies and bioinformatics analysis. A.J.B., K.C., G.L.G., Z.L.G., G.J.R., J.-P.W. and C.B. contributed clinical samples and information. R.S., L.D.W., E.A.M. and R.H.H. performed pathological review and the immunohistochemistry experiments. M.Z., Y.W., S.J., M.S., A.J.B., K.C., G.L.G., Z.L.G., G.J.R., J.-P.W., L.D.W., E.A.M., R.H.H., K.W.K., N.P., B.V. and C.B. wrote and edited the manuscript.

Competing Financial Interests: The authors declare competing financial interests: details are available in the online version of the paper.

Zhang et al.

Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1), also known as von Recklinghausen disease, is an autosomal dominant hereditary cancer syndrome that affects ~1 in 3,000 individuals¹. It is characterized by features including café-au-lait spots, Lisch nodules, axillary freckling, optic pathway gliomas, skeletal anomalies and neurofibromas. Neurofibromas are benign tumors that can be broadly classified on the basis of their location (as dermal, spinal or plexiform) or on the basis of their morphology (as localized, plexiform or diffuse)². Some neurofibromas, typically those that are deep-seated and plexiform, progress to MPNSTs^{3–5}. An individual with NF1 has a 10–15% chance of developing an MPNST, and ~50% of all MPNSTs arise in the setting of NF1. MPNSTs are sarcomas that can arise anywhere in the body and confer a 5-year survival rate as low as 20%, despite treatment through radical surgical resection followed by radiation and chemotherapy⁶.

Previous studies have demonstrated that neurofibromas in individuals with NF1 develop through the acquisition of a somatic, inactivating mutation in the NF1 gene inherited from the unaffected parent⁷. This finding is in accordance with the two-hit hypothesis for tumorsuppressor genes⁸. However, the genetic alterations responsible for progression to much rarer MPNSTs are not known. To address this issue, we performed whole-genome sequencing on four MPNSTs, each from a different unrelated individual with NF1. We also performed whole-genome sequencing on leukocytes derived from the same individuals to determine whether the alterations identified were somatic. High-quality coverage averaged approximately 59-fold (range of 55.1- to 64.4-fold) in the tumor genomes and approximately 42-fold (range of 40.2- to 46.3-fold) in the normal genomes (Supplementary Table 1). After processing the sequencing data using a series of bioinformatics algorithms and stringent base calling filters that have previously been described⁹, we identified a median of over 60 somatic nonsynonymous point mutations or small insertion-deletions (indels) (range of 14 to 208) in the coding regions of these tumors (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Of the 261 total alterations, 64.4%, 24.1%, 6.5% and 5% were missense, small indel, truncating or splice-site mutations, respectively. The most surprising and statistically significant of these somatic alterations were in the SUZ12 gene encoding zeste homolog 12 (Drosophila), a chromatin-modifying protein. Unequivocal inactivating mutations of SUZ12 occurred in each of the four MPNSTs studied (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). All four mutations were confirmed through deep sequencing of PCR products from the altered regions, showing high fractions of mutant alleles in all cases (Table 1).

Subsequently, we were able to obtain and analyze four additional frozen tumors (from four different individuals) via either whole-genome or whole-exome sequencing (Table 1 and Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). One of these additional individuals had neurofibromatosis, and her tumor contained an inactivating mutation of *SUZ12*. The other three MPNSTs were from individuals without a personal or family history of neurofibromatosis. The sequencing coverage of the cancer and normal genomes was similar to that for the hereditary cases, as was the number of mutant genes (excluding MPNST 04, which had 208 alterations in the setting of previous radiation and chemotherapy) (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). No *SUZ12* mutations were observed in the sporadic MPNSTs. However, all three had inactivating mutations in other chromatin-modifying genes. One tumor had a mutation of the *EED* gene, whose gene product is a member of Polycomb repressor complex 2 (PRC2), which includes

Nat Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 02.

the protein encoded by *SUZ12*. The two other nonhereditary cases had mutations in *EPC1* (encoding enhancer of Polycomb homolog 1 (*Drosophila*)) or *CHD4* (encoding chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 4) (Table 1). The proteins encoded by *SUZ12*, *EED*, *EPC1*, *AEBP2*, *EZH2* and *RBBP7* all have pivotal roles in chromatin homeostasis and interact with PRCs. PRCs have critical roles in histone maintenance whereby they regulate methylation at lysine 9 and lysine 27 of histone H3. Methylation at these positions results in transcriptional repression of target genes. There is a rapidly growing body of evidence showing that genes involved in chromatin homeostasis, particularly those regulating methylation of histone H3, drive neoplastic development in a number of different tumor types¹⁰.

To validate these genetic data, we analyzed an independent collection of 42 paraffinarchived MPNST specimens. Thirty-one of these were from individuals with neurofibromatosis, and 11 were from sporadic cases. In 11 of the hereditary neurofibromatosis cases, a neurofibroma and an MPNST were available for comparison. Illumina-type libraries were constructed for all specimens, and library DNA was captured with probes corresponding to NF1, NF2 and six additional chromatin-modifying genes, including SUZ12, suspected of having a role in MPNST on the basis of the results from the discovery screen. Fifteen MPNSTs harbored a somatic mutation in a chromatin-modifying gene, distributed in a mutually exclusive fashion (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). The gene most commonly affected by these mutations, by far, was SUZ12, altered in 11 tumors (26%). The fraction of MPNSTs harboring a SUZ12 mutation is likely an underestimate given the archival nature of these specimens and our inability to detect larger indels or translocations through the targeted sequencing approach with partially degraded DNA. Even so, of the 11 mutations detected, 9 were indels or truncating mutations that would be expected to abolish protein function (Fig. 1a,b). Seven of the SUZ12 mutations were from MPNSTs occurring in individuals with NF1, and the remaining four were from sporadic cases. None of the 11 neurofibromas tested had mutations in SUZ12 (Supplementary Table 4). To determine the functional consequence of the SUZ12 mutations, we performed immunohistochemistry for SUZ12 expression on 25 MPNST samples (in the other cases, insufficient amounts of high-quality tissue were available). In the 5 cases tested with SUZ12 alterations, no protein expression was detected. In addition, 1 tumor in which a mutation was not found also lacked SUZ12 expression, whereas the other 19 cases without evident SUZ12 mutations expressed SUZ12. Moreover, in all six cases in which SUZ12 was undetectable, trimethylation of lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3K27me3), a known downstream target of SUZ12, was not discernible (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 4). Adjacent normal cells provided unequivocal internal controls for staining in all cases (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Most individuals with NF1 carry a causative germline point mutation or small indel within the *NF1* gene. However, 5–10% of individuals with NF1 have a larger deletion on chromosome 17q11.2 that involves the entire *NF1* locus as well as a variable number of adjacent genes. There are two classes of deletion, called type 1 and type 2. Type 1 and type 2 deletions are thought to arise via nonallelic homologous recombination involving the NF1–REP A and NF1–REP C and the SUZ12 and SUZ12P regions, respectively^{11,12}. Both

Nat Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 02.

Zhang et al.

deletion types incorporate the *SUZ12* gene. The germline deletions of *SUZ12* and other genes in these regions were assumed to be passenger events in tumorigenesis, occurring only coincidentally owing to the adjacency of these regions to the target *NF1* locus. Our discovery of the consistent presence of inactivating somatic mutations in *SUZ12* in hereditary MPNSTs leaves little doubt that these mutations have a major role in the pathogenesis of this tumor type.

Recurrent, inactivating *SUZ12* mutations have not previously been reported in any tumor type, although a small fraction of hematological malignancies have been shown to harbor missense mutations^{7,13–15}. However, *SUZ12* has been definitively implicated in the pathogenesis of endometrial stromal sarcoma, where more than 50% of tumors have a t(7;17)(p15;q21) translocation resulting in the *JAZF1-SUZ12* gene fusion¹⁶. The fusion gene is thought to promote cell proliferation and protects against hypoxia-induced apoptosis¹⁷. Interestingly, this fusion likely activates the *SUZ12* gene, endowing it with oncogenic functions¹⁷. Expression-based analyses have also suggested that SUZ12 might have a role in non–small-cell lung, colon and gastric cancers^{7,8,18}. In contrast, the mutations we identified in MPNSTs inactivate *SUZ12*, indicating that this gene is a tumor suppressor. This situation is reminiscent of the dual roles of *NOTCH1*, in which activating mutations occur in lymphomas and inactivating mutations are characteristic of squamous cell cancers¹⁹.

We posit that hereditary MPNSTs develop from benign neurofibromas by virtue of germline NF1 mutation (first hit) combined with somatic inactivation of the NF1 allele from the nonaffected parent (second hit). In those neurofibromas in which the first or second hit is a deletion that coincidentally affects SUZ12, the stage is set for a subsequent mutation of the remaining SUZ12 allele (third hit). This third hit would convert the neurofibroma to an MPNST and would emerge when the first hit is a type 1 or type 2 microdeletion or when the second hit involves loss of a large region on chromosome 17q including both NF1 and SUZ12. This model is supported by the fact that three of the five NF1-associated MPNSTs that underwent either whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing had a somatic deletion on chromosome 17q that involved both NF1 and SUZ12 (Table 1). With only one allele of SUZ12 remaining, the probability of a single additional hit in SUZ12 is much greater than the probability of two independent mutations (two additional hits) occurring in another chromatin-modifying gene. Although our explanation is speculative, it is consistent with the absence of SUZ12 mutations in neurofibromas (Supplementary Table 4) and the fact that individuals with germline microdeletions of the NF1 locus are at greater risk for the development of MPNSTs than individuals with NF1 who have point mutations of NF1 (ref. 2). Future studies on larger cohorts of individuals with NF1, as well as functional studies, will be necessary to fully elucidate the precise role of SUZ12 in MPNST.

Online Methods

Sample acquisition

Fresh-frozen or paraffin-embedded tumor and matched blood were obtained from patients under an institutional review board (IRB)-approved protocol at the Johns Hopkins Hospital. When matched blood was collected, informed consent from each patient was obtained. The diagnosis of each specimen underwent central pathological review and was verified by an

Nat Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 02.

independent group of pathologists. Tumor tissue was analyzed to assess neoplastic cellularity. Tumors were macrodissected to remove residual normal tissue and enhance neoplastic cellularity.

Whole-exome and whole-genome sequencing

Genomic DNA libraries were prepared and captured according to the protocol suggested by Illumina and as previously described^{9,20}. DNA libraries were sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq Genome Analyzer, yielding 100 bp of sequence from the final library fragments for whole-exome and whole-genome analyses.

Targeted capture

A DNA-targeted capture chip was designed to capture all the exons of eight genes: *NF1* (CCDS11264.1, CCDS42292.1, CCDS45645.1), *NF2* (CCDS13865.1, CCDS54516.1), *EED* (CCDS8273.1, CCDS8274.1), *SUZ12* (CCDS11270.1), *EZH2* (CCDS5892.1, CCDS56518.1), *RBBP4* (CCDS366.1, CCDS44106.1), *RBBP7* (CCDS14179.1, CCDS56598.1) and *AEBP2* (CCDS44842.1, CCDS58215.1). The total coverage of the chip was 70,583 bp. Capture was performed using the Agilent SureSelect Target Enrichment kit (Agilent Technologies).

Bioinformatics analysis

Bioinformatics analyses were performed at Personal Genome Diagnostics. Whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing data for matched tumor and normal samples were analyzed to identify tumor-specific mutations. Tumor and normal sequences were aligned to the human reference genome (hg19) using Illumina CASAVA and ELAND. Alignments were analyzed to identify somatic point mutations and small insertions and deletions present in tumor but not in matched normal samples. The functional consequence of each mutations were filtered for sequencing quality, depth of coverage in the tumor and normal samples, and mutant fraction. Known polymorphisms recorded in dbSNP were removed from the analysis. Mutant reads that matched the reference genome in multiple locations were excluded as possible alignment artifacts. Potential somatic mutations were visually inspected as described previously²⁰. Copy number alterations were identified by comparing the normalized average per-base coverage for a particular gene in a tumor sample to the normalized average per-base coverage in a matched normal sample for the patient.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on 40 tumor cases. Immunohistochemistry was performed on 4-µm formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections using an automated slide stainer (Benchmark Ultra, Ventana Medical System). Positive and negative controls (HeLa cells and tonsil tissue) were tested in parallel. For staining, sections were deparaffinized and hydrated, and an antigen retrieval step was performed using a high-pH buffer. Antibodies to histone H3 (trimethylated at lysine 27) (mabcam 6147, Abcam) and SUZ12 (SUZ220A) (ab126577, Abcam) were applied using optimal dilutions (10 µg/ml), and reactions were developed using Opti-View DAB detection (Ventana Medical System). Nuclear labeling

was scored for all MPNST and neurofibroma cases. Tumors were scored as positive when nuclear staining was intact and were scored as lost when tumor cells were negative for immunostaining. Staining of lymphocytes and endothelium was used as internal controls.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank our patients for their courage and generosity. We also thank J. Ptak, N. Silliman, L. Dobbyn, J. Schaeffer and M. Papoli for expert technical assistance. The work was supported by the Virginia and D.K. Ludwig Fund for Cancer Research, a Burroughs Wellcome Career Award for Medical Scientists, a Johns Hopkins Clinical Scientist Award and grant 2014107 from the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation.

References

- 1. Carey JC, et al. Ann NY Acad Sci. 1986; 486:45-56. [PubMed: 3105404]
- 2. Pasmant E, Vidaud M, Vidaud D, Wolkenstein PJ. Med Genet. 2012; 49:483-489.
- 3. Evans DG, et al. J Med Genet. 2002; 39:311-314. [PubMed: 12011145]
- 4. Pasmant E, et al. Hum Mutat. 2010; 31:E1506–E1518. [PubMed: 20513137]
- 5. Rahrmann EP, et al. Nat Genet. 2013; 45:756–766. [PubMed: 23685747]
- 6. Zou C, et al. Ann Surg. 2009; 249:1014–1022. [PubMed: 19474676]
- 7. Liu C, et al. Tumour Biol. 2014; 35:6073-6082. [PubMed: 24633887]
- Benoit YD, Laursen KB, Witherspoon MS, Lipkin SM, Gudas LJ. J Cell Physiol. 2013; 228:764– 772. [PubMed: 23001792]
- 9. Sausen M, et al. Nat Genet. 2013; 45:12–17. [PubMed: 23202128]
- 10. Yuen BT, Knoepfer PS. Cancer Cell. 2013; 24:567–574. [PubMed: 24229707]
- Dorschner MO, Sybert VP, Weaver M, Pletcher BA, Stephens K. Hum Mol Genet. 2000; 9:35–46. [PubMed: 10587576]
- López Correa C, Brems H, Lazaro C, Marynen P, Legius E. Am J Hum Genet. 2000; 66:1969– 1974. [PubMed: 10775528]
- 13. Zhang J, et al. Nature. 2012; 481:157–163. [PubMed: 22237106]
- 14. Brecqueville M, et al. Blood Cancer J. 2011; 1:e33. [PubMed: 22829192]
- 15. Ntziachristos P, et al. Nat Med. 2012; 18:298-301. [PubMed: 22237151]
- 16. Koontz JI, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001; 98:6348-6353. [PubMed: 11371647]
- 17. Li H, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:20001-20006. [PubMed: 18077430]
- 18. Cui Y, Chen J, He Z, Xiao Y. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2013; 31:778–784. [PubMed: 23735840]
- Lobry C, Oh P, Mansour MR, Look AT, Aifantis I. Blood. 2014; 123:2451–2459. [PubMed: 24608975]
- 20. Bettegowda C, et al. Oncotarget. 2013; 4:572-583. [PubMed: 23592488]

Page 6

Zhang et al.



Figure 1.

Somatic mutations in MPNSTs. (a) Distribution of somatic mutations in *NF1*, *NF2* and genes involved with the PRC2 complex. (b) Schematic of the distribution of *SUZ12* mutations along the gene. Black arrows represent nonsynonymous mutations, blue arrows represent splice-site alterations and red arrows represent indels. The arrows immediately above the schematic represent mutations observed in the samples that underwent whole-genome or whole-exome sequencing, whereas those in the second row above the schematic represent mutations observed in the samples having undergone targeted sequencing.

Somatic 1	mutations in the MF	NST discovery set						
Sample	NF1 germline mutation	NFI somatic mutation	NF1 MAF (%)	SUZ12 somatic mutation	SUZ12 MAF (%)	Somatic deletions on chromosome 17	Other chromatin- modifying gene somatic mutations	Other driver gene mutations of interest
MPNST 01						NC_000017.10: g.26327000_33008000del		
MPNST 02	NA						NM_003797.3 (EED):c.134delA	NM_000268.3 (NF2): c.552G>A
MPNST 04		NM_000267.3; c.7806+2T>C	85	NM_015355.2: c.252delG	100	$NC_{00017.10; g.57703000_{8211000del}}$		
MPNST 05		NM_000267.3: c.5242C>T	88	NM_015355.2: c.1995_1996insC	79			
MPNST 06 ^d		NM_000267.3: c.6362deIG	99	NM_015355.2: c.[333delG(;)334C>A]	100			
MPNST 08	NA	NM_000267.3; c.6580-19delAGA GTATCCCCTTTTTA	12				NM_001272004.1 (<i>EPC1</i>): c.330delT	NM_000489.4 (<i>ATRX</i>): c.1230delA
00 TSN4M	NA						NM_001273.3 (<i>CHD4</i>): c.1358G>A	
MPNST 10 NN	M_000267.3: c.2027_2028insC			NM_015355.2: c.1940delTAAT	23	NC_000017.10: g.25533000 30513000del		
MAF, mutant allele	fraction: NA, not applicable							

uppu

Nat Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 April 02.

^a Sections of this tumor were available for immunohistochemistry and were shown to be negative for staining with antibodies to both SUZ12 and H3K27me3.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1