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#### Abstract

The main result of this paper is as follows. Any two cycles of odd lengths of the graph of diameters $G$ in three-dimensional Euclidean space have a common vertex. Some properties of graphs of diameters in two-dimensional Banach spaces with strictly convex metrics are also established. Applications are given.


Definition. Let $V$ be a set in a metric space. We assign the following graph $G$ to the set $V$. The vertices of $G=(V, E)$ are the points of $V$. Two vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are adjacent $\left(x_{1} x_{2} \in E\right)$ iff the distance between $x_{1}, x_{2}$ equals the diameter of the set $V$. This graph is called the graph of diameters of $V$ (see [7], [8], and [11]). A segment with the ends $x_{1}, x_{2} \in V$ in a Banach space $B^{n}$ is called a diameter too if its length is equal to the diameter of $V$. In what follows, only sets of diameter one are considered.

Graphs of diameters were investigated in many papers in connection with the famous conjecture of Borsuk [2] (an excellent survey of the literature on Borsuk's conjecture is Grünbaum's paper [11]). In spite of the fact that at present this conjecture is disproved in large dimensions [15], it is probable that in small dimensions, for instance $n=$ 4, this conjecture is true. The proof for $n=3$ was given by Eggleston [6]. Other simple proofs for $n=3$ were given by Grünbaum [10] and Heppes [12] (for $n=$ 2 see [11]). However, the research of graphs of diameters represents an independent interest, but still there are no approaches to full description of these graph. Note that for two-dimensional Euclidean space this problem has a relatively easy solution (see [3]).

In this paper some properties of graphs of diameters in certain two-dimensional Banach spaces and in three-dimensional Euclidean space are presented.

[^0]Let $B^{2}$ be a two-dimensional Banach space with strictly convex metric.
Theorem 1. If $G$ is the graph of diameters of a set $V$ in $B^{2}$, then there exists $x \in V$ such that the graph of diameters $G^{\prime}$ of $V \backslash\{x\}$ is bipartite.

To prove Theorem 1, we need a lemma.
Lemma. Let $V$ be a finite subset of $B^{2}$, then any two diameters of $V$ have a common point.

Proof. Denote the closed ball and the sphere with center $x$ and of radius $r$ by $B(x, r)$ and $S(x, r)$. First we show that if $V \subset B^{n}$ and $x, y$ are the endpoints of a diameter of $V$, then there exist two parallel supporting hyperplanes of $V$ passing through $x$ and $y$, respectively.

Consider the ball $B(x, 1)$ and let $\pi_{1}$ be a supporting hyperplane of $B(x, 1)$ passing through $y$. Since $V$ is the subset of $B(x, 1)$, we see that $\pi_{1}$ is a supporting hyperplane of $V$. Similarly, there exists a supporting hyperplane $\pi_{2}$ of $B(y, 1)$ passing through $x$ such that $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are parallel.

Consider two diameters $[x, y]$ and $[a, b]$ of $V$. Assume $[x, y] \cap[a, b]=\emptyset$. Arguing as above, we see that there exist two parallel supporting lines $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ of $V$ passing through $x$ and $y$, respectively, and two parallel supporting lines $\pi_{1}^{\prime}, \pi_{2}^{\prime}$ of $V$ passing through $a$ and $b$, respectively.

We consider two cases.
Case 1: $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ are nonparallel. Then the lines $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{1}^{\prime}, \pi_{2}^{\prime}$ form a parallelogram. The points $x, y$ and $a, b$ belong to the opposite sides of this parallelogram. Consequently, $[x, y]$ and $[a, b]$ have a common point.
Case 2: if they are parallel, then $\pi_{1}=\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{2}=\pi_{2}^{\prime}$. We may assume that $x$, $a \in \pi_{1}$ and $y, b \in \pi_{2}$. Since $\pi_{1}$ is the supporting line of $B(y, 1)$ at the point $x$, we have $\|a-y\| \geq 1 \Rightarrow\|a-y\|=1$. Hence, if $z \in[x, a]$, then $\|z-y\|=1$. This contradicts the condition of the strict convexity for $B^{2}$. The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. In other words, we must prove that all cycles of odd lengths have a common vertex. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that $V$ is a finite set in $B^{2}$. It is easy to prove that there exists a direction $e$ such that any line $\pi \| e$ intersects $V$ in at most one point.

Evidently, there exists a line $\pi \| e$ such that $\pi$ intersects all diameters of the set $V$. Denote by $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ two open half-planes, defined by the line $\pi$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(P_{1} \cap V\right)<1, \quad \operatorname{diam}\left(P_{2} \cap V\right)<1, \quad\left|\pi^{\prime} \cap V\right| \leq 1
$$

The theorem is proved.
Theorem 1 immediately implies the following two corollaries.
Corollary 1. For any finite set $V \subset B^{2}$ there exists $x \in V$ such that the set $V \backslash\{x\}$ may be divided into two parts of smaller diameter.

Corollary 2. For any finite set $V \subset B^{2}$ there exists $U \subset V$ of smaller diameter such that $|U| \geq(|V|-1) / 2$.

If $V$ is the set of vertices of a regular $(2 m+1)$-gon and $U \subseteq V$ such that $|U| \geq|V| / 2$, then, evidently, $\operatorname{diam} U=\operatorname{diam} V=1$. This means that the inequality in Corollary 2 is exact.

The following result is an analogue of Theorem 1 for three-dimensional Euclidean space $E_{3}$.

Theorem 2. If $G$ is a graph of diameters in $E_{3}$, then any two cycles of odd lengths have a common vertex.

Proof. Consider a finite set $V \subset E_{3}$. There is a set $W$ of constant width 1 such that $V \subset W$ (see [1]).

Let $C=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 m+1}\right\}, x_{i} x_{i+1} \in E, 1 \leq i \leq 2 m+1$, be a cycle of length $2 m+1$ in the graph of diameters $G$ of the set $V$. Suppose $x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}$ are three successive vertices on this cycle; then $\left\|x_{i-1}-x_{i}\right\|=\left\|x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right\|=1$. Take the arc $\alpha_{i}(C)$ of the circle of center $x_{i}$ and radius 1 between $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i+1}$ of measure $<\pi$. Since $W$ is the set of constant width, we see that $\alpha_{i}(C) \subset \operatorname{bd} W$ (bd $W$ is the boundary of $W$ ).

It is easy to check that the union of all such arcs $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2 m+1} \alpha_{i}(C)$ for the given odd cycle $C$ is the closed curve $\gamma(C) \subset$ bd $W$. If $x \in \alpha_{i}(C)$, then the segment $\left[x_{i}, x\right]$ is a diameter. Therefore the vectors $\overline{x_{i} x}$ and $\overline{x x_{i}}$ are the unit normal vectors of the supporting hyperplanes of $W$ at the points $t$ and $y$.

Choose an origin $O$ in the space $E_{3}$. Selecting $O$ as the initial point of all vectors $\overline{x_{i} x}$ for $x \in \alpha_{i}(C)$, we denote by $\alpha_{i}^{+}(C)$ the set of endpoints of these vectors. Similarly, denote by $\alpha_{i}^{-}(C)$ the set of endpoints of $\overline{x x_{i}}, x \in \alpha_{i}(C)$.

Since the sets $\alpha_{i}^{+}(C)$ and $\alpha_{i}^{-}(C)$ consist of unit vectors, we see that $\alpha_{i}^{+}(C)$ and $\alpha_{i}^{-}(C)$ are two centrally symmetric arcs of the unit sphere $S(O, 1)$. For any cycle $C$ of length $2 m+1$ in the graph of diameters $G$, define

$$
S(C)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{2 m+1} \alpha_{i}^{+}(C) \cup \alpha_{i}^{-}(C)
$$

It is easy to show that $S(C)$ is a closed, centrally symmetric curve without selfintersections consisting of $2(2 m+1)$ arcs of a circle of radius 1 .

Consider two cycles of odd lengths $C_{1}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 m+1}\right\}$ and $C_{2}=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.y_{2 k+1}\right\}$ of the graph $G$. The corresponding curves $S\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $S\left(C_{2}\right)$ are homeomorphic to the circle, have no self-intersections, and are centrally symmetric. Using Jordan's theorem, we get that there exists $x \in S\left(C_{1}\right) \cap S\left(C_{2}\right)$. We consider two cases.
Case 1. Suppose $x$ is a common point for two arcs $\alpha_{i}^{+}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $\alpha_{j}^{+}\left(C_{2}\right)$; then the vector $O x$ is the normal vector of the supporting hyperplane for a set $W$ at the points $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$. Therefore $x_{i}, y_{j}$ belong to the same supporting hyperplane for $W$. Since a set of constant width is strictly convex, we see that $x_{i}=y_{j}$, and the theorem is proved in this case.

Case 2. Now suppose that $x \in \alpha_{i}^{-}\left(C_{1}\right) \cap \alpha_{j}^{+}\left(C_{2}\right)$; then $x_{i} \in \alpha_{j}\left(C_{2}\right)$ and $y_{j} \in \alpha_{i}\left(C_{1}\right)$. Assume $x_{i} \neq y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, y_{j} \neq x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}$. Denote the planes passing through the points $x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}$ and $y_{j-1}, y_{j}, y_{j+1}$ by $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$, respectively. Now suppose $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are not perpendicular. By $p_{\pi_{1}}$ denote the orthogonal projection of $E_{3}$ onto $\pi_{1}$. Then $p_{\pi_{1}}(W)$ is the set of constant width one too. Since $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ and $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ are diameters of $p_{\pi_{1}}(W)$, we see that $x_{i}$ is a corner point of $p_{\pi_{1}}(W)$.

On the other hand, $x_{i}$ is contained in the interior of $p_{\pi_{1}}\left(\alpha_{j}\left(C_{2}\right)\right) \subset p_{\pi_{1}}(W)$. The set $p_{\pi_{1}}\left(\alpha_{j}\left(C_{2}\right)\right)$ is an arc of an ellipse. Hence the point $x_{i}$ is not a corner point. This contradiction proves the theorem for this case.

Now consider the case when $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are perpendicular. Then the point $y_{j}$ is contained in the interior of $\alpha_{i}\left(C_{1}\right)$. Let $t$ be the midpoint of $\alpha_{i}\left(C_{1}\right)$, and let $\alpha, \beta$ be the measures of $\angle x_{i-1} x_{i} t, \angle x_{i-1} x_{i} y_{j}$, respectively. Then $\alpha>\beta$ and $\cos \alpha<\cos \beta$.

Consider the plane $\pi$ passing through $t$ and $x_{i}$ and perpendicular to $\pi_{1}$. Since $W \subseteq$ $B\left(x_{i-1}, 1\right) \bigcap B\left(x_{i+1}, 1\right)$, we have

$$
p_{\pi}(W) \subseteq \pi B\left(x_{i-1}, 1\right) \cap B\left(x_{i+1}, 1\right) \cap \pi=B
$$

Clearly, $B$ is a disk of radius $\cos \alpha$ with center at the point $z=\left(x_{i-1}+x_{i+1}\right) / 2 \in \pi$. Let $S$ be its boundary circle. The set $\delta=p_{\pi}\left(\alpha_{j}\left(C_{2}\right)\right)$ is an arc of an ellipse with semiaxes $1, \cos \beta$, and center on a segment $\left[t, x_{i}\right]$. The circle $S$ must touch $\delta$ at the point $x_{i}$.

Since $\cos \alpha>\cos \beta$, we obtain that the $\operatorname{arc} \delta$ is situated outside $B$ in some neighborhood of the point $x_{i}$. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark. Combining the proof of Theorem 1 and the statement of Theorem 2, it is easy to obtain that for any finite set $V$ in $E_{3}$ there exists $x \in V$ such that the set $V \backslash\{x\}$ may be divided into four parts of smaller diameters.

However, Theorem 2 leads to a stronger result. Namely, it implies an easy new solution of Borsuk's problem for finite subsets of $E_{3}$.

Corollary 3. If $V$ is a finite set in $E_{3}$, then it may be divided into four parts of smaller diameters.

Proof. In other notation, we must prove that the graph of diameters $G$ of a set $V$ in $E_{3}$ has chromatic number $\chi(G) \leq 4$. If $G$ contains no cycles of odd length, then, by König's theorem about bipartite graphs, we have $\chi(G) \leq 2$, and all is proved.

Therefore we assume that $G$ contains cycles of odd length. Let $C=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{2 m+1}\right\}, x_{i} x_{i+1} \in E, 1 \leq i \leq 2 m+1$, be a cycle of the least odd length $2 m+1$ in $G$. It is easy to prove that if $|i-j| \geq 2$, then $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ are not adjacent in $G$. Therefore $\chi(C)=3$. We color the vertices of the cycle $C$ by the colors $1,2,3$. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ be the color classes of $C$.

By Theorem 2, it follows that the graph of diameters $G_{1}$ of $V \backslash C$ contains no cycles of odd length. Consequently $\chi\left(G_{1}\right) \leq 2$. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be the color classes of $G_{1}$.

Now we prove that only one vertex $y \in G_{1}$ may be adjacent to three vertices of $C$ and that any other vertex $x \in G_{1}$ is adjacent to at most two vertices of $C$.

Indeed, suppose that there exists a vertex $y \in G_{1}$ adjacent to three vertices $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$, $i<j<k$, of the cycle $C$. Trivially, $(j-i)+(k-j)+(2 m+1+i-k)=2 m+1$ and therefore one of the numbers $j-i, k-j, 2 m+1+i-k$ is odd. Let $l=j-i$ be the least odd number of the set $\{j-i, k-j, 2 m+1+i-k\}$.

We consider two cases.
Case 1: $l=1$. Then $m=1$ and $G$ contains three mutually adjacent vertices $C=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\} \subseteq V$. Obviously, only one vertex $y \in G_{1}$ may be joined by an edge in $G$ with all vertices of $C$.
Case 2: $l \geq 3$. Then $l \leq 2 m+1-2-2=2 m-3$. Take the vertices $y, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. We get a cycle of odd length $\leq 2 m-1$. This contradiction proves the statement in this case.

Assume, without loss of generality, that $V_{2}$ contains no vertex $y$ adjacent to three vertices of $C$. We color all vertices of $V_{1}$ by the color 4 . Since any vertex $x \in V_{2}$ is adjacent to at most two vertices of $C$, we see that $x$ is adjacent to no vertex of a certain color class $C_{i}$ of $C$. We color $x$ by the color $i$. Finally, we obtain the 4-coloring of $G$. The corollary is proved.

Remark 1. Let $C$ be an odd cycle $C=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 m+1}\right\}$ of minimal length $>3$ in an arbitrary graph $G$. Suppose that a vertex $x$ is adjacent to two vertices $x_{i}, x_{j}, i<j$, of a cycle $C$; then it is easy to see that either $j-i=2$ or $2 m+1+i-j$.

Remark 2. A sketch of the proofs of Theorem 2 and Corollary 3 was given in [4]. Other proofs of Borsuk's conjecture for finite sets in $E_{3}$, based on the properties of graphs of diameters, were given by Grünbaum [9], Heppes and Révész [13], [14], and Straszewicz [16]. The proofs are based on the inequality $|E| \leq 2|V|-2$, which holds for any finite graph of diameters $G=(V, E)$ in $E_{3}$.

Remark 3. Arguing as above, it is not hard to prove that if $\left|T_{1} \cap T_{2}\right| \leq 2$ for every two complete subgraphs on four vertices $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ of a simple graph $G$ and if for any $k$ cycles of odd lengths in $G$ there exist two cycles having a common vertex, then $\chi(G) \leq 2 k+2$.

Remark 4. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2, it is easy to conclude that any cycle of odd length in a graph of diameters in $B^{2}$ (where $B^{2}$ is a two-dimensional Banach space with strictly convex metric) and any edge of the graph have a common vertex.

Corollary 4. If a set $V \subseteq E_{3}$ (finite or infinite) has diameter d, then there exists such an integer $m$ that in any finite subset $W \subseteq V$ there exists such a subset $U \subseteq W$ that $|U| \geq(|W|-2 m-1) / 2$ and $\operatorname{diam} U<d$.

Proof. If $G$ contains no cycles of odd length, then, by König's theorem, we have $\chi(G) \leq 2$. Then we may assume that $m=0$, and all is proved.

Therefore we assume that $G$ contains cycles of odd length. Let $C$ be a cycle of the least odd length $2 m+1$ in $G$. Take a finite set $W$ such that $|W| \geq 2 m+1$. By Theorem 2, $W \backslash C$ contains a stable subset on $\geq(|W|-2 m-1) / 2$ vertices. This concludes the proof.

In conclusion we formulate two problems:
(1) Does Theorem 2 hold for three-dimensional Banach spaces with a strictly convex metric?
(2) Can we choose such universal $m \in \mathbb{N}$, that for an arbitrary finite set $V$ in $E_{3}$ there exists $U$ such that $U \subseteq V,|U| \geq(|V|-m) / 2$, and $\operatorname{diam} U<\operatorname{diam} V$ ?

The next definition was given in [5]. Consider a simple graph $G=(V, E)$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $p(q, G)$ be the least of the numbers $p$ such that, for any $W \subseteq V,|W| \geq p$, there exists a stable subset consisting of $\geq q$ vertices. This function is called the stable function of $G$.

Corollary 3 is equivalent to the following statement: $p(q, G) \leq 2 q$ for any graph of diameters in $B_{2}$ with a strictly convex metric. Using estimates of the number of parts of smaller diameter, in which it is possible to divide a bounded set of $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_{n}$ (see [3]), it is easy to see that, for any graph of diameters $G$ in $E_{n}$, we have

$$
p(q, G) \leq c^{n}(q-1)+1 \quad \text { for some } \quad c>1
$$

Also it easily follows from [15] that there exist $c_{1}>1$ and a graph of diameters in $E_{n}$ such that

$$
p(q, G) \geq c_{1}^{n}(q-1)+1
$$

It would be interesting to find exact estimates of $p(q, G)$ for dimensions $n=3,4$.
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