

# SOME NATURAL FAMILIES OF $M$ -IDEALS

GILLES GODEFROY and DANIEL LI

## Abstract.

We characterize the subspaces of  $L^1$  and the translation-invariant subspaces of  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  which are duals of  $M$ -ideals, and we describe their  $M$ -ideal predual. We show that there is a separable dual which is  $L$ -complemented in its bidual but is not the dual of an  $M$ -ideal. We show that a separable  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -space which is isomorphic to an  $M$ -ideal is actually isomorphic to  $c_0(N)$ .

## 0. Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. An  $L$ -projection  $p$  is a linear map from  $X$  to  $X$  such that  $p^2 = p$  and

$$(1) \quad \|x\| = \|p(x)\| + \|x - p(x)\|$$

for every  $x \in X$ . A subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  is called an  $M$ -ideal in  $X$  if there is an  $L$ -projection from  $X^*$  onto the orthogonal  $Y^\perp$  of  $X$  in  $X^*$ . Since these notions were introduced by Alfsen and Effros in 1972 [1], they have attracted a lot of attention; of particular importance is the class of Banach spaces which are  $M$ -ideals in their bidual; in the present work, such spaces will simply be called  $M$ -ideals.

These spaces form a very rich family. Although some significant progress has been recently made in the understanding of their structure (see e.g. [2], [13], [6], [9], [10]), it looks hopeless to classify them or to give a complete description of the class. In the present work, we will investigate some natural subfamilies in which positive results are available. We will frequently work in a dual way; that is, we will determine when there exists an  $L$ -projection from the bidual onto a space whose kernel is  $w^*$ -closed.

Let us briefly describe the contents of this article. In section I, we characterize the subspaces of  $L^1$  which are duals of an  $M$ -ideal and we describe the  $M$ -ideal predual; our characterization involves the topology of convergence in measure. Section II deals with the corresponding translation-invariant results; we characterize there the  $L^1_A$  and  $\mathcal{M}_A$ -spaces which are duals of  $M$ -ideals and the quotient

spaces of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ , by translation-invariant subspaces, which are  $M$ -ideals. Section III is devoted to the construction of an example which uses the results of section II. Through harmonic analysis, we construct a separable dual space  $Y$  which is  $L$ -complemented in its bidual, but whose natural predual is not "what you would expect"; that is,  $Y$  is not the dual of an  $M$ -ideal. This example could be considered as an analogue within  $M$ -structure theory of a Banach lattice constructed by  $M$ . Talagrand [23]. In section IV we use different techniques for showing that a separable  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -space which can be renormed into an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual is isomorphic to  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ ; this result is an isomorphic version of a result of A. Lima [16] and implies a non-commutative version of a result of [14].

NOTATION. The closed unit ball of a Banach space  $X$  is denoted by  $X_1$ . The measure spaces  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  we consider are always standard measurable spaces equipped with a positive finite measure  $\mu$ . Most of the time, the space  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  will be denoted simply by  $L^1$ . The Radon-Nikodym theorem provides us with an  $L$ -projection from  $L^{1**}$  onto  $L^1$ ; this  $L$ -projection is denoted by  $\pi$ , and its kernel by  $L_s^1$ . The topology of convergence in measure is defined on  $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  by the metric

$$d(f, g) = \int_{\Omega} |f - g| (1 + |f - g|)^{-1} d\mu;$$

we denote by  $L^0$  the corresponding topology. If  $X$  is a subspace of  $L^1$ , we denote by  $X^*$  the space of linear forms on  $X$  whose restriction to the unit ball  $X_1$  of  $X$  is  $L^0$ -continuous.

If  $Z$  is a subspace of a dual Banach space  $Y^*$ ,  $Z^T$  denotes the orthogonal of  $Z$  in  $Y$ .

## I. Subspaces of $L^1$ which are duals of $M$ -ideals.

We start with two simple lemmas, which are both special instances of general results about weakly sequentially complete Banach lattices.

LEMMA I.1. *Let  $\{f_n | n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence in  $L^1(\Omega, \mu)$  which converges to zero  $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Then every  $w^*$ -cluster point  $z$  to the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  belongs to the singular part  $L_s^1$  of  $L^{1**}$ .*

PROOF. We write  $z = f + v$ , with  $f \in L^1$  and  $v \in L_s^1$ . If  $f \neq 0$ , there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \mu\{|f| > \varepsilon\} \geq \varepsilon$$

Since  $\{f_n\}$  converges to zero  $\mu$  a.e. there is  $N \geq 1$  such that

$$(2) \quad \mu(\Omega \setminus A) \leq \varepsilon/2$$

where we set

$$(3) \quad A = \bigcap_{n \geq N} \{|f_n| \leq \varepsilon/2\}.$$

By (1) and (2) we have

$$(4) \quad \mu(A \cap \{|f| > \varepsilon\}) \geq \varepsilon/2.$$

We define  $p_A: L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega)$  by  $p_A(g) = g \cdot 1_A$ . If  $\pi$  denotes as usual the canonical projection from  $L^{1**}$  onto  $L^1$ , one has  $\pi p_A^{**} = p_A^{**} \pi$  and in particular

$$p_A^{**}(\pi(z)) = p_A(f) = \pi(p_A^{**}(z)).$$

Since  $p_A^{**}$  is  $w^*$ -continuous,  $p_A^{**}(z)$  belongs to the  $w^*$ -closure of the sequence  $\{p_A^{**}(f_n) \mid n \geq N\}$ . Since the set

$$K = \{g \in L^1(A, \mu) \mid |g| \leq \varepsilon/2\}$$

is weakly compact, we have by (3) that  $p_A^{**}(z)$  belongs to  $K$  and thus

$$p_A(f) = \pi(p_A^{**}(z)) = p_A^{**}(z) \in K$$

but this contradicts (4) and concludes the proof.

Before stating our next lemma, let us introduce a useful notation: if  $X$  is a subspace of  $L^1$ , we denote by  $X^\#$  the vector space of linear forms on  $X$  whose restriction to  $X_1$  is  $L^0$ -continuous.  $X^\#$  is clearly a norm-closed linear subspace of  $X^*$ . The space  $X^\#$  can be controlled by the following lemma.

LEMMA I.2. *For every subspace  $X$  of  $L^1$ , one has*

$$X^\# = (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T.$$

PROOF. Let  $y$  be in  $(X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T$  and suppose that  $y \notin X^\#$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X_1$  which converges to 0 in measure and such that  $y(x_n)$  does not converge to 0. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n &= 0 \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(x_n) &= \lambda \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded we may pick a  $w^*$ -cluster point  $z$  to  $\{x_n\}$ ; by I.1  $z$  belongs to  $L_s^1$  and clearly  $z \in X^{\perp\perp}$ ; hence  $z \in L_s^1 \cap X^{\perp\perp}$  and  $z(y) = 0$ ; but on the other hand

$$z(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(x_n) = \lambda \neq 0$$

and this contradiction shows that  $(X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T \subset X^\#$ .

Take now  $y \in X^*$  and  $z \in X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_\sigma$ . Let  $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$  be a net in  $X$  with  $\|x_\alpha\| \leq \|z\|$  for every  $\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  an ultrafilter on  $I$  such that

$$z = w^*-\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} x_\alpha.$$

We claim that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(1) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \mu\{|x_\alpha| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0$$

Indeed, if not, there exist  $P \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\mu\{|x_\alpha| \geq \varepsilon\} \geq \eta \quad \forall \alpha \in P.$$

Since  $z \in L^1_\sigma$ , there exists ([34], Th. 1.19) a measurable subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(A) < \eta/2$ ,  $|z|(1_A) = \|z\|$ .

Since the map  $\|p_A^{**}(\cdot)\|$  is  $w^*$ -l.s.c. there exists  $P' \in \mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\int_A |x_\alpha| d\mu > \|z\| - \varepsilon\eta/3 \quad \forall \alpha \in P'$$

and then for every  $\alpha \in P \cap P'$

$$\|x_\alpha\| = \int_A |x_\alpha| d\mu + \int_{\Omega \setminus A} |x_\alpha| d\mu \geq \|z\| - \varepsilon\eta/3 + \varepsilon\eta/2 > \|z\|$$

and this contradiction establishes (1). Now (1) means that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} x_\alpha = 0$$

for the topology  $L^0$ , and since  $y \in X^*$  it follows that

$$z(y) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} y(x_\alpha) = 0$$

this shows that  $X^\# \subset (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_\sigma)^T$  and concludes the proof.

**REMARK.** For every non reflexive subspace  $X$  of  $L^1$ , one has  $X^\# \neq X^*$  (i.e.  $X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_\sigma \neq \{0\}$ ).

Indeed, by Komlós's theorem ([35]; [32], p. 122), every bounded sequence in  $X$  has a subsequence whose Césaro-means  $\sigma_n$  converge in measure in  $L^1$ ; thus  $(\sigma_n)$  is  $L^0$ -Cauchy in  $X_1$ . If  $X^\# = X^*$ ,  $(\sigma_n)$  is then weakly Cauchy also, hence converges weakly. From this point, there are many ways to conclude. For instance, noting that  $(\sigma_n)$  is norm convergent ([33], IV.8.12), we get that  $X$  has the Banach-Saks property, and thus  $X$  is reflexive ([32], p. 212, or by using James' theorem).

We must tell that the result follows also from a deep theorem of B. Maurey ([36]).

We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

**THEOREM I.3.** *Let  $X$  be a subspace of  $L^1$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is isometric to the dual of an  $M$ -ideal.
- (ii) The unit ball  $X_1$  of  $X$  is  $L^0$ -closed, and  $X^*$  separates  $X$ .

Moreover if (i), (ii) are satisfied, then the  $M$ -ideal predual of  $X$  is the space  $X^\#$ .

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): if we call  $Y$  the  $M$ -ideal predual of  $X$ , we have  $X^{**} = X \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ , and this implies by ([15], th. 1) that  $Y^\perp = X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1$ ; we give for completeness a simplified proof of this special case.

Since  $X^{**} = X \oplus_1 Y^\perp$  and  $X \cap X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 = \{0\}$ , it is enough to show that  $Y^\perp \subset (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$ . It is classical and easily seen that two elements  $u$  and  $t$  of the Banach lattice  $L^{1**}$  are orthogonal if and only if

$$\|\alpha u + \beta t\| = |\alpha| + |\beta|$$

for all scalars  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Therefore we have  $|x| \wedge |z| = 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and every  $z \in Y^\perp$ ; the same relation holds for every  $x$  in the band  $\tilde{X}$  generated by  $X$ ; and since  $z \in X^{\perp\perp} \subset (\tilde{X})^{\perp\perp}$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $\tilde{X} = L^1$ . But  $|x| \wedge |z| = 0$  for every  $x \in L^1$  means that  $z \in L_s^1$ , and we have shown that  $Y^\perp \subset (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$ .

Therefore we can write

$$(1) \quad X^{**} = X \oplus_1 (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$$

and this implies ([5]) that the unit ball  $X_1$  of  $X$  is  $L^0$ -closed; indeed let  $\{x_n \mid n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence in the unit ball  $X_1$  of  $X$  which converges in measure to  $x \in L^1$ ; taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $x = \lim(x_n)$   $\mu$ -a.e. Pick now any  $w^*$ -cluster point  $z \in L^{1**}$  to the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . By lemma I.1 we have  $x = \pi(z)$ ; but  $z \in X^{\perp\perp}$  and by (1)  $\pi(X^{\perp\perp}) = X$ . This shows that  $x \in X$ ; clearly,  $\|x\|_1 \leq 1$  hence  $x \in X_1$ .

Finally since we have  $Y^\perp = X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1$  it follows by lemma I.2 that

$$Y = (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T = X^\#.$$

This shows of course that if (i) is satisfied,  $X^\#$  separates  $X$ , and that the  $M$ -ideal predual coincides with  $X^\#$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): By the main result of [5] (see [11], lemme 1), if  $X_1$  is  $L^0$ -closed we have

$$X^{\perp\perp} = X \oplus_1 (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1).$$

If  $X^\#$  separates  $X$ , we have

$$(X^\#)^\perp \cap X = \{0\}$$

and by lemma I.2

$$(X^\#)^\perp = \overline{(X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)}^*$$

but it follows from these three equalities and linear algebra that

$$(X^*)^\perp = X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s$$

and therefore we have

$$X^{**} = X \oplus_1 (X^*)^\perp$$

which means that  $X^*$  is an isometric predual of  $X$  which is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual  $X^*$ . This concludes the proof.

REMARKS. 1) The condition (i) is obviously independent of the isometric embedding of  $X$  into  $L^1$ . Hence so is the condition (ii).

Moreover, let  $K$  be a metrizable compact space and  $Y$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  such that  $Y^\perp$  is separable; then  $\mathcal{C}(K)/Y$  is  $M$ -ideal iff condition (ii) is true for  $X = Y^\perp \subset L^1(\mu)$  for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(K)$ , and hence it is true for every  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(K)$  such that  $Y^\perp \subset L^1(\mu)$ .

2) It follows from ([12], lemma 1.3) that in the statement of the condition (ii) of theorem I.3 we can substitute to the topology  $L^0$  the quasi-norm  $L^p$  for any  $p < 1$ , or the topology  $L(1, \infty)$  of the Fréchet space “weak- $L^1$ ”. In particular, if  $X = L^1 \cap Z$  where  $Z$  is a closed subspace of  $L^p$  ( $p < 1$ ) such that  $Z^*$  separates  $Z$ , then  $X$  is the dual of an  $M$ -ideal (cf. [11], théorème 6). A typical example of this situation is  $X = H^1(D) = L^1(\mathbb{T}) \cap H^p(D)$  for any  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

In the next section we will investigate the translation-invariant version of these results.

## II. $L^1_A$ and $\mathcal{M}_A$ -spaces which are duals of $M$ -ideals.

In this section,  $G$  denotes a compact metrizable abelian group and  $\Gamma = \hat{G}$  the discrete dual group. The additive notation will be used for  $\Gamma$ . If  $A \subset \Gamma$ , we denote as usual.

$$L^1_A = \{f \in L^1 \mid \hat{f}(\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \notin A\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_A = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G) \mid \hat{\mu}(\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \notin A\}$$

where  $L^1 = L^1(G, m)$  with  $m =$  Haar measure of  $G$ , and  $\hat{f}, \hat{\mu}$  are the Fourier transforms of  $f$  and  $\mu$ . The group  $\Gamma$  can be seen as a subset of  $L^\infty(G, m) = L^{1*}$  as well as a subset of  $L^1$ . Our next lemma shows that if  $L^{1*}_A$  is non trivial, then it intersects  $\Gamma$ .

LEMMA II.1. *Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $y \in (L^1_A)^*$  and  $\hat{y}(-\alpha) = y(\alpha) \neq 0$ , then  $\bar{\alpha} \in (L^1_A)^*$ . Here  $\bar{\alpha}$  denotes the restriction of  $\bar{\alpha} \in L^\infty$  to  $L^1_A$ .*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\alpha = 1_G$ . We define  $\bar{y} \in L^{1*}_A$  by

$$\tilde{y}(f) = \int_G \langle y, f_\tau \rangle dm(\tau)$$

where  $f_\tau(\tau') = f(\tau\tau')$  is a translate of  $f$ . We claim that  $\tilde{y}$  belongs to  $(L^1_A)^*$ . Indeed for any  $\eta > 0$  there is a neighborhood  $V$  of 0 in the unit ball of  $L^1_A$  such that

$$f \in V \Rightarrow |y(f)| < \eta;$$

without loss of generality we may assume that  $V = W \cap L^1_A$  where  $W$  is a translation invariant neighborhood of 0 in the unit ball of  $L^1$  and then  $V$  is translation invariant; hence

$$f \in V \Rightarrow |y(f_\tau)| < \eta \quad \forall \tau \in G$$

and thus  $|\tilde{y}(f)| < \eta$  for  $f \in V$  and  $\tilde{y} \in (L^1_A)^*$ : Observe now that for every  $\alpha \in A$

$$\tilde{y}(\alpha) = \int_G \langle y, \alpha \rangle \alpha(\tau) dm(\tau) = \langle y, \alpha \rangle \int_G \alpha(\tau) dm(\tau)$$

hence  $\tilde{y}(\alpha) = 0$  except if  $\alpha = 1_G$  where we have

$$\tilde{y}(1_G) = y(1_G) \neq 0.$$

It follows that  $\tilde{y}$  coincides on  $L^1_A$  with the restriction of a non-zero constant function, and the result follows.

REMARK. We can observe that  $\tilde{y}(f) = \hat{y}(0)\hat{f}(0) = y(1_G)\hat{f}(0)$ .

With this lemma we can characterize the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_A$  which are duals of an  $M$ -ideal. The main result of this section is the following:

THEOREM II.2. *Let  $A$  be a subset of the abelian discrete group  $\Gamma$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L^1_A$  is isometric to the dual of an  $M$ -ideal  $Y$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}_A$  is isometric to the dual of an  $M$ -ideal  $Z$ .
- (iii) The unit ball of  $L^1_A$  is  $L^0$ -closed, and the restriction of  $\tilde{\alpha}: f \rightarrow \hat{f}(\alpha)$  to  $L^1_A$  belongs to  $(L^1_A)^*$  for every  $\alpha \in A$ .

Moreover, if the conditions (i)–(iii) are satisfied, then  $\mathcal{M}_A = L^1_A$  and the  $M$ -ideal pre-dual of  $\mathcal{M}_A = L^1_A$  is the space  $\mathcal{C}(G)/\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma \setminus (-A)}(G)$  (i.e.  $L^1_{\Lambda^{\perp\perp}} \cap L^1_s = L^1_{\Lambda^{\perp\perp}} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp$ ).

In the above statement,  $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma \setminus (-A)}(G) = \mathcal{C}(G) \cap L^1_{\Gamma \setminus (-A)}$ . Let us recall that the sets  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_A = L^1_A$  are called Riesz sets; the sets  $A$  which satisfy (iii) are called Shapiro sets in [12].

PROOF. (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii): If  $L^1_A$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_A$ ) satisfies (i) (resp. (ii)), it has the Radon-Nikodym property (see [31]) and hence  $L^1_A = \mathcal{M}_A$  ([20]).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): If  $A$  satisfies (iii) then  $(L_A^1)^*$  separates  $L_A^1$ , so theorem I.3 gives (i).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Now by theorem I.3 the unit ball of  $L_A^1$  is  $L^0$  closed, and  $(L_A^1)^*$  separates  $L_A^1$ ; in particular, for every  $\alpha \in A$ , there exists  $y \in (L_A^1)^*$  such that  $y(\alpha) \neq 0$ , and this implies by lemma II.1 that the restriction of  $\bar{\alpha}$  to  $L_A^1$  belongs to  $(L_A^1)^*$ . Let us observe now that under the condition (ii), ([12], Prop. 4.1) shows that the predual  $M$ -ideal of  $\mathcal{M}_A = L_A^1$  is indeed  $\mathcal{C}(G)/\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma(-A)}(G)$ . This can also be seen directly: since  $\mathcal{M}_A = L_A^1$ , the space  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma(-A)}$  is an isometric predual of  $L_A^1$ ; but the restriction of  $\Gamma$  to  $L_A^1$  spans this space and is contained in  $(L_A^1)^*$  by the above; and two preduals which are contained in each other must coincide. This concludes the proof.

We refer to [12] for examples and for a systematic study of Shapiro sets. In the next section, we will use harmonic analysis, together with theorem II.2, to produce an example in the theory of  $L$ - and  $M$ -structure.

We conclude this section by the observation that theorem II.2 provides in particular a characterization of the quotient spaces of  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  by translation-invariant subspaces which are  $M$ -ideals in their bidual, since the dual of such a space in an  $\mathcal{M}_A$ -space.

### III. An example.

The main result of this section provides an example of a Banach space which is  $L$ -complemented in its bidual and behaves in a somehow unexpected way; the construction uses crucially the results of §II. We work in this section within the frame of the “little Fourier analysis”, that is,  $G = \mathbb{T}$  and  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Before stating it, let us recall that the dual  $X^*$  of a space  $X$  which is  $M$ -ideal in its bidual has the Radon-Nikodym property (see [31]). If  $Y \subset X^*$  is such that there exists an  $L$ -projection  $\pi$  from  $Y^{**}$  onto  $Y$ , then by ([15], th. 1) one has  $\text{Ker } \pi = (Y^{\perp\perp} \cap X^\perp)$ , and thus  $\text{Ker } \pi$  is  $w^*$ -closed and  $Y$  is the dual of an  $M$ -ideal.

This leads to the question to know whether or not every space  $Y$  with the Radon-Nikodym property which is  $L$ -complemented in  $Y^{**}$  is the dual of an  $M$ -ideal. The next statement provides in particular a negative answer to this question.

**THEOREM III.1.** *There exists a separable space  $Y$  which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $Y$  is isometric to a dual space
- (ii) There is an  $L$ -projection  $\pi$  from  $Y^{**}$  onto  $Y$
- (iii)  $\text{Ker}(\pi)$  is not  $w^*$ -closed in  $Y^{**}$ .

**PROOF.** For every  $n \geq 1$ , we set

$$D_n = \{k2^n \mid |k| \leq n\}$$



and

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n.$$

The properties of such sets are studied in ([12], §3.8). We recall for completeness what we need for the present work.

*Claim 1.*  $A$  is a Riesz set (i.e.  $\mathcal{M}_A = L^1_A$ ). For any  $j \geq 0$ , we let

$$P_j = \{2^j + k2^{j+1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is easily seen that  $n \in P_j$  if and only if  $2^j$  divides  $n$  and  $2^{j+1}$  does not divide  $n$ ; hence  $\{P_j \mid j \geq 0\}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $P_k \cap D_n = \emptyset$  if  $k < n$ . Therefore  $(A \cap P_k)$  is contained in  $\cup \{D_n \mid n \leq k\}$  and in particular it is finite.

Let now  $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_A$ ; we have to show that  $\mu_s = 0$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , there is  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \in P_j$ . There exists a Radon measure  $\nu_j$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  with finite support such that  $\hat{\nu}_j = 1_{P_j}$ ; since  $\nu_j$  is discrete, we have

$$(\mu * \nu_j)_s = \mu_s * \nu_j$$

and since  $(\mu * \nu_j)^\wedge = \hat{\mu} \cdot \hat{\nu}_j$ , we have

$$\mu * \nu_j \in \mathcal{M}_{A \cap P_j}$$

but  $(A \cap P_j)$  is finite and thus  $(\mu * \nu_j)$  is a trigonometric polynomial and

$$(\mu * \nu_j)_s = \mu_s * \nu_j = 0$$

in particular,  $(\mu * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = \hat{\mu}_s(n) \hat{\nu}_j(n) = \hat{\mu}_s(n) = 0$ . We have shown that  $\hat{\mu}_s(n) = 0$  for every  $n \neq 0$  and it follows that  $\mu_s = 0$  since  $\mu_s$  is singular.

*Claim 2.* The unit ball of  $L^1_A$  is  $L^0$ -closed. Let  $\{f_k \mid k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence in the unit ball of  $L^1_A$  which converges in measure to  $g \in L^1$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ ; we have to show that  $\hat{g}(n) = 0$ .

We pick as before  $j$  such that  $n \in P_j$  and  $\nu_j$  such that  $\hat{\nu}_j = 1_{P_j}$ . Since  $\nu_j$  has a finite support, we have

$$\lim_k f_k * \nu_j = g * \nu_j$$

in measure, but also in norm since  $(f_k * \nu_j)$  belongs to the finite dimensional space  $L^1_{A \cap P_j}$ . In particular, we have

$$\lim_k (f_k * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = (g * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = \hat{g}(n)$$

but  $(f_k * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = (f_k)^\wedge(n) = 0$  for every  $k$  since  $n \notin A$  and it follows that  $\hat{g}(n) = 0$ .

*Claim 3.* The restriction of  $1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  to the unit ball of  $L^1_A$  is not  $L^0$ -continuous.

Indeed it is easy to construct a sequence  $\{f_k \mid k \geq 1\}$  of functions in the unit ball

of  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\int f_k = (f_k)^\wedge(0) = 0 \quad \forall k$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = 1/2 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{T}} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

By approximation, we may assume that the  $f_k$ 's are trigonometric polynomials. Observe now that the functions  $(z)$  and  $(z^{2^n})$  have the same distribution for any  $n$ . Now if we substitute  $(z^{2^n})$  to  $(z)$  in the expression of  $(f_k)$ , then we obtain, if we choose  $n$  big enough, a trigonometric polynomial  $(g_k)$  whose Fourier transform is supported by  $\mathcal{A}$ , and since the distribution is unchanged, we still have  $\|g_k\|_1 \leq 1$  and

$$\int g_k = 0 \quad \forall k$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k = 1/2 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{T}} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

This shows that the Fourier coefficient in 0 is not  $L^0$ -continuous on the unit ball of  $L^1_{\mathcal{A}}$ , and proves the claim 3.

We are now ready to complete the proof of theorem III.1. We let  $Y = L^1_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{T})$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \cup \{D_n | n \geq 1\}$  is defined above.

By the claim 1,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Riesz set and thus  $Y = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is canonically isometric to the dual of the space  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})/\mathcal{C}_{Z(-\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{T})$ .

By [5] – see ([11], lemme 1) – and the claim 2, we have

$$Y^{\perp\perp} = Y \oplus_1 (Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s)$$

and therefore the restriction to  $Y^{\perp\perp}$  of the canonical projection from  $L^{1**}$  onto  $L^1$  is an  $L$ -projection  $\pi$  from  $Y^{**}$  onto  $Y$ .

Finally, the space  $\text{Ker}(\pi) = Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s$  is  $w^*$ -closed if and only if  $Y$  is isometric to the dual of an  $M$ -ideal; and by theorem II.2 this would imply that the restriction of every Fourier coefficient to the unit ball of  $L^1_{\mathcal{A}}$  would be  $L^0$ -continuous; and this contradicts the claim 3.

REMARKS. 1) If we drop the requirement  $Y$  separable, then very simple examples are available, since for instance the space  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})^*$  itself satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iii); but of course this space does not have the Radon-Nikodym property, in contrast with our space  $Y$  which has R.N.P. since it is a separable dual.

2) The proof of theorem III.1 gives more information on the structure of  $Y^{**}$ . Indeed the proof of claim 2 shows that the restriction of every Fourier coefficient

but one to  $Y$  is  $L^0$ -continuous. It follows from this fact and lemma I.2 that the space  $M = Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$  is of codimension one in  $Y^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$  and in  $(Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$ , and is not  $w^*$ -closed, since  $(Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$  is not  $w^*$ -closed. And since  $M$  is a hyperplane in  $Y^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$  it follows that  $\overline{M}^{w^*} = Y^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$ ; a fortiori we have  $\overline{Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1}^{w^*} \supset \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$ , and by lemma I.2.  $Y^\#$  is contained in the restriction of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  to  $Y$ . From this latter fact it finally follows that  $Y^\#$  is the space

$$Y^\# = \{f \mid Y \mid f \in \mathcal{C}(T), \hat{f}(0) = 0\}.$$

3) Actually, the Alexandrov's set  $A$  has stronger properties. By adapting the proof of [28], Example 2, p. 122–123, it can be shown that if  $D$  is the countable dense subgroup of  $T$ :

$$D = \{e^{2\pi i k/2^n} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$$

and  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \hat{D} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/D^\perp$  is the canonical injection, then  $\varphi(A)$  is closed in  $\hat{D}$ . Therefore:

a)  $A$  is closed in  $\mathbb{Z}$  for the Bohr topology and in particular, the unit ball of  $L_A^1$  is  $L^0$ -closed ([12], Cor. 2.6 (1)); moreover, since  $A \cap P_j$  is finite for every  $j \geq 0$ , 0 is the only accumulation point of  $A$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

b)  $\mathcal{C}_A = L_A^\infty$  has the Schur property ([29], Th. 3). Hence  $A$  is a Rosenthal set (see also [26], Th. B and [27]); in particular  $A$  is a Riesz set ([20], Th. 3) and more generally  $\mathbb{N} \cup A$  is a Riesz set ([27], Th. 2).

4) For non-translation invariant subspaces  $H$  of  $L^1(T)$  which are duals of  $M$ -ideals, we cannot expect in general that  $H^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 = H^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$ ; for instance, if  $h_n, n \geq 1$ , are disjoint positive functions of  $L^1$  of norm 1,  $H = [h_n, n \geq 1]$  is isometric to  $\ell^1 = c_0^*$  but  $H^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 \not\subset \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$ . This comes from the fact that if we consider non-translation invariant subspaces of  $L^1(T)$ , the topology of  $T$ , and then  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ , plays no canonical role any more.

5) If  $Z$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and  $V \subset Z^{**}$  is a subspace such that  $Z \cap V = \{0\}$ , then the unit ball of  $V$  cannot be  $w^*$ -dense in the unit ball of  $Z^{**}$ , since  $Z_1$  has a strongly exposed point  $x$ , which would belong to  $V \cap Z$ . This shows that it is not possible to replace the condition (iii) of theorem III.1 by the stronger condition: the unit ball of  $(\text{Ker } \pi)$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $Y_1^{**}$ .

However, it is not clear whether or not  $(\text{Ker } \pi)$  can be  $w^*$ -dense in  $Y^{**}$ . Within the frame of the  $L_A^1$ -spaces, this boils down to the following question, which belongs to harmonic analysis.

QUESTION III.2. Does there exist a Riesz subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The unit ball of  $L_A^1$  is  $L^0$ -closed;
- (ii) For every  $n \in A$ , the restriction of the Fourier coefficient at  $n$  to the unit ball of  $L_A^1$  is not  $L^0$ -continuous?

6) It is shown in [23] that there exists a separable Banach lattice  $T$  with the Radon-Nikodym property such that the band  $T_s$  orthogonal to  $T$  in  $T^{**}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $T^{**}$ . Theorem III.1 is the analogue of M. Talagrand's result for  $L$ -structure; but we should mention that Talagrand did not stop so early, since he proved in [24] that any separable Banach lattice with the Radon-Nikodym property is a dual Banach lattice. Within the frame of  $L$ -structure, we do not know the answer to the:

QUESTION III.3. Is every space  $Y$  with the R.N.P., and  $L$ -complemented in its bidual, isometric to a dual space?

Observe that by [20], the answer is yes for translation-invariant subspaces of  $L^1$ .

#### IV. $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -spaces which are isomorphic to $M$ -ideals.

In our last section we will investigate isomorphic properties. Let us observe that if  $X$  is a separable  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -space (see [18]) which is isomorphic to an  $M$ -ideal then  $X^*$  is isomorphic to  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  by [17] since then  $X^*$  is a separable dual  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -space. This does not say much, however, about the space  $X$  since  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  has a huge supply of isomorphic preduals.

The main result of this section is that  $X$  is in fact the *natural* isomorphic predual of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ ; that is,  $X$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . The crucial point of the proof is Zippin's deep characterization of  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$  [25]. Let us mention that theorem IV.1 and its proof were obtained independently and almost simultaneously by D. Werner.

We refer to [18] and [4] for properties and examples of  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -spaces. We state now

**THEOREM IV.1.** *Let  $X$  be a separable  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -space which can be renormed into an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual. Then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ .*

We are grateful to an anonymous referee for a simplification of the original argument.

**PROOF.** Since  $X^*$  is separable [13] and is an  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -space, it is isomorphic to  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  [17] and thus  $X^{**}$  is isomorphic to  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . We denote by  $i: X \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  the canonical injection.

By Zippin's theorem [25], it is enough to show that for every isomorphic injection  $j$  from  $X$  into a separable space  $Y$ , the space  $j(X)$  is complemented in  $Y$ . Since  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  is injective, the map  $k = i[j^{-1}]$  from  $j(X)$  into  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  has an extension  $\tilde{k}$  from  $Y$  to  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . We denote by  $Z$  the norm-closed subalgebra of  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  generated by  $\tilde{k}(Y)$ ; the space  $Z$  is isomorphic to a separable  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ -space.

It is classical and easily checked that  $i(X)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $Z$  since it is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  and  $i(X) \subset Z \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . Let us mention at this point that the

space  $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$  is equipped here with an equivalent norm and not with the canonical one. Moreover, the quotient space  $Z/i(X)$  has the bounded approximation property. Indeed we may write

$$\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})^* = \ell^1(\mathbf{N}) \oplus i(X)^\perp$$

and since  $Z^\perp \subset i(X)^\perp$

$$Z^* = \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})^*/Z^\perp = \ell^1(\mathbf{N}) \oplus i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp.$$

The space  $i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp$  is complemented in the space  $Z^* = \mathcal{M}(K)$  which has the B.A.P. and therefore  $i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp$  has the B.A.P.; and  $i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp$  is canonically isomorphic to the orthogonal of  $i(X)$  in  $Z^*$ , hence to the dual of  $Z/i(X)$ ; hence  $Z/i(X)$  has the B.A.P. since  $(Z/i(X))^*$  has it (see [19], p. 34).

In these circumstances there exists by a result of Ando ([2], th. 5) a linear projection  $p$  from  $Z$  onto  $i(X)$ . If we let

$$\tilde{p} = ji^{-1}pk.$$

Then  $\tilde{p}$  is a linear projection from  $Y$  onto  $j(X)$ .

REMARKS. 1) Theorem IV.1 has a quantitative version, namely: there is a function  $\varphi(\lambda)$  such that every  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\infty$ -space which is  $M$ -ideal in its bidual satisfies  $d(X, c_0(\mathbf{N})) \leq \varphi(\lambda)$ . Indeed if not, there is  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  and a sequence  $\{X_n | n \geq 1\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0}^\infty$ -spaces which are  $M$ -ideals and such that  $d(X_n, c_0(\mathbf{N})) \geq n$ . We consider the space

$$Y = (\sum \oplus X_n)_{c_0}$$

which is  $M$ -ideal in its bidual and is also  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0}^\infty$ ; by theorem IV.1  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(\mathbf{N})$  and since the spaces  $X_n$  are uniformly complemented in  $Y$ , their distance to  $c_0(\mathbf{N})$  is bounded; this is a contradiction.

2) It is not clear whether or not the assumption  $X$  separable is necessary in theorem IV.1. The decomposition result ([7], Th. 3) supports the impression that it is not.

3) Theorem IV.1 shows that there exist separable Asplund spaces – such as  $\mathcal{C}(\omega^\omega)$  – which contain hereditarily  $c_0(\mathbf{N})$  but which cannot be renormed into  $M$ -ideals in their bidual. This answers a question of M. Fabian (personal communication).

We should mention however that the following question is open.

QUESTION IV.2. Let  $X$  be an isomorphic predual of  $\ell^1(\mathbf{N})$  which has the property (u) of A. Pelczynski [21]. Is  $X$  isomorphic to  $c_0(\mathbf{N})$ ?

A positive answer would extend [22], and trivialize theorem IV.1 since the authors have recently shown that every  $M$ -ideal in its bidual has property (u)

[10]. On the other hand, a negative answer would give an example of a separable Asplund space with (u) which is not isomorphic to an  $M$ -ideal (another open question).

Since the class of  $M$ -ideals in their bidual is hereditary and stable under quotient maps [13], theorem IV.1 applies to  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -spaces which are subspaces of quotients of  $M$ -ideals. Let us mention for instance the

**COROLLARY IV.3.** *Let  $X$  be a separable  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -space which is a subspace of a quotient of the space  $K(\ell^2)$  of compact operators in the Hilbert space. Then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ .*

This corollary is a non-commutative version of a result of [14]. We refer to [22], [8] for extensions of [14] in another direction.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. M. Alfsen and E. G. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces I*, Ann. of Math. 96 (1972), 98–128.
2. T. Ando, *A theorem on non-empty intersection of convex sets and its application*, J. Approx. Theory 13 (1975), 158–166.
3. E. Behrends and P. Harmand, *Banach spaces which are proper  $M$ -ideals*, Studia Math. 81 (1985), 159–169.
4. J. Bourgain, *New classes of  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics n° 889, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
5. A. V. Buchvalov and G. Lozanovski, *On sets closed in measure*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 2 (1978), 127–148. In Russian: Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 34 (1977).
6. Chong-Man Cho and W. B. Johnson, *A characterization of subspaces  $X$  of  $\ell^p$  for which  $K(X)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $L(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), 466–470.
7. M. Fabian and G. Godefroy, *The dual of every Asplund space admits a projectional resolution of the identity*, Studia Math. 91 (1988), 141–151.
8. N. Ghoussoub and W. B. Johnson, *Factoring operators through Banach lattices not containing  $C(0, 1)$* , Math. Z. 194 (1987), 153–171.
9. G. Godefroy and P. Saab, *Weakly unconditionally convergent series in  $M$ -ideals*, Math. Scand. 64 (1989), 407–418.
10. G. Godefroy and D. Li, *Banach spaces which are  $M$ -ideals in their bidual have property (u)*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 39 (1989), 361–371.
11. G. Godefroy, *Sous-espaces bien disposés de  $L^1$ -Applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 286 (1984), 227–249.
12. G. Godefroy, *On Riesz subsets of abelian discrete groups*, Israel J. Math. 61 (1988), 301–331.
13. P. Harmand and A. Lima, *On spaces which are  $M$ -ideals in their bidual*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 283 (1984), 253–264.
14. W. B. Johnson and M. Zippin, *On subspaces of quotients of  $(\sum G_n)_{\ell^p}$  and  $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$* , Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 311–316.
15. D. Li, *Espaces  $L$ -facteurs de leurs biduaux: bonne disposition, meilleure approximation et propriété de Radon-Nikodym*, Quarterly J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 38 (1987), 229–243.
16. A. Lima,  *$M$ -ideals of compact operators in classical Banach spaces*, Math. Scand. 44 (1979), 207–217.
17. D. R. Lewis and C. Stegall, *Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$* , J. Funct. Anal. 12 (1973), 177–187.
18. J. Lindenstrauss and H. P. Rosenthal, *The  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces*, Israel J. Math. 7 (1969), 325–349.

19. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I, Sequence spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
20. F. Lust-Piquard, *Ensembles de Rosenthal et ensembles de Riesz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 282 (1976), 833.
21. A. Pelczyński, *Banach spaces on which every unconditionally convergent operator is weakly compact*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 10 (1962), 641–648.
22. H. P. Rosenthal, *A characterization of  $c_0$* , Longhorn Seminar Notes (1982/83).
23. M. Talagrand, *Dual Banach lattices and Banach lattices with the Radon-Nikodym property*, Israel J. Math. 38 (1981), 46–50.
24. M. Talagrand, *La structure des espaces de Banach réticulés ayant la propriété de Radon-Nikodym*, Israel J. Math. 44 (1983), 213–220.
25. M. Zippin, *The separable extension problem*, Israel J. Math. 26 (1977), 372–387.
26. R. C. Blei, *A simple diophantine condition in harmonic analysis*, Studia Math. 52 (1975), 195–202.
27. R. E. Dressler and L. Pigno, *Rosenthal sets and Riesz sets*, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 675–677.
28. J. J. F. Fournier and L. Pigno, *Analytic and arithmetic properties of thin sets*, Pac. J. Math. 105 (1983), 115–141.
29. F. Lust, *L'espace des fonctions presque-périodiques dont le spectre est contenu dans un ensemble compact dénombrable a la propriété de Schur*, Coll. Math. XLI(2) (1979), 273–284.
30. L. Pigno and S. Saeki, *On the spectra of almost periodic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976), 191–194.
31. A. Lima, *On M-ideals and best approximation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982), 27–36.
32. J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, GTM 92, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
33. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators I*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
34. G. Hewitt and K. Yosida, *Finitely additive measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 46–66.
35. J. Komlos, *A generalization of a problem of Steinhaus*, Acta Math. Hungar. 18 (1967), 217–229.
36. B. Maurey, *Types and  $\ell^1$ -subspaces*, Longhorn Notes, Texas Functional Analysis Seminar, Austin, Texas (1982/1983).

EQUIPE D'ANALYSE  
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI  
TOUR 46-0, 4ÈME ÉTAGE  
4, PLACE JUSSIEU  
75252 PARIS CEDEX 05  
FRANCE

EQUIPE D'ANALYSE  
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI  
UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS-SUD  
MATHÉMATIQUES-BÂTIMENT 425  
91405 ORSAY CEDEX  
FRANCE