SOR-Like Methods for Non-Hermitian Positive Definite Linear Complementarity Problems

H. Saberi Najafi^{1, 2}, S.A. Edalatpanah ^{1, 2}*

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, P. Code 1616, Lahijan, Iran ²Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Guilan, P.O. Box 41335-1914 Rasht, Iran

Abstract. Many problems in various scientific computing, operations research, management science and engineering areas can lead to the solution of a linear complementarity problem (LCP). This paper provides the efficient iterative algorithm for the large sparse Non-Hermitian positive definite systems of LCP, based on the splitting of the coefficient matrix and fixed-point principle. Also, the global convergence properties of the proposed method have been analyzed. Numerical results show the applicability of our method.

Keywords: Linear complementarity problem, SOR method, Non-Hermitian positive definite matrices.

1 Introduction

For a given real vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a given matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ the linear complementarity problem Abbreviated as LCP(M, q), consists in finding vectors $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that,

$$\begin{cases} w = Mz + q, \\ z \ge 0, w \ge 0, \\ z^T w = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

Where, z^T denotes the transpose of the vector z.

E-mail addresses: hnajafi@guilan.ac.ir (H. Saberi Najafi) saedalatpanah@gmail.com (S.A. Edalatpanah).

AMO - Advanced Modeling and Optimization. ISSN: 1841-4311

^{*} Corresponding author.

Many problems in various scientific computing and engineering areas can lead to the solution of an *LCP* of the form (1.1). For more details see [Murty, 1988; Cottle, Pang and Stone,1992; Billups and Murty, 2000; Bazaraa, Sherali and Shetty, 2006].

The early motivation for studying the LCP was because the KKT optimality conditions for linear and quadratic programs constitute an LCP of the form (1.1). However, the study of LCP really came into prominence since the 1960s and in several decades, many methods for solving the LCP (M, q) have been introduced.

Most of these methods originate from those for the system of the linear equations where may be classified into two principal kinds. The book by [Cottle, Pang and Stone, 1992] is a good reference for direct methods developed to solve LCP. Another important class of methods used to tackle LCP is the iterative methods. Much attention has recently been paid on a class of iterative methods called the matrix-splitting method, see [Cryer, 1971; Mangasarian,1977;1984; Pang, 1982; Machida, Fukushima and Ibaraki,1995; Yuan and Song, 2003; Li and Dai, 2007; SaberiNajafi and Edalatpanah, 2011, 2012, 2013; Li, Giang, Cheng and Liao 2011]. Matrix splitting method for LCP exploits particular features of matrices such as the sparsity and the block structure and in these methods, most convergence results have been established for the case that the system matrix M is symmetric positive definite, M-matrix, H-matrix or diagonally dominant. The case that M is Non-Hermitian is much more difficult and, as we have known, only a few results were reported about this case of matrix for LCP(M, q).

Recently, [Zhang and Benzi, 2010], present a different approach to solve systems of linear equations, called the P-regular splitting iterative methods for Non-Hermitian positive definite Linear systems. In this paper, we extend this approach to LCP and set up an efficient iterative method for solving LCP (1.1). Existence, uniqueness and convergence results of our method are also presented when the coefficient matrix is Non-Hermitian positive definite. The method begins with an initial point chosen arbitrarily and converges to optimal solution of original problem after finite iterations. The effectiveness of the method is demonstrated by its ability to solve some standard test problems found in the literature.

2 Prerequisite

We begin with some basic notation and preliminary results which we refer to later.

Definition 2.1

The matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ is Non-Hermitian positive definite if the Hermitian part $H = (M + M^*)/2$ is Hermitian positive definite, where M^* denotes the conjugate transpose of the matrix M. Furthermore, |x| denotes the vector whose components is the absolute value of the corresponding components of x and $\rho(M)$ denotes the spectral radius of matrix M.

Definition 2.2 [Yuan and Song, 2003]. For $x \in R^n$, vector x_+ is defined such that $(x_+)_j = max\{0, x_i\}, j = 1, 2, ..., n$. Then, for any $x, y \in R^n$, the following facts hold:

- 1. $(x + y)_{+} \le x_{+} + y_{+}$,
- 2. $x_+ y_+ \le (x y)_+$,
- 3. $|x| = x_+ + (-x)_+$
- **4.** $x \le y$ implies $x_+ \le y_+$.

Lemma 2.1 [Mangasarian, 1977]. LCP(M, q) can be equivalently transformed to a fixed-point system of equations

$$z = (z - \alpha E(Mz + q))_+, \tag{2.1}$$

where α is some positive constant and E is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal elements.

3 SOR-Like method for Non-Hermitian Positive Definite LCP(M, q)

Let the matrix *M* is split as

$$M = D + L + U, \tag{3.1}$$

where D diagonal, L and U are strictly lower and upper triangular matrices of M, respectively. Then by choice of $\alpha E = D^{-1}$ and Lemma 2.1 we have,

$$z = (z - D^{-1}(Mz + q))_{+}. (3.2)$$

Now, we propose our iterative method Successive Overrelaxation Like method (SOR-Like Method) for LCP(M, q) by the following;

Algorithm1. SOR-Like method for LCP

Step 1.choos an initial vector $z^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, parameter w and set k=0.

Step 2. For k=0,1,2,... do,

$$z^{k+1} = (z^k - wD^{-1}[(L - U^*)z^{k+1} + (D + U + U^*)z^k + q])_+,$$

Step 3. if $z^{k+1} = z^k$, then stop; otherwise, set k=k+1 and go to step 2.

In the next section, we will establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution of our method when the coefficient matrix is Non-Hermitian positive definite.

Theorem3.1. Let $M \in C^{n \times n}$ be non-Hermitian positive definite with $H = (M + M^*)/2$ its Hermitian part, $\eta = \lambda_{\min}(B)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $B = H - 2D^{-1}(U + U^*)$ and

$$\begin{cases} w \in (0,1], & \text{if} \quad \eta \ge 0, \\ w \in (0,1), & \text{if} \quad \eta = 0, \\ w \in (0,\frac{2}{2-\eta}), & \text{f} \quad \eta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then for any initial vector z^0 , Algorithm1 convergence to the unique solution of *LCP* (M, q).

Proof. For existence, consider Algorithm 1, then we have;

$$z^{k+1} = (z^k - wD^{-1}[(M - (L - U^*))z^k + q + (L - U^*)z^{k+1}])$$

Then,

$$z^{k+1} - z^{k} = (z^{k} - wD^{-1}[(M - (L - U^{*}))z^{k} + q + (L - U^{*})z^{k+1}])_{+}$$

$$-(z^{k-1} - wD^{-1}[(M - (L - U^{*}))z^{k-1} + q + (L - U^{*})z^{k}])_{+}$$

$$\leq [(z^{k} - z^{k-1}) - wD^{-1}[(M - (L - U^{*}))(z^{k} - z^{k-1}) + (L - U^{*})(z^{k+1} - z^{k})]]_{+}.$$

Thus,

$$(z^{k+1}-z^k)_+ \leq [(I-wD^{-1}(M-(L-U^*)))(z^k-z^{k-1})]_+ + [-wD^{-1}(L-U^*)(z^{k+1}-z^k)]_+.$$

Similarly,

$$(z^{k}-z^{k+1})_{+} \leq [(I-wD^{-1}(M-(L-U^{*})))(z^{k-1}-z^{k})]_{+} + [-wD^{-1}(L-U^{*})(z^{k}-z^{k+1})]_{+}.$$

On the other hand, by Definition 2.2, we have;

$$\left|z^{k+1}-z^{k}\right| \leq \left|I-wD^{-1}(M-(L-U^{*}))\right| \cdot \left|z^{k}-z^{k-1}\right| + wD^{-1}\left|L-U^{*}\right| \cdot \left|z^{k+1}-z^{k}\right|.$$

Since $(L-U^*)$ is a strictly lower triangular matrix, then $(I-wD^{-1}|L-U^*|)$ is invertible and its inverse is nonnegative. Then;

$$\left|z^{k+1}-z^{k}\right| \leq Q^{-1}R\left|z^{k}-z^{k-1}\right|,$$

Where;

$$\begin{cases} Q = I - wD^{-1} | L - U^* |, \\ R = | I - wD^{-1} (M - (L - U^*) |. \end{cases}$$

Note that the matrix $Q^{-1}R$ is nonnegative. We know if $\rho(Q^{-1}R) < 1$, then sequence $\{z^k\}$ of Algorithm1 converges to a solution \bar{z} of LCP. Therefore by applying [Zhang and Benzi, 2010, Theorem3.1], we know that there exist a vector which solves LCP.

For uniqueness of the solution for *LCP*,

Let $\overline{\overline{z}}$ is another solution of *LCP*. Then by following the proof process of existence of the solution, we have,

$$\left|\overline{z}^{-} - \overline{\overline{z}}^{-}\right| = (\overline{z}^{-} - \overline{\overline{z}}^{-})_{+} + (\overline{\overline{z}}^{-} - \overline{z}^{-})_{+} \leq Q^{-1}R \left|\overline{z}^{-} - \overline{\overline{z}}^{-}\right|.$$

And since $\rho(Q^{-1}R) < 1$ we have,

$$|\overline{z} - \overline{\overline{z}}| = 0.$$

Namely,

$$\overline{z} = \overline{\overline{z}}$$
.

And the proof is completed. ■

4 Numerical Results

In this section, we give examples to illustrate the results obtained in previous sections. In these examples the initial approximation is $z^0 = (1,1,...,1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and as a stopping criterion we choose;

$$\begin{cases} \left\| \min(Mz^{k} + q, z^{k}) \right\|_{\infty} \le 10^{-6}, \\ \left\| \min(\overline{M}z^{k} + \overline{q}, z^{k}) \right\|_{\infty} \le 10^{-6}. \end{cases}$$

These examples computed with MATLAB7 on a personal computer Pentium 4.

Example 4.1. Consider LCP(M, q) with following system $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Matrix M is a banded matrix select from [Lam, 1999], which use in Quantum Chemistry, i.e.:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.21 & 1.2 & 0 & 0.13 & 1.42 \\ 0.11 & 2 & 0.21 & 1.2 & 0 & 0.13 & 1.42 \\ 0.12 & 0.11 & 3 & 0.21 & 1.2 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0.12 & 0.11 & 4 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0.34 & 0 & 0.12 & \ddots & \\ 0.45 & 0.34 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0.21 & 1.2 & 0 & 0.13 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0.11 & 997 & 0.21 & 1.2 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0.12 & 0.11 & 998 & 0.21 & 1.2 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0.34 & 0 & 0.12 & 0.11 & 1000 \end{bmatrix}_{1000 \times 1000}$$

And,

$$q = (-1, 1, \dots, (-1)^n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
.

Evidently, M is Non-Hermitian positive definite. Then LCP(M, q) has a unique solution and we solved the 1000×1000 matrix yielded by the SOR-like iterative method. In this experiment, the sign of $\eta = \lambda_{\min}(B)$ is positive.

In Table 1, with several values we report the CPU time (CPU) and number of iterations (Iter) for the corresponding SOR-like method (when n=1000). From the table, we can see that the SOR-like iterative method is useful for solving the LCP.

| Table1 | Shows the results of example 4.1 for SOR-Lik | 6 |
|--------|--|---|
| Tablet | SHOWS the results of example 4.1 for SOX-Lik | C |

| Method | SOR-Like | | | SOR-Like | |
|--------|----------|----------|--|----------|--|
| W | Iter | CPU | | | |
| 0.3 | 48 | 0.456272 | | | |
| 0.4 | 35 | 0.323434 | | | |
| 0.5 | 27 | 0.251154 | | | |
| 0.6 | 21 | 0.195035 | | | |
| 0.7 | 17 | 0.155504 | | | |
| 0.8 | 13 | 0.119373 | | | |
| 0.9 | 12 | 0.109370 | | | |
| 1.0 | 29 | 0.160918 | | | |
| 1.5 | ∞ | | | | |

Example 4.2. Consider some randomly generated LCP with Non-Hermitian positive definite M where the data (M, q) are generated by the Matlab scripts:

```
function randomforLCP(n)
rand('state',0);
R=rand(n,n);
M=R+n*eye(n);
q=rand(n,1);
```

In Table 2, we report the CPU time (CPU) and number of iterations (Iter) for the corresponding SOR-like method for different values of *n* and *w*.

| Table2 | Shows the | results of examp | ole 4.2 for SO | R-Like |
|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------|--------|
|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------|--------|

| Method | | SOR-Like | | |
|--------|--------------------|----------|------|----------|
| n | the sign of η | W | Iter | CPU |
| 100 | + | 0.1 | 135 | 0.005278 |
| | | 0.5 | 24 | 0.001008 |
| | | 1.0 | 19 | 0.000720 |
| 1000 | + | 0.1 | 145 | 0.399574 |
| | | 0.5 | 26 | 0.070849 |
| | | 1.0 | 19 | 0.051053 |
| 1500 | + | 0.1 | 147 | 0.901282 |
| | | 0.5 | 26 | 0.158273 |
| | | 1.0 | 19 | 0.116798 |
| | | | | |

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a new iterative method for solving a class of linear Complementarity problems with Non-Hermitian positive definite matrices. We have also, established global convergence for this method. Both theoretical analyses and numerical experiments have shown that the proposed algorithm seems promising for solving the *LCP*.

References

- [1] Billups,S.C. and Murty,K.G.(2000) 'Complementarity Problems', Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics,Vol. 124,pp.303–318.
- [2] Bazaraa, M.S. Sherali, H.D. and Shetty, C.M. (2006) Nonlinear programming, Theory and algorithms. Third edition. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Interscience.

- [3] Cryer, C.(1971) 'The solution of a quadratic programming using systematic overrelaxation', SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, Vol.9, pp.385–392.
- [4] Cottle,R.W. Pang,J.S. and Stone,R.E.(1992) The Linear Complementarity Problem, Academic Press, London.
- [5] Lam,S.H.(1999) 'The role of CSP in reduce chemistry modeling'. Paper Presented in: IMA Symposium, Minnesota University, 13–19 October 1999.USA.
- [6] Li, Y. and Dai, P. (2007) Generalized AOR methods for linear complementarity problem', Appl. Math. Comput, Vol. 188, pp. 7-18.
- [7] Li,S.G.Giang,H. Cheng,L.Z. and Liao, X.K.(2011) IGAOR and multisplitting IGAOR methods for linear complementarity problems', Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 235, pp.2904-2912.
- [8] Mangasarian, O.L. (1977) Solution of symmetric linear complementarity problems by iterative Methods, J. Optim. Theory. Appl, Vol. 22, pp. 465-485.
- [9] Murty, K.G.(1988) Linear Complementarity, Linear and Nonlinear Programming, Haldermann Verlag, Berlin.
- [10] Mangasarian, O.L. (1984) Sparsity preserving SOR algorithms for separable quadratic and linear Programming, Computers and Operational Research, Vol. 11, pp. 105-112.
- [11] Machida, N. Fukushima, M. and Ibaraki, T. (1995) A multisplitting method for smmetric linear complementarit prblems, J. Comput. Appl. Math. Vol. 62, pp. 217-227.
- [12] Pang , J.S(1982) On the convergence of a basic iterative method for the implicit complementarity problem, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 37, pp. 149–162.
- [13] SaberiNajafi, H and Edalatpanah. S.A.(2011)On the Two SAOR Iterative Formats for Solving Linear Complementarity Problems, International Journal of Information Technology and Computer Science, Vol. 5, pp. 19-24.
- [14] SaberiNajafi, H and Edalatpanah. S.A. (2012) A kind of symmetrical iterative methods to solve special class of LCP (M,q), International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Applications, Vol. 4, pp. 183-189.
- [15] SaberiNajafi, H and Edalatpanah.S.A.(2013) On the Convergence Regions of Generalized Accelerated Overrelaxation Method for Linear Complementarity Problems, J. Optim. Theory. Appl., Vol. 156, pp. 859-866.
- [16] SaberiNajafi, H and Edalatpanah.S.A.(2013) Iterative Methods with Analytical Preconditioning Technique to Linear Complementarity Problems: Application to Obstacle Problems, RAIRO Operations Research, Vol. 47, pp. 59-71.
- [17] SaberiNajafi, H and Edalatpanah. S.A. (2013) Modification of Iterative Methods for Solving Linear Complementarity Problems, Engineering computations, Vol. 30, pp. 910-923.
- [18] Yuan ,D. and Song,Y.Z.(2003) Modified AOR methods for linear complementarity problem, Appl. Math. Comput,Vol. 140, pp.53-67.
- [19] Zhang, C.Y. and Benzi, M. (2010) P-regular splitting iterative methods for non-Hermitian positive definite linear systems', Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis (ETNA), Vol. 36, pp. 39-53.