from Lemma 3 that they have the same mutual information. Lemma 3 also implies that, besides the dimensions, the mutual information can only depend on one of the distribution parameters, namely $\alpha$.

- For the two-dimensional ordered Weinman exponential distribution the mutual information is
$I\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=$
$\begin{cases}\ln \left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\left(\frac{\theta_{0}}{2}-\theta_{1}\right)\right)+\Psi\left(\frac{\theta_{0}}{\theta_{0}-2 \theta_{1}}\right)+\Psi(1), & \text { if } \theta_{1}<\frac{\theta_{0}}{2} \\ \Psi(1), & \text { if } \theta_{0}=\frac{\theta_{0}}{2} \\ \ln \left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\left(\theta_{1}-\frac{\theta_{0}}{2}\right)\right)+\Psi\left(\frac{2 \theta_{1}}{2 \theta_{1}-\theta_{0}}\right)+\Psi(1), & \text { if } \theta_{1}>\frac{\theta_{0}}{2} .\end{cases}$

It is obtained through direct integration.

- The mutual information of the Gamma-exponential distribution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\Psi\left(\theta_{2}\right)-\ln \theta_{2}+\frac{1}{\theta_{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is derived through direct integration. Note that it depends only on the parameter $\theta_{2}$. This is a consequence of Lemma 3 .
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#### Abstract

We show that an optimal source code with a cost function for code symbols can be regarded as a random number generator generating a random sequence (not necessarily a sequence of fair coin bits) as the target distribution in the sense that the normalized conditional divergence between the distribution of the generated codeword distribution and the target distribution vanishes as the block length tends to infinity.
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## I. InTRODUCTION

In the problem of random number generation, the purpose is in general to simulate the source $\boldsymbol{Y}$ with a prescribed distribution $q$ (called the target distribution) by using the source $\boldsymbol{X}$ with a given probability $\boldsymbol{p}$ (called the coin distribution). von Neumann [1] has initially addressed this problem. He has considered the problem of simulating a fair random bit by repeatedly using a biased coin with an unknown distribution. Elias [2] has clarified that the optimal expected number of generated fair random bits per coin toss is equal asymptotically to the entropy rate of the source $\boldsymbol{X}$. Moreover, Vembu and Verdú [3] have shown that the optimal rate at which we can generate fair random bits from a general source $\boldsymbol{X}$ with arbitrary accuracy in the sense of some vanishing distance (e.g., the variational distance, the d-bar distance, and the normalized divergence) between the distribution of the generated codeword process and the uniform distribution is equal to $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) H\left(X^{n}\right)$. On the other hand, it was conjectured for a long time on the basis of the folklore that an output sequence from an optimal source code is a uniform random sequence, because any incompressible sequence seemingly looks like a uniform random sequence. Visweswariah et al. [4] and Han [5] have independently made clear that this folklore is in fact true, that is, they have shown that an optimal variable-length source code can be regarded as a variable-length random number generator in the sense that the normalized divergence distance between the distribution of the generated codeword process and the uniform distribution actually vanishes as the block length tends to infinity.
On the other hand, as is well known, if we impose unequal costs on code symbols, it is no longer optimal to use the code which minimizes the average codeword length. It is instead required to use the codes which minimize the average codeword cost. Several studies have been made on the source coding problem in this interesting setting. Karp [6] has given an algorithm for constructing minimum-redundancy prefix codes with unequal cost symbols. Iwata et al. [7] have proposed a universal lossless coding algorithm for minimizing the average codeword cost for stationary sources based on the Lempel-Ziv (LZ78) code. Hereafter, we shall call the code constructed in the case with unequal cost symbols the source code with cost. Naturally, there would exist a bias in the frequency of code symbols generated by an optimal source code

[^0]with cost. Can we then consider the optimal variable-length source code with cost as a variable-length nonuniform random number generator? The purpose of this correspondence is to demonstrate that the answer to this question is "yes."

## II. Variable-Length Source Coding with Cost

In order to state our problem in a more formal manner, let $\mathcal{X}$ be a countably infinite source alphabet and $\mathcal{Y}$ be a finite code alphabet, respectively. In the sequel all the logarithms are taken to the base $K \equiv$ $|\mathcal{Y}|$, where $|\mathcal{Y}|$ denotes the cardinality of $\mathcal{Y}$. We denote the set of all nonnull finite-length sequences taken from $\mathcal{Y}$ by $\mathcal{Y}^{*}$. In this correspondence, we consider quite general sources as follows. Let us define a general source as an infinite sequence

$$
\boldsymbol{X}=\left\{X^{n}=\left(X_{1}^{(n)}, \cdots X_{n}^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}
$$

of $n$-dimensional random variables $X^{n}$ where each component random variable $X_{i}^{(n)}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ takes values in $\mathcal{X}$. It should be noted here that each component of $X^{n}$ may change depending on block length $n$. This implies that the sequence $\boldsymbol{X}$ is quite general in the sense that it may not satisfy even the consistency condition as usual processes. The class of sources thus defined covers a very wide range of source including all nonstationary and/or nonergodic sources.

We define the cost function $c: \mathcal{Y}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+} \equiv(0,+\infty]$ as follows: First, each symbol $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is assigned the corresponding cost $c(y)$ such that $0<c(y) \leq+\infty(\forall y \in \mathcal{Y})$, and then the additive cost $c(\boldsymbol{y})$ of $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}^{k}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} c\left(y_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1: $R$ is called an achievable variable-length source coding cost-rate for the source $\boldsymbol{X}$ if there exists a variable-length prefix encoder $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ given the cost function $c: \mathcal{Y}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \leq R
$$

and the infimum of $R$ that are achievable variable-length source coding cost-rates is denoted by $R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})$, which we call the infimum achievable variable-length source coding cost-rate.

Then, we have the following variable-length source coding theorem with cost ${ }^{1}$ for the general source $\boldsymbol{X}$.

## Theorem 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{v}^{\mathrm{c}}(\boldsymbol{X})=\frac{1}{\alpha_{c}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cost capacity $\alpha_{c}$ is the positive unique root $\alpha$ of the equation

$$
\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} K^{-\alpha c(y)}=1
$$

and

$$
H\left(X^{n}\right) \equiv-\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}} P_{X}{ }^{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \log P_{X^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

Proof: See the Appendix.
${ }^{1}$ This kind of theorem has first been shown by Krause [8] for independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) finite alphabet sources.

## III. Source Code with Cost as a Nonuniform Random Number GENERATOR

In this section we address the relationship between source codes with cost and nonuniform independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random number generators. Given a variable-length prefix encoder $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$, we define for any positive integer $m$ as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{m} \equiv\left\{x \in \mathcal{X}^{n} \mid l\left(\varphi_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)=m\right\}
$$

where $l(\cdot)$ denotes the length of a string and we put

$$
\mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right) \equiv\left\{m \mid \operatorname{Pr}\left\{X^{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{m}\right\}>0\right\}
$$

For any $m \in \mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$, we define $X_{m}^{n}$ as the random variable taking values in $\mathcal{D}_{m}$ with the distribution given by

$$
P_{X_{m}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \frac{P_{X^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X^{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{m}\right\}} \quad\left(\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D}_{m}\right)
$$

For any positive integer $m, V^{(m)}$ indicates an i.i.d. sequence of length $m$. Let us now define the conditional divergence $D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right) \| V^{\left(I_{n}\right)} \mid I_{n}\right)$ by
$D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right) \| V^{\left(I_{n}\right)} \mid I_{n}\right) \equiv \sum_{m \in \mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{I_{n}=m\right\} D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right) \| V^{(m)}\right)$
where $I_{n}$ is the random variable such that $I_{n}=m$ for $X^{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{m}$.
Then, the following theorem shows that, with the cost function $c: \mathcal{Y}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$, the optimal variable-length source code with cost can be considered as a variable-length random number generator generating the variable-length i.i.d. random sequence subject to the distribution $\boldsymbol{q}_{c}$ corresponding to the cost function $c: \mathcal{Y}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$, in the sense that the normalized conditional divergence between the distribution of the generated codeword process and the i.i.d. target distribution vanishes as block length $n$ tends to infinity.

Theorem 2: We assume that the entropy rate of the general source $\boldsymbol{X}$ has the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) H\left(X^{n}\right) .^{2}$ Let $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ be any optimal variable-length prefix encoder in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}=R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define the probability distribution $\boldsymbol{q}_{c}=\left\{q_{c}(y)\right\}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}}$ corresponding to the cost function $c$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{c}(y)=K^{-\alpha_{c} c(y)} \quad(y \in \mathcal{Y}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right) \| V^{\left(I_{n}\right)} \mid I_{n}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V^{(m)}$ stands for the i.i.d. sequence of length $m$ subject to the distribution $q_{c}$.

Proof: Let $\boldsymbol{y} \equiv\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}^{m}$. From (4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{V^{(m)}=\boldsymbol{y}\right\} & =\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{c}\left(y_{i}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} K^{-\alpha_{c} c\left(y_{i}\right)} \\
& =K^{-\alpha_{c} c(\boldsymbol{y})}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]for all $m \in \mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$. Then
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right) \| V^{(m)}\right) & =\sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{m}} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}\right\} \log \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}\right\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\left\{V V^{(m)}=\boldsymbol{y}\right\}} \\
& =\alpha_{c} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{m}} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}\right\} c(\boldsymbol{y})-H\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha_{c} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{m}} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}\right\} c(\boldsymbol{y})-H\left(X_{m}^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\varphi_{n}$ is the one-to-one mapping. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{n} \equiv & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{I_{n}=m\right\} D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right) \| V^{(m)}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha_{c}}{n} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y} m} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{I_{n}=m\right\} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}\right\} c(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
& -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{I_{n}=m\right\} H\left(X_{m}^{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha_{c}}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n} \mid I_{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha_{c}}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n} H\left(I_{n}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
c_{\min } \equiv \min _{y \in \mathcal{Y}} c(y)>0
$$

then it follows from $c_{\min } I_{n} \leq c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)$ that

$$
E\left(I_{n}\right) \leq \frac{E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}}{c_{\min }}
$$

which, together with (6) and the inequality (cf. [9])

$$
H\left(I_{n}\right) \leq \log \left[e\left(E\left(I_{n}\right)\right)\right]
$$

yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{n} \leq & \frac{\alpha_{c}}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{n} \log \left[e\left(\frac{E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}}{c_{\min }}\right)\right] . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

We see from (3) that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left[e\left(\frac{E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}}{c_{\min }}\right)\right]=0
$$

On the other hand, a consequence of Theorem 1 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})=\frac{1}{\alpha_{c}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (3), (7), and (8) we conclude that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n} \leq \alpha_{c} R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})-\alpha_{c} R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})=0
$$

which proves (5).
Remark 1: We point out that Iwata et al.'s universal code [7] satisfies the condition (3) for any stationary source $\boldsymbol{X}$, and, therefore, their code can be regarded as providing a universal algorithm for nonuniform i.i.d. random number generation in the sense of (5), although it works only when the source alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ is finite.

## IV. Comparison with Previous Results

Han [5] has earlier established the following result on the optimal variable-length prefix code with equal $\operatorname{cost} c(y)=1(\forall y \in \mathcal{Y})$, i.e., $c(\boldsymbol{y})=l(\boldsymbol{y})\left(\forall \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{*}\right)$.

Theorem 3 [5]: We assume that the entropy rate of the general source $\boldsymbol{X}$ has the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) H\left(X^{n}\right)$. Let $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ be any optimal variable-length prefix encoder satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{l\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}=R_{v}(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{v}(\boldsymbol{X})$ is the infimum of achievable variable-length source coding rates. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right) \| U^{\left(I_{n}\right)} \mid I_{n}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U^{(m)}$ is the i.i.d. sequence subject to uniform distribution on $\mathcal{Y}^{m}$.

We notice here (cf. [5]) that, under the assumption of Theorem 3, $R_{v}(X)$ is given by

$$
R_{v}(\boldsymbol{X})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that Theorem 3 is a special case of Theorem 2, because, in the case where all code symbols have equal $\operatorname{cost} c(y)=1$, the cost capacity $\alpha_{c}=1$ and hence $R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})=R_{v}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Our proof of Theorem 2 is just paralleling the original proof of Theorem 3, and hence Theorem 2 is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 3. On the other hand, Visweswariah et al. [4] have also shown a variant of Theorem 3, i.e., they have shown that the optimal variable-length source code with equal $\operatorname{cost} c(y)=1$ can be considered as a random number generator in the following sense.
Theorem 4: Let $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ be any variable-length prefix encoder satisfying the condition (9), where the source alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ is finite, unlike in Theorems 2 and 3. Then, there exists a sequence of sets $G_{n}$ of positive integers such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{I_{n} \in G_{n}\right\} & =1 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{m \in G_{n}} \frac{1}{m} D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X_{m}^{n}\right) \| U^{(m)}\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

However, it does not seem to be easy to generalize Theorem 4 to be valid also in the case with unequal costs $c(y)$. One reason is that the rather intractable set $G_{n}$ intervenes in Theorem 4 but not in Theorem 3. It should be noted that the proof demonstrated in this correspondence does not need the assumption that the source alphabet $\mathcal{X}$ is finite and also that either of Theorems 3 or 4 does not imply one another because $G_{n} \neq \mathcal{J}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ in general.

Remark 2: The existence of the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) H\left(X^{n}\right)$ for the source $\boldsymbol{X}$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for (10) to hold under the condition (9). To see this, we need the following theorem on the variable-length random number generation. First, we call $R$ an achievable variable-length intrinsic randomness rate for the source $\boldsymbol{X}$ if there exists a variable-length mapping $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ such that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{l\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \geq R
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right) \| U^{\left(I_{n}\right)} \mid I_{n}\right)=0
$$

Moreover, the supremum of $R$ that are achievable variable-length intrinsic randomness rates is denoted by $S_{v}^{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$, which we call the supremum achievable variable-length intrinsic randomness rate. Then, we have

Theorem 5 (Han [5], [10]): For any general source $\boldsymbol{X}$ with a countably infinite source alphabet $\mathcal{X}$

$$
S_{v}^{*}(\boldsymbol{X})=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right)
$$

Since the sufficiency is implied by Theorem 3, it suffices to show the necessity. Suppose that (10) holds. Then, from (10) and Theorem 5, we have

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{l\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \leq S_{v}^{*}(\boldsymbol{X})=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right)
$$

Moreover, by means of Theorem 1 with $c(y)=1(\forall y \in \mathcal{Y})$

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{l\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \geq R_{v}(\boldsymbol{X})=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right)
$$

As a consequence, since (9) implies

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{l\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{l\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

it follows that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right) \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right)
$$

which claims that the source $\boldsymbol{X}$ must have the limit

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) H\left(X^{n}\right)
$$

## V. Variable-Length Coding with General Cost Function

In Section III, we have shown that the optimal variable-length source code with the additive cost functionc: $\mathcal{Y}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$defined by (1) can be regarded as a variable-length random number generator generating the variable-length i.i.d. random sequence $V^{\left(I_{n}\right)}$ subject to the distribution $\boldsymbol{q}_{c}$ depending on the cost function $c$. In the same spirit, we may consider the problem of generating a more general stochastic process instead of $V^{\left(I_{n}\right)}$. To do so, what kind of cost function should we introduce? In the following, we consider the generation of an arbitrarily prescribed general stochastic process (which may be nonstationary or nonergodic) satisfying the consistency condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} q(\boldsymbol{y} y) \quad\left(\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{*}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ denotes the probability measure. We denote the conditional probability of $y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}$ given the sequence $y_{1}^{i-1} \equiv\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i-1}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{Y}^{i-1}$ by $q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)$ and we assume that there exist some constants $q_{\text {min }}, q_{\text {max }}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right) \leq q_{\max }<1 \quad\left(\forall i, \forall y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}, \forall y_{1}^{i-1} \in \mathcal{Y}^{i-1}\right)  \tag{12}\\
0<q_{\min } \leq \inf _{i, y_{i}, y_{1}^{i-1}: q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)>0} q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using this conditional probability, the probability $q(\boldsymbol{y})$ of $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{l}$ is written as

$$
q(\boldsymbol{y})=\prod_{i=1}^{l} q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right) \quad\left(\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{l}\right)
$$

Let us now define the general cost function $c: \mathcal{Y}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv-\log q(\boldsymbol{y})=-\sum_{i=1}^{l} \log q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right) \quad\left(\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{l}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the conditional $\operatorname{cost} c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)$ of $y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}$ given the sequence $y_{1}^{i-1} \in \mathcal{Y}^{i-1}$ by

$$
c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right) \equiv-\log q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)
$$

and call the root $\alpha=\alpha_{c}$ of the equation

$$
\sum_{y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}} K^{-\alpha c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)}=1
$$

the cost capacity $\alpha_{c}$ of the general cost function $c$. It is then obvious that $\alpha_{c}=1$ for all $y_{1}^{i-1} \in \mathcal{Y}^{i-1}$. Then, as a general version of Theorem 1, we have the following variable-length source coding theorem with the general cost function (14) for the general source $\mathbf{X}$. First, let us call $R$ an achievable variable-length source coding cost-rate for the source $\boldsymbol{X}$ if there exists a variable-length prefix encoder $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ with the general cost function (14) such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \leq R
$$

and the infimum of $R$ that are achievable variable-length source coding cost-rates is denoted by $R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})$, which we call the infimum achievable variable-length source coding cost-rate.

Theorem 6:

$$
R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})=\frac{1}{\alpha_{c}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(X^{n}\right) \quad\left(\alpha_{c}=1\right)
$$

Proof: On the basis of the assumption (13), we see that there exists a constant $c_{\text {max }}$ such that

$$
\sup _{i, y_{i}, y_{1}^{i-1}: c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)<\infty} c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right) \leq c_{\max }<\infty
$$

Then, Theorem 6 follows in entirely the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 1, provided that the additive $\operatorname{cost} c\left(y_{i}\right)$ is replaced by the conditional cost $c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)$, and accordingly $q\left(y_{i}\right)=K^{-\alpha_{c} c\left(y_{i}\right)}$ by $q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)=K^{-\alpha_{c} c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)}$.

Finally, we have the following main theorem of this section which says that the optimal variable-length source code with the general cost function $c$ defined by (14) can be considered as a variable-length random number generator generating the random sequence subject to the given probability measure $q$.

Theorem 7: We assume that the entropy rate of the general source $\boldsymbol{X}$ has the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) H\left(X^{n}\right)$. Given an arbitrary probability measure $q$ satisfying (11)-(13), we define the cost function $c: \mathcal{Y}^{*} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{R}^{+}$by (14) and let $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ be any optimal variable-length prefix encoder such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}=R_{v}^{c}(\boldsymbol{X})
$$

Then, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right) \| V_{q}^{\left(I_{n 2}\right)} \mid I_{n}\right)=0
$$

where $V_{q}^{(m)}$ is the random variable subject to the marginal distribution on $\mathcal{Y}^{m}$ of the probability measure $q$.

Proof: From the assumption (12) we see that there exists a constant $c_{\text {min }}$ such that

$$
0<c_{\min } \leq c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right) \quad\left(\forall i, \forall y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}, \forall y_{1}^{i-1} \in \mathcal{Y}^{i-1}\right)
$$

Using this property, we can show Theorem 7 in entirely the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 2, provided that $c\left(y_{i}\right), q\left(y_{i}\right)$ are replaced by $c\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right), q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{1}^{i-1}\right)$, respectively.

Example: With a finite code alphabet $\mathcal{Y}$ let us consider a Markov process subject to transition probabilities $q\left(y \mid y^{\prime}\right)$ such that $q\left(y \mid y^{\prime}\right)<$ $1\left(\forall y, y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Y}\right)$. Denoting the initial distribution by $q(y)$, set

$$
c(y)=-\log q(y) \quad c\left(y \mid y^{\prime}\right)=-\log q\left(y \mid y^{\prime}\right)
$$

and define the $\operatorname{cost} c(\boldsymbol{y})$ of a sequence $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\boldsymbol{y})=c\left(y_{1}\right)+c\left(y_{2} \mid y_{1}\right)+c\left(y_{3} \mid y_{2}\right)+\cdots+c\left(y_{n} \mid y_{n-1}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, Theorem 7 tells us that the optimal variable-length prefix coding for any general source $\boldsymbol{X}$ with the cost function (15) asymptotically generates the Markov process subject to the transition probabilities $q\left(y \mid y^{\prime}\right)$.

## APPENDIX

## Proof of Theorem 1

1) Direct Part: Without loss of generality we may assume that $0<c(y)<\infty(\forall y \in \mathcal{Y})$. Let $\mathcal{Y} \equiv\{1,2, \cdots, K\}$ and set $q(i) \equiv K^{-\alpha_{c} c(i)}(i=1,2, \cdots, K)$. For any $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}^{*}$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}: \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime} \prec \boldsymbol{y}} q\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \beta(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}: \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime} \preceq \boldsymbol{y}} q\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \alpha(\boldsymbol{y})+q(\boldsymbol{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\prec, \preceq$ indicate the lexicographic order on the set $\mathcal{Y}^{l}$ and we have put for $\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}^{l}$

$$
q(\boldsymbol{z})=q\left(z_{1}\right) q\left(z_{2}\right) \cdots q\left(z_{l}\right)
$$

Let the interval $[\alpha(\boldsymbol{y}), \beta(\boldsymbol{y}))$ be denoted by $I(\boldsymbol{y})$. Obviously, $I(\boldsymbol{y}) \subset$ $[0,1)$ and $|I(\boldsymbol{y})|=K^{-\alpha_{c} c(\boldsymbol{y})}$ (the width of $I(\boldsymbol{y})$ ). Then, we first have the following trivial lemma.

Lemma 1: A code $\mathcal{C} \equiv\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \cdots\right\}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}^{*}\right)$ is prefix if and only if all intervals $I\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right), I\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{2}\right), \cdots \subset[0,1)$ are mutually disjoint.
Let all the elements of $\mathcal{X}^{n}$ be ordered as $\mathcal{X}^{n} \equiv\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i} & \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} P_{X^{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) & (i=1,2, \cdots) \\
Q_{i} & \equiv P_{i}+\frac{1}{2} P_{X^{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) & (i=1,2, \cdots)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{1} \equiv 0$. Now, to each $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ we uniquely assign $\boldsymbol{y}_{i}$ as

$$
\boldsymbol{y}_{i} \equiv \arg \min _{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y})}|\boldsymbol{y}|
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y})$ is the set of $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ such that $I(\boldsymbol{y})$ includes $Q_{i}$ but does not include either $P_{i}$ or $P_{i+1}$. It then follows from $I\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right) \subset\left[P_{i}, P_{i+1}\right)$ that each interval $I\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right), I\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{2}\right), \cdots$ is disjoint. Then, from Lemma 1, the code $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ is prefix. Therefore, we can define the encoder $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ by

$$
\varphi_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) \equiv \boldsymbol{y}_{i} .
$$

Now, set $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i} \equiv\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{l-1}\right)$ for each sequence $\boldsymbol{y}_{i}=$ $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{l-1}, y_{l}\right)$. Since $I\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right) \subset I\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)$ we have $Q_{i} \in I\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)$. Moreover, we see from the definition of $I\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right)$ that $P_{i} \in I\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)$ or $P_{i+1} \in I\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)$. Then, the width $\left|I\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)\right|$ of the interval $I\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)$ must be larger than $P_{X}{ }^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) / 2$, so that

$$
\left|I\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)\right|=K^{-\alpha_{\mathrm{c}} c\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)}>\frac{P_{X n}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}{2}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right) & \leq c\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\right)+c_{\max } \\
& <\frac{-\log P_{X^{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}{\alpha_{c}}+\frac{\log 2}{\alpha_{c}}+c_{\max }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{\text {max }} \equiv \max _{y \in \mathcal{Y}} c(y)<\infty$. Then, we have

$$
E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}<-\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}} P_{X^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{\log P_{X^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\alpha_{c}}+\frac{\log 2}{\alpha_{c}}+c_{\max }
$$

which concludes that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{c}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(X^{n}\right) .
$$

## 2) Converse Part:

Let $\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{X}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{*}$ be any variable-length prefix encoder and put

$$
c_{i} \equiv c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)\right) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots)
$$

and define $q_{i} \equiv K^{-\alpha_{c} c_{i}}$. Then, from Lemma 1, we have

$$
q \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_{i} \leq 1
$$

Set $p_{i} \equiv P_{X^{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$ and $p \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i}=1$. From the log-sum inequality [9], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i} \log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} & \geq p \log \frac{p}{q} \\
& =\log \frac{1}{q} \\
& \geq 0 \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i} \log \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} & =-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i} \log q_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i} \log p_{i} \\
& =\alpha_{c} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i} c_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i} \log p_{i} \\
& =\alpha_{c} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\}-H\left(X^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (16) implies that

$$
E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{c}} H\left(X^{n}\right) .
$$

Then, we conclude that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left\{c\left(\varphi_{n}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)\right\} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{c}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(X^{n}\right)
$$
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## A New Recursive Universal Code of the Positive Integers
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#### Abstract

A new recursive universal code of the positive integers is proposed, in which any given sequence can be used as a delimiter of codeword while bit " 0 " is used as a delimiter in known universal codes, e.g., Levenshtein code, Elias $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ code, Even-Rodeh code, Stout code, Bentley-Yao code, etc. The codeword length of the proposed code is shorter than $\log _{2}^{*} n$ in almost all of sufficiently large positive integers although the known codes are longer than $\log _{2}^{*} \boldsymbol{n}$ for any positive integer $\boldsymbol{n}$.


Index Terms—Elias $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ code, log-star function, universal code of positive integers, universal coding.

## I. Introduction

Many researchers have treated the universal coding of the positive integers that satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(n) \geq P(n+1), \quad \text { for any } n \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(n)$ is a probability distribution on the set of positive integers $\mathcal{N}=\{1,2,3, \cdots\}[1]-[7]$. These codes can be used practically in various adaptive dictionary codes [8]. Besides the practical uses, it is an interesting coding problem to consider how efficiently we can encode the positive integers under the prefix condition.
Let $\log _{2}^{k} n$ be the $k$-fold composition of the function $\log _{2} n$ and let $\log _{2}^{*} n$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{2}^{*} n=\log _{2} n+\log _{2}^{2} n+\cdots+\log _{2}^{w^{*}(n)} n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{*}(n)$ is the largest integer $w$ which satisfies $\log _{2}^{w} n \geq 0$. Then, it is shown theoretically that any positive integer $n$ can be represented with $\log _{2}^{*} n-\alpha w^{*}(n)$ bits if $\alpha<\log _{2} \log _{2} e$ [2], [3].

On the other hand, many researchers, e.g., Levenshtein [2], ${ }^{1}$ Elias [4], Bentley-Yao [5], Even-Rodeh [6], Stout [7], etc., have proposed $\log ^{*} n$-type codes with a recursive structure to attain high performance in large $n$. But, in their codes, codeword length $l(n)$ cannot become shorter than $\log _{2}^{*} n$ although it satisfies $l(n) \leq \log _{2}^{*} n+w^{*}(n)+c$ where $c$ is a constant.

[^2]In this correspondence, we propose a new $\log ^{*} n$-type code with a recursive structure, which satisfies that

$$
l(n) \leq \log _{2}^{*} n-\log _{2}\left(1-2^{-f}\right) w_{f}^{*}(n)+c_{f}
$$

even in the worst cases and

$$
l(n) \leq \log _{2}^{*} n-\left(1+\log _{2}\left(1-2^{-f}\right)\right) w_{f}^{*}(n)+c_{f}
$$

in the best cases. Here, $f$ is a parameter of the code and $c_{f}$ is a constant which depends on $f . w_{f}^{*}(n)$ is a similar function to $w^{*}(n)$, which satisfies $w_{f}^{*}(n) \leq w^{*}(n)$.

Since the best and worst cases occur at infinitely many $n$ 's, and, roughly speaking, $l(n)$ is distributed uniformly between two extreme cases, $l(n)$ can become shorter than $\log _{2}^{*} n$ in large parts of integers.

In Section II, we review Elias $\omega$ code, which is a typical one of the known $\log ^{*} n$-type codes, and we show the reason why the codeword length cannot become shorter than $\log _{2}^{*} n$ in the known codes. To overcome this defect, we devise a new representation of binary numbers that never has a given sequence as a prefix. In Section III, we propose a new recursive universal code of the positive integers based on the new binary number representation and we evaluate the performance of the proposed code theoretically. It is shown that the codeword length of the proposed code is shorter than $\log _{2}^{*} n$ in almost all of sufficiently large positive integers. The case of $r$-ary universal codes are treated in Section IV.

We use the following notation in this correspondence.

- $[n]_{r}$ is the ordinary $r$-ary number of positive integer $n$ such that the most significant digit of $[n]_{r}$ is nonzero.
- $[n]_{r}^{i}$ is the ordinary $r$-ary number of $n$ with $i$ digits.
- $\lfloor t\rfloor$ is the largest integer not exceeding $t$.

Examples: $[14]_{2}=1110,[14]_{2}^{5}=01110,[14]_{3}=112,[14]_{3}^{5}=00112$, $\left\lfloor\log _{2} 14\right\rfloor=3$.

## II. New Binary Number Representation Excluding a Forbidden Prefix

Elias $\omega$ code $C_{E}(n)$ has the following recursive structure [4]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{E}\left(n_{0}\right)=\left[n_{K}\right]_{2}\left[n_{K-1}\right]_{2} \cdots\left[n_{1}\right]_{2}\left[n_{0}\right]_{2} 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[n]_{2}$ is the ordinary binary number of $n$, the most significant bit (MSB) of which is always one. Each $n_{k}$ in (3) is determined recursively by $n_{k}=\left\lfloor\log _{2} n_{k-1}\right\rfloor$. In other words, $n_{k}+1$ represents the bit length of $\left[n_{k-1}\right]_{2}$. The recursion in (3) stops when the length of $\left[n_{K}\right]_{2}$ is two. Finally, bit " 0 " is attached as a delimiter to indicate the end of $C_{E}\left(n_{0}\right) .{ }^{2}$ In the decoding, $n_{K}$ is obtained from the first two bits of $C_{E}\left(n_{0}\right)$, and the length of $\left[n_{k-1}\right]_{2}$ is recursively obtained from $n_{k}$. Since the MSB of every $\left[n_{k}\right]_{2}$ is " 1 ," delimiter " 0 " can stop the recursion and $\left[n_{0}\right]_{2}$ can easily be found.

Levenshtein $W_{2}$ code [2], Even-Rodeh code [6], and Stout code [7] have similar structures and their codes also use bit " 0 " as a delimiter in the same way as Elias $\omega$ code. Levenshtein $W_{2}^{\prime}$ code [2] and Bentley-Yao search-tree code [5] have a little different structure. However, it is known that their code can be derived from Elias $\omega$ like code by gathering the MSB's of all $\left[n_{k}\right]_{2}$ and delimiter " 0 " as a prefix.
${ }^{1}$ Levenshtein code is the first $\log * n$-type code although Elias $\omega$ code is famous.
${ }^{2}$ " $n_{0}=1$ " is the exception case, for which the codeword is defined as $" C_{E}(1)=0 . "$
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