

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1007/BF01848147

## Some notes on the upper and lower radicals — Source link

Trần Trong Huế, F. A. Szász

**Published on:** 01 Jun 1982 - *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica* (Akadémiai Kiadó, co-published with Springer Science+Business Media B.V., Formerly Kluwer Academic Publishers B.V.)

Related papers:

- [Subidempotent radical classes](#)
- [Characterizing the nil radical of a gamma ring](#)
- [A note on Brown—McCoy radicals of  \$\Gamma\$ -rings](#)
- [An upper radical property and an answer to a problem of L.C.A. van Leeuwen and G.A.P. Heyman](#)
- [On certain new radicals arising from a given radical](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/some-notes-on-the-upper-and-lower-radicals-3xsclgi0pb>

## SOME NOTES ON THE UPPER AND LOWER RADICALS

by

TRẦN TRONG HUỆ (Hanoi) and F. A. SZÁSZ (Budapest)

### § 1. Introduction

In the following only associative rings are considered. A radical class or briefly a radical will mean a radical in the sense of Kuroš and Amitsur. For the basic concepts of the radical theory we refer to [2], [6] and [7].

For a given class  $\mathbf{M}$  of rings, we denote the homomorphic closure of  $\mathbf{M}$  by  $H(\mathbf{M})$  and, the hereditary closure of  $\mathbf{M}$  by  $J(\mathbf{M})$ , these are,

$$H(\mathbf{M}) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a homomorphic image of some } \mathbf{M}\text{-ring}\}$$

$$J(\mathbf{M}) = \{A \mid A \text{ is an accessible subring of some } \mathbf{M}\text{-ring}\}$$

$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M})$  denotes the upper radical class determined by  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L})$  denotes the lower radical class determined by  $\mathbf{L}$ .

The class  $\mathbf{M}$  is said to be regular if it satisfies the following condition:

$$H(I) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } 0 \neq I \triangleleft A \in \mathbf{M}$$

where  $I \triangleleft A$  means  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ . Note: we write  $I$  for the class  $\{I\}$  containing  $I$  as its member.

A regular class may not contain the ring 0, for the sake of short statement we shall assume that regular classes contain the ring 0.

It is well-known that if the class  $\mathbf{M}$  is regular then

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}) = \{A \mid H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} = 0\}$$

In [5] W. G. LEAVITT and YU-LEE LEE have shown that if  $\mathbf{L}$  is a homomorphically closed class of rings, then

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \{A \mid J(A/I) \cap \mathbf{L} \neq 0 \text{ for every } A/I \neq 0\}$$

In 2 we shall consider conditions for classes  $\mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{M}_i, i = 1, 2$ , such that the upper and lower radical classes determine the same radical, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_i) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}_i) \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}_i) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}_i^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_i) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_i^*).$$

*AMS (MOS) subject classification* (1980). Primary 16A21.

*Key words and phrases.* Radical, upper radical, lower radical, homomorphic closure, hereditary closure, special radical, supernilpotent radical.

A class  $\mathbf{M}$  of rings has been called special by V. A. ANDRUNAKIEVIČ [1] if it is a hereditary class of prime rings with the property:

If  $I \triangleleft A$  with  $I \in \mathbf{M}$  then  $A/I^* \in \mathbf{M}$ , where  $I^*$  is the two-sided annihilator of  $I$  in  $A$ .

A radical  $R$  is called special if  $R$  is an upper radical determined by some special class.

A problem concerning the notion of the special radical can be naturally raised:

Find conditions for classes  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  such that the upper radical determined by  $\mathbf{M}$  and, the lower radical determined by  $\mathbf{L}$  are special. This problem will be solved in § 3.

ANDRUNAKIEVIČ [1] has shown that every special radical is supernilpotent. The following theorem will be necessary later on.

**THEOREM 1** (cf. [1], Theorem 6, pp. 198). *Let  $R$  be a supernilpotent radical then the upper radical determined by the class of all prime  $R$ -semisimple rings is the smallest special radical containing  $R$ .*

## § 2. The coincidence of upper radical classes and lower radical classes

### 2.1 Criterion for $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be a homomorphically closed class. Then a ring  $A$  is  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple if and only if  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$  holds.*

**PROOF.** Assume a ring  $A$  be  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple since every semisimple class of a associative rings is hereditary, so every accessible non-zero subrings of  $A$  is  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple. This implies  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that a ring  $A$  satisfies the condition  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$ . Assume  $B$  be a  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -ideal of the ring  $A$ . if  $B \neq 0$  then every non-zero homomorphic image of  $B$  contains a non-zero accessible  $\mathbf{L}$ -subring. In particular,  $B$  has a non-zero accessible  $\mathbf{L}$ -subring. From this it follows  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $B = 0$  and the ring  $A$  is  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that the class  $\mathbf{M}$  is regular and the class  $\mathbf{L}$  is homomorphically closed. Then  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(1) \mathbf{L} \cap \mathbf{M} = 0,$$

(2) *For every non-zero ring  $A$ , if  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$  then  $H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0$ .*

PROOF. In view of Lemma 2, the necessity is straightforward.

Conversely, assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. Since  $\mathbf{L}$  is homomorphically closed, so from the first condition follows that no ring of  $\mathbf{L}$  can be mapped homomorphically onto any non-zero  $\mathbf{M}$ -ring. Hence the inclusion  $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  holds. By the minimality of the lower radical we have  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ . Now, suppose that a ring  $A$  does not belong to the class  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ . By Lemma 2 the non-zero  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple ring  $A/\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})(A)$  has no non-zero accessible  $\mathbf{L}$ -subrings. By the second condition the ring  $A/\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})(A)$  can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero  $\mathbf{M}$ -ring. This implies  $H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0$  and so the ring  $A$  is not in  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ .

2.2. Criterion for  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$

THEOREM 4. Suppose  $\mathbf{M}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are regular classes of rings. Then  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$  if and only if

$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{M}_j \neq 0$$

for every ring  $A$  in  $\mathbf{M}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

PROOF. The necessity is obvious.

Now assume that the conditions of theorem are valid. We have to show that  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$ . Let  $A$  be an arbitrary ring in  $\mathbf{M}_1$  and,  $B$  any non-zero ideal of  $A$ . Since the class  $\mathbf{M}_1$  is regular so  $B$  can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero  $\mathbf{M}_1$ -ring  $C$ . By the hypothesis the ring  $C$  can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero  $\mathbf{M}_2$ -ring. This implies that every non-zero ideal of  $A$  can be mapped onto some non-zero  $\mathbf{M}_2$ -ring.

Thus the ring  $A$  is  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$ -semisimple, and so each ring  $A$  in  $\mathbf{M}_1$  is  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$ -semisimple. Since  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1)$  is the largest radical for which every ring in  $\mathbf{M}_2$  is semisimple, we must have  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2) \leq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1)$ . Similarly, also  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) \leq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$  holds.

COROLLARY. Let  $\mathbf{N}$  be a subclass of a regular class  $\mathbf{M}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  if the following condition is satisfied:

For every non-zero ring  $A \in \mathbf{M}$ ,

( $\alpha$ ) 
$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{N} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that if the condition ( $\alpha$ ) is valid then the subclass  $\mathbf{N}$  is regular. So the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied.

REMARK. In general, the converse is not true. For instance, let  $A$  be a non-zero simple ring. We take  $\mathbf{M} = \{A, A + A\}$  and  $\mathbf{N} = \{A + A\}$ . Clearly, the class  $\mathbf{M}$  is regular and  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  but the condition ( $\alpha$ ) is not valid.

2.3. Criterion for  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ 

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{L}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be homomorphically closed classes. Then  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$  if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

( $\beta$ ) *For every non-zero ring  $A \in \mathbf{L}_i$ ,  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_j \neq 0$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ).*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ . Then every ring  $A$  in  $\mathbf{L}_1$  is a  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ -radical and by Lemma 2 it follows  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_2 \neq 0$ .

Conversely, assume that the classes  $\mathbf{L}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , satisfy the condition of theorem. Let  $A$  be an arbitrary ring in  $\mathbf{L}_1$ . Since the class  $\mathbf{L}_1$  is homomorphically closed, so every homomorphic image of  $A$  is in  $\mathbf{L}_1$ . Therefore, by the condition ( $\beta$ ) every homomorphic image of  $A$  has a non-zero accessible  $\mathbf{L}_2$ -subring. Hence the ring  $A$  is in  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ . From that follows  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ . Similarly, also  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1)$  holds.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\mathbf{L}_0$  be a subclass of a homomorphically closed class  $\mathbf{L}$ . If  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_0 \neq 0$  holds for every non-zero ring  $A$  in  $\mathbf{L}$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_0) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ , provided that  $\mathbf{L}_0$  is homomorphically closed.*

## § 3. Criterion for the upper and lower radical to be special

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be a homomorphically closed class of rings such that the lower radical  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$  determined by  $\mathbf{L}$  is supernilpotent. Then the radical  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$  is special if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

( $\gamma$ ) *For a non-zero ring  $A$  if  $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$  then*

$$H(A) \cap P(\mathbf{L}) \neq 0$$

where

$$P(\mathbf{L}) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a prime ring and } J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0\}.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be a homomorphically closed class of rings such that  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$  is supernilpotent. By Lemma 2 every ring in  $P(\mathbf{L})$  is prime  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple. By Theorem 1 the radical  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$  is special if and only if  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(P(\mathbf{L}))$ .

Clearly, the relation  $\mathbf{L} \cap P(\mathbf{L}) = 0$  always holds. Thus, by Theorem 3  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(P(\mathbf{L}))$  if and only if condition ( $\gamma$ ) is valid.

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $\mathbf{L}$  is a hereditary and homomorphically closed class containing all zero-rings then the lower radical  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$  is special if and only if the property ( $\gamma$ ) is valid.*

PROOF. In [4] HOFFMAN and LEAVITT have shown that if  $L$  is hereditary, then the lower radical  $\mathfrak{L}(L)$  is hereditary. Hence, by the hypothesis, the radical  $\mathfrak{L}(L)$  is supernilpotent. Thus the theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.

LEMMA 8. Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a regular class of rings such that the upper radical  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  is supernilpotent. Then the radical  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  is special if the following condition is satisfied:

( $\chi$ ) for every non-zero ring  $A \in \mathbf{M}$ ,

$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{P} \neq 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{P}$  is the class of all prime rings.

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a regular class satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Consider the class  $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{P}$ . By the Corollary of Theorem 4 we have  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N})$  if condition ( $\alpha$ ) is satisfied. Next, we denote the class of prime  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ -semisimple ring by  $\mathbf{N}_1$  that is,  $\mathbf{N}_1 = \overline{\mathbf{M}} \cap \mathbf{P}$ , where

$$(*) \quad \overline{\mathbf{M}} = \{A \mid H(I) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0, \text{ for every } 0 \neq I \triangleleft A\}.$$

Clearly  $\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_1$ . Since class of prime rings and semisimple class are hereditary so the class  $\mathbf{N}_1$  is hereditary.

Let a ring  $A$  be in  $\mathbf{N}_1$ . By (\*) the ring  $A$  can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero ring  $A$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ . By condition ( $\chi$ ) the ring  $A$  has some non-zero homomorphic image  $A_2$  in  $\mathbf{N}$ . From this it follows that, for every ring  $A$  in  $\mathbf{N}_1$ ,  $H(A) \cap \mathbf{N} \neq 0$  holds. By the corollary of Theorem 4 we have  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ . Thus, by Theorem 1 the radical  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  is special.

THEOREM 9. Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a regular class of rings. Then the upper radical  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  is special if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\mathbf{M}$  does not contain non-zero zero-rings.
- (ii) For each ring  $A$ , if  $0 \neq I \triangleleft A$  and  $H(I) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0$ , then  $H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0$ .
- (iii) For every non-zero ring  $A \in \mathbf{M}$ ,

$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{P} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. In [3] ENERSEN and LEAVITT have shown that if the class  $\mathbf{N}$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii), then the upper radical  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$  is supernilpotent. Thus, the theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 8.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. ANDRUNAKIEVIČ, Radikaly asociativnyh kolec, I (Radicals of associative rings, I), *Mat. Sab.* **44** (1958), 179—212. *MR* **20** # 5220
- [2] N. J. DIVINSKY, *Rings and radicals*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1965. *MR* **33** # 5654
- [3] P. O. ENERSEN and W. G. LEAVITT, The upper radical construction, *Publ. Math.* (Debrecen) **20** (1973), 219—222. *MR* **48** # 8579
- [4] A. E. HOFFMAN and W. G. LEAVITT, Properties inherited by the lower radical, *Portugal. Math.* **27** (1968), 63—68. *MR* **41** # 6888
- [5] W. G. LEAVITT and YU-LEE LEE, A radical coinciding with the lower radical in associative and alternative rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **30** (1969), 459—462. *MR* **40** # 1437
- [6] F. SZÁSZ, *Radikale der Ringe*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, and VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1975. *MR* **55** # 8086
- [7] R. WIEGANDT, *Radical and semisimple classes of rings*, Queen's University, Kingston (Ontario), 1974. *MR* **50** # 2227

(Received December 31, 1979)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF HANOI  
HANOI  
VIETNAM

MTA MATEMATIKAI KUTATÓ INTÉZET  
H-1053 BUDAPEST  
REÁLTANODA U. 13-15.  
HUNGARY