

212. Some Nonlinear Evolution Equations of Second Order

By Masayoshi TSUTSUMI

Department of Applied Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 13, 1971)

1. Introduction. Let H and W be two real separable Hilbert spaces and V be a real separable reflexive Banach space with $V \subset W \subset H$. Let V be dense in W and in H and the natural injections of V into W and of W into H be respectively continuous and compact. We identify H with its dual:

$$V \subset W \subset H \subset W^* \subset V^*$$

where W^* and V^* are the duals of W and V , respectively. The pairing between V and V^* is denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) and that of W and W^* by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

We consider the following second order differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad u'' + A(u) + Bu' = f$$

with initial conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1,$$

where $u = u(t)$, $u' = du/dt$, $u'' = d^2u/dt^2$ and data u_0, u_1, f are given.

Assume that the nonlinear operator $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ has the following properties:

- 1) A is hemicontinuous and $\|A(u)\|_{V^*} \leq c \|u\|_V^{p-1}$, $p > 1$, $c > 0$.
- 2) A is monotone, i.e., $(A(u) - A(v), u - v) \geq 0$, $\forall u, v \in V$.
- 3) $(A(u), u) = \|u\|_V^p$.
- 4) $A(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable at every $u \in V$.
- 5) $A(u)$ is strongly homogeneous of degree $p-1$ in the sense of

Dubinskii [1], i.e., for every $u, \eta \in V$

$$(1.3) \quad (A'(u)\eta, u) = (A'(u)u, \eta) = (p-1)A(u), \eta)$$

where $A'(u)$ is a Fréchet derivative.

Let $B: W \rightarrow W^*$ be a bounded linear operator associated with a bounded symmetric bilinear form $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ on W , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} |b(u, v)| &\leq \|u\|_W \|v\|_W, & b(u, v) &= b(v, u), \\ b(u, v) &= \langle Bu, v \rangle, & \forall u, v &\in W, \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(1.4) \quad b(u, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|_W^2 - \beta \|u\|_H^2, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0,$$

and that if $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in W as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(1.5) \quad \liminf_n b(u_n, u_n) \geq b(u, u).$$

The main result of this note is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $u_0 \in V$, $u_1 \in H$ and $f \in L^2(0, T; H)$. Then there exists at least one function u such that*

$$(1.6) \quad u(t) \in L^\infty(0, T; V),$$

$$(1.7) \quad u'(t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; W)$$

$$(1.8) \quad u''(t) \in L^2(0, T; V^*)$$

and satisfies (1.1) and (1.2).

The proof of Theorem 1 is stated in Section 2. In Section 3, as applications, the existence of the weak solutions of the initial-Dirichlet boundary value problem for the equation of the form

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^{2p-1} - \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f,$$

$$\Delta v = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i^2}, \quad p > 1,$$

will be established. When $n=1$, the equation (1.9) was studied by Greenberg, MacCamy and Mizel [2] and Greenberg [3].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. For $u(t) \in C^1([0, T]; V)$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^t (A(u(s)), u'(s)) ds = \frac{1}{p} (A(u(t)), u(t)) - \frac{1}{p} (A(u(0)), u(0))$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \|u(t)\|_V^p - \frac{1}{p} \|u(0)\|_V^p.$$

Proof. By the chain rule, we have

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} A(u(t)), u(t) \right) = (A'(u(t))u'(t), u(t))$$

$$= (p-1)(A(u(t)), u'(t))$$

since $A(u)$ is strongly homogeneous of degree $p-1$. Then we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} (A(u(t)), u(t)) = p(A(u(t)), u'(t))$$

which implies (2.1). q.e.d.

The following lemma can be found in [4].

Lemma 2. Let X be a reflexive separable Banach space. Then there exists a separable Hilbert space Y , being dense in X , such that the injection of Y into X is continuous.

Hence, we can construct a separable Hilbert space $\tilde{H} \subset W$, being dense in V , such that the injection of \tilde{H} into V is continuous. Then the injection of \tilde{H} into H is compact. Therefore we have

Lemma 3. The spectral problem:

$$(2.2) \quad (w, v)_{\tilde{H}} = \lambda(w, v)_H, \quad \forall v \in \tilde{H},$$

has the sequence of non zero solutions w_j corresponding to the sequence of eigenvalues λ_j :

$$(2.3) \quad (w_j, v)_{\tilde{H}} = \lambda_j(w_j, v)_H, \quad \forall v \in \tilde{H}, \quad \lambda_j > 0,$$

where $(,)_H$ and $(,)_{\tilde{H}}$ are the scalar products in H and \tilde{H} , respectively.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we shall employ the Galerkin's method. We use the sequence of the functions w_j as the basis of \tilde{H} .

We look for an approximate solution $u_m(t)$ in the form :

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{im}(t)w_i, \quad g_{im}(t) \in C^\infty[0, T],$$

where the unknown functions g_{im} are determined by the following system of ordinary differential equations :

$$(2.4) \quad (u_m''(t), w_j) + (A(u_m(t)), w_j) + b(u_m'(t), w_j) = (f(t), w_j) \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

with initial conditions :

$$(2.5) \quad u_m(0) = u_{0m}, \quad u_{0m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{im}w_i \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } V \text{ strongly as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.6) \quad u_m'(0) = u_{1m}, \quad u_{1m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{im}w_i \rightarrow u_1 \text{ in } H \text{ strongly as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we have

Lemma 4. *There exists a constant c independent of m , such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \|u_m\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V)} \leq c,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|u_m'\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, \pi; W)} \leq c,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|A(u_m)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V^*)} \leq c,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|Bu_m'\|_{L^2(0, T; W^*)} \leq c,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \|u_m''\|_{L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^*)} \leq c.$$

Proof. Multiplication of the i -th equation in (2.4) by g'_{im} , summation over i from 1 to m , integration with respect to t and Lemma 1 give

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|u_m'(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{p} \|u_m(t)\|_V^p + \int_0^t b(u_m'(s), u_m'(s)) ds \\ = \frac{1}{2} \|u_m'(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{p} \|u_m(0)\|_V^p + \int_0^t (f(s), u_m'(s)) ds$$

from which it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|u_m'(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{p} \|u_m(t)\|_V^p + \alpha \int_0^t \|u_m'(s)\|_W^2 ds \\ \leq c \left(1 + \int_0^t \|u_m'(s)\|_H^2 ds \right).$$

The inequality (2.13) and our hypotheses on A and B yield (2.7)–(2.10).

Let P_m be the projection of $H \rightarrow [w_1, \dots, w_m]$ (=the space spanned by w_1, \dots, w_m): $P_m h = \sum_{i=1}^m (h, w_i)_H w_i$.

Then we have $P_m \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{H}, \tilde{H})$; $\|P_m\|_{\mathcal{L}(\tilde{H}, \tilde{H})} \leq c$.

Since $\tilde{H} \subset V \subset W$, we get

$$\|P_m\|_{\mathcal{L}(\tilde{H}, V)} \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_m\|_{\mathcal{L}(\tilde{H}, W)} \leq c$$

which imply

$$\|P_m^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(V^*, \tilde{H}^*)} \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_m^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(W^*, \tilde{H}^*)} \leq c.$$

The equation (2.4) may be written as

$$u_m'' = -P_m^* A(u_m) - P_m^* B u_m' + P_m^* f,$$

which assures (2.11).

q.e.d.

From Lemma 4 we see that there exist a function u and a sub-

sequence u_μ of u_m such that

$$(2.14) \quad u_\mu \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; V) \text{ weakly star,}$$

$$(2.15) \quad u'_\mu \rightarrow u' \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \text{ weakly star and in } L^2(0, T; W) \text{ weakly,}$$

$$(2.16) \quad u''_\mu \rightarrow u'' \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^*) \text{ weakly,}$$

$$(2.17) \quad u_\mu(T) \rightarrow u(T) \quad \text{in } W \text{ weakly,}$$

$$(2.18) \quad u'_\mu(T) \rightarrow u'(T) \quad \text{in } H \text{ weakly,}$$

$$(2.19) \quad A(u_\mu) \rightarrow \chi \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; V^*) \text{ weakly star,}$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad Bu'_\mu \rightarrow Bu' \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; W^*) \text{ weakly.}$$

Since the injections of V into H and of W into H are compact, we can furthermore assume that

$$(2.21) \quad u_\mu \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H) \text{ strongly,}$$

$$(2.22) \quad u_\mu(T) \rightarrow u(T) \quad \text{in } H \text{ strongly}$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad u'_\mu \rightarrow u' \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H) \text{ strongly.}$$

To show that the function $u(t)$ is a solution of (1.1), (1.2), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\chi = A(u).$$

Multiplying (2.4) by an arbitrary smooth function $\alpha(t)$, integrating over $[0, T]$ and integrating the first term by parts, we have

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (u'_m(t), \alpha'(t)w_j) dt + \int_0^T (A(u_m(t)), \alpha(t)w_j) dt \\ & + \int_0^T b(u'_m(t), \alpha(t)w_j) dt \\ & = \int_0^T (f(t), \alpha(t)w_j) dt + (u'_m(0), \alpha(0)w_j) - (u'_m(T), \alpha(T)w_j). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit of both sides with $m = \mu$, j fixed, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (u'(t), \alpha'(t)w_j) dt + \int_0^T (\chi, \alpha(t)w_j) dt + \int_0^T b(u'(t), \alpha(t)w_j) dt \\ & = \int_0^T (f(t), \alpha(t)w_j) dt + (u_1, \alpha(0)w_j) - (u'(T), \alpha(T)w_j), \quad \forall j, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (u'(t), \psi'(t)) dt + \int_0^T (\chi, \psi(t)) dt + \int_0^T b(u'(t), \psi(t)) dt \\ & = \int_0^T (f(t), \psi(t)) dt + (u_1, \psi(0)) - (u'(T), \psi(T)) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi \in G$, where G denotes a family of functions defined by

$$G = \{\psi \mid \psi \in L^2(0, T; V), \psi' \in L^2(0, T; H)\}.$$

In particular, setting $\psi = u$, we have

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \|u'\|_H^2 dt + \int_0^T (\chi, u) dt + \frac{1}{2} b(u(T), u(T)) - \frac{1}{2} b(u_0, u_0) \\ & = \int_0^T (f, u) dt + (u_1, u_0) - (u'(T), u(T)). \end{aligned}$$

The monotonicity of A gives

$$(2.27) \quad X_\mu = \int_0^T (A(u_\mu) - A(v), u_\mu - v) dt \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in L^\infty(0, T; V).$$

From (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T (A(u_\mu), u_\mu) dt &= \int_0^T \|u'\|_H^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} b(u_\mu(T), u_\mu(T)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} b(u_\mu(0), u_\mu(0)) + \int_0^T (f, u_\mu) dt \\ &\quad + (u'_\mu(0), u(0)) - (u'_\mu(T), u_\mu(T)) \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X_\mu &= \int_0^T \|u'_\mu\|_H^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} b(u_\mu(T), u_\mu(T)) + \frac{1}{2} b(u_\mu(0), u_\mu(0)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T (f, u_\mu) dt + (u'_\mu(0), u_\mu(0)) - (u'_\mu(T), u_\mu(T)) \\ &\quad - \int_0^T (A(v), u_\mu - v) dt - \int_0^T (A(u_\mu), v) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in virtue of (1.5) and (2.17) we get

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \liminf_\mu X_\mu &\leq \int_0^T \|u'\|_H^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} b(u(T), u(T)) + \frac{1}{2} b(u_0, u_0) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T (f, u) dt + (u_1, u_0) - (u'(T), u(T)) \\ &\quad - \int_0^T (A(v), u - v) dt - \int_0^T (\chi, v) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.26) with (2.28), we have

$$\int_0^T (\chi - A(v), u - v) dt \geq 0.$$

Then, a well-known argument of the theory of monotone operators gives

$$\chi = A(u).$$

From (1.1), we have

$$u'' = -A(u) - Bu' + f \in L^2(0, T; V^*).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Some Examples. Let Ω be a bounded domain in R^n with a sufficient smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Points in Ω are denoted by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and the time variable is denoted by t . We consider the following initial boundary value problem

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^{2p-1} - \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f,$$

$$(3.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \frac{\partial u(x, 0)}{\partial t} = u_1(x),$$

$$(3.3) \quad u(x, t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T],$$

where $f(x, t)$, $u_0(x)$ and $u_1(x)$ are given functions and T is an arbitrary positive number.

Put

$$(3.4) \quad A(u) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^{2p-1}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad b(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx.$$

If we take $H = L^2(\Omega)$, $W = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $V = W_0^{1,2p}(\Omega)$, we easily see that our hypotheses on A and B are satisfied. Furthermore the well-known theorem of Sobolev tells us that if

$$r > 1 + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}$$

then

$$\tilde{H} = W_0^{r,2}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,2p}(\Omega)$$

and the injection of $W_0^{r,2}(\Omega)$ into $W_0^{1,2p}(\Omega)$ is continuous. Hence, we have

Theorem 2. *For each $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, $u_0 \in W^{1,2p}(\Omega)$, $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, the initial boundary value problem (3.1)–(3.3) has a solution $u(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; W_0^{1,2p}(\Omega))$ with*

$$\partial u(x, t) / \partial t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$$

and

$$\partial^2 u(x, t) / \partial t^2 \in L^2(0, T; W^{-1,2p/2p-1}(\Omega)).$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor R. Iino for his helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Yu. A. Dubinskii: Quasilinear elliptic and parabolic equations of arbitrary order. *Russian Math. Surveys*, **23**, 45–91 (1968).
- [2] J. M. Greenberg, R. C. MacCamy, and V. J. Mizel: On the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions of the equation $\sigma'(u_x)u_x + \lambda u_{xtx} = \rho_0 u_{tt}$. *J. Math. Mech.*, **17**, 707–728 (1968).
- [3] J. M. Greenberg: On the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions of the equation $X_{tt} = E(X_x)X_{xx} + \lambda X_{xxt}$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **25**, 575–591 (1969).
- [4] F. E. Browder and Bui An Ton: Nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces and elliptic super-regularization. *Math. Z.*, **105**, 177–195 (1968).
- [5] J. L. Lions: *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod, Paris (1969).