# SOME RESULTS ON 

## QUOTIENTS OF TRIANGLE GROUPS

Marston D.E. Conder

Given positive integers $k, l, m$, the $(k, l, m)$ triangle group has presentation $\Delta(k, Z, m)=\left\langle X, Y, Z \mid X^{k}=Y^{Z}=Z^{m}=X Y Z=1\right\rangle$. This paper considers finite permutation representations of such groups. In particular it contains descriptions of graphical and computational techniques for handling them, leading to new results on minimal two-element generation of the finite alternating and symmetric groups and the group of Rubik's cube. Applications to the theory of regular maps and automorphisms of surfaces are also discussed.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose $G$ is a group which can be generated by two elements, say $x$ and $y$, such that $x$ has order $k$ and $y$ has order $\mathcal{l}$ and their product $x y$ has order $m$. Quite naturally we call ( $x, y$ ) a $(k, l, m)-$ generating pair for $G$. In such a case, the group $G$ must be a quotient of the $(k, \eta, m)$ triangle group $\Delta(k, \eta, m)$, that is, the abstract group with presentation

$$
\Delta(k, Z, m)=\left\langle X, Y, Z \mid X^{k}=X^{Z}=Z^{m}=X Y Z=1\right\rangle
$$
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There is an obvious symmetry in this presentation; hence, for example, if $(x, y)$ is a $(k, z, m)$-generating pair, then $(z, y)$ is an ( $m, l, k$ )-generating pair, and so on. Indeed, $\Delta(k, l, m)$ is isomorphic to $\Delta(r, s, t)$ whenever $(r, s, t)$ is a rearrangement of $(k, \eta, m)$. Hence we normally assume that $k \leqslant l \leqslant m$.

The triangle groups are discussed in [5], [10] and [12]. We mention some of their important features below.

First, it is known that $\Delta(k, \eta, m)$ is finite precisely when $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{Z}+\frac{1}{m}>1$. In that case the triangle groups are as follows: $\Delta(1, m, m) \cong C_{m}, \quad$ the cyclic group of order $m$, $\Delta(2,2, m) \cong D_{m}$, the dihedral group of order $2 m$, $\Delta(2,3,3) \cong A_{4}$, the tetrahedral group (of order 12), $\Delta(2,3,4) \cong \Sigma_{4}$, the octahedral group (of order 24), $\Delta(2,3,5) \cong A_{5}$, the icosahedral group (of order 60). If $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{\ell}+\frac{1}{m}=1$, namely in the cases of $\Delta(2,3,6), \Delta(2,4,4)$ and $\Delta(3,3,3)$, then the group is infinite but soluble: its commutator subgroup is a free Abelian group on two generators, and the associated factor-commutator group is cyclic of order $m$.

In this paper our interest centres on insoluble permutation groups, and therefore we consider mainly cases where $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}<1$. Each such triangle group is not just infinite and insoluble, but in fact $S Q$-universal (cf. [9]); that is, every countable group occurs as a subgroup of some quotient of $\Delta(\mathcal{L}, \eta, m)$. In particular, these triangle groups are 'enormously large', possessing a wealth of interesting finite factor groups.

Suppose once again that the group $G$ has a $(k, l, m)$-generating pair, say $(x, y)$, and suppose further that $G$ is a quotient of no triangle group $\Delta(r, s, t)$ with $\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{t}>\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}$. Then we shall say $(x, y)$ is a minimal generating pair for $G$. In this sense, minimal means that the orders of the elements $x, y$ and $x y$ are as small as possible (subject to the condition that any two of these elements generate the group). Our definition is not quite the same as that adopted by McKay and Young
(cf. [8]), because of the applications which we discuss shortly, however in many cases the two definitions give generating pairs which correspond to the same triangle group!

We can obviously rank the triangle groups $\Delta(k, l, m)$ in terms of descending value of the expression $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}$. First come the finite and soluble ones, and these are followed by

```
\Delta(2,3,7)
\Delta(2,3,8)
\Delta(2,4,5)
\Delta(2,3,9)
\Delta(2,3,10)
\Delta(2,3,11)
\Delta(2,3,12),\Delta(2,4,6) and \Delta(3,3,4)
\Delta(2,3,13)
```

and so on.
To find a minimal generating pair for a given finite group $G$, if indeed $G$ is a 2-generator group, one may search down this list for the first triangle group which possesses $G$ amongst its quotients. This is seldom an easy task! There are, however, several means of assistance, particularly in cases where $G$ has a known faithful permutation representation. We outline some of these techniques in Section 2.

In the special case where one generator has order two, the quotients of the triangle groups have particular significance to the study of reguzar maps on surfaces (cf. [1], [5]). A finite group $G$ is representable as the group of sense-preserving automorphisms of a regular map having $\mathcal{Z}$ edges incident to each of its vertices and $m$ edges bounding each of its faces, if and only if $G$ is a quotient of $\Delta(2, \eta, m)$. When this happens, the genus $g$ of the map (and of the associated orientable surface containing the map) is given by

$$
2-2 g=|G|\left(\frac{4-(\tau-2)(m-2)}{2 l m}\right)
$$

that is,

$$
g=1+\frac{1}{2}|G|\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\imath}+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right) .
$$

One consequence of the latter formula is that any minimal $(2, \eta, m)-$ generating pair will correspond to a regular map of smallest possible genus having the given group of sense-preserving automorphisms.

More generally, every triangle group is a Fuchsian group, and therefore a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, the group of conformal homeomorphisms of the upper-half complex plane. It follows that any finite quotient $G$ of $\Delta(k, l, m)$ is representable as the group of orientationpreserving automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface, whose genus $g$ is given by

$$
2-2 g=|G|\left(2-\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)-\left(1-\frac{1}{l}\right)-\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)
$$

that is,

$$
g=1+\frac{1}{2}|G|\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{\eta}+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)
$$

being a consequence of the Riemann-Hurwitz equation (cf. [10]).
Following Tucker [12], we may define the strong symmetric genus $\sigma^{\circ}(G)$ of a finite group $G$ to be the smallest genus of all such surfaces on which $G$ acts as a group of orientation-preserving automorphisms. It is evident from the above formula that any minimal generating pair for $G$ (if one exists) will provide an upper bound for $\sigma^{\circ}(G)$. But in fact Tucker proves much more than this - from his work we glean the following:

If $G$ is a finite group with $\sigma^{\circ}(G)>1$, then
$|G| \leqslant 84\left(\sigma^{\circ}(G)-1\right)$, and moreover, if $|G|>12\left(\sigma^{\circ}(G)-1\right)$ then $G$ is a quotient of at least one triangle group $\Delta(k, l, m)$ with
$\frac{1}{6}<\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}<1$, and $\sigma^{\circ}(G)$ is correspondingly determined by the largest achievable value of $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}$. In other words, if $G$ has a minimal $(k, \eta, m)$-generating pair such that $\frac{1}{6}<\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{Z}+\frac{1}{m}<1$, then $\sigma^{\circ}(G)$ must equal

$$
1+\frac{1}{2}|G|\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{l}+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)
$$

We have been able to use this fact to determine the strong symmetric genus of each of the finite alternating and symmetric groups. Details are
given in Section 3.
Consideration of orientation-reversing automorphisms of surfaces leads naturally to Tucker's definition of the (more general) symmetric genus of a group (cf. [12]). In a separate paper we announce the symmetric genus of the symmetric group $\Sigma_{n}$ for all positive integers $n$.

Finally we mention the following application of minimal generating pairs. Given a finite group $G$, the smallest genus of all surfaces into which can be embedded the Cayley graph corresponding to some presentation for $G$ is called simply the genus of the group (ef. [13]). Typically, the genus of a particular finite group is not easy to calculate, even if all its presentations are known. We can say, however, that if $G$ is a quotient of the triangle group $\Delta(k, l, m)$, then at least one Cayley graph for $G$ embeds into a surface of genus

$$
1+\frac{1}{2}|G|\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)
$$

This result (cf. [12] or [13]) means that we can use minimal generating pairs in order to obtain a reasonable upper bound on the genus of some groups.

## 2. Machinery

In this section we describe some of the tools we have found useful in obtaining results about two-element generation of certain permutation groups.

Most of our work hinges on the construction and analysis of coset diagrams for the appropriate triangle groups. Formally (of. [5]), a coset diagram corresponding to a subgroup $H$ of finite index in a finitely-generated group $G$, is a directed, edge-coloured graph, whose vertices are the (right) cosets of $H$ in $G$ and whose edges are defined as follows: we take a specific set of generators for $G$, and for each generator $x$ and each vertex $H g$, draw an edge of colour $C_{x}$ from. $H g$ to $H g x$. This is of course a generalization of the Cayley colour graph corresponding to a (finite) presentation for $G$.

Now it is a well-known fact that every transitive permutation representation of a group corresponds naturally to one on the cosets of a subgroup (namely, the subgroup of elements fixing a particular point). Consequently any transitive permutation representation of a finitely-
generated group on a finite space can be depicted graphically by a coset diagram. Indeed, this can be done even without specific knowledge of the associated subgroup - one needs only the permutations induced by specific generators on the points of the space.

In the case of a triangle group $\Delta(2, I, m)$, we may simplify the coset diagram by removing some of its edges, directions and even the colours. If $(x, y)$ is a $(2, z, m)$-generating pair, then we may represent the cycles of $y$ by polygons (whose vertices are permuted, say, anticlockwise) or by heavy dots (indicating fixed points), and then draw lines to indicate the action of $x$ (interchanging the points at the ends of each line).

For example, the triangle group $\Delta(2,6,14)$ has a transitive permutation representation on the space $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10\}$, with the usual generators $x$ and $y$ acting as $(1,2)(3,5)(6,8)(9,10)$ and $(2,3,4)(5,6,7,8,9,10)$ respectively. The associated coset diagram may be drawn simply as below:


Once we have constructed such a diagram, it is quite easy to read off the permutation action of any particular word in the group generators, using a form of 'diagram chasing'. For example, in the above representation one can find that the element $x y^{-1} x y$ acts as $(1,2,3,10,7,9,5)(4,6,8)$, by following the 'path' corresponding to the word $x y^{-3} x y$ from each vertex in turn. This is much quicker than dealing with the permutations alone.

For a particular group, the construction and analysis of coset diagrams on a chosen number of vertices can be carried out by hand. This of course requires care and imagination. Alternatively, the work can be performed by a suitably programmed machine. In particular, there are a
couple of algorithms available which are ideally suited to each task. We outline them briefly:

The Lowindex algorithm
Given a presentation for a group $G$ with a small (finite) number of generators and defining relations, it is possible to determine all subgroups - or just a representative from each conjugacy class of subgroups - of index less than some specified integer $n$. This algorithm (described in detail in [6], for example) first sets up a partial coset table, indicating the action of each generator of $G$ on each numbered coset, in a way similar to the well-known Todd-Coxeter algorithm. Once a certain pre-set number of cosets have been defined, a branching process is begun. At each level of this process, the algorithm forces coincidences between pairs of cosets - equivalent to producing new generators for a subgroup - and updates the coset table accordingly. If the table becomes 'complete', with fewer than $n$ cosets defined, then a new subgroup has been determined; otherwise the process jumps to the next level and examines all possible branches (new coincidences) there. A test can be included to check whether any current branch will lead only to conjugates of subgroups already found, in which case that branch is abandoned; otherwise the algorithm continues and outputs any new subgroups. Termination occurs when all possible branches (at the first level) have been exhausted.

From the complete coset table corresponding to any outputted subgroup, say $H$, it is a simple matter to obtain explicit generators for the subgroup $H$ as words in the generators for $G$, together with those permutations induced by the generators of $G$ on the cosets of $H$. Using the latter permutations, one can then draw the associated coset diagram (which, incidentally, will be the same for any subgroup from the same conjugacy class). In particular, this algorithm gives us a systematic method of enumeration of $a l l$ coset diagrams for $G$ on fewer than $n$ vertices.

The Schreier-Sims algorithm
Given a small (finite) number of permutations on a small (finite) space, it is possible to determine the order of the group they generate. The algorithm we refer to was conceived by Charles Sims, and based on

Schreier's theorem for subgroup generators. It produces not only the order of the generated permutation group, but also a base and a strong generating set (as explained in [7] for example).

Roughly speaking, the base and strong generating set give an indication of the (multiple) transitivity and/or imprimitivity of the group generated by the given permutations, and are therefore helpful in the task of recognising the group itself.

For example, if we have a coset diagram corresponding to a subgroup $H$ of low index in a finitely-presented group $G$ (such as a triangle group), we can read off the permutations induced by the generators of $G$ on the cosets of $H$, and attempt to identify the group they generate. Of course the latter group will be a finite quotient of $G$, corresponding to the normal subgroup $\cap g^{-1} H g$ of $G$ (often called $g \in G$
the core of $H$ in $G$ ).
Consequently the Schreier-Sims algorithm can be used (together with the Lowindex algorithm) to help us determine those finite quotients of $G$ which have transitive permutation representations of small degree.

The author of this paper has successfully implemented PASCAL versions of these algorithms (and others) on a microcomputer, but would like to point out that more sophisticated (and probably more efficient) packages are available - notably John Cannon's CAYLEY group system at the University of Sydney.

Finally we mention a result which has proved most useful in deciding that certain finite groups are not quotients of a given triangle group $\Delta(2, Z, m)$. By putting a strong restriction on the possible cycle structures of the permutations induced by the group generators, it tells us that some coset diagrams cannot be constructed, or, if they can, then they must take a certain form.

THEOREM. Suppose $a$ and $b$ are permutations of $N$ points such that $a$ has $\lambda_{u}$ cycles of length $u$ (for $1 \leqslant u \leqslant \tau$ and $b$ has $\mu_{v}$ cycles of length $v$ (for $1 \leqslant v \leqslant m$ ) and their product $a b$ is an involution having $k$ transpositions and $N-2 k$ fixed points. If $a$ and $b$ generate a transitive group on these $N$ points, then there exists $a$ non-negative integer $p$ such that

$$
k=2 p-2+\sum_{1 \leqslant u \leqslant \tau}^{\sum} \lambda_{u}+\sum_{1 \leqslant v \leqslant m} \mu_{v}
$$

This is actually a special case of Theorem 2 from [10], for $N=\sum_{1 \leqslant u \leqslant l}^{\Sigma} \lambda_{u} u=\sum_{1 \leqslant v \leqslant m} \mu_{v} v$ and so on. The following proof, however, does not require the strength of the Riemann-Hurwitz equation.

Proof. (Due to Graham Higman.) Write $a b$ as $t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{k}$, the product of $k$ disjoint transpositions, and let $s=\sum_{1 \leqslant u \leqslant l} \lambda_{u}$. We can arrange the transpositions such that $a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{i}$ has $s-i$ cycles, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j$, where $j$ is some integer less than both $s$ and $k$, as postmultiplication by a transposition always increases or decreases the number of cycles by one. Indeed, let $j$ be the largest integer for which this is possible. We claim that $j=s-1$.

To see this, consider any orbit $\Lambda$ of the permutation $a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{j}$. This must be a union of orbits of $a$, and must also be fixed by each of the remaining transpositions $t_{j+1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ (otherwise we can choose $t_{j+1}$ such that $a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{j} t_{j+1}$ has one cycle fewer than $\left.a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{j}\right)$. Hence $\Lambda a=\Lambda$ and also
$\Lambda b=\Lambda a^{-1} a b=\Lambda a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{k}=\Lambda t_{j+1} \ldots t_{k}=\Lambda$ so that $\Lambda$ is an orbit for the group generated by $a$ and $b$. By transitivity, $\Lambda$ must have size $N$, hence $a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{j}$ is a single $N$-cycle. In particular, $s-j=1$.

Now when we postmultiply $a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{j}$ by the remaining $k-s+1$ transpositions $t_{j+1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ in turn, some (say q) will increase the number of cycles by one, and others (say $p$ ) will decrease the number by one, so that $b=a^{-1} t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{k}$ will have $1+q-p$ cycles.

But this means

$$
1+q-p=1 \leqslant v \leqslant m \mu_{v}
$$

and as we know on the other hand

$$
q+p=k-s+1
$$

we obtain (by subtraction)

$$
1-2 p=\sum_{1 \leqslant v \leqslant m} \mu_{v}-k+\sum_{1 \leqslant u \leqslant \tau} \lambda_{u}-1
$$

from which the desired equality follows.
COROLLARY. If $\Delta(2,3,7)$ has a transitive permutation
representation of degree $N$, then

$$
\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]+2\left[\frac{N}{3}\right]+6\left[\frac{N}{7}\right] \geqslant 2 N-2,
$$

and in fact there exist non-negative integers $p, e, f, g$ satisfying

$$
N=84(p-1)+21 e+28 f+36 g
$$

Proof. Take $a$ and $b$ such that $a^{3}=b^{7}=(a b)^{2}=1$, the group generated by $a$ and $b$ acting transitively on a set of $N$ points. For the first part, notice

$$
\sum_{1 \leqslant u \leqslant l} \lambda_{u}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3} \geqslant\left(N-3\left[\frac{N}{3}\right]\right)+\left[\frac{N}{3}\right]=N-2\left[\frac{N}{3}\right]
$$

and

$$
\sum_{1 \leqslant v \leqslant m} \mu_{v}=\mu_{1}+\mu_{7} \geqslant\left(N-7\left[\frac{N}{7}\right]\right)+\left[\frac{N}{7}\right]=N-6\left[\frac{N}{7}\right]
$$

while

$$
k \leqslant\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]
$$

For the second part, let $e, f$ and $g$ denote the numbers of fixed points of $a b, a$ and $b$ respectively. The theorem tells us there is a non-negative integer $p$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2}(N-e)=2 p-2+f+\frac{1}{3}(N-f)+g+\frac{1}{7}(N-g)
$$

therefore

$$
21(N-e)=84 p-84+42 f+14(N-f)+42 g+6(N-g)
$$

which simplifies to the given formula. (These results were cited without proof in [2].)

## 3. Alternating and symmetric groups

Some years ago Graham Higman discovered that for all sufficiently large integers $n$ the alternating group $A_{n}$ can be generated by elements $x, y$ satisfying $x^{2}=y^{3}=(x y)^{7}=1$. He proved this by using a method for construction of transitive permutation representations of the triangle group $\Delta(2,3,7)$ of arbitrarily high degree, together with a clever argument based on a theorem of Jordan. The work was never properly published; however Professor Higman very kindly allowed the author of this paper to use it as the basis for a doctoral thesis; and consequently refinements and extensions of the theorem have appeared in print.

In [2] we showed how all but 64 of the finite alternating groups are quotients of $\Delta(2,3,7)$. Incidentally, there is an error in the statement of the theorem in Section 5 of [2] : the integer 139 is missing from the list (a) of those $n$ which fail to satisfy the inequality $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+2\left[\frac{n}{3}\right]+6\left[\frac{n}{7}\right] \geqslant 2 n-2$. (In particular there is no coset diagram for $\Delta(2,3,7)$ on 139 vertices; hence $A_{139}$ is not a Hurwitz group.)

We adapted Higman's methods in a sequel [3] to achieve the following generalization:

THEOREM. Given any integer $m$ greater than 6, all but finitely many alternating groups $A_{n}$ occur as quotients of the triangle group $\Delta(2,3, m)$. Moreover, if $m$ is even, then all but finitely many symmetric groups $\Sigma_{n}$ occur as well.

An immediate consequence of these results is that we need look only at $\Delta(2,3,7)$ and $\Delta(2,3,8)$ to obtain minimal generating pairs for almost all alternating and symmetric groups.

In fact $\Sigma_{n}$ has a $(2,3,8)$-generating pair for all integers $n$ except for those in the range $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 17$ and for $n=22,23,26$ and 29. We have proved this using the methods of [2] and [3], and our results match those obtained by machine computation. The exceptional cases are as follows:
(a) $n=1,2,3,4$ : here $\Sigma_{n}$ is a quotient of $\Delta(2,3,8)$, but of course $\Sigma_{n}$ has no element of order 8 ;
(b) $n=5,7,11,23$ : here $\Delta(2,3,8)$ has no transitive permutation representation on $n$ points;
(c) $n=6,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,16,17,22,26,29:$ here elements $x, y$ satisfying $x^{2}=y^{3}=(x y)^{2}=1$ in $\Sigma_{n}$ always generate a proper, often imprimitive subgroup of $\Sigma_{n}$.

Our computations have indicated also that $A_{n}$ has a (2, 3, 8)generating pair except when $3 \leqslant n \leqslant 9$ or $n=11,12,14,15,18,19,20$, $21,23,24,31,35,47$. In particular, of the 64 alternating groups which are not quotients of $\Delta(2,3,7)$, all but 17 have a minimal $(2,3,8)$ generating pair.

It turns out that these remaining cases, together with the cases of the 21 exceptional symmetric groups above, can be dealt with almost entirely by examining the quotients of the triangle groups

```
\Delta(2, 4, 5)
\Delta(2, 3, 9)
\Delta(2, 3, 10)
\Delta(2, 3, 11)
\Delta(2, 3, 12) and }\Delta(2,4,6)
```

We summarize the results in the following theorem.
THEOREM. Except for the cases listed below, every alternating group $A_{n}$ has a minimal (2,3,7)-generating pair and every symmetric group $\Sigma_{n}$ has a minimal (2, 3, 8)-generating pair.

The exceptional values of $n$ are:
(a) $25,27,30,32,33,34,38,39,40,41,44,46,48,53,54,55$, $59,60,61,62,67,68,69,74,75,76,82,83,89,90,95,97,103,104$, $110,111,118,125,131,139,146,167$, for here both $A_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{n}$ have minimal (2, 3, 8)-generating pairs;
(b) $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18$, 19, $20,22,23,24,26,29,31,47$, for in these cases minimal generating pairs are obtainable for $A_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{n}$ from the triangle groups $\Delta(k, l, m)$ as given in the following list:
$n$

1

$\Delta(1,1,1)$
$\Delta(1,1,1)$
$\Delta(1,3,3)$
$\Delta(2,3,3)$
$\Delta(2,3,5)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,4,7)$
$\Delta(2,5,7)$
$\Delta(2,4,6)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,11)$
$\Delta(2,3,11)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,12)$
$\Delta(2,3,7)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,9)$
$\Delta(2,3,9)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,3,7)$
$\Delta(2,3,11)$
$\Delta(2,3,10)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,7)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Sigma_{n}$
$\Delta(1,1,1)$
$\Delta(1,2,2)$
$\Delta(2,2,3)$
$\Delta(2,3,4)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,5,6)$
$\Delta(2,3,10)$
$\Delta(2,4,7)$
$\Delta(2,4,6)$
$\Delta(2,3,10)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,3,12)$
$\Delta(2,3,12)$
$\Delta(2,4,6)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,4,6)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,10)$
$\Delta(2,3,10)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,4,5)$
$\Delta(2,3,12)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$
$\Delta(2,3,8)$

COROLLARY. For all but 69 positive integers $n$, the strong symmetric genus of $A_{n}$ is $\frac{n!}{168}+1$ and that of $\Sigma_{n}$ is $\frac{n!}{48}+1$.

The exceptional cases are given in the theorem, and for each $n$ we can easily compute $\sigma^{\circ}\left(A_{n}\right)$ and $\sigma^{\circ}\left(\Sigma_{n}\right)$ using the earlier formula.

One should perhaps also notice that every $A_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{n}$ has a minimal ( $2, ~ Z, m$ )-generating pair for some $\mathcal{Z}$ and $m$, that is, one of the minimal generators is always an involution. Hence in particular the theorem gives (indirectly) the regular maps of minimum genera with $A_{n}$ and/or $\Sigma_{n}$ as automorphism group.

## 4. The group of Rubik's cube

By the group of Rubik's cube we mean the group of $43,252,003,274$, 489, 856, 000 patterns achievable by natural manipulations of a standard six-coloured Rubik's cube. The structure of this group is quite well-known (cf. [11] for example). In particular, it may be viewed as an intransitive group of permutations on the 48 coloured labels of the edge and corner pieces of the cube, acting (imprimitively) on each of two orbits of size 24. One of these orbits corresponds naturally to the labels of the edge pieces: there are 12 blocks each of size 2, and the group acts on these as a split extension of an elementary Abelian 2 -group of order $2^{11}$ by the symmetric group $\Sigma_{12}$. We will call the latter group the edges group. On the other orbit, with 8 blocks each of size 3, the cube group acts as a split extension of an elementary Abelian 3-group of order $3^{7}$ by the symmetric group $\Sigma_{8}$. This group (of order $3^{7} .8:$ ) can be known as the corners group. The group of the cube is a subdirect product of these two imprimitive groups, that is, a subgroup of index two in the direct product of the edges group and the corners group. As such, it has order $\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{11} .12: 3^{7} .8:\right)$, which is the number stated above.

This view was adopted in [4], where we showed that the group of the cube can be generated by elements $x$ and $y$ satisfying
$x^{2}=y^{4}=(x y)^{1260}=1$, with the orders 2 and 4 of the generators being 'minimal' in a certain sense. Well, we can now state that the group of Rubik's cube has a ( $2,4,12$ )-generating pair, which is minimal in the sense described earlier ( $c f$. Introduction).

The proof of these claims goes as follows.
First we label the coloured stickers on the edge and corner pieces as $1,2, \ldots, 48$ in any way such that the blocks of imprimitivity are of the form:

| Corner blocks | $\{m, m+8, m+16\}$ | for $\quad 1 \leqslant m \leqslant 8$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Edge blocks | $\{m, m+12\}$ | for $25 \leqslant m \leqslant 36$, |

with the added condition that the corner pieces are labelled according to a consistent (say clockwise) orientation.

Now consider the following pair of permutations:

```
x=(1, 20)(2, 5)(3, 14)(4, 9)(6, 19)(10, 13)(11, 22)(12, 17)
    (18, 21) (25, 26) (27, 31) (28, 30) (29,35) (32, 33) (37, 38)
    (39, 43) (40, 42) (41, 47) (44, 45);
y=(1, 2, 3,4)(5,6, 7, 8) (9, 10, 11, 12)(13, 14, 15, 16)
    (17, 18, 19, 20) (21, 22, 23, 24) (26, 27, 28, 29) (30, 42)
    (31, 32, 43, 44) (33, 34, 45, 46) (35, 48, 47, 36) (38, 39, 40, 41).
```

One may observe immediately that these permutations satisfy the relations $x^{2}=y^{4}=1$, and a little further investigation yields $(x y)^{12}=1$. (Indeed, the reader may wish to draw the associated coset diagrams in order to verify these and other details.)

Also it is not difficult to show that both $x$ and $y$ are achievable by natural manipulations of the cube. For example, one could dismantle the cube and reassemble it into the state prescribed by the action of the appropriate permutation, and then use one's favourite algorithm to return the cube to its original state! In particular $x$ and $y$ may be taken as elements of the group of Rubik's cube.

The subgroup generated by $x$ and $y$ is transitive on $\{1,2, \ldots, 24\}$ and also on $\{25,26, \ldots, 48\}$, and of course acts imprimitively on each of these two orbits.

The commutator $x y x y^{-1}$ is the permutation $(1,11,22,20,8)$
$(2,18,10)(3,14,12,24,17)(4,16,9,19,6)(5,13,21)$
$(25,44,45,26,29,35)(27,46,31,42,48,40)(28,39,34,43,30,36)$ $(32,33,38,41,47,37)$.

Now $\left(x y x y^{-1}\right)^{6}$ acts as a 5-cycle on the corner blocks, fixing all
the other labels, so the group $\langle x, y\rangle$ generated by $x$ and $y$ must act primitively on the corner blocks, and indeed it acts as $\Sigma_{8}$, by a theorem of Jordan (cf. Theorem 13.10 of [14]). On the other hand, ( $\left.x y y^{-1}\right)^{5}$ 'twists' the corner blocks $\{2,10,18\}$ and $\{5,13,21\}$ in opposite directions, and multiple transitivity of $\langle x, y\rangle$ gives all such twists of corner blocks. Consequently $\langle x, y\rangle$ acts in exactly the same way as the corners group, inducing $3^{7} .8$ : permutations on the labels $1,2, \ldots, 24$.

Similarly $\left(x y x y x y^{-1} x y^{-1}\right)^{15}$ acts as a 7 -cycle on the edge blocks, fixing all the other labels, so $\langle x, y\rangle$ acts as $\Sigma_{12}$ on the edge blocks; and as $\left(y^{2}\left(x y x y x y^{2} x y^{-1}\right)^{28}\right)^{2}$ 'flips' the two edge blocks $\{27,39\}$ and $\{29,41\}$, we obtain all possible rearrangements of the edge labels. Hence $\langle x, y\rangle$ acts in the same way as the edges group on the orbit $\{25,26, \ldots, 48\}$.

It now follows easily that $\langle x, y\rangle$ is a subdirect product of the edges group and corners group, of order $\frac{1}{2}\left(3^{7} .8: 2^{11} .12!\right)$ since both $x$ and $y$ induce even permutations on the 20 blocks of imprimitivity. That is, $x$ and $y$ generate the group of Rubik's cube.

We now proceed to justify our claim that this generating pair $(x, y)$ is minimal.

First, as we pointed out in [4], the symmetric group $\Sigma_{8}$ cannot be generated by elements $u, v$ which satisfy either $u^{2}=v^{3}=1$ or $u^{3}=v^{3}=1$. Well, neither can it have a $(k, l, m)$-generating pair for $(k, 2, m)=(2,4,6),(2,4,9),(2,4,11),(2,5,5),(2,5,6)$ nor $(2,5,7)$. This leaves just the possibilities $(2,4,7),(2,4,8)$ and $(2,4,10)$, if we seek a $(k, l, m)$-generating pair with

$$
\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}>\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12}=\frac{5}{6}
$$

The symmetric group $\Sigma_{12}$ has no (2, 4, 7)-generating pair; it can, however, be generated by elements $u, v$ satisfying $u^{2}=v^{4}=(u v)^{m}=1$ where $m=8$ or 10. Also $\Sigma_{8}$ can be generated in this way. But it is impossible to generate the corners group (of order $3^{7} .8!$ ) by such elements, for neither $\Delta(2,4,8)$ nor $\Delta(2,4,10)$ has a transitive permutation representation of degree 24 with 8 blocks of imprimitivity (each of size 3 ).

Hence $(2,4,12)$ is the best possible.

## Quotients of triangle groups

In fact the pair $(x, y)$ of permutations given above leads to a sort of 'minimal presentation' for the group of Rubik's cube. These elements also satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\left(x y^{2}\right)^{8} \\
& =\left(x y x y^{2}\right)^{12} \\
& =\left(x y x y^{-1}\right)^{30} \\
& =\left(x y x y x y^{2}\right)^{36} \\
& =\left(x y x y x y^{-1}\right)^{36} \\
& =\left(x y x y^{2} x y^{2}\right)^{60} \\
& =\left(x y x y^{2} x y^{-1}\right)^{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so forth.
The resulting presentation (obtained by continuing until enough relations are found in order to define the group) is minimal in the sense that if we write $x, y, x y, x y^{2}, x y x y^{2}$ (and so on) as a sequence of words of increasing length, then the order of each word is as small as possible subject to the condition that $x$ and $y$ generate the group and subject to the relations corresponding to the orders of all earlier words in the sequence. We do not, however, know how many of these relations must be included in order to obtain a defining presentation for the group. That seems to be an open problem.
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