# SOME NEW DIFFERENCE SEQUENCES SPACES DEFINED BY AN ORLICZ FUNCTION

### TUNAY BILGIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce some new difference sequence spaces combining lacunary sequences and Orlicz functions. We establish some inclusion relations between these spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\ell_{\infty}$  and chenote the Banach spaces of real bounded and convergent sequences  $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)$  normed by  $||x|| = \sup_i |x_i|$ , respectively.

A sequence of positive integers  $\theta = (\mathbf{k}_r)$  is called "lacunary" if  $\mathbf{k}_0 = 0$ ,

 $0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r)$  and  $q_r = k_r/k_{r-1}$ . The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequence  $N_{\theta}$  was defined by Freedman et al [5] as:

$$N_{\theta} = \{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{r \to \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x_i - s| = 0, \text{ for some s } \}$$

An Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  which is continuous, non- decreasing and convex with M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0 for x > 0 and  $M(x) \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ . If convexity of M is replaced by subadditivity, then this function is called a modulus functions (see, Ruckle [13]).

Let w be the spaces of all real or complex sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)$ . Lindentrauss and Tzafriri [8] used the idea of Orlicz function to defined the following sequence spaces.

$$l_M = \{ \mathbf{x} : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}_i|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \ \rho > 0 \}$$

which is called an Orlicz sequence spaces  $l_M$  is a Banach space with the norm,

$$||x|| = \inf \{\rho > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_i|}{\rho}\right) \le 1\}.$$

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05, 40F05.

Key words and phrases. Difference sequence, Lacunary sequence, Orlicz function.

Strongly almost convergent sequence was introduced and studied by Maddox [10] and also independently by Freedman et al [5].

Parashar and Chaudhary [12] have introduced and examined some properties of the sequence spaces defined by using an Orlicz function M, which generalized the well-known Orlicz sequence spaces [c, 1, p],  $[c, 1, p]_0$  and  $[c, 1, p]_{\infty}$ . It may be noted here that the spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Maddox [9].

 $K_{izmaz}$  [6] was defined the sequence spaces

 $l_{\infty}(\Delta) = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_i) : \sup |\Delta x_i| < \infty \},\$ 

 $c(\Delta) = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_i) : \lim_{i \to \infty} |\Delta x_i - s| = 0 \text{ for some s } \},$ 

 $c_o(\Delta) = \{ x = (x_i) : \lim_i |\Delta x_i| = 0 \}$ , where  $\Delta x_i = (x_i - x_{i+1})$ . Subsequently difference sequence spaces has been discussed in Bilgin[2], Ahmad and Mursaleen [1], Malkowsky and Parashar[11] Et and Başarir [3], Et and Çolak [4] and others. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a concept of lacunary  $\Delta$ -convergence using Orlicz function and to examine inclusion relations among new spaces in the same way that  $c(\Delta)$  is related to c.

Now we introduce the following sequence spaces:

**Definition 1.1** Let M be an Orlicz function and  $p = (p_i)$  be any bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We have

$$\begin{split} & w_0^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta} = \{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{r \to \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} = 0, \, \rho > 0 \} \\ & w^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta} = \{ \mathbf{x} : \lim_{r \to \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} = 0, \text{for some s, } \rho > 0 \} \\ & w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta} = \{ \mathbf{x} : \sup_{r} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} < \infty, \, \rho > 0 \}, \end{split}$$

where for convenince, we put  $M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$  instead of  $\left[M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_i}$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \in w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ , we say that  $\mathbf{x}$  is lacunary  $\Delta$  -convergence to  $\mathbf{x}$  with respect to the Orlicz function M.

When  $M(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ , then we write  $w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ ,  $w^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$  and  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$  for the spaces  $w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ ,  $w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  and  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ , respectively. If  $p_i = 1$  for all i, then  $w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ ,  $w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  and  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  reduce to  $w_0^{\theta}(M)_{\Delta}$ ,  $w^{\theta}(M)_{\Delta}$  and  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M)_{\Delta}$ , respectively.

The following inequality will be used troughout the paper;

(1.1) 
$$|a_i + b_i|^{p_i} \le C(|a_i|^{p_i} + |b_i|^{p_i})$$

where  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are complex numbers ,  $\mathcal{C}=\max(1,2^{H-1}),$  and  $\mathcal{H}=\sup p_i<\infty$ 

### 2. Inclusion theorems

By using (1), it is easy to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let M be an Orlicz function and  $p = (p_i)$  be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then  $w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ ,  $w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  and  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers.

**Theorem 2.2** Let M be an Orlicz function. If  $\sup_i (M(x))^{p_i} < \infty$  for all fixed x > 0 then

$$w^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta} \subset w^{\theta}_{\infty}(M,p)_{\Delta}$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{x} \in w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ . There exists some positive  $\rho_1$  such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho_1}\right)^{p_i} = 0.$$

Define  $\rho = 2\rho_1$ . Since M is non decreasing and convex ,by using (1.1), we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_{i}|}{\rho}\right)^{p_{i}} &= \sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_{i} - s + s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_{i}} \\ \leq & C\{\sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} \frac{1}{2^{p_{i}}} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_{i} - s|}{\rho_{1}}\right)^{p_{i}} + \sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} \frac{1}{2^{p_{i}}} M\left(\frac{|s|}{\rho_{1}}\right)^{p_{i}}\} \\ < & C\{\sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_{i} - s|}{\rho_{1}}\right)^{p_{i}} + \sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} M\left(\frac{|s|}{\rho_{1}}\right)^{p_{i}}\} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Hence  $x \in w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let M be an Orlicz function and  $0 < h = \inf p_i$ . Then  $w^{\theta}_{\infty}(M,p)_{\Delta} \subset w^{\theta}_0(p)_{\Delta}$  if and only if

(1.2) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M(t)^{p_i} = \infty$$

for some t > 0.

**Proof.** Let  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{0}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ . Suppose that (2) does not hold. Therefore there are a subinterval  $I_{r(m)}$  of the set of interval  $I_{r}$  and a number  $t_{0} > 0$ , where  $t_{0} = \frac{|\Delta x_{i}|}{\rho}$  for all i, such that

(1.3) 
$$h_{r(m)}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r(m)}} M(t_0)^{p_i} \le K < \infty, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let us define  $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)$  as following

$$\Delta x_i = \begin{cases} \rho t_0 & ; i \in I_{r(m)} \\ 0 & ; i \notin I_{r(m)} \end{cases}$$

Thus by (3),  $\mathbf{x} \in w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ . But  $\mathbf{x} \notin w_{0}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ . Hence (2) must hold.

Conversely, suppose that (2) holds and that  $x \in w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta}$ . Then, for each r

T. BILGIN

(1.4) 
$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \le K < \infty,$$

Suppose that  $\mathbf{x} \notin w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ . Then, for some number  $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ , there is a number  $i_0$  such that , for a subinterval  $I_{r_1}$  of the set of interval  $I_r$ ,  $\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho} > \varepsilon$  for  $i \ge i_0$ . From properties of the Orlicz function, we can write

 $\operatorname{M}\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \ge \operatorname{M}(\varepsilon)^{p_i}$ 

which contradicts (2), by using (4). Hence we get  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{0}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition 2.1** An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of u, if there exists a constant L > 0 such that  $M(2u) \leq LM(u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ .

It is also easy to see that always L > 2. The  $\Delta_2$ - condition equivalent to the satisfaction of inequality M(Tu)  $\leq$ LTu M(u) for all values of u and for all T > 1 (see, Krasnoselskii and Rutitsky [7]).

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $0 < h = \inf p_i \leq p_i \leq \sup p_i = H < \infty$ . For an Orlicz function M which satisfies  $\Delta_2$ - condition, we have  $w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}, w^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  and  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ .

**Proof.** Let 
$$\mathbf{x} \in w^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$$
. Then we have  $h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \to 0$  as  $r \to \infty$ , for some s

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $M(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $0 \le t \le \delta$ . We can write

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} = h_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |\Delta x_i - s| / \rho \le \delta}} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} + h_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_r \\ |\Delta x_i - s| / \rho > \delta}} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$

For the first summation above, we immediately write  $h_r^{-1} \sum M \left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\epsilon}\right)^{p_i} < \max(\epsilon, \epsilon^h)$ 

$$i \in I_r$$

$$|\Delta x_i - s| / \rho \le \delta$$

by using continuity of M. For the second summation, we will make following procedure. We have

$$\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right) < 1 + \left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)/\delta.$$

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \le \max(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^h) + \max\left\{1, [LM(2)/\delta]^H\right\} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i}$$

Taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and  $r \to \infty$ , it follows that  $x \in w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ . Following similar arguments we can prove that  $w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  and  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ .

After step of this section, different inclusion relations among these sequence spaces are going to be studied. Now we have

**Theorem 2.5.** Let M be an Orlicz function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

i)  $w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ ii)  $w_{0}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ iii)  $\sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} M(t)^{p_{i}} < \infty$  for all t > 0.

**Proof.** i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii): Let (i) holds. To verify (ii), it is enough to prove  $w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} \in w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ . Then, there exist  $r \geq r_0$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left( \frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho} \right)^{p_i} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence there exists K > 0 such that

$$\sup_{r} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} < K$$

So, we get  $\mathbf{x} \in w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ 

 $ii){\Rightarrow}iii){:}$  Let (ii) holds. Suppose that (iii) does not holds. Then for some t>0

$$\sup_{r} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M(t)^{p_i} = \infty$$

and therefore we can find a subinterval  $I_{r(m)}$  of the set of interval  $I_r$  such that

(1.5) 
$$h_{r(m)}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r(m)}} M\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{p_i} > m, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let us define  $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)$  as following

$$\Delta x_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{m} & ; i \in I_{r(m)} \\ 0 & ; i \notin I_{r(m)} \end{cases}$$

Then  $x \in w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$  but by (5),  $x \notin w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta}$ , which contradicts (ii). Hence (iii) must holds.

 $iii) \Rightarrow i$ : Let (iii) hold and  $x \in w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ . Suppose that  $x \notin w_{\infty}^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ . Then for  $x \in w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$ 

(1.6) 
$$\sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_{i}|}{\rho}\right)^{p_{i}} = \infty$$

Let  $t = \frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}$  for each i, then by (6)

$$\sup_{r} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M(t)^{p_i} = -\infty$$

which contradicts (iii). Hence (i) must holds.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let M be an Orlicz function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

i) 
$$w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta} \subset w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$$
  
ii)  $w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta} \subset w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$   
iii)  $\inf_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M(t)^{p_i} > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Proof.** i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii): It is obvious.

 $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$ : Let (ii) holds. Suppose that (iii) does not holds. Then  $\inf_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M(t)^{p_i} = 0$  for some t > 0,

and we can find a subinterval  $I_{r(m)}$  of the set of interval  $I_r$  such that

(1.7) 
$$h_{r(m)}^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_{r(m)}} M(m)^{p_i} < \frac{1}{m}, m = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

Let us define  $x = (x_i)$  as following

$$\Delta x_i = \begin{cases} \rho m & ; i \in I_{r(m)} \\ 0 & ; i \notin I_{r(m)} \end{cases}$$

Thus, by (7)  $x \in w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$  but  $x \notin w_{\infty}^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$  which contradicts (ii). Hence (iii) must holds.

iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i): Let (iii) holds. Suppose that  $x \in w_0^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ . Therefore,

(1.8) 
$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \to 0$$

as  $r \to \infty$ . Again, suppose that  $x \notin w_0^{\theta}(p)_{\Delta}$  for some number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subinterval  $I_{r(m)}$  of the set of interval  $I_r$ , we have  $\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho} \geq \varepsilon$  for all i. Then, from properties of the Orlicz function, we can write

 $\operatorname{M}\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \ge \operatorname{M}(\varepsilon)^{p_i}$ 

Consequently, by (8) we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M(\varepsilon)^{p_i} = 0$$

which contradicts (iii). Hence (i) must holds.

Finally, in this section, we consider that  $(p_i)$  and  $(q_i)$  are any bounded sequences of strictly positive real numbers. We are able to prove  $w^{\theta}(M,q)_{\Delta} \subseteq w^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta}$  only under additional conditions.

**Theorem2.7.** i) If  $0 < \inf p_i \leq p_i \leq 1$  for all k, then  $w^{\theta}(M)_{\Delta} \subseteq w^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta}$ 

i i)  $1 \leq p_i \leq \sup p_i = H < \infty$ , then  $w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta} \subseteq w^{\theta}(M)_{\Delta}$ 

**Proof.** i) Let  $\mathbf{x} \in w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ since  $0 < infp_i \le p_i \le 1$  we get

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right) \le h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^p$$

and hence  $\mathbf{x} \in w^{\theta}(M)_{\Delta}$ .

Let  $1 \leq p_i \leq \sup p_i = H < \infty$ , and  $x \in w^{\theta}(M)_{\Delta}$ . Then for each  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  there exists a positive integer  $r_0$  such that

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right) \le \varepsilon < 1$$

for all  $r \geq r_0$ . This implies that

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right)^{p_i} \le h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\Delta x_i - s|}{\rho}\right).$$

Therefore  $\mathbf{x} \in w^{\theta}(M, p)_{\Delta}$ .

Using the same technique as in Theorem 2 in [14], it is easy to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $0 < p_i \le q_i$  for all i and let  $(q_i / p_i)$  be bounded. Then

$$w^{\theta}(M,q)_{\Delta} \subseteq w^{\theta}(M,p)_{\Delta}$$

#### T. BILGIN

#### References

- Z. U. Ahmad, Mursaleen, Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some new sequence spaces and their matrix maps, *Pub. Inst. Math. Beograd* 42(56) (1987), 57–61.
- [2] T. Bilgin, The lacunary strong  $\Delta$ -convergence in Banach spaces, to appear
- [3] M. Et, M. Başarir, On some new generalized difference sequence spaces, *Periodica Math. Hung.* 35,(3) (1997), 169–176.
- [4] M. Et, R. Çolak, On some generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow J. Math., 21 21(4) (1995), 377–386.
- [5] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember, M. Raphael, Some Cesaro- type summability spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 37(3) (1978), 508–520.
- [6] H.,Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 24;2 (1981),169–176.
- [7] M. A. Krasnoselskii, Y. B. Rutitsky, Convex function and Orlicz spaces, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1961.
- [8] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math. 10(3) (1971), 379–390.
- [9] I. J. Maddox, Spaces of strongly summable sequences, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 18(2) (1967), 345–355.
- [10] I. J. Maddox, On strong almost convergence, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 85 (1979), 345–350.
- [11] E. Malkowsky, S. D. Parashar, Matrix transformations in spaces of bounded and convergent difference sequences of order m, *Analysis* 17 (1997), 87–97.
- [12] S. D. Parashar, B.Chaudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1994), 419–428.
- [13] W. H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, Can. J. Math. 25 (1973), 973–978.
- [14] [14] E.,Öztürk, T.,Bilgin. Strongly summable sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25(6) (1994), 621-625.

YÜZÜNCÜ Y*i*L UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VAN, TURKEY

E-mail address: tbilgin@yyu.edu.tr