

SOME EXAMPLES OF MODULES OVER NOETHERIAN RINGS

by I. M. MUSSON

(Received 23 April, 1980)

1. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let n be an integer greater than zero. There exists a prime Noetherian ring R of Krull dimension $n + 1$ and a finitely generated essential extension W of a simple R -module V such that*

- (i) W has Krull dimension n , and
- (ii) W/V is n -critical and cannot be embedded in any of its proper submodules.

We refer the reader to [6] for the definition and properties of Krull dimension.

Theorem 1 answers questions of Jategaonkar and Goldie. Let R be a two-sided Noetherian ring. In [7] Jategaonkar asks whether every finitely generated essential extension of a simple R -module is artinian, and Goldie [4] asks whether a critical R -module is necessarily compressible.

The ring R is the enveloping algebra of a certain finite dimensional metabelian Lie algebra.

Finitely generated, non-artinian essential extensions of simple R -modules were studied in [8] for the case where R is a polycyclic group algebra. An example of a 1-critical module which is not compressible was found independently by Goodearl [5]. This example closely resembles our module W/V for the case $n = 1$.

We note that the bounds on Krull dimension are best possible for a prime Noetherian ring R of Krull dimension $n + 1$. For, by [8, Proposition 5.5], a finitely generated essential extension of a simple R -module can have Krull dimension at most n , while [6, Proposition 6.8] states that an $n + 1$ -critical R -module is isomorphic to a right ideal of R and so cannot have the property expressed in (ii).

A simplified version of this example (the case $n = 1$) is to appear in [2, Chapter 7]. I am very grateful for the hospitality of the University of Alberta where this work was completed.

2. The example. Let k be a field of characteristic zero and \mathcal{L} a vector space over k with basis $y, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$.

We make \mathcal{L} into a Lie algebra by defining

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i x_j] &= 0 & [x_0 y] &= x_0 \\ [x_i y] &= x_i + x_{i-1} & \text{for } i &= 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let R be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathcal{L} . Then R is a prime Noetherian ring of Krull dimension $n + 1$, by [3, §§2.3 and 3.5].

Let $I = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y-1)(x_i-1)R$ and $W = R/I$. For each non-negative integer m we set

$$v_m = (y-1)y^m + I \in W.$$

Glasgow Math. J. 23 (1982) 9-13.

Then $v_m = v_0 y^m$ and we have

$$v_0 x_i = v_0 \tag{2}$$

since $(y - 1)x_i - (y - 1) \in I$. Set $V = v_0 R$, then $v_m \in V$ for all m , and V is spanned as a vector space by $\{v_m : m \geq 0\}$.

LEMMA 1. *The R -module V is simple.*

Proof. We show by induction that

$$v_m(1 - x_0)^m = m!v_0 \tag{3}$$

Suppose that $v_m(1 - x_0)^m = m!v_0$. Then by (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} v_{m+1}(1 - x_0)^{m+1} &= v_m y(1 - x_0)^{m+1} \\ &= v_m(y - yx_0)(1 - x_0)^m \\ &= v_m(y - x_0y + x_0)(1 - x_0)^m \\ &= v_m(1 - x_0)y(1 - x_0)^m + v_mx_0(1 - x_0)^m \\ &= v_m(1 - x_0)(y - x_0y + x_0)(1 - x_0)^{m-1} + m!v_0 \\ &= v_m(1 - x_0)^2y(1 - x_0)^{m-1} + 2m!v_0 = \dots \\ &= v_m(1 - x_0)^{m+1}y + (m + 1)m!v_0 \\ &= m!v_0(1 - x_0)y + (m + 1)!v_0 \\ &= (m + 1)!v_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3) holds for all m . It follows that if $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$ then $v_0 \in vR$, so V is simple. Another easy consequence of (3) is that the v_i form a vector space basis for V . In order to state the next lemma we introduce some notation. If $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$ is an n -tuple of non-negative integers we denote by x^e the monomial

$$x_0^{e_0}x_1^{e_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}.$$

Also, let $J = (y - 1)R$. Then $J \supseteq I$ and $J/I = V$.

LEMMA 2. (i) *Let $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_r, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then the following identity holds in R .*

$$x^e y = \left(y + \sum_{i=0}^r e_i + \sum_{i=1}^r e_i x_{i-1} x_i^{-1} \right) x^e$$

(ii) *Modulo J we have*

$$x^e \left(y - 1 - \sum_{i=0}^r e_i \right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r e_i x_{i-1} x_i^{-1} x^e.$$

Here the notation x_i^{-1} is purely symbolic. Thus if $e_i = 0$ this term does not appear, while if $e_i > 0$ then $x_i^{-1}x^e = x^f$ where $f_j = e_j$ if $j \neq i$ and $f_i = e_i - 1$.

Proof. (ii) follows immediately from (i) since $(y - 1) \in J$.

(i) The defining relations (1) tell us how y may be moved to the left past any x_i and this result records how y may be moved past any monomial. We use induction on r and for a fixed r , induction on the exponent e_r .

Thus let $f_i = e_i$ if $i \neq r$ and $f_r = e_r + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x^f y &= x^e x_r y = x^e (y x_r + x_r + x_{r-1}) \\ &= \left(y + \sum_{i=0}^r e_i + \sum_{i=1}^r e_i x_{i-1} x_i^{-1} \right) x^e x_r + x^e x_r + x^e x_{r-1} \\ &= \left(y + \sum_{i=0}^r e_i + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r e_i x_{i-1} x_i^{-1} + x_{r-1} x_r^{-1} \right) x^f \\ &= \left(y + \sum_{i=0}^r f_i + \sum_{i=1}^r f_i x_{i-1} x_i^{-1} \right) x^f \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Notice that the module $R/J = W/V$ has a basis consisting of elements $x^e + J$ and it is immediate from Lemma 2 that when $(x^e + J)y$ is written as a linear combination of elements $x^f + J$, the exponent sum on each x^f is the same as on x^e .

To gain further information from Lemma 2 it is convenient to introduce an ordering on monomials x^e .

Thus we write $x^f < x^e$ if for some $i \geq 0$, $e_i - f_i > 0$ and $e_{i+1} - f_{i+1} = \dots = e_{n-1} - f_{n-1} = 0$.

Note that any collection $\{x^e\}$ of monomials has a unique element which is minimal under this ordering. Also if $\alpha = \sum \lambda_f x^f$ is a non-zero linear combination of monomials then since $\text{Supp } \alpha$ is finite there is a unique monomial in $\text{Supp } \alpha$ which is maximal under this ordering. We denote this monomial by $\max \alpha$.

Finally if α is an arbitrary element of R and $\alpha \notin J$ then α is uniquely representable in the form $\alpha \equiv \sum \lambda_f x^f \pmod{J}$ and we set $\max \alpha = \max(\sum \lambda_f x^f)$.

LEMMA 3. Suppose that $\alpha = \sum \lambda_f x^f$ and $\max \alpha = x^e$ where $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$ satisfies $e_i > 0$ for some $i \geq 1$. If

$$\beta = \alpha \left(y - 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i \right),$$

then $\beta \notin J$ and $\max \beta < \max \alpha$.

Proof. Let

$$\alpha = \sum_{x^f < x^e} \lambda_f x^f + \lambda_e x^e$$

and let i be the least integer greater than 0 with $e_i > 0$.

By Lemma 2 $\max x^e \left(y - 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i \right) = x^g$ where $g_{i-1} = e_{i-1} + 1$, $g_i = e_i - 1$ and $g_j = e_j$ for $j \neq i, i - 1$.

Since the monomials x^f are linearly independent modulo J , in order to show that $\beta \notin J$ it suffices to show that x^s cannot occur in $\text{Supp } x^f \left(y - 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i \right)$ for any $x^f < x^e$ and $x^f \in \text{Supp } \alpha$.

Notice that this can only possibly occur if $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i$ and in this case we would have $x^s = x^h$ where for some k , $h_{k-1} = f_{k-1} + 1$, $h_k = f_k - 1$, $h_l = f_l$, $l \neq k, k - 1$.

Suppose first that $k > i$. Then $f_k - 1 = e_k$ so $f_k > e_k$ and $e_{k+1} - f_{k+1} = \dots e_{n-1} - f_{n-1} = 0$. This contradicts the maximality of x^e in $\text{Supp } \alpha$.

Suppose that $k < i$. Then $f_{k-1} + 1 = e_{k-1}$, and since $k - 1 < i$ we have $e_{k-1} = 0$. Therefore $f_{k-1} = -1$, another contradiction.

Hence $k = i$, but in this case $f_{i-1} + 1 = e_{i-1} + 1$, $f_i - 1 = e_i - 1$ and $f_j = e_j$ if $j \neq i, i - 1$ and so $x^f = x^e$.

We have shown that the term x^s occurs with non-zero coefficient in β .

To see that $\max \beta < \max \alpha$ note that if $x^f < x^e$ then any element $x^s \in \text{Supp } x^f \left(y - 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i \right)$ satisfies $x^s \leq x^f$ by Lemma 2.

LEMMA 4. *The module W is an essential extension of V .*

Proof. Let T be a right ideal of R which strictly contains I . We must show that $J \subseteq T$. If T contains a non-zero element of J we are finished since J/I is simple by Lemma 1.

Hence we may assume that T contains an element $\alpha = \sum \lambda_f x^f + r$ where $r \in J$ and $\sum \lambda_f x^f \neq 0$. Among such elements α choose $\alpha \in T$ with $\max \alpha$ minimal, say

$$\alpha = \sum_{x^f < x^e} \lambda_f x^f + \lambda_e x^e + r.$$

If $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$ and $e_i > 0$ for some $i \geq 1$ then Lemma 3 immediately gives a contradiction to the minimality of $\max \alpha$.

Therefore T contains an element of the form $\lambda_0 x_0^s + \dots + \lambda_t x_0^{s+t} + r$ with $r \in J$, $\lambda_t \neq 0$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ $t \geq 0$. If t is chosen minimal then Lemma 2 gives $t = 0$.

Hence T/I contains an element $x_0^s + r + I$ where $s \geq 1$ and $r \in J$. Therefore

$$(x_0^s + r + I)(y - 1 - s) = (y - 1)x_0^s + r(y - 1 - s) + I = v_0 + r(y - 1 - s) + I \in (J/I) \cap (T/I).$$

By writing r as a linear combination of the elements v_i , it is easy to see that this is a non-zero element of V . Hence $V \cap (T/I) \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. It remains to show that W/V is n -critical and cannot be embedded in any of its proper submodules.

Let kX denote the subalgebra of R which is generated by x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} . Then the R -module $\bar{W} = W/V$ is free as a kX -module. We use induction on n to show that a non-zero R -module \bar{W} which is free as a kX -module has Krull dimension at least n .

Let $K = x_0 R$, a 2-sided ideal of R , and consider the chain $\bar{W} > \bar{W}K > \bar{W}K^2 > \dots$. For $n = 1$ this chain shows that \bar{W} has Krull dimension at least 1. Assume $n > 1$. Then

$\bar{W}K^m/\bar{W}K^{m+1}$ is a non-zero free R/K -module for each m . The ring R/K has exactly the same defining relations as R except that the parameter n has dropped to $n - 1$. (This is because $x_0 = 0$ gives $[x_1, y] = x_1$ and $[x_i, y] = x_i + x_{i-1}$ if $i > 1$.)

Therefore by induction $\bar{W}K^m/\bar{W}K^{m+1}$ has Krull dimension at least $n - 1$ and so \bar{W} has Krull dimension at least n .

If we regard W/V simply as a kX -module then W/V is free of rank one. Hence as kX is a commutative Noetherian domain of Krull dimension n , it follows that W/V is n -critical as a kX -module and hence also as an R -module.

Finally, to see that $W/V = R/J$ cannot be embedded in any proper submodule, notice that by Lemma 2, the only element of R/J which is annihilated by $y - 1$ is $1 + J$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The case $n = 1$ of Theorem 1 may be of special interest. In this case \mathcal{L} has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = kx_0 \oplus ky \quad \text{where} \quad [x_0, y] = x_0$$

and if k is algebraically closed then \mathcal{L} is an epimorphic image of any finite dimensional soluble Lie algebra which is not nilpotent [1, p. 71]. Also in this case it is easily seen that the module $W = R/(y - 1)(x_0 - 1)R$ obtained in Theorem 1 is uniserial, that is every non-zero submodule of W has a unique maximal submodule. Hence we may state

THEOREM 2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and \mathcal{L} a finite dimensional soluble Lie algebra over k which is not nilpotent. Let R be the enveloping algebra of \mathcal{L} . Then there is a finitely generated (uniserial) essential extension W of a simple R -module V such that*

- (i) W is not artinian, and
- (ii) W/V is 1-critical and cannot be embedded in any proper submodule.

REFERENCES

1. W. Bohro, P. Gabriel and R. Rentschler, Primideale in Einhüllenden auflösbarer Lie-Algebren Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 357, (Springer-Verlag, 1973).
2. A. W. Chatters and C. R. Hajarnavis, *Rings with chain conditions* (Pitman, 1980).
3. J. Dixmier, *Enveloping algebras* (North Holland, 1977).
4. A. W. Goldie, Properties of the idealiser, in *Ring theory*, Ed. R. Gordon (Academic Press, 1972).
5. K. R. Goodearl, Incompressible critical modules, *Comm. Algebra*, **8** (1980), 1845–1852.
6. R. Gordon and J. C. Robson, Krull dimension, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (1973).
7. A. V. Jategaonkar, Jacobson’s conjecture and modules over fully bounded Noetherian rings, *J. Algebra* **30** (1974), 103–121.
8. I. M. Musson, Injective modules for group rings of polycyclic groups II, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), **31** (1980), 449–466.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
 EDMONTON
 CANADA T6G 2G1.

Present address:
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON
 MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706