



## Some Classes of Ideal Convergent Sequences and Generalized Difference Matrix Operator

S. A. Mohiuddine<sup>a</sup>, B. Hazarika<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Operator Theory and Applications Research Group, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh 791112, Arunachal Pradesh, India

**Abstract.** The aim of paper is to define and study some ideal convergent sequence spaces with the help of generalized difference matrix  $B_{(m)}^n$  and Orlicz functions. We also make an effort to study some algebraic and topological properties of these difference sequence spaces.

### 1. Background and Preliminaries

The concept of statistical convergence is a generalization of the usual notion of convergence that, for real-valued sequences, parallels the usual theory of convergence (see [9]). Kostyrko et al. [15] and Nuray and Ruckle [21] independently studied in details about the notion of ideal convergence which is a generalization of statistical convergence and is based on the structure of the admissible ideal  $I$  of subsets of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . Later on it was further investigated by Tripathy and Hazarika [25, 26], Hazarika and Mohiuddine [10], Hazarika [12] and references therein. Hazarika [11] introduced the notion of generalized difference  $I$ -convergence in random 2-normed spaces and proved some interesting results. Çakalli and Hazarika [5] introduced the new concept ideal quasi Cauchy sequences and studied some results in analysis.

Let  $S$  be a non-empty set. Then a non empty class  $I \subseteq P(S)$  is said to be an *ideal* on  $S$  iff  $\phi \in I$ ,  $I$  is additive and hereditary. An ideal  $I \subseteq P(S)$  is said to be non trivial if  $S \notin I$ . A non-empty family of sets  $F \subseteq P(S)$  is said to be a *filter* on  $S$  iff  $\phi \notin F$ , for each  $A, B \in F$  we have  $A \cap B \in F$  and for each  $A \in F$  and  $B \supset A$ , implies  $B \in F$ . For each ideal  $I$ , there is a filter  $F(I)$  corresponding to  $I$  i.e.  $F(I) = \{K \subseteq S : K^c \in I\}$ , where  $K^c = S - K$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I \subseteq P(S)$  is said to be (a) an *admissible ideal* on  $S$  if and only if it contains all singletons, i.e., if it contains  $\{\{x\} : x \in S\}$  (b) *maximal*, if there cannot exist any non-trivial ideal  $J \neq I$  containing  $I$  as a subset. Recall that a sequence  $x = (x_k)$  of real numbers is said to be  $I$ -convergent to the number  $\ell$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - \ell| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ .

We denote  $w$  for the set of all real sequences  $x = (x_k)$ . The difference sequence space was introduced by Kizmaz [14] as follows:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{(x_k) \in w : \Delta x_k \in Z\}, \quad (1.1)$$

for  $Z = \ell_\infty, c, c_0$  and  $\Delta x_k = \Delta^1 x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the standard notations  $\ell_\infty, c$  and  $c_0$  are used to denote the set of bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively. Later this idea was generalized

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05; 40C05; 46A45

Keywords. Ideal convergence; difference sequence; Orlicz function

Received: 19 April 2015; Accepted: 28 February 2016

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Email addresses: mohiuddine@gmail.com (S. A. Mohiuddine), bh\_rgu@yahoo.co.in (B. Hazarika)

by Et and Çolak [8] by considering  $\Delta^n$  instead of  $\Delta$  where  $(\Delta^n x_k) = \Delta^1(\Delta^{n-1} x_k)$  for  $n \geq 2$  (see also Et and Başarir [7]). In case of  $n = 0$  we obtain  $x_k$ . The author of [24] generalized these spaces by taking  $\Delta_m$  in (1.1) where the operator  $\Delta_m$  is defined by  $\Delta_m x = (\Delta_m x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+m})$ . By combining the above two operators  $\Delta^n$  and  $\Delta_m$ , Tripathy et al. [27] defined and studied Kizmaz spaces for the operator  $\Delta_m^n$  and it is given by  $\Delta_m^n x = (\Delta_m^n x_k) = (\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+m})$ . In [6], Dutta considered  $\Delta_{(m)}^n x = (\Delta_{(m)}^n x_k) = (\Delta_{(m)}^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_{(m)}^{n-1} x_{k-m})$  and introduced difference sequences spaces for the sets of bounded, statistically convergent and statistically null sequences, respectively. Başar and Altay [2] introduced the generalized difference matrix  $B(r, s) = (b_{nk}(r, s))$  which is a generalization of  $\Delta_{(1)}^1$ -difference operator as follows:

$$b_{nk}(r, s) = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } k = n; \\ s, & \text{if } k = n - 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < n - 1 \text{ or } k > n, \end{cases}$$

for all  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, r, s \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ . Başarir and Kayikci [3] have defined the generalized difference matrix  $B^n$  of order  $n$ , and the binomial representation of this operator is

$$B^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} r^{n-v} s^v x_{k-v},$$

where  $r, s \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Another generalization of above difference matrix was given by Başarir et al. [4] as  $B_{(m)}^n$  by taking into account operator introduced by Dutta [6], where  $B_{(m)}^n x = (B_{(m)}^n x_k) = (rB_{(m)}^{n-1} x_k + sB_{(m)}^{n-1} x_{k-m})$  and  $B_{(m)}^0 x_k = x_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$B_{(m)}^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} r^{n-v} s^v x_{k-mv}.$$

An Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$  as  $x > 0$  and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . It is well known if  $M$  is a convex function and  $M(0) = 0$ , then  $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . An Orlicz function  $M$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of  $u$ , if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $M(Lu) \leq KLM(u)$  for all values of  $L > 1$  (see Krasnoselskii and Rutitsky [16]).

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [17] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ (x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space  $\ell_M$  with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. The space  $\ell_M$  is closely related to the space  $\ell_p$  which is an Orlicz sequence space with  $M(t) = |t|^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

For some recent work related to Orlicz sequence spaces, we refer to Alotaibi et al. [1], Mohiuddine et al. [18, 19], Savaş [23] and references therein.

If  $X$  is a linear space and  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that (i)  $g(x) \geq 0$ , (ii)  $x = 0 \Rightarrow g(x) = 0$ , (iii)  $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ , (iv)  $g(-x) = g(x)$  and (v)  $g(t_k x_k - tx) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  whenever  $t_k \rightarrow t$  and  $x_k \rightarrow x$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for scalars  $t_k, t$  and the vectors  $x_k, x$ , then  $g$  is said to be a *paranorm* on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, g)$  is called a *paranormed space*. A paranorm  $g$  which satisfies  $g(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$  is called a *total paranorm*.

A sequence space  $E$  is said to be (i) *normal* (or *solid*) if  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$  whenever  $(x_k) \in E$  and for all sequence  $(\alpha_k)$  of scalars with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , (ii) *symmetric* if  $(x_{\pi(k)}) \in E$ , whenever  $(x_k) \in E$ , where  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $E$  be a sequence space. A  $K$ -step space of  $E$  is a sequence space  $\lambda_K^E = \{(x_{k_n}) \in w : (k_n) \in E\}$ . A canonical preimage of a sequence  $\{(x_{k_n})\} \in \lambda_K^E$  is a sequence  $\{y_k\} \in w$  defined as

$$y_k = \begin{cases} x_k, & \text{if } k \in K \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A canonical preimage of a step space  $\lambda_K^E$  is a set of canonical preimages of all elements in  $\lambda_K^E$ . A sequence space  $E$  is said to be *monotone* if  $E$  contains the canonical pre-image of all its step spaces. Note that every normal space is monotone (see [13], page 53).

The following well-known inequality will be used throughout the article. Let  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers with  $0 < p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H, D = \max\{1, 2^{H-1}\}$  then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D(|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Also  $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, |a|^H\}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## 2. Main Results

We introduce the following new type of ideal convergent sequence spaces using the generalized difference matrix  $B_{(m)}^n$  and Orlicz functions. Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function, and  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers and  $m, n$  be nonnegative integers. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$  be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity such that  $\lambda_{i+1} \leq \lambda_i + 1, \lambda_1 = 1$  (such type of sequence also used in [20] to define summability methods). For  $\rho > 0$ , we define the following new sequence spaces:

$$c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) = \left\{ (u_k) \in w : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right\},$$

$$c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) = \left\{ (u_k) \in w : \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I, \text{ for some } u_0 \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$\ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) = \left\{ (u_k) \in w : \sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $J_i = [i - \lambda_i + 1, i]$ . It is easy to see that the inclusions  $c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subset c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subset \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are proper. We can write the following spaces by using the above spaces

$$m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) = c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \cap \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$$

and

$$m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) = c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \cap \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p).$$

Particular cases: For  $n = 0$ , the spaces  $c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p), c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p), \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p), m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  becomes  $c^I(\lambda, M, p), c_0^I(\lambda, M, p), \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, p), m^I(\lambda, M, p)$  and  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, p)$  respectively.

The following is easy to prove.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. The spaces  $c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p), c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p), \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p), m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are linear.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $p = (p_k) \in \ell_\infty$ . Then  $m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are paranormed spaces with the paranorm  $g_{B_{(m)}^n}$  defined by

$$g_{B_{(m)}^n}(u) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_k}{G}} > 0 : \sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{\rho} \right) \right] \leq 1, \text{ for } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

where  $G = \max\{1, \sup_k p_k\}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $g_{B_{(m)}^n}(-u) = g_{B_{(m)}^n}(u)$  and  $g_{B_{(m)}^n}(0) = 0$ . Let  $u = (u_k)$  and  $v = (v_k)$  be two elements in  $m_0^1(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, \rho)$ . Now for  $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$  we put

$$A_1 = \left\{ \rho_1 > 0 : \sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{\rho_1} \right) \right] \leq 1 \right\} \text{ and } A_2 = \left\{ \rho_2 > 0 : \sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n v_k|}{\rho_2} \right) \right] \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let us take  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ . Then using the convexity of Orlicz function  $M$ , we obtain

$$M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(u_k + v_k)|}{\rho} \right) \leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{\rho_1} \right) + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n v_k|}{\rho_2} \right)$$

which in turn gives us

$$\sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(u_k + v_k)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{B_{(m)}^n}(u + v) &= \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} : \rho_1 \in A_1, \rho_2 \in A_2 \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} : \rho_1 \in A_1 \right\} + \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} : \rho_2 \in A_2 \right\} = g_{B_{(m)}^n}(u) + g_{B_{(m)}^n}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha^i \rightarrow \alpha$ , where  $\alpha^i, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $g_{B_{(m)}^n}(u^i - u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . To prove that  $g_{B_{(m)}^n}(\alpha^i u^i - \alpha u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . We put

$$A_3 = \left\{ \rho_m > 0 : \sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u^i|}{\rho_m} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\} \text{ and } A_4 = \left\{ \rho_l > 0 : \sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(u^i - u)|}{\rho_l} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By the continuity of  $M$  we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(\alpha^i u^i - \alpha u)|}{|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m + |\alpha| \rho_l} \right) &\leq M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(\alpha^i u^i - \alpha u^i)|}{|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m + |\alpha| \rho_l} \right) + M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(\alpha u^i - \alpha u)|}{|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m + |\alpha| \rho_l} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m}{|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m + |\alpha| \rho_l} M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u^i|}{\rho_m} \right) + \frac{|\alpha| \rho_l}{|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m + |\alpha| \rho_l} M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(u^i - u)|}{\rho_l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality it follows that

$$\sup_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n(\alpha^i u^i - \alpha u)|}{|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m + |\alpha| \rho_l} \right) \right] \leq 1$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} g_{B_{(m)}^n}(\alpha^i u^i - \alpha u) &= \inf \left\{ (|\alpha^i - \alpha| \rho_m + |\alpha| \rho_l)^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} : \rho_m \in A_3, \rho_l \in A_4 \right\} \\ &\leq |\alpha^i - \alpha|^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} \inf \left\{ (\rho_m)^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} : \rho_m \in A_3 \right\} + |\alpha|^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} \inf \left\{ (\rho_l)^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} : \rho_l \in A_4 \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ 1, |\alpha^i - \alpha|^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} \right\} g_{B_{(m)}^n}(u^i) + \max \left\{ 1, |\alpha|^{\frac{p_k}{\sigma}} \right\} g_{B_{(m)}^n}(u^i - u). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Hence by our assumption the right hand side of (1) tends to 0 as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two Orlicz functions. Then

- (i)  $Z(\lambda, M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subseteq Z(\lambda, M_1 \circ M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ ,
- (ii)  $Z(\lambda, M_1, B_{(m)}^n, p) \cap Z(\lambda, M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subseteq Z(\lambda, M_1 + M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ ,

for  $Z = c_0^l, c^l, m_0^l, m^l, \ell_\infty$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $u = (u_k) \in c^l(\lambda, M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ . For  $\rho > 0$  we have

$$\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M_2 \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that  $M_1(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$ . We define

$$v_k = M_2 \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right)$$

and consider

$$\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq v_k \leq \alpha} [M_1(v_k)]^{p_k} = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}; v_k \leq \alpha} [M_1(v_k)]^{p_k} + \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}; v_k > \alpha} [M_1(v_k)]^{p_k}.$$

We have

$$\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}; v_k \leq \alpha} [M_1(v_k)]^{p_k} \leq [M_1(2)]^H \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}; v_k \leq \alpha} [v_k]^{p_k}, H = \sup_k p_k. \tag{3}$$

For the second summation (i.e.  $v_k > \alpha$ ), we go through the following procedure. We have

$$v_k < \frac{v_k}{\alpha} < 1 + \frac{v_k}{\alpha}.$$

Since  $M_1$  is non-decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$M_1(v_k) < M_1 \left( 1 + \frac{v_k}{\alpha} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} M_1(2) + \frac{1}{2} M_1 \left( \frac{2v_k}{\alpha} \right).$$

Since  $M_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we can write

$$M_1(v_k) < \frac{1}{2} K \frac{v_k}{\alpha} M_1(2) + \frac{1}{2} K \frac{v_k}{\alpha} M_1(2) = K \frac{v_k}{\alpha} M_1(2).$$

We get the following estimates:

$$\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}; v_k > \alpha} [M_1(v_k)]^{p_k} \leq \max \left\{ 1, (K\alpha^{-1} M_1(2))^H \right\} \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}; v_k > \alpha} [v_k]^{p_k}. \tag{4}$$

From (2), (3) and (4), it follows that  $(u_k) \in c^l(\lambda, M_1.M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ . Hence  $c^l(\lambda, M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subseteq c^l(\lambda, M_1 \circ M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ .

- (ii) Let  $(u_k) \in c^l(\lambda, M_1, B_{(m)}^n, p) \cap c^l(\lambda, M_2, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M_1 \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \text{ and } \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M_2 \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

The rest of the proof follows from the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ (M_1 + M_2) \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M_1 \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cup \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M_2 \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k - u_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We remark that if  $M_2(x) = x$  and  $M_1(x) = M(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$  in the above theorem then  $Z(\lambda, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subseteq Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  for  $Z = c_0^I, c^I, m_0^I, m^I, \ell_\infty$ , where  $I$  is an admissible ideal.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The spaces  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are nowhere dense subsets of  $\ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 3 [25] it follows that  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are closed subspaces of  $\ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ . Since the inclusion relations  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subset \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subset \ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are strict, then the spaces  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are nowhere dense subsets of  $\ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *The inclusions  $Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n-1}, p) \subseteq Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are strict for  $n \geq 1$ . In general  $Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^i, p) \subseteq Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  and the inclusion is strict, for  $Z = c_0^I, c^I, m_0^I, m^I, \ell_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u = (u_k) \in c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n-1}, p)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

Since  $M$  is non-decreasing and convex it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{2\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq D \left[ \frac{1}{2} M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + D \left[ \frac{1}{2} M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k+1}|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq DK \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + DK \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k+1}|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \max\{1, (\frac{1}{2})^H\}$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{2\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : DK \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cup \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : DK \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{k \in J_i} \left[ M \left( \frac{|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k+1}|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(u_k) \in c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ . The inclusion is strict follows from the following example.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $M(x) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$  and  $(\lambda_i) = i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose also that  $n = 5, m = 2, r = 1, s = -1$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us define the sequence  $(u_k)$  by

$$u_k = \begin{cases} k^3 + 2k + 1 & , \text{ if } k \text{ is even;} \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$B_{(2)}^4 u_k = \sum_{v=0}^4 \binom{4}{v} r^{4-v} s^v u_{k-2v},$$

which gives  $B_{(2)}^4 u_k = -64$ . So, we have  $B_{(2)}^5 u_k = 0$ . Therefore  $(u_k) \in c_0^I(M, B_{(2)}^5, p)$  but  $(u_k) \notin c_0^I(M, B_{(2)}^4, p)$ .

This completes the proof of the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(p_k)$  and  $(q_k)$  be two sequences of positive real numbers. Then  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \subseteq m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, q)$  if and only if  $\liminf_{k \in K} \frac{p_k}{q_k} > 0$  where  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \notin I$ .

*Proof.* If we take  $(v_k) = M\left(\frac{|B_{(m)}^n u_k|}{\rho}\right)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the result follows from the Theorem 6, [25].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $(p_k)$  and  $(q_k)$  be two sequences of positive real numbers. Then  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) = m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, q)$  if and only if  $\liminf_{k \in K} \frac{p_k}{q_k} > 0$  and  $\liminf_{k \in K} \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0$  where  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \notin I$ .

The proof of the above result follows from the Corollary 7 in [25].

**Theorem 2.9.** If  $I$  is not a maximal ideal and  $I \neq I_f$ , then the sequence spaces  $c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are neither normal nor monotone, where  $I_f$  denotes the class of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We prove this result with the help of following example.

**Example 2.10.** Let  $M(x) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$  and  $(\lambda_i) = i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose also that  $r = 1, s = -1, n = 1, m = 1$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking  $I = I_\delta$ , where  $I_\delta = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \text{asymptotic density of } A \text{ (in symbol, } \delta(A) = 0)\}$  and note that  $I_\delta$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Define the sequence  $(u_k)$  by  $u_k = k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$\alpha_k = \begin{cases} -1 & , \text{ if } k \text{ is even;} \\ 1 & , \text{ if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then we see that  $(u_k) \in Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  for  $Z = c^I$ . But  $(\alpha_k u_k) \notin Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  for  $Z = c^I$ . Therefore  $c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  is not normal and hence not monotone. Similarly, we can show that  $c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $m_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are neither normal nor monotone by considering  $u_k = 3$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** If  $I$  is an admissible ideal and  $I \neq I_f$ , then the sequence spaces  $Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are not symmetric, where  $Z = c_0^I, c^I, m_0^I, m^I$ .

We prove this result only for  $c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  with the help of following example. The rest of the results follow similar way.

**Example 2.12.** Let  $M(x) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$  and  $(\lambda_i) = i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $r = 1, s = -1, n = 1, m = 1$ . Taking  $I = I_\delta$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us define a sequence  $(u_k)$  by

$$u_k = \begin{cases} -2k + 1 & , \text{ if } k = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain  $(u_k) \in c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ . The rearrangement  $(v_k)$  of  $(u_k)$  defined as

$$v_k = \{u_1, u_4, u_2, u_9, u_3, u_{16}, u_5, u_{25}, u_6, \dots\}.$$

This implies that  $(v_k) \notin c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ . Hence  $c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  is not symmetric.

**Theorem 2.13.** If  $I$  is an admissible ideal, then  $c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ ,  $c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $\ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are convex sets.

The proof of the above theorem follows directly by using the convexity of Orlicz function.

**Theorem 2.14.** If  $I$  is an admissible ideal, then the spaces  $c_0^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$ ,  $c^I(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  and  $\ell_\infty(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p)$  are topologically isomorphic with the spaces  $c_0^I(\lambda, M, p)$ ,  $c^I(\lambda, M, p)$  and  $\ell_\infty(\lambda, M, p)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Let us consider the mapping  $T : Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p) \rightarrow Z(\lambda, M, p)$  defined by

$$Tu = v = (B_{(m)}^n u_k) \text{ for every } u = (u_k) \in Z(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^n, p),$$

where  $Z = c^l, c_0^l, \ell_\infty$ . Clearly  $T$  is linear homeomorphism.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant No. (290-130-1436-G). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support.

## References

- [1] A. Alotaibi, M. Mursaleen, S. K. Sharma, S. A. Mohiuddine, Sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function, *Filomat* 29(7) (2015) 1461-1468.
- [2] F. Başar, B. Altay, On the space of sequences of  $p$ -bounded variation and related matrix mappings, *Ukrainian Math. J.* 55(1) (2003) 136-147.
- [3] M. Başarir, M. Kayikçi, On the generalized  $B^m$ -Riesz difference sequence spaces and  $\beta$ -property, *J. Inequa. Appl.* Vol. 2009 (2009) Article ID 385029, 18 pages.
- [4] M. Başarir, Ş. Konca, E. E. Kara, Some generalized difference statistically convergent sequence spaces in 2-normed space, *J. Inequa. Appl.* Vol. 2013 (2013) Article 177.
- [5] H. Çakalli, B. Hazarika, Ideal quasi-Cauchy sequences, *J. Inequa. Appl.* Vol. 2012 (2012) Article 234.
- [6] H. Dutta, Some statistically convergent difference sequence spaces defined over real 2-normed linear space, *Appl. Sci.* 12 (2010) 37-47.
- [7] M. Et, M. Başarir, On some new generalized difference sequence spaces, *Periodica Math. Hung.* 35(3) (1997) 169-175.
- [8] M. Et, R. Çolak, On some generalized difference sequence spaces, *Soochow J. Math.* 21(4) (1995) 377-386.
- [9] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951) 241-244.
- [10] B. Hazarika, S. A. Mohiuddine, Ideal convergence of random variables, *J. Func. Spaces Appl.* Vol. 2013, Article ID 148249, 7 pages (2013).
- [11] B. Hazarika, On generalized difference ideal convergence in random 2-normed spaces, *Filomat* 26(6) (2012) 1273-1282.
- [12] B. Hazarika, Ideal convergence in locally solid Riesz spaces, *Filomat* 28(4) (2014) 797-809.
- [13] P. K. Kamthan, M Gupta, Sequence spaces and series, Marcel Dekkar, 1980.
- [14] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 24(2) (1981) 169-176.
- [15] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, W. Wilczyński, On  $I$ -convergence, *Real Analysis Exchange* 26(2) (2000-2001) 669-686.
- [16] M. A. Krasnoselskii, Y. B. Rutitsky, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces, Netherlands, Groningen, 1961.
- [17] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 10 (1971) 379-390.
- [18] S. A. Mohiuddine, K. Raj, A. Alotaibi, Some paranormed double difference sequence spaces for Orlicz functions and bounded-regular matrices, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* Vol. 2014, Article ID419064, 10 pages (2014).
- [19] S. A. Mohiuddine, K. Raj, A. Alotaibi, Generalized spaces of double sequences for Orlicz functions and bounded-regular matrices over  $n$ -normed spaces, *J. Inequa. Appl.* Vol. 2014 (2014), Article 332.
- [20] M. Mursaleen,  $\lambda$ -Statistical convergence, *Math. Slovaca* 50 (2000) 111-115.
- [21] F. Nuray, W. H. Ruckle, Generalized statistical convergence and convergence free spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 245 (2000) 513-527.
- [22] T. Šalát, B. C. Tripathy, M. Ziman, On some properties of  $I$ -convergence, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* 28 (2004) 279-286.
- [23] E. Savaş,  $\Delta^m$ -strongly summable sequences in 2-normed spaces defined by ideal convergence and an Orlicz function, *Appl. Math. Comp.* 217 (2010) 271-276.
- [24] B. C. Tripathy, A. Esi, A new type of difference sequence spaces, *Inter. J. Sci. Tech.* 1(1) (2006) 11-14.
- [25] B. C. Tripathy, B. Hazarika, Paranorm  $I$ -convergent sequence spaces, *Math. Slovaca* 59(4) (2009) 485-494.
- [26] B. C. Tripathy, B. Hazarika, Some  $I$ -convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function, *Acta Appl. Math. Sinica* 27(1) (2011) 149-154.
- [27] B. C. Tripathy, A. Esi, B. K. Tripathy, On a new type of generalized difference Cesàro sequence spaces, *Soochow J. Math.* 31 (2005) 333-340.