

ERRATUM

Spectral Analysis of *Drosophila* Courtship Songs: *D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans*, and Their Interspecific Hybrid¹

David A. Wheeler,² Warren L. Fields,² and Jeffrey C. Hall^{2,3}

An error was inadvertently introduced to Table I of the above article after proofs (p. 683). A corrected version of the table appears below.

Table I. Qualitative Aspects of the Intrapulse Frequency (IPF) and Sine Song Frequency (SSF) FFTs and Histograms

Characteristic	<i>D. melanogaster</i>	Hybrid	<i>D. simulans</i>
Sine song			
Prominent harmonic ^a			
2nd	0/5	3/3	5/5
3rd or higher	0/5	3/3	5/5
SSF distribution unimodal ^b	9/9	0/6	3/9 ^c
Pulse song			
IPF distribution skewed ^d	9/9	6/6	0/9
Sine/pulse range ^e			
Overlap	1/9	0/6	0/9
Touching	8/9	5/6	1/9
Separate	0/9	1/6	8/9

^a Data from inspection of spectra derived from segments of sine song from several individuals. Five to fifteen spectra per individual were examined for the presence of prominent harmonics (i.e., greater than one-half the amplitude of the fundamental); results are tabulated as the number of individual males possessing such spectra over the number of individuals examined (note: these totals are smaller than in the rest of the table, because song segments from fewer males were examined with respect to harmonics).

^b Data taken from inspection of the histograms (of the types shown in Fig. 4) from each individual fly; here, the SSF distribution was, if not "unimodal," then bimodal (cf. Fig. 4C).

^c The three *D. simulans* males with unimodal SSF histograms displayed only the second harmonic (flies w281, w255, and d165; Appendix Table AII).

^d For the pulse song summary, IPF distributions were, if not "skewed" in the direction of higher frequencies, essentially symmetrical (cf. Fig. 4C).

^e the pulse and sine song ranges were judged to "overlap" if the low end of the IPF range was less than the mean of the SSF range; they were "touching" if the low end of the IPF was less than the high end of the SSF; and they were "separate" if the low end of the IPF was greater than the high end of the SSF.

¹ *Behav. Genet.* 18:675-703 (1988).

² Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed.