

SOME PROPERTIES OF TWO-FOLD SYMMETRIC ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

A. MUHAMMAD - Q. SHAH - M. ISMAIL MOHAMAND

In this paper, we introduce a new class of two-fold symmetric functions analytic in the unit disc. We prove such results as subordination and superordination properties, convolution properties, distortion theorems, and inequality properties of this new class.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(m)$ denote the class of functions f :

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $E = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Also, let $\mathcal{H}[a, m+1]$ be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_{m+1}z^{m+1} + a_{m+2}z^{m+2} + \dots, \quad z \in E.$$

If f and g are analytic in E , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$

Entrato in redazione: 17 novembre 2012

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Analytic functions, two-fold Symmetric functions, Differential, subordination and superordination.

in E such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in E , then we have the following equivalence holds, see [4, 5]

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in E) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(E) \subset g(E).$$

For function $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(m)$, where f is given by (1) and g is defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\},$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of the function f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z).$$

In [8], Sakaguchi defined the class of starlike functions with respect to symmetrical points as follows:

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then f is said to be starlike with respect to symmetrical points in E if, and only if,

$$Re \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

Obviously, it forms a subclass of close-to-convex functions and hence univalent. Moreover, this class includes the class of convex functions and odd starlike functions with respect to the origin, see [8].

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}(m)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$, if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} \\ \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where and throughout this paper unless otherwise mention the parameters λ, μ, A and B are constrained as follows:

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : Re(\mu) > 0 : -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B, A \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and all powers are understood as principal values.

In this paper, we prove such results as subordination and superordination properties, convolution properties, distortion theorems, and inequality properties of the class $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$.

For interested readers see the work done by the authors [1, 2, 10–13].

2. Preliminary Results

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{Q} be the set of all functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{E} \setminus U(f)$, where

$$U(f) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial E : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty \right\},$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial E \setminus U(f)$.

To establish our main results we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.2 (Miller and Mocanu [4, 5]). *Let the function $h(z)$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in E with $h(0) = 1$. Suppose also that the function $\Phi(z)$ given by*

$$\Phi(z) = 1 + c_{m+1}z^{m+1} + c_{m+2}z^{m+2} + \dots$$

is analytic in E ,

$$\Phi(z) + \frac{z\Phi'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in E; \operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0; \gamma \neq 0), \quad (3)$$

then

$$\Phi(z) \prec \Psi(z) = \frac{\gamma}{(m+1)z^{\frac{\gamma}{m+1}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\gamma}{m+1}-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in E),$$

and $\Psi(z)$ is the best dominant of (3).

Lemma 2.3 (Shanmugam et al. [9]). *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and let q be a convex univalent function in E with*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0; -\operatorname{Re} \frac{\sigma}{\eta} \right\}, \quad z \in E.$$

If p is analytic in E and

$$\sigma p(z) + \eta zp'(z) \prec \sigma q(z) + \eta zq'(z), \quad (4)$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$, and q is the best dominant of (4).

Lemma 2.4 ([5]). *Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in E and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that $\operatorname{Re} k > 0$. If*

$$g(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q},$$

and

$$g(z) + kzq'(z) \prec g(z) + kzg'(z),$$

implies $q(z) \prec g(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Lemma 2.5 ([3]). *Let F be analytic and convex in E . If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(1)$ and $f, g \prec F$, then*

$$\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g \prec F \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1).$$

Lemma 2.6 ([7]). *Let*

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

be analytic in E and

$$g(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

be analytic and convex in E . If $f(z) \prec g(z)$, then

$$|a_k| < |b_1|, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} \prec \psi(z) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (5)$$

and $\psi(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Set

$$\left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} = h(z), \quad z \in E. \quad (6)$$

Then $h(z)$ is analytic in E with $h(0) = 1$.

Logarithmic differentiation of (5) and simple computations yield

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} \\ = h(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} z h'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to (7) with $\gamma = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} \prec \psi(z) &= \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} z^{-\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + At}{1 + Bt} t^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} dt \\ &= \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and $\psi(z)$ is the best dominant. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in E , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Suppose also that $q(z)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0; -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) \right\}. \quad (9)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(m)$ satisfies the following subordination:

$$(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} zq'(z), \quad (10)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \prec q(z),$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Let the function $h(z)$ be defined by (6). We know that the first part of (7) holds true. Combining (7) and (10), we have

$$h(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} zh'(z) \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} zq'(z). \quad (11)$$

By using Lemma 2.3 and (11), we easily get the assertion of Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Suppose also that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1-Bz}{1+Bz} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) \right\}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(m)$ satisfies the following subordination:

$$(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \\ \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \lambda \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2},$$

then

$$\left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

and $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best dominant.

If f is subordinate to F , then F is superordinate to f . We now derive the following superordination result for the class $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let q be convex univalent in E , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. Also let

$$\left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu$$

be univalent in E . If

$$q(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} zq'(z) \prec (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu,$$

then

$$q(z) \prec \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu,$$

and q is the best subordinator.

Proof. Let the function $h(z)$ be defined by (6). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & q(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} zq'(z) \\ & \prec (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \\ & = h(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} zh'(z). \end{aligned}$$

An application of Lemma 2.4 yields the assertion of Theorem 3.4. \square

Taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ in Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in E and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. Also let

$$0 \neq \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q},$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu$$

be univalent in E . If

$$\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \lambda \frac{(A - B)z}{(1 + Bz)^2} \prec (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu,$$

then

$$\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \prec \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu,$$

and $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best subordinant.

Combining the above results of subordination and superordination, we easily get the following "sandwich-type result".

Corollary 3.6. *Let q_1 be convex univalent and let q_2 be univalent in E , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. Let q_2 satisfy (9). If*

$$0 \neq \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q},$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu$$

is univalent in E , also

$$\begin{aligned} & q_1(z) + \frac{\lambda z q_1'(z)}{\mu} \\ & \prec (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \\ & \prec q_2(z) + \frac{\lambda z q_2'(z)}{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \prec q_2(z),$$

and q_1 and q_2 are, respectively, the best subordinant and dominant.

Theorem 3.7. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu > 0$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{0,\mu}(m, 1 - 2\rho, -1)$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda,\mu}(m, 1 - 2\rho, -1)$ for $|z| < R$,*

where

$$R = \left(\left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{|\lambda|(m+1)}{\mu} \right)^2 + 1} \right) - \frac{|\lambda|(m+1)}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}}. \quad (12)$$

The bound R is best possible.

Proof. Set

$$\left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu = (1 - \rho)h(z) + \rho, \quad z \in E, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1. \quad (13)$$

Then, clearly the function $h(z)$ is analytic in E with $h(0) = 1$. Proceeding as an Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-\rho} \left\{ (1-\lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right. \\ \left. + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu - \rho \right\} \\ = h(z) + \frac{\lambda z h'(z)}{\mu}. \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

Using the following well-known estimate, see [6]

$$|z h'(z)| \leq \frac{2(m+1)r^{m+1} \operatorname{Re}(h(z))}{(1-r^{2(m+1)})} \quad (|z| = r < 1)$$

in (14), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1-\rho} \left\{ (1-\lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right. \\ \left. + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu - \rho \right\} \\ \geq \operatorname{Re} h(z) \left\{ 1 - \frac{2|\lambda|(m+1)r^{m+1}}{\mu(1-r^{2(m+1)})} \right\}. \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

Right hand side of (15) is positive, provided that $r < R$, where R is given by (12).

In order to show that the bound R is best possible, we consider the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(m)$ defined by

$$\left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu = (1 - \rho) \frac{1 + z^{m+1}}{1 - z^{m+1}} + \rho, \quad z \in E, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1.$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-\rho} \left\{ (1-\lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right. \\ \left. + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu - \rho \right\} \\ = \frac{1+z^{m+1}}{1-z^{m+1}} + \frac{2|\lambda|(m+1)z^{m+1}}{\mu(1-z^{m+1})^2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $|z| = R$, we conclude that the bound is the best possible and this proves the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{B}^{\lambda_2, \mu}(m, A_2, B_2) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\lambda_1, \mu}(m, A_1, B_1). \quad (16)$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda_2, \mu}(m, A_2, B_2)$. We know that

$$\left\{ (1-\lambda_2) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda_2 \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right\} \prec \frac{1+A_2z}{1+B_2z}.$$

Since $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$, we easily find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (1-\lambda_2) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda_2 \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right\} \\ \prec \frac{1+A_2z}{1+B_2z} \prec \frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

that is $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda_2, \mu}(m, A_1, B_1)$.

Thus the assertion (16) holds true for $0 \leq \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. If $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 \geq 0$, by Theorem 3.1 and (17), we know that $f \in \mathcal{B}^{0, \mu}(m, A_2, B_2)$, that is,

$$\left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \prec \frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}. \quad (18)$$

At the same time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (1-\lambda_1) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda_1 \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right\} \\ = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left[(1-\lambda_2) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda_2 \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right] + \\ \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Moreover,

$$0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} < 1,$$

and the function $\frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}$, $-1 \leq B_1 < A_1 \leq 1$, $z \in E$ is analytic and convex in E . Combining (17-19) and Lemma 2.5, we find that

$$\left\{ (1-\lambda_1) \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu + \lambda_1 \frac{z(f'(z)+f'(-z))}{f(z)-f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu \right\} \prec \frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z},$$

that is $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda_1, \mu}(m, A_1, B_1)$, which implies that the assertion (16) of Theorem 3.8 holds and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.9. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$ with $\lambda > 0$ and $-1 \leq B_1 < A_1 \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1-Au}{1-Bu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)}-1} du \\ & < \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu < \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)}-1} du. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The extremal function of (20) is defined by

$$F_{\lambda, \mu, m, A, B}(z) = 2z \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az u}{1+Bz u} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)}-1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$ with $\lambda > 0$. From Theorem 3.1, we know that (5) holds, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu & < \sup_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Az u}{1+Bz u} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)}-1} du \right\} \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \sup_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1+Az u}{1+Bz u} \right) u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)}-1} du \right\} \\ & < \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1+Au}{1+Bu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)}-1} du, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^\mu &> \inf_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \right\} \\
 &\geq \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \inf_{z \in E} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} \right) u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \right\} \\
 &> \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du. \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (22) and (23), we obtain (20). Noting that the function $F_{\lambda, \mu, m, A, B}(z)$ defined by (21) belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$, we get that inequality (20) is sharp. This completes the proof. \square

In view of Theorem 3.9, we have the following distortion theorems for the class $\mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$ with $\lambda > 0$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2r \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Aur}{1 - Bur} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \\
 &< |f(z) - f(-z)| < 2r \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Aur}{1 + Bur} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}. \tag{24}
 \end{aligned}$$

The extremal function of (24) is defined by (21).

By noting that

$$(\operatorname{Re}(v))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \operatorname{Re}(v^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq |v|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re} v \geq 0.$$

From Theorem 3.9, we can easily derive the following result.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B)$ with $\lambda > 0$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &< \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} < \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} u^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} - 1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.12. *Let*

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, B), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (25)$$

Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \left| \frac{2(A - B)}{\lambda(m + 1) + 2\mu} \right|. \quad (26)$$

The inequality (26) is sharp, with the extremal function defined by (21).

Proof. Combining (2) and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right)^{\mu} \\ &= 1 + \left[1 + \frac{\lambda(m + 1)}{2\mu} \right] \mu a_{m+1} z^{m+1} + \dots < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \\ &= 1 + (A - B)z + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

An application of Lemma 2.5 to (27) yields

$$\left| \left[1 + \frac{\lambda(m + 1)}{2\mu} \right] \mu a_{m+1} \right| \leq |A - B|. \quad (28)$$

Thus, from (28), we easily arrive at (26) asserted by Theorem 3.12. □

Theorem 3.13. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(m, A, 0)$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0, A > 0$ and $|\lambda| \left(1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mu}{\lambda(m+1)} \right) > A\mu$. Then*

$$\left| \frac{z(f'(z) + f'(-z))}{f(z) - f(-z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{A \left[|\lambda| \left(m + 1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) + \mu \right]}{|\lambda| \left[|\lambda| \left(m + 1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) - A\mu \right]}.$$

Proof. Let $h(z)$ be defined by (5). It follows from (6) that

$$h(z) + \frac{\lambda z h'(z)}{\mu} = 1 + Aw(z), \quad (29)$$

where

$$w(z) = \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} w_k z^k, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

is analytic in E with $|w(z)| < 1, z \in E$. From (29), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= 1 + A \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda} - 1} w(tz) dt \\ &= 1 + A \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}} w_k z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

It follows from (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} (zh(z))' &= 1 + A \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{k + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}} w_k z^k \\ &= 1 + A \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}} w_k z^k \\ &\quad + A \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \left(w(z) - \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}-1} w(tz) dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We now find from (30) and (31) that

$$zh'(z) = A \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \left(w(z) - \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}-1} w(tz) dt \right). \quad (32)$$

Combining (30) and (32), we can get

$$\left| \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right| < \frac{A\mu \left[|\lambda| \left(m+1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) + \mu \right]}{|\lambda| \left[|\lambda| \left(m+1 + \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) - A\mu \right]}. \quad (33)$$

Thus, from (6) and (33), we easily arrive at the assertion of Theorem 3.13. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for his/her valuable advices and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali Muhammad, *Some differential subordination and superordinations properties of symmetric functions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 69 (3) (2011), 247–259.
- [2] M. K. Aouf - T. M. Seoudy, *Some properties of a class of multivalent analytic functions involving the Liu-Owa operator*, J. Com. Math. App. 60 (2010), 1525–1535.
- [3] M. S. Liu, *On certain subclass of analytic functions*, J. South China Normal Univ. 4 (2002), 15–20 (in Chinese).

- [4] S. S. Miller - P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordination Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.
- [5] S. S. Miller - P. T. Mocanu, *Subordinations of differential superordinations*, Complex Variables 48 (10) (2003), 815–826.
- [6] T. H. Macgregor, *The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 514–520.
- [7] W. Rogosinski, *On the coefficient of subordinate functions*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. Ser.2 48 (1943), 48–82.
- [8] K. Sakaguchi, *On a certain univalent mapping*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 11 (1959), 72–75.
- [9] T. N. Shanmugam - V. Ravichandran - S. Sivasubbramanian, *Differential sandwich theorems for subclasses of analytic functions*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 3 (2006), 1–11, Art. 8.
- [10] Zhi-Gang Wang - R. Aghalary - M. Darus - R. W. Ibrahim, *Some properties of certain multivalent analytic functions involving the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator*, J. Mathematics and Computer Modelling 49 (2009), 1969–1984.
- [11] J. Sokół, *Convolution and subordination in the convex hull of convex mappings*, Applied Math. Letters 19 (2006), 303–306.
- [12] J. Sokół, *On sufficient condition for starlikeness of certain integral of analytic function*, J. Math. Appl. 28 (2006), 127–130.
- [13] J. Sokół, *Starlikeness of Hadamard product of certain analytic functions*, Appl. Math. Comp. 190 (2007), 1157–1160.

ALI MUHAMMAD
 Department of Basic Sciences,
 University of Engineering and Technology,
 Peshawar, Pakistan.
 e-mail: ali7887@gmail.com

QAYYUM SHAH
 Department of Basic Sciences,
 University of Engineering and Technology,
 Peshawar, Pakistan.
 e-mail: qshah08@gmail.com

MUHAMMAD ISMAIL MOHAMAND
 24 Westren Hill road sunderland, SR2 7PH. UK.
 e-mail: bf89rp@student.sunderland.ac.uk