# Spatio-temporal X-linked gene reactivation and site-specific retention of epigenetic silencing in the mouse germline.

- 3
- 4 Clara Roidor<sup>1\*</sup>, Laurène Syx<sup>2\*</sup>, Emmanuelle Beyne<sup>1</sup>, Dina Zielinski<sup>3</sup>, Aurélie Teissandier<sup>3</sup>, Caroline Lee<sup>4,5</sup>,
- 5 Marius Walter<sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Servant<sup>2</sup>, Karim Chebli<sup>1</sup>, Déborah Bourc'his<sup>3</sup>, M. Azim Surani<sup>4,5,6</sup>, Maud
  6 Borensztein<sup>1,4,5±</sup>
- 7

8	<sup>1</sup> IGMM,	University	of Montpellier,	CNRS, Montpellier	, France
---	--------------------	------------	-----------------	-------------------	----------

- <sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup> Institut Curie, PSL Research University, INSERM U900, Mines ParisTech, Paris, France.
- <sup>3</sup> Institut Curie, Paris Sciences et Lettres Research University, INSERM U934, CNRS UMR3215, Paris,
- 11 France.
- 12 <sup>4</sup> Wellcome Trust/Cancer Research UK Gurdon Institute, Henry Wellcome Building of Cancer and
- 13 Developmental Biology, Cambridge CB2 1QN, UK
- <sup>5</sup> Physiology, Development and Neuroscience Department, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EL,
- 15 UK.
- <sup>6</sup> Wellcome-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, Jeffrey Cheah Biomedical Centre, Puddicombe Way,
- 17 Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 0AW, UK.
- 18
- 19
- 20 \* These authors contributed equally
- 21
- 23
- 24
- Keywords: X-chromosome inactivation, primordial germ cells, reprogramming, scRNA-seq, mouseembryogenesis
- 27
- 28

#### 29 Abstract

30

31 Random X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) is a hallmark of female mammalian somatic cells. This 32 epigenetic mechanism, mediated by the long non-coding RNA Xist, occurs in the epiblast and is stably 33 maintained to ensure proper dosage compensation of X-linked genes during life. However, this silencing is 34 lost during primordial germ cell (PGC) development. Using a combination of single-cell allele-specific 35 RNA sequencing and low-input chromatin profiling in developing in vivo PGC, we provide unprecedented 36 detailed maps of gene reactivation. We demonstrated that PGC still carry a fully silent X chromosome on 37 embryonic day (E) 9.5, despite the loss of Xist expression. X-linked genes are then gradually reactivated 38 outside the Xist first-bound regions. At E12.5, a significant part of the inactive X chromosome (Xi) still 39 resists reactivation, carrying an epigenetic memory of its silencing. Late-reactivated genes are enriched in repressive chromatin marks, including DNA methylation and H3K27me3 marks. Our results define the 40 41 timing of reactivation of the silent X chromosome a key event in female PGC reprogramming with direct 42 implications for reproduction.

- 43
- 44

#### 45 Introduction

46

In mammals, while proper commitment and homeostasis of somatic lineages are central to individual 47 48 survival, correct establishment of the germline is crucial for functional gamete and species survival. In 49 mice, specification of germ cell lineage is initiated during embryonic post-implantation development at the 50 onset of gastrulation. Approximately 30-40 PGC become specified and are found at the base of the allantois 51 bud at E7.25<sup>1,2</sup>. After E8.5, PGC undergo migration and proliferation, and reach the genital ridges between 52 E10 and E11. Throughout this period, germ cell proliferation and colonization continue until the PGC enters 53 meiotic prophase at E13.5 in the female embryos, a process that occurs only after birth in males<sup>3</sup>. 54 Establishment of the germline determines the production of oocytes and spermatozoa, and therefore their 55 competency to accomplish fertilization and transmit genetic and epigenetic information to the next 56 generation <sup>4</sup>. PGC differentiation is accompanied by repression of the somatic program, expression of 57 germline-specific genes, and extensive genome-wide epigenetic reprogramming including DNA demethylation, loss of genomic imprints, and redistribution of histone marks<sup>1.5</sup>. Epigenetic reprogramming 58 occurs when PGCs proliferate and migrate to colonize the future gonads. During the upstream development 59 of gonadal sex determination, PGC epigenetic reprogramming displays striking differences between XX 60 females and XY males, with reactivation of the inactive X chromosome <sup>6-9</sup>. This leads to an excess of X-61 62 linked gene products, with the X:Autosome ratio exceeding 1 in female PGCs compared to males <sup>10,11</sup>.

Excess X-linked genes could promote sexual dimorphism and meiosis progression through the direct or
 indirect involvement of some X-linked genes in the process of sex-specific gonadal formation and Xi
 reactivation itself <sup>8,12</sup>.

66

67 Differences in sex chromosome content between males and females lead to gene dosage imbalance. This is 68 compensated in mammals by transcriptional silencing of one of the two X chromosomes in female somatic cells <sup>13,14</sup>. This epigenetic mechanism, called X-chromosome inactivation, represents an important paradigm 69 for chromosome-wide epigenetic regulation. Long non-coding RNA Xist plays a crucial role in the initiation 70 71 of XCI <sup>15–17</sup>. Its absence in early female embryos leads to lethality owing to both impaired dosage compensation and extra-embryonic tissue development <sup>16,17</sup>. Once expressed from the future Xi, Xist coats 72 in cis the most accessible regions, in 3D spatial proximity, the Xist 'entry sites', before spreading along 73 74 chromosome <sup>18-20</sup>. Transposons, particularly LINE1 elements, have been proposed to facilitate this heterochromatinization process <sup>21,22</sup>. Xist then triggers the recruitment of specific factors involved in gene 75 76 silencing, which in turn induces the removal of active chromatin marks and recruitment of repressive histone marks, such as H3K27me3, H3K9me2, and H2AK119ub<sup>23</sup>. Finally, DNA methylation is recruited 77 78 to the promoters of inactivated genes to further lock the silent state of these genes and maintain them over hundreds of cell divisions <sup>24</sup>. Studies on the kinetics of XCI have shown that different genes followed 79 80 different speeds of silencing in the pre-implantation <sup>16</sup> and post-implantation mouse embryos <sup>25</sup>, and during 81 in vitro differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells (mES) <sup>26,27</sup>, except for a small subset of genes that 82 resist silencing (escapee, ~7 % in the mouse). Early silent genes seem to be more prone to lie inside Xist 83 'entry sites' and close to the X-inactivation centre (Xic)<sup>16</sup> from which Xist is transcribed, in gene-rich regions, pre-bound by Polycomb<sup>23</sup> and close to LINE1 elements<sup>27</sup>. 84

85

Although XCI has been extensively studied over the past 60 years, much less is known about how Xi 86 87 reactivation occurs and whether it mirrors XCI key events. Upon development, Xi reactivation occurs after imprinted XCI in the inner cell mass (ICM) of the blastocyst <sup>28,29</sup> (a rodent-specific event) and in PGC <sup>6,7</sup> 88 after random XCI. It is also observed *in vitro* during female induced pluripotent stem cell derivation (iPSC) 89 <sup>30,31</sup>. Based on a combination of immunofluorescence, RNA-FISH, and RT-PCR in the germline, early PGC 90 91 carry an inactive X chromosome enriched in H3K27me3<sup>7,9,32</sup>, with silent X-linked genes <sup>6</sup>. Reactivation is 92 initiated by the transcriptional extinction of Xist RNA (from E7.5, prior to and upon PGC migration), loss of repressive H3K27me3 chromatin marks (from E9.5, during PGC migration and proliferation), and re-93 expression of silenced X-linked genes (from E10.5, when PGC start to colonize the future gonads) <sup>6,7,32,33</sup>. 94 *Xist* repression has been linked to pluripotency factors such as Nanog and Prdm14 in pluripotent mES <sup>34</sup>, 95 ICM <sup>29,35</sup> and germline <sup>9</sup>. 96

97 However, studies based on single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) in post-implantation embryos have 98 shown progressive random XCI depending on lineage <sup>25,36</sup>. Some epiblast cells can still carry two active X 99 chromosomes at the time of PGC specification. A recent study on Xi reactivation in *in vitro* PGC-like cells 100 suggested that Xi could only be moderately silenced in early PGC before full reactivation<sup>8</sup>. This study was 101 based on *in vitro* XCI in Epiblast-like cells differentiated from mES followed by Xi reactivation in induced 102 PGC-like cells. 103 Despite this knowledge, the events underlying X-linked gene reactivation in the germline remain unclear, particularly at the level of the entire chromosome. The extent of XCI in early in vivo PGC and the kinetics 104 105 of gene reactivation are still unknown. To address these questions, we explored the precise kinetics of X-106 linked gene expression and Xi chromatin change. We combined interspecific mouse crosses and scRNA-107 seq, DNA methylation assay using Whole Genome Bisulfite (WGBS), and H3K27me3 histone mark 108 profiling using low-input allele-specific CUT&RUN. We investigated the transcriptional changes from 109 E8.5 to E12.5 PGC. We showed that X-linked genes are sequentially activated, as previously described for the ICM of the blastocyst<sup>29</sup> but with different dynamics and requirements. In PGCs, we observed 110 reactivation dependency on Xist entry sites, DNA methylation levels, H3K27me3 enrichment, and genomic 111 location. This study provides important insights into the transcriptional, allelic, and chromatin dynamics of 112 113 Xi reactivation in germline cells. Our novel results emphasize the importance of studying epigenetic

- reprogramming of the inactive X chromosome in the unique context of the germline, which is the most relevant for developmental syndromes and human reproductive medicine.
- 116 117
- 118 Results

119

## 120 Transcriptional analysis of in vivo PGC and soma cells by scRNA-seq

121 To address the X-chromosome reactivation kinetics in PGC, at the chromosome-wide scale, we produced 122 high-quality, high-coverage scRNA-seq for female and male PGC, as well as surrounding female somatic 123 cells, as a control for the maintenance of X-chromosome inactivation. F1 interspecific embryos were 124 obtained by mating Mus Musculus domesticus (129) inbred and Mus Musculus castaneus (Cast) inbred mice, and collected every day between E8.5 and E12.5 (Figure 1A). These sub-species have evolved for 125 126 more than 3 million years and carry an important number of well-characterized single nucleotide 127 polymorphisms (SNP) (mean of ~1 SNP / 650 bp on the X chromosome between 129 and Cast, Mouse Genomes project) <sup>37,38</sup>. To visualize PGC in our embryos, we utilized Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) 128 129 transgene, in a 129 genetic background under the control of Stella or Oct4 promoter. Stella gene (also 130 known as Dppa3) is expressed early during PGC development, at the time of emergence <sup>39</sup>. We used it for E8.5 embryos. After E8.5, we took advantage of the Oct4-GFP transgenic mice <sup>40</sup>. Oct4 also known as 131

132 *Pou5f1*, encodes a pluripotent transcription factor present in PGC, but not in surrounding somatic cells. 133 From E8.5 to E10.5, PGC cells were collected with the assistance of fluorescent active cell sorting based 134 on GFP expression. From E11.5, soma and PGC cells were collected after gonad dissection, based on their size, and confirmed based on the presence of GFP under a microscope. Each single cell was then manually 135 136 picked, and poly adenylated mRNA was amplified <sup>42</sup>. We produced high-quality scRNA-seq libraries from 154 samples. Since we were interested in allelic expression, we decided to use a scRNA-seq method <sup>41</sup> 137 138 allowing high-depth of high-throughput sequencing for each single cell (Supplemental Table 1). Only 139 single cells that passed the quality controls (see Methods) were used for downstream analysis (n=137 140 libraries). We used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to associate single cells based on their lineage 141 (PGC versus soma, Figure 1B). The cells were first associated based on their lineage, followed by their 142 embryonic stage. Next, we performed a correlation analysis based on the expression status of pluripotency, 143 soma, and Y-linked genes (Figures 1B and C; genes listed in Figure 1C). We classified the cells according 144 to their developmental stage and pluripotency/soma factor status. This clearly supports a strong repression 145 of the somatic program in PGC and confirmed the expression of well-known factors in PGC such as Stella, Blimp1, Oct4, Nanog, and Dazl<sup>1,43</sup>. The weight of these known factors in segregating the soma and PGC 146 147 lineages is shown in Extended Figure 1A. The length of the arrows is proportional to the implication of 148 the factor in PCA association. Without surprise, markers of soma point towards somatic cells and germline 149 towards PGC. With a closer look it is important to note that late PGC genes preferentially point towards 150 E12.5 PGC. We then asked the best 30 predictor genes of PCA clustering (Extended Figure 1B). Some 151 well-known factors were found to push towards PGC fate, such as Oct4, Tfap2c, and DND1<sup>1,44</sup>. However, 152 other genes with interesting roles have also been identified, such as Zing Finger Protein Zfp985. The ZFP family is important for protecting DNA methylation at imprinting loci and transposons <sup>45</sup>. Recently, Zfp982 153 has been associated with the stemness state of mES through its potential control of Nanog and Stella<sup>46</sup>. 154 155 Epithelial splicing regulatory protein 1, Esrp1, induces oocyte defects and female infertility if deleted from E15<sup>47</sup>. Our dataset could reveal novel PGC markers and are important candidates for PGC biology. 156

157

158 We then studied the sex of our single-cell samples to focus on sex specificities, X-chromosome reactivation, 159 and transcriptional changes during female PGC development. After clustering PGC and soma cells based 160 on the expression of well-known markers and confirmation by clustering analysis (Figures 1C and D), we 161 sexed all single cells based on Xist (in XX females) and Y-linked gene (in XY male) expression, allowing 162 attribution to each single cell towards a lineage and sex (Figure 2A, Supplemental Table 1). Sex was also 163 confirmed by the absence of SNPs from the X chromosomes in XY cells. By serendipity, an XO female 164 embryo was found at E12.5, and was used in the analysis (n= 3 PGC and 1 soma cells sequenced). We first 165 studied the differentially expressed genes (DEG) in migratory and colonizing female PGC by comparing

166 E9.5 versus E10.5, E11.5 versus E10.5 and E12.5 versus E11.5 (Extended Figures 1C, 1D and 1E). 167 Strikingly, very few DEG were found in migratory PGC (E10.5 versus E9.5). This is in accordance with a previously published large scRNA-seq dataset of mouse male and female PGC <sup>43</sup>. From E11.5, there was a 168 strong increase in DEG in female PGC, with expected upregulation of PGC genes such as Dazl and 169 170 Stella/Dppa3. In accordance with previous reports, there was significant gene repression and upregulation at E12.5, compared to E11.5<sup>43,48</sup>. Most DEG from the X-chromosome were upregulated, which is consistent 171 172 with X-chromosome reactivation occurring in female PGC (Extended Figure 1E). 173 Furthermore, soma and PGC cells strongly clustered on PCA by lineage then developmental stage (1st axis,

**Figure 1B**). We then plotted male and female cells on a PCA for each developmental stage (**Extended** 

**Figure 2A-D**). Clustering by sex was confirmed from E12.5, based on gene expression (PCA, 1 000 most

differentially expressed genes) in post-migratory E12.5 PGC, at the onset of sex gonadal differentiation

177 (Extended Figure 2D). This was expected based on a previous large-scale scRNA-seq <sup>43</sup> and supported the

- 178 quality of our database for further analysis.
- 179

#### 180 X-chromosome reactivation initiates progressively upon PGC development

181 To study X-chromosome reactivation, we analysed scRNA-seq in an allele-specific manner to determine 182 the parental origin of the transcripts. SNPs from F1 hybrid embryos were used to map informative reads to 183 either 129 or Cast genomes (see Methods). Each gene with informative SNP and expressed more than 2 184 Reads Per Retro-Transcribed length per million mapped reads (RPRT) were provided an allelic expression 185 ratio (reads from Cast divided by total informative reads). The parental origin of Xi was then determined 186 in each single cell based on the allelic ratio of all informative X-linked genes and the Xa allelic ratio 187 calculated as reads mapped on active X (Xa) divided by total reads, in following analysis (Supplemental Table 1, see Methods). On the 94 female scRNA-seq, 64 cells carried an active X chromosome of Cast 188 origin (68 %) and 30 cells of 129 origin (32 %). Despite random choice of Xi, we observed a strongly 189 190 skewed silencing towards the 129 chromosome. This confirmed the X-chromosome controlling element 191 (Xce) effect in F1 hybrid female mice. Indeed, it has been well documented that an F1 hybrid background could lead to skewed XCI depending on their Xce strength (Xce<sup>a</sup><Xce<sup>b</sup><Xce<sup>c</sup><Xce<sup>d</sup><Xce<sup>e</sup> with the strongest 192 Xce allele being the most resistant to silencing)<sup>49</sup>. Using 129 and Cast strains associated with Xce<sup>a</sup> and 193 194 Xce<sup>c</sup> respectively, we confirmed that the 129 chromosome was preferentially chosen to be silent in the 195 expected proportions <sup>50</sup>.

196

We then studied allelic expression of autosomal and X-linked genes during PGC development (Figure 2B).
Autosomal genes were biallelically expressed (0.2< allelic ratio <0.8), with parity between 129 and Cast</li>

199 reads, in both males and females E9.5-E12.5 PGC. On the other hand, X-linked genes were strictly

200 expressed from the Xa (allelic ratio > 0.8) in E9.5 female PGC, except for a few genes, presumably the

201 escapees. Distribution of the X-linked gene allelic ratios was very similar in both female and male PGC,

202 highlighting complete XCI in E9.5 PGC. From E10.5, X-chromosome reactivation initiated in female PGC

203 (ratio<0.8) and progressed upon PGC development.

Because PGC could be heterogeneous in terms of developmental timing, even inside the same embryo, we decided to order the female PGC cells by pseudotime ordering (**Figure 2C**). We used the 1<sup>st</sup> principal component of our PCA (**Figures 1B** and **2A**) to order female PGC by pseudotime ordering. Despite a few lagging cells, mainly at E11.5 and E12.5, most of the cells at the same developmental stage were clustered together, without a clear distinction of sex (**Figure 2C and Extended Figure 2E**). We then studied the percentage of reactivated X-linked genes in each female PGC (**Figure 2D**). We confirmed that Xi reactivation was progressive, from fully silent E9.5 PGC to highly reactivated E12.5 PGC, following PGC

211 development (**Extended Figure 2E**).

212 Since the loss of *Xist* enrichment on Xi is the earliest known event during reactivation in the germline

213 (based on IF/RNA-FISH)<sup>6,10</sup>, Xist expression levels were extracted in our scRNA-seq (Extended Figure

214 2F). We confirmed that *Xist* is not expressed in most PGC compared to female somatic cells, which restrains

- 215 Xist expression and inactivates the X chromosome. In migratory E9.5 female PGC, X-chromosome
- 216 reactivation has been initiated, despite the fact that most X-linked genes subject to XCI are still silenced.
- 217

218 Finally, to explore which pathways could drive Xi reactivation in the germline, we studied the correlation 219 and anti-correlation between genome-wide gene expression and the percentage of reactivated genes (allelic 220 ratio < 0.8) per female single cells (PGC and soma). Because X-chromosome reactivation occurs 221 concomitantly to PGC development, we found that the best 2 correlated genes were germ cell-specific genes Ddx4/Vasa (R=0.78, q < 10<sup>-12</sup>) and Dazl (R=0.70, q < 10<sup>-7</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>. Gene ontology analysis of the top correlated 222 223 genes (p < 0.001) revealed that chromatin modifiers involved in DNA methylation, gene silencing, and 224 DNA modifications were overrepresented (Extended Figure 3A). This suggested that they may play a role 225 in both PGC and Xi reprogramming.

226

## 227 Different genes reactivate at different kinetics along the inactive X chromosome

Next, we investigated the kinetics of X-linked gene reactivation along the entire X chromosome. Heat maps of X-linked gene activity were generated for E9.5 to E12.5 female PGC (**Figure 3**). We focused on wellexpressed genes to avoid confounding effects due to PCR bias and molecular loss in scRNA-seq method. Polymorphic genes expressed at RPRT>2 in at least 3 developmental stages were included in the heatmap and ordered by genomic position (**Figure 3 left**). E9.5 PGC displayed 92 % (164 out of 179) of monoallelically expressed genes (allelic ratio  $\geq$  0.8) and 8 % of biallelically expressed genes (0.2 < allelic

ratio < 0.8), 8 of which are well-known escapees, such as *Kdm6a*, *Kdm5c*, *Ddx3x*, *Eif2s3x*, *Utp14a*, *Zrsr2*,

235 1810030007*Rik*, *Pqbp1*<sup>51</sup>. Interestingly, the proportion of biallelically expressed genes increased through

the development of female PGC to reach 59 % (112 out of 189) at E12.5 PGC. This indicated the strong

237 reactivation of X-linked genes during PGC development. However, an important portion of the X-linked

238 genes was silenced at E12.5.

We classified the genes into different classes with respect to the timing of reactivation (**Figure 4A** and **Method, Figure 3 right**). At E9.5, all genes were silenced, except for the escapee class (n=8 out of 198 genes). Early genes (n=29 out of 198) were reactivated from E10.5, intermediate genes (n=55 out of 198 genes) from E11.5, and late genes (19 out of 198) from E12.5. At E12.5, 76 out of 198 genes were still silenced (monoallelically expressed from the Xa) and belonged to the very late-reactivated class.

Differences in reactivation kinetics were not explained by different expression levels (Figure 4B). Early
and escapee genes tended to be more highly expressed in E10.5 and E11.5, compared to still-silent genes.
This could be explained by the fact that the Xi allele was also transcribed for early reactivated and escapee

- 247 genes compared to the other classes of genes. Consistently, at E12.5, very late reactivated genes tended to 248 be less expressed than these in the other classes
- be less expressed than those in the other classes.

249 A closer examination of the reactivation heatmap, ordered by genomic position, showed several regions of 250 reactivation along the entire X chromosome. Because close genomic proximity to escapees could favour 251 early reactivation in mouse iPSC<sup>31</sup>, we tested the distance of our different reactivation class genes to the 252 closest escapee (Extended Figure 3B). No link was detected between the differential reactivation kinetics 253 and the distance from escapees in our *in vivo* female PGC. We then tested whether distance to Xist locus 254 could be a significant parameter for reactivation kinetics (Extended Figure 3C). We found a strong bias 255 for very late-reactivated genes to be localised closer to the Xist genomic locus compared to intermediate 256 (p=0.02, KW test) and early (p=0.004, KW test) reactivated genes. Escapee were found further to the Xist 257 locus (p=0.0045, KW test). We concluded that there was a strong correlation between close proximity to 258 *Xist* locus and longer germline silencing.

259

#### 260 Context matters for the kinetics of X-linked gene reactivation

We aimed to test the consistency of the reactivation kinetics of X-linked genes in different developmental contexts (ICM vs. PGC). We compared the classes of reactivation that belong to common X-linked genes during imprinted Xi reactivation in ICM and random Xi reactivation in PGC (**Figure 4C**) <sup>29</sup>. Few similarities were found, except for escapees in PGC, who also escaped imprinted XCI. We then compared the reactivation classes in PGC to a recent study on PGC-like cells (PGCLC) (**Figure 4D**) <sup>8</sup>. The *in vitro* PGCLC model recapitulates early PGC specification, including Xi reactivation in the female cells. However, very few X-linked genes exhibited similar kinetics. *In vitro*, the cells underwent partial XCI;

268 consequently, X-linked genes could be more prone to early reactivation. Late and very late reactivated

269 genes in PGCLC could be genes that were properly silenced *in vitro* before undergoing reactivation. Thus,

- 270 these genes had similar reactivation kinetics in our PGC *in vivo*. These data led us to hypothesize that late
- and very late reactivated genes in PGCLC, similar to PGC, could have been the first genes to be inactivated.
- 272

## 273 Late-reactivated genes lay into Xist entry sites

To test the correlation between early XCI and late X reactivation, we compared the kinetics of reactivation in PGC to silencing in differentiating mES cells (**Figure 4E**) <sup>26</sup>. We found that the early silenced genes belonged to the very late reactivation class in PGC. Being an early silent gene can influence the speed of reactivation a few days later.

Because the genes that resisted reactivation at E12.5, are closer to Xist locus (Extended Figure 3C) and 278 279 could be the first silenced genes during random XCI (Figure 4E), this prompted us to question the 280 relationship between X-linked gene reactivation and Xist entry sites. Xist entry sites are genomic regions of the chromosome that are the first bound by Xist RNA upon initiation of XCI<sup>18,20</sup>. It is believed that Xist 281 exploits the 3D conformation of the chromosome to first bind the regions in 3D spatial proximity to its 282 283 transcription site and then initiate silencing before spreading across the entire chromosome <sup>20,52</sup>. 284 Furthermore, we have previously shown that the genes lying inside these 3D accessible regions were more 285 prone to early silencing in vivo <sup>16</sup>. (Figure 4F). Here, we found that X-linked genes located within the Xist 286 entry regions (Transcription Start Site TSS inside the predicted regions) showed more resistance to early 287 reactivation than other genes. Genes outside the Xist entry sites showed the earliest reactivation and 288 strongest allelic expression from Xi upon PGC development. Thus, the genes inside the Xist entry sites may 289 correspond to genes that are more resistant to reactivation, carrying a stronger epigenetic memory of their 290 silencing.in vivo.

291

#### 292 Resistance to reactivation could be partially explained by enrichment in chromatin repressive marks 293 Very late-reactivated genes appeared to correlate with the first silenced regions of the Xi. Because repeated 294 sequences of the genome, mainly LINE-1 elements, have been proposed to help silence propagation and 295 facultative heterochromatinization of the Xi, we tested the enrichment of transposons in and outside Xist 296 entry sites (Figure 5A). We confirmed that the X chromosome is highly enriched in LINE-1 compared to 297 control autosomal regions. However, we did not observe any significant enrichment of repeats inside Xist 298 entry sites compared with the rest of the X chromosome, except for a slight enrichment of short interspersed 299 nuclear elements (SINEs).

300

301 Different chromatin environments could explain the differential kinetics of reactivation of X-linked genes in the PGC, as previously demonstrated in the ICM of blastocysts <sup>29</sup>. Both repressive histone marks, such 302 303 as H3K27me3 and DNA methylation, are enriched on the Xi upon random XCI<sup>14</sup>. We studied DNA 304 methylation by whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) in female E6.5 epiblasts, when XCI takes 305 place, and in publicly available datasets of female E10.5<sup>53</sup> and E12.5<sup>54</sup> PGC (Figure 5B). However, because XCI is random, the population of PGC was heterogeneous in terms of parental origin of the Xi (i.e. 306 307 50 % of the cells silence the paternal X chromosome and 50 % the maternal chromosome), and cell population-based assays on mosaic female embryos are not informative for deciphering between Xa and 308 309 Xi. Thus, we considered for the following analysis that a significant enrichment of DNA methylation at X-310 linked gene promoters had a higher probability of coming from the Xi rather than the Xa. Very late 311 reactivated genes showed slight enrichment in DNA methylation at their TSS compared to early-reactivated 312 genes at E6.5. From E10.5, the DNA methylation erasure was nearly complete, and differences seemed to be lost (Figure 5B). Although very late reactivated genes were initially more enriched in DNA methylation 313 314 than the early reactivated ones, it is not clear why these genes still resist reactivation at E12.5, when DNA 315 demethylation is complete.

316

317 We then decided to study the repressive histone mark H3K27me3, which is enriched on the inactive X 318 chromosome and confers resistance to early reactivation of some X-linked genes in the ICM <sup>29</sup>. To 319 overcome the mosaicism in the PGC population and decipher between Xa and Xi, we used triple transgenic 320 female mice (Xist flox/flox: Zp3-Cre; Oct4( $\Delta PE$ )eGFP, on a C57Bl6/J genetic background) crossed with Cast 321 males (Figure 5C). This allowed us to collect polymorphic female embryos and sort pure PGC populations 322 based on GFP (under the promoter control of the pluripotency factor OCT4) with non-random X inactivation. The Xist deletion occurred in the maternal germline and allowed the transmission of a Xist<sup>KO</sup> 323 324 B6 allele, which cannot be inactivated; Xi being always the Cast allele. Low-input allele-specific 325 CUT&RUN against H3K27me3 marks was performed on sorted GFP+ female PGC at E11.5 and E12.5 326 (Figure 5C). Statistically enriched broad domains of H3K27me3 were identified on X chromosomes 327 (Figure 5D). We found a higher number of peaks at E11.5 on the X chromosome than at E12.5, in which 328 most of the peaks were conserved from E11.5. The reads were then mapped to the parental genomes to determine the parental origin of the reads. As expected, most peaks were found on the inactive X 329 330 chromosome at both E11.5 and E12.5 (Figure 5D and Extended Figure 4). We then intersected H3K27m3 331 enrichment with reactivation classes. Enrichment in K27me3 was mainly found at E11.5, (20 % of the latereactivated and 45 % of very late-reactivated genes). At E12.5, H3K27me3 enrichment was lost in late 332 333 genes when they were transcriptionally reactivated (Figure 5E). The enrichment level is also more 334 important in very late reactivated genes, with most of the signal coming from the inactive Cast chromosome

335 (Figures 5F-G). In contrast, early-reactivated genes were depleted in H3K27me3, in accordance with their 336 biallelic expression status. Tracks showing global and allele-specific H3K27me3 enrichment were 337 produced for the early reactivated gene Med14, late Dlg3 and Pial genes, and very late Med12 (Figure 5H). H3K27me3 enrichment was not detected at *Med14* gene location. Both Dlg3 and Pja1 were 338 339 statistically enriched at E11.5, but not at E12.5, once they were reactivated. Finally, Med12 gene was 340 strongly enriched at both E11.5 and E12.5, and showed no reactivation at E12.5, based on scRNA-seq. We 341 observed that some late reactivated genes could carry an epigenetic memory of their silencing (H3K27me3), which was lost concomitantly to transcriptional reactivation. 342

- 343
- 344

### 345 Discussion

346

In this study, we performed a comprehensive allele-specific single-cell transcriptomic analysis of migratory 347 348 and colonizing female PGC, combined with low-input epigenomics. We demonstrated that female early PGC carry a fully inactive X chromosome, which undergoes progressive reactivation in parallel with PGC 349 development. Although it was previously established that the inactive X chromosome experiences 350 351 transcriptional reactivation in PGC, our study provides the first detailed map of X-chromosome activities 352 in vivo. We showed that different genes followed different kinetics of reactivation along the chromosome, 353 with early versus late reactivated genes. We provide evidence for the involvement of genomic location, 3D 354 spatial proximity to the Xist locus, and H3K27me3 chromatin modification in resistance to early 355 reactivation. Together, these investigations open a way for a better understanding of the in vivo 356 requirements for female epigenetic reprogramming in general, stem cell biology, and reproduction.

357

358 X-chromosome reactivation occurs during the reprogramming of female primordial germ cells. 359 Consequently, this leads to an excess of X-linked gene products in female PGCs compared to males <sup>10</sup>. In 360 mice, this happens transiently at the onset of sex-specific gonadal differentiation (E9.5-<E15.5) in the 361 female germline and could be crucial for normal gonadal development and meiosis, as well as for sex-362 specific reprogramming. This could promote sexual dimorphism. Patients with sex-chromosome 363 aneuploidy, such as Turner 45,XO, and Klinefelter 47, XXY syndromes, often present infertility and 364 hypogonadism. Maternally inherited sex-chromosome aneuploidy could arise from the presence of a non-365 reactivated X, potentially detrimental to homologous chromosome pairing and segregation in meiosis <sup>12</sup>.

Our transcriptomic analysis of XX female, XO female, and XY male PGC highlighted differentially expressed genes, which could be involved in germline formation and/or sex-specific differences. The X chromosome is enriched in factors required for oogenesis (*e.g.* Fmr1, Zfx) and chromatin modifications and

369 transcription (e.g., histone demethylases Kdm6a, Kdm5a, mediator complex Med14). Importantly, we 370 showed in this study that all these factors are either not subject to XCI or are early-reactivated in female 371 PGC. Med14 codes for a co-unit of Mediator complex, involved in transcription regulation, and is prone to early reactivation in both mouse iPS<sup>31</sup> and human breast cells depleted for XIST<sup>55</sup>. Smc1a gene has recently 372 373 been shown to be involved in the remodelling and reactivation of Xi in mouse iPSC <sup>56</sup>. *Kif4* knock-down in oocytes is detrimental to meiosis 57. Zfx is a well-known dose sensitive gene. Its absence in mouse leads to 374 infertility owing to the reduced number de germ cells <sup>58</sup>. Together, this raises the importance of studying 375 these genes with dosage imbalance in PGC. An appropriate dosage of X-linked genes, whose functions are 376 377 linked to chromatin processes, transcription, and gametogenesis, could be important for female 378 gametogenesis and X-chromosome reactivation.

379

380 Our detailed mapping of X-linked gene reactivation kinetics highlights differential behaviours along the 381 entire X chromosome. The differential kinetics of reactivation are dependent on the developmental context <sup>11,29,31</sup>. Understanding the resistance to early reactivation and the underlying mechanism is important for 382 understanding epigenetic reprogramming on the X- and genome-wide levels. Surprisingly, we showed that 383 384 40 % of the Xi remained silent at E12.5. These genes lay into regions in close 3D proximity to Xist locus 385 (Xist entry sites) and could be the first genes to be silenced upon XCI. We believe that these first-silenced 386 genes could be the first targets of Xist because of their 3D accessibly<sup>52</sup>. They would become less accessible 387 to the transcriptional machinery and are more enriched in repressive marks, carrying an epigenetic memory 388 of their silencing. In support of this hypothesis, our results indicated that the latest reactivated genes at 389 E12.5 are still enriched in H3K27me3 on their silent allele. These repressive marks are lost concomitantly 390 with gene reactivation.

In conclusion, in PGC, we observed a reactivation dependency on *Xist* RNA loss, DNA methylation level,
 enrichment in transposable elements, and the proximity of X-linked genes to the first regions coated by *Xist* RNA. Together, these investigations open a way for a better understanding of the in vivo requirements for
 female epigenetic reprogramming in general, stem cell biology, and reproduction.

- 395
- 396

### 397 Methods

398

399 <u>Mouse husbandry</u>

400 The care and use of animals are strictly applying European and National Regulation for the Protection of

401 Vertebrate Animals used for Experimental and other Scientific Purposes (Directive 2010/63/EU and French

402 decree R.214-103). All husbandry and experiments involving mouse scRNA-seq were authorised by the

403 UK Home Office Project Licenses PPL80/2637 and PE596D1FE and were carried out in a Home Office
404 designated facility (Welcome Trust Cancer Research Gurdon Institute). Chromatin experiments were
405 authorized by the French ethics committee number 36 under agreement F3417216 and carried out in the
406 pathogen-free Animal Care Facility of IGMM (facility licence #G34-172-16). Researchers carrying out
407 regulated procedures on living mice held a personal licence from either the UK (C.L., M.B., and M.A.S.)
408 or France (C.R., M.B., K.C., and D.B.).

- 409 Mice were housed under a 12h light/12h dark cycle at  $22 \pm 2$  °C ambient temperature, with free access to
- 410 food and water. All embryos were derived from natural mating. Noon on the day of observation of the
- 411 vaginal plugs was scored as embryonic day (E) 0.5. Embryos were harvested every 24 h between E8.5 and
- 412 E12.5. Collected embryos were included in the analyses only if they showed normal morphology according
- 413 to their developmental stages. No statistical method was used to determine the sample size.
- 414 Male and female hybrid embryos were obtained by breeding *Mus musculus domesticus* 129S1/SvImJ Stella-
- 415 eGFP transgenic line<sup>39</sup> (at E8.5) or *Mus musculus domesticus* 129S1/SvImJ *GOF-* $\Delta PE$ -18 transgenic line<sup>40</sup>
- 416 (from E9.5 onwards) with Mus musculus castaneus (CAST) (Figure 1A). Xist -/+ Zp3-CRE; Oct4-eGFP
- 417 female embryos (Figure 5C) were obtained by mating *Mus musculus domesticus* C57Bl6/J Xist<sup>flox/flox</sup>; Zp3-
- 418 CRE; *Oct4*-eGFP females<sup>17,59,60</sup> with *Mus Musculus Castaneus* males.
- 419
- 420 <u>Sexing of the embryos</u>

421 The sex of the embryos was characterized based on the morphology of the gonads from E11.5. Before 422 E11.5, sex was characterized in single-cell RNA-seq datasets by studying the expression of Xist and Y-423 linked genes, as well as the presence or absence of polymorphisms (SNPs) on the X chromosome. For the 424 WGBS, and CUT&RUN experiments, the sex of the embryos was determined by PCR using genomic DNA 425 and Ube1 primers (Ube1-Forward TGGATGGTGTGGGCCAATG; Ube1-Reverse 426 CACCTGCACGTTGCCCTT).

427

#### 428 Collection of PGC

After dissection of embryos at the location of the PGC, according to embryonic stage, and sexing of the embryos, samples were resuspended in 200 μL of 0.25 % trypsin and incubated at 37 °C for 3 min. Trypsin was inactivated with serum and a single-cell solution was obtained by vigorous up-and-down. For scRNAseq experiments from E10.5, cells were manually picked based on their GFP and size and washed in PBSacetylated BSA (Figure 1A). For the other stages and CUT&RUN experiments, cells were collected by fluorescence-associated cell sorting (FACS ARIA© and S3e Cell Sorter Bio-Rad©) and processed quickly

435 for scRNA-seq or low-input CUT&RUN.

436

#### 437 <u>Single cell RNA sequencing and bioinformatic analysis</u>

Single PGC were washed thrice with PBS/acetylated BSA (Sigma) before being manually transferred within the minimum amount of liquid into PCR tubes. We either directly prepared the cDNA amplifications or kept the single cells at -80 °C (less than 2 months) for future preparation. Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> mRNA extracted from each single cell was reverse-transcribed from 3'-UTRs and amplified according to a previously described protocol<sup>42,61</sup>. Care was taken to process only embryos and PGC of the highest quality based on morphology and amplification yield. A total of 140 single cells were processed and quality control (QC) was performed as previously described in <sup>16</sup>.

- Single-cell libraries were prepared from 137 samples that passed QC, according to the manufacturer's
  protocol (Illumina). Sequencing to produce single-end 50-bp reads was then performed on an Illumina
  HiSeq 4000 instrument (Supplementary Table 1).
- Quality controls, filtering of raw data, mapping, and SNP calling have been described previously<sup>8,9</sup>. 448 Briefly, the mouse mm10 genome was downloaded from Sanger database. To study allele-specific gene 449 expression, reads were processed according to Borensztein et al<sup>16</sup>. SNPs between the 129 and Cast strains 450 were extracted from the VCF file and used to reconstruct the Cast genome. After the removal of the common 451 452 exonic SNPs between Xist and Tsix, 20,220,776 SNPs were retained. The number of paternal and maternal 453 reads were counted at each SNP position. The threshold used to call a gene informative was five reads 454 mapped per single SNP, with a minimum of eight reads mapped on SNPs per gene, to minimize disparity 455 with low-polymorphic genes. The allele-specific origin of the transcripts (allelic ratio) was calculated as 456 the total number of reads mapped to the Cast genome divided by the total number of reads for each gene: 457 allelic ratio = Cast reads/(Cast + 129) reads. For X-linked gene, we modified the allelic ratio to: allelic ratio 458 = Xa reads/(Xa + Xi) reads. In the case of a 129 Xi, the allelic ratio became 1-[Cast reads/(Cast+129) reads]. Genes were thus classified into two categories: inactivated genes: allelic-ratio value <0.20 or >0.80, and 459 460 biallelically expressed genes: allelic-ratio value >0.20 or <0.80.
- 461

*Estimation of gene expression levels.* Given that our RNA reverse transcription allowed sequencing only up to an average of 3 kb from the 3' UTR, half of the expressed genes were only partially covered (less than 50% of the gene size on average). To estimate transcript abundance, read counts were normalized based on the amplification size of each transcript (RPRT) rather than the size of each gene (RPKM) (see details in Borensztein et al.<sup>42</sup>).

467

468 Principal component analysis, hierarchical clustering, and differentially expressed genes in volcano plots.
469 Only genes with an RPRT value >1 in at least 25% of the single cells of at least one developmental stage

470 (with a minimum of two cells) were retained for downstream analysis, as previously described in  $^{16}$ . With

471 the Benjamini–Hochberg correction, genes with an adjusted *P* value lower than  $\alpha = 0.05$  were called as 472 differentially expressed.

473

474 *Heatmap generation for X-chromosome allelic gene expression*. For allelic ratio heatmaps, data from 475 informative genes were analysed at each developmental stage only if the gene was expressed (RPRT >2) in 476 at least 25% of the single blastomeres (with a minimum of two cells) (Figure 3). To follow the kinetics of 477 expression, we focused only on genes expressed in at least three different stages. The mean allelic ratio of 478 each gene is represented for the different stages of the female PGC.

479

486 *Definition of X-linked gene reactivation classes.* We automatically assigned X-linked genes to the 487 reactivation classes.

Early reactivation: expressed on both chromosomes at stage E10.5. Allelic ratio >= 0.8 or NA at E9.5;
allelic ratio < 0.8 at E10.5; allelic ratio < 0.8 at E11.5; allelic ratio < 0.8 or NA at E12.5.</li>

Intermediate reactivation: expressed on both chromosomes at stage E11.5. Allelic ratio >= 0.8 or NA at E9.5; allelic ratio >= 0.8 or NA at E10.5; allelic ratio < 0.8 at E11.5; allelic ratio < 0.8 or NA at E12.5.</li>

- 493 Late reactivation: expressed on both chromosomes at stage E12.5. Allelic ratio >= 0.8 or NA at E10.5;
  494 allelic ratio >= 0.8 at E11.5; allelic ratio < 0.8 at E12.5.</li>
- Very Late reactivation: silenced at stage E12.5. Allelic ratio >= 0.8 at E10.5 Allelic ratio >= 0.8 at E11.5 and E12.5 OR allelic ratio < 0.8 at E11.5 and >= 0.8 at E12.5.

497 - Escapees: always biallelic. Allelic ratio < 0.8 at all stages (Figure 4A).

498

## 499 <u>Low-input CUT&RUN</u>

After PGC were collected, the cells were directly pelleted at 4 °C for 5 min. The CUT&RUN protocol was modified from Skene *et al*<sup>64,65</sup> and Dura *et al*<sup>66</sup> to accommodate a low number of PGC (5 000- 15 000 per sample, **Supplementary Table 1**). Briefly, cells were split according to the number of required antibody profiles, and Nuclear Extraction Buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM spermidine, 0.1 % Triton X-100, 20 % Glycerol, Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail) was gently added to the

505 cell solution and incubated on ice for 5 min. Cells and concanavalin A beads were incubated for 10 min at 506 room temperature (RT) on a rotating wheel. Cells were then collected on magnets, resuspended in Blocking 507 Buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM spermidine, 0.1 % BSA), 2 mM EDTA, and 1× Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail), and incubated at RT for 5 min. Cells were then washed 508 509 and incubated with H3K27me3 antibody (1:200 dilution, Cell signalling 36B11#9733, control with IgG 510 rabbit Sigma) for 2h30 at 4 °C on a rotating wheel and then washed twice. Samples were then incubated 511 with 1:400 Protein A-MNase fusion protein (gift from the Dominique Helmlinger lab, CRBM France) for 512 1 h at 4 °C followed by two washes. Cells were then resuspended in 150 µL Wash Buffer and cooled in an 513 ice-water bath for 5 min before the addition of a final concentration of 100 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Targeted digestion was performed for 30 min on ice. The samples were then incubated for 20 min at 37 °C to release the cleaved 514 515 chromatin fragments. After centrifugation at  $16,000 \times g$  for 5 min, supernatants were transferred to new low-binding tubes. Following the addition of 20 % SDS and 20 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> Proteinase K, the samples were 516 517 incubated 30 min at 70 °C. DNA was purified using phenol/chloroform, followed by chloroform extraction 518 and precipitation with 20 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> glycogen and three volumes of 100 % ethanol at 20 °C. The DNA pellet 519 was washed with 85% ethanol, centrifuged, and resuspended in low Tris-EDTA. 520 Library preparation was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA

Library Prep Kit for Illumina) using the following modified library amplification program: 98 °C for 30 s (98 °C for 10 s, 65 °C for 15 s) × 15 cycles, 65 °C for 5 min), hold at 4 °C. Average library size and quality control were performed using a Fragment Analyzer (High Sensitivity NGS kit) and qPCR (Roche Light Cycler 480). CUT&RUN libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) from Biocampus, MGX platform, using a paired-end 150-bp run. E11.5, and E12.5 H3K27me3 CUT&RUN were performed on one replicate of five and two pooled female embryos, respectively.

527

#### 528 <u>Allele-specific CUT&RUN bioinformatic analysis</u>

FastQC (v0.11.9) and MultiQC (v1.13)<sup>67</sup> were used to control CUT&RUN data quality. UMIs were used 529 to confirm the homogeneous yield of library amplification and sequencing for each sample. Paired-end 530 reads with at least one undefined UMI were discarded using Cutadapt v4.1<sup>68</sup> and seqkit v2.3<sup>69</sup>. The reads 531 Galore(v0.6.6<sup>16</sup>) 532 then trimmed using Trim were 533 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim galore/<sup>70</sup>; options "--length 20 --illumina --2colour 20"). Trimmed reads were mapped with Bowtie2 (v2.4.2)<sup>71</sup> (options "--end-to-end -very-sensitive 534 -reorder") to the mm10 reference genome, modified as followed: autosomal, sexual and mitochondrial 535 chromosomes are kept, and 20 668 274 SNPs positions (0.76% of genome size) related to Mus musculus 536  $(v0.6)^{72}$ 537 Castaneus strain are N-masked using **SNPsplit** 538 (https://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/mousegenomes/REL-1505-

539 SNPs\_Indels/strain\_specific\_vcfs/CAST\_EiJ.mgp.v5.snps.dbSNP142.vcf). SAMtools (v1.11)<sup>73</sup> was used

- 540 to sort and convert the data formats. PCR duplicates were removed using GATK MarkDuplicates, and reads
- 541 were unmapped with or without primary alignment discarded (SAMtools options "-F 0x04 -F 0x100 -F
- 542 0x800"). The coverage for each developmental stage was calculated from the bam file using the deepTools
- bamCoverage tool (v3.5.1, normalization by scale factor, bin=10 nt)<sup>74</sup>.
- Peak calling of H3K27me3 histone marks was performed for E11.5 and E12.5 female samples using
- immunoglobulin G (IgG) as input for each stage (E11.5 IgG from male PGC, E12.5 IgG from female PGC)
- with MACS2 (v2.2.7.1, options "-f BAMPE –broad –broad-cutoff 0.1")<sup>75</sup>.
- 547 Allele-specific reads (*i.e.* reads from B6: Xa and Cast: Xi) were sorted using SNPsplit. The d-score
- 548 parameter (reads Cast / reads B6 + reads Cast]) was calculated using featureCounts from the Rsubread R
- package $(v2.12.3)^{76}$ . H3K27me3 enrichment heatmaps show the log2 fold change in the coverage difference
- between the H3K27me3 mark and the IgG control for each developmental stage, normalized by the
- sequencing depth using deepTools bamCompare (bin= 10 nt). computeMatrix and plotHeatmap.
- 552

## 553 Whole Genome Bisulfite sequencing of female Epiblast

- 554 C57B16/J Epiblasts were manually dissected from extra-embryonic tissues of E6.5 embryos, followed by 555 sex determination by PCR on the extra-embryonic tissue (see section sexing of the embryos). Whole-556 Genome Bisulfite sequencing libraries from 2 E6.5 female replicates were prepared as described by 557 Smallwood et al<sup>77</sup>. The WGBS was analysed as described previously<sup>78</sup>. Briefly, reads generated in this study 558 or recovered from the available datasets were treated as follows: the first eight base pairs of the reads were 559 trimmed using the FASTX-Toolkit v0.0.13 (hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx\_toolkit/index.html). Adapter sequences were removed with Cutadapt v1.3 (code.google.com/p/cutadapt/)<sup>68</sup> and reads shorter than 16 bp 560 561 were discarded. The cleaned sequences were aligned to the mouse reference genome (mm10) using Bismark 562 v0.12.5 70 with Bowtie2-2.1.0 71 and the default parameters. Only the reads that mapped uniquely to the 563 genome were conserved. Sequencing statistics can be found in the Figure Legend and/or the main text. Methylation calls were extracted after duplicate removal. Only CG dinucleotides covered by a minimum of 564 565 ten reads were conserved for the remainder of the analysis.
- 566

## 567 <u>DNA methylation and transposon data analysis</u>

568 DNA methylation data at E10.5 and E12.5, were downloaded from DRA000607<sup>53</sup> and GSE76971<sup>79</sup> 569 respectively. The raw data were cleaned using Trim Galore v0.4.4<sup>70</sup>. The cleaned reads were aligned to the 570 mouse reference genome assembly (GRCm38/mm10) using Bismark v0.18.2<sup>80</sup> with Bowtie2-2.2.9<sup>71</sup> 571 allowing for one mismatch in the seed alignment. Only reads that mapped uniquely to the genome were

- 572 retained, and methylation calls were extracted after duplicate removal, considering only CpG dinucleotides573 covered by a minimum of five reads.
- 574 For the control regions of transposon enrichment, a set of 25 random regions (number of Xist entry sites),
- with the same length as the median *Xist* entry sites) was bootstrapped 1 000 times either genome-wide or
- 576 on the X chromosome, using the R package regioneR (v1.10.0, Gel B, Diez-Villanueva A, Serra E,
- 577 Buschbeck M, Peinado MA, Malinverni R (2016). "regioneR: an R/Bioconductor package for the
- 578 association analysis of genomic regions based on permutation tests." *Bioinformatics*, **32**(2), 289-291). The
- 579 number of retrotransposons overlapping *Xist* entry sites and random regions was calculated and normalized
- to a 10 kb window. DNA methylation levels were estimated using a window of -1 kb to +100 bp from the
- 581 Transcriptional Start Site (TSS) for each gene. In all the cases, a permutation test was performed using the
- 582 RegioneR package.
- 583
- 584 <u>Statistics.</u>
- Statistical significance was evaluated using Kruskal–Wallis followed by Dunn's correction and t-tests. *P*values are provided in the figure legends and/or the main text.
- 587

#### 588 Data availability

All sequencing data will be deposited in GEO and made publicly available after publication of this articlein a peer-reviewed scientific journal.

591

#### 592 Authors' contribution

M.B. conceived the study with M.A.S. and performed scRNA-seq experiments. C.R. performed chromatin
analysis, handled mouse colonies, and collected embryos with the help and supervision of K.C.. L.S., E.B.,
and D.Z. performed bioinformatics analysis, supervised by N.S. and M.B.. A.T. performed the repeats and
WGBS bioinformatics analysis. C.L. contributed to the animal husbandry and sample collection. M.W. and
D.B. conducted WGBS experiments. M.B., M.A.S., and D.B. secured the funding. M.B. wrote the original
manuscript. with inputs from co-authors.

599

#### 600 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Surani, Bourc'his, and Heard labs for insightful discussions, Kay Harnish, Cambridge
Stem Cell Institute Genomics Facility, and the MGX-Montpellier GenomiX platform for advice and deep
sequencing of the libraries. We thank the pathogen-free barrier animal facility of Gurdon Institute and the
PCEA and ZEFI of IGMM, UMR5535, and Montpellier. We are grateful to Joan Barau, Dominique
Helmlinger, and Lorraine Bonneville for their advice and reagents regarding the low-input CUT&RUN.

606 This work was supported by a CNRS-INSERM ATIP-Avenir grant, the FRM AJE202005011598 and an 607 ANR (the French National Research Agency) under the "Investissements d'avenir" programme with the 608 reference ANR-16-IDEX-0006 » to M.B.; Wellcome Trust funding 096738 and 092096 and Cancer 609 Research UK program C6946/A14492 to M.A.S., by a PhD fellowship from La Ligue nationale contre le 610 cancer to C.R. and FRM SPE20150331826 and a Marie Sklodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship (H2020-MSCA-IF-2015-no. 706144) to M.B. MGX acknowledges financial support from France Génomique 611 National infrastructure, funded as part of "Investissement d'Avenir" program managed by Agence 612 613 Nationale pour la Recherche (contract ANR-10-INBS-09). 614 615 **Competing interests:** 616 Authors declare no competing interests-617 618

## 619 **References**

620	
621	1. Leitch, H. G., Tang, W. W. C. & Surani, M. A. Primordial Germ-Cell Development and Epigenetic
622	Reprogramming in Mammals. in Current Topics in Developmental Biology vol. 104 149–187 (Elsevier,
623	2013).
624	2. Tang, W. W. C., Kobayashi, T., Irie, N., Dietmann, S. & Surani, M. A. Specification and epigenetic
625	programming of the human germ line. Nature Reviews Genetics 17, 585–600 (2016).
626	3. Kurimoto, K. & Saitou, M. Germ cell reprogramming. Current Topics in Developmental Biology vol. 135
627	(Elsevier Inc., 2019).
628	4. Kurimoto, K. & Saitou, M. Epigenome regulation during germ cell specification and development from
629	pluripotent stem cells. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 52, 57–64 (2018).
630	5. Hajkova, P. et al. Chromatin dynamics during epigenetic reprogramming in the mouse germ line.
631	Nature <b>452</b> , 877–81 (2008).
632	6. Sugimoto, M. & Abe, K. X chromosome reactivation initiates in nascent primordial germ cells in mice.
633	<i>PLoS genetics</i> <b>3</b> , e116 (2007).
634	7. Chuva de Sousa Lopes, S. M. et al. X chromosome activity in mouse XX primordial germ cells. PLoS
635	<i>genetics</i> <b>4</b> , e30 (2008).
636	8. Severino, J. et al. Controlled X-chromosome dynamics defines meiotic potential of female mouse in
637	vitro germ cells. The EMBO Journal <b>41</b> , e109457 (2022).
638	9. Mallol, A., Guirola, M. & Payer, B. PRDM14 controls X - chromosomal and global epigenetic
639	reprogramming of H3K27me3 in migrating mouse primordial germ cells. Epigenetics & Chromatin 12,
640	1–10 (2019).
641	10. Sangrithi, M. N. <i>et al.</i> Non-Canonical and Sexually Dimorphic X Dosage Compensation States in
642	the Mouse and Human Germline. <i>Developmental Cell</i> <b>40</b> , 1–13 (2017).
643	11. Naik, H. C. <i>et al.</i> Lineage-specific dynamics of erasure of X-upregulation during inactive-X
644	reactivation. 2020.12.23.424181 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.23.424181 (2023).
645	12. Heard, E. & Turner, J. Function of the Sex Chromosomes in Mammalian Fertility. <i>Cold Spring</i>
646	Harb Perspect Biol 1–18 (2011).
647	13. Lyon, M. F. Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (Mus musculus L.). <i>Nature</i> <b>190</b> , 372–
648	3 (1961).
649	14. Loda, A., Collombet, S. & Heard, E. Gene regulation in time and space during X-chromosome
650	inactivation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 23, 231–249 (2022).
651	15. Namekawa, S. H., Payer, B., Huynh, K. D., Jaenisch, R. & Lee, J. T. Two-step imprinted X
652	inactivation: repeat versus genic silencing in the mouse. <i>Molecular and cellular biology</i> <b>30</b> , 3187–
653	3205 (2010).
654	16. Borensztein, M. <i>et al.</i> Xist -dependent imprinted X inactivation and the early developmental
655	consequences of its failure. Nature structural & molecular biology 24, 226–233 (2017).
656	17. Marahrens, Y., Panning, B., Dausman, J., Strauss, W. & Jaenisch, R. Xist-deficient mice are
657	defective in dosage compensation but not spermatogenesis. Genes & Development 11, 156–166
658	(1997).
659	18. Engreitz, J. M. <i>et al.</i> The Xist IncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome architecture to spread
660	across the X chromosome. Science (New York, N.Y.) 341, 1237973 (2013).

661 Pandya-Jones, A. et al. A protein assembly mediates Xist localization and gene silencing. Nature 19. 662 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2703-0. 663 20. Strehle, M. & Guttman, M. Xist drives spatial compartmentalization of DNA and protein to 664 orchestrate initiation and maintenance of X inactivation. Curr Opin Cell Biol 64, 139–147 (2020). 665 21. Chaumeil, J., Baccon, P. L., Wutz, A. & Heard, E. A novel role for Xist RNA in the formation of a 666 repressive nuclear compartment into which genes are recruited when silenced. Genes Dev. 20, 2223-667 2237 (2006). 668 22. Chow, J. C. et al. LINE-1 activity in facultative heterochromatin formation during X chromosome 669 inactivation. Cell 141, 956-69 (2010). 670 Zylicz, J. J. et al. The Implication of Early Chromatin Changes in X Chromosome Inactivation. Cell 23. 671 176, 182-197.e23 (2019). 672 Monk, M. Methylation and the X chromosome. *Bioessays* 4, 204–208 (1986). 24. 673 25. Cheng, S. et al. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals Cellular Heterogeneity of Pluripotency Transition 674 and X Chromosome Dynamics during Early Mouse Development. Cell Reports 26, 2593–2607 (2019). 675 26. Marks, H. et al. Dynamics of gene silencing during X inactivation using allele-specific RNA-seq. 676 Genome biology 16, 149 (2015). 677 27. Sousa, L. B. de A. e et al. Kinetics of Xist -induced gene silencing can be predicted from 678 combinations of epigenetic and genomic features. Genome research (2019) doi:doi: 679 10.1101/gr.245027.118. 680 28. Mak, W. et al. Reactivation of the paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos. Science 681 (New York, N.Y.) 303, 666–9 (2004). 682 29. Borensztein, M. et al. Contribution of epigenetic landscapes and transcription factors to X-683 chromosome reactivation in the inner cell mass. Nature Communications 8, 1-14 (2017). 684 Pasque, V. et al. X chromosome reactivation dynamics reveal stages of reprogramming to 30. 685 pluripotency. Cell 159, 1681-1697 (2014). 686 31. Janiszewski, A. et al. Dynamic reversal of random X-Chromosome inactivation during iPSC 687 reprogramming. Genome research 29, 1659–1672 (2019). 688 de Napoles, M., Nesterova, T. & Brockdorff, N. Early loss of Xist RNA expression and inactive X 32. 689 chromosome associated chromatin modification in developing primordial germ cells. PLoS One 2, 690 e860 (2007). 691 Mallol, A., Guirola, M. & Payer, B. PRDM14 controls X - chromosomal and global epigenetic 33. 692 reprogramming of H3K27me3 in migrating mouse primordial germ cells. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 12, 693 1-10 (2019). 694 34. Navarro, P. et al. Molecular coupling of Xist regulation and pluripotency. Science (New York, 695 N.Y.) 321, 1693–5 (2008). 696 Payer, B. pdf et al. Tsix RNA and the Germline Factor, PRDM14, Link X Reactivation and Stem Cell 35. 697 Reprogramming. Molecular Cell 52, 1–14 (2013). 698 Wang, M., Lin, F., Xing, K. & Liu, L. Random X-chromosome inactivation dynamics in vivo by 36. 699 single-cell RNA sequencing. BMC Genomics 18, 90 (2017). 700 37. Keane, T. M. et al. Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. 701 Nature 477, 289-94 (2011).

- Frazer, K. A. *et al.* A sequence-based variation map of 8 . 27 million SNPs in inbred mouse
  strains. *Nature* 448, 1050–1053 (2007).
- Payer, B. *et al.* Generation of stella-GFP Transgenic Mice : A Novel Tool to Study Germ Cell
   Development. *Genesis* 44, 75–83 (2006).
- Yeom, Y. I. *et al.* Germline regulatory element of Oct-4 specific for the totipotent cycle of
  embryonal cells. *Development* 122, 881–94 (1996).
- Tang, F. *et al.* mRNA-Seq whole-transcriptome analysis of a single cell. *Nature methods* 6,
  (2009).
- 42. Borensztein, M., Syx, L., Servant, N. & Heard, E. Transcriptome Profiling of Single Mouse
  Oocytes. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 1818, 51–65 (2018).
- Mayère, C. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomics reveal temporal dynamics of critical regulators of
  germ cell fate during mouse sex determination. *The FASEB Journal* **35**, e21452 (2021).
- Yamaji, M. *et al.* DND1 maintains germline stem cells via recruitment of the CCR4-NOT complex
  to target mRNAs. *Nature* 543, 568–572 (2017).
- 716 45. Bertozzi, T. M., Elmer, J. L., Macfarlan, T. S. & Ferguson-Smith, A. C. KRAB zinc finger protein
- 717 diversification drives mammalian interindividual methylation variability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*718 **117**, 31290–31300 (2020).
- Dehghanian, F., Bovio, P. P., Hojati, Z. & Vogel, T. ZFP982 confers mouse embryonic stem cell
  characteristics by regulating expression of Nanog, Zfp42 and Dppa3. 2020.06.03.131847 Preprint at
  https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.03.131847 (2020).
- Yu, L. *et al.* Loss of ESRP1 blocks mouse oocyte development and leads to female infertility.
   *Development* 148, dev196931 (2021).
- 48. Zhao, Z.-H. *et al.* Single cell RNA sequencing reveals the landscape of early female germ cell
   development. *FASEB Journal* 2020.05.09.085845 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.05.09.085845.
- Cattanach, B. M. & Williams, C. E. Evidence of non-random X chromosome activity in the mouse.
   *Genetics Research* 19, 229–240 (1972).
- Calaway, J. D. *et al.* Genetic Architecture of Skewed X Inactivation in the Laboratory Mouse. *PLoS Genet* 9, e1003853 (2013).
- 51. Berletch, J. B. *et al.* Escape from X Inactivation Varies in Mouse Tissues. *PLOS Genetics* 11,
  e1005079 (2015).
- 732 52. Pandya-Jones, A. & Plath, K. The ???Inc??? between 3D chromatin structure and X chromosome
  733 inactivation. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* 56, 35–47 (2016).
- Kobayashi, H. *et al.* High-resolution DNA methylome analysis of primordial germ cells identifies
   gender-specific reprogramming in mice. *Genome Res.* 23, 616–627 (2013).
- Figure 736
  Figure 737
  Figure 737
  Figure 737
  Figure 736
  Figure 737
  <
- 738 55. Richart, L. *et al.* XIST loss impairs mammary stem cell differentiation and increases
  739 tumorigenicity through Mediator hyperactivation. *Cell* 185, 2164-2183.e25 (2022).
- 740 56. Generoso, S. F. *et al.* Cohesin controls X chromosome structure remodeling and X-reactivation
- 741 during mouse iPSC-reprogramming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**,
- 742 e2213810120 (2023).

- 743 57. Camlin, N. J., McLaughlin, E. A. & Holt, J. E. Kif4 Is Essential for Mouse Oocyte Meiosis. *PLoS One*744 **12**, e0170650 (2017).
- 58. Luoh, S.-W. *et al.* Zfx mutation results in small animal size and reduced germ cell number in male
  and female mice. *Development* **124**, 2275–2284 (1997).
- 59. Lan, Z. J., Xu, X. & Cooney, A. J. Differential oocyte-specific expression of Cre recombinase
  activity in GDF-9-iCre, Zp3cre, and Msx2Cre transgenic mice. *Biol Reprod* **71**, 1469–1474 (2004).
- 528 Szabo, P. E., Hübner, K., Schöler, H. & Mann, J. R. Allele-specific expression of imprinted genes in
  mouse migratory primordial germ cells. *Mechanisms of development* **115**, 157–160 (2002).
- Tang, F., Lao, K. & Surani, M. A. Development and applications of single-cell transcriptome
  analysis. *Nature methods* 8, (2011).
- 53 64. Skene, P. J. & Henikoff, S. An efficient targeted nuclease strategy for high-resolution mapping of
  54 DNA binding sites. *eLife* 6, 1–35 (2017).
- 755 65. Prakash, S. A. & Barau, J. Chromatin Profiling in Mouse Embryonic Germ CellsEmbryonic germ 756 cells by CUT&RUN. in *Epigenetic Reprogramming During Mouse Embryogenesis: Methods and*
- *Protocols* (eds. Ancelin, K. & Borensztein, M.) 253–264 (Springer US, 2021). doi:10.1007/978-1-07160958-3\_17.
- Dura, M. *et al.* DNMT3A-dependent DNA methylation is required for spermatogonial stem cells
  to commit to spermatogenesis. *Nat Genet* 54, 469–480 (2022).
- Find Free Former Strength Former
- 763 68. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
   764 *EMBnet.journal* **17**, 10–12 (2011).
- Shen, W., Le, S., Li, Y. & Hu, F. SeqKit: A Cross-Platform and Ultrafast Toolkit for FASTA/Q File
  Manipulation. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0163962 (2016).
- 767 70. Krueger, F., James, F., Ewels, P., Afyounian, E. & Schuster-Boeckler, B. *FelixKrueger/TrimGalore:* 768 v0.6.7 DOI via Zenodo. (Zenodo, 2021). doi:10.5281/zenodo.5127899.
- 769 71. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 9, 357–
  770 359 (2012).
- 771 72. Krueger, F. & Andrews, S. R. SNPsplit: Allele-specific splitting of alignments between genomes
   772 with known SNP genotypes. *F1000Res* 5, 1479 (2016).
- 773 73. Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience* **10**, giab008 (2021).
- 774 74. Ramírez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis.
   775 *Nucleic Acids Res* 44, W160–W165 (2016).
- 776 75. Zhang, Y. et al. Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biology 9, R137 (2008).
- 777 76. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
  778 assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).
- 779 77. Smallwood, S. a *et al.* Single-cell genome-wide bisulfite sequencing for assessing epigenetic
   780 heterogeneity. *Nature Methods* **11**, 817–20 (2014).
- 781 78. Walter, M., Teissandier, A., Pérez-Palacios, R. & Bourc'his, D. An epigenetic switch ensures
- transposon repression upon dynamic loss of DNA methylation in embryonic stem cells. *eLife* 5,
   e11418 (2016).

- 784 80. Krueger, F. & Andrews, S. R. Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq
- 785 applications. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1571–1572 (2011).

786

787

#### 788 Figure Legends

#### 789

## 790 Figure 1

Single-cell RNA sequencing of polymorphic primordial germ cells during embryonic development. 791 792 (A) Schematic illustration of single-cell transcriptomic experiments including mouse breeding  $(129 \times \text{cast})$ , 793 harvested embryonic stages, and single-cell collection. At E8.5, PGC were sorted according to the 794 expression of Stella-GFP. Stella gene, also known as Dppa3, is expressed in early PGC. From E9.5, Oct4-795 GFP (GOF- $\Delta PE$ -18 line)<sup>40</sup> marker was used to differentiate between PGC and soma. PGC, primordial germ cells; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) of single PGC 796 797 and soma based on the 1 000 most variable genes in the transcriptomic datasets. The different stages are 798 denoted by different colours. The rounds represent PGC, and triangles represent GFP-negative somatic cells 799 in the proximity of the PGC. The number of cells analysed per stage and further details of the scRNA-seq 800 samples are shown in Supplementary Table 1. (C) Hierarchical clustering and Pearson's distance of scRNAseq samples based on germline, soma, and sex-specific gene expression variation using Pearson's 801 802 correlation. Cells were clustered first by lineage (PGC and soma), then by stage (E8.5–E12.5), and then by 803 sex for the E11.5 and E12.5 stages. n = 137 single-cell samples. (D) Expression levels of 26 known genes 804 expressed in developing PGC or soma, and sex-specific genes (Xist and Y-linked genes) in the 137 single-805 cell samples were used to classify cells according to their lineage, as shown. The cells were ordered 806 according to hierarchical clustering in C. PGC primordial germ cells.

807

## 808 Figure 2

809 Progression of X-chromosome reactivation in developing PGC. (A) Principal component analysis (PCA) based on scRNA-seq data from PGC and soma between E8.5-E12.5. X-chromosome composition 810 811 of each single cell is represented on the PCA, such as the XY male, XX female, and XO female cells. The 812 XO cells originate from a single embryo and were found by serendipity. PCA is based on the 1 000 most 813 variable genes, as described in Figure 1B. The details of each cell are listed in Supplementary Table 1. 814 (B) Allele-specific expression ratios for genes on autosomes and X chromosomes in female and male single PGC from E9.5 to E12.5. The allelic ratio represents the number of reads mapped to Cast genome, divided 815 816 by the total number of 129 and Cast reads. For X-linked genes, we measured the allelic ratio as the parental genome from which the Xi is originated, divided by the total number of 129 and Cast reads mapped for 817 818 each gene (Xa counts / total Xa + Xi counts). A gene was considered biallelically expressed when 0.2 <819 allelic ratio <0.8. Box plots represent medians (centre lines) with lower and upper quartiles (box limits). 820 Whiskers represent  $1.5\times$  the interquartile range. Outliers are represented by dots. The number of cells 821 analysed per stage, and the parental origin of the Xi, are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. (C) and (D)

822 Pseudotime representation of scRNA-seq data, based on the first principal component for female PGC

between E9.5 and E12.5. In (**D**), the percentage of reactivated X-linked genes per single cell is provided by

- a colour gradient as shown in the key. A gene is called reactivated if its allelic ratio is <0.8, which represents
- an expression from the Xi of at least 20 %
- 826
- 827 Figure 3

Kinetics of reactivation of X-linked genes over the entire X chromosome in developing PGC during 828 829 reversal of random XCI. The mean of the allele-specific expression ratios, per embryonic stage, for each 830 informative and expressed X-linked gene in female PGC are represented as heatmaps from E9.5 to E12.5, 831 with strict monoallelic Xa expression (ratio >0.8) in red and strict monoallelic Xi expression (ratio <0.2) in 832 blue. Color gradients is used in between these two values, as indicated in the key. Genes are ordered by 833 genomic position (left) and reactivation kinetics class (right). Xist expression was always below RPRT < 2834 and its genomic location has been added to the heatmap for information (green arrow). n = 198 informative 835 X-linked genes, with a RPRT expression >2, expressed in at least 3 out of 4 developmental stages. White 836 box, data not available (below threshold).

837

#### 838 Figure 4

839 Differential timing of X-linked gene reactivation is associated with timing of silencing and 840 chromosomal location in regards to Xist early sites. (A) X-linked genes are clustered based on their 841 reactivation kinetics as early (expressed from the Xi at E10.5; allelic ratio <0.8 at E10.5), intermediate 842 (expressed from the Xi from E11.5), late (expressed from the Xi from E12.5), very late (not reactivated at 843 E12.5), and escapee (not undergoing XCI). The allelic ratio of each gene represents the fraction of Xa 844 expression, with the number of reads mapped on the Xa genome divided by the total number of reads 845 mapped. This is shown for stages E9.5 to E12.5 for all female PGC. n = 207 X-linked genes. Box plots are 846 as in Figure 2B. Further information is provided in Methods. (B) Expression level of X-linked genes in the 847 different reactivation-timing classes in female PGC (mean of each single gene). Expression of each gene 848 represents the total number of reads mapped, normalized by the covered gene length and is represented at 849 E9.5, E10.5, E11.5 and E12.5, as a function of the reactivation classes. Further information is provided in 850 **Methods**. n = 207 X-linked genes. Box plots are as in Figure 2B. Comparison of reactivation classes between the inner cell mass of the blastocyst<sup>29</sup> (C) and the *in vitro* PGC-like cell system<sup>8</sup> (D).  $\in$  Silencing 851 classes of informative X-linked genes in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESC) compared with their 852 853 reactivation classes in PGC<sup>26</sup>. (F) Xist 'entry' sites are regions of the X chromosome showing early 854 accumulation of Xist RNA upon initiation of X-chromosome inactivation, and thought to be the closest to 855 Xist locus in 3D spatial proximity. Allelic expression of X-linked genes classified on the basis of their

relative position to *Xist* entry sites (as identified during XCI induction in ESC<sup>18</sup>): inside (TSS located inside

a Xist entry site), next to (TSS located less than 100 kb away from an entry site) and outside (over 100 kb

from an entry site). p=0.05 for E11.5 by Kruskall-Wallis test followed by Dunn's correction. n = 207 X-

859 linked genes. Box plots are as in Figure 2B. The numbers of cells analysed per stage is shown in

860 Supplementary Table 1.

861

#### 862 Figure 5

863 Contribution of repressive chromatin marks to resistance to early reactivation. (A) Number of total 864 transposons, LINEs, and SINES, overlapping with Xist entry sites, control regions of the X chromosome 865 and control regions of autosomes. Set of control regions have been generated randomly 1000 times (see 866 section **Methods**). A t-test was performed to compare number of repeats in *Xist* entry sites compared to controls.ass. (B) Whole-Genome Bisulfte of E6.5 female epiblast cells compared to public datasets of 867 female PGC at E10.5 (DRA000607 in DDBJ database)<sup>53</sup> and E12.5 (GSE76971 in GEO database)<sup>54</sup>. DNA 868 869 methylation level were estimated using a window of -1kb to +100bp to the TSS for each gene. (C) Schematic illustration of the mouse breeding between C57BI6/J Xist flox/flox; Zp3-Cre; Oct4-eGFP females 870 871 and Castaneus males in order to obtain female polymorphic embryos, with non-random XCI and fluorescent 872 PGC. PGC were isolated by FACS with the Oct4-eGFP reporter at E11.5 and E12.5. Following PGC 873 sorting, low-input CUT&RUN was completed for H3K27me3 marks (see Methods). (D) Distribution of 874 H3K27me3 CUT&RUN peaks in E11.5 and E12.5 female PGC. The Venn diagram shows H3K27me3 875 broad domain overlapping in X chromosomes between E11.5 and E12.5 female PGC (left), and between 876 the active (B6, Xa) and inactive (Cast, Xi) chromosomes, right. (E) Percentage of early (n=29), intermediate 877 (n=55), late (n=20), very late (n=70), and escapee (n=8) X-linked genes significantly enriched in 878 H3K27me3 repressive marks in both E11.5 and E12.5 female PGC (at least one H3K27me3 broad domain 879 per gene). (F) H3K27me3 enrichment (fold change compared to IgG and normalized by library size and 880 peak length) in the different X-linked gene reactivation classes. Kruskall Wallis test followed by Dunn's 881 post hoc test was performed to compare all classes. Each point represents a gene, in red the mean +/- sem. 882 E11.5: p-value < 0,0001, early and intermediate versus very late and E12.5: p-value = 0,0020 intermediate 883 versus very late. (G) enrichment (fold change compared to IgG and normalized by library size and peak 884 length) weighted by the d-score (allelic ratio, see **Methods**) at E11.5 (p-value = 0,0004, early and 885 intermediate versus very late), E12.5 (p-value = 0,0038, intermediate versus very late). Statistical test 886 (mean, SEM). Each point represents a gene, in red the mean  $\pm$  sem. E11.5: p-value < 0,0001, early and intermediate versus very late and E12.5: p-value = 0,0020 intermediate versus very late. (H). Integrative 887 888 Genomics Viewer plot of representative genes from early, late and very late reactivation classes. Tracks 889 depict global and allele-specific H3K27me3 enrichment for Med14 early reactivated gene, Dlg3 and Pja1

890 late reactivated genes and *Med12* very late reactivated gene. Global enrichment tracks are in dark blue and

- allele-specific tracks are overlaid with global enrichment tracks (Cast Xi reads in light blue; B6 Xa reads
- in red). Dark blue boxes and highlighted grey area are significant H3K27me3 broad domains. Location is
- given in mm10, with gene isoforms extracted from Integrative Genome viewer and UCSC.
- 894

# Extended Figure 1 Differential gene expression upon female PGC development and key markers of soma and PGC

- (A). Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on 26 known markers of PGC or soma (Figure 1C and
- **D**). (B) PCA of the 30 most differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that contributed to lineage segregation.

899 (C-D) Volcano plots represent differentially expressed genes (DEG) between the two developmental stages

900 of female PGC. A few transcriptional changes have been observed in migratory PGC. Changes arise once

- 901 PGC colonize the gonads. Some examples of DEG are highlighted. Red dots represent upregulated genes,
- 902 and green dots represent downregulated genes. X-linked genes are shown in orange. They showed a
- statistically greater enrichment in upregulated genes at E12.5, compared to E11.5, owing to X reactivation.
- 904

## 905 Extended Figure 2 Clustering of PGC by sex.

906 (A-D) PCA per developmental stage with sample names, lineage soma versus PGC, and sex information.
907 Cells were clustered by lineage. From E11.5, the cells clustered by sex. (E) Pseudotime representation of
908 the scRNA-seq data based on the first principal component for XX females (pink), XO females (red), and
909 XY males (blue). (F) Level of *Xist* expression and degree of reactivation in each single cell. Each dot
910 represents a single cell. Most female soma exhibit high *Xist* expression and a low number of biallelically
911 expressed genes. Genes with RPRT expression < 2 were considered to be unexpressed.</li>

912

#### 913 Extended Figure 3 Contribution to kinetics of X-chromosome reactivation

914 (A) Representation of the Gene ontology analysis of Biological process performed on the best correlated 915 genes with X-linked gene reactivation (p-value <0.001). Correlation and anti-correlation between gene 916 expression levels (autosomes and X chromosomes) and the percentage of X-linked gene reactivation (allelic 917 ratio >0.2 for X-linked genes) were measured using Pearson's correlation and the Benjamini–Hochberg 918 correction. The 20 best enrichment classes (based on fold enrichment) were represented by their p-values. 919 (B) Distance to escapee loci. Distribution of genomic distances to escapees (Mb) for different X-linked 920 gene reactivation classes. Transcription Start Site (TSS) of each gene was used to measure the distance 921 from the closest escaping gene. Non-significant by Kruskal-Wallis test. Boxplots represent the medians 922 with lower and upper quartiles. (C) Distance to Xist genomic locus. Distribution of genomic distances to

923 the Xist locus (Mb) for different X-linked gene reactivation classes. The Transcription Start Site (TSS) of

924	each	gene	was	used	to	measure	the	distance	from	the	Xist	locus.	Very	late	reactivated	genes	were
-----	------	------	-----	------	----	---------	-----	----------	------	-----	------	--------	------	------	-------------	-------	------

- significantly closer to the Xist locus than early reactivated (p=0.0041), intermediate-reactivated (p=0.0201),
- and escapee (p=0.0045) genes, according to the Kruskal-Wallis test. Boxplots represent the medians with
- 927 lower and upper quartiles.
- 928

## 929 Extended Figure 4

- 930 H3K27me3 enrichment by low-input CUT&RUN in E11.5 and E12.5 female PGC. Profile plots and their
- 931 corresponding heat maps around the transcription start sites (TSS) and transcription end sites (TES) of X-
- 932 linked genes for H3K27me3 repressive histone marks. Enrichment was extracted for the E11.5 and E12.5
- 933 CUT&RUN experiments within a region spanning  $\pm 1$  kb around TSS and TES. The blue-to-red gradient
- 934 indicates low to high enrichment in the corresponding regions ranked by reactivation classes.
- 935

## 936 Supplementary Table 1

937 Summary of sequenced data including information of scRNA-seq and CUT&RUN datasets.

938

939





## **FIGURE 2**





**FIGURE 4** 



Med12 (very late-reactivated gene)

**FIGURE 5** 



D





E11.5 vs E10.5 Female PGC



EXTENDED FIGURE 2

∎p=4.9\*10<sup>-₅</sup> protein modification by small protein conjugation or removal (GO:0070647) ■p=0.011 regulation of DNA metabolic process (GO:0051052) bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/dr0a1dl0fls20029000428855 this version 2015 ted April 26, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/functer, who has granter burg xiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made nuclear divasvani (adoleounze) Call Choose and Anternational license . ∎p=1.2\*10⁵ regulation of chromosome organization (GO:0033044) ■p=9.4\*10<sup>-4</sup> chromosome segregation (GO:0007059) regulation of chromatin organization (GO:1902275) ∎p=0.0033 nuclear chromosome segregation (GO:0098813) ∎p=0.011 positive regulation of chromosome organization (GO:2001252) ∎p=0.003 sister chromatid segregation (GO:0000819) . ∎p=0.028 protein folding (GO:0006457) . ∎p=4.0\*10<sup>-5</sup> gene silencing (GO:0016458) . ∎p=7.9\*10<sup>-6</sup> . ∎p=0.003 DNA modification (GO:0006304) ∎p=5.0\*10<sup>4</sup> DNA methylation or demethylation (GO:0044728) ∎p=7.1\*10<sup>.₅</sup> DNA alkylation (GO:0006305) DNA methylation (GO:0006306) p=7.1\*10<sup>-5</sup> piRNA metabolic process (GO:0034587) ∎p=0.042



10

ip=0.003

∎p=0.027

100



DNA methylation involved in gamete generation (GO:0043046)

positive regulation of protein folding (GO:1903334)

## **EXTENDED FIGURE 3**



gene distance (bp)

Α

gene distance (bp)

**EXTENDED FIGURE 4**