



University of  
New Haven

University of New Haven  
**Digital Commons @ New Haven**

---

Mathematics Faculty Publications

Mathematics

---

12-2017

## Some Remarks on Ricci Solitons

Ramesh Sharma

*University of New Haven, rsharma@newhaven.edu*

S Balasubramanian

*Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning*

N. Uday Kiran

*Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.newhaven.edu/mathematics-facpubs>

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Publisher Citation

Sharma, R., Balasubramanian, S., & Kiran, N. U. (2017). Some remarks on Ricci solitons. *Journal of Geometry*, 108(3), 1031-1037. June 2017 doi: 10.1007/s00022-017-0392-0

### Comments

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Journal of Geometry*. The final authenticated version is available online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00022-017-0392-0>.

Mathematics Subject Classification 53C55 53C44 53C21

# Some Remarks On Ricci Solitons

Ramesh Sharma<sup>a</sup>, S. Balasubramanian<sup>b</sup>, and N. Uday Kiran<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics, University of New Haven, West Haven, CT 06516, U.S.A. E-mail: rsharma@newhaven.edu*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Sri Sathya Sai Institute Of Higher Learning, Prasanthinilayam-515134, India, E-mail: sbalasubramanian@sssihl.edu.in*

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Sri Sathya Sai Institute Of Higher Learning, Prasanthinilayam-515134, India, E-mail: nudaykiran@sssihl.edu.in*

**Abstract:** We obtain an intrinsic formula of a Ricci soliton vector field and a differential condition for the non-steady case to be gradient. Next we provide a condition for a Ricci soliton on a Kaehler manifold to be a Kaehler-Ricci soliton. Finally we give an example supporting the first result.

2010 MSC:53C55,53C44,53C21

*Keywords:* Ricci soliton, Gradient, Intrinsic formula, Harmonic form, Kaehler-Ricci soliton.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Ricci Soliton is a generalization of a Einstein manifold, and defined as a complete Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with a vector field  $V$ , satisfying the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_V g + 2Ric = 2\lambda g \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_V$  denotes Lie derivative along  $V$ ,  $Ric$  denotes the Ricci tensor of  $g$  and  $\lambda$  a real constant. The Ricci soliton is a special self similar solution of the Hamilton's Ricci flow:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -2Ric(t)$  with initial condition  $g(0) = g$ ; and is said to be shrinking, steady, or expanding accordingly as  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $= 0$  or  $< 0$  respectively. In particular, if  $V$  is the gradient of a smooth function  $f$  on  $M$ , i.e.,  $V = grad f$ , up to the addition of a Killing vector field, then we say that the Ricci soliton is gradient and  $f$  is the potential function. For a gradient Ricci soliton, equation (1) becomes

$$Hess f + 2Ric = 2\lambda g \quad (2)$$

where  $Hess$  denotes the Hessian operator  $\nabla\nabla$  ( $\nabla$  denoting the covariant derivative operator with respect to the Riemannian connection of

$g$ ). The following formulas are well known (see Chow et.al [1] and Petersen and Wylie: [4]) for a gradient Ricci soliton:

$$Q(\text{grad } f) = \frac{1}{2}\text{grad } S \quad (3)$$

$$|\text{grad } f|^2 + S - 2\lambda f = \text{a constant} \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta f - |\text{grad } f|^2 + 2\lambda f = \text{a constant} \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta f$  is the  $g$ -trace of  $\text{Hess}f$ ,  $Q$  is the Ricci operator defined by  $g(QX, Y) = \text{Ric}(X, Y)$  for arbitrary vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M$ , and  $S$  denotes the scalar curvature of  $g$ .

A seminal result of Perelman [3] says that a compact Ricci soliton is necessarily gradient. In this article, we first provide a geometric operator-theoretic condition on  $V$  so that it may become gradient for the non-steady case. The 1-form metrically equivalent to  $V$  is denoted by  $v$  and is given by  $v(X) = g(V, X)$  for an arbitrary vector field  $X$  on  $M$ . For a  $p$ -form  $\omega$ , we denote the co-differential operator by  $\delta$ , i.e.,  $\delta\omega$  is a  $(p-1)$ -form such that  $(\delta\omega)_{i_2\dots i_p} = -\nabla^{i_1}\omega_{i_1\dots i_p}$ . The interior product operator of  $\omega$  by  $V$  is denoted by  $i_V$  such that  $(i_V\omega)_{i_2\dots i_p} = V^{i_1}\omega_{i_1\dots i_p}$ . We now state our result as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The Ricci soliton vector field  $V$  and its metric dual 1-form  $v$  satisfy the following intrinsic formula:*

$$2\lambda v = d(|V|^2 + \delta v) + 2(\delta + i_V)dv \quad (6)$$

*So, a non-steady Ricci soliton is gradient (i.e.  $v$  is exact) if and only if  $(\delta + i_V)dv$  is exact.*

**Corollary 1.2.** *A non-steady Ricci soliton  $(M, g, V, \lambda)$  with  $v$  closed is gradient.*

**Remark 1.** In general,  $v$  closed need not imply  $v$  exact (i.e.,  $V$  gradient) unless  $M$  is simply connected.

Next, we consider a Kaehler-Ricci soliton (see [1]) which is defined as a Kaehler manifold  $(M, g, J)$  satisfying the Ricci soliton equation (1) for a vector field  $V$  which is an infinitesimal automorphism of the complex structure  $J$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_V J = 0. \quad (7)$$

A vector field  $V$  satisfying (7) is also known as a real holomorphic vector field or a contravariant analytic vector field (see Yano [5]). It is known (see Feldman, Ilmanen and Knopf [2]) that a Ricci soliton as a Kaehler metric is a Kaehler-Ricci soliton if it is gradient. We provide a generalization of this result as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *A Ricci soliton which is also a Kaehler metric is Kaehler-Ricci soliton if and only if  $dv$  is  $J$ -invariant.*

## 2. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

In the following  $X, Y, Z$  will denote arbitrary vector fields on  $M$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Equation (1) can be written as

$$g(\nabla_X V, Y) + g(\nabla_Y V, X) + 2Ric(X, Y) = 2\lambda g(X, Y) \quad (8)$$

The exterior derivative  $dv$  of the 1-form  $v$  is given by

$$g(\nabla_X V, Y) - g(\nabla_Y V, X) = 2(dv)(X, Y) \quad (9)$$

As  $dv$  is skew-symmetric, we define a tensor field  $F$  of type  $(1, 1)$  by

$$(dv)(X, Y) = g(X, FY) \quad (10)$$

Obviously,  $F$  is skew self-adjoint, i.e.  $g(X, FY) = -g(Y, FX)$ . Thus equation (9) assumes the form  $g(\nabla_X V, Y) - g(\nabla_Y V, X) = 2g(X, FY)$ . Adding it to equation (8) side by side, and factoring  $Y$  out gives

$$\nabla_X V = -QX + \lambda X - FX \quad (11)$$

Using this equation we compute  $R(Y, X)V = \nabla_Y \nabla_X V - \nabla_X \nabla_Y V - \nabla_{[Y, X]}V$  and obtain

$$R(Y, X)V = (\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X + (\nabla_X F)Y - (\nabla_Y F)X \quad (12)$$

We note that  $(dv)(X, Y) = g(X, FY)$  and  $dv$  is closed. Hence

$$g(X, (\nabla_Y F)Z) + g(Y, (\nabla_Z F)X) + g(Z, (\nabla_X F)Y) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Taking inner product of (12) with  $Z$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(Y, X)V, Z) &= g((\nabla_X Q)Y, Z) - g((\nabla_Y Q)X, Z) \\ &\quad + g(Z, (\nabla_X F)Y) - g(Z, (\nabla_Y F)X) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The skew self-adjointness of  $F$  implies skew self-adjointness of  $\nabla_Y F$  and so the last term of (14) including the minus sign equals  $g(X, (\nabla_Y F)Z)$ . Using (13) in (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(Y, X)V, Z) &= (\nabla_X Ric)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y Ric)(X, Z) \\ &\quad - g(Y, (\nabla_Z F)X) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Let  $(e_i)$  be a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ . Setting  $Y = Z = e_i$  in (15) and summing over  $i = 1, \dots, n$  provides

$$Ric(X, V) = \frac{1}{2}X(S) - (div F)X \quad (16)$$

Next, we compute the covariant derivative of the squared  $g$ -norm of  $V$  using (11) as follows.

$$\nabla_X |V|^2 = 2g(\nabla_X V, V) = -2Ric(X, V) + 2\lambda g(X, V) - 2g(FX, V) \quad (17)$$

Eliminating  $Ric(X, V)$  between (16) and (17) shows

$$\nabla_X |V|^2 + X(S) = 2\lambda g(X, V) + 2((div F)X + g(FV, X)) \quad (18)$$

In view of (10) we note that the last term in (18) is equivalent to  $-2(\delta + i_V)(dv)(X)$ . Hence (18) can be expressed as

$$d(|V|^2 + S) = 2\lambda v - 2(\delta + i_V)dv \quad (19)$$

Now, taking the  $g$ -trace of equation (1) gives  $\delta v = S - n\lambda$  and hence we get  $d\delta v = dS$ . Using this consequence in (19) we obtain the formula (6). The second part of the theorem follows from this formula, because  $\lambda \neq 0$  by hypothesis. This completes the proof.

**Remark 2.** Contracting the Ricci soliton equation (1) in local coordinates and then differentiating gives

$$\nabla_j \nabla_i V^i = -\nabla_j S. \quad (20)$$

Differentiating the Ricci soliton equation (1) gives  $\nabla_i \nabla_j V^i = -\nabla_i \nabla^i V_j - \nabla_j S$ . Using this and (20) we obtain

$$R_j^k V_k + \nabla^i \nabla_i V_j = 0. \quad (21)$$

A vector field  $V$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfying equation (21) was studied by K. Yano and T. Nagano in [7] and was termed a geodesic vector field (not to be confused with vector field whose integral curves are geodesics). Actually, (21) is equivalent to the condition  $(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(e_i, e_i) = 0$  ( $i$  summed over  $1, \dots, n$ ), where  $e_i$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ . Obvious examples of a geodesic vector field are Killing vector fields ( $\mathcal{L}_V g = 0$ ) and affine Killing vector fields ( $\mathcal{L}_V \nabla = 0$ ). For a compact Riemannian manifold we know that a divergence-free geodesic vector field is Killing (see Yano [6]). We noted earlier that a Ricci soliton vector field  $V$  on a Riemannian manifold (not necessarily compact) satisfies (21), and hence we conclude that a Ricci soliton vector field  $V$  is a new example of a geodesic vector field in the sense of [7].

**Remark 3.** Equations (16), (19) and (6) are generalizations of the corresponding formulas (3), (4) and (5) for a gradient Ricci soliton respectively, because in the gradient case  $v = df$  which implies  $dv = 0$  and hence  $F = 0$ .

**Proof Of Theorem 1.3.** Operating  $J$  on (11) we have

$$J\nabla_X V = -JQX + \lambda JX - JFX.$$

Next, substituting  $JX$  for  $X$  in (11) we get

$$\nabla_{JX} V = -QJX + \lambda JX - FJX.$$

Taking the difference between the above two equations and noting that  $J$  commutes with the Ricci operator  $Q$  for a Kaehler manifold, we find

$$J\nabla_X V - \nabla_{JX} V = (FJ - JF)X. \quad (22)$$

At this point, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{L}_V J)X &= \mathcal{L}_V JX - J\mathcal{L}_V X \\
&= \nabla_V JX - \nabla_{JX} V - J\nabla_V X + J\nabla_X V \\
&= J\nabla_X V - \nabla_{JX} V
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $J$  is parallel for a Kaehler structure. The use of the foregoing equation in (22) gives

$$(\mathcal{L}_V J)X = (FJ - JF)X. \quad (23)$$

Now using the equation (11), the Kaehlerian properties:  $JQ = QJ$ ,  $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$ ,  $g(JX, Y) = -g(X, JY)$ , skew-symmetry of  $F$ , and a straightforward computation we obtain

$$2[(dv)(JX, JY) - (dv)(X, Y)] = g(J(FJ - JF)X, Y).$$

The use of (23) in the above equation provides

$$(dv)(JX, JY) - (dv)(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}g(J(\mathcal{L}_V J)X, Y).$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{L}_V J = 0$  if and only if  $(dv)(JX, JY) = (dv)(X, Y)$ , i.e.  $dv$  is  $J$ -invariant, completing the proof.

**Remark 4.** For a gradient Ricci soliton,  $v = df$  and hence  $dv = 0$ , and Theorem 2 implies  $\mathcal{L}_V J = 0$  and so recovers the known result (mentioned earlier) that the gradient Ricci soliton on a Kaehler manifold is indeed Kaehler-Ricci soliton. Non-gradient examples satisfying the Kaehler-Ricci soliton condition  $(dv)(JX, JY) = (dv)(X, Y)$  are the cases when (i)  $dv = \Omega$  and (ii)  $dv = \rho$  where  $\Omega$  is the Kaehler 2-form defined by  $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$ , and  $\rho$  is the Ricci 2-form defined by  $\rho(X, Y) = g(QX, JY)$ . We note that, both  $\Omega$  and  $\rho$  are closed and  $J$ -invariant.

### 3. AN EXAMPLE SUPPORTING THEOREM 1.1

Let us consider  $R^3$  with Euclidean metric  $\delta_{ij}$  for which the Ricci soliton equation is

$$\partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i = 2\lambda\delta_{ij}.$$

It can be verified easily that a solution of this equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= (\lambda x_1 + x_2 - x_3)dx_1 + (\lambda x_2 + x_3 - x_1)dx_2 \\
&+ (\lambda x_3 + x_1 - x_2)dx_3.
\end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Computing its exterior derivative we get

$$dv = -2(dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + dx_3 \wedge dx_1). \quad (25)$$

We also compute

$$\delta dv = *d*(dv) = -2*d(dx_3 + dx_1 + dx_2) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i_V dv &= (dv)(V) = -2[(\lambda(x_3 - x_2) + 2x_1 - x_2 - x_3)dx_1 \\
&+ (\lambda(x_1 - x_3) + 2x_2 - x_3 - x_1)dx_2 \\
&+ (\lambda(x_2 - x_1) + 2x_3 - x_1 - x_2)dx_3].
\end{aligned}$$

Re-arranging the terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta dv + i_V dv &= 2\lambda[(x_2 - x_3)dx_1 + (x_3 - x_1)dx_2 + (x_1 - x_2)dx_3] \\
&- d[(x_2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the 1-form  $\delta dv + i_V dv$  by  $\theta$ . It turns out that

$$d\theta = -4\lambda(dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + dx_3 \wedge dx_1).$$

Use of equation (25) in the above shows  $d\theta = 2\lambda dv$ . Thus, for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we see that  $\theta = (\delta + i_V)dv$  is not exact because  $v$  is not exact [evident from equation (25)]. This is in agreement with the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. We also note that the Ricci soliton of this example is not gradient.

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are immensely grateful to the referee for many valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. Chow, B., Chu, S., Glickenstein, D., Guenther, C., Isenberg, J., Ivey, T., Knopf, D., Lu, P., Luo, F., and Ni, L., *The Ricci flow: Techniques and Applications, Part I: Geometric Aspects, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 135, American Math. Soc., 2004.
2. Feldman, M., Ilmanen, T. and Knopf, D., Rotationally symmetric shrinking and expanding gradient Kaehler-Ricci solitons, *J. Diff. Geom.* **65** (2003), 169-209.
3. Perelman, G., *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, Preprint, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/02111159>.
4. Petersen, P. and Wylie, W., Rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons, *Pacific J. Math* **241** (2009), 329-345.
5. Yano, K., *Differential geometry of complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1965.
6. Yano, K., *Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.
7. Yano, K. and Nagano, T. On geodesic vector fields in a compact orientable Riemannian space, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **35**, 55-64.