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#### Abstract

The study of the Aluthge transform $\widetilde{T}$ was introduced and studied by Aluthge in his study of $p$-hyponormal operators in 1990. Several researchers have since studied various properties of the transform for a single operator $T$. For instance, quite a lot has been researched on the numerical range of $\widetilde{T}$ of an operator $T$. In contrast to this, nothing is known about the joint numerical range of Aluthge transform $\widetilde{T}$ of an $m$-tuple operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right)$. The main reason for this limitation is that the notion of Aluthge transform is still a new area of study. The focus of this paper is on the study of the properties of the joint numerical range of Aluthge transform for an $m$-tuple operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right)$. Among other results, we show that the joint approximate point spectrum of $\widetilde{T}$ is contained in the closure of the joint numerical range of of $\widetilde{T}$. This study is therefore helpful in the development of the research on numerical ranges and Aluthge transform.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, $B(X)$ shall denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space $X$. The Aluthge transform $\widetilde{T}$ of $T$ was first defined by Aluthge [1] in 1990 as the operator $T=|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Note here

[^0]that $T=U|T|$ is any polar decomposition of $T$ with $U$ a partial isometry and $|T|=\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Here, a linear operator $T^{*} \in B(X)$ defined by the relation $\langle T x, y\rangle=\left\langle x, T^{*} y\right\rangle \forall y, x \in X$ will denote the adjoint of an operator $T \in$ $B(X)$. Note that the adjoint of the operator $T^{*} \in B(X)$ is not the same as the adjoint of matrix $A$ denoted by $\operatorname{Adj}(A)$ which is obtained as the transpose of the cofactor matrix and is used in the determination of the inverse of the matrix. This paper studies the joint numerical range of $\widetilde{T}$ of an $m$-tuple operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$ and establishes some of its properties.

The following section is brief survey of the theory of the joint numerical range and a related topic of joint numerical radius of an $m$-tuple operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$.

## 2. Joint Numerical Range

The concept of numerical range $W(T)$, also known as the classical field of values on a Hilbert space, was introduced in 1918 by Toeplitz [11] for matrices. Since then, a vast amount of research has been pursued for this notion which has resulted to many proofs of the convexity result and other properties of $W(T)$. For instance, Gustafson and Rao [7] used the following theorem to show that $W(T)$ is convex. It is known that a set $S$ is convex if a line segment joining any two points in $S$ is contained in $S$.

Theorem 1. (Toeplitz-Hausdorff). The numerical range of an operator is convex.

Gustafson and Rao [7] proved this by showing that the segment containing any two points in $W(T)$ is contained in $W(T)$.

Dekker [6] extended the notion of numerical range to joint numerical range in 1969. The joint numerical range has since been used by several researchers as a tool to understand the joint behaviour of several operators. The joint numerical range of $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in S(X)^{m}$ is denoted and defined as, $W_{m}(T)=\left\{\left(\left\langle T_{1} x, x\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle T_{m} x, x\right\rangle\right): x \in X,\langle x, x\rangle=1\right\}$. Here, $S(X)$ is the set of self adjoint operators in $B(X)$.

The joint numerical range has also been studied by researchers such as Dash [5] and Halmos [8] to establish its properties. It is worth noting that the joint numerical range is generally not convex for $m$-tuple of operators (see [3]) though there are cases in which it is convex. Researchers studied the closure of the joint numerical range, $\overline{W_{m}(T)}$, and concluded that is usually non-convex. See [2] and [4] for this and more.

The following theorems were used to highlight cases where the joint numerical range is convex.

Theorem 2. If $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right)$ is an $m$-tuple of commuting normal operators, then $W_{m}(T)$ is a convex subset of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$.

See Dekker [6] for the proof.
Theorem 3. Let $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right)$ be an $m$-tuple of functions in $L^{\infty}$. Then $W_{m}(T)$ of commuting $m$-tuple $T=\left(T_{\varphi}, \ldots, T_{\varphi}\right)$ of Toeplitz operators on a classical Hardy space $H^{2}$ is convex.

See Dash [5] for the proof.
Related to the study of the joint numerical range is the notion of the joint numerical radius of an operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$. The joint numerical radius of $T$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{m}(T)=\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle T_{m} x, x\right\rangle\right|: x \in X,\|x\|=1\right\}=\sup \left\{\left|\lambda_{k}\right|: \lambda_{k} \in W_{m}(T)\right\} \\
& 1 \leq k \leq m
\end{aligned}
$$

A lot has been done on the concept of joint numerical radius. For instance, it is known that the joint numerical radius of a self adjoint and normal operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$ is the norm of the operator i.e $w_{m}(T)=\left\|T_{k}\right\|$.

Theorem 4. Suppose $T \in B(X)$. Then, $w_{m}(\mathcal{R} e(T)) \leq w_{m}(T)$ and $w_{m}(\operatorname{Im}(T)) \leq w_{m}(T)$. Here, $\mathcal{R} e$ stands for "real part of" and Im stands for "imaginary part of ".

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{R} e(T)=\frac{1}{2}\left(T+T^{*}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(T)=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(T-T^{*}\right)$. Now, from the definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{m}(\mathcal{R} e(T)) & =\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{T_{k}+T_{k}^{*}}{2}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right|: x \in X,\|x\|=1\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left|\left\langle T_{k} x, x\right\rangle\right|+\frac{1}{2}\left|\left\langle x, T_{k} x\right\rangle\right|: x \in X,\|x\|=1\right\} \\
& =w_{m}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{m}(\operatorname{Im}(T)) & =\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{T_{k}-T_{k}^{*}}{2 i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right|: x \in X,\|x\|=1\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\frac{1}{2 i}\left|\left\langle T_{k} x, x\right\rangle\right|-\frac{1}{2 i}\left|\left\langle x, T_{k} x\right\rangle\right|: x \in X,\|x\|=1\right\} \\
& =w_{m}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following theorem demonstrates that the joint numerical radius is invariant under unitary equivalence.

Theorem 5. Suppose $T=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$. Then, for every unitary operator $U \in B(X), w_{m}\left(U T U^{*}\right)=w_{m}(T)$.

Proof. Let $U$ be a unitary operator. Recall that $x \in X$ is a unit vector of $X$ if and only if $U^{*} x$ is a unit vector. Since $\left\langle U T U^{*} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle T U^{*} x, U^{*} x\right\rangle=\langle T x, x\rangle$, the proof follows from the definition of joint numerical radius.

It is known that $w_{m}(T)$ is a norm equivalent to the operator norm $\left\|T_{k}\right\|$ which satisfies $\frac{1}{2}\left\|T_{k}\right\| \leq w_{m}(T) \leq\left\|T_{k}\right\|$.

## 3. Joint Numerical Range of Aluthge Transform

While studying the properties of the Aluthge transform, many authors have investigated the relation between numerical range of $T$ and $\widetilde{T}$. For instance, Jung, Ko and Pearcy in [9] showed that $W(\widetilde{T}) \subseteq W(T)$ for any $T$ on a two-dimensional space. In [13], Yamazaki showed that $\overline{W(\widetilde{T})} \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$ for an operator $T$ with $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T^{*}$. Wu showed in [12] that the containment $\overline{W(\widetilde{T})} \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$ holds for any operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $X$. In this section, we focus on the properties of the joint numerical range of $\widetilde{T}$.

Let $T=U|T|$ be a polar decomposition of $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$ and let $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. The joint numerical range of Aluthge transform is denoted and defined by

$$
W_{m}(\widetilde{T})=\left\{r_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}:\left(\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{1} x, x\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{m} x, x\right\rangle\right)=r_{k}\right.
$$

where $x \in X,\|x\|=1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m\}$ and its closure $\overline{W_{m}(\widetilde{T})}$ defined by

$$
\overline{W_{m}(\widetilde{T})}=\bigcap_{z_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}}\left\{r_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}:\left|r_{k}-z_{k}\right| \leq w_{m}\left(T_{k}-z_{k} I\right), 1 \leq k \leq m\right\}
$$

where $w_{m}\left(T_{k}\right)$ is the joint numerical radius of an $m$-tuple operator $T=$ $\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$. The joint numerical radius of $T_{k}$ is defined as

$$
w_{m}\left(T_{k}\right)=\sup \left\{\left|r_{k}\right|: r_{k} \in W_{m}(T), 1 \leq k \leq m\right\}
$$

We define the joint approximate point spectrum $\sigma_{\pi}(\widetilde{T})$ of Aluthge transform of an operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right)$ as a point $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ such that for a sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ of unit vectors in $X$ we have

$$
\left\|\left(\lambda_{i}-\widetilde{T}_{i}\right) x_{m}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad(m \longrightarrow \infty), \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

Theorem 6. The joint approximate point spectrum $\sigma_{\pi}(\widetilde{T})$ is contained in $\overline{W_{m}(\widetilde{T})}$

Proof. Suppose $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right) \in \sigma_{\pi}(\widetilde{T})$. There is a sequence $x_{m} \in X$ such that $\left\|\left(\widetilde{T}_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right) x_{m}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad(m \longrightarrow \infty), \quad i=1, \ldots, m$.

Then, by Schwarz inequality,

$$
\left|\left(\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{i} x_{m}, x_{m}\right\rangle\right)-\lambda_{i}\right|=\left|\left(\left\langle\left(\widetilde{T}_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right) x_{m}, x_{m}\right\rangle\right)\right| \leq\left\|\left(\widetilde{T}_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right) x_{m}\right\|
$$

Thus $\left(\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{i} x_{m}, x_{m}\right\rangle\right) \rightarrow \lambda$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
Therefore, $\lambda \in \overline{W_{m}(\widetilde{T})}$ and $\sigma_{\pi}(\widetilde{T}) \subset \overline{W_{m}(\widetilde{T})}$.
The immediate consequence of the above theorem is the next corollary which we state without proof.

Corollary 7. Conv $\sigma_{\pi}(\widetilde{T}) \subseteq \overline{W_{m}(\widetilde{T})}$.
Here Conv $\sigma_{\pi}(\widetilde{T})$ denotes the convex hull of the joint approximate point spectrum of the Aluthge transform $\widetilde{T}$.

Theorem 8. Let $T=V|T|$ and $T=U|T|$ be the polar decompositions of $T$, where $U$ and $V$ are partial isometries. Then $\widetilde{T}=|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} V|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}=|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

See [9] for the proof.
It is clear that the joint numerical range of $\widetilde{T}$ is invariant under the unitary equivalence of operators as shown by the following theorem.

Theorem 9. Let $U$ be a unitary operator on $X$. Then $W_{m}(\widetilde{T})=W_{m}\left(U^{*} \widetilde{T} U\right)$.
Proof. If $W_{m}(\widetilde{T})=\emptyset$ and $W_{m}\left(U^{*} \widetilde{T} U\right)=\emptyset$ the result would follow automatically for $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$.

Let $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}(\widetilde{T}) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a unit vector $x \in X$ such that

$$
\left(\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{1} x, x\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{m} x, x\right\rangle\right) \rightarrow r_{k}
$$

where $x \in X,\|x\|=1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$.
Note that $U$ is a unitary operator and $x \in X$ is a unit vector if and only if $U^{*} x$ is a unit vector. Note also that

$$
\left\langle U \widetilde{T} U^{*} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle\widetilde{T} U^{*} x, U^{*} x\right\rangle=\langle\widetilde{T} x, x\rangle
$$

Also, $\left\|U^{*} x\right\|=1$ if and only if $\|x\|=1$.
Then simple computation gives $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}\left(U^{*} \widetilde{T} U\right)$. Thus

$$
W_{m}(\widetilde{T}) \subseteq W_{m}\left(U^{*} \widetilde{T} U\right)
$$

Conversely, let $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}\left(U^{*} \widetilde{T} U\right) \neq \emptyset$. The prove runs through as above to give $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}(\widetilde{T})$.

This implies that $W_{m}\left(U^{*} \widetilde{T} U\right) \subseteq W_{m}(\widetilde{T})$ which completes the proof.
We use the following theorem to show that $W_{m}(\widetilde{T})$ behaves nicely under the operation of taking the adjoint of an operator.

Theorem 10. $W_{m}\left(\widetilde{T}^{*}\right)=\left(W_{m}(\widetilde{T})\right)^{*}=\left\{\bar{r}: r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}(\widetilde{T})\right\}$
Proof. Let $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}(\widetilde{T})$. Then, there is a unit vector $x \in X$ such that $\left(\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{1} x, x\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{m} x, x\right\rangle\right)=r_{k}$ where $x \in X,\|x\|=1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then

$$
\left|\langle x, x\rangle-\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{k} x, \widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\left(1-\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right|=0
$$

implies that $\left\|\sqrt{\left(1-\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k}\right)} x\right\|^{2}=0$. Thus $\left\|\left(1-\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k}\right) x\right\|=0$ and $\left\|\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\|=1$. Hence $\left\|\widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\|=1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k} x, \widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\rangle-\left\langle x, \widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\left\langle\left(\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k}-1\right) x, \widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k}-1\right) x\right\|\left\|\widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\| \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} \widetilde{T}_{k} x, \widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\rangle=\left\langle x, \widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\rangle=\bar{r}$. Puting $z=\frac{\widetilde{T}_{k} x}{\left\|\widetilde{T}_{k} x\right\|}$ where $z$ is a unit vector we obtain $\left\|\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} z\right\|=1$ and $\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{k}^{*} z, z\right\rangle=\bar{r}$. Thus $\bar{r} \in W_{m}\left(\widetilde{T}^{*}\right)$. Hence $\left(W_{m}(\widetilde{T})\right)^{*} \subseteq W_{m}\left(\widetilde{T}^{*}\right)$. By symmetry, we obtain $W_{m}\left(\widetilde{T}^{*}\right) \subseteq\left(W_{m}(\widetilde{T})\right)^{*}$ meaning $W_{m}\left(\widetilde{T}^{*}\right)=\left(W_{m}(\widetilde{T})\right)^{*}$

We now generalise the theorem by Wu in [12] on single operator case to come up with the following theorem which we state without proof.

Theorem 11. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$. Then $\overline{W_{m}(\widetilde{T})} \subseteq \overline{W_{m}(T)}$.
It is known (from [10]) that $\|\widetilde{T}\| \subseteq\|T\|$. This leaves us with very limited relationships between joint numerical range of $T$ and $\widetilde{T}$ as the following theorem demonstrates.

Theorem 12. Let $T=\left(T_{1, \ldots,}, T_{m}\right) \in B(X)$ and $|T|=\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $W_{m}(\widetilde{T}) \subseteq W_{m}(T)$.

Proof. There would be nothing to prove if $W_{m}(\widetilde{T})=\emptyset$. Therefore, we let $r=$ $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}(\widetilde{T})$. Then, there is a unit vector $x \in X$ such that $\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{k} x, x\right\rangle=r_{k}$
where $x \in X,\|x\|=1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$. It follows that, $\left\|\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\|=\left\|\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\right\|=$ 1 and $\left\|\left(1-\left|T_{k}\right|\right) x\right\|=0,1 \leq k \leq m$. Also, $\left\|\left(1-\left|T_{k}\right|^{3}\right) x\right\|=0,1 \leq k \leq m$. Thus,

$$
\left.\left\|T_{k}\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\|=\left.\langle | T_{k}\right|^{3} x, x\right\rangle=1=\left\|T_{k}\right\|, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{k} x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle T_{k}\right| T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x,\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle \mid & \left.\left.=\left|\langle U| T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x,\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle-\left.\langle T| T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x,\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle \mid \\
& \left.=\left|\left\langle\left(U\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}-U\left|T_{k}\right|\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) x,\right| T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle \mid \\
& \left.=\left|\left\langle\left(U\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)\left(1-\left|T_{k}\right|\right) x,\right| T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle \mid \\
& \leq\left\|U\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\right\|\left\|\left(1-\left|T_{k}\right|\right) x\right\|\left\|\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\|=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 \leq k \leq m$.
If we let $z=\left(\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right)\left(\left\|\left|T_{k}\right|^{1 / 2} x\right\|\right)^{-1}$ then $z \in X$ is a unit vector such that $\left\langle T_{k} z, z\right\rangle=r_{k}$ and $\|z\|=1,1 \leq k \leq m$. Thus $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right) \in W_{m}(T)$. Hence $W_{m}(\widetilde{T}) \subseteq W_{m}(T)$.
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