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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the stability of a parallel flow of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain. The spectrum of the linearized operator is analyzed for the purpose of the study of the nonlinear stability. It is shown that if the Reynolds and Mach numbers are sufficiently small, then the linearized semigroup is decomposed into two parts; one behaves like a solution of a one dimensional heat equation as time goes to infinity and the other one decays exponentially. Some estimates related to the spectral projections are established, which will also be useful for the study of the nonlinear problem.
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## 1 Introduction

We consider the initial boundary value problem for the equations for a barotropic motion of viscous compressible fluid

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} \rho+\operatorname{div}(\rho v)=0  \tag{1.1}\\
\rho\left(\partial_{t} v+v \cdot \nabla v\right)-\mu \Delta v-\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \nabla \operatorname{div} v+\nabla p(\rho)=\rho g  \tag{1.2}\\
\left.v\right|_{\partial D}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
\left.(\rho, v)\right|_{t=0}=\left(\rho_{0}, v_{0}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

in a cylindrical domain $\Omega=D \times \mathbf{R}$ :

$$
\Omega=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) ; x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in D, x_{3} \in \mathbf{R}\right\} .
$$

Here $D$ is a bounded and connected domain in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial D$; $\rho=\rho(x, t)$ and $v={ }^{T}\left(v^{1}(x, t), v^{2}(x, t), v^{3}(x, t)\right)$ denote the unknown density and velocity at time $t \geq 0$ and position $x \in \Omega$, respectively; $P(\rho)$ is the pressure that is a smooth function of $\rho$ and satisfies

$$
p^{\prime}\left(\rho_{*}\right)>0
$$

for a given positive constant $\rho_{*} ; \mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are the viscosity coefficients that satisfy

$$
\mu>0, \quad \frac{2}{3} \mu+\mu^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

and $g$ is an external force of the form $g={ }^{T}\left(g^{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), g^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right), g^{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with $g^{1}$ and $g^{2}$ satisfying

$$
\left(g^{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), g^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\partial_{x_{1}} \Phi\left(x^{\prime}\right), \partial_{x_{2}} \Phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

where $\Phi$ and $g^{3}$ are given smooth functions of $x^{\prime}$. Here and in what follows ${ }^{T}$. stands for the transposition.

It is known that problem (1.1)-(1.3) has the stationary solution $\bar{u}_{s}={ }^{T}\left(\bar{\rho}_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \bar{v}_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$; $\bar{\rho}_{s}$ is determinated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Const. }-\Phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\rho_{*}}^{\bar{\rho}_{s}} \frac{p^{\prime}(\eta)}{\eta} d \eta, \\
\int_{D} \bar{\rho}_{s}-\rho_{*} d x^{\prime}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\bar{v}_{s}$ takes the form

$$
\bar{v}_{s}={ }^{T}\left(0,0, \bar{v}_{s}^{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

where $\bar{v}_{s}^{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\mu \Delta^{\prime} \bar{v}_{s}^{3}=\bar{\rho}_{s} g^{3}, \\
\left.\bar{v}_{s}^{3}\right|_{\partial D}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $\Delta^{\prime}=\partial_{x_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{x_{2}}^{2}$. The stationary solution $\bar{u}_{s}$ represents a parallel flow in $\Omega$.
We are interested in the large time behavior of solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.4) when the initial value $\left.(\rho, v)\right|_{t=0}=\left(\rho_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is sufficiently close to the stationary solution $\bar{u}_{s}={ }^{T}\left(\bar{\rho}_{s}, \bar{v}_{s}\right)$. In [1] the decay estimates of the linearized semigroup for (1.1) - (1.4) were established. In this paper we study the spectral properties of the linearized semigroup in more detail, which play an important role in the analysis of the nonlinear problem.

As for the asymptotic behavior of multidimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations on unbounded domains, a lot of results have been obtained. See, e.g., $[5,6,11,13,14,15,16,17,19]$ and references therein.

For the stability of parallel flow, detailed descriptions of large time behavior of disturbances have been obtained in the case of an $n$ dimensional infinite layer $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times(0,1)=\left\{x=\left(x_{h}, x_{n}\right) ; x_{h}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, 0<x_{n}<1\right\}$. It
was proved in [10] that asymptotic behavior of solutions of the linearized problem is described by an $n-1$ dimensional linear heat equation, if Reynolds and Mach numbers are sufficiently small. The nonlinear problem was then studied in [9]; it was shown that if Reynolds and Mach numbers are sufficiently small, then the parallel flow is stable under sufficiently small initial disturbances in some Sobolev space. In the case of $n \geq 3$, the disturbance $u(t)$ behaves like a solution of an $n-1$ dimensional linear heat equation as $t \rightarrow \infty$, while, in the case of $n=2, u(t)$ behaves like a solution of a one dimensional viscous Burgers equation. See also $[2,3,4]$ for the stability of time periodic parallel flow.

As for the case of the cylindrical domain $\Omega$, Iooss and Padula [7] studied the linearized stability of a stationary parallel flow under the periodic boundary condition in $x_{3}$ with vanishing average condition on the basic period cell for the densitydisturbance and proved that if the Reynolds number is suitably small, then the linearized semigroup decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, stability under the non-periodic but local disturbances (i.e., belonging to some $L^{2}$ Sobolev space on $\Omega$ ) was studied in [12] in the case of the motionless state $\widetilde{u}_{s}={ }^{T}\left(\rho_{*}, 0\right)$. It was shown that the disturbance decays in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ in the order $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ and its asymptotic leading part is given by a solution of a one dimensional linear heat equation. (See also [8] for the analysis in $L^{p}(\Omega)$.)

The linearized stability of parallel flow under non-periodic local disturbances on $\Omega$ was then studied in [1]. It was shown that the linearized semigroup $e^{-t L}$ satisfies the decay estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}}^{k} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l} e^{-t L} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\{(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}: L^{2}(D)\right)}+e^{-d t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k+l \leq 1$, provided that the Reynolds and Mach numbers are sufficiently small and that $\bar{\rho}_{s}$ is sufficiently close to $\rho_{*}$. In view of the argument in [9], estimate (1.5) is not enough to show the global in time solvability of the nonlinear problem. The purpose of this paper is to derive more detailed spectral information of the linearized operator which will be available for the nonlinear analysis.

The main results of this paper are summarized as follows. We consider the linearized problem whose non-dimensional form is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+L u=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w)$ is the unknown; and $u_{0}={ }^{T}\left(\phi_{0}, w_{0}\right)$ is a given initial value; and $L$ denotes the linearized operator on $L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega})$ defined by

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{s} \cdot \nabla & \gamma^{2} \operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{s} \cdot\right) \\
\nabla\left(\frac{\tilde{P}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \cdot\right)+\frac{\nu \Delta v_{s}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} & -\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta I_{3}-\frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla \operatorname{div}+v_{s} \cdot \nabla+{ }^{T}\left(\nabla v_{s}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

with domain

$$
D(L)=\left\{u=^{T}(\phi, w) \in L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega}) ; w \in H_{0}^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega}), L u \in L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega})\right\}
$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{D}, \rho_{s}, v_{s}$ and $\widetilde{P}\left(\rho_{s}\right)$ are the non-dimensional forms of $\Omega, D, \bar{\rho}_{s}, \bar{v}_{s}$ and $p\left(\bar{\rho}_{s}\right)$ respectively; $I_{3}$ denotes the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix; $\nu, \nu^{\prime}$ and $\gamma$ are some positive constants.

We will show that there exists a bounded projection $P_{0}$ satisfying $P_{0} e^{-t L}=$ $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ such that if Reynolds and Mach numbers are sufficiently small, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t L} P_{0} u_{0}-\left(\sigma u^{(0)}\right)(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u^{(0)}$ is some function of $x^{\prime}$, and $\sigma$ is a function of $x_{3}$ and $t$; and $\sigma$ is a solution of one dimensional linear heat equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \sigma-\kappa_{1} \partial_{x_{3}}^{2} \sigma+\kappa_{0} \partial_{x_{3}} \sigma=0,  \tag{1.8}\\
\left.\sigma\right|_{t=0}=\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) d x_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with some constants $\kappa_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\kappa_{1}>0$. Some estimates for operators related to $P_{0}$ are established; and, as in [2, 4], we will give a factorization of $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ which is useful in the study of nonlinear problem. As for the $I-P_{0}$ part of $e^{-t L}$, we will establish the exponential decay estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t L}\left(I-P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-d t}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)}+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right\} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive constant $d$. Here $\widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the set of all locally $H^{1}$ functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ whose tangential derivatives near $\partial \Omega$ belong to $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

The linear problem (1.6) will be investigated through the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ in $x_{3} \in \mathbf{R}$ that leads to the problem on $D$, written in the form,

$$
\partial_{t} u+L_{\xi} u=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0},
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ denotes the dual variable. The operator $L_{\xi}$ has different properties $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$ and $|\xi|>r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is a positive number sufficiently small.

The spectrum of $-L_{\xi}$ for $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$ can be regarded as a perturbation from the one with $\xi=0$, and we will show that the spectrum near the origin is given by a simple eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}(\xi)=-i \kappa_{0} \xi-\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{3}\right)$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, we will establish the boundedness of the eigenprojection $\Pi(\xi)$ for the eigevalue $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ in some Sobolev space by investigating the regularity of the corresponding eigenfunctions. Setting $P_{0}=\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \Pi(\xi) \mathcal{F}$ with a frequency cut-off function $\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}$ such that $\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}=1$ for $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}=0$ for $|\xi|>r_{0}$, we find the desired asymptotic behavior of $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ as described in (1.7) and (1.8). As for the complimentary part $e^{-t L}\left(I-P_{0}\right)$, we have already shown in [1] that

$$
\left\|e^{-t L}\left(I-P_{0}\right) u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq e^{-d t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

In this paper we will improve the class of initial value $u_{0}$ and will show that the exponential decay estimate holds for $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ as in (1.9). This improvement will be also useful in the study of the nonlinear problem.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first rewrite the problem into the system of equations in a non-dimensional form and then present the existence of a stationary solution of parallel flow. We state the main result of this paper in Section 3. In Section 4 we will investigate the spectrum of $-L_{\xi}$ for $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$, and in Section 5 we will establish a factorization of $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ and prove (1.7). Section 6 is devoted to the proof of (1.9).

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce notations and then rewrite the problem into a nondimensional form. In the end of this section we state the existence of stationary solution which represents parallel flow.

### 2.1 Notation

We first introduce some notations which will be used throughout the paper. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we denote by $L^{p}(X)$ the usual Lebesgue space on a domain $X$ and its norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(X)}$. Let $m$ be a nonnegative integer. $H^{m}(X)$ denotes the $m$ th order $L^{2}$ Sobolev space on $X$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m}(X)}$. In particular, we write $L^{2}(X)$ for $H^{0}(X)$.

We denote by $C_{0}^{m}(X)$ the set of all $C^{m}$ functions with compact support in $X$. $H_{0}^{m}(X)$ stands for the completion of $C_{0}^{m}(X)$ in $H^{m}(X)$. We denote by $H^{-1}(X)$ the dual space of $H_{0}^{1}(X)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{-1}(X)}$.

We simply denote by $L^{p}(X)$ (resp., $H^{m}(X)$ ) the set of all vector fields $w=$ ${ }^{T}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}\right)$ on $X$ and its norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(X)}$ (resp., $\left.\|\cdot\|_{H^{m}(X)}\right)$. For $u=$ ${ }^{T}(\phi, w)$ with $\phi \in H^{k}(X)$ and $w={ }^{T}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}\right) \in H^{m}(X)$, we define $\|u\|_{H^{k}(X) \times H^{m}(X)}$ by $\|u\|_{H^{k}(X) \times H^{m}(X)}=\|\phi\|_{H^{k}(X)}+\|w\|_{H^{m}(X)}$.

When $X=\Omega$ we abbreviate $L^{p}(\Omega)$ as $L^{p}$, and likewise, $H^{m}(\Omega)$ as $H^{m}$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ is written as $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}}$, and likewise, $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m}(\Omega)}$ as $\|\cdot\|_{H^{m}}$.

In the case $X=D$ we denote the norm of $L^{p}(D)$ by $|\cdot|_{p}$. The norm of $H^{m}(D)$ is denoted by $|\cdot|_{H^{m}}$, respectively. The inner product of $L^{2}(D)$ is denoted by

$$
(f, g)=\int_{D} f\left(x^{\prime}\right) \overline{g\left(x^{\prime}\right)} d x^{\prime}, \quad f, g \in L^{2}(D) .
$$

Here $\bar{g}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $g$. For $u_{j}={ }^{T}\left(\phi_{j}, w_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$, we also define a weighted inner product $\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}\right\rangle$ by

$$
\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \int_{D} \phi_{1} \bar{\phi}_{2} \frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} d x^{\prime}+\int_{D} w_{1} \cdot \bar{w}_{2} \rho_{s} d x^{\prime}
$$

where $\rho_{s}=\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is the density of the parallel flow $u_{s}$. As will be seen in Proposition 2.1 below, $\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}$ are strictly positive in $D$.

For $f \in L^{1}(D)$ we denote the mean value of $f$ over $D$ by $\langle f\rangle$ :

$$
\langle f\rangle=(f, 1)=\frac{1}{|D|} \int_{D} f d x^{\prime},
$$

where $|D|=\int_{D} d x^{\prime}$. For $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w) \in L^{1}(D)$ with $w={ }^{T}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}\right)$ we define $\langle u\rangle$ by

$$
\langle u\rangle=\langle\phi\rangle+\left\langle w_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle w_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle w_{3}\right\rangle .
$$

Partial derivatives of a function $u$ in $x, x^{\prime}, x_{3}$ and $t$ are denoted by $\partial_{x} u, \partial_{x^{\prime}} u$, $\partial_{x_{3}} u$ and $\partial_{t} u$. We also write higher order partial derivatives of $u$ in $x$ as $\partial_{x}^{k} u=$ $\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha} u ;|\alpha|=k\right)$.

We denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix by $I_{n}$. We define $4 \times 4$ diagonal matrices $Q_{0}$ and $\widetilde{Q}$ by

$$
Q_{0}=\operatorname{diag}(1,0,0,0), \quad \widetilde{Q}=\operatorname{diag}(0,1,1,1)
$$

It then follows that for $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w)$ with $w={ }^{T}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}\right)$,

$$
Q_{0} u=\binom{\phi}{0}, \quad \widetilde{Q} u=\binom{0}{w} .
$$

We denote the Fourier transform of $f=f\left(x_{3}\right)\left(x_{3} \in \mathbf{R}\right)$ by $f$ or $\mathcal{F}[f]$ :

$$
f(\xi)=\mathcal{F}[f](\xi)=\int_{\mathbf{R}} f\left(x_{3}\right) e^{-i \xi x_{3}} d x_{3}, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}
$$

The inverse Fourier transform is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f]\left(x_{3}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(\xi) e^{i \xi x_{3}} d \xi, \quad x_{3} \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

We denote the resolvent set of a closed operator $A$ by $\rho(A)$ and the spectrum by $\sigma(A)$.

We finally introduce a function space which consists of locally $H^{1}$ functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ whose tangential derivatives near $\partial D$ belong to $L^{2}(\Omega)$. To do so, we first introduce a local curvilinear coordinate system. For any $\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime} \in \partial D$, there exist a neighborhood $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{x}_{0}}$ of $\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}$ and a smooth diffeomorphism map $\Psi=\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right): \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ $B_{1}(0)=\left\{z^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):\left|z^{\prime}\right|<1\right\}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Psi\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}} \cap D\right)=\left\{z^{\prime} \in B_{1}(0): z_{1}>0\right\}, \\
\Psi\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}} \cap \partial D\right)=\left\{z^{\prime} \in B_{1}(0): z_{1}=0\right\}, \\
\operatorname{det} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \Psi \neq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}} \cap D .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the tubular neighborhood theorem, there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}}$ of $\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}$ and a local curvilinear coordinate system $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=y_{1} a_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)+\Psi^{-1}\left(0, y_{2}\right): \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}=\left\{y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right):\left|y_{1}\right| \leq \widetilde{\delta}_{1},\left|y_{2}\right| \leq \widetilde{\delta}_{2}\right\}$ for some $\widetilde{\delta}_{1}, \widetilde{\delta}_{2}>0 ; a_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)$ is the unit inward normal to $\partial D$ that is given by

$$
a_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=\frac{\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \Psi_{1}}{\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} \Psi_{1}\right|} .
$$

Setting $y_{3}=x_{3}$ we obtain

$$
\nabla_{x}=e_{1}\left(y_{2}\right) \partial_{y_{1}}+J\left(y^{\prime}\right) e_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \partial_{y_{2}}+e_{3} \partial_{y_{3}},
$$

$$
\nabla_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
{ }^{T} e_{1}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
\frac{1}{J\left(y^{\prime}\right)} T_{2} e_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
{ }_{T} e_{3}
\end{array}\right) \nabla_{x}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=\binom{a_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)}{0}, \quad e_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=\binom{a_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)}{0}, \quad e_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{2.2}\\
J\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left|\operatorname{det} \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \Psi\right|, \quad a_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=\frac{-\nabla_{x^{\prime}}^{\perp} \Psi_{1}}{\left|\nabla_{x^{\prime}}^{\perp} \Psi_{1}\right|}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\nabla_{x^{\prime}}^{\perp} \Psi_{1}={ }^{T}\left(-\partial_{x_{2}} \Psi_{1}, \partial_{x_{1}} \Psi_{1}\right)$. Note that $\partial_{y_{1}}$ and $\partial_{y_{2}}$ are the inward normal derivative and tangential derivative at $x^{\prime}=\Psi^{-1}\left(0, y_{2}\right) \in \partial D \cap \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}}$, respectively. Let us denote the normal and tangential derivatives by $\partial_{n}$ and $\partial$, i.e.,

$$
\partial_{n}=\partial_{y_{1}}, \quad \partial=\partial_{y_{2}} .
$$

Since $\partial D$ is compact, there are bounded open sets $\mathcal{O}_{m}(m=1, \ldots, N)$ such that $\partial D \subset \cup_{m=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}_{m}$ and for each $m=1, \ldots, N$, there exists a local curvilinear coordinate system $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ as defined in (2.1) with $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_{0}^{\prime}}, \Psi$ and $\mathcal{R}$ replaced by $\mathcal{O}_{m}, \Psi^{m}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{m}=\left\{y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right):\left|y_{1}\right|<\widetilde{\delta}_{1}^{m},\left|y_{2}\right|<\widetilde{\delta}_{2}^{m}\right\}$ for some $\widetilde{\delta}_{1}^{m}, \widetilde{\delta}_{2}^{m}>0$. At last, we take an open set $\mathcal{O}_{0} \subset D$ such that

$$
\cup_{m=0}^{N} \mathcal{O}_{m} \supset D, \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{0} \cap \partial D=\emptyset .
$$

We set a local coordinate $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ such that $y_{1}=x_{1}, y_{2}=x_{2}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{0}$. We note that if $h \in H^{2}(D)$, then $\left.h\right|_{\partial D}=0$ implies that $\left.\partial^{k} h\right|_{\partial D \cap \mathcal{O}^{m}}=0(k=0,1)$.

Let us introduce a partition of unity $\left\{\chi_{m}\right\}_{m=0}^{N}$ subordinate to $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{m}\right\}_{m=0}^{N}$, satisfying

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{N} \chi_{m}=1 \text { on } D, \quad \chi_{m} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{m}\right)(m=0,1,2 \cdots, N)
$$

We denote by $\widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ the set of all locally $H^{1}$ functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ whose tangential derivatives near $\partial \Omega$ belong to $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and its norm is denoted by $\|w\|_{\tilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)}$ :

$$
\|w\|_{\tilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)}=\|w\|_{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{3}} w\right\|_{2}+\left\|\chi_{0} \partial_{x^{\prime}} w\right\|_{2}+\sum_{m=1}^{N}\left\|\chi_{m} \partial w\right\|_{2} .
$$

Note that $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is dense in $\widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$.

### 2.2 Stationary solution

In this subsection we rewrite the problem into the one in a non-dimensional form and state the existence of stationary solution which represents parallel flow. Let $k_{0}$ be an integer satisfying $k_{0} \geq 3$. We introduce the following non-dimensional variables:

$$
x=\ell \widetilde{x}, \quad v=V \widetilde{v}, \quad \rho=\rho_{*} \widetilde{\rho}, \quad t=\frac{\ell}{V} \widetilde{t},
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=\rho_{*} V^{2} \widetilde{P}, \quad \Phi=\frac{V^{2}}{\ell} \widetilde{\Phi}, \quad g^{3}=\frac{V^{2}}{\ell} \widetilde{g}^{3} \\
V=\left|\bar{v}_{s}^{3}\right|_{C_{*}^{k_{0}}(D)}=\sum_{k=0}^{k_{0}} \sup _{x^{\prime} \in D} \ell^{k}\left|\partial_{x^{\prime}}^{k} \bar{v}_{s}^{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|, \quad \ell=\left(\int_{D} d x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The problem (1.1)-(1.4) is then transformed into the following non-dimensional problem on $\widetilde{\Omega}=\widetilde{D} \times \mathbf{R}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{\tilde{t}} \widetilde{\rho}+\operatorname{div}_{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{\rho})=0,  \tag{2.3}\\
\widetilde{\rho}\left(\partial_{\bar{t}} \widetilde{v}+\widetilde{v} \cdot \nabla_{\widetilde{x}} \widetilde{v}\right)-\nu \Delta_{\widetilde{x}} \widetilde{v}-\left(\nu+\nu^{\prime}\right) \nabla_{\widetilde{x}} \operatorname{div} \widetilde{x} \widetilde{v}+\widetilde{P}^{\prime}(\widetilde{\rho}) \nabla_{\widetilde{x}} \widetilde{\rho}=\widetilde{\rho} \widetilde{ },  \tag{2.4}\\
\left.\widetilde{v}\right|_{\partial \widetilde{D}}=0,  \tag{2.5}\\
\left.(\widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{v})\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}=\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{0}, \widetilde{v}_{0}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\widetilde{D}$ is a bounded and connected domain in $\mathbf{R}^{2} ; \widetilde{g}={ }^{T}\left(\partial_{\widetilde{x}_{1}} \widetilde{\Phi}, \partial_{\widetilde{x}_{2}} \widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{g}^{3}\right)$; and $\nu$ and $\nu^{\prime}$ are non-dimensional parameters:

$$
\nu=\frac{\mu}{\rho_{*} \ell V}, \quad \nu^{\prime}=\frac{\mu^{\prime}}{\rho_{*} \ell V}
$$

We also introduce a parameter $\gamma$ :

$$
\gamma=\sqrt{\widetilde{P}^{\prime}(1)}=\frac{\sqrt{p^{\prime}\left(\rho_{*}\right)}}{V}
$$

Note that the Reynolds and Mach numbers are given by $1 / \nu$ and $1 / \gamma$, respectively.
In what follows, for simplicity, we omit tildes of $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{t}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{P}, \widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{D}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and write them as $x, t, v, \rho, g, P, \Phi, D$ and $\Omega$. Observe that, due to the nondimensionalization, we have

$$
|D|=\int_{D} d x^{\prime}=1
$$

and thus,

$$
\langle f\rangle=\int_{D} f\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}
$$

Let us state the existence of a stationary solution which represents parallel flow.
Proposition 2.1. If $\Phi \in C^{k_{0}}(\bar{D}), g^{3} \in H^{k_{0}}(D)$ and $|\Phi|_{C^{k_{0}}}$ is sufficiently small, then (2.3)-(2.5) has a stationary solution $u_{s}={ }^{T}\left(\rho_{s}, v_{s}\right) \in C^{k_{0}}(\bar{D})$. Here $\rho_{s}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Const. }-\Phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{1}^{\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \frac{P^{\prime}(\eta)}{\eta} d \eta \\
\int_{D} \rho_{s} d x^{\prime}=1, \rho_{1}<\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\rho_{2} \quad\left(\rho_{1}<1<\rho_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some constants $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}>0$; and $v_{s}$ is a function of the form $v_{s}={ }^{T}\left(0,0, v_{s}^{3}\right)$ with $v_{s}^{3}=v_{s}^{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ being the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}=\rho_{s} g^{3} \\
\left.v_{s}^{3}\right|_{\partial D}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, $u_{s}={ }^{T}\left(\rho_{s}, v_{s}\right)$ satisfies the estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-1\right|_{C^{k}} & \leq C|\Phi|_{C^{k}}\left(1+|\Phi|_{C^{k}}\right)^{k} \\
\left|v_{s}^{3}\right|_{C^{k}} \leq C\left|v_{s}^{3}\right|_{H^{k+2}} & \leq C|\Phi|_{C^{k}}\left(1+|\Phi|_{C^{k}}\right)^{k}\left|g^{3}\right|_{H^{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k=3,4, \cdots, k_{0}$.

Proposition 2.1 can be proved in a similar manner to the proof of [18, Lemma 2.1].

## 3 Main results

We set $\rho=\rho_{s}+\gamma^{-2} \phi$ and $v=v_{s}+w$ in (2.3)-(2.6) (without tildes) and omit the nonlinear terms of $\phi$ and $w$. We then arrive at the linearized problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+L u=0, u=^{T}(\phi, w),\left.w\right|_{\partial D}=0,\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{s} \cdot \nabla & \gamma^{2} \operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{s} \cdot\right) \\
\nabla\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s} s\right.}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \cdot\right)+\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} & -\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta I_{3}-\frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla \operatorname{div}+v_{s} \cdot \nabla+e_{3} \otimes\left(\nabla v_{s}^{3}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here, $e_{3}={ }^{T}(0,0,1)$; and, for $\mathbf{a}={ }^{T}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{b}={ }^{T}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right), \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}$ is the $3 \times 3$ matrix $\left(a_{i} b_{j}\right)$.

We consider $L$ as an operator on $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with domain

$$
D(L)=\left\{u={ }^{T}(\phi, w) \in L^{2}(\Omega) ; w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), L u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} .
$$

As was shown in [1] (see also [7]), $-L$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $e^{-t L}$ on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, if $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-t L} u_{0} & \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left((0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \\
\nabla \widetilde{Q} e^{-t L} u_{0} & \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $T>0$. The regularity property (3.2) of $e^{-t L}$ can be proved as follows. It is not difficult to see that if $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then $e^{-t L} u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-t L} u_{0} & \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \\
\nabla \widetilde{Q} e^{-t L} u_{0} & \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is dense in $\widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, one can see from (3.3), Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6 below that $e^{-t L} u_{0}$ satisfies (3.2) if $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$.

In what follows we set

$$
\omega=\left\|\rho_{s}-1\right\|_{C^{k_{0}}} .
$$

We have the following estimates for $e^{-t L} u_{0}$.

Theorem 3.1. There exist positive constants $\nu_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$, $\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2 \nu+\nu^{\prime}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}$ and $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then $e^{-t L} u_{0}$ is decomposed as

$$
e^{-t L} u_{0}=e^{-t L} P_{0} u_{0}+e^{-t L} P_{\infty} u_{0}
$$

Here $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$ are projections satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{0}+P_{\infty}=I, \quad P^{2}=P, \\
P L \subset L P, \quad P e^{-t L}=e^{-t L} P
\end{gathered}
$$

for $P \in\left\{P_{0}, P_{\infty}\right\}$; and $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ and $e^{-t L} P_{\infty}$ have the following properties.
(i) If $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)$, then $e^{-t L} P_{0} u_{0}$ satisfies the following estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}}^{k} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l} e^{-t L} P_{0} u_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{k, l}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t \geq 0$ and for $k=0,1, \cdots, k_{0}$ and $l=0,1, \cdots$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t L} P_{0} u_{0}-\left[\mathcal{H}(t)\left\langle\phi_{0}\right\rangle\right] u^{(0)}\right\|_{2} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t>0$. Here

$$
\mathcal{H}(t)\left\langle\phi_{0}\right\rangle=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t}\left\langle\phi_{0}\right\rangle\right],
$$

where $u^{(0)}=u^{(0)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is the function given in Lemma 4.1 below; and $\kappa_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\kappa_{1}>0$ are some constants satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{0}=\mathcal{O}(1), \\
\kappa_{1}=C \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu}\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}\right) \times \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{2 \nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant.
(ii) If $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, then there exists a constant $d>0$ such that $e^{-t L} P_{\infty} u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t L} P_{\infty} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C e^{-d t}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t>0$.
Remark 3.2. It is well-known that if $u_{0}={ }^{T}\left(\phi_{0}, w_{0}\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then $\left\|\mathcal{H}(t)\left\langle\phi_{0}\right\rangle\right\|_{2}=$ $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$, and $\sigma=\sigma\left(x_{3}, t\right)=\mathcal{H}(t)\left\langle\phi_{0}\right\rangle$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \sigma-\kappa_{1} \partial_{x_{3}}^{2} \sigma+\kappa_{0} \partial_{x_{3}} \sigma=0, \\
\left.\sigma\right|_{t=0}=\int_{D} \phi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) d x^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

More detailed properties of $P_{0}$ and $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ will be given in Section 5 below, where we will establish a factorization of $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ which will be useful in the nonlinear analysis.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we consider the Fourier transform of (3.1) in $x_{3}$ variable which is written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} \phi+i \xi v_{s}^{3} \phi+\gamma^{2} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\rho_{s} w^{\prime}\right)+\gamma^{2} i \xi \rho_{s} w^{3}=0,  \tag{3.7}\\
\partial_{t} w^{\prime}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}}\left(\Delta^{\prime}-\xi^{2}\right) w^{\prime}-\frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime}\left(\nabla^{\prime} \cdot w^{\prime}+i \xi w^{3}\right)+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \phi\right)+i \xi v_{s}^{3} w^{\prime}=0,  \tag{3.8}\\
\partial_{t} w^{3}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}}\left(\Delta^{\prime}-\xi^{2}\right) w^{3}-\frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}} i \xi\left(\nabla^{\prime} \cdot w^{\prime}+i \xi w^{3}\right)+i \xi\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \phi\right)+i \xi v_{s}^{3} w^{3} \\
+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3} \phi+w^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}=0,  \tag{3.9}\\
\left.w\right|_{\partial D}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $t>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{T}(\phi, w)\right|_{t=0}={ }^{T}\left(\phi_{0}, w_{0}\right)=u_{0} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we arrive at the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t}+L_{\xi} u=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ is a parameter. Here $u={ }^{T}\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}, t\right), w\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right) \in D\left(L_{\xi}\right) \quad\left(x^{\prime} \in D, t>0\right)$, $u_{0}$ is a given initial value, and $L_{\xi}$ is the operator on $L^{2}(D)$ of the form

$$
L_{\xi}=A_{\xi}+B_{\xi}+C_{0},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}}\left(\Delta^{\prime}-|\xi|^{2}\right) I_{2}-\frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} \nabla^{\prime} . & -i \frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}} \xi \nabla^{\prime} \\
0 & -i \frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}} \xi \nabla^{\prime} . & -\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}}\left(\Delta^{\prime}-|\xi|^{2}\right)+\frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{\rho_{s}}|\xi|^{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
B_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i \xi v_{s}^{3} & \gamma^{2} \nabla^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s} \cdot\right) & i \gamma^{2} \rho_{s} \xi \\
\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \cdot\right) & i \xi v_{s}^{3} I_{2} & 0 \\
i \xi \frac{P\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} & 0 & i \xi v_{s}^{3}
\end{array}\right), C_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3} & T^{T}\left(\nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with domain

$$
D\left(L_{\xi}\right)=\left\{u={ }^{T}(\phi, w) \in L^{2}(D) ; w \in H_{0}^{1}(D), L_{\xi} u \in L^{2}(D)\right\} .
$$

Note that $D\left(L_{\xi}\right)=D\left(L_{0}\right)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$. Here and in what follows, we denote

$$
\Delta^{\prime}=\partial_{x_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{x_{2}}^{2}, \quad \nabla^{\prime}={ }^{T}\left(\partial_{x_{1}}, \partial_{x_{2}}\right)
$$

As in the case of $L$, we can see that $-L_{\xi}$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup on $L^{2}(D)$. Furthermore, if $u_{0} \in H^{1}(D) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(D)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-t L_{\xi}} u_{0} & \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(D) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(D)\right) \cap C\left((0, T] ; H^{1}(D) \times H_{0}^{1}(D)\right),  \tag{3.13}\\
\partial_{x^{\prime}} \widetilde{Q} e^{-t L L_{\xi}} u_{0} & \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \widetilde{H}^{1}(D)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $T>0$.
In Section 4 we will investigate the spectrum of $-L_{\xi}$ for $|\xi| \ll 1$. In Section 5 we will give the proof of Theorem 3.1 (i). In Section 6 we will prove Theorem 3.1 (ii).

## 4 Spectrum of $-L_{\xi}$ for $|\xi| \ll 1$

In this section, we consider the spectrum of $-L_{\xi}$ for $|\xi| \ll 1$. For simplicity, in what follows, we denote $\nu+\nu^{\prime}$ by $\widetilde{\nu}$, i.e.,

$$
\widetilde{\nu}=\nu+\nu^{\prime}
$$

Let us consider the resolvent problem

$$
\left(\lambda+L_{\xi}\right) u=f
$$

with $|\xi| \ll 1$, where $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w) \in D\left(L_{\xi}\right)=D\left(L_{0}\right)$ and $f={ }^{T}\left(f^{0}, g\right) \in L^{2}(D)$.
We introduce the adjoint operator $L_{\xi}^{*}$ of $L_{\xi}$ with respect to the weighted inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. The operator $L_{\xi}^{*}$ is given by

$$
L_{\xi}^{*}=A_{\xi}^{*}+B_{\xi}^{*}+C_{0}^{*}
$$

with domain of definition

$$
D\left(L_{\xi}^{*}\right)=\left\{u={ }^{T}(\phi, w) \in L^{2}(D) ; w \in H_{0}^{1}(D), L_{\xi}^{*} u \in L^{2}(D)\right\} .
$$

Here

$$
A_{\xi}^{*}=A_{\xi}, \quad B_{\xi}^{*}=-B_{\xi}
$$

and

$$
C_{0}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{\gamma^{2} \nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right.} \\
0 & 0 & \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $D\left(L_{\xi}\right)=D\left(L_{\xi}^{*}\right)$ for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$.
We begin with a lemma on the zero eigenvalue of $L_{0}$ and $L_{0}^{*}$ which was proved in [1, Lemma 4.1]. Here $L_{0}$ and $L_{0}^{*}$ stand for $L_{\xi}$ and $L_{\xi}^{*}$ with $\xi=0$, respectively.

Lemma 4.1. ([1, Lemma 4.1]) (i) There exists a constant $\omega_{0}>0$ such that if $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then $\lambda=0$ is a simple eigenvalue of $L_{0}$ and $L_{0}^{*}$.
(ii) The eigenspaces for $\lambda=0$ of $L_{0}$ and $L_{0}^{*}$ are spanned by $u^{(0)}$ and $u^{(0) *}$, respectively, where

$$
u^{(0)}={ }^{T}\left(\phi^{(0)}, w^{(0)}\right), \quad w^{(0)}={ }^{T}\left(0,0, w^{(0), 3}\right)
$$

and

$$
u^{(0) *}={ }^{T}\left(\phi^{(0) *}, 0\right)
$$

Here

$$
\phi^{(0)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\alpha_{0} \frac{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)}, \quad \alpha_{0}=\left(\int_{D} \frac{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)} d x^{\prime}\right)^{-1} ;
$$

and $w^{(0), 3}$ is the solution of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta^{\prime} w^{(0), 3}=-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3} \phi^{(0)} \\
\left.w^{(0), 3}\right|_{\partial D}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\phi^{(0) *}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\alpha_{0}} \phi^{(0)}\left(x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, $\phi^{(0)}=O(1), \alpha_{0}=O(1)$ and $w^{(0), 3}=O\left(\gamma^{-2}\right)$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.
(iii) The eigenprojections $\Pi^{(0)}$ and $\Pi^{(0) *}$ for $\lambda=0$ of $L_{0}$ and $L_{0}^{*}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi^{(0)} u=\left\langle u, u^{(0) *}\right\rangle u^{(0)}=\left\langle Q_{0} u\right\rangle u^{(0)}, \\
\Pi^{(0) *} u=\left\langle u, u^{(0)}\right\rangle u^{(0) *}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w)$, respectively.
(iv) Let $u^{(0)}$ be written as $u^{(0)}=u_{0}^{(0)}+u_{1}^{(0)}$, where

$$
u_{0}^{(0)}={ }^{T}\left(\phi^{(0)}, 0\right), \quad u_{1}^{(0)}={ }^{T}\left(0, w^{(0)}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
u^{(0) *}=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\alpha_{0}} u_{0}^{(0)}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle u, u^{(0)}\right\rangle=\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\gamma^{2}}\langle\phi\rangle+\left(w^{3}, w^{(0), 3} \rho_{s}\right)
$$

for $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w)={ }^{T}\left(\phi, w^{\prime}, w^{3}\right)$.
We next establish the resolvent estimate for $|\xi| \ll 1$. To do so, let us consider the resolvent problem for $\xi=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right) u=f \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w) \in D\left(L_{0}\right)$ and $f=^{T}\left(f^{0}, g\right) \in L^{2}(D)$. Decomposing $u$ in (4.1) as

$$
u=\langle\phi\rangle u^{(0)}+u_{1}
$$

with

$$
u_{1}=\left(I-\Pi^{(0)}\right) u,
$$

we obtain

$$
\lambda\left(\langle\phi\rangle u^{(0)}+u_{1}\right)+L_{0} u_{1}=f .
$$

Applying $\Pi^{(0)}$ and $I-\Pi^{(0)}$ to this equation, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda\langle\phi\rangle=\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle,  \tag{4.2}\\
\lambda u_{1}+L_{0} u_{1}=f_{1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{1}=\left(I-\Pi^{(0)}\right) f$. We see from the first equation of (4.2) that if $\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$
\langle\phi\rangle=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle .
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle\phi\rangle| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|}\left|f^{0}\right|_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the $u_{1}$-part has the following properties. The second equation of (4.2) is written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda \phi_{1}+\gamma^{2} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\rho_{s} w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=f_{1}^{0},  \tag{4.4}\\
\lambda w_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot w_{1}^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \phi_{1}\right)=g_{1}^{\prime}, \\
\lambda w_{1}^{3}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} w_{1}^{3}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3} \phi_{1}+w_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}=g_{1}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u_{1}={ }^{T}\left(\phi_{1}, w_{1}\right)={ }^{T}\left(\phi_{1}, w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{3}\right)$ and $f_{1}={ }^{T}\left(f_{1}^{0}, g_{1}\right)={ }^{T}\left(f_{1}^{0}, g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{1}^{3}\right)$.
To state the estimates for the $u_{1}$-part, we introduce a quantity $\widetilde{D}_{0}\left[w_{1}\right]$ defined by

$$
\widetilde{D}_{0}\left[w_{1}\right]=\left|\nabla^{\prime} w_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|\nabla^{\prime} \cdot w_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}
$$

for $w_{1}={ }^{T}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{3}\right)$.
Proposition 4.2. There exist constants $\nu_{0}>0, \gamma_{0}>0$ and $\omega_{0}>0$ and an energy functional $E_{0}\left[u_{1}\right]$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}, \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}$ and $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then there holds the estimate

$$
(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) E_{0}\left[u_{1}\right]+c\left(\left|\phi_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}+\widetilde{D}_{0}\left[w_{1}\right]\right) \leq C\left|f_{1}\right|_{2}\left|u_{1}\right|_{2},
$$

where $c$ and $C$ are positive constants independent of $u_{1}$ and $\lambda$; and $E_{0}\left[u_{1}\right]$ is equivalent to $\left|u_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}$.

Proposition 4.2 can be proved in a similar manner to the proof of [1, Proposition 4.7] by replacing $\frac{d}{d t}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda$ and taking $\xi=0$ there.

The Poincaré inequality yields $\widetilde{D}_{0}\left[w_{1}\right] \geq C\left|w_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}$ with a positive constant $C$. Therefore, the resolvent estimates for $-L_{0}$ now follow from (4.3) and Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. There exist constants $\nu_{0}>0, \gamma_{0}>0$ and $\omega_{0}>0$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}, \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}$ and $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then there is a positive constant $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\Sigma_{0} \equiv\left\{\lambda \neq 0: \operatorname{Re} \lambda>-c_{0}\right\} \subset \rho\left(-L_{0}\right)
$$

Furthermore, the following estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f\right|_{2} \leq C\left\{\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\left|f^{0}\right|_{2}+\frac{1}{\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+c_{0}\right)}\left|f_{1}\right|_{2}\right\}, \\
\left|\partial_{x^{\prime}}\left\{\widetilde{Q}\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f\right\}\right|_{2} \leq C\left\{\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\left|f^{0}\right|_{2}+\frac{1}{\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+c_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left|f_{1}\right|_{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

hold uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$. The same assertions also hold for $-L_{0}^{*}$.
Based on Proposition 4.3, we have the resolvent estimates for $-L_{\xi}$ with $|\xi| \ll 1$.
Theorem 4.4. There exist constants $\nu_{0}>0, \gamma_{0}>0$ and $\omega_{0}>0$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$, $\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}$ and $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then the following assertions hold. For any $\eta$ satisfying $0<\eta \leq \frac{c_{0}}{2}$ there is a number $r_{0}=r_{0}(\eta)$ such that

$$
\Sigma_{1} \equiv\left\{\lambda \neq 0:|\lambda| \geq \eta, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\right\} \subset \rho\left(-L_{\xi}\right)
$$

for $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$. Furthermore, the following estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left(\lambda+L_{\xi}\right)^{-1} f\right|_{2} \leq C|f|_{2} \\
\left|\partial_{x^{\prime}}\left\{\widetilde{Q}\left(\lambda+L_{\xi}\right)^{-1} f\right\}\right|_{2} \leq C|f|_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

hold uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{1}$ and $\xi$ with $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$. The same assertions also hold for $-L_{\xi}^{*}$.

Proof. Let us decompose $L_{\xi}$ as

$$
L_{\xi}=L_{0}+\xi L^{(1)}+\xi^{2} L^{(2)}
$$

where

$$
L^{(1)}=i\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{s}^{3} & 0 & \gamma^{2} \rho_{s} \\
0 & v_{s}^{3} I_{2} & -\frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} \\
\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} & -\frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} . & v_{s}^{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad L^{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} I_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $u={ }^{T}(\phi, w) \in L^{2}(D) \times H_{0}^{1}(D)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L^{(1)} u\right|_{2} \leq C|u|_{L^{2} \times H^{1}}, \quad\left|L^{(2)} u\right|_{2} \leq C|u|_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we see from Proposition 4.3 that for any $0<\eta \leq \frac{c_{0}}{2}$ there exists $r_{0}>0$ such that if $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\xi L^{(1)}+\xi^{2} L^{(2)}\right)\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f\right|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}|f|_{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\Sigma_{1} \equiv\left\{\lambda:|\lambda|>\eta, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\right\} \subset \rho\left(-L_{\xi}\right)
$$

and that, if $\lambda \in \Sigma_{1}$, then $\left(\lambda+L_{\xi}\right)^{-1}$ is given by the Neumann series expansion

$$
\left(\lambda+L_{\xi}\right)^{-1}=\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1}+\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{N}\left[\left(\xi L^{(1)}+\xi^{2} L^{(2)}\right)\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1}\right]
$$

for $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$, and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\lambda+L_{\xi}\right)^{-1} f\right|_{2} \leq C|f|_{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{1}$ and $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$. We thus obtain the desired estimates. This completes the proof.

As for the spectrum of $-L_{\xi}$ near $\lambda=0$, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.5. There exist positive constants $\nu_{0}, \gamma_{0}, \omega_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$, $\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}$ and $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then it holds that

$$
\sigma\left(-L_{\xi}\right) \cap\left\{\lambda:|\lambda| \leq \frac{c_{0}}{2}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{0}(\xi)\right\}
$$

for $\xi$ with $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$, where $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $-L_{\xi}$ that has the form

$$
\lambda_{0}(\xi)=-i \kappa_{0} \xi-\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{3}\right)
$$

as $\xi \rightarrow 0$. Here $\kappa_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\kappa_{1}>0$ are the numbers given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{0}=\left\langle v_{s}^{3} \phi^{(0)}+\gamma^{2} \rho_{s} w^{(0), 3}\right\rangle=\mathcal{O}(1), \\
\kappa_{1}=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu}\left\{\alpha_{0}\left|\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{s}\right|_{2}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}\right) \times \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $-\Delta^{\prime}$ denotes the Laplace operator on $L^{2}(D)$ under the zero Dirichlet boundary condition with domain

$$
D\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)=H^{2}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)
$$

Proof. For $u \in L^{2}(D) \times H_{0}^{1}(D)$ we see from Theorem 4.4 and (4.5) that

$$
\left|L^{(1)} u\right|_{2} \leq C\left(\left|L_{0} u\right|_{2}+|u|_{2}\right), \quad\left|L^{(2)} u\right|_{2} \leq C|u|_{2} .
$$

Therefore, since 0 is a simple eigenvalue of $-L_{0}$, we see from the analytic perturbation theory that there exists a positive constant $r_{0}$ such that

$$
\sigma\left(-L_{\xi}\right) \cap\left\{\lambda:|\lambda| \leq \frac{c_{0}}{2}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{0}(\xi)\right\}
$$

for all $\xi$ with $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$. Here $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $-L_{\xi}$. Furthermore, $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ and the eigenprojection $\Pi(\xi)$ for $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ are expanded as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{0}(\xi)=\lambda^{(0)}+\xi \lambda^{(1)}+\xi^{2} \lambda^{(2)}+\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{3}\right) \\
\Pi(\xi)=\Pi^{(0)}+\xi \Pi^{(1)}+\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{2}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{(0)}=0 \\
& \lambda^{(1)}=-\left\langle L^{(1)} u^{(0)}, u^{(0) *}\right\rangle \\
& \lambda^{(2)}=-\left\langle L^{(2)} u^{(0)}, u^{(0) *}\right\rangle+\left\langle L^{(1)} S L^{(1)} u^{(0)}, u^{(0) *}\right\rangle, \\
& \Pi^{(1)}=-\Pi^{(0)} L^{(1)} S-S L^{(1)} \Pi^{(0)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S=\left\{\left(I-\Pi^{(0)}\right) L_{0}\left(I-\Pi^{(0)}\right)\right\}^{-1}
$$

We first consider $\lambda^{(1)}$. Since

$$
L^{(1)} u^{(0)}=i\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{s}^{3} \phi^{(0)}+\gamma^{2} \rho_{s} w^{(0), 3} \\
-\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} w^{(0), 3} \\
\alpha_{0}+v_{s}^{3} w^{(0), 3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

we obtain

$$
\lambda^{(1)}=-\left\langle L^{(1)} u^{(0)}, u^{(0) *}\right\rangle=-\left\langle Q_{0} L^{(1)} u^{(0)}\right\rangle=-i\left\langle v_{s}^{3} \phi^{(0)}+\gamma^{2} \rho_{s} w^{(0), 3}\right\rangle=i \mathcal{O}(1)
$$

as $\gamma^{2} \rightarrow \infty$.
We next consider $\lambda^{(2)}$. Since $Q_{0} L^{(2)} u^{(0)}=0$, we have

$$
\left\langle L^{(2)} u^{(0)}, u^{(0) *}\right\rangle=\left\langle Q_{0} L^{(2)} u^{(0)}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

It then follows that

$$
\lambda^{(2)}=\left\langle L^{(1)} S L^{(1)} u^{(0)}, u^{(0) *}\right\rangle=\left\langle Q_{0} L^{(1)} S L^{(1)} u^{(0)}\right\rangle .
$$

We define $\widetilde{u}$ by

$$
\widetilde{u}=S L^{(1)} u^{(0)},
$$

which satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L_{0} \widetilde{u}=\left(I-\Pi^{(0)}\right) L^{(1)} u^{(0)}=L^{(1)} u^{(0)}+\lambda^{(1)} u^{(0)},  \tag{4.9}\\
\left.\widetilde{w}\right|_{{ }_{D D}=0}, \\
\langle\widetilde{\phi}\rangle=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $\widetilde{u}={ }^{T}(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}) \in i \mathbf{R}^{4}$ and $\lambda^{(1)} \in i \mathbf{R}$. We rewrite $\lambda^{(2)}$ as

$$
\lambda^{(2)}=\left\langle Q_{0} L^{(1)} \widetilde{u}\right\rangle=\left\langle i v_{s}^{3} \widetilde{\phi}+i \gamma^{2} \rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{3}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\widetilde{u}={ }^{T}(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w})={ }^{T}\left(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}, \widetilde{w}^{3}\right)$. To show the strict negativity of $\lambda^{(2)}$, we estimate $\widetilde{u}$. The problem (4.9) is written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma^{2} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right)=i \xi v_{s}^{3} \phi^{(0)}+i \gamma^{2} \rho_{s} w^{(0), 3}+\lambda^{(1)} \phi^{(0)}, \\
-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}-\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot \widetilde{w}^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s} s\right.}{\gamma^{\prime}} \widetilde{\phi}\right)=-i \frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} w^{(0), 3}, \\
-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{3}+\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{s}} \widetilde{\phi}+\widetilde{w}^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}=i \frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \phi^{(0)}+i v_{s}^{3} w^{(0), 3}+\lambda^{(1)} w^{(0), 3}, \\
\left.\widetilde{w}\right|_{\partial D}=0, \\
\langle\widetilde{\phi}\rangle=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

i.e., $\widetilde{u}={ }^{T}(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w})={ }^{T}\left(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}, \widetilde{w}^{3}\right)$ is a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla^{\prime} \cdot \widetilde{w}^{\prime}=F^{0}\left[\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right],  \tag{4.10}\\
-\nu \Delta^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{\phi}=G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right], \\
\left.\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{\partial D}=0, \\
\langle\widetilde{\phi}\rangle=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\nu \Delta^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{3}=G^{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right],  \tag{4.11}\\
\left.\widetilde{w}^{3}\right|_{\partial D}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $F^{0}\left[\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right], G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]$ and $G^{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{0}\left[\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left\{i v_{s}^{3} \phi^{(0)}+i \gamma^{2} \rho_{s} w^{(0), 3}+\lambda^{(1)} \phi^{(0)}\right\}-\nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\left(1-\rho_{s}\right) \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right), \\
& G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]=-i \widetilde{\nu} \nabla^{\prime} w^{(0), 3}+\widetilde{\nu} \nabla^{\prime} F^{0}\left[\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\left(1-\rho_{s}\right) \widetilde{\phi}\right) \\
& +\left(\nabla^{\prime} \rho_{s}\right) \widetilde{\phi}+\rho_{s} \nabla^{\prime}\left\{\left(1-\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}}\right)\right\} \widetilde{\phi}, \\
& G^{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]=\rho_{s}\left\{i \frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \phi^{(0)}+i v_{s}^{3} w^{(0), 3}+\lambda^{(1)} w^{(0), 3}\right\}-\rho_{s}\left\{\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} \widetilde{\phi}+\widetilde{w}^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As for the problem (4.10), since $\lambda^{(1)}=-i\left\langle v_{s}^{3} \phi^{(0)}+\gamma^{2} \rho_{s} w^{(0), 3}\right\rangle$, it holds that $\left\langle F^{0}\left[\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle=0$. Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F^{0}\left[\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]\right|_{2} & \leq C\left\{\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\left|\lambda^{(1)}\right|\left|\phi^{(0)}\right|_{2}+\left|\phi^{(0)}\right|_{2}+\gamma^{2}\left|w^{(0), 3}\right|_{2}\right)+\omega\left|\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}\right\} \\
& \leq C \omega\left|\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right), \\
\left|G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]\right|_{H^{-1}} & \leq C\left\{\widetilde{\nu}\left|\nabla^{\prime} w^{(0), 3}\right|_{H^{-1}}+\widetilde{\nu}\left|\nabla^{\prime} F^{0}\left[\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]\right|_{H^{-1}}+\left|\nabla^{\prime}\left(\left(1-\rho_{s}\right) \widetilde{\phi}\right)\right|_{H^{-1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\nabla^{\prime} \rho_{s} \widetilde{\phi}\right|_{H^{-1}}+\left|\rho_{s}\left(\left(1-\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}}\right) \widetilde{\phi}\right)\right|_{H^{-1}}\right\} \\
& \leq C \omega\left\{|\widetilde{\phi}|_{2}+\widetilde{\nu}\left|\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{X} \equiv\left\{\left(p, v^{\prime}\right) \in L^{2}(D) \times H_{0}^{1}(D):\langle p\rangle=0\right\}$ and it is a solution of the Stokes system (4.10), we see from estimate for the Stokes system (see, e.g., [20]) that there holds the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{\phi}|_{2}^{2}+\nu^{2}\left|\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2} & \leq \nu^{2}\left\{C \omega^{2}\left|\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{4}}\right)\right\}+\left\{C \omega^{2}\left(|\widetilde{\phi}|_{2}^{2}+\widetilde{\nu}^{2}\left|\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tilde{\nu}^{2}}{\gamma^{4}}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq C_{1} \omega^{2}\left\{|\widetilde{\phi}|_{2}^{2}+(\nu+\widetilde{\nu})^{2}\left|\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(\nu+\widetilde{\nu})^{2}}{\gamma^{4}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if $\omega$ is so small that $\omega^{2}<\frac{1}{2 C_{1}} \min \left\{1,\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}\right)^{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{\phi}|_{2}^{2}+\nu^{2}\left|\nabla^{\prime} \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(\nu+\widetilde{\nu})^{2}}{\gamma^{4}}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the problem (4.11), since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|G^{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]\right|_{2} & \leq C\left\{\left|\lambda^{(1)}\right|\left|w^{(0), 3}\right|_{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left|\phi^{(0)}\right|_{2}+\left|w^{(0), 3}\right|_{2}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}|\widetilde{\phi}|_{2}+\left|\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}\right\} \\
& \leq C\left\{\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}|\widetilde{\phi}|_{2}+\left|\widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right|_{2}\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $G^{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right] \in L^{2}(D)$. It then follows that

$$
\widetilde{w}^{3}=\frac{1}{\nu}\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1} G^{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Since $\phi^{(0)}=\alpha_{0} \frac{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}}{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}$ (see Lemma 4.1 (ii)), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{3}\right\rangle= & \frac{1}{\nu}\left\langle\rho_{s}\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1} G^{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{\nu}\left\langle\rho_{s}\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(i \alpha_{0} \rho_{s}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\nu}\left\langle\rho_{s}\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left\{i \rho_{s} v_{s}^{3} w^{(0), 3}+\rho_{s} \lambda^{(1)} w^{(0), 3}-\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \widetilde{\phi}-\rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}\right\}\right\rangle \\
= & i \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\nu}\left|\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{s}\right|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\nu}\left\langle\rho_{s}\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left\{i \rho_{s} v_{s}^{3} w^{(0), 3}+\rho_{s} \lambda^{(1)} w^{(0), 3}-\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \widetilde{\phi}-\rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}\right\}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, since $\widetilde{u}={ }^{T}\left(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right) \in i \mathbf{R}^{4}$ and $\lambda^{(1)} \in i \mathbf{R}$, we see from (4.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\rho_{s}\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left\{i \rho_{s} v_{s}^{3} w^{(0), 3}+\rho_{s} \lambda^{(1)} w^{(0), 3}-\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \widetilde{\phi}-\rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}\right\}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=i \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)+i\left(\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}\right) \times \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\left\langle\rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{3}\right\rangle=i \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\nu}\left|\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{s}\right|_{2}^{2}+i \frac{1}{\nu}\left\{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}\right) \times \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

By (4.12) we also have

$$
\left\langle v_{s}^{3} \widetilde{\phi}\right\rangle=i \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}\right) .
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{(2)} & =\left\langle i v_{s}^{3} \widetilde{\phi}+i \gamma^{2} \rho_{s} \widetilde{w}^{3}\right\rangle \\
& =i \gamma^{2}\left[i \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\nu}\left|\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{s}\right|_{2}^{2}+i \frac{1}{\nu}\left\{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}\right) \times \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\widetilde{2}}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)\right\}\right]+i \cdot i \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\widetilde{2}}}{\gamma^{2}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu}\left[\alpha_{0}\left|\left(-\Delta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{s}\right|_{2}^{2}+\left\{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}\right) \times \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\sim}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently small $\frac{1}{\nu}$ and $\frac{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}$. We thus obtain the desired estimates. This completes the proof.

We next establish some estimates related to $\Pi(\xi)$ in $H^{k}(D)$. We first consider estimates for higher order derivatives of $\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f$.

Proposition 4.6. For any $f={ }^{T}\left(f^{0}, g\right) \in H^{k}(D) \times H^{k-1}(D)$. There exist positive constants $\nu_{0}, \gamma_{0}, \omega_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}, \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}, \omega \leq \omega_{0}$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{2} \equiv\{\lambda \neq$ $\left.0:|\lambda| \leq c_{1}\right\}$, then $\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f \in H^{k}(D) \times\left(H^{k+1}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)\right)$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, k_{0}$. Furthermore, the following estimate holds:

$$
\left|\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f\right|_{H^{k} \times H^{k+1}} \leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)|f|_{H^{k} \times H^{k-1}},
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\lambda \in \Sigma_{2}$. The same assertions also hold for $-L_{0}^{*}$.

Proof. For a given $f={ }^{T}\left(f^{0}, g\right) \in H^{k}(D) \times H^{k-1}(D)$, we consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\lambda+\mathcal{L}_{0}\right) U=f  \tag{4.13}\\
\left.W\right|_{\partial D}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $U={ }^{T}(\Phi, W)$. Here $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ is differential operator given by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0} U=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\gamma^{2} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\rho_{s} W^{\prime}\right) \\
-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} W^{\prime}-\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot W^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \Phi\right) \\
-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} W^{3}+\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{s}} \Phi+W^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $U={ }^{T}(\Phi, W)$. To solve the problem (4.13), we decompose $\Phi$ and $f^{0}$ as

$$
\Phi=\Phi_{1}+\sigma, \quad f^{0}=f_{1}^{0}+\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle
$$

where $\sigma=\langle\Phi\rangle, \Phi_{1}=\Phi-\sigma$ and $f_{1}^{0}=f^{0}-\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle$. Note that

$$
\left\langle\Phi_{1}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle f_{1}^{0}\right\rangle=0
$$

Then (4.13) is equivalent to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda \sigma=\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle,  \tag{4.14}\\
\lambda \Phi_{1}+\gamma^{2} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\rho_{s} W^{\prime}\right)=f_{1}^{0},  \tag{4.15}\\
\lambda W^{\prime}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} W^{\prime}-\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot W^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}}\left(\sigma+\Phi_{1}\right)\right)=g^{\prime},  \tag{4.16}\\
\lambda W^{3}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} W^{3}+\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}}\left(\sigma+\Phi_{1}\right)-W^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}=g^{3} \tag{4.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\left.W\right|_{\partial D}=0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then we find from (4.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\sigma=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle$ into (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda \Phi_{1}+\gamma^{2} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\rho_{s} W^{\prime}\right)=f_{1}^{0},  \tag{4.19}\\
\lambda W^{\prime}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} W^{\prime}-\frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla^{\prime} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot W^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \Phi_{1}\right)=g^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle \nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}}\right), \\
\lambda W^{3}-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta^{\prime} W^{3}+\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} \Phi_{1}-W^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}=g^{3}-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle \frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\left.W\right|_{\partial D}=0$. Let us write the problem (4.19) as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla^{\prime} \cdot W^{\prime}=F^{0}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]  \tag{4.20}\\
-\nu \Delta^{\prime} W^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime} \Phi_{1}=G^{\prime}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}: f^{0}, g^{\prime}\right] \\
\left.W^{\prime}\right|_{\partial D}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\nu \Delta^{\prime} W^{3}=G^{3}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}, W^{3}: f^{0}, g^{3}\right]  \tag{4.21}\\
\left.W^{3}\right|_{\partial D}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{0}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]=-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \lambda \Phi_{1}+\nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\left(1-\rho_{s}\right) W^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} f_{1}^{0} \\
G^{\prime}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}: f^{0}, g^{\prime}\right]=-\lambda \rho_{s} W^{\prime}+\widetilde{\nu} \nabla^{\prime} F^{0}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]+\nabla^{\prime}\left(\left(1-\rho_{s}\right) \Phi_{1}\right)+\nabla^{\prime} \rho_{s} \Phi_{1} \\
-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle \rho_{s} \nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}}\right)+\rho_{s} \nabla^{\prime}\left(\left(1-\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}}\right) \Phi_{1}\right)+\rho_{s} g^{\prime}, \\
G^{3}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}, W^{3}: f^{0}, g^{3}\right]=-\lambda \rho_{s} W^{3}-\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} \lambda\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle-\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} \Phi_{1}-\rho_{s} W^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}+\rho_{s} g^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We now define a set $\dot{X}_{k}$ by

$$
\dot{X}_{k}=\left\{\left(p, v^{\prime}\right) \in H^{k}(D) \times\left(H^{k+1}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)\right):\langle p\rangle=0\right\}
$$

with norm

$$
\left|\left(p, v^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\dot{X}_{k}}=|p|_{H^{k}}+\nu\left|v^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k+1}} .
$$

For a given $\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{X}_{k}$, we consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla^{\prime} \cdot W^{\prime}=F^{0}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]  \tag{4.22}\\
-\nu \Delta^{\prime} W^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime} \Phi_{1}=G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f^{0}, g^{\prime}\right] \\
\left.W^{\prime}\right|_{\partial D}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It holds that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle F^{0}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]\right\rangle=0, \quad F^{0}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right] \in H^{k}(D), \\
G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f^{0}, g^{\prime}\right] \in H^{k-1}(D)
\end{gathered}
$$

In fact, we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle F^{0}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \lambda\left\langle\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} \cdot\left(\left(1-\rho_{s}\right) \widetilde{W}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left\langle f_{1}^{0}\right\rangle=0 \\
\left|F^{0}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W^{\prime}}: f_{1}^{0}\right]\right|_{H^{k}} \leq C\left\{\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}|\lambda|\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\right|_{H^{k}}+\omega\left|\widetilde{W}^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k+1}}+\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left|f_{1}^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f^{0}, g^{\prime}\right]\right|_{H^{k-1}} \\
& \leq C\left\{|\lambda|\left|\widetilde{W^{\prime}}\right|_{H^{k-1}}+\widetilde{\nu}\left|F^{0}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]\right|_{H^{k}}+\omega\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\right|_{H^{k}}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\left|\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle\right|+\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k-1}}\right\} \\
& \leq C\left\{\left(\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}|\lambda|+\omega\right)\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\right|_{H^{k}}+\nu\left(\frac{1}{\nu}|\lambda|+\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\nu} \omega\right)\left|\widetilde{W}^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k+1}}+\left(\frac{\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\left|f^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}+\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k-1}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a positive constant $C$ independent of $\lambda$. From [20], we see that there is a unique solution $\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{X}_{k}$ of (4.22) and there holds the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\Phi|_{H^{k}}+\nu\left|W^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k+1}} \\
& \leq C\left\{\nu\left|F^{0}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W}^{\prime}: f_{1}^{0}\right]\right|_{H^{k}}+\left|G^{\prime}\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W^{\prime}}: f^{0}, g^{\prime}\right]\right|_{H^{k-1}}\right\}  \tag{4.23}\\
& \leq C\left\{\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}|\lambda|+\omega\right)\left|\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\right|_{H^{k}}+\nu\left(\frac{1}{\nu}|\lambda|+\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\nu} \omega\right)\left|\widetilde{W^{\prime}}\right|_{H^{k+1}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\left|f^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}+\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k-1}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for a positive constant $C$ independent of $\lambda$. Let us define a map $\Gamma_{1}: \dot{X}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{X}_{k}$ such that

$$
\Gamma_{1}\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}, \widetilde{W^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{X}_{k}$ is a solution of (4.22). From (4.23), for $\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{1,1}, \widetilde{W}_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{1,2}, \widetilde{W}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\dot{X}_{k}$, the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Gamma_{1}\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{1,1}, \widetilde{W}_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\Gamma_{1}\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{1,2}, \widetilde{W}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{H^{k} \times H^{k+1}} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\{\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)|\lambda|+\left(\frac{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}{\nu}+1\right) \omega\right\}\left|\left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{1,1}-\widetilde{\Phi}_{1,2}, \widetilde{W}_{1}^{\prime}-\widetilde{W}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\dot{X}_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for a positive constant $C_{1}$ independent of $\lambda$. If $\omega$ and $|\lambda|$ are so small that $\omega<\frac{1}{2 C_{1}} \frac{\nu}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}$ and $|\lambda|<\frac{1}{2 C_{1}}$, then $\Gamma_{1}: \dot{X}_{k} \rightarrow \dot{X}_{k}$ is a contraction map. This implies that there is a unique $\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{X}_{k}$ such that $\Gamma_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right)=\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right)$, i.e., there is a unique solution $\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{X}_{k}$ of (4.20). Futhermore, from (4.23), ( $\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}$ ) satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{1}\right|_{H^{k}}+\left|W^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k+1}} \leq C\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\left|f^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}+\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k-1}}\right\} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\lambda$.
As for (4.21), for a given $\widetilde{W}^{3} \in H^{k+1}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)$, we consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\nu \Delta^{\prime} W^{3}=G^{3}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}, \widetilde{W}^{3}: f^{0}, g^{3}\right],  \tag{4.25}\\
\left.W^{3}\right|_{\partial D}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left(\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{X}_{k}$ is a solution of (4.20). It holds that

$$
G^{3}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}, \widetilde{W}^{3}: f^{0}, g^{3}\right] \in H^{k-1}(D)
$$

In fact, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G^{3}\left[\Phi_{1}, W^{\prime}, \widetilde{W}^{3}: f^{0}, g^{3}\right]\right|_{H^{k-1}} \\
& \leq C\left\{|\lambda|\left|\widetilde{W^{3}}\right|_{H^{k-1}}+\left|\Phi_{1}\right|_{H^{k-1}}+\left|W^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k-1}}+\left|g^{3}\right|_{H^{k-1}}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\left|\left\langle f^{0}\right\rangle\right|\right\}  \tag{4.26}\\
& \leq C_{2}\left\{|\lambda|\left|\widetilde{W}^{3}\right|_{H^{k-1}}+\left(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\left|f^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}+|g|_{H^{k-1}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{2}$ independent of $\lambda$. If $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently small satisfying $|\lambda|<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 C_{1}}, \frac{1}{C_{2}}\right\}$, then there is a unique solution $W^{3} \in H^{k+1}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)$ of (4.21). Furthermore, from (4.26), $W^{3}$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W^{3}\right|_{H^{k+1}} \leq C\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\left|f^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}+|g|_{H^{k-1}}\right\}, \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\lambda$.
Now we set

$$
\Sigma_{2} \equiv\left\{\lambda \neq 0:|\lambda|<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 C_{1}}, \frac{1}{C_{2}}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Since $\Phi=\sigma+\Phi_{1}$, we see that if $\omega<\frac{1}{2 C_{1}} \frac{\nu}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{2}$, then there is a unique solution $(\Phi, W) \in H^{k}(D) \times\left(H^{k+1}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)\right)$ of (4.13). Moreover, from (4.18), (4.24) and (4.27), $\Phi$ and $W$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Phi|_{H^{k}}+|W|_{H^{k+1}} & \leq|\sigma|+\left|\Phi_{1}\right|_{H^{k}}+\left|W^{\prime}\right|_{H^{k+1}}+\left|W^{3}\right|_{H^{k+1}} \\
& \leq C\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\left|f^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}+|g|_{H^{k-1}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a positive constant $C$ independent of $\lambda \in \Sigma_{2}$.
Since $D\left(L_{0}\right) \supset H^{k}(D) \times\left(H^{k+1}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)\right)$, we can replace $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ with $L_{0}$; and we find that if $\omega<\frac{1}{2 C_{1}} \frac{\nu}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{2}$, then $\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f \in H^{k}(D) \times\left(H^{k+1}(D) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)\right)$. Furthermore, $\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\left|\left(\lambda+L_{0}\right)^{-1} f\right|_{H^{k} \times H^{k+1}} \leq C\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\left|f^{0}\right|_{H^{k}}+|g|_{H^{k-1}}\right\}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\lambda \in \Sigma_{2}$. We thus obtain the desired estimates. The assertions for $L_{0}^{*}$ can be proved in a similar manner. This completes the proof.

We finally obtain the following estimates for the eigenfunctions $u_{\xi}$ and $u_{\xi}^{*}$ associated with $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{0}(\xi)$, respectively, which yields the boundedness of $\Pi(\xi)$ on $H^{k}(D)$.

Theorem 4.7. There exist positive constants $\nu_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$, $\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}$ and $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then there exists a positive constant $r_{0}$ such that for any $\bar{\xi} \in \mathbf{R}$ with $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$ the following assertions hold. There exist $u_{\xi}$ and $u_{\xi}^{*}$ eigenfunctions associated with $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{0}(\xi)$, respectively, that satisfy

$$
\left\langle u_{\xi}, u_{\xi}^{*}\right\rangle=1,
$$

and the eigenprojection $\Pi(\xi)$ for $\lambda_{0}(\xi)$ is given by

$$
\Pi(\xi) u=\left\langle u, u_{\xi}^{*}\right\rangle u_{\xi} .
$$

Furthermore, $u_{\xi}$ and $u_{\xi}^{*}$ are written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\xi}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=u^{(0)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+i \xi u^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+|\xi|^{2} u^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right), \\
& u_{\xi}^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=u^{*(0)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+i \xi u^{*(1)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+|\xi|^{2} u^{*(2)}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the following estimates hold

$$
|u|_{H^{k+2}} \leq C_{k, r_{0}}
$$

for $u \in\left\{u_{\xi}, u_{\xi}^{*}, u^{(1)}, u^{*(1)}, u^{(2)}, u^{*(2)}\right\}$ and $k=0,1, \cdots, k_{0}$ : and a positive constant $C_{k, r_{0}}$ depending on $k$ and $r_{0}$.

We can prove Theorem 4.7 by using Proposition 4.6, similarly to the proof of [9, Lemma 4.3]. We thus omit the proof.

## 5 Spectral properties of $e^{-t L} P_{0}$

In this section we give a a factorization of $e^{-t L} P_{0}$ and prove Theorem 3.1 (i).
We denote the characteristic function of a set $\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}:|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}$ by $\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\eta| \leq r_{0}\right\}}(\xi)= \begin{cases}1, & |\xi| \leq r_{0} \\ 0, & |\xi|>r_{0}\end{cases}
$$

We define the projection $P_{0}$ by

$$
P_{0}=\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \Pi(\xi) \mathcal{F} .
$$

$P_{0}$ is a bounded projection on $L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
P_{0} L \subset L P_{0}, \quad P_{0} e^{-t L}=e^{-t L} P_{0} .
$$

As in $[2,4]$, to investigate $e^{-t L} P_{0}$, we introduce operators related to $u_{\xi}$ and $u_{\xi}^{*}$. We define the operators $\mathcal{T}: L^{2}(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega), \mathcal{P}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\Lambda: L^{2}(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow$ $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{T} \sigma=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\xi} \sigma\right], \quad \mathcal{T}_{\xi} \sigma=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} u_{\xi} \sigma ; \\
\mathcal{P} u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\xi} u\right], \quad \mathcal{P}_{\xi} u=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\left\langle u, u_{\xi}^{*}\right\rangle ; \\
\Lambda \sigma=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \lambda_{0}(\xi) \sigma\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

for $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\sigma \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$. It then follows that

$$
P_{0}=\mathcal{T} \mathcal{P}, \quad e^{-t L} P_{0}=\mathcal{T} e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}
$$

We investigate boundedness properties of $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P}$ and $e^{t \Lambda}$.
As for $\mathcal{T}$, we have the following
Proposition 5.1. The operator $\mathcal{T}$ has the following properties:
(i) $\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} \mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l}$ for $l=0,1, \cdots$.
(ii) $\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}}^{k} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l} \mathcal{T} \sigma\right\|_{2} \leq C\|\sigma\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})}$ for $k=0,1, \cdots k_{0}, l=0,1, \cdots$ and $\sigma \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$.
(iii) $\mathcal{T}$ is decomposed as

$$
\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}^{(0)}+\partial_{x_{3}} \mathcal{T}^{(1)}+\partial_{x_{3}}^{2} \mathcal{T}^{(2)} .
$$

Here $\mathcal{T}^{(j)} \sigma=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\mathcal{T}^{(j)} \sigma\right](j=0,1,2)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}^{(0)} \sigma=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \sigma u^{(0)}, \\
& \mathcal{T}^{(1)} \sigma=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \sigma u^{(1)}(\cdot), \\
& \mathcal{T}^{(2)} \sigma=-\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \sigma u^{(2)}(\cdot, \xi),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u^{(j)}(j=0,1,2)$ are the functions given in Theorem 4.7. The assertions (i) and (ii) hold with $\mathcal{T}$ replaced by $\mathcal{T}^{(j)}(j=0,1,2)$.

Proof. It is clear that (i) is true. As for (ii), we can prove the estimates by using the properties of $u_{\xi}$ in Theorem 4.7 and the Sobolev inequality. From the expansion of $u_{\xi}$ given in Theorem 4.7, we can expand $\mathcal{T}$ as in (iii).

As for $\mathcal{P}$, we have the following properties.
Proposition 5.2. The operator $\mathcal{P}$ has the following properties:
(i) $\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l}$ for $l=0,1, \cdots$.
(ii) $\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} \mathcal{P} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C\|u\|_{2}$ for $k=0,1, \cdots k_{0}, l=0,1, \cdots$ and $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Furthermore, $\|\mathcal{P} u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C\|u\|_{1}$ for $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
(iii) $\mathcal{P}$ is decomposed as

$$
\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}^{(0)}+\partial_{x_{3}} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}+\partial_{x_{3}}^{2} \mathcal{P}^{(2)} .
$$

Here $\mathcal{P}^{(j)} u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\mathcal{P}^{(j)} u\right](j=0,1,2)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}^{(0)} u=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\left\langle u, u^{*(0)}\right\rangle=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\left\langle Q_{0} u\right\rangle, \\
& \mathcal{P}^{(1)} u=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\left\langle u, u^{*(1)}\right\rangle, \\
& \mathcal{P}^{(2)} u=-\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\left\langle u, u^{*(2)}(\xi)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u^{(j) *}(j=0,1,2)$ are the functions given in Theorem 4.7. The assertions (i) and (ii) hold with $\mathcal{P}$ replaced by $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}(j=0,1,2)$.

Proof. It is clear that (i) holds true. As for (ii), we can prove the estimates by using the properties of $u_{\xi}^{*}$ in Theorem 4.7 and the Sovolev inequality. From the expansion of $u_{\xi}^{*}$ given in Theorem 4.7, we can expand $\mathcal{P}$ as in (iii).

As for $\Lambda$, we have the following decay estimates for $e^{t \Lambda}$.
Proposition 5.3. The operator $e^{t \Lambda}$ satisfies the following decay estimates.
(i) $\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\|u\|_{1}$,
(ii) $\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(j)} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\|u\|_{1}, \quad j=0,1,2$,
(iii) $\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l}\left(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}^{(0)}\right) e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P} u\right\|_{2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\|u\|_{1}$,
for $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and $l=0,1,2 \cdots$.
Proof. Since $\lambda_{0}(\xi)=-i \kappa_{0} \xi-\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{3}\right)$, we see from Theorem 4.7 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(j)} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} & \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}|\xi|^{2 l} e^{-t\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right)}\left|\left\langle u(\xi), u^{*(j)}\right\rangle\right|^{2} d \xi \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}|\xi|^{2 l} e^{-t\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right)}|u(\xi)|_{1}^{2} d \xi  \tag{5.1}\\
& \leq C\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|u\|_{1}^{2}, \\
t^{-\frac{1}{2} l}\|u\|_{1}^{2} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

This implies (i) and (ii). As for (iii), since $\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}^{(0)}=\partial_{x_{3}} \mathcal{T}^{(1)}+\partial_{x_{3}}^{2} \mathcal{T}^{(2)}$, we obtain the desired estimate from (i) and Proposition 5.1.

The estimate (3.4) in Theorem 3.1 follows from Propositions 5.1 and 5.3.
We next investigate the asymptotic behavior of $e^{-t L}$. Recall that $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{H}(t) \sigma=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t} \sigma\right]
$$

for $\sigma \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$, where $\kappa_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\kappa_{1}>0$ are given in Theorem 4.5. We first introduce the well-known decay estimate for $\mathcal{H}(t)$.

Proposition 5.4. There holds the estimate

$$
\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l}(\mathcal{H}(t) \sigma)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})} \quad(l=0,1, \cdots)
$$

for $\sigma \in L^{1}(\mathbf{R})$.
We next consider the asymptotic behavior of $e^{t \Lambda}$. The asymptotic leading part of $e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}$ is given by $\mathcal{H}(t)$. In fact, we have the following

Proposition 5.5. For $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, we set $\sigma=\left\langle Q_{0} u\right\rangle$. If $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then there holds the estimate

$$
\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l}\left(e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P} u-\mathcal{H}(t) \sigma\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\|u\|_{1} \quad(l=0,1, \cdots)
$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.2 we have

$$
e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}=e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}+\partial_{x_{3}} e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}+\partial_{x_{3}}^{2} e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}
$$

Set $\sigma=\left\langle Q_{0} u\right\rangle$. Since $e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(0)} u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} e^{\lambda_{0}(\xi) t} \sigma\right]$, we see that
$\mathcal{F}\left[e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(0)} u-\mathcal{H}(t) \sigma\right]=\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}-1\right) e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t} \sigma+\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\left(e^{\lambda_{0}(\xi) t}-e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t}\right) \sigma$.
By using the relation

$$
\lambda_{0}(\xi)+\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{3}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\lambda_{0}(\xi) t}-e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t} & =e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t}\left(e^{\left(\lambda_{0}(\xi)+i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t}-1\right) \\
& =e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t} \mathcal{O}\left(|\xi|^{3}\right) t .
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|\xi| \leq r_{0}}|\xi|^{2 l}\left|\left(e^{\lambda_{0}(\xi) t}-e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t}\right) \sigma\right|^{2} d \xi & \leq C \int_{|\xi| \leq r_{0}}|\xi|^{2(l+3)} t^{2} e^{-2 \kappa_{1} \xi^{2} t} d \xi\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}^{2} \\
& \leq C \int_{|\xi| \leq r_{0}}\left(|\xi|^{2} t\right)^{2} e^{-\kappa_{1} \xi^{2} t}|\xi|^{2(l+1)} e^{-\kappa_{1} \xi^{2} t} d \xi\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}^{2} \\
& \leq C \int_{|\xi| \leq r_{0}}|\xi|^{2(l+1)} e^{-\kappa_{1} \xi^{2} t} d \xi\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}^{2} \\
& \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}-l}\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$
\int_{|\xi| \leq r_{0}}|\xi|^{2 l}\left|\left(e^{\lambda_{0}(\xi) t}-e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t}\right) \sigma\right|^{2} d \xi \leq C\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}^{2}
$$

We thus obtain

$$
\int_{|\xi| \leq r_{0}}|\xi|^{2 l}\left|\left(e^{\lambda_{0}(\xi) t}-e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t}\right) \sigma\right|^{2} d \xi \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-l}\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}^{2}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\left\|\left(\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}-1\right) e^{-\left(i \kappa_{0} \xi+\kappa_{1} \xi^{2}\right) t} \sigma\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}-l} e^{-\kappa_{1} r_{0}^{2} t}\|\sigma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}^{2} .
$$

We thus see that

$$
\left\|e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P}^{(0)} u-\mathcal{H}(t) \sigma\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{l}{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{1}
$$

This estimate and Proposition 5.3 (ii) give the desired estimate. This completes the proof.

We are now in a position to prove estimate (3.5) in Theorem 3.1 (i). In fact, we have

$$
e^{-t L} P_{0} u-[\mathcal{H}(t) \sigma] u^{(0)}=\left(\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{T}^{(0)}\right) e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P} u+\left[e^{t \Lambda} \mathcal{P} u-\mathcal{H}(t) \sigma\right] u^{(0)} .
$$

This, together with Proposition 5.3 (iii) and Proposition 5.5, yields the desired estimate (3.5).

We finally state the estimates for the projection $P_{0}$.
Theorem 5.6. The projection $P_{0}$ has the following properties:
(i) $\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} P_{0}=P_{0} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l}$ for $l=0,1, \cdots$.
(ii) $\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}}^{k} l_{x_{3}}^{l} P_{0} u\right\|_{2} \leq C_{k}\|u\|_{1}$ for $k=0,1, \cdots k_{0}, l=0,1, \cdots$ and $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
(iii) $P_{0}$ is decomposed as

$$
P_{0}=P_{0}^{(0)}+\partial_{x_{3}} P_{0}^{(1)}+\partial_{x_{3}}^{2} P_{0}^{(2)},
$$

where $P_{0}^{(j)} u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[P_{0}^{(j)} u\right](j=0,1,2)$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{0}^{(0)}=\mathcal{T}^{(0)} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \Pi^{(0)}  \tag{5.2}\\
& P_{0}^{(1)}=\mathcal{T}^{(0)} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}+\mathcal{T}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}=-i \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}} \Pi^{(1)},  \tag{5.3}\\
& P_{0}^{(2)}=\mathcal{T}^{(0)} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}+\mathcal{T}^{(1)}\left\{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}+\partial_{x_{3}} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}\right\}+\mathcal{T}^{(2)}\left\{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}+\partial_{x_{3}} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}+\partial_{x_{3}}^{2} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, $P_{0}^{(j)}(j=0,1,2)$ satisfy assertions (i) and (ii) by replacing $P_{0}$ with $P_{0}^{(j)}$.

Proof. It is clear that (i) is true. Estimates in (ii) are given by Propositions 5.1, 5.2. As for (iii), it is easy to see that $\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} P_{0}^{(j)}=P_{0}^{(j)} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l}$. The estimates

$$
\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}}^{k} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l} P_{0}^{(j)} u\right\|_{2} \leq C_{k}\|u\|_{1}
$$

can also be obtained by Propositions 5.1, 5.2. The relations (5.3) and (5.4) can be verified by equating the coefficients of each power of $\xi$ in the expansions of $\Pi(\xi)$ in (4.8) and $\left\langle u, u_{\xi}^{*}\right\rangle u_{\xi}$. This completes the proof.

## 6 Decay estimate for $e^{-t L}\left(I-P_{0}\right)$

In this section we prove Theorem 3.1 (ii). We set

$$
P_{\infty}=I-P_{0} .
$$

To prove Theorem 3.1 (ii), we first introduce the decay estimate of $e^{-t L} P_{\infty} u_{0}$ for $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 6.1. There exist constants $\nu_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ and $\omega_{0}$ such that if $\nu \geq \nu_{0}, \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \geq \gamma_{0}^{2}$ and $\omega \leq \omega_{0}$, then $e^{-t L} P_{\infty} u_{0}$ have the following properties. If $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then there exists a constant $d>0$ such that $e^{-t L} P_{\infty} u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t L} P_{\infty} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C e^{-d t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$.
Proof. $P_{\infty}$ is written as

$$
P_{\infty}=P_{\infty, 0}+\widetilde{P}_{\infty}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{\infty, 0} u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[P_{\infty, 0} u\right], \quad P_{\infty, 0} u=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\left(I-P_{0}\right) u, \\
\widetilde{P}_{\infty} u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\widetilde{P}_{\infty} u\right], \quad \widetilde{P}_{\infty} u=\left(1-\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\xi| \leq r_{0}\right\}}\right) u .
\end{gathered}
$$

The estimate $\left\|e^{-t L} \widetilde{P}_{\infty} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C e^{-d t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}$ was proved in [1, Theorem 3.3]. As for $P_{\infty, 0}$ part, since $\rho\left(-\left.L_{\xi}\right|_{\left(I-\Pi_{0}(\xi)\right) L^{2}}\right) \subset\left\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\right\}$ by Theorem 4.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-t L L_{\xi}} P_{\infty, 0} u_{0}\right|_{2} \leq C e^{-\frac{c_{0}}{4} t}\left|u_{0}\right|_{2} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply the argument of the proof of [1, Proposition 4.20] to $u(t)=e^{-t L} P_{\infty, 0} u_{0}$. Due to (6.2), one can replace $e^{-\frac{d_{2}}{2}|\xi|^{2} t}\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2}$ in the inequality (4.72) of [1] by $e^{-\frac{c_{0}}{2} t}\left|u_{0}\right|_{2}^{2}$ to obtain $E_{4,1}^{(0)}[u](t) \leq C e^{-2 \widetilde{d}_{1} t}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{1}}^{2}$ for a positive constant $\widetilde{d}_{1}$. Integrating this over $|\xi| \leq r_{0}$ and using the Plancherel Theorem, we have

$$
\left\|e^{-t L} P_{\infty, 0} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C e^{-\widetilde{d t}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}
$$

for a positive constant $\widetilde{d}$. Combining the estimates for $e^{-t L} \widetilde{P}_{\infty} u_{0}$ and $e^{-t L} P_{\infty, 0} u_{0}$ we obtain the desired estimate. This completes the proof.

We next consider the estimate for $e^{-t L} u$ for $0<t \leq 1$.
Proposition 6.2. Let $T>0$. If $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, then $e^{-t L} u_{0}$ satisfies $e^{-t L} u_{0} \in$ $H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $t>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t L} u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C_{T}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{2}\right\} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<t \leq T$.
Let $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$. Applying Proposition 6.2 with $t=1$, we have $u_{1}=$ $\left.e^{-t L} u_{0}\right|_{t=1} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}} .
$$

This, together with Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, implies Theorem 3.1 (ii).
It remains to prove Proposition 6.2.

Lemma 6.3. Let $T>0$. There hold the following estimates for $0 \leq t \leq T$ :
(i) for $\ell=0,1$,

$$
\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} u(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+c \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{3}}^{l} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\operatorname{div} \partial_{x_{3}}^{l} w\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau \leq C_{T}\left\|\partial_{x_{3}}^{l} u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\chi_{0} \partial_{x^{\prime}} u(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+c \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\chi_{0} \nabla \partial_{x^{\prime}} w(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{0} \operatorname{div} \partial_{x^{\prime}} w\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau \\
& \leq C_{T}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{3}} u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{0} \partial_{x^{\prime}} u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}} \phi(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) for $1 \leq m \leq N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\chi_{m} \partial u(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+c \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\chi_{m} \nabla \partial w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \operatorname{div} \partial w\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau \\
& \leq C_{T}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{3}} u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \partial u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6.3 can be proved by the energy method as those in the proof of $[1$, Propositions 4.7, 4.15, 4.17]. Note that here are no restrictions on $\nu, \widetilde{\nu}$ and $\gamma$ but $C_{T}$ depends on $T$.

We next consider the $L^{2}$ estimate of the normal derivative for $\phi$.
Lemma 6.4. Let $T>0$. For $1 \leq m \leq N$, there holds the estimate for $0 \leq t \leq T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\chi_{m} \partial_{n} \phi(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C_{T}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{3}} u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \partial u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \partial_{n} \phi_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let us transform a scalar field $p\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ on $D \cap \mathcal{O}_{m}$ as

$$
\widetilde{p}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(y^{\prime}=\Psi^{m}\left(x^{\prime}\right), x^{\prime} \in D \cap \mathcal{O}_{m}\right)
$$

where $\Psi^{m}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is a function given in Section 2 . Similarly we transform a vector field $h\left(x^{\prime}\right)={ }^{T}\left(h^{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), h^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right), h^{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ into $\widetilde{h}\left(y^{\prime}\right)={ }^{T}\left(\widetilde{h}^{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right), \widetilde{h}^{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right), \widetilde{h}^{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ as

$$
h\left(x^{\prime}\right)=E\left(y^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{h}\left(y^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $E\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left(e_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right), e_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right), e_{3}\right)$ with $e_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right), e_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ and $e_{3}$ given in Section 2. From the proof of [1, Proposition 4.16], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tau} \partial_{y_{1}} \widetilde{\phi}+\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right) \partial_{y_{1}} \tilde{\phi}=\widetilde{\rho}_{s} I-\frac{\gamma^{2} \widetilde{\rho}_{s}^{2}}{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}} \partial_{\tau} \widetilde{w}^{1}, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\widetilde{\rho}_{s} \widetilde{P}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{s}\right)}{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}, \quad b\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{v}_{s}^{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I=- & \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}\left\{\nu\left(\operatorname{rot}_{y} \operatorname{rot}_{y} \widetilde{w}\right)^{1}+\widetilde{\rho}_{s} \partial_{y_{1}}\left(\frac{\widetilde{P}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \widetilde{\rho}_{s}}\right) \widetilde{\phi}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \widetilde{\rho}_{s}\left(\Delta_{y^{\prime}} \widetilde{v_{s}}\right)^{1} \widetilde{\phi}+\widetilde{\rho}_{s} \widetilde{v}_{s}^{3} \partial_{y_{3}} \widetilde{w}^{1}\right\} \\
& -\left\{\frac{1}{\widetilde{\rho}_{s}} \partial_{y_{1}} \widetilde{v}_{s}^{3} \partial_{y_{3}} \widetilde{\phi}+\gamma^{2} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\rho}_{s}} \partial_{y_{1}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y}\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{s} \widetilde{w}\right)\right)-\gamma^{2} \partial_{y_{1}} \operatorname{div}_{y} \widetilde{w}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\left(\operatorname{rot}_{y} \widetilde{w}\right)^{1}$ denotes the $e_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ component of $\operatorname{rot}_{y} \widetilde{w}$, and so on. We note that $\left(\operatorname{rot}_{y} \operatorname{rot}_{y} \widetilde{w}\right)^{1}$ does not contain $\partial_{y_{1}}^{2}$. See the proof of [1, Proposition 4.16]. We also note that there is a positive constant $a_{0}$ such that

$$
a\left(y^{\prime}\right) \geq a_{0}>0
$$

for any $y^{\prime} \in \Psi^{m}(D)$.
We denote by $e^{-t\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right)}$ the semigroup generated by $-\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right)$, i.e,

$$
\left.e^{-t\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right.}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{0}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[e^{-\left(a\left(y^{\prime}\right)+i \xi b\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) t} \widehat{\widehat{\phi}_{0}}\right] .
$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$
\left.\| \widetilde{\chi}_{m} e^{-t\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right.}\right) \widetilde{\phi}_{0}\left\|_{2} \leq e^{-a_{0} t}\right\| \widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{\phi}_{0} \|_{2}
$$

In terms of $e^{-t\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right)}, \partial_{y_{1}} \tilde{\phi}$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{y_{1}} \widetilde{\phi}(t)= & e^{-t\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right)} \partial_{y_{1}} \widetilde{\phi}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau)\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right)} \widetilde{\rho}_{s} \widetilde{I}(\tau) d \tau \\
& -\frac{\gamma^{2} \widetilde{\rho}_{s}^{2}}{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau)\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right)} \partial_{\tau} \widetilde{w}^{1} d \tau \\
\equiv & J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As for $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} J_{1}\right\|_{2} \leq e^{-a_{0} t}\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \partial_{y_{1}} \widetilde{\phi}_{0}\right\|_{2} \\
& \left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} J_{2}\right\|_{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a_{0}(t-\tau)}\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{I}(\tau)\right\|_{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

As for $J_{3}$, integrating by parts, we have

$$
J_{3}=\frac{\gamma^{2} \widetilde{\rho}_{s}^{2}}{\nu+\widetilde{\nu}}\left[e^{-t\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right.} \widetilde{w}_{0}^{1}-\widetilde{w}^{1}(t)+\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau)\left(a+b \partial_{y_{3}}\right)} \widetilde{w}^{1}(\tau) d \tau\right]
$$

We thus obtain

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} J_{3}\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\{e^{-a_{0} t}\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{w}_{0}^{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{w}^{1}(t)\right\|_{2}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-a_{0}(t-\tau)}\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \partial_{y_{3}} \widetilde{w}^{1}(\tau)\right\|_{2} d \tau\right\}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} I(\tau)\right\|_{2} \leq C\{ & \left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{\phi}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \partial_{y_{3}} \widetilde{\phi}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2} \\
& \left.+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \nabla_{y} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \nabla_{y} \partial_{y_{2}} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \nabla_{y} \partial_{y_{3}} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \partial_{y_{1}} \widetilde{\phi}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq C[ & e^{-a_{0} t}\left(\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \partial_{y_{1}} \widetilde{\phi}_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{w}_{0}^{1}\right\|_{2}\right)+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{w}^{1}(t)\right\|_{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} e^{-a_{0}(t-\tau)}\left\{\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{\phi}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \partial_{y_{3}} \widetilde{\phi}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \nabla_{y} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \nabla_{y} \partial_{y_{2}} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\chi}_{m} \nabla_{y} \partial_{y_{3}} \widetilde{w}(\tau)\right\|_{2}\right\} d \tau\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inverting to the original coordinates $x^{\prime}$ and noting that $\partial_{y_{1}}=\partial_{n}, \partial_{y_{2}}=\partial$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\chi_{m} \partial_{n} \phi(t)\right\|_{2} \leq C\{ & e^{-a_{0} t}\left(\left\|\chi_{m} \partial_{n} \phi_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} w_{0}^{1}\right\|_{2}\right)+\left\|\chi_{m} w^{1}(t)\right\|_{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\chi_{m} \phi(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \partial_{x_{3}} \phi(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} w(\tau)\right\|_{2} \\
& \left.+\left\|\chi_{m} \nabla w(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \nabla \partial w(\tau)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \nabla \partial_{x_{3}} w(\tau)\right\|_{2} d \tau\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with Lemma 6.3, yields the desired estimate. This completes the proof.

By Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4, we have the following estimate.
Lemma 6.5. Let $T>0$. There exists a positive constant $c$ such that the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t)\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}^{2}+c \int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla w(\tau)\|_{2}^{2}+\|\operatorname{div} w(\tau)\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{3}} w(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\operatorname{div} \partial_{x_{3}} w(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left\|\chi_{0} \nabla \partial_{x^{\prime}} w(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{0} \operatorname{div} \partial_{x^{\prime}} w(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{m=1}^{N}\left\{\left\|\chi_{m} \nabla \partial w(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \operatorname{div} \partial w(\tau)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} d \tau \\
& \leq C_{T}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for $0 \leq t \leq T$.
We finally consider the $L^{2}$ estimate for $\partial_{x^{\prime}} w$.
Lemma 6.6. Let $T>0$. There holds the estimate

$$
\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}} w(t)\right\|_{2} \leq C_{T}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{2}\right\}
$$

for $0<t \leq T$.
Proof. We see that $w$ satisfies the equation

$$
\partial_{t} w+\bar{A} w+\bar{B} u=0
$$

where $\bar{A}$ is the $3 \times 3$ operator defined by

$$
\bar{A}=-\frac{\nu}{\rho_{s}} \Delta-\frac{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}{\rho_{s}} \nabla \operatorname{div},
$$

$\bar{B}$ is the $3 \times 4$ operator defined by

$$
\bar{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\nabla^{\prime}\left(\frac{P\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \cdot\right) & v_{s}^{3} \partial_{x_{3}} I_{2} & 0 \\
\partial_{x_{3}}\left(\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{s}\right)}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}} \cdot\right)+\frac{\nu \Delta^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}}{\gamma^{2} \rho_{s}^{2}} & T\left(\nabla^{\prime} v_{s}^{3}\right) & v_{s}^{3} \partial_{x_{3}} .
\end{array}\right)
$$

We write $w(t)$ as

$$
w(t)=e^{-t \bar{A}} w_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau) \bar{A}} \bar{B} u(\tau) d \tau
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\prime} w(t)=\nabla^{\prime} e^{-t \bar{A}} w_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \nabla^{\prime} e^{-(t-\tau) \bar{A}} \bar{B} u(\tau) d \tau \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{A}$ is strongly elliptic, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla^{\prime} e^{-t \bar{A}} w_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{2}
$$

for $0<t \leq T$. Furthermore, we see from Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.5 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \nabla^{\prime} e^{-(t-\tau) \bar{A}} \bar{B} u(\tau) d \tau\right\|_{2} & \leq C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\bar{B} u(\tau)\|_{2} d \tau \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u(\tau)\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}} d \tau  \tag{6.6}\\
& \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d \tau \\
& \leq C T^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<t \leq T$. It then follows from (6.5) and (6.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x^{\prime}} w(t)\right\|_{2} \leq C_{T}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{2}\right\} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<t \leq T$. This completes the proof.
Proof of Proposition 6.2. Let $u(t)=e^{-t L} u_{0}$. It is not difficult to see that if $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then $u(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad \widetilde{Q} u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6, we obtain the estimate

$$
\|u(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+c \int_{0}^{t} \bar{D}_{1}[w](\tau) d \tau \leq C_{T}\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1} \times \widetilde{H}^{1}}^{2}+t^{-1}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

for $0<t \leq T$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{D}_{1}[w]= & \left(\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\operatorname{div} w\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{3}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\operatorname{div} \partial_{x_{3}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\left\|\chi_{0} \nabla \partial_{x^{\prime}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{0} \operatorname{div} \partial_{x^{\prime}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{N}\left(\left\|\chi_{m} \nabla \partial w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\chi_{m} \operatorname{div} \partial w\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus obtain estimate (6.3) if $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Since $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is dense in $\widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, one can see from Lemma $6.5,(6.3)$ and (6.8) that if $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, then $u(t)$ satisfies

$$
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega) \times \widetilde{H}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left((0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)
$$

and estimate (6.3). This completes the proof.
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