

## SOME RESULTS ON INVARIANT SETS FOR TRANSLATION PARAMETER FAMILY OF PROBABILITY MEASURES—I

BY P. K. PATHAK<sup>1</sup> AND NEIL W. RICKERT<sup>2</sup>

*University of New Mexico and University of Illinois, Chicago*

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $\mu$  be a given probability measure on  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B})$ , where  $\mathbf{X}$  is some finite dimensional Euclidean space and  $\mathbf{B}$  is the class of Borel sets on  $\mathbf{X}$ . For each  $\theta \in \mathbf{X}$ , let  $\mu_\theta(A) = \mu(A - \theta)$ , where  $A \in \mathbf{B}$  and  $A - \theta = \{x: x + \theta \in A\}$ . A set 'A' is called  $\mu$ -invariant if  $\mu_\theta(A) = \mu(A) \forall \theta \in \mathbf{X}$ . For example the null set  $\emptyset$  and the whole space  $\mathbf{X}$  are trivially  $\mu$ -invariant. The class of all  $\mu$ -invariant sets is denoted by  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$ . A set 'A' is called "non-trivial" if  $0 < \mu(A) < 1$ . That "non-trivial"  $\mu$ -invariant sets exist is seen by noting that if  $\mu$  assigns probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  each to  $\{0\}$  and  $\{1\}$ , then  $A$  is  $\mu$ -invariant if and only if  $A' = A + 1$ , e.g.,  $A = \bigcup_{-\infty}^{2n, 2n+1}$  is  $\mu$ -invariant with  $\mu(A) = \frac{1}{2}$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is a monotone class, is closed for complementation and disjoint unions, and is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra. The probability measure  $\mu$  is called weakly incomplete (weakly-complete) if it has (or does not have) non-trivial  $\mu$ -invariant sets.

The results we present here have originated from a paper of Basu and Ghosh (1969) on  $\mu$ -invariant sets. Our main object is to make a careful study of some of the conjectures contained in their paper. A brief account of the results contained in the paper is as follows:

(i) Let  $\hat{\mu}(t) = \int \exp \{i(t, x)\} d\mu(x)$  denote the Fourier transform of  $\mu$ . Basu and Ghosh show that if  $S(\mu) = \{t: \hat{\mu}(t) = 0\}$  consists of finitely many elements, then  $\mu$  is weakly complete. We shall show that if  $S(\mu)$  is compact, or contained in a certain coset of a closed subgroup, then  $\mu$  is weakly complete.

(ii) Now let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B})$  and suppose that  $S(\mu) = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ . In this case Basu and Ghosh show that  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  consists of all Borel sets of period  $2\pi$ , i.e. a Borel set  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$  iff  $A + 2\pi = A$  a.e. We strengthen this result by showing that the same assertion concerning  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is true if  $S(\mu) = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\} \cup K \cup J$ , where  $K$  is compact and  $J$  is contained in a certain coset of a closed subgroup. We thus prove a conjecture mentioned in Basu and Ghosh ((1969) Theorem 8, page 168). Basu and Blum, in a personal communication, have noted that, in this case,  $\mu$  must necessarily be absolutely continuous.

(iii) Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$ . Then  $\forall \theta \in \mathbf{X}, A - \theta \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$ . Thus  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is translation invariant. If  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  becomes a translation invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra. Now let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_H$  be the class of Borel sets  $E$  with the property that  $E + h = E$  for every  $h \in H$ . Clearly  $\mathbf{B}_H$  is translation invariant. We show that every translation invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra is of the  $\mathbf{B}_H$  kind, i.e. the  $\sigma$ -algebra is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets that are invariant for some closed subgroup  $H$ . An immedi-

---

Received August 6, 1970.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by the National Science Foundation Grant GP 14786.

<sup>2</sup> Research supported by the National Science Foundation Grant GP 127-60-346-32-54-327.

ate consequence of this result is that if  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B})$  and if  $\mathcal{A}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  consists of all periodic Borel sets of some period. We also furnish an example to show that  $\mu$ -invariant sets in general are not necessarily periodic.

(iv) Let  $E$  be a set on the plane. Let  $\mu$  be the normalized restriction of the Lebesgue measure on  $E$ . Basu and Ghosh noted that  $\mu$  is weakly incomplete if  $E$  is a parallelogram, and raised as an open problem the question of weak incompleteness of  $\mu$  when  $E$  is, for example, a disk or a triangle. In this paper we show that, at least in one case,  $\mu$  is weakly incomplete when  $E$  is a triangle.

Before we present these results, it is considered worthwhile to describe a few useful results from harmonic analysis. Consider  $L^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  denotes the Lebesgue measure. For every  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}, \lambda)$ , or simply  $L^1$ , let  $I(f)$  denote the ideal generated by  $f$  and  $S(f) = \{t: \hat{f}(t) = 0\}$  where  $\hat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ . The following theorems are then well known (see, e.g. Rudin (1962), page 160).

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $f, g \in L^1$  and  $S(f) \subset S(g)$  and if the intersection of the boundaries of  $S(f)$  and  $S(g)$  is countable, then  $g \in I(f)$ .*

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $K$  be a compact set. Then there exists a non-trivial bounded function  $h \in L^1$  such that  $\hat{h}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in K$ .*

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $f \in L^1$ , let  $u \in L^\infty$  and suppose that  $u * f = 0$ , where  $*$  denotes the convolution operation. Then  $u * g = 0 \forall g \in I(f)$ .*

**THEOREM 1.4 (Basu-Ghosh).** *Let  $f \in L^1$  and  $S(f) = \{\pm c, \pm 2c, \dots\}$ . Let  $g \in L^\infty$  and suppose that  $g * f = \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a constant. Then  $g(x + 2\pi/c) = g(x)$  a.e.*

**THEOREM 1.5 (Basu-Ghosh).** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two probability measures. Suppose that  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$ . Then  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu * \nu)$  and  $\mu * \nu(A + \theta) = \mu(A) \forall \theta \in \mathbf{X}$ .*

**2. A useful theorem.** The following theorem and its corollaries will be found particularly useful in our work.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f \in L^1$  and  $S(f)$  be compact. Let  $g \in L^\infty$  and suppose  $g$  assumes finitely many values. Let  $f * g = 0$ . Then  $g = 0$  a.e.*

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be a compact set such that  $\text{int}(K) \supset S(f)$ . Let  $h$  be a non-trivial bounded function in  $L^1$  such that  $\hat{h}(x) = 1 \forall x \in K$ . Let  $k \in L^1$  and consider  $l = k * (1 - h)$  so that  $S(l) \supset K \supset \text{int}(K) \supset S(f)$ . From Theorem 1.1 it follows that  $l \in I(f)$ . Consequently  $g * l = 0$  so that  $(g - g * h) * k = 0 \forall k \in L^1$ . Hence  $g = g * h$  a.e. Thus  $g$  and  $g * h$  have the same essential range. Since  $\mathbf{X}$  is connected and  $g * h$  continuous, it follows that the essential range of  $g * h$ , and consequently of  $g$ , is a connected set. The hypothesis that  $g$  assumes finitely many values and  $g * f = 0$  now imply that  $g = 0$  a.e.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.1.1.** *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B}, \lambda)$  and  $S(f) \subset \{\pm c, \pm 2c, \dots\} \cup K$ , where  $K$  is a compact set. Let  $g \in L^\infty$  and suppose that  $g$  assumes finitely many values. Let  $g * f = 0$ . Then  $g(x + 2\pi/c) = g(x)$  a.e.*

PROOF. Let  $u(x) = 1$  if  $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi/c$  and  $= 0$  otherwise. Let  $h \in L^1$  be such that  $S(h)$  is compact and  $\text{int } [S(h)] \supset K$ . Clearly  $S(u * h) \supset \{\pm c, \pm 2c, \dots\} \cup K$ ; and from Theorem 1.1,  $h * u \in I(f)$ . Consequently,  $g * h * u = 0$ . Since  $g * h \in L^\infty$ , it follows from Theorem 1.4 that  $g * h(x+2\pi/c) = g * h(x)$ . Therefore  $(g_{2\pi/c} - g) * h = 0$ , where  $g_{2\pi/c}(x) = g(x+2\pi/c)$ . Since  $S(h)$  is compact and  $(g_{2\pi/c} - g)$  assumes only finitely many values, we have, from Theorem 2.1,  $g_{2\pi/c}(x) - g(x) = g(x+2\pi/c) - g(x) = 0$  a.e.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.1.2. *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B}, \lambda)$  and  $S(f) \subset cZ + b$ , where  $cZ = \{0, \pm c, \pm 2c, \dots\}$  and  $2b \in cZ$ . Let  $g \in L^\infty$  and suppose that  $g$  is real-valued. Let  $g * f = 0$ . Then  $g(x) = 0$  a.e.*

PROOF. The equation  $g * f = 0$  implies that

$$g * f_1 = \int g(y-x) \exp \{ib(y-x)\} f_1(x) dx = 0$$

where  $f_1(x) = f(x) \exp \{ibx\}$ .

Since  $S(f_1) = S(f) - b \subset cZ$ , it follows from Theorem 1.4 that  $g(x+2\pi/c) \exp \{ib(x+2\pi/c)\} = g(x) \exp \{ibx\}$  a.e. Thus  $g(x+2\pi/c) \exp \{i 2\pi b/c\} = g(x)$  a.e. Since the left side of this last equation is complex and the right side real, it follows that  $g(x) = 0$  a.e.  $\square$

The following corollaries can be proved in a similar fashion.

COROLLARY 2.1.3. *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B}, \lambda)$  and  $S(f) \subset H \cup J \cup K$ , where  $H = aZ$ ,  $J = cZ + b$  with  $2b \in cZ$  and  $K$  a compact set. Let  $g \in L^\infty$  and suppose that  $g$  assumes finitely many real values. Let  $g * f = 0$ . Then  $g(x+2\pi/a) = g(x)$  a.e.*

**3. Main results.** We now state and prove the results outlined in Section 1.

3.1. *Existence of  $\mu$ -invariant sets.* Given a p.m.  $\mu$ , it is perhaps natural to ask if  $\mu$  admits non-trivial  $\mu$ -invariant sets. An answer to this question, as the following theorem shows, depends more on the set  $S(\mu)$  rather than the p.m.  $\mu$  itself.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two absolutely continuous p.m.'s with  $S(\mu_1) = S(\mu_2)$ . If the boundary of  $S(\mu_i)$  is countable, then  $\mathbf{A}(\mu_1) = \mathbf{A}(\mu_2)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f_i = d\mu_i/d\lambda$ . Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu_1)$ . The proof follows easily on noting that  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu_1)$  iff  $[I_{-A} - c] * f_1 = 0$ , where  $c = \mu_1(A)$ . Since  $S(f_1) = S(f_2) [\equiv S(\mu_1) = S(\mu_2)]$  and the boundary of  $S(f_1)$  is countable, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $f_2 \in I(f_1)$  so that  $[I_{-A} - c] * f_2 = 0$ . Consequently,  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu_2)$  and  $\mu_2(A) = \mu_1(A) = c$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.1.1. *If, in the above theorem  $\mu_2$  is absolutely continuous and  $\mu_1$  any p.m., then  $\mathbf{A}(\mu_1) \subset \mathbf{A}(\mu_2)$ .*

PROOF. The above theorem yields  $\mathbf{A}(\mu_1 * \mu_2) = \mathbf{A}(\mu_2)$ . By virtue of Theorem 1.5, we have  $\mathbf{A}(\mu_1) \subset \mathbf{A}(\mu_1 * \mu_2) = \mathbf{A}(\mu_2)$ .

That the strict inequality  $\mathbf{A}(\mu_1) \subset \mathbf{A}(\mu_2)$  does indeed hold is seen by observing

that if  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu_1)$ , then every  $B$ , with  $\lambda(A \Delta B) = 0$ , belongs to  $\mathbf{A}(\mu_2)$ . The converse, however, is not true.

Basu and Ghosh show that if  $\mu$  is a p.m.,  $S(\mu)$  consists of finitely many elements, then  $\mu$  is weakly complete. Our next result is a strengthening of this theorem. We show that if  $S(\mu)$  is compact, then  $\mu$  is weakly complete.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a p.m. and suppose that  $S(\mu)$  is compact. Then  $\mu$  is weakly complete.*

**PROOF.** First let  $\mu \ll \lambda$  and  $f = d\mu/d\lambda$ . Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$  with  $\mu(A) = c$ . Then  $(I_{-A} - c) * f = 0$ . Since  $S(f)$  is compact, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $I_{-A} = c$  a.e. Hence  $c = 0$  or  $1$ . In the general case let  $\nu$  be an absolutely continuous p.m. with  $S(\nu) = \emptyset$ . Then  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$  implies that  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu * \nu)$  with  $\mu(A) = \mu * \nu(A)$ . But  $\mu * \nu$  is absolutely continuous with  $S(\mu * \nu) [\equiv S(\mu)]$  compact. Consequently  $\mu(A) = \mu * \nu(A) = 0$  or  $1$ . Thus  $\mu$  is weakly complete.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a p.m. on  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B})$  and suppose that  $S(\mu) \subset J \cup K$ , where  $J = cZ + b$  with  $2b \in' cZ$  and  $K$  compact. Then  $\mu$  is weakly complete.*

**PROOF.** This is clear from Corollary 2.1.3.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a p.m. on  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B})$  and suppose that  $S(\mu) \subset H \cup J \cup K$ , where  $H = aZ$ ,  $J = cZ + b$  with  $2b \in' cZ$  and  $K$  a compact set. Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$ . Then  $I_A(x + 2\pi/a) = I_A(x)$  a.e.*

**PROOF.** This is clear from Corollary 2.1.4.

It is worthwhile to point out that the above theorem originated in an attempt to establish a conjecture made by the referee of the Basu–Ghosh paper ((1969) page 168). The theorem shows that the referee’s conjecture is indeed true.

**3.2. Periodicity of  $\mu$ -invariant sets.** In all our standard examples on  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B})$  we noted that all  $\mu$ -invariant sets are periodic sets of some period. Basu and Ghosh established that if  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is a separable  $\sigma$ -field, then every  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is periodic. We were then tempted to conjecture that the class  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  consists entirely of sets that are periodic of some period. This conjecture is valid with certain reservations. We first present an example to show that  $\mu$ -invariant sets in general need not be periodic.

**3.3. An example.** Let  $g(x) = x - [x]$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer less than  $x$ . Let  $a$  be a positive real number. Define  $h(x) = g(x) + g(ax) - (g(1+a)x)$ . It is easy to verify that  $h$  takes only the values  $0$  and  $1$ , and hence is the indicator function of a set. Now let  $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \mu_3$ , where  $\mu_1$  is the uniform p.m. on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\mu_2$  the uniform p.m. on  $[0, 1/a]$  and  $\mu_3$  the uniform p.m. on  $[0, 1/(1+a)]$ . Since  $g(x)$  is periodic of period  $1$ ,  $\forall \theta, \int_0^1 g(x+\theta)dx = 1/2$  so that  $(g - 1/2) * \mu_1 = 0$ . Similarly  $(g(ax) - 1/2) * \mu_2 = 0$  and  $(g(1+a)x - 1/2) * \mu_3 = 0$ . Consequently  $(h(x) - 1/2) * \mu = 0$ . It thus follows that the set  $A$  with  $I_{-A}(x) = h(x)$  is a  $\mu$ -invariant set.

If  $a$  is irrational then  $A$  is not periodic.

This example shows that on  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B})$  not all  $\mu$ -invariant sets can be periodic. It therefore seems natural to investigate conditions under which the class  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  consists of periodic sets. As an attempt in this direction, we show here that if  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is a

$\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  consists entirely of periodic sets of a given period. We shall need the following definitions and results in this connection.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $m$  be a positive measure on  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B})$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}_1$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -field. An element  $f$  on  $L^\infty(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}, m)$  will be called  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable if there is a  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable function in the equivalence class determined by  $f$ .

The  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable elements of  $L^\infty$  evidently form a uniformly closed subspace of  $L^\infty$ , and this subspace obviously determines the measure algebra of  $\mathbf{B}_1$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. A function  $f$  in  $L^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}, m)$  will be said to have the conditional expectation zero iff,  $\forall B \in \mathbf{B}_1, \int_B f \, dm = 0$ .

The following lemma is now easy to establish.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $m$  be  $\sigma$ -finite. A member  $g$  of  $L^\infty$  is  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable iff  $\int fg \, dm = 0$  for every  $f$  in  $L^1$  with conditional expectation zero.*

PROOF. We first assume that  $m$  is a probability measure and denote by ‘ $E$ ’ the expectation operator with respect to  $m$ . Now if  $g$  is  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable and  $f$  has conditional expectation zero, we have  $E[fg] = E[gE[f | \mathbf{B}_1]] = 0$ . Now suppose that  $E[f \cdot g] = 0$  for every  $f$  with  $E[f | \mathbf{B}_1] = 0$ . Let  $h \in L^1$ . Let  $f = h - E[h | \mathbf{B}_1]$ . Then  $E[f \cdot g] = 0$  by hypothesis. Also, by what we have already seen,  $E[f \cdot \hat{g}] = 0$  where  $\hat{g} = E[g | \mathbf{B}_1]$ . Thus  $E[f \cdot (g - \hat{g})] = 0$  so that  $E[h(g - \hat{g})] = E[\hat{h}(g - \hat{g})] = 0$ . Therefore  $E[h(g - \hat{g})] = 0 \ \forall h \in L^1$ . Hence  $g = \hat{g}$  a.e. Consequently  $g$  is  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable. This completes the proof when  $m$  is a probability measure. For the general case we can find a probability measure  $p$  equivalent to  $m$ . A function  $f$  is in  $L^1(m)$  iff  $f \cdot (dm/dp)$  is in  $L^1(p)$ . Likewise  $f$  has conditional expectation zero with respect to  $m$  iff  $f \cdot (dm/dp)$  has conditional expectation zero with respect to  $p$ . It is now a simple matter to translate the proof for a probability measure to the general case.

LEMMA 3.2. *The space of  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable elements of  $L^\infty$  is a weak\*-closed subspace of  $L^\infty$ .*

PROOF. This is clear from Lemma 3.1.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a finite dimensional Euclidean space. Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be the class of Borel sets on  $\mathbf{X}$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}_1$  be a translation invariant  $\sigma$ -field of Borel sets. Then there is a closed subgroup  $H$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_1$  is, modulo null sets, the  $\sigma$ -field of  $H$ -invariant Borel sets (i.e.  $A \in \mathbf{B}_1$  iff  $\forall h \in H, A+h = A$  a.e.).*

PROOF. Let  $U$  be the subspace of  $L^\infty$  consisting of  $\mathbf{B}_1$ -measurable functions and let  $V$  be the continuous functions in  $U$ . It is clear that  $U$  and  $V$  are uniformly closed subspaces. By Lemma 3.2,  $U$  is a weak\*-closed subspace of  $L^\infty$ . Let  $f$  be an element of  $L^1$  and let  $g \in U$ . It can then be seen that, by writing the integral in full and interchanging the order of integration,  $\int (f * g(x))h(x) = 0$  for every  $h$  in  $L^1$  with conditional expectation zero. By Lemma 3.1,  $f * g$  is in  $U$ . However  $f * g$  is continuous and so in  $V$ . Also we can approximate  $g$  by functions of the form  $f * g$ , in the weak\*-topology, by allowing  $f$  to be an element of an approximate identity for  $L^1(\mathbf{X})$ . It thus follows that  $V$  is a weak\*-dense subspace of  $U$ .

Let  $H$  be the subset of  $X$  consisting of all  $y$  such that  $f(x+y) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  and for all  $f$  in  $V$ .  $H$  is clearly a closed subgroup of  $X$ . If  $a-b \in H$ , it follows from the definition of  $H$  that  $f(a) = f(b)$  for all  $f \in V$ . Conversely if  $f(a) = f(b)$  for all  $f \in V$ , we have  $f(x+a-b) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  and  $f$  since  $f_{x-b}(\cdot) = f(\cdot+x-b)$  is also an element of  $V$ . Thus  $f(a) = f(b)$  for all  $f \in V$  iff  $(a-b) \in H$ . It is now evident that  $V$  is a self-adjoint algebra which separates points in  $X \mid H$ .

Now let  $g$  be a bounded continuous function satisfying the condition  $g(x) = g(y)$  whenever  $x-y \in H$ . Let  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  be in  $L^1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $M$  be the supremum of  $|g(x)|$  and  $\int |h_i|$ . Choose a compact set  $K$  such that  $\int_K |h_i| < \varepsilon/8m$ . Since  $V$  is an algebra and  $g$  satisfies the relation  $g(x) = g(y)$  whenever  $(x-y) \in H$ , it follows from the Stone-Weierstrass theorem that we can find an  $f$  in  $V$  such that  $|f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon/4M$  if  $x \in K$ . Let  $M_1$  be the supremum of  $|f|$ . By the classical Weierstrass theorem there is a polynomial  $P$  such that  $|t - P(t)| < \varepsilon/4M$  if  $|t| < 2M$ , and  $|P(t)| < 3M$  if  $|t| < M_1$ . Set  $f_1 = P(f)$ . Then  $|f_1 - g(x)| \leq 4M$  for all  $x$ . But now  $|\int h_i(x)(g(x) - f_1(x))| < \varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This means that  $g$  is in the weak\*-closure of  $V$  so  $g$  is in  $U$  and hence  $g$  is in  $V$ . It follows that  $V$  consists of all bounded continuous functions which are invariant under  $H$ , and that  $U$ , the weak\*-closure of  $V$ , consists of all  $L^\infty$  functions which are measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -field of  $H$ -invariant Borel sets.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.5.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{B})$  and suppose that  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is a "non-trivial" sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathbf{B}$ . Then there exists a 'c' such that  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$  iff  $A + c = A$  a.e.*

**PROOF.** This is clear from the above theorem on noting that  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  is translation invariant and a non-trivial closed subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbf{R}^1$  is of the form  $H = \{nc : n = 0, \pm 1, \dots\}$  for some  $c$ . Consequently if  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  consists of sets invariant with respect to  $H$ , then  $A \in \mathbf{A}(\mu)$  implies that  $A + c = A$  a.e. The converse part is trivial.  $\square$

**REMARK.** In our proof of the above corollary we have tacitly excluded from consideration the case when  $H$  is a trivial subgroup, i.e.  $H = \{0\}$  or  $H = \mathbf{R}^1$ . It can be easily seen that  $\mathbf{A}(\mu)$  coincides with  $\mathbf{B}$  when  $H = \{0\}$  and consists solely of sets that are (within sets of Lebesgue measure zero) equal to the null set or the whole space when  $H = \mathbf{R}^1$ .

**3.4. Weak incompleteness of a p.m.** Let  $E$  be a triangle on the plane and  $\mu$  the normalized restriction of the Lebesgue measure to  $E$ . Is  $\mu$  weakly incomplete? This is one of the questions raised in the paper of Basu-Ghosh ((1969) page 173). We provide here an affirmative answer to this question. For simplicity we consider the probability measure with the following density  $f(x, y) = 2$  if  $x > 0, y > 0$  and  $x+y \leq 1$ , and  $= 0$  otherwise. The characteristic function of  $f$  is  $\hat{f}(s, t) = 2[\{\exp(is) - 1\}/st - \{\exp(it) - 1\}/(s-t)t]$  so that

$$S(f) = \{(2m\pi, 2n\pi) : m \neq n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}.$$

That  $f$  does indeed have non-trivial measure invariant sets can be proved as follows. Let  $(X, Y)$  denote random variables with the joint density function  $f$ . Let  $g$  denote

the density function of  $(X+2Y)$ . Then  $S(g) \supset \{2n\pi: n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ . Thus every Borel set of period 1 on  $\mathbf{R}^1$  is measure-invariant with respect to the measure induced by  $X+2Y$ . Let  $B^* = \{(x, y): x+2y \in B\}$ , where  $B$  is a Borel set of unit period. It is now easy to see that  $B^*$  is measure-invariant with respect to the density function  $f$ . Hence the measure induced by  $f$  is weakly incomplete.

## REFERENCES

- BASU, D. and GHOSH, J. K. (1969). Invariant sets for translation-parameter families of measures. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 162–174.
- RUDIN, H. (1962). *Fourier Analysis on Groups*. Interscience, New York.