



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Spatial Distribution of Grassland Fires at the Regional Scale Based on the MODIS Active Fire Products

Citation for published version:

Feng, Z, Zhang, Z, Zhang, H, Zhao, J, Yu, S & Du, W 2017, 'Spatial Distribution of Grassland Fires at the Regional Scale Based on the MODIS Active Fire Products', *International Journal of Wildland Fire*.
<https://doi.org/10.1071/WF16026>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1071/WF16026](https://doi.org/10.1071/WF16026)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

International Journal of Wildland Fire

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





**Spatial Distribution of Grassland Fires at the Regional Scale
Based on the MODIS Active Fire Products**

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Wildland Fire</i>
Manuscript ID	WF16026.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Dec-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Zhang, Zhengxiang Feng, Zhiqiang Zhang, Hongyan Zhao, Jianjun Yu, Shan Du, Wala
Keyword:	Risk, Fire history, Fire regimes

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Only

1 Spatial Distribution of Grassland Fires at the Regional Scale

2 Based on the MODIS Active Fire Products

3

4 *Zhengxiang Zhang^A, Zhiqiang Feng^B, Hongyan Zhang^A, Jianjun Zhao^A, Shan Yu^C, Wala Du^{D,E}*

5

6 ^AProvincial Laboratory of Resources and Environmental Research for North-east China,
7 North-east Normal University, Changchun 130024, China8 ^BSchool of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH8 9XP, Scotland, UK9 ^CInner Mongolia Autonomous Region Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing & Geography
10 Information System, Inner Mongolia Huhhot 010022, China11 ^DEcological and Agricultural Meteorology Centre of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, Inner
12 Mongolia Huhhot 010051, China13 ^ECorresponding author: dwlrgis@163.com

14

15 **Abstract.** Grassland fires are major disturbances to ecosystems and economies around the world.
16 Therefore, research on the spatial patterns of grassland fires is important for understanding the
17 dynamics of fire occurrence and providing evidence for fire prevention and management. One of
18 the problems in grassland fire risk analysis is that historically observed fire data are generally in
19 the point format, with imprecise positions, whereas other influencing factors are often expressed
20 in continuous areal units. To minimize the influences of inaccurate locations and grid size, kernel
21 density estimation, a non-parametric statistical method for estimating probability densities, can be
22 used to produce density estimates. This method has been widely used to convert historical fire data

23 into continuous surfaces. In this study, kernel density estimation was applied to grassland fire
24 events in the eastern Inner Mongolia of China, based on Moderate Resolution Imaging
25 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Terra and Aqua daily active fire data from 2001 to 2014. The
26 bandwidth choice was based on the mean random distance method. Annual and seasonal kernel
27 density maps were produced, showing that the spatial patterns of grassland fire events remained
28 temporally consistent. These results were used to create grassland fire risk zones on the basis of
29 the mean density values in the study area. Grassland fire prevention and planning may focus on
30 high-risk areas identified using this method.

31

32 **Additional Keywords:** Grassland fire; MODIS; Kernel density estimation; Fire risk zone

33

34 **Brief Summary:** This study uses kernel density estimation to analyse the spatial pattern of
35 grassland fires based on the MODIS active fire product and define grassland fire risk zones. The
36 results show that the kernel density estimation method can be applied to analyse the spatial
37 distribution of grassland fires.

38

39 **Introduction**

40 As one of the most important landscapes, grassland areas can be found on all continents of the
41 world except Antarctica (Loveland et al., 2000). Fire is very common in these grass-dominated
42 regions and grassland fires are one of the most important disturbance types in natural ecosystems
43 (Ojima et al., 1994; Noy-Meir, 1995).

44 These fires are of global significance and affect the global ecosystem because the burning biomass

45 constitutes one of the largest sources of global greenhouse gas emissions (Hao and Liu, 1994;
46 Oom and Pereira, 2013). Grassland fires are also harmful for agricultural and livestock production.
47 Annual fires may result in increased soil erosion, soil degradation, loss of life and property,
48 diminished grass resources, and reduced biodiversity (Chuvieco et al., 2010).

49 In the North American Great Plains and desert grasslands of southwestern North America,
50 grassland fires mainly occur in spring and early summer, prior to the summer rainy season (Gosz
51 et al., 1995; Gosz and Gosz, 1996; Engle and Bidwell, 2001). In the arid and semi-arid grasslands
52 of South America, most grassland fires are repeatedly ignited to suppress undesirable woody
53 vegetation (Bóo et al., 1996; Bóo et al., 1997; Guevara et al., 1999; Martinez Carretero, 1995). In
54 Africa, widespread fires frequently burn the savanna each year, and most fires occur in dry
55 seasons (June–August) (Danthu et al., 2003; Laris, 2002; Mbow et al., 2000; Reid et al., 2000;
56 Sheuyange et al., 2005; Snyman, 2004). In Australia, vast tracts of savanna are burnt annually in
57 the dry season (May–November) under relatively severe climatic conditions (Edwards et al., 2001;
58 Gill et al., 1996). As a major part of Asian grasslands, arid and semi-arid grasslands cover
59 Mongolia and the Inner Mongolia of China. Annual fires are also frequent in these areas. The
60 cycle of fire occurrence is about 3–6 years, and over 90% occur in spring and autumn (Liu et al.,
61 1999; Zhou, 1995).

62 In order to estimate fire risk, fire managers and scientists have studied the phenomenon in terms of
63 frequency, severity, size, probability, spatial pattern and distribution (Amatulli et al., 2007). Fire
64 risk assessment would help the managers in making fire prevention and management plans
65 according to spatial and temporal patterns in the high risk zones. Mapping fire risk zones using
66 spatial and temporal models of wildland fire history or fire occurrence is essential from ecological,

67 social and economic perspectives (Pleniou et al., 2013).

68 To assess fire risk, data on the historical fire occurrence and environmental factors must be
69 available to fire managers or scientists. However, it is often the case that the historical fire records
70 do not contain the proper information regarding fire events, such as the mean or approximate
71 geographical location, size, perimeter and severity. Although a fire event is often treated as a point
72 in x- and y-coordinates, point recognition process of events would lead to serious positional
73 inaccuracies because the exact location of ignition point is usually unknown (Amatulli et al., 2007;
74 Koutsias et al., 2004; Kuter et al., 2011). Human activities are closely associated with fire
75 occurrences. Data on human and economic factors are largely sourced from administrative records
76 at different spatial scales. Combining these inaccurate fire records and administrative records
77 regarding influencing factors may result in substantial errors when we examine the spatial patterns
78 of fire and their influencing factors. In other words, risk assessment that integrates fire events and
79 human factors would benefit from the continuous form of fire events because of the ease of
80 integration with data on human activities and reduced error propagation in the process (Syphard et
81 al., 2007; Martinez et al., 2009; Martinez-Fernandez et al., 2013). Therefore, a reliable method is
82 necessary to convert the fire occurrence point data into a continuous surface representing the fire
83 occurrence density and to carry out the fire risk zoning exercise (Koutsias et al., 2004).

84 There are several interpolation techniques used to convert data from fire points to continuous
85 surfaces. As a commonly preferred method, Kernel density estimation (KDE) produces a surface
86 that is a nonparametric estimate of the underlying unknown intensity function (Waller, 2004). This
87 method has been widely used to convert historical forest fire occurrence data into continuous fire
88 occurrence density surfaces, to identify the spatial patterns of fire occurrence at the regional or

89 local scales and to assess the forest fire risk associated with the influencing factors (Amatulli et al.,
90 2007; Koutsias et al., 2004; Kuter et al., 2011). These analyses suggest that this type of spatial
91 pattern information is very useful for predicting and managing forest fires.

92 Most grassland involves annual vegetation, and these grass fuels can ignite every year in suitable
93 environments. In comparison, grassland fires release energy at a rate lower than that of forest fires
94 (Mell et al., 2005). Forest fires have very large ecological impacts on all of the vegetation strata in
95 a forest. However, because grassland fuels are more flammable and have lower moisture contents,
96 grassland fires occur more easily and propagate more quickly. Therefore, grassland fires may
97 represent high-risk situations, especially during suppression operations (Alexander and Fogarty,
98 2002; Linn et al., 2002). To understand and manage grassland fires, it is critical to analyze the
99 distributions of grassland fires.

100 The main purpose of this study is to reveal the spatial patterns of grassland fire events in the
101 eastern Inner Mongolia of China, which has suffered from high incidence of grassland fire , using
102 KDE methods with MODIS fire active products (from 2001 to 2014) and to demonstrate that such
103 a technique can be applied in grassland fire analysis. Seasonal and annual KDE maps were
104 produced to disclose the time dimension of fire regimes. . The final density map of grassland fire
105 occurrence zones shows the mean density in the study area and has potential to help improve
106 preventative management strategies of grassland fires.

107

108 **Materials and Methods**

109 *Study Area*

110 The study area is located in the eastern Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region of China, between

111 41.26-53.23°N and 115.22-126.06°E (Figure 1). It is approximately 1,333 km long and 743 km
112 wide, covering a total area of 454,204 km². The climate in the study area is a typical temperate
113 continental monsoon, with low, irregular rainfall and extreme changes in temperature between
114 summer and winter. The annual mean air temperature and precipitation are approximately -2.3°C
115 and 320 mm respectively (Zhang et al., 2013). The vegetation in the eastern Inner Mongolia
116 grassland region is made up of diverse plant communities, which are dominated by *Stipa*
117 *baicalensis*, *Filifolium sibiricum* and *Leymus chinensis*. The elevation ranges between 200 and
118 1500 m. The central region is dominated by the Daxing'An Mountains, stretching from the centre
119 of the region towards the east and west. The elevation gradually decreases, and topography
120 gradually becomes flatter. There are 36 counties and approximately 5000 villages and towns
121 scatter across the study area. Paved roads, dirt roads, and railroads are common in the region.
122 They are distributed throughout the area, with an average density of approximately 67.64 km/km².
123 The activities of the inhabitants often lead to accidental fires. The annual frequency of fires in the
124 region is high with approximately 600 wildfires every year, which is why it was chosen as the
125 study area (Fu et al., 2001).

126

127 *Data*

128 In the study area, traditional methods were used to collect information on fire events via the
129 officers of local fire protection departments. The MODIS Active Fire Data contain daily fire pixel
130 locations that are most appropriate for analysing the spatial and temporal distributions of events.
131 The MODIS Terra and Aqua daily active fire product data (MOD14A1 and MYD14A1) are
132 available in our study region. The fire product data set from 2001 to 2014 was downloaded from

133 the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Centre (LP-DAAC) using a web-based interface
134 known as Reverb, which is a replacement for the Warehouse Inventory Search Tool
135 (<https://reverb.echo.nasa.gov/>). This fire product is based on 1 km pixels, in which burning was
136 detected at the times of Terra and Aqua satellite overpassing under relatively cloud-free conditions.
137 A contextual algorithm that detects the strong emission of mid-infrared radiation from fires is used
138 based on brightness temperatures derived from the 4 and 11 μm channels, enhancing the
139 sensitivity of smaller, cooler fires and minimising the detection of false positives (Giglio et al.,
140 2003; Morisette et al., 2005; Giglio et al., 2006; de Klerk et al., 2008; Hawbaker et al., 2008).
141 The fire mask is the principle component of the Level 2 MODIS fire product and is stored as an
142 8-bit unsigned integer Scientific Data Set (SDS). In it, individual 1 km pixels are assigned to one
143 of nine classes (Giglio, 2013). These classes are listed in Table 1. It was found that classes eight
144 and nine of the fire mask pixel are suitable for the study area (He et al., 2013). The pixels with
145 values of eight and nine were extracted from the MOD14A1 and the downloaded rasters were
146 converted into the vector format as active fire points.
147 A land use and land cover data set of the study area was provided by the Data Centre for
148 Resources and Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (RESDC)
149 (<http://www.resdc.cn>). These data were interpreted from Landsat TM/ETM from 2010 at the scale
150 of 1:100,000. The grasslands in the study area were derived from the land use data set. Then, the
151 active fire data set was overlaid by grasslands to erase the non-grassland fire events. The
152 occurrence counts and coordinates were saved in an attribute table. Then, all the active grassland
153 fires were compounded into one layer.
154 The standard map of the study area in the digital format and grassland fire data obtained from

155 MODIS were all set to the scale of 1:100,000 and the Transverse Mercator projection with
156 D_WGS_1984 datum.

157

158 *KDE Methods*

159 The implementation of kernel density estimation is based on the estimation of the density at each
160 intersection of a grid of quadrants superimposed on the data after assigning a probability density
161 to each event (Levine, 2000; Seaman and Powell, 1996). The estimated density results from the
162 sum of the densities of all the kernels overlapping at each grid cell (Worton, 1989; Tufto et al.,
163 1996).

164 Kernel density estimation, a non-parametric statistical method for estimating probability densities,
165 has been widely used for home range estimation in wildlife ecology and for forest fire risk
166 assessment (Amatulli et al., 2007; Boer et al., 2009; Koutsias et al., 2014; Kuter et al., 2011).

167 The bivariate kernel density estimator was mathematically defined by Silverman as follows
168 (1998):

$$169 \quad \hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K \frac{1}{h}(x - X_i) \quad (1)$$

170 where n is the number of points, h is the smoothing parameter or the bandwidth, K is a kernel
171 density function, x is a vector of coordinates that represent the location where the function is
172 estimated, and X_i represents vectors of coordinates that define each observation.

173 The kernel function can be selected from a variety of functions (De La Riva et al., 2004). In this
174 study, the Epanechnikov kernel function was selected, which is the default in ArcGIS. This
175 function is defined by Silverman as follows (1998).

$$K_e(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} C_d^{-1} (d+2)(1-x^T x) & \text{if } : x^T x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

177 The bandwidth influences the smoothness of the density function by controlling the size of the
 178 kernel and the interpolation results. A narrow bandwidth generates a finer mesh density, and a
 179 larger bandwidth produces a smoother density distribution (Amatulli et al., 2007). Several
 180 methods can be used to find the appropriate size of the bandwidth (Worton, 1989; De La Riva et
 181 al., 2004).

182 To avoid the different effects of the active fire points over the areas with different degrees of
 183 concentration, the mean random distance (RDmean) of an equal number of randomly distributed
 184 points over an area should be considered (Koutsias et al., 2004). RDmean refers to the distribution
 185 of the total number of points in a specific area. If the number of points is large, a small bandwidth
 186 would be more suitable, avoiding loss of variability in the estimates. For a small number of points,
 187 a large bandwidth should be adopted to avoid density estimations associated with nothing more
 188 than random variability (Koutsias et al., 2004). In the present study, the RDmean method was
 189 selected for the kernel bandwidth calculation. It can be based on a local or global approach. In the
 190 local approach, the mean compartment area size and mean number of active fire points per
 191 compartment are taken into account, whereas total size of the study area and total number of
 192 active fire points are considered in the global approach. The RDmean function is defined as
 193 follows (De La Riva et al., 2004):

$$RDmean = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{A}{N}} \quad (3)$$

195 where A is mean polygon size and N is mean number of active fire points inside a compartment in
 196 the local approach. In the global approach, A is the total area of the study area and N is the total

197 number of points in the study area.

198 The double of the RDmean value was recommended as the bandwidth value in previous studies
199 (Koutsias et al., 2004; De La Riva et al., 2004). Therefore, the KDE maps of active grassland fires
200 were generated seasonally and in three periods (2001-2005, 2006-2010, 2011-2014) using values
201 of twice the RDmean.

202

203 *Accuracy assessment*

204 The Monthly Tiled 500 m Burned Area Product (MCD45A1) in the study area from 2001 to 2014
205 was downloaded from the website (<https://reverb.echo.nasa.gov/>). The pixels with values
206 corresponding to the approximate Julian day of the burning were considered burned areas, and all
207 other codes were indicated as non-burned (Boschetti et al., 2013). The pixel values were set to one
208 for burned cells and zero for non-burned cells. The monthly burned area data sets were masked by
209 grassland data. According to the Julian day value, the masked data sets were overlaid using map
210 algebra and compiled into three periods (2001-2005, 2006-2010, and 2011-2014) and seasonal
211 data sets (spring (Julian day 334-60), summer (Julian day 61-151), autumn (Julian day 152-242)
212 and winter (Julian day 243-333)). Then, the pixel values reflected the burn times of each grid in
213 corresponding periods.

214 To characterize the sensitivity of the KDE results for grassland fires, the pixels in burned areas
215 and the same number of pixels from non-burned areas in each period were randomly selected. The
216 corresponding pixels of KDE results were also selected. All values of selected pixels were
217 inputted into SPSS software (ver. 14), and Pearson correlation coefficients in each period were
218 provided to assess the sensitivity of the KDE application to grassland fires.

219

220 *Mapping of grassland fire risk zones*

221 In the study area, the KDE mapping of active grassland fires was carried out to analyse the
222 characteristics of the fire distribution. To assess the differences in fire regimes between counties
223 for supporting decision making and fire prevention policies, each county in the study area was
224 considered a compartment, and the mean density value in each compartment was generated based
225 on the kernel density surfaces. Furthermore, fire risk zones were generated with five categories
226 (very high, high, moderate, low and very low) using the mean density value in each compartment
227 based on the criterion of the “quantile” method. ArcGIS (ver. 10.2) was used to implement the
228 KDE method and produce maps.

229

230 **Results**

231 The grassland fire events in the entire study area are shown in Figure 2, and the total number of
232 grassland fires was 6020 over the 14 years between 2001 and 2014 (Table 2). The years with the
233 largest number of events were 2003 and 2014. Years 2004 and 2001 witnessed the lowest number
234 of fires. Annually, the peak months were April, March, September and May, and the months with
235 the fewest fire events were January, December and July. Figure 3 shows the monthly time series of
236 grassland fire events. The time series reveals seasonal patterns, with a large number of events
237 between March and May and a peak in April. A secondary peak occurs between August and
238 October, with a peak in September. The seasonal characteristics of grassland fires are obvious. The
239 probabilities of grassland fire occurrence in the study area from high to low are spring, autumn,
240 summer and winter.

241 The double of the RDmean value was used as the bandwidth value in the KDE calculations. The
242 parameters used in bandwidth calculations and bandwidth values are given in Table 3. For the
243 mean random distance approach, the bandwidth was calculated using local and global mean
244 random distance calculations and both approaches yielded the same bandwidth value of 8686 m.
245 Using the final bandwidth value, seasonal and annual KDE maps were obtained.

246 According to the seasonal KDE maps, grassland fire points show different spatial and temporal
247 clustering patterns (Figure 4). In the spring, the spatial distribution of grassland fires is clustered
248 significantly compared to those in other seasons. The largest proportion of grassland fires occurred
249 in spring, with a percentage of 59.53%, out of all the active fire events. In descending order, the
250 other proportions are autumn (30.52%), summer (7.84%) and winter (2.11%). The largest
251 occurrence densities of grassland fires in each season are 0.358 times/km², 0.248 times/km², 0.129
252 times/km² and 0.049 times/km². The high-density areas are located in the northern part of the
253 study area, especially in the northeast part.

254 Three periods: 2001-2005, 2006-2010 and 2011-2014 were separately summarized and analysed.
255 The percentages of fire events in the three periods are respectively 35.3%, 31.76% and 32.71%.
256 There are no significant differences between the numbers of grassland fire events. KDE maps
257 generated for these periods are shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that there is a gradual decrease in
258 active fire events after 2005, but the trend reversed after 2010. The spatial distributions of
259 grassland fire events are similar in these three periods. Grassland fire events were dense in the
260 north and the northeast parts of the study area. In the southern part, the density was significantly
261 lower, with the density in 2006-2010 being the lowest.

262 Table 4 shows the results of the statistical comparison between the burned and non-burned pixel

263 values and the corresponding values of grassland fire KDE maps in each period. Based on a
264 Pearson Correlation test, the results are statistically significant. It shows that the burned areas have
265 significant positive correlations with the kernel density surfaces. The results confirm the validity
266 and sensitivity of the KDE method for application in grassland fire studies.

267 The kernel density surface was generated over the whole period (2001-2014), and each
268 compartment in the study area was reclassified into five classes according to the mean density
269 value to create zones of grassland fire occurrence (Figure 6). The reclassification of the mean
270 density value was again based on the “quantile” method in each zone. From high to low, each zone
271 was labelled as very high, high, moderate, low and very low. The percentage of the total study area
272 covered by each fire occurrence risk zone is as follows: very high, 31.66%; high, 15.07%;
273 moderate, 13.47%; low, 23.2%; and very low, 16.60%. Notably, over 46% of the east Inner
274 Mongolia grassland falls within a high fire risk zone.

275

276 **Conclusions**

277 Fire management departments make operational strategies for suppressing, preventing and
278 forecasting grassland fires. Currently, the northwest part of the study area, the Hulunbeir
279 Grassland, is the top priority in the strategy of preventing grassland fires because it is a large and
280 continuous grassland. The administrative management in this region focuses on preventing fire
281 occurrence and reducing losses associated with grassland fires (Liu, 2016). According to our
282 results (Figures 4 and 5), the Hulunbeir grassland has nearly the lowest fire density. However, the
283 high fire risk zones with small and fragmented grassland patches are not areas where the
284 management activities focus on because the fires would result in less human and economic losses.

285 To analyse the grassland fire risk and take effective measures, fire risk zone mapping with the
286 KDM method might provide a strategic operational advantage for proper development of decision
287 support systems.

288 Although the active fire data of MODIS MOD14A1 and MYD14A1 products contain x- and
289 y-coordinates of fire events, they are in the point format and thus different from continuous areal
290 data that express anthropogenic, topographic and climatic conditions. As a nonparametric method
291 for obtaining continuous surfaces from point observations, KDE has been widely used to convert
292 historical fire data into forest fire density maps.

293 In this study, grassland fire risk zones were produced using historical grassland fire occurrence
294 data from MODIS from 2001 to 2014 based on the KDE method. When preparing KDE maps of
295 grassland fires, the mean random distance method for the calculation of bandwidth was used based
296 on the mean compartment size and mean number of active fire events per polygon, as well as the
297 global area and total active number of fire events. The seasonal and yearly KDE maps present the
298 same spatial distribution patterns. In the spring and autumn seasons and in the north and northeast
299 parts of the study area where the fire risk is high, prevention and safety measures should be
300 strengthened.

301 This paper develops a method of mapping fire risk based on historical data. It demonstrates that
302 grassland fire density mapping by KDE together with the MODIS active fire products has the
303 potential to assist grassland fire management agencies in developing appropriate management
304 strategies in areas that are more prone to fire hazard.

305

306 **Acknowledgements**

307 This study was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (project no.
308 41571489, no. 41461102 and 41501449) and National Science and Technology Major Project of
309 China (project no. 2015ZX07201-008-10)

310

311 **References**

312 Alexander ME, Fogarty LG (2002) A pocket card for predicting fire behaviour in grasslands under
313 severe burning conditions. *Fire Technology Transfer Note* 25, 1-8.

314 Amatulli G, Perez-Cabello F, De la Riva J (2007) Mapping lightning/human-caused wildfires
315 occurrence under ignition point location uncertainty. *Ecological Modelling* 200 (3-4), 321-333.

316 Boer MM, Sadler RJ, Wittkuhn RS, Mccaw L, Grierson PF (2009) Long term impacts of
317 prescribed burning on regional extent and incidence of wildfires - evidence from 50 years of
318 active fire management in SW Australian forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259, 132-142.

319 Bóo RM, Peláez DV, Bunting SC, Elía OR (1996) Mayor, M.D. Effect of fire on grasses in central
320 semi-arid Argentina. *Journal of Arid Environments* 32, 259-269.

321 Bóo RM, Peláez DV, Bunting SC, Mayor MD, Elía OR (1997) Effect of fire on woody species in
322 central semi-arid Argentina. *Journal of Arid Environments* 35, 87-94.

323 Boschetti L, Roy D, Hoffmann AA, Humber M (2013) MODIS Collection 5.1 Burned Area

324 Product User's Guide, Version 3.0. University of Maryland, P 14. Available online:

325 http://modis-fire.umd.edu/files/MODIS_Burned_Area_Collection51_User_Guide_3.0.pdf

326 Chuvieco E, Aguado I, Yebra M, Nieto H, Salas J, Pilar Martín M, Vilar L, Vega JM, Martín S,

327 Ibarra P, de la Riva J, Baeza MJ, Silva FR, Machuca MAH, Zamora R (2010) Development of a

328 frame work for fire risk assessment using remote sensing and geographic information system

- 329 technologies. *Ecological Modeling* 221, 46-58.
- 330 Danthu P, Ndong M, Diaou M, Thiam O, Sarr A, Dedhiou B, Vall AOM (2003) Impact of bush
331 fire on germination of some West African Acacias. *Forest Ecology and Management* 173, 1-10.
- 332 de Klerk H (2008) A pragmatic assessment of the usefulness of the MODIS (Terra and Aqua)
333 1-km active fire (MOD14A2 and MYD14A2) products for mapping fires in the fynbos biome.
334 *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 17, 166-178.
- 335 De La Riva J, Pérez-Cabello F, Lana-Renault N, Koutsias N (2004) Mapping wildfire occurrence
336 at regional scale. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 92, 363-369.
- 337 Edwards A, Hauser P, Anderson M, McCartney J, Armstrong M, Thackway R, Allan G, Hempel C,
338 Russell-Smith J (2001) A tale of two parks: contemporary fire regimes of Litchfield and Nitmiluk
339 National Parks, monsoonal northern Australia. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 10, 79-89.
- 340 Engle DM, Bidwell TG (2001) Viewpoint: the response of central North American prairies to
341 seasonal fire. *Journal of Range Management* 54, 2-10.
- 342 Fu ZQ, Yang YX, Dai EF (2001) Research on fire dynamics and fire risk climate divisions in Inner
343 Mongolia. *Journal of China Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning* 22(6), 18-22.
- 344 Giglio L (2013) MODIS Collection 5 Active Fire Product User's Guide, Version 2.5. Department
345 of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, p18. Available online:
346 http://modis-fire.umd.edu/files/MODIS_Fire_Users_Guide_2.5.pdf
- 347 Giglio L, Descloitres J, Justice CO, Kaufman YJ (2003) An enhanced contextual fire detection
348 algorithm for MODIS. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 87, 273-282.
- 349 Giglio L, van derWerf GR, Randerson JT, Collatz GJ, Kasibhatla P (2006) Global estimation of
350 burned area using MODIS active fire observations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 6,

- 351 957-974.
- 352 Gill AM, Moore PHR, Williams RJ (1996) Fire weather in the wet-dry tropics of the World
353 Heritage Kakadu National Park, Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 21, 302-308.
- 354 Gosz JR, Moore DI, Shore GA, Grover H,D, Rison W, Rison C (1995) Lightning estimates of
355 precipitation location and quantity on the Sevilleta LTER, New Mexico. *Ecological Applications*
356 5(4), 1141-1150.
- 357 Gosz RJ, Gosz JR (1996) Species interactions on the biome transition zone in New Mexico:
358 response of blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*) and black grama (*Bouteloua eriopoda*) to fire and
359 herbivory. *Journal of Arid Environments* 34(1), 101-114.
- 360 Guevara JC, Stasi CR, Wuilloud CF, Estevez OR (1999) Effects of fire on rangeland vegetation in
361 south-western Mendoza plains (Argentina): composition, frequency, biomass, productivity and
362 carrying capacity. *Journal of Arid Environments* 41, 27-35.
- 363 Hao WM, Liu MH (1994) Spatial and temporal distribution of tropical biomass burning. *Global*
364 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 8, 495-503.
- 365 Hawbaker TJ, Radeloff VC, Syphard D, Zhu Z, Stewart S (2008) Detection rates of the MODIS
366 active fire product in the United States. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 112, 2656-2664.
- 367 He C, Gong YX, Zhang SY, He TF, Chen F, Sun Y, Feng ZK (2013) Forest fire division by using
368 MODIS data based on the temporal-spatial variation law. *Spectroscopy and Spectral Analysis*
369 33(9), 2472-2477. [in Chinese]
- 370 Koutsias N, Balatsos P, Kalabokidis K (2014) Fire occurrence zones: kernel density estimation of
371 historical wildfire ignitions at the national level, Greece. *Journal of Maps* 10(4), 630-639.
- 372 Koutsias N, Kalabokidis KD, Allgower B (2004) Fire occurrence patterns at landscape level:

- 373 beyond positional accuracy of ignition points with kernel density estimation methods. *Natural*
374 *Resource Modeling* 17(4), 359-375.
- 375 Kuter N, Yenilmez Y, Kuter S (2011) Forest fire risk mapping by Kernel density estimation.
376 *Croatian Journal of Forest Engineering* 32(2), 599-610.
- 377 Kuter S, Usul N, Kuter N (2011) Bandwidth determination for kernel density analysis of wildfire
378 events at forest sub-district scale. *Ecological Modelling* 222, 3033-3040.
- 379 Laris P (2002) Burning the seasonal mosaic: preventive burning strategies in the wooded savanna
380 of southern Mali. *Human Ecology* 30, 155-186.
- 381 Levine N (2000) CrimeStat: A Spatial Statistics Program for the Analysis of Crime Incident
382 Locations (Version 1.1), Ned Levine and Associates, Annandale, VA and The National Institute of
383 Justice, Washington, DC.
- 384 Linn RR, Reisner J, Colman JJ, Winterkamp J (2002) Studying wild fire behavior using FIRETEC.
385 *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 11, 233-246.
- 386 Liu GX, Su H, Li SL (1999) The summarization on the fire accident of grassland in Inner
387 Mongolia. *Grassland of China* 4, 76-78. [in Chinese]
- 388 Liu Y (2016) Report of monitoring grassland. *China Animal Industry* 6, 18-35. [in Chinese]
- 389 Loveland TR, Reed BC, Brown JF (2000) Development of a global land cover characteristics
390 database and IGBP discover from 1km AVHRR data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 21,
391 1303-1330.
- 392 Martinez-Fernandez J, Chuvieco E, Koutsias N (2013) Modelling long-term fire occurrence
393 factors in Spain by accounting for local variations with geographically weighted regression.
394 *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 13, 311-327.

- 395 Martinez Carretero E (1995) Los incendios forestales en la Argentina. *Multequina* 4, 105-114.
- 396 Mbow C, Nielsen TT, Rasmussen K (2000) Savanna fires in east-central Senegal: distribution
397 patterns, resource management perceptions. *Human Ecology* 28, 561-583.
- 398 Martinez J, Vega-García C, Chuvieco E (2009) Human-caused wildfire risk rating for prevention
399 planning in Spain. *Journal of Environmental Management* 90, 1241-1252.
- 400 Mell W, Charney JJ, Jenkins MA, Cheney NP, Gould J (2005) Numerical simulations of grassland
401 fire behavior from the LANL-FIRETEC and NIST-WFDS models. In: Proceedings of the East
402 FIRE Conference, May11–13, Fairfax, VA, 10p.
- 403 Morissette JT, Giglio L, Csiszar I, Setzer A, Schroeder W, Morton D, Justice CO (2005)
404 Validation of MODIS active fire detection products derived from two algorithms. *Earth*
405 *Interactions* 9(9), 1-25.
- 406 Noy-Meir I (1995) Interactive effects of fire and grazing on structure and diversity of
407 Mediterranean grasslands. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 6, 701-710.
- 408 Ojima DS, Schimel DS, Parton WJ, Owensby CE (1994) Long- and short-term effects of fire on
409 nitrogen cycling in tallgrass prairie. *Biogeochemistry* 24, 67-84.
- 410 Oom D, Pereira JMC (2013) Exploratory spatial data analysis of global MODIS active fire data.
411 *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* 21, 326-340.
- 412 Pleniou M, Xystrakis F, Dimopoulos P, Koutsias N (2013) Maps of fire occurrence - spatially
413 explicit reconstruction of recent fire history using satellite remote sensing. *Journal of Maps* 8,
414 499-506.
- 415 Reid RS, Kruska RL, Muthui N, Taye A, Wotton S, Wilson CJ, Mulatu W (2000) Land-use and
416 land-cover dynamics in response to changes in climatic, biological and socio-political forces: the

- 417 case of southwestern Ethiopia. *Landscape Ecology* 15, 339-355.
- 418 Seaman ED, Powell RA (1996) An Evaluation of the Accuracy of Kernel Density Estimators for
419 Home Range Analysis. *Ecology* 77, 2075-2085.
- 420 Sheuyange A, Oba G, Weladji RB (2005) Effects of anthropogenic fire history on savanna
421 vegetation in northeastern Namibia. *Journal of Environmental Management* 75(3), 189-198.
- 422 Silverman BW (1998) Density estimation for statistics and data analysis. Chapman & Hall/CRC,
423 USA, p175.
- 424 Snyman HA (2004) Short-term response in productivity following an unplanned fire in a semi-arid
425 rangeland of South Africa. *Journal of Arid Environments* 56, 465-485.
- 426 Syphard AD, Radeloff VC, Keeley JE, Hawbaker TJ, Clayton MK, Stewart SI, Hammer RB (2007)
427 Human influence on California fire regimes. *Ecological Applications* 17(5), 1388-1402.
- 428 Tufto J, Andersen R, Linnell J (1996) Habitat Use and Ecological Correlates of Home Range Size
429 in a Small Cervid: The Roe Deer. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 65, 715-724.
- 430 Waller LA, Gotway CA (2004) Applied Spatial Statistics for Public Health Data. John Wiley &
431 Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, USA, p494.
- 432 Worton BJ (1989) Kernel Methods for Estimating the Utilization Distribution in Home-Range
433 Studies. *Ecology* 70, 164-168.
- 434 Zhou DW (1995) Method for determining fire cycle in treeless meadow grasslands. *Acta*
435 *Ecologica Sinica* 15(1), 61-65. [in Chinese]
- 436
- 437
- 438

439

440

441

Table 1: MOD14/MYD14 fire mask pixel classes

Class	Meaning
0	Not processed (missing input data)
2	Not processed (other reason)
3	Water
4	Clouds
5	Non-fire clear land
6	Unknown
7	Low-confidence fire
8	Nominal-confidence fire
9	High-confidence fire

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

Table 2: The number of grassland fire events by year and month

Year	Month												Total	Percent (%)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
2001	0	0	59	104	13	4	1	3	51	4	14	0	253	4.20
2002	0	18	58	21	12	13	6	41	44	28	63	0	304	5.05
2003	0	18	287	127	350	51	5	3	6	4	1	0	852	14.15
2004	0	5	10	56	6	24	14	33	10	31	7	2	198	3.29
2005	1	1	87	96	18	19	3	33	169	99	6	0	532	8.84
2006	0	1	22	118	128	10	2	6	121	16	1	1	426	7.08
2007	1	27	18	144	18	7	6	42	61	7	0	8	339	5.63
2008	0	18	279	83	21	7	1	7	29	9	22	3	479	7.96
2009	0	4	8	126	70	1	2	2	46	60	21	0	340	5.65
2010	0	3	5	62	41	14	5	12	70	100	16	0	328	5.45
2011	0	3	19	228	11	8	2	17	44	37	9	0	378	6.28
2012	0	3	79	131	43	6	2	17	28	13	12	0	334	5.55
2013	0	0	1	99	135	6	8	4	103	105	42	1	504	8.37
2014	2	6	155	221	15	9	6	10	223	88	18	0	753	12.51
Total	4	107	1,087	1,616	881	179	63	230	1,005	601	232	15	6,020	100.00
Percent (%)	0.07	1.78	18.06	26.84	14.63	2.97	1.05	3.82	16.69	9.98	3.85	0.25	100.00	

454

455

456

457

Table 3: Parameters related to bandwidth calculations

Total size of the study area, A	454,204.51 km ²
Total number of polygons	36
Total number of active fire events, N	6,020
Mean polygon size	12,616.79 km ²
Mean number of active fire events per polygon	167.22
Local RDmean	8,686.21 m
Global RDmean	8,686.15 m

458

459

460

461 Table 4: The Pearson Correlation Coefficients for the burned and non-burned area pixel values and

462

the corresponding pixel values of grassland fire KDE maps in each period

Pixel values of KDE maps		Pixel values of burned and non-burned areas
2001-2005	Pearson Correlation	.470**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	10,336
2006-2010	Pearson Correlation	.613**

	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	3,600
2011-2014	Pearson Correlation	.469**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	4,988
Spring	Pearson Correlation	.489**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	13,114
Summer	Pearson Correlation	.317**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	697
Autumn	Pearson Correlation	.433**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	4,192
Winter	Pearson Correlation	.539**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	166

463

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

464

465

466

467

468

469

470 Figure 1: The location of the study area in the east Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region of China

471 Figure 2: Distribution of grassland fire events from 2001 to 2014 observed by MODIS

472 Figure 3: Monthly time series of grassland active fire events

473 Figure 4: The KDE maps in different seasons: (a) spring, (b) summer, (c) autumn and (d) winter

474 Figure 5: The KDE maps of different periods from 2001-2005, 2006-2010 and 2011-2014

475 Figure 6: The KDE map of the whole study period (2001-2014) (a) and grassland fire risk map of

476 the study area according to the mean density value of KDE (b)

477




Figure 1: The location of the study area in the east Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region of China




Figure 2: Distribution of grassland active fire points from 2001 to 2014 observed by MODIS




Figure 3: Monthly time series of grassland active fire events




Figure 4: The KDE maps in different seasons: (a) spring, (b) summer, (c) autumn and (d) winter




Figure 5: The KDE maps of different periods from 2001-2005, 2006-2010 and 2011-2014




Figure 6: The KDE map of the whole study period (2001-2014) (a) and grassland fire risk map of the study area according to the mean density value of KDE (b)

Associate Editor's Comments to Author:

Associate Editor

Comments to the Author:

The revised version of the manuscript presents a considerable improvement. I still have concerns with the language of the manuscript which, although grammatically correct, frequently reads awkwardly. I would strongly urge the authors to get in touch with a professional language editing service to have native speakers edit the manuscript. I believe the paper warrants publication despite the recommendation for rejection from one of the anonymous reviewers. However, I would recommend a subsequent minor revision following the comments from the reviewers and some very minor comments below:

L19: Imprecise rather than inaccurate positions

A: we accepted it. (Line 19)

L61: Please rephrase “During these events, some fatal accidents can occur, including losses of human lives and economic resources” to something along the lines “These events at times lead to fatal accidents and result in human life loss as well as impose burned of economic resources.” It would be nice if you could elaborate what leads to those? Smoke? But if no causes are readily apparent, you could skip it.

A: flame, smoke, heat, etc. can lead to those matters. It cannot be expressed easily. So we deleted this sentence.

Line 202: Please replace “validation” with “accuracy assessment” because validation is a much more rigorous process that requires a lot more precision than using MCD54A1.

A: we accepted it. (Line 203)

Reviewers' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

Revisions covered all of my comments. Please update Table 3 with commas in large numbers.

A: Commas was added in the tables. (Line 457)

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

While the authors present interesting work, there is a mismatch between goals and conclusions and the conclusions are not supported by the work. The goals stated are to understand spatial patterns and demonstrate a method. The method is demonstrated, but I do not see any compelling evidence in the manuscript supporting a need for the method within the context presented. The active fire and burned area products used jointly also define spatio-temporal patterns of fire as continuous, areal maps. The conclusions take the goals one step further to say this work produced fire risk zones. Fire risk cannot be determined from past fire events alone and is more accurately described and modeled in Bian et al. 2013 in the Fire Safety Journal.

A: Fire occurrence is due to the comprehensive effect of factors, such as climate, weather, human activities, social economic, fuel mass and moisture. The location of past fire events has higher risk. The neighbors of the past fire events have the similar influencing factors. So the risk of these neighbors is also higher although the fire didn't occur at the locations because fire occurrence has some uncertainty and random. During the period of our study (from 2001 to 2014), the variations of the influencing factors are not very large. So the density derived from the past fire events can express the risk of fire occurrence and the fire risk zone can be used to present the distribution of fire risk.

Fire risk also can be described and modeled by the previous methods. And these methods can not only show the quantitative relationship between the risk and the factors, but also the distribution of fire risk. Even more, the continuous surface of fire density has been proved that they can support the analysis of these models with the corresponding factors.

So, the spatial-temporal patterns of fire distribution can be express by the produced fire risk zones.

In addition, I see some problems with the groupings used for analysis. First, the year ranges given (2001-2005, 2006-2010, 2011-2014) are not three-year periods.

A: the words were changed to "three periods". (Line 210)

Second, the seasonality assessment doesn't make sense since the seasons are defined in the manuscript as quartiles of the annual Julian calendar starting at 1 instead of by climatological indicators.

A: our carelessness led to this mistake. The seasons we used in this study were defined according to climatological indicators (it can be found in the sentences (lines

245-250) compared with table 2). Because the data of MCD45A1 was organized by Julian day, the seasons were compiled according to Julian day too.

In the revised manuscript, the mistake was corrected as follows: spring (Julian day 334-60), summer (Julian day 61-151), autumn (Julian day 152-242) and winter (Julian day 243-333). (Lines 211-212)

While the manuscript has been improved in terms of English language, there still exist some awkward and unclear portions that need additional attention. I strongly encourage editing by a professional service.

A: The manuscript has been improved again by an English speaker. If it need edit again, please notice us.

Finally, please include line numbers in your responses so that reviewers may find your edits more easily.

A: the line numbers were added.