

Some Geometric Calculations on Wasserstein Space^{*}

John Lott

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1109, USA.
E-mail: lott@umich.edu

Received: 5 January 2007 / Accepted: 9 April 2007
Published online: 7 November 2007 – © Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract: We compute the Riemannian connection and curvature for the Wasserstein space of a smooth compact Riemannian manifold.

1. Introduction

If M is a smooth compact Riemannian manifold then the Wasserstein space $P_2(M)$ is the space of Borel probability measures on M , equipped with the Wasserstein metric W_2 . We refer to [21] for background information on Wasserstein spaces. The Wasserstein space originated in the study of optimal transport. It has had applications to PDE theory [16], metric geometry [8, 19, 20] and functional inequalities [9, 17].

Otto showed that the heat flow on measures can be considered as a gradient flow on Wasserstein space [16]. In order to do this, he introduced a certain formal Riemannian metric on the Wasserstein space. This Riemannian metric has some remarkable properties. Using O’Neill’s theorem, Otto gave a formal argument that $P_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has nonnegative sectional curvature. This was made rigorous in [8, Theorem A.8] and [19, Prop. 2.10] in the following sense: M has nonnegative sectional curvature if and only if the length space $P_2(M)$ has nonnegative Alexandrov curvature.

In this paper we study the Riemannian geometry of the Wasserstein space. In order to write meaningful expressions, we restrict ourselves to the subspace $P^\infty(M)$ of absolutely continuous measures with a smooth positive density function. The space $P^\infty(M)$ is a smooth infinite-dimensional manifold in the sense, for example, of [7]. The formal calculations that we perform can be considered as rigorous calculations on this smooth manifold, although we do not emphasize this point.

In Sect. 3 we show that if c is a smooth immersed curve in $P^\infty(M)$ then its length in $P_2(M)$, in the sense of metric geometry, equals its Riemannian length as computed with Otto’s metric. In Sect. 4 we compute the Levi-Civita connection on $P^\infty(M)$. We use it to derive the equation for parallel transport and the geodesic equation.

^{*} This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0604829.

In Sect. 5 we compute the Riemannian curvature of $P^\infty(M)$. The answer is relatively simple. As an application, if M has sectional curvatures bounded below by $r \in \mathbb{R}$, one can ask whether $P^\infty(M)$ necessarily has sectional curvatures bounded below by r . This turns out to be the case if and only if $r = 0$.

There has been recent interest in doing Hamiltonian mechanics on the Wasserstein space of a symplectic manifold [1,4,5]. In Sect. 6 we briefly describe the Poisson geometry of $P^\infty(M)$. We show that if M is a Poisson manifold then $P^\infty(M)$ has a natural Poisson structure. We also show that if M is symplectic then the symplectic leaves of the Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$ are the orbits of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, thereby making contact with [1,5]. This approach is not really new; closely related results, with applications to PDEs, were obtained quite a while ago by Alan Weinstein and collaborators [10,11,22]. However, it may be worth advertising this viewpoint.

2. Manifolds of Measures

In what follows, we use the Einstein summation convention freely.

Let M be a smooth connected closed Riemannian manifold of positive dimension. We denote the Riemannian density by dvol_M . Let $P_2(M)$ denote the space of Borel probability measures on M , equipped with the Wasserstein metric W_2 . For relevant results about optimal transport and the Wasserstein metric, we refer to [8, Sects. 1 and 2] and references therein.

Put

$$P^\infty(M) = \{\rho \text{ dvol}_M : \rho \in C^\infty(M), \rho > 0, \int_M \rho \text{ dvol}_M = 1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $P^\infty(M)$ is a dense subset of $P_2(M)$, as is the complement of $P^\infty(M)$ in $P_2(M)$. We do not claim that $P^\infty(M)$ is necessarily a totally convex subset of $P_2(M)$, i.e. that if $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in P^\infty(M)$ then the minimizing geodesic in $P_2(M)$ joining them necessarily lies in $P^\infty(M)$. However, the absolutely continuous probability measures on M do form a totally convex subset of $P_2(M)$ [12]. For the purposes of this paper, we give $P^\infty(M)$ the smooth topology. (This differs from the subspace topology on $P^\infty(M)$ coming from its inclusion in $P_2(M)$.) Then $P^\infty(M)$ has the structure of an infinite-dimensional smooth manifold in the sense of [7]. The formal calculations in this paper can be rigorously justified as being calculations on the smooth manifold $P^\infty(M)$. However, we will not belabor this point.

Given $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, define $F_\phi \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$ by

$$F_\phi(\rho \text{ dvol}_M) = \int_M \phi \rho \text{ dvol}_M. \tag{2.2}$$

This gives an injection $P^\infty(M) \rightarrow (C^\infty(M))^*$, i.e. the functions F_ϕ separate points in $P^\infty(M)$. We will think of the functions F_ϕ as “coordinates” on $P^\infty(M)$.

Given $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, define a vector field V_ϕ on $P^\infty(M)$ by saying that for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$,

$$(V_\phi F)(\rho \text{ dvol}_M) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} F \left(\rho \text{ dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi) \text{ dvol}_M \right). \tag{2.3}$$

The map $\phi \rightarrow V_\phi$ passes to an isomorphism $C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_{\rho \, \text{dvol}_M} P^\infty(M)$. This parametrization of $T_{\rho \, \text{dvol}_M} P^\infty(M)$ goes back to Otto’s paper [16]; see [2] for further discussion. Otto’s Riemannian metric on $P^\infty(M)$ is given [16] by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= \int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\ &= - \int_M \phi_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In view of (2.3), we write $\delta_{V_\phi} \rho = -\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi)$. Then

$$\langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \int_M \phi_1 \delta_{V_{\phi_2}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \int_M \phi_2 \delta_{V_{\phi_1}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M. \tag{2.5}$$

In terms of the weighted L^2 -spaces $L^2(M, \rho \, \text{dvol}_M)$ and $\Omega_{L^2}^1(M, \rho \, \text{dvol}_M)$, let d be the usual differential on functions and let d_ρ^* be its formal adjoint. Then (2.4) can be written as

$$\langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \int_M \langle d\phi_1, d\phi_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \int_M \phi_1 d_\rho^* d\phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M. \tag{2.6}$$

We now relate the function F_ϕ and the vector field V_ϕ .

Lemma 1. *The gradient of F_ϕ is V_ϕ .*

Proof. Letting $\bar{\nabla} F_\phi$ denote the gradient of F_ϕ , for all $\phi' \in C^\infty(M)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\nabla} F_\phi, V_{\phi'} \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= \langle V_{\phi'} F_\phi \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = - \int_M \phi \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi') \, \text{dvol}_M \\ &= \langle V_\phi, V_{\phi'} \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

3. Lengths of Curves

In this section we relate the Riemannian metric (2.4) to the Wasserstein metric. One such relation was given in [17], where it was heuristically shown that the geodesic distance coming from (2.4) equals the Wasserstein metric. To give a rigorous relation, we recall that a curve $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow P_2(M)$ has a length given by

$$L(c) = \sup_{J \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0=t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_J=1} \sum_{j=1}^J W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j)). \tag{3.1}$$

From the triangle inequality, the expression $\sum_{j=1}^J W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))$ is nondecreasing under a refinement of the partition $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_J = 1$.

If $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow P^\infty(M)$ is a smooth curve in $P^\infty(M)$ then we write $c(t) = \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M$ and let $\phi(t)$ satisfy $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi)$, where we normalize ϕ by requiring for example that $\int_M \phi \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 0$. If c is immersed then $\nabla \phi(t) \neq 0$. The Riemannian length of c , as computed using (2.4), is

$$\int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \int_0^1 \left(\int_M |\nabla \phi(t)|^2(m) \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \tag{3.2}$$

The next proposition says that this equals the length of c in the metric sense.

Proposition 1. *If $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow P^\infty(M)$ is a smooth immersed curve then its length $L(c)$ in the Wasserstein space $P_2(M)$ satisfies*

$$L(c) = \int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. We can parametrize c so that $\int_M |\nabla\phi(t)|^2 \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M$ is a constant $C > 0$ with respect to t .

Let $\{S_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of M given by

$$\frac{\partial S_t(m)}{\partial t} = (\nabla\phi(t))(S_t(m)) \tag{3.4}$$

with $S_0(m) = m$. Then $c(t) = (S_t)_*(\rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M)$.

Given a partition $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_J = 1$ of $[0, 1]$, a particular transference plan from $c(t_{j-1})$ to $c(t_j)$ comes from the Monge transport $S_{t_j} \circ S_{t_{j-1}}^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))^2 &\leq \int_M d(m, S_{t_j}(S_{t_{j-1}}^{-1}(m)))^2 \rho(t_{j-1}) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= \int_M d(S_{t_{j-1}}(m), S_{t_j}(m))^2 \rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &\leq \int_M \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |\nabla\phi(t)|(S_t(m)) \, dt \right)^2 \rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &\leq (t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_M \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |\nabla\phi(t)|^2(S_t(m)) \, dt \rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= (t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla\phi(t)|^2(m) \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j)) &\leq (t_j - t_{j-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla\phi(t)|^2(m) \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (t_j - t_{j-1}) \left(\int_M |\nabla\phi(t'_j)|^2(m) \rho(t'_j) \, d\text{vol}_M \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for some $t'_j \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$. It follows that

$$L(c) \leq \int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \tag{3.7}$$

Next, from [8, Lemma A.1],

$$\begin{aligned} &(t_j - t_{j-1}) \left| \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_j) \, d\text{vol}_M - \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_{j-1}) \, d\text{vol}_M \right|^2 \\ &\leq W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))^2 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla\phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ is the Wasserstein geodesic between $c(t_{j-1})$ and $c(t_j)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_j) \, \text{dvol}_M - \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_{j-1}) \, \text{dvol}_M \\ &= - \int_M \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \phi(t_{j-1}) \nabla^i (\rho(t) \nabla_i \phi(t)) \, dt \, \text{dvol}_M \\ &= \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

so (3.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (t_j - t_{j-1}) \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M \, dt \right)^2 \\ & \leq W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))^2 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t \, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Thus

$$L(c) \geq \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M \, dt}{\frac{t_j - t_{j-1}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t \, dt}}} (t_j - t_{j-1}). \tag{3.11}$$

As the partition of $[0, 1]$ becomes finer, the term $\frac{\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M \, dt}{t_j - t_{j-1}}$ uniformly approaches the constant C .

The Wasserstein geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ has the form $\mu_t = (F_t)_* \mu_{t_{j-1}}$ for measurable maps $F_t : M \rightarrow M$ with $F_{t_{j-1}} = \text{Id}$ [12]. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t \, dt - C \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left(\int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t - \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_{t_{j-1}} \right) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \left(|\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \circ F_t - |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \right) \, d\mu_{t_{j-1}} \, dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M d(m, F_t(m)) \, d\mu_{t_{j-1}}(m) \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \sqrt{\int_M d(m, F_t(m))^2 \, d\mu_{t_{j-1}}(m)} \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} W_2(\mu_{t_{j-1}}, \mu_t) \, dt \\ &\leq \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Now continuity of a 1-parameter family of smooth measures in the smooth topology implies continuity in the weak- $*$ topology, which is metricized by W_2 (as M is compact). It follows that as the partition of $[0, 1]$ becomes finer, the term $\frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 d\mu_t dt$ uniformly approaches the constant C . Thus from (3.11),

$$L(c) \geq \sqrt{C} = \int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \tag{3.13}$$

This proves the proposition. \square

Remark 1. Let X be a finite-dimensional Alexandrov space and let R be its set of nonsingular points. There is a continuous Riemannian metric g on R so that lengths of curves in R can be computed using g [15]. (Note that in general, R and $X - R$ are dense in X .) This is somewhat similar to the situation for $P^\infty(M) \subset P_2(M)$.

In fact, there is an open dense subset $O \subset X$ with a Lipschitz manifold structure and a Riemannian metric of bounded variation that extends g [18]. We do not know if there is a Riemannian manifold structure, in some appropriate sense, on an open dense subset of $P_2(M)$. Other approaches to geometrizing $P_2(M)$, with a view toward gradient flow, are in [2,3]; see also [14].

4. Levi-Civita Connection, Parallel Transport and Geodesics

In this section we compute the Levi-Civita connection of $P^\infty(M)$. We derive the formula for parallel transport in $P^\infty(M)$ and the geodesic equation for $P^\infty(M)$.

We first compute commutators of our canonical vector fields $\{V_\phi\}_{\phi \in C^\infty(M)}$.

Lemma 2. *Given $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, the commutator $[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} & ([V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]F) (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F \left(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla_i \left[\rho \left((\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1 - (\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2 \right) \right] \text{dvol}_M \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & ([V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]F) (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = (V_{\phi_1}(V_{\phi_2}F)) (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) - (V_{\phi_2}(V_{\phi_1}F)) (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon_1} \Big|_{\epsilon_1=0} (V_{\phi_2}F) \left(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{d\epsilon_2} \Big|_{\epsilon_2=0} (V_{\phi_1}F) \left(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon_2 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon_1} \Big|_{\epsilon_1=0} \frac{d}{d\epsilon_2} \Big|_{\epsilon_2=0} F \left((\rho - \epsilon_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1)) \, \text{dvol}_M \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \epsilon_2 \nabla^j ((\rho - \epsilon_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1)) \nabla_j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d\epsilon_2} \Big|_{\epsilon_2=0} \frac{d}{d\epsilon_1} \Big|_{\epsilon_1=0} F \left((\rho - \epsilon_2 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2)) \, \text{dvol}_M \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \epsilon_1 \nabla^j ((\rho - \epsilon_2 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2)) \nabla_j \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F \left(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \epsilon \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

One can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2) - \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1) = \\
& \quad - \nabla_i \left[\rho \left((\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1 - (\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2 \right) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

from which the lemma follows. \square

We now compute the Levi-Civita connection.

Proposition 2. *The Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of $P^\infty(M)$ is given by*

$$((\bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2})F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F \left(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla_i \left(\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2 \right) \, \text{dvol}_M \right) \tag{4.4}$$

for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$.

Proof. Define a vector field $D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}$ by

$$((D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2})F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F \left(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla_i \left(\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2 \right) \, \text{dvol}_M \right) \tag{4.5}$$

for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$. We also write

$$\delta_{D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}} \rho = - \nabla_i \left(\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2 \right). \tag{4.6}$$

It is clear from Lemma 2 that

$$D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2} - D_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_1} = [V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]. \tag{4.7}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
(V_{\phi_1} \langle V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= - \int_M \nabla^i \phi_2 \nabla_i \phi_3 \nabla^j (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= \int_M \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2 \nabla_i \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&\quad + \int_M \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_i \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= - \int_M \phi_3 \nabla_i (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&\quad - \int_M \phi_2 \nabla_i (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3) \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= \int_M \phi_3 \delta_{D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \int_M \phi_2 \delta_{D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= \langle D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) + \langle V_{\phi_2}, D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3} \rangle(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Thus

$$V_{\phi_1} \langle V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle = \langle D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle + \langle V_{\phi_2}, D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3} \rangle. \tag{4.9}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle &= V_{\phi_1} \langle V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle + V_{\phi_2} \langle V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_1} \rangle - V_{\phi_3} \langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle V_{\phi_3}, [V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}] \rangle - \langle V_{\phi_2}, [V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_3}] \rangle - \langle V_{\phi_1}, [V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3}] \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

substituting (4.7) and (4.9) into the right-hand side of (4.10) shows that

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle = \langle D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle \tag{4.11}$$

for all $\phi_3 \in C^\infty(M)$. The proposition follows. \square

Lemma 3. *The connection coefficients at $\rho \operatorname{dvol}_M$ are given by*

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle = \int_M \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2 \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. This follows from (2.5) and (4.4). \square

Let G_ρ be the Green’s operator for d_ρ^*d on $L^2(M, \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M)$. (More explicitly, if $\int_M f \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M = 0$ and $\phi = G_\rho f$ then ϕ satisfies $-\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi) = f$ and $\int_M \phi \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M = 0$, while $G_\rho 1 = 0$.) Let Π_ρ denote orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{Im}(d) \subset \Omega_{L^2}^1(M, \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M)$.

Lemma 4. *At $\rho \operatorname{dvol}_M$, we have $\bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2} = V_\phi$, where $\phi = G_\rho d_\rho^*(\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 dx^i)$.*

Proof. Given $\phi_3 \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_{\phi_3}, V_\phi \rangle (\rho \operatorname{dvol}_M) &= \int_M \langle d\phi_3, dG_\rho d_\rho^*(\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 dx^i) \rangle \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M \\ &= \int_M \langle d\phi_3, \Pi_\rho(\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 dx^i) \rangle \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M \\ &= \int_M \langle d\phi_3, \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 dx^i \rangle \rho \operatorname{dvol}_M \\ &= \langle V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2} \rangle (\rho \operatorname{dvol}_M). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The lemma follows. \square

To derive the equation for parallel transport, let $c : (a, b) \rightarrow P^\infty(M)$ be a smooth curve. As before, we write $c(t) = \rho(t) \operatorname{dvol}_M$ and define $\phi(t) \in C^\infty(M)$, up to a constant, by $\frac{dc}{dt} = V_{\phi(t)}$. Let $V_{\eta(t)}$ be a vector field along c , with $\eta(t) \in C^\infty(M)$. If $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^\infty$ is a basis for $C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R}$ then $\{V_{\phi_\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^\infty$ is a global basis for $TP^\infty(M)$ and we can write $\eta(t) = \sum_\alpha \eta_\alpha(t) V_{\phi_\alpha} |_{c(t)}$. The condition for V_η to be parallel along c is

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{d\eta_\alpha}{dt} V_{\phi_\alpha} \Big|_{c(t)} + \sum_\alpha \eta_\alpha(t) \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi(t)}} V_{\eta_\alpha} \Big|_{c(t)} = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

or

$$V_{\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}} + \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi(t)}} V_{\eta(t)} = 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Proposition 3. *The equation for V_η to be parallel along c is*

$$\nabla_i \left(\rho \left(\nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \nabla_j \phi \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta \right) \right) = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. This follows from (2.3), (4.4) and (4.15). \square

As a check on Eq. (4.16), we show that parallel transport along c preserves the inner product.

Lemma 5. *If V_{η_1} and V_{η_2} are parallel vector fields along c then $\int_M \langle \nabla \eta_1, \nabla \eta_2 \rangle \rho \, d\text{vol}_M$ is constant in t .*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle \nabla \eta_1, \nabla \eta_2 \rangle \rho \, d\text{vol}_M &= \int_M \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial t} \nabla_i \eta_2 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + \int_M \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial t} \rho \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &\quad - \int_M \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \eta_2 \nabla^j (\rho \nabla_j \phi) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= \int_M \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial t} \nabla_i \eta_2 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + \int_M \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial t} \rho \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &\quad + \int_M \left(\nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_1 \nabla_i \eta_2 + \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_2 \right) \nabla_j \phi \rho \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= - \int_M \eta_2 \nabla_i \left(\rho \left(\nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial t} + \nabla_j \phi \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_1 \right) \right) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &\quad - \int_M \eta_1 \nabla_i \left(\rho \left(\nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial t} + \nabla_j \phi \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_2 \right) \right) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Finally, we derive the geodesic equation.

Proposition 4. *The geodesic equation for c is*

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

modulo the addition of a spatially-constant function to ϕ .

Proof. Taking $\eta = \phi$ in (4.16) gives

$$\nabla_i \left(\rho \nabla^i \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) \right) = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Thus $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2$ is spatially constant. Redefining ϕ by adding to it a function of t alone, we can assume that (4.18) holds. \square

Remark 2. Equation (4.18) has been known for a while, at least in the case of \mathbb{R}^n , to be the formal equation for Wasserstein geodesics. For general Riemannian manifolds M , it was formally derived as the Wasserstein geodesic equation in [17] by minimizing lengths of curves. For $t > 0$, it has the Hopf-Lax solution

$$\phi(t, m) = \inf_{m' \in M} \left(\phi(0, m') + \frac{d(m, m')^2}{2t} \right). \tag{4.20}$$

Given $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in P^\infty(M)$, it is known that there is a unique minimizing Wasserstein geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ joining them. It is of the form $\mu_t = (F_t)_* \mu_0$, where $F_t \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is given by $F_t(m) = \exp_m(-t \nabla_m \phi_0)$ for an appropriate Lipschitz function ϕ_0 [12]. If ϕ_0 happens to be smooth then defining $\rho(t)$ by $\mu_t = \rho(t) \text{dvol}_M$ and defining $\phi(t) \in C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R}$ as above, it is known that ϕ satisfies (4.18), with $\phi(0) = \phi_0$ [21, Sect. 5.4.7]. In this way, (4.18) rigorously describes certain geodesics in the Wasserstein space $P_2(M)$.

5. Curvature

In this section we compute the Riemannian curvature tensor of $P^\infty(M)$.

Given $\phi, \phi' \in C^\infty(M)$, define $T_{\phi\phi'} \in \Omega^1_{L^2}(M)$ by

$$T_{\phi\phi'} = (I - \Pi_\rho) \left(\nabla^i \phi \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi' dx^j \right). \tag{5.1}$$

(The left-hand side depends on ρ , but we suppress this for simplicity of notation.)

Lemma 6. $T_{\phi\phi'} + T_{\phi'\phi} = 0$.

Proof. As

$$\nabla^i \phi \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi' dx^j + \nabla^i \phi' \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi dx^j = d\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi' \rangle, \tag{5.2}$$

and $I - \Pi_\rho$ projects away from $\text{Im}(d)$, the lemma follows. \square

Theorem 1. Given $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4 \in C^\infty(M)$, the Riemannian curvature operator \bar{R} of $P^\infty(M)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2})V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= \int_M \langle R(\nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2)\nabla \phi_3, \nabla \phi_4 \rangle \rho \text{dvol}_M - 2\langle T_{\phi_1\phi_2}, T_{\phi_3\phi_4} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T_{\phi_2\phi_3}, T_{\phi_1\phi_4} \rangle - \langle T_{\phi_1\phi_3}, T_{\phi_2\phi_4} \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where both sides are evaluated at $\rho \text{dvol}_M \in P^\infty(M)$.

Proof. We use the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2})V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= V_{\phi_1} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle \\ &\quad - V_{\phi_2} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

First, from (2.3) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\phi_1} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= - \int_M \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla^k (\rho \nabla_k \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &= \int_M \nabla^k \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_1 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &\quad + \int_M \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla^k \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_1 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &\quad + \int_M \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^k \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_1 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M. \tag{5.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\phi_2} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= \int_M \nabla^k \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &\quad + \int_M \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla^k \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &\quad + \int_M \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^k \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M. \tag{5.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (2.4), Lemma 4 and (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= \langle dG_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i), dG_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_k \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \, dx^k) \rangle_{L^2} \\
 &= \langle \Pi_\rho (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i), \Pi_\rho (\nabla_k \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \, dx^k) \rangle_{L^2} \\
 &= \langle \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i, \nabla_k \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \, dx^k \rangle_{L^2} - \langle T_{\phi_2 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_1 \phi_4} \rangle \\
 &= \int_M \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \nabla^i \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \langle T_{\phi_2 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_1 \phi_4} \rangle. \tag{5.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle = \int_M \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_2 \phi_4} \rangle. \tag{5.8}$$

Finally, we compute $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle$. From (4.1), we can write $[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}] = V_\phi$, where

$$\phi = G_\rho d_\rho^* \left(\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i \right). \tag{5.9}$$

Then from (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= \int_M \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \langle d\phi, \nabla^j \phi_4 \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \, dx^i \rangle_{L^2} \\
 &= \langle dG_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i), \\
 &\quad \nabla^j \phi_4 \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \, dx^i \rangle_{L^2} \\
 &= \langle \Pi_\rho \left(\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i \right), \\
 &\quad \Pi_\rho \left(\nabla^j \phi_4 \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \, dx^i \right) \rangle_{L^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_M \left(\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \right) \nabla_k \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^k \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &\quad - \langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_2}, T_{\phi_4 \phi_3} \rangle + \langle T_{\phi_2 \phi_1}, T_{\phi_4 \phi_3} \rangle \\
 &= \int_M \left(\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \right) \nabla_k \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^k \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &\quad + 2 \langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_2}, T_{\phi_3 \phi_4} \rangle. \tag{5.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows from combining Eqs. (5.4)-(5.10). \square

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfy $\int_M |\nabla \phi_1|^2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \int_M |\nabla \phi_2|^2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 1$ and $\int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 0$. Then the sectional curvature at $\rho \, \text{dvol}_M \in P^\infty(M)$ of the 2-plane spanned by V_{ϕ_1} and V_{ϕ_2} is*

$$\overline{K}(V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}) = \int_M K(\nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2) \left(|\nabla \phi_1|^2 |\nabla \phi_2|^2 - \langle \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2 \rangle^2 \right) \rho \, \text{dvol}_M + 3 |T_{\phi_1 \phi_2}|^2, \tag{5.11}$$

where $K(\nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2)$ denotes the sectional curvature of the 2-plane spanned by $\nabla \phi_1$ and $\nabla \phi_2$.

Corollary 2. *If M has nonnegative sectional curvature then $P^\infty(M)$ has nonnegative sectional curvature.*

Remark 3. One can ask whether the condition of M having sectional curvature bounded below by $r \in \mathbb{R}$ implies that $P^\infty(M)$ has sectional curvature bounded below by r . This is not the case unless $r = 0$. The reason is one of normalizations. The normalizations on ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are $\int_M |\nabla \phi_1|^2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \int_M |\nabla \phi_2|^2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 1$ and $\int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 0$. One cannot conclude from this that $\int_M (|\nabla \phi_1|^2 |\nabla \phi_2|^2 - \langle \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2 \rangle^2) \rho \, \text{dvol}_M$ is ≥ 1 or ≤ 1 .

More generally, if M has nonnegative sectional curvature then $P_2(M)$ is an Alexandrov space with nonnegative curvature [8, Theorem A.8], [19, Prop. 2.10(iv)]. On the other hand, if M does not have nonnegative sectional curvature then one sees by an explicit construction that $P_2(M)$ is not an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below [19, Prop. 2.10(iv)].

Remark 4. The formula (5.3) has the structure of the O’Neill formula for the sectional curvature of the base space of a Riemannian submersion. In the case $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, Otto argued that $P^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is formally the quotient space of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with an L^2 -metric, by the subgroup that preserves a fixed volume form [16]. As $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is formally flat, it followed that $P^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ formally had nonnegative sectional curvature.

6. Poisson Structure

Let M be a smooth connected closed manifold. We do not give it a Riemannian metric. In this section we describe a natural Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$ arising from a Poisson structure on M . If M is a symplectic manifold then we show that the symplectic leaves in $P^\infty(M)$ are orbits of the action of the group $\text{Ham}(M)$ of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acting on $P^\infty(M)$. We recover the symplectic structure on the orbits that was considered in [1, 5].

Let M be a smooth manifold and let $p \in C^\infty(\wedge^2 TM)$ be a skew bivector field. Given $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, one defines the Poisson bracket $\{f_1, f_2\} \in C^\infty(M)$ by $\{f_1, f_2\} =$

$p(df_1 \otimes df_2)$. There is a skew trivector field $\partial p \in C^\infty(\wedge^3 TM)$ so that for $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$(\partial p)(df_1, df_2, df_3) = \{\{f_1, f_2\}, f_3\} + \{\{f_2, f_3\}, f_1\} + \{\{f_3, f_1\}, f_2\}. \tag{6.1}$$

One says that p defines a Poisson structure on M if $\partial p = 0$. We assume hereafter that p is a Poisson structure on M .

Definition 1 Define a skew bivector field $P \in C^\infty(\wedge^2 TP^\infty(M))$ by saying that its Poisson bracket is $\{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\} = F_{\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}}$, i.e.

$$\{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\}(\mu) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu \tag{6.2}$$

for $\mu \in P^\infty(M)$.

The map $\phi \rightarrow dF_\phi|_\mu$ passes to an isomorphism $C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_\mu^*P^\infty(M)$. As the right-hand side of (6.2) vanishes if ϕ_1 or ϕ_2 is constant, Eq. (6.2) does define an element of $C^\infty(\wedge^2 TP^\infty(M))$.

Proposition 5. P is a Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that ∂P vanishes. This follows from the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial P)(dF_{\phi_1}, dF_{\phi_2}, dF_{\phi_3}) &= \{\{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\}, F_{\phi_3}\} + \{\{F_{\phi_2}, F_{\phi_3}\}, F_{\phi_1}\} + \{\{F_{\phi_3}, F_{\phi_1}\}, F_{\phi_2}\} \\ &= F_{\{\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}, \phi_3\}} + \{\{\phi_2, \phi_3\}, \phi_1\} + \{\{\phi_3, \phi_1\}, \phi_2\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

□

A finite-dimensional Poisson manifold has a (possibly singular) foliation with symplectic leaves [6]. The leafwise tangent vector fields are spanned by the vector fields W_f defined by $W_f h = \{f, h\}$. The symplectic form Ω on a leaf is given by saying that $\Omega(W_f, W_g) = \{f, g\}$.

Suppose now that (M, ω) is a closed $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold. Let $\text{Ham}(M)$ be the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of M [13, Chap. 3.1].

Proposition 6. The symplectic leaves of $P^\infty(M)$ are the orbits of the action of $\text{Ham}(M)$ on $P^\infty(M)$. Given $\mu \in P^\infty(M)$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, let $\widehat{H}_{\phi_1}, \widehat{H}_{\phi_2} \in T_\mu P^\infty(M)$ be the infinitesimal motions of μ under the flows generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields H_{ϕ_1}, H_{ϕ_2} on M . Then $\Omega(\widehat{H}_{\phi_1}, \widehat{H}_{\phi_2}) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu$.

Proof. Write $\mu = \rho \omega^n$. We claim that $(W_{F_\phi} \widehat{F})(\mu) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} \widehat{F}(\mu - \epsilon \{\phi, \rho\} \omega^n)$ for $\widehat{F} \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$. To show this, it is enough to check it for each $\widehat{F} = F_{\phi'}$, with $\phi' \in C^\infty(M)$. But

$$(W_{F_\phi} F_{\phi'}) (\mu) = F_{\{\phi, \phi'\}}(\mu) = \int_M \{\phi, \phi'\} \rho \omega^n = - \int_M \phi' \{\phi, \rho\} \omega^n, \tag{6.4}$$

from which the claim follows. This shows that $W_{F_\phi} = \widehat{H}_\phi$.

Next, at $\mu \in P^\infty(M)$ we have

$$\Omega(\widehat{H}_{\phi_1}, \widehat{H}_{\phi_2}) = \Omega(W_{F_{\phi_1}}, W_{F_{\phi_2}}) = \{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\}(\mu) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu. \tag{6.5}$$

This proves the proposition. □

Remark 5. As a check on Proposition 6, suppose that $\phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ is such that \widehat{H}_{ϕ_2} vanishes at $\mu = \rho \omega^n$. Then $\{\phi_2, \rho\} = 0$, so by our formula we have

$$\Omega(\widehat{H}_{\phi_1}, \widehat{H}_{\phi_2}) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \rho \omega^n = \int_M \phi_1 \{\phi_2, \rho\} \omega^n = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

Remark 6. The Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$ is the restriction of the Poisson structure on $(C^\infty(M))^*$ considered in [10, 11, 22]. Here the Poisson structure on $(C^\infty(M))^*$ comes from the general construction of a Poisson structure on the dual of a Lie algebra, considering $C^\infty(M)$ to be a Lie algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(M)$. The cited papers use the Poisson structure on $(C^\infty(M))^*$ to show that certain PDE's are Hamiltonian flows.

Acknowledgements. I thank Wilfrid Gangbo, Tommaso Pacini and Alan Weinstein for telling me of their work. I thank Cédric Villani for helpful discussions and the referee for helpful remarks.

References

1. Ambrosio, L., Gangbo, W.: *Hamiltonian ODE's in the Wasserstein space of probability measures*. to appear, Comm. Pure Applied Math., DOI: 10.1002/cpa.20188 <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~gangbo/publications/>
2. Ambrosio, L., Gigli, N., Savarè, G.: *Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Basel: Birkhäuser, 2005
3. Carrillo, J.A., McCann, R.J., Villani, C.: Contractions in the 2-Wasserstein length space and thermalization of granular media. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **179**, 217–263 (2006)
4. Gangbo, W., Nguyen, T., Tudorascu, A.: *Euler-Poisson systems as action-minimizing paths in the Wasserstein space*. Preprint, 2006
5. Gangbo, W., Pacini, T.: Infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems in terms of the Wasserstein distance. Work in progress
6. Kirillov, A.: Local Lie algebras. Usp. Mat. Nauk **31**, 57–76 (1976)
7. Kriegl, A., Michor, P.: *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **53**, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1997
8. Lott, J., Villani, C.: *Ricci curvature for metric-measure space via optimal transport*. To appear, Ann. of Math., available at <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.DG/0412127>, 2004
9. Lott, J., Villani, C.: Weak curvature conditions and functional inequalities. J. of Funct. Anal. **245**, 311–333 (2007)
10. Marsden, J., Weinstein, A.: The Hamiltonian structure of the Maxwell-Vlasov equations. Phys. D **4**, 394–406 (1982)
11. Marsden, J., Ratiu, T., Schmid, R., Spencer, R., Weinstein, A.: Hamiltonian systems with symmetry, coadjoint orbits and plasma physics. In: *Proceedings of the IUTAM-ISIMM symposium on modern developments in analytical mechanics*, vol. I (Torino, 1982), Atti Accad. Sci. Torino Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. **117**, suppl. 1, 289–340 (1983)
12. McCann, R.: Polar factorization of maps on Riemannian manifolds. Geom. Funct. Anal. **11**, 589–608 (2001)
13. McDuff, D., Salamon, D.: *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*. Second edition, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, New York: The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 1998
14. Ohta, S.: *Gradient flows on Wasserstein spaces over compact Alexandrov spaces*. Preprint, <http://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~sohta/>, 2006
15. Otsu, Y., Shioya, T.: The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces. J. Diff. Geom. **39**, 629–658 (1994)
16. Otto, F.: The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **26**, 101–174 (2001)
17. Otto, F., Villani, C.: Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand, and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. J. Funct. Anal. **173**, 361–400 (2000)
18. Perelman, G.: DC structure on Alexandrov space. Unpublished preprint
19. Sturm, K.-T.: On the geometry of metric measure spaces I. Acta Math. **196**, 65–131 (2006)
20. Sturm, K.-T.: On the geometry of metric measure spaces II. Acta Math. **196**, 133–177 (2006)

21. Villani, C.: *Topics in Optimal Transportation*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **58**, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2003
22. Weinstein, A.: Hamiltonian structure for drift waves and geostrophic flow. *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 388–390 (1983)

Communicated by P. Constantin