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#### Abstract

A combinatorial criterion for a toric variety to be projective is given which uses Gale-transforms. Furthermore, classes of nonprojective toric varieties are constructed.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\sigma:=\mathbb{R}_{+} a_{1}+\cdots+\mathbb{R}_{+} a_{k}$ be a cone in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ are primitive lattice points $\in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$, and let $\sigma$ have only 0 as an apex. If $S$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the intersection $\sigma_{0}:=\sigma \cap S$ is a spherical cell. Suppose $\Sigma_{0}$ is a spherical cell complex consisting of such cells. The corresponding cones form a system $\Sigma$ called a fan. We can assume that every point $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} a_{j}\right) \cap S$ is a vertex of $\sigma_{0}$ for any $\sigma_{0} \in \Sigma_{0}$. We may also consider $\Sigma$ to be a cell complex, the vertices being one-dimensional cones $\mathbb{R}_{+} a_{j}$.

If $\operatorname{dim} \sigma:=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{aff} \sigma)$ (affine hull) equals $k$ we call $\sigma$ and $\sigma_{0}$ simplicial. We say $\Sigma$ or $\Sigma_{0}$ is simplicial if every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ or $\sigma_{0} \in \Sigma_{0}$ is simplicial, respectively. In the case of a simplicial fan we also look at $\Sigma$ as being generated by projecting the simplexes $\sigma^{\prime}:=\operatorname{conv}\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$, that is, $\sigma=\mathbb{R}_{+} \sigma^{\prime}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. The simplicial complex $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{st}}(Q)$ of all $\sigma^{\prime}$ thus defined bounds a star-shaped polyhedron $Q$ with 0 in its kernel, provided $\Sigma$ covers the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $\check{\sigma}:=\{x \mid\langle x, y\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in \sigma\}$ be the dual cone of $\sigma(\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=$ inner product $)$, and let $R_{\sigma}$ be the ring of all Laurent-polynomials $\sum a_{j} \mathbf{z}^{j}, a_{j} \in C$ (or any algebraically closed field), $\mathbf{z}^{j}:=\mathbf{z}_{1}^{j_{1}}$ $\cdots \mathbf{z}_{d}^{j_{d}}, j:=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right) \in \check{\sigma} \cap \mathbf{Z}^{d}$, only finitely many $a_{j}$ being $\neq 0$. $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\sigma}$ (the set of prime ideals of $R_{\sigma}$ ) is an affine variety. For any two $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \Sigma$ we glue together $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\sigma_{2}}$ by the inclusion maps

$$
R_{\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}} \leftarrow R_{\sigma_{1}}, \quad R_{\sigma_{1} \cap \sigma_{2}} \leftarrow R_{\sigma_{2}} .
$$

If this is done for all $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \Sigma$ we obtain a variety $X_{\Sigma}$ called toric variety (see

Kempf, Knudson, Mumford, and Saint-Donat [6], Oda [10], Danilov [2], and Teissier [14]; also [3]).

Any fan can easily be extended to a fan that covers all of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For $X_{\Sigma}$ this means a compactification (completion). We assume in this article $\Sigma$ to cover $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and hence $\Sigma_{0}$ to have the sphere as its point set.

Our main goal is to extend some of the work of Oda and Miyake [10,11] from three to higher dimensions. In particular, we study questions of projectiveness of $X_{\Sigma}$ and construct classes of nonprojective toric varieties in all dimensions. We make use of the technique of the so-called Gale-transforms which proved to be very helpful in combinatorial convexity theory.

In the "dictionary" that relates properties of $\Sigma$ to properties of $X_{\Sigma}$ we focus on three "words":

1. For $d=2, \Sigma$ can also be obtained by projecting the faces of a convex polyhedron $P$ (see Fig. 1). For $d>2$, this is, in general, not true. If it is true, we say $\Sigma$ is strongly polytopal. $X_{\Sigma}$ is called projective if it is globally the set of zeros of finitely many homogeneous polynomials in $d+1$ variables. The following equivalence is true (see for example, [2], page 118):
$\Sigma$ strongly polytopal $\Leftrightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ projective.
2. If $\sigma$ is simplicial and if $\operatorname{dim} \sigma=d$, that is, $\sigma \in \Sigma^{(d)}$, we assign to the generating vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$ the determinant $\operatorname{det} \sigma:=\operatorname{det}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right]$. It can be shown ([10], page 12)

$$
\operatorname{det} \sigma= \pm 1 \quad \text { for all } \sigma \in \Sigma^{(d)} \Leftrightarrow X_{\Sigma} \text { is nonsingular. }
$$

3. If in a cell complex $\mathscr{C}$ we choose a relative interior point $p$ of a cell $C$ ( $p \in \operatorname{relint} C$ ), and if the star of $C$ is replaced by the join of $p$ to the boundary of this star, we say, a stellar subdivision $s(p, \mathscr{C})$ has been achieved (Fig. 2). We call a stellar subdivision $S\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} a, \Sigma\right)$ regular if $a=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k}$ for $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ generating a cone of $\Sigma$. (The term "barycentric" used by Oda and Miyake is somewhat misleading.) There is a correspondence (see [10]):
(regular) stellar subdivision of $\Sigma \rightarrow$ blow-up of $X_{\Sigma}$ (along a nonsingular center).

The inverse operation of a blow-up is called a blow-down (or $\sigma$-process).


Fig. 1


Fig. 2

## 2. Gale-Transforms and Facet-Splitting

Let $V:==\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\}$ be a finite set of points (vectors) in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{v}\right)$ be an affine dependence of $V$, that is,

$$
\alpha_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{v} a_{v}=0, \quad \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{v}=0
$$

We choose a basis of the $(v-d-1)$-dimensional space of all affine dependences and write them as rows of a matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{11} & \cdots & a_{1, v} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\alpha_{v-d-1,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{v-d-1, v}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{v}\right)
$$

The set of columns $\bar{V}:=\left\{\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{v}\right\}$ is called a Gale-transform of $V$ (see, for example, Grünbaum [5] or McMullen and Shephard [9], Ewald and Voß [4], and, for a coordinate-free introduction, McMullen [8]).

Example. Consider in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ the triangular prism with vertices $a_{1}=(1,0,0), a_{2}=$ $(0,1,0), a_{3}=(0,0,1), a_{4}=(0,-1,-1), a_{5}=(-1,0,-1)$, and $a_{6}=(-1,-1,0)$. Let the rectangular faces be split as indicated in Fig. 3. Figure 4 presents a Gale-transform of $V=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{6}\right\}$. If $a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}$ generate a cell ("face") $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$ we call $\bar{V} \backslash\left\{\bar{a}_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{i_{k}}\right\}$ the coface $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$. We make use of a basic fact [13]:

Theorem. $\Sigma$ is strongly polytopal if and only if $\bigcap_{g \in \Sigma}$ relint $\bar{\sigma} \neq \varnothing$.
If, in particular, $0 \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ relint $\bar{\sigma}$, then $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{v}$ represent the vertices of a convex polytope.
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Fig. 5

In the above example, the prism without face-splitting has a face-structure that satisfies the latter condition. If the splittings are carried out, however, among the cofaces there are $\bar{a}_{1} \bar{a}_{4} \bar{a}_{6}, \bar{a}_{2} \bar{a}_{5} \bar{a}_{4}, \bar{a}_{3} \bar{a}_{6} \bar{a}_{5}$ which have no relative interior point in common. So we obtain a nonstrongly polytopal fan $\Sigma$.

All determinants of $\Sigma$ except $\operatorname{det}\left[a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}\right]$ are $\pm 1$. Applying the regular stellar subdivision, $S\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} a, \Sigma\right)$ where $a=a_{4}+a_{5}+a_{6}$ provides a nonsingular, nonprojective variety $X_{S\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Sigma\right) \Sigma}$.

An analogous construction for $d=4$ can be obtained as follows. Consider the subdivision of a three-simplex as indicated in Fig. 5. It consists of double-simplexes $\Delta_{1}:=12457, \Delta_{2}:=23567, \Delta_{3}:=31647$, and four simplexes $1435,2346,1235,1357$. A Gale-transform $\overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{7}$ of $1, \ldots, 7$ is shown in Fig. 6. This decomposition of the simplex can be looked at as the Schlegel-diagram of a four-polytope $P$, that is, a central projection of $P$ into one of its facets. A direct construction of $P$ can be obtained by finding a Gale-transform of the points in Fig. 6 and taking their convex hull. It is known that Fig. 6 represents aoain a
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Fig. 7

Gale-transform of $P$. The double-simplexes $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$ can be looked at as analogues of the rectangular faces of the prism in Fig. 3 which are two-dimensional double-simplexes.

Now we may split each of the facets $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$ by a one-dimensional or a two-dimensional diagonal into three or two simplexes. There are eight typical combinations of such facet-splittings, two of which turn out to provide nonstrongly polytopal fans:
I. Split $\Delta_{1}$ at $12, \Delta_{2}$ at 56 , and $\Delta_{3}$ and 347 ;
II. split $\Delta_{1}$ at $457, \Delta_{2}$ at 237 , and $\Delta_{3}$ at 16 .

Figures 7 and 8 provide for cases I and II, respectively, three cofaces that have no relative interior point in common.

For any $d \geq 3$ we obtain the following statement. By facet-splitting we mean generally the straight subdivision of the facets of a convex polytope into convex polytopes whose vertices are all vertices of the original polytope.

Theorem 1. Let $P$ be a convex d-polytope, $d \geq 3,0 \in \operatorname{int} P$, with $v$ rational vertices, and let $P$ have at least $v-d$ facets which are simplicial but not simplexes. Then by appropriate facet-splittings we obtain at least one complex $B(P)$ on the boundary of $P$ such that $\Sigma=\Sigma(B(P))$ is not strongly polytopal.

Proof. A Gale-transform of the vertex set vert $P$ of $P$ spans a space of dimension $v-d-1$. Let $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Delta_{v-d}^{\prime}$ be simplicial facets that are not simplexes. If $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$ has more than $d+1$ vertices, we apply facet-splittings until we obtain a piece $\Delta_{j}$ of $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$ that has precisely $d+1$ vertices. So let $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{v-d}$ be $(d-1)$-cells of a cell-complex $B_{0}(P)$ realized on the boundary of $P$.

To each $\Delta_{j}$ let $\bar{\Delta}_{j}$ be a coface which is ( $v-d-2$ )-dimensional and hence spans a hyperplane $H_{j}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{v-d-1}$. Now $\Delta_{j}$ can be split into simplexes using a Radon partition of vert $\Delta_{j}$ into subsets $D_{j}, D_{j}^{\prime}$ such that $D_{j} \cup D_{j}^{\prime}=$ vert $\Delta_{j}$,


Fig. 8
$D_{j} \cap D_{j}^{\prime}=\varnothing,\left(\operatorname{conv} D_{j}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{conv} D_{j}^{\prime}\right) \neq \varnothing$. We obtain $(v-d-1)$-dimensional cofaces $\operatorname{conv}\left(\Delta_{j} \cup\left\{\bar{a}_{i}\right\}\right), a_{i j} \in \operatorname{vert} \Delta_{j}$, which can lie on either side of $H_{j}$ depending on whether $a_{i j} \in D_{j}$ or $a_{i_{j}} \in D_{j}^{\prime}$. Since $\Delta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Delta_{v-d}^{\prime}$ are simplicial, all splittings of the $\Delta_{j}$ are independent. Hence they can be chosen in such a way that

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{v-d} \operatorname{relint} \operatorname{conv}\left(\bar{\Delta}_{j} \cup\left\{\bar{a}_{i j}\right\}\right)=\varnothing
$$

By Shephard's theorem, this proves our assertion.
Remark 1. Polytopes $P$ as assumed in Theorem 1 do exist for any $d \geq 3$. Let, for example, $C$ be a $d$-dimensional cube with 0 as its center, and consider in any one-dimensional face $p q$ of $C$ the supporting hyperplane $H$ such that $H \cap C=p q$ and such that $H$ is perpendicular to the plane spanned by $0, p$, and $q$. Then the half-spaces bounded by such $H$ and containing 0 intersect in a polytope $P$ that has $v=2^{d}+2 d$ vertices and $d \cdot 2^{d-1}$ simplicial facets that are not simplexes. Since $v-d=2^{d}+d<d \cdot 2^{d-1}$ for $d \geq 3$ there are sufficiently many such faces available. Further examples for $d=4$ can be found in Altshuler and Steinberg [1].

Remark 2. In many cases there will be more than one nonstrongly polytopal fan that can be constructed from $P$. If, for example, $d$ is even and $v-d$ is odd, then the two possible facet-splittings of $\Delta_{j}$ are nonisomorphic. Replacing conv $\left(\bar{\Delta}_{,} \cup\right.$ $\left.\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)$ by $\operatorname{conv}\left(\bar{\Delta}_{j} \cup\left\{a_{k_{j}}\right\}\right)$ where $a_{i,}, a_{k_{j}}$ are in different sets $D_{j}, D_{j}^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots$, $v-d$, provides a fan that is nonisomorphic to the first one. This example generalizes cases I and II in the above four-dimensional example.

Remark 3. If the hyperplanes $H_{j}$ are linearly dependent, then, in general, less than $v-d$ facet splittings will do to obtain nonstrongly polytopal fans. The same is true in many cases where the $\Delta_{j}$ have more than $d+1$ vertices.

## 3. Canonical Extensions

We present now a further method of constructing nonprojective toric varieties from given ones. If the variety $X_{\Sigma}$ we start with has no singularities the same is true for the new ones. Also the possibility of turning the variety into a projective space by blow-ups and -downs is preserved.

Let $\Sigma$ be a simplicial fan in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $V:=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\}$ be the set of its generating primitive lattice vectors. We embed $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, replace a vertex $\left(a_{j}, 0\right)$, say $\left(a_{1}, 0\right)$, by $\left(a_{1}, 1\right)$, and join ( $a_{1}, 1$ ) to the complement of the star of ( $a_{1}, 0$ ) in the complex $B_{\text {st }}(Q)$ on the boundary of the star-shaped polytope such that $\Sigma$ projects the faces of $B_{\text {st }}(Q)$ (see Section 1). Then we join $(0,-1)$ to the boundary of the complex thus constructed. We obtain a complex $\tilde{B}_{s t}(\tilde{Q})$ which bounds a star-shaped polytope $\tilde{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. We call $\tilde{B}_{\text {st }}(\tilde{Q})$ or its associated fan $\tilde{\Sigma}$ a canonical extension of $B_{s t}(Q)$ or $\Sigma$, respectively. Also $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is then called a canonical extension of $X_{\Sigma}$ (Fig. 9). (According to Provan and Billera [12] $\tilde{B}_{\mathrm{st}}(\tilde{Q})$ is the simplicial wedge of $B_{\mathrm{st}}(Q)$ on $a_{1}$; according to Klee and Kleinschmidt [7] the dual wedge.)


Fig. 9
$\tilde{B}_{\mathrm{st}}(\tilde{Q})$ can also be obtained by doubling $\bar{a}_{1}$ in a Gale-transform $\bar{V}$ of $V: \bar{a}_{1}=\bar{a}_{v+1}$. The additional affine dependence $\bar{a}_{1}-\bar{a}_{v+1}=0$ provides us the new vertices $\left(a_{1}, 1\right),\left(a_{2}, 0\right), \ldots,\left(a_{v}, 0\right),(0,-1)$ in the extended original space. This interpretation of the canonical extension should be kept in mind but is not necessary for what follows.

Theorem 2. Let $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ be a canonical extension of $X_{\Sigma}$.
(1) If $X_{\Sigma}$ has dimension $d, X_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ has dimension $d+1$.
(2) If $X_{\Sigma}$ is projective, so is $X_{\Sigma}$.
(3) If $X_{\Sigma}$ is nonprojective, so is $X_{\Sigma}$.
(4) If $X_{\Sigma}$ is nonsingular and can, by blow-ups and -downs, be transformed into a projective space, the same is true for $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$.

Proof. (1) True, by definition.
(2) Let $t_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, t_{v} a_{v}, t_{j}>0, j=1, \ldots, v$, be vertices of a convex polytope. Then $\left(t, a_{1}, 0\right)$ is outside $P_{0}:=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\left(t_{1} a_{1}, 1\right),\left(t_{2} a_{2}, 0\right), \ldots,\left(t_{v} a_{v}, 0\right)\right\}$. Hence, if $t>0$ is sufficiently large, the line segment joining $\left(t_{1} a_{1}, 1\right)$ and $(0,-t)$ is also outside $P_{0}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is also strongly polytopal, the realizing polytope being $\operatorname{conv}\left(P_{0}\right.$ $\cup\{0,-t\}$ ).
(3) Suppose $\tilde{\Sigma}$ were strongly polytopal, being realized by a polytope $\tilde{P}$. Then $P:=\tilde{P} \cap\left\{X_{d+1}=0\right\}$ is a realization for $\Sigma$, a contradiction.
(4) The determinants of $d+1$ rows associated with facets of $P_{0}$ evidently reduce, up to a factor $\pm 1$, to determinants of $d$ rows associated with the facets of $B_{\mathrm{st}}(Q)$, hence are $\pm 1$.

We apply first a stellar subdivision $S\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} p, \tilde{\Sigma}\right)$ where $p=\left(a_{1}, 0\right)+(0,-1)$. The complex $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}:=\left[B_{\text {st }}(Q) \backslash \operatorname{star}\left(a_{1}, B(Q)\right)\right] \cup\left[p \cdot \operatorname{link} \operatorname{star}\left(a_{1}, B(Q)\right)\right](p \cdot \mathscr{C}:=$ $\{\operatorname{conv}(\{p\} \cup \sigma) \mid \sigma \in \mathscr{C}\}$ the join of $p$ and $\mathscr{C})$ is isomorphic to $B(Q)$. Hence regular stellar subdivisions and inverses applied successively to $B_{\mathrm{st}}(Q)$ correspond to analogous operations for $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ and can naturally be extended to operations for $\tilde{B}_{\mathrm{st}}(\tilde{Q})$. If $B_{\mathrm{st}}(Q)$ is thus transformed into a $d$-simplex, $\tilde{B}_{\mathrm{st}}(\tilde{Q})$ is being transformed into a double-simplex which, in turn, is readily transformed into a simplex. (Compare Provan and Billera [12] and Klee and Kleinschmidt [7].)

Theorem 2 provides a construction method for nonprojective toric varieties in all dimensions $d>3$. In particular, we have from the examples presented in

## Section 2:

Theorem 3. (1) For any $d \geq 3$ there exist nonprojective toric varieties with $v=d+3$ exceptional divisors.
(2) For any $d \geq 3$ there exist nonsingular, nonprojective toric varieties having $v=d+4$ exceptional divisors.

Remark. If $X_{\Sigma}$ can be blown down, this only carries over to $X_{\Sigma}$ if $\mathbb{R}_{+} a_{1} \neq \mathbb{R}_{+} p$ in $S\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} p, \Sigma\right)$.
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