

# Some Simplicial Complexes of Universal Osborn Loops

Jaiyéṓlá Tèmitópé Gbóláhàn

*To Prof. Dora Nkem Akunyili (July 14, 1954 - June 7, 2014)*

**Abstract.** A loop is shown to be a universal Osborn loop if and only if it has a particular simplicial complex. A loop is shown to be a universal Osborn loop and obeys two new identities if and only if it has another particular simplicial complex. A universal Osborn loop and four of its isotopes are shown to form a rectangular pyramid in a 3-dimensional space.

**AMS Subject Classification (2000).** 20N02; 20N05

**Keywords.** Osborn loops, universality, left universality, right universality

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

A loop is called an Osborn loop if it obeys any of the two identities below.

$$\text{OS}_3 : (x \cdot yz)x = xy \cdot [(x^\lambda \cdot xz) \cdot x] \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{OS}_5 : (x \cdot yz)x = xy \cdot [(x \cdot x^\rho z) \cdot x] \quad (1.2)$$

For a comprehensive introduction to Osborn loops and its universality, and a detailed literature review on it, readers should check Jaiyéṓlá, Adéníran and Sòlárìn [3] and Jaiyéṓlá [4]. In this present paper, we shall follow the style and notations used in Jaiyéṓlá, Adéníran and Sòlárìn [3] and Jaiyéṓlá [4]. The only concepts and notions which will be introduced here are those that were not defined in Jaiyéṓlá, Adéníran and Sòlárìn [3] and Jaiyéṓlá [4].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(L, \cdot)$  be a loop and  $U, V, W \in SYM(L, \cdot)$ .

1. If  $(U, V, W) \in AUT(L, \cdot)$  for some  $V, W$ , then  $U$  is called *autotopic*.
2. If  $(U, V, W) \in AUT(L, \cdot)$  such that  $W = U, V = I$ , then  $U$  is called  *$\lambda$ -regular*.
3. If  $(U, V, W) \in AUT(L, \cdot)$  such that  $U = I, W = V$ , then  $V$  is called  *$\rho$ -regular*.

Stein [5] and Drisko [2] while considering the action of isotopisms and autotopisms of loops, found it convenient to think of a loop  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  in terms of the set  $T_{\mathcal{Q}}$  of all ordered triples  $(x, y, z)$  of elements of  $Q$  such that  $x \cdot y = z$ . An isotopism  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  from  $G$  to  $H$  takes  $(x, y, z) \in T_G$  to  $(x\alpha, y\beta, z\gamma) \in T_H$ . We shall adopt his conventions at some points in time. We shall denote by  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , the commutator of any  $\alpha, \beta \in SYM(G, \cdot)$ . Let  $(Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a loop, then we shall be making use of the following notations for principal isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .

- $(Q, *_0)$  represents  $Q_{x,v}$ ;
- $(Q, \circ_0)$  represents  $Q_{u, \phi_0(x,u,v)}$ ,  $\phi_0(x, u, v) = (u \backslash [(uv)/(u \backslash (xv))]v)$ ;
- $(Q, \circ_1)$  represents  $Q_{u, [u \backslash (xv)]}$ ;
- $(Q, *_1)$  represents  $Q_{\phi_1(x,u,v), v}$ ,  $\phi_1(x, u, v) = (u \backslash [(uv)/(u \backslash (xv))]v)$  for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ ;
- $(Q, \circ_2)$  represents  $Q_{x, \phi_2(x,u,v)}$ ,  $\phi_2(x, u, v) = (u \backslash [(u/v)(u \backslash (xv))])$ ;
- $(Q, \circ_3)$  represents  $Q_{[x \cdot u \backslash v]/v, [u \backslash (xv)]}$ ;
- $(Q, *_2)$  represents  $Q_{u,e}$ ;
- $(Q, *_3)$  represents  $Q_{e,v}$ .

Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a loop and let

$$BS_2(G, \cdot) = \{\theta \in SYM(G) : G(a, b) \stackrel{\theta}{\cong} G(c, d) \text{ for some } a, b, c, d \in G\}.$$

As shown in Bryant and Schneider [1],  $BS_2(G, \cdot)$  forms a group for a loop  $(G, \cdot)$  and it shall be called the second Bryant-Schneider group (2<sup>nd</sup> BSG) of the loop.

Consider the following two notions in algebraic topology.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $V_Q$  be a set of isotopes of a loop  $(Q, \cdot)$  and let  $S_Q \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{V_Q}$  such that  $\phi \in S_Q$ . If  $S_Q$  is a topology on  $V_Q$ , then it is called the topology of isotopes of the loop  $Q$  and the pair  $(V_Q, S_Q)$  is called a topological space of isotopes of  $Q$  if  $(V_Q, S_Q)$  is a topological space.

Based on the above notion of topological space of isotopes of a loop, the following facts are direct consequences.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop and let  $V_Q$  be the set of isotopes of  $Q$ . Then,  $(V_Q, \mathcal{I}^{V_Q})$  is a topological space of isotopes of  $Q$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a  $G$ -loop and let  $V_Q$  be the set of isotopes of  $Q$ . Let  $S_Q = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{V_Q}$  such that  $\phi \in S_Q$  and  $x_{i_j} \cong x_{i_k}$  for all  $x_{i_j}, x_{i_k} \in X_i$ . Then,  $(V_Q, S_Q)$  is a topological space of isotopes of  $Q$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a  $CC$ -loop or  $VD$ -loop or  $K$ -loop or Buchsteiner loop or extra loop or group. Let  $S_Q = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{V_Q}$  such that  $\phi \in S_Q$  and  $x_{i_j} \cong x_{i_k}$  for all  $x_{i_j}, x_{i_k} \in X_i$ . Then,  $(V_Q, S_Q)$  is a topological space of isotopes of  $Q$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A simplicial complex is a pair  $(V, S)$  where  $V$  is a set of points called vertices and  $S$  is a given family of finite subsets, called simplexes, so that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. all points of  $V$  are simplexes;
2. any non-empty subset of a simplex is a simplex.

A simplex consisting of  $(n + 1)$  points is called  $n$ -dimensional simplex.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $V_Q$  be a set of isotopes of a loop  $(Q, \cdot)$  and let  $S_Q \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{V_Q}$ . If  $K_Q = (V_Q, S_Q)$  is a simplicial complex, then  $K_Q$  is called a trivial simplicial complex of isotopes of the loop  $Q$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $V_Q$  be a set of isotopes of a loop  $(Q, \cdot)$  and let  $S_Q = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{V_Q}$  such that  $x_{i_j} \cong x_{i_k}$  for all  $x_{i_j}, x_{i_k} \in X_i$ . If  $K_Q = (V_Q, S_Q)$  is a simplicial complex, then  $K_Q$  is called a non-trivial simplicial complex of isotopes or simplicial complex of isotopes of the loop  $Q$ .

The facts below follow suite.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop and let  $V_Q$  be the set of isotopes of  $Q$ . Then,  $(V_Q, \mathcal{I}^{V_Q})$  is a trivial simplicial complex of isotopes of  $Q$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a  $G$ -loop and let  $V_Q$  be the set of isotopes of  $Q$ . Let  $S_Q = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{V_Q}$  such that  $x_{i_j} \cong x_{i_k}$  for all  $x_{i_j}, x_{i_k} \in X_i$ . Then,  $(V_Q, S_Q)$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $Q$ .

**Corollary 1.6.** Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a CC-loop or VD-loop or  $K$ -loop or Buchsteiner loop or extra loop or group. Let  $S_Q = \{X_i\}_{i \in \Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{V_Q}$  such that  $x_{i_j} \cong x_{i_k}$  for all  $x_{i_j}, x_{i_k} \in X_i$ . Then,  $(V_Q, S_Q)$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $Q$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $K = (V, S)$  and  $K' = (V', S')$  be two simplicial complexes. A simplicial map  $f : K \rightarrow K'$  is a set map  $f : V \rightarrow V'$  satisfying the property: for every simplex  $x \in S$ , the image  $f(x) \in S'$ .

In this work, the notion of simplicial complex is used to characterize universal Osborn loops. The following results are important for the set objective.

**Theorem 1.7.** (Jaíyéolá , Adéníran and Sòlárìn [3])

Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a loop and  $\gamma_0(x, u, v) = \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} \mathbb{L}_u L_x$  for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a universal Osborn loop if and only if the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (Q, \circ_0) \\ & \nearrow^{(R_{\phi_0(x,u,v)}, L_u, I)} & \downarrow \text{isomorphism} \\ (Q, \cdot) & \xrightarrow[\text{principal isotopism}]{(R_v, L_x, I)} & (Q, *_0) \end{array} \quad (1.3)$$

holds.

**Theorem 1.8.** (Jaíyéolá [4])

Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a loop and  $\gamma_1(x, u, v) = \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} \mathbb{L}_u L_x$  for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a universal Osborn loop if and only if the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (Q, *_1) \\ & \nearrow^{(R_v, L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)}, I)} & \downarrow \text{isomorphism} \\ (Q, \cdot) & \xrightarrow[\text{principal isotopism}]{(R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}, L_u, I)} & (Q, \circ_1) \end{array} \quad (1.4)$$

holds.

**Theorem 1.9.** (Jaíyéolá , Adéníran and Sòlárìn [3])

Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a loop and  $\gamma_0(x, u, v) = \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} \mathbb{L}_u L_x$  for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a universal Osborn loop implies the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (Q, \circ_2) \\ & \nearrow^{(R_{\phi_2(x,u,v)}, L_x, I)} & \uparrow \text{isomorphism} \\ (Q, \cdot) & \xrightarrow[\text{principal isotopism}]{(I, L_u, I)} & (Q, *_2) \end{array} \quad (1.5)$$

holds.

**Theorem 1.10.** (Jaíyéolá [4])

Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a loop and  $\gamma_1(x, u, v) = \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \backslash (xv)]} \mathbb{L}_u L_x$  for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a universal Osborn loop implies the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & (Q, \circ_3) \\
 & \nearrow^{(R_{[u \backslash (xv)]}, L_{[x \cdot u \backslash v]/v}, I)} & \uparrow \text{isomorphism} \\
 (Q, \cdot) & \xrightarrow[\text{principal isotopism}]{(R_v, I, I)} & (Q, *_3)
 \end{array} \tag{1.6}$$

holds.

**Lemma 1.11.** (Drisko [2])

Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a loop. Then  $Q_{f,g} \cong Q_{c,d}$  if and only if there exists  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \text{AUT}(\mathcal{Q})$  such that  $(f, g, fg)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (c, d, cd)$ .

**Theorem 1.12.** (Bryant and Schneider [1])

Let  $(Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a quasigroup. If  $Q_{a,b} \stackrel{I}{\cong} Q_{c,d}$  if and only if  $c \cdot b, a \cdot d \in N_\mu(Q_{a,b})$  and  $a \cdot b = c \cdot d$ .

## 2 Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then, the following are necessary and sufficient for each other.

1.  $(Q, \circ_0) \stackrel{I}{\cong} (Q, \circ_1)$ .
2.  $(Q, *_0) \stackrel{I}{\cong} (Q, *_1)$ .
3.  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a boolean group.

*Proof.* By combining the commutative diagrams in Equation 1.3 and Equa-

tion 1.4, we have the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (Q, \circ_1) & & (Q, \circ_1) & & (Q, \circ_1) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \gamma_{01}^\circ & & \\
 & & (Q, \circ_0) & & \\
 \nearrow^{(R_{\phi_0}, L_u, I)} & & \searrow_{\gamma_0} & & \\
 (Q, \cdot) & \xrightarrow{(R_v, L_x, I)} & (Q, *_0) & & \\
 \downarrow \omega & & \searrow_{\gamma_{01}^*} & & \downarrow \gamma_1 \\
 (Q, *_1) & & & & (Q, *_1)
 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\chi = (R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}, L_u, I)$  and  $\omega = (R_v, L_{\phi_1}, I)$ . Let

$$(Q, \circ_0) \xrightarrow[\text{isotopism}]{(\delta_{01}^\circ, \varepsilon_{01}^\circ, \pi_{01}^\circ)} (Q, \circ_1).$$

So, from Equation 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (R_{\phi_0(x,u,v)}, L_u, I)(\delta_{01}^\circ, \varepsilon_{01}^\circ, \pi_{01}^\circ) &= (R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}, L_u, I) \Rightarrow \\
 (R_{\phi_0(x,u,v)} \delta_{01}^\circ, L_u \varepsilon_{01}^\circ, \pi_{01}^\circ) &= (R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}, L_u, I) \Leftrightarrow \\
 R_{\phi_0(x,u,v)} \delta_{01}^\circ &= R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}, L_u \varepsilon_{01}^\circ = L_u \text{ and } \pi_{01}^\circ = I \Leftrightarrow \\
 \delta_{01}^\circ &= R_{\phi_0(x,u,v)}^{-1} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}, \varepsilon_{01}^\circ = L_u^{-1} L_u = I \text{ and } \pi_{01}^\circ = I.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(Q, \circ_0) \cong (Q, \circ_1)$  iff  $\delta_{01}^\circ = \varepsilon_{01}^\circ = I$  iff

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{\phi_0(x,u,v)}^{-1} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} &= I \Leftrightarrow \phi_0(x, u, v) = [u \setminus (xv)] \\
 (u \setminus ([uv] / (u \setminus (xv)))) &= [u \setminus (xv)] \Leftrightarrow x \setminus (uv) = u \setminus (xv).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using the procedure above, it can be shown that  $(Q, *_0) \cong (Q, *_1)$  iff  $x \setminus (uv) = u \setminus (xv)$ .

Keeping in mind that every Osborn loop of exponent 2 is an abelian group, hence, a Boolean group. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** It can be observed that in a universal Osborn loop  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  and for  $\gamma_0(x, u, v)$  and  $\gamma_1(x, u, v)$  of Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8,

$\gamma_0(x, u, v) = \gamma_1(x, u, v)$  if and only if  $[\mathbb{L}_u L_x, \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}] = I$  for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 can also be achieved by making use of Theorem 1.12. Take  $a = u$ ,  $b = \phi_0(x, u, v)$ ,  $c = u$  and  $d = u \setminus (xv)$ . Then,  $(Q, \circ_0) \stackrel{I}{\cong} (Q, \circ_1)$  iff

1.  $u\phi_0(x, u, v) \in N_\mu((Q, \circ_0))$ ,
2.  $u[u \setminus (xv)] \in N_\mu((Q, \circ_0))$ ,
3.  $u\phi_0(x, u, v) = u[u \setminus (xv)] \Leftrightarrow Q$  is a Boolean group.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $Q = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, \circ_0) \cong (Q, \circ_1)$  if and only if there exists  $(I, \beta, \gamma) \in AUT(Q)$  such that*

$$uv = xR_v\mathbb{L}_u\beta^{-1}L_u\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u = xR_v\gamma^{-1}\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ .

*Proof.* Following Lemma 1.11,  $(Q, \circ_0) \cong (Q, \circ_1)$  if and only if there exists  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in AUT(Q)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \phi_0(x, u, v), u\phi_0(x, u, v))(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= (u, [u \setminus (xv)], xv) \Leftrightarrow \\ (u\alpha, \phi_0(x, u, v)\beta, (u\phi_0(x, u, v))\gamma) &= (u, [u \setminus (xv)], xv) \Leftrightarrow \\ u\alpha = u, \phi_0(x, u, v)\beta = [u \setminus (xv)] \text{ and } (u\phi_0(x, u, v))\gamma &= xv \Leftrightarrow \\ \alpha = I, \{u \setminus [(uv)/(u \setminus (xv))]v\}\beta = u \setminus (xv) \text{ and } \{[(uv)/(u \setminus (xv))]v\}\gamma &= xv \Leftrightarrow \\ \alpha = I, [(uv)/(u \setminus (xv))]R_v\mathbb{L}_u\beta = xR_v\mathbb{L}_u \text{ and } [(uv)/(u \setminus (xv))]R_v\gamma &= xR_v \Leftrightarrow \\ \alpha = I, (uv)/(u \setminus (xv)) = xR_v\mathbb{L}_u\beta^{-1}L_u\mathbb{R}_v \text{ and } [(uv)/(u \setminus (xv))] &= xR_v\gamma^{-1}\mathbb{R}_v \\ \Leftrightarrow \alpha = I, uv = xR_v\mathbb{L}_u\beta^{-1}L_u\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u \text{ and } uv = xR_v\gamma^{-1}\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u &\Leftrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

there exists  $(I, \beta, \gamma) \in AUT(Q)$  such that

$$uv = xR_v\mathbb{L}_u\beta^{-1}L_u\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u = xR_v\gamma^{-1}\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u.$$

□

**Remark 2.2.** If the autotopism  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  in Theorem 2.2 is the identity autotopism, then we shall have the equivalence of 1. and 3. of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $Q = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, \circ_0) \cong (Q, \circ_1)$  implies that there exists  $(I, \beta, \gamma) \in AUT(Q)$  such that  $\gamma = \mathbb{L}_u\beta L_u$  for all  $u \in Q$ . Hence,*

1.  $\gamma = \beta$  iff  $[\beta, L_u] = I$  or  $[\gamma, L_u] = I$ . Thence,  $\beta$  is a  $\rho$ -regular permutation.

2.  $\gamma = L_u$  iff  $\beta = L_u$ . Thence,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is an abelian group.

*Proof.* The proof of these follows from the fact in Theorem 2.2 that

$$xR_v\mathbb{L}_u\beta^{-1}L_u\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u = xR_v\gamma^{-1}\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{L}_u\beta L_u = \gamma \text{ for all } u \in Q. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, *_{0}) \cong (Q, *_{1})$  if and only if there exists  $(\delta, I, \pi) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that

$$uv = x \cdot x\delta R_v\mathbb{L}_u = x \cdot xR_v\pi\mathbb{L}_u \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ .

*Proof.* Following Lemma 1.11,  $(Q, *_{0}) \cong (Q, *_{1})$  if and only if there exists  $(\delta, \varepsilon, \pi) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that  $(x, v, xv)(\delta, \varepsilon, \pi) = (\phi_1(x, u, v), v, \phi_1(x, u, v)v)$ . The procedure of the proof of the remaining part is similar to that of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** If the autotopism  $(\delta, \varepsilon, \pi)$  in Theorem 2.4 is the identity autotopism, then we shall have the equivalence of 2. and 3. of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, *_{0}) \cong (Q, *_{1})$  implies that there exists  $(\delta, I, \pi) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that  $\pi = \mathbb{R}_v\delta R_v$  for all  $v \in Q$ . Hence,

1.  $\pi = \delta$  iff  $[\delta, R_v] = I$  or  $[\pi, R_v] = I$ . Thence,  $\delta$  is a  $\lambda$ -regular permutation.
2.  $\delta = R_v$  iff  $\pi = R_v$ . Thence,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is an abelian group.

*Proof.* The proof of these follows from the fact in Theorem 2.4 that

$$x \cdot x\delta R_v\mathbb{L}_u = x \cdot xR_v\pi\mathbb{L}_u \Rightarrow$$

$$\pi = \mathbb{R}_v\delta R_v \text{ for all } v \in Q. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, \circ_{0}) \cong (Q, \circ_{1})$  and  $(Q, *_{0}) \cong (Q, *_{1})$  if and only if there exists  $(I, \beta, \gamma), (\delta, I, \pi) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} uv &= xR_v\mathbb{L}_u\beta^{-1}L_u\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u = \\ xR_v\gamma^{-1}\mathbb{R}_v \cdot xR_v\mathbb{L}_u &= x \cdot x\delta R_v\mathbb{L}_u = x \cdot xR_v\pi\mathbb{L}_u \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x, u, v \in Q$



*Proof.* This is achieved by simply combining Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4. □

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. If  $(Q, \circ_0) \stackrel{\gamma_{01}^\circ}{\cong} (Q, \circ_1)$  and  $(Q, *_{0}) \stackrel{\gamma_{01}^*}{\cong} (Q, *_{1})$ , then  $\gamma_0 \gamma_{01}^* \gamma_1 = \gamma_{01}^\circ$ .*

*Proof.* The commutative diagram in Equation 2.1 proves this. □

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. If  $(Q, \circ_0) \cong (Q, \circ_1)$  and  $(Q, *_{0}) \cong (Q, *_{1})$ , then the following are necessary and sufficient for each other.*

- |                   |  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|
| 1. $\beta = I$ .  | 4. $\pi = I$ .                                       | 6. $(Q, *_{0}) \stackrel{I}{\cong} (Q, *_{1})$ . |
| 2. $\gamma = I$ . |  | 7. $\mathcal{Q}$ is a boolean group.             |
| 3. $\delta = I$ . | 5. $(Q, \circ_0) \stackrel{I}{\cong} (Q, \circ_1)$ . |  |

*Proof.* To prove the equivalence of 1. to 4. and 7., use Equation 2.4 of Theorem 2.6. The proof of the equivalence of 5. to 7. follows from Theorem 2.1. □

**Remark 2.4.** Corollary 2.8 is a very important result in this study. It gives us the main distinctions between Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.6. That is, the necessary and sufficient condition(s) under which the isomorphisms  $(Q, \circ_0) \cong (Q, \circ_1)$  and  $(Q, *_{0}) \cong (Q, *_{1})$  will be trivial. And the condition(s) is when any of the autotopic permutations of  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$  and  $\pi$  of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 is equal to the identity mapping.

Next, it is important to deduce the actual definitions of the autotopic mappings  $\beta, \gamma, \delta, \pi$  and the isomorphisms  $\gamma_{01}^*$  and  $\gamma_{01}^\circ$ . Recall that by the necessary part of Lemma 1.11, if  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  is a loop and  $Q_{f,g} \stackrel{\theta}{\cong} Q_{c,d}$ , then there exists  $(A, B, C) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that  $(f, g, fg)(A, B, C) = (c, d, cd)$ . According to the proof of this,

$$\begin{aligned} (A, B, C) &= (R_g \theta R_d^{-1}, L_f \theta L_c^{-1}, \theta) \Leftrightarrow \\ A &= R_g \theta R_d^{-1}, B = L_f \theta L_c^{-1} \text{ and } C = \theta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \alpha = R_{\phi_o(x,u,v)} \gamma_{01}^\circ R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}^{-1}, \beta = L_u \gamma_{01}^\circ L_u^{-1} \text{ and } \gamma = \gamma_{01}^\circ \\ \gamma_{01}^\circ &= \mathbb{R}_{\phi_o(x,u,v)} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}, \beta = L_u \mathbb{R}_{\phi_o(x,u,v)} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} L_u^{-1} \text{ and} \\ \gamma &= \mathbb{R}_{\phi_o(x,u,v)} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= R_v \gamma_{01}^* R_v^{-1}, \quad I = \varepsilon = L_x \gamma_{01}^* L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)}^{-1} \text{ and } \pi = \gamma_{01}^* \\ \delta &= R_v \gamma_{01}^* \mathbb{R}_v^{-1}, \quad \gamma_{01}^* = \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)} \text{ and } \pi = \gamma_{01}^* \\ \delta &= R_v \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)} \mathbb{R}_v^{-1}, \quad \gamma_{01}^* = \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)} \text{ and } \pi = \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 can now be restated as follows.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, \circ_0) \stackrel{\gamma_{01}^\circ}{\cong} (Q, \circ_1)$  if and only if*

$$y \cdot u \setminus [(uz)\psi_0] = (yz)\psi_0 \text{ and } uv = x R_v (R_v \psi_0)^{-1} \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\psi_0 = \mathbb{R}_{\phi_o(x,u,v)} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}$  for all  $x, y, z, u, v \in Q$

*Proof.* Simply substitute

$$\beta = L_u \mathbb{R}_{\phi_o(x,u,v)} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} \mathbb{L}_u^{-1} \text{ and } \gamma = \mathbb{R}_{\phi_o(x,u,v)} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}$$

into Equation 2.2 of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, *_0) \stackrel{\gamma_{01}^*}{\cong} (Q, *_1)$  if and only if*

$$[(yv)\psi_1]/v \cdot z = (yz)\psi_1 \text{ and } uv = x \cdot u \setminus [(xv)\psi_1] \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\psi_1 = \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)}$  for all  $x, y, z, u, v \in Q$

*Proof.* Simply substitute

$$\delta = R_v \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)} \mathbb{R}_v^{-1} \text{ and } \pi = \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x,u,v)}$$

into Equation 2.3 of Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a loop.*

1.  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a universal Osborn loop and obeys Equation 2.6 if and only if  $\gamma_0, \gamma_{01}^\circ \in BS_2(\mathcal{Q})$ .
2.  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a universal Osborn loop and obeys Equation 2.7 if and only if  $\gamma_1, \gamma_{01}^* \in BS_2(\mathcal{Q})$ .

*Proof.* This follows by combining Theorem 1.7, Theorem 1.8, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** It is a self exercise to confirm if  $(Q, \circ_0) \stackrel{\gamma_{01}^\circ}{\cong} (Q, \circ_1)$  and  $(Q, *_0) \stackrel{\gamma_{01}^*}{\cong} (Q, *_1)$  in some universal Osborn loops like Moufang loops and extra loops by simply verifying Equation 2.6 and Equation 2.7. Furthermore, the relation  $\gamma_0 \gamma_{01}^* \gamma_1 = \gamma_{01}^\circ$  of Theorem 2.7 is justifiable as well. It must be noted also, that in any universal Osborn loop  $\mathcal{Q}$ , Equation 2.6 and Equation 2.7 are necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\gamma_{01}^*, \gamma_{01}^\circ \in BS_2(\mathcal{Q})$ .

By combining the commutative diagrams in Equation 1.5 and Equation 1.6, we have the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (Q, \circ_3) & & (Q, \circ_3) & & (Q, \circ_3) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \gamma_{23}^\circ & & \\
 & & (Q, \circ_2) & & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow & & \\
 (Q, \cdot) & \xrightarrow{(I, L_u, I)} & (Q, *_2) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \searrow & & \downarrow \\
 (Q, *_3) & & & & (Q, *_3)
 \end{array}
 \tag{2.8}$$

where  $a = [u \setminus (xv)]$  and  $b = \{[x \cdot u \setminus v] / v\}$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, \circ_2) \cong (Q, \circ_3)$  if and only if there exists  $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that*

$$\lambda = R_{u \setminus v} \mathbb{R}_v, \quad \mu = L_u \mathbb{L}_{u \setminus v} \text{ and } [x \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \mu^{-1}] \nu = x \lambda \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \tag{2.9}$$

for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ .

*Proof.* Following Lemma 1.11,  $(Q, \circ_2) \cong (Q, \circ_3)$  if and only if there exists  $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that  $(x, \phi_2(x, u, v), x \phi_2(x, u, v))(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = ([x \cdot u \setminus v] / v, [u \setminus (xv)], \{[x \cdot u \setminus v] / v\} [u \setminus (xv)])$ . The procedure of the proof of the remaining part is similar to that of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop. Then  $(Q, \circ_2) \stackrel{\gamma_{23}^\circ}{\cong} (Q, \circ_3)$  if and only if there exists  $(\lambda, \mu, \gamma_{23}^\circ) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_{23}^\circ &= \mathbb{R}_{\phi_2(x, u, v)} R_{u \setminus v} \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} = \mathbb{L}_x L_u \mathbb{L}_{u \setminus v} L_{\{[x \cdot u \setminus v] / v\}} \text{ and} \\
 [x \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \mu^{-1}] \gamma_{23}^\circ &= x \lambda \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.10}$$

for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ .

*Proof.* Considering the commutative diagram in Equation 2.8 and using Equation 2.5,

$$\lambda = R_{\phi_2(x, u, v)} \gamma_{23}^\circ R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}^{-1}, \quad \mu = L_x \gamma_{23}^\circ L_{\{[x \cdot u \setminus v] / v\}}^{-1} \text{ and } \nu = \gamma_{23}^\circ.$$

The final conclusion follows from Theorem 2.12.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a universal Osborn loop.  $\gamma_{23}^\circ \in BS_2(\mathcal{Q})$  if and only if there exists  $(\lambda, \mu, \gamma_{23}^\circ) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{23}^\circ &= \mathbb{R}_{\phi_2(x,u,v)} R_{u \backslash v} \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \backslash (xv)]} = \mathbb{L}_x L_u \mathbb{L}_{u \backslash v} L_{\{[x \cdot u \backslash v]/v\}} \text{ and} \\ [x \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \mu^{-1}] \gamma_{23}^\circ &= x \lambda \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.13.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a loop.  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a universal Osborn loop and  $\gamma_{23}^\circ \in BS_2(\mathcal{Q})$  implies  $\gamma_0 \in BS_2(\mathcal{Q})$  and there exists  $(\lambda, \mu, \gamma_{23}^\circ) \in AUT(\mathcal{Q})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{23}^\circ &= \mathbb{R}_{\phi_2(x,u,v)} R_{u \backslash v} \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \backslash (xv)]} = \mathbb{L}_x L_u \mathbb{L}_{u \backslash v} L_{\{[x \cdot u \backslash v]/v\}} \text{ and} \\ [x \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \mu^{-1}] \gamma_{23}^\circ &= x \lambda \cdot x R_v \mathbb{L}_u \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for all  $x, u, v \in Q$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 1.9 and Lemma 2.13.  $\square$

### Simplicial Complex of Isotopes of a Universal Osborn Loop

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_0(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_0), (Q, *_{0})\}$  and  $S_0(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_0)\}, \{(Q, *_{0})\}, \{(Q, \circ_0), (Q, *_{0})\}\}$ . Then,  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop if and only if  $K_0(Q) = (V_0(Q), S_0(Q))$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* This is proved with the help of Theorem 1.7.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.17.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_1(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_{1})\}$  and  $S_1(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_1)\}, \{(Q, *_{1})\}, \{(Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_{1})\}\}$ . Then,  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop if and only if  $K_1(Q) = (V_1(Q), S_1(Q))$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* This is proved with the help of Theorem 1.8.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.18.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_2(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_2), (Q, *_{2})\}$  and  $S_2(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_2)\}, \{(Q, *_{2})\}, \{(Q, \circ_2), (Q, *_{2})\}\}$ . If  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop, then  $K_2(Q) = (V_2(Q), S_2(Q))$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* This is proved with Theorem 1.9. □

**Theorem 2.19.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_3(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_3), (Q, *_3)\}$  and  $S_3(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_3)\}, \{(Q, *_3)\}, \{(Q, \circ_3), (Q, *_3)\}\}$ . If  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop, then  $K_3(Q) = (V_3(Q), S_3(Q))$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* This is proved with the aid of Theorem 1.10. □

**Corollary 2.20.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_i(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_i)\}$  and  $S_i(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_i)\}, \{(Q, *_i)\}, \{(Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_i)\}\}$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . Then,  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop if and only if  $K_{01}(Q) = K_0(Q) \cup K_1(Q) = (V_0(Q) \cup V_1(Q), S_0(Q) \cup S_1(Q))$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.16 and Theorem 2.17. □

**Corollary 2.21.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_i(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_i)\}$  and  $S_i(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_i)\}, \{(Q, *_i)\}, \{(Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_i)\}\}$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . If  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop, then  $K_{23}(Q) = K_2(Q) \cup K_3(Q) = (V_2(Q) \cup V_3(Q), S_2(Q) \cup S_3(Q))$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.18 and Theorem 2.19. □

**Corollary 2.22.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_i(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_i)\}$  and  $S_i(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_i)\}, \{(Q, *_i)\}, \{(Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_i)\}\}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . If  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop, then*

$K_{0123}(Q) = \bigcup_{i=0}^3 K_i(Q) = \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^3 V_i(Q), \bigcup_{i=0}^3 S_i(Q) \right)$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$ .

*Proof.* This is proved by combining Corollary 2.20 and Corollary 2.21. □

**Theorem 2.23.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a loop. Let  $V_{01}(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_0), (Q, *_0), (Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_1)\}$  and  $S_{10}(Q) = \{\{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_0)\}, \{(Q, *_0)\}, \{(Q, \circ_1)\}, \{(Q, *_1)\}, \{(Q, \circ_0), (Q, *_0)\}, \{(Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_1)\}, \{(Q, \circ_0), (Q, \circ_1)\}, \{(Q, *_0), (Q, *_1)\}, \{(Q, \circ_0), (Q, *_1)\}, \{(Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_0)\}, \{(Q, \circ_0), (Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_0)\}\}$ ,*

$\{(Q, \circ_0), (Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_1)\}, \{(Q, *_0), (Q, *_1), (Q, \circ_0)\},$   
 $\{(Q, *_0), (Q, *_1), (Q, \circ_1)\}, \{(Q, \circ_0), (Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_0), (Q, *_1)\}$ . Then,  $(Q, \cdot)$   
 is a universal Osborn loop and obey Equation 2.6 and Equation 2.7 if and  
 only if  $K_{10}(Q) = (V_{01}(Q), S_{10}(Q))$  is a simplicial complex of isotopes of  
 $(Q, \cdot)$ .

*Proof.* This is proved with the aid of Theorem 2.16, Theorem 2.17, Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.10.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.24.** Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a universal Osborn loop.

Let  $V_i(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_{i+1})\}$ ,

$S_i(Q) = \left\{ \{(Q, \cdot)\}, \{(Q, \circ_i)\}, \{(Q, *_{i+1})\}, \{(Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_{i+1})\} \right\}$  and

$K_i = (V_i(Q), S_i(Q))$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Define  $f_{ij} : K_i \rightarrow K_j$  as

$$f_{ij} : \begin{cases} (Q, \cdot) & \mapsto (Q, \cdot) \\ (Q, \circ_i) & \mapsto (Q, \circ_j) \\ (Q, *_{i+1}) & \mapsto (Q, *_{j+1}) \end{cases} \quad i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \text{ such that } i \neq j.$$

Then,  $f_{ij}$  is a simplicial map.

*Proof.* This is proved by Theorem 2.16, Theorem 2.17, Theorem 2.18 and Theorem 2.19.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.25.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(H, \star)$  be two loop isotopes under the triple  $(A, B, C)$ . For  $D \in \{A, B, C\}$ , if  $D = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_i \cdots E_n$ ,  $E_i : G \rightarrow H$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  been bijections such that there does not exist  $r \geq n$  for which  $D = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_i \cdots E_r$ , then the length of  $D$ ,  $|D| = n$  units. If  $D = I$ , the identity mapping, then  $|D| = 0$ . The length of the isotopism  $(G, \cdot) \xrightarrow[\text{Isotopism}]{(A, B, C)} (H, \star)$  is giving by  $|(A, B, C)| = |A| + |B| + |C|$  units. For an

isotopism  $(G, \cdot) \xrightarrow[\text{Isotopism}]{(A, B, C)} (H, \star)$ , let the two loops  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(H, \star)$  represent points in a 3-dimensional space and let an isotopism from  $(G, \cdot)$  to  $(H, \star)$  be a line with  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(H, \star)$  as end-points. The set of loops  $V_{01}(Q) = \{(Q, \cdot), (Q, \circ_0), (Q, *_0), (Q, \circ_1), (Q, *_1)\}$  where  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a universal Osborn loop, form a rectangular pyramid with apex  $(Q, \cdot)$ .

*Proof.* We shall make use of the combined commutative diagram (2.1) as shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1. There are four isotopes of  $(Q, \cdot)$  as shown in the combined commutative diagram (2.1), namely  $(Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_{i+1})$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . The length of each of the isotopisms  $(R_{[u \setminus \{xv\}]}, L_u, I), (R_{\phi_0}, L_u, I), (R_v, L_{\phi_1}, I), (R_v, L_x, I)$  is 2 units. The length of each of the isomorphisms

$\gamma_0(x, u, v) = \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} \mathbb{L}_u L_x$  and  $\gamma_1(x, u, v) = \mathbb{R}_v R_{[u \setminus (xv)]} \mathbb{L}_u L_x$  is 12 units. The length of each of the isomorphisms  $\gamma_{01}^\circ = \mathbb{R}_{\phi_\circ(x, u, v)} R_{[u \setminus (xv)]}$  and  $\gamma_{01}^* = \mathbb{L}_x L_{\phi_1(x, u, v)}$  is 6 units. Hence, the four loop isotopes  $(Q, \circ_i), (Q, *_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1$  of  $(Q, \cdot)$  form a rectangle. Thus, taking  $(Q, \cdot)$  as an apex and the four isotopism as lines drawn from the apex to the four vertices of the rectangle, we have a rectangular pyramid.  $\square$

## References

- [1] **B. F. Bryant and H. Schneider**, Principal loop-isotopes of quasigroups, *Canad. J. Math.*, **18**, (1966), 120–125
- [2] **A. A. Drisko**, Loops with transitive automorphisms, *J. Algebra*, **184**, (1966), 213–229
- [3] **T. G. Jaiyéolá, J. O. Adéníran, and A. R. T. Sòlárìn**, The universality of Osborn loops, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis Mathematics-Informatics*, **26**, (2011), 301–320
- [4] **T. G. Jaiyéolá**, Osborn loops and their universality, *Scientific Annals of "Al.I. Cuza" University of Iasi.*, **58**, (2012), 437–452
- [5] **S. K. Stein**, On the foundations of quasigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **85**, (1957), 228–256

Jaiyéolá Tèmitópé Gbóláhàn

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science,  
Obafemi Awolowo University,  
Ile Ife,  
Nigeria.

E-mail: jaiyeolatemitope@yahoo.com, tjayeola@oauife.edu.ng

Received: 22.05.2014

Accepted: 30.06.2014