Spin-Selective Generation of Triplet Nitrenes: Olefin Aziridination via Visible Light Photosensitization of Azidoformates

**Spencer O. Scholz**, **Elliot P. Farney**, **Sangyun Kim**, **Desiree M. Bates**, and **Prof. Tehshik P. Yoon**[a]

[a]Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 1101 University Avenue, Madison, WI, 53706 USA

Abstract

Azidoformates are interesting potential nitrene precursors, but their direct photochemical activation can result in competitive formation of aziridination and allylic amination products. Here, we show that visible light activated transition metal complexes can be triplet sensitizers that selectively produce aziridines via the spin-selective photogeneration of triplet nitrenes from azidoformates. This approach enables the aziridination of a wide range of alkenes and the formal oxyamination of enol ethers using the alkene as the limiting reagent. Preparative scale aziridinations can be easily achieved in flow.

Graphical Abstract

A fresh spin on heterocycles. Synthetically useful photochemical aziridinations can be achieved by using a visible light activated transition metal photosensitizer to produce nitrenes exclusively in

Correspondence to: Tehshik P. Yoon.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

their chemoselective triplet spin state. A wide range of aliphatic and aromatic alkenes can be readily aziridinated without competitive allylic insertion reactions.

Keywords

azides; aziridination; nitrenes; photocatalysis; chemoselectivity

Aziridines are versatile intermediates for the synthesis of nitrogen-containing compounds. [1] Many important natural products also feature aziridines as their principal bioactive functionality. [2] However, methods for aziridine synthesis are somewhat underdeveloped,[3] particularly in comparison to the wealth of methods available for epoxide synthesis. The most widely utilized methods for alkene aziridination involve the generation of metallonitrenes from iminoiodinane reagents. [4] These methods, unfortunately, produce stoichiometric haloarene byproducts, and there has consequently been significant interest in the use of alternate nitrene precursors for aziridination reactions.[5] Organic azides appear particularly attractive in this regard because they generate nitrenes by expelling dinitrogen as the sole stoichiometric byproduct. Several laboratories, notably including the Zhang[6] and Katsuki[7] groups, have reported pioneering advances in catalytic aziridination with organoazides. Nevertheless, these processes often require a large excess of alkene, and many methods are limited to styrenic olefins. Thus there remains a need for new approaches to aziridination that utilize organoazides as nitrene precursors.

Photochemical activation offers one potential solution. Electronically excited organic azides rapidly decompose to reactive free nitrenes. [8] However, attempts to perform intermolecular aziridination reactions by direct photolysis of azidoformates typically produce complex mixtures containing both aziridines and allylic amination products,[9] a result that has led to the pervasive notion that free nitrenes are too reactive to provide synthetically useful chemoselectivities. Seminal studies by Lwowski, however, demonstrated that while singlet carbethoxynitrenes competitively undergo both amination and aziridination reactions, triplet carbethoxynitrenes react selectively with alkenes to afford aziridines with comparatively slow reaction with allylic C–H bonds.[10] Thus the fundamental challenge in photochemical aziridination reactions appears not to be the absolute reactivity of free nitrenes but rather the unselective production of both singlet and triplet nitrenes from direct photolysis of azides.

We wondered if chemoselective photochemical aziridination reactions might be achieved via triplet sensitization, which would produce nitrenes selectively in the triplet state. Our laboratory previously studied the use of visible light absorbing transition metal complexes to sensitize vinyl azides towards intramolecular heterocyclic ring-closing reactions (Scheme 1).[11] Quite recently, König and coworkers reported an intriguing method for photocatalytic amidation of electron-rich heterocycles, the key step of which was proposed to involve triplet sensitization of a benzoyl azide.[12] To the best of our knowledge, however, the use of triplet sensitizers to promote chemoselective intermolecular alkene aziridination reactions has not previously been described. Herein, we demonstrate that visible light triplet sensitization of azidoformates enables the preparation of a range of structurally diverse aziridines. Notably, this method utilizes the alkene as the limiting reagent, provides high

yields for both aliphatic and aromatic alkenes, and exhibits excellent selectivity for aziridination over allylic amination.

We elected to focus our investigations on azidoformates as nitrene precursors, based on several considerations. First, unlike acyl azides, nitrenes derived from azidoformates have little proclivity to undergo Curtius-type rearrangements that might be competitive with bimolecular aziridination reactions.[13] Second, azidoformates are less easily reduced than acyl azides, which should disfavor undesired photoreductive pathways for azide decomposition via non-nitrene-mediated pathways.[14] Finally, we computationally estimated the lowest-energy triplet excited state of ethyl azidoformate to be ~54 kcal/mol,[15] thermodynamically within a range accessible by the Ir complexes we have previously exploited as energy transfer photocatalysts.[11,16]

We began by examining the reaction of ethyl azidoformate (0.5 mmol) with cyclohexene (0.1 mmol) in the presence of a range of photoactive Ru(II) and Ir(III) complexes (Table 1, entries 1–4). From this study, Ir(ppy)$_2$(dttbpy)PF$_6$ (**1c**) emerged as the most effective photocatalyst, providing 17% yield of aziridine after 4 h of irradiation with a 15 W blue LED lamp (entry 3). Notably, we observed no allylic amination nor other products characteristic of uncontrolled nitrene insertion. A screen of azidoformates revealed that introduction of electron-withdrawing substituents increased the rate of aziridination (entries 5 and 6); when 2,2,2-trichloroethyl azidoformate (TrocN$_3$) was used, the consumption of cyclohexene was complete after 4 h, and the aziridine was formed in 77% yield (entry 6).[17] Interestingly, an excess of the azide was required only for optimal rate; lowering the azide concentration resulted in a slower reaction, but the mass balance of the azide remained high (entries 7 and 8). Similarly, increasing the reaction scale to 0.4 mmol resulted in partial conversion to the aziridine at 4 h (entry 9), although full conversion could be restored by increasing the reaction time to 20 h (entry 10). These results indicate the reaction is likely photon-limited, consistent with the high molar absorptivity of this class of photocatalysts.[18] Control studies showed both catalyst and light (entries 11 and 12) are essential for the reaction to proceed. Finally, in line with our original hypothesis, we studied the direct UV-promoted photochemical reaction (310 nm) of **2** with **3c** and observed an unselective mixture of allylic amination and aziridination products, highlighting the value of triplet sensitization for chemoselective aziridination.[19]

Table 2 summarizes the scope of the photocatalytic aziridination. A variety of cyclic alkenes undergo aziridination smoothly under optimized conditions (**5**–**8**). The method provides good yields of aziridines derived from both aliphatic and styrenic alkenes (**9** and **10**), and internal olefins (**11** and **12**) undergo aziridination as successfully as terminal alkenes. A variety of functional groups are tolerated including protected amines and alcohols (**13**–**15**), potentially photosensitive halides (**16**), and Lewis basic heterocycles (**17**). Electron-deficient alkenes react at a slower rate, consistent with the electrophilic nature of the nitrene intermediate, often requiring somewhat extended reaction times (**18** and **19**). Consistent with this rate difference, a substrate bearing both an α,β-unsaturated ketone and an aliphatic trisubstituted alkene undergoes selective aziridination at the electron-rich alkene (**20**).

Reactions of alkenes bearing electron-releasing moieties were also explored (Scheme 2); however, the resulting aziridines were formed with varying amounts of an isomeric oxazoline. Anethole, for example, yielded a 9:1 mixture of aziridine **22** and oxazoline **23** in 79% combined yield. We wondered if more electron-rich substrates such as enol ethers might favor the formation of these formal oxyamination products. [20] Indeed, the reaction of benzofuran afforded oxazoline exclusively (**24**), [21] with no azirdine observable in the reaction mixture. Glycals similarly underwent exclusive oxyamination, yielding **25** and **26** with excellent d.r..

We predicated our reaction design on the hypothesis that triplet nitrenes would be selectively formed via Dexter energy transfer from the triplet excited state of a transition metal photocatalyst.[22] Aziridination reactions of triplet nitrenes should proceed in a stepwise fashion involving electrophilic radical addition to an alkene followed by relatively slow intersystem crossing and fast ring-closure of the resulting singlet 1,3-diradical. Consistent with this expectation, aziridinations of stereodefined *cis* and *trans* alkenes are not stereospecific (Scheme 3A). The radical nature of the intermediate was further verified by subjecting cyclopropyl alkene **29** to the aziridination conditions (Scheme 3B). This reaction resulted in the scrambling of the cyclopropane stereochemistry in the aziridinated products (**30**), a result that is only consistent with the reversible ring fragmentation of a cyclopropyl carbinyl radical intermediate.[23] Finally, we considered the possibility that the aziridination was not proceeding via a nitrene intermediate but rather by a [3+2] cycloaddition of the carbamoyl azide followed by a photocatalytic decomposition of a 1,2,3-triazoline intermediate.[24] To test this hypothesis, we prepared norbornene-derived triazoline **31** and irradiated it for 4 h in the presence and absence of **1c** (Scheme 3C). While we observed slow conversion of **31** to aziridine **8**, the rate of this spontaneous ring contraction is not impacted by the presence of photocatalyst **1c** and is kinetically incompetent to be a significant contributor to the formation of aziridine under catalytic conditions. Thus all available evidence is consistent with the triplet nitrene postulated in our reaction design.

One notable result of our optimization studies was the observation that the rate of azide consumption decreased as the scale of the reaction increased. This phenomenon is consistent with a photon-limited process, which is common in photoreactions that involve chromophores with high molar absorptivity. Such reactions often benefit from flow reactors whose reduced dimensionality can increase the photon flux and allow for efficient scale-up.[25] We thus examined the aziridination of cyclohexene in flow and observed significantly increased efficiency, with a high yield and short residence time (t_R) of 2.3 h. More importantly, we also observed that the concentration of azide could be lowered to 2.0 equiv in flow, albeit with a somewhat longer residence time (t_R = 8 h). Finally, to demonstrate the scalability of the aziridination in flow, we conducted an aziridination reaction on preparative scale and produced 785 mg of pure aziridine in 70% isolated yield over a 16 h period.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that photocatalytic aziridinations can be achieved by using a visible light absorbing transition metal photosensitizer for the spin-selective generation of triplet nitrenes from azidoformates. A wide range of alkenes can be aziridinated or oxyaminated under operationally facile batch conditions, and the use of a flow reactor enables the reaction to be conducted on preparative scale. Further efforts in our

lab will continue to develop visible light triplet sensitization as a general, conceptually novel strategy for C–N bond-forming reactions using organoazides as nitrene precursors.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

References

1. a) Hu XE. Tetrahedron. 2004; 60:2701–2743.b) Huang CY, Doyle AG. Chem Rev. 2014; 114:8153–8198. [PubMed: 24869559] c) Yudin, AK., editor. Aziridines and Epoxides in Organic Synthesis. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2006.

2. a) Kametani T, Honda T. Adv Heterocycl Chem. 1986; 39:3373–3387.b) Botuha, C., Chemla, F., Ferreira, F., Pérez-Luna, A. Heterocycles in Natural Product Synthesis. Majumdar, KC., Chattopadhyay, SK., editors. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2011. p. 1-39.

3. a) Sweeney JB. Chem Soc Rev. 2002; 31:247–258. [PubMed: 12357722] b) Watson IDG, Yu L, Yudin AK. Acc Chem Res. 2006; 39:194–206. [PubMed: 16548508]

4. a) Müller P, Fruit C. Chem Rev. 2003; 103:2905–2920. [PubMed: 12914485] b) Degennaro L, Trinchera P, Luisi R. Chem Rev. 2014; 114:7881–7929. [PubMed: 24823261]

5. For reviews, see: Katsuki T. Chem Lett. 2005; 34:1304–1309.Fantauzzi S, Caselli A, Gallo E. Dalt Trans. 2009:5434.Driver TG. Org Biomol Chem. 2010; 8:3831–3846. [PubMed: 20617243] Lu H, Zhang XP. Chem Soc Rev. 2011; 40:1899–1909. [PubMed: 21088785]

6. a) Gao G-Y, Jones JE, Vyas R, Harden JD, Zhang XP. J Org Chem. 2006; 71:6655–6658. [PubMed: 16901165] b) Ruppel JV, Jones JE, Huff CA, Kamble RM, Chen Y, Zhang XP. Org Lett. 2008; 10:1995–1998. [PubMed: 18422325] c) Jin L-M, Xu X, Lu H, Xui X, Wojtas L, Zhang XP. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2013; 20:5309–5313.d) Subbarayan V, Ruppel JV, Zhu S, Perman JA, Zhang XP. Chem Commun. 2009:4266–4268.

7. a) Omura K, Murakami M, Uchida T, Irie R, Katsuki T. Chem Lett. 2003; 32:354–355.b) Omura K, Uchida T, Irie R, Katsuki T. Chem Commun. 2004; 18:2060–2061.c) Kawabata H, Omura K, Katsuki T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006; 47:1571–1574.d) Kawabata H, Omura K, Katsuki T. Chem Asian J. 2007; 2:248–256. [PubMed: 17441159]

8. Scriven, EFV., editor. Azides and Nitrenes, Reactivity and Utility. Academic Press; New York: 1984. p. 1-291.

9. Lwowski W, Mattingly T. J Am Chem Soc. 1965; 87:1947–1958.

10. a) Lwowski W, Woerner FP. J Am Chem Soc. 1965; 87:5491–5492.b) Lwowski W. Angew Chem Int, Ed. 1967; 6:897–906.

11. Farney EP, Yoon TP. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2014; 53:793–797.

12. Brachet E, Ghosh T, Ghosh I, König B. Chem Sci. 2015; 6:987–992.

13. Liu J, Mandel S, Hadad CM, Platz MS. J Org Chem. 2004; 69:8583–8593. [PubMed: 15575733]

14. Chen Y, Kamlet AS, Steinman JB, Liu DR. Nat Chem. 2011; 3:146–153. [PubMed: 21258388]

15. See Supporting Information for further information regarding the molecular energies and coordinates of these computational studies.

16. a) Lu Z, Yoon TP. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2012; 51:10329–10332.b) Hurtley AE, Lu Z, Yoon TP. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2014; 53:8991–8994.

17. Organoazide compounds are potentially explosive, and proper safety procedures should always be used in their manipulation. However, samples of $TrocN_3$ stored at 0 °C in our laboratory show no

signs of decomposition after several months, and DSC analysis indicates that TrocN$_3$ is stable to 157 °C. See: Lus H, Subbarayan V, Tao J, Zhang XP. Organometallics. 2010; 29:389–393.

18. Flamigni L, Barbieri A, Sabatini C, Ventura B, Barigelletti F. Top Curr Chem. 2007; 281:143–203.

19. See Supporting Information for details of these experiments.

20. Du Bois J, Tomooka CS, Hong J, Carreira EM. J Am Chem Soc. 1997; 119:3179–3180.

21. König observes the formation of an analogous oxazoline product in two examples. In the reaction of benzoyl azide with benzofuran, the oxazoline is produced as a 2:1 mixture with the C–H amination product in 50% overall yield. See Ref 12.

22. Addition of anthracene to an aziridination reaction completely inhibits consumption of the azidoformate, indicating that the excited Ir triplet reacts more rapidly with this triplet quencher than with azidoformate. This experiment, unfortunately, does not elucidate the mechanism by which azidoformate and the photocatalyst interact in the productive reaction.

23. a) Müller P, Baud C, Jacquier Y. Can J Chem. 1998; 76:738.b) Benkovics T, Du J, Guzei IA, Yoon TP. J Org Chem. 2009; 74:5545–5552. [PubMed: 19507883] c) Van Humbeck JF, Simonovich SP, Knowles RR, MacMillan DWC. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:10012–10014. [PubMed: 20608675]

24. For an example of the formation of aziridines by decomposition of triazolines, see: Scheiner P. J Am Chem Soc. 1966; 88:4759–4760.

25. For review see: Garlets ZJ, Nguyen JD, Stephenson CRJ. Isr J Chem. 2014; 54:351–360. [PubMed: 25484447]

Intramolecular cyclizations of dienyl azides (Yoon, ref 11)

Amidation of electron-rich heteroarenes (König, ref 12)

Chemoselective intermolecular aziridination (this work)

Scheme 1.
Photocatalytic activation of azides via visible light triplet sensitization.

Scheme 2.
Scope of oxazoline products available by photocatalytic activation. (R = –CH$_2$CCl$_3$)

Scheme 3.
Studies supporting a stepwise diradical mechanism for aziridination via a triplet nitrene.

$$2.5 \text{ mol\% } \mathbf{1c}$$

over CH_2Cl_2, flow $h\nu$

2   3c   4c

5.0 equiv. TrocN$_3$: 72% yield (t_R = 2.3 h)

2.0 equiv. TrocN$_3$: 70% yield (t_R = 8.0 h)

Scheme 4.
Aziridination of **2** in flow.

Table 1

Optimization studies for photocatalytic aziridination. Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were conducted using 0.10 mmol of **2** in degassed solvent and irradiated with a 15 W blue LED flood lamp for 4 h.

1a Ru(dtbbpy)$_3$(PF$_6$)$_2$ (R = *t*Bu)
1b Ru(bpy)$_3$(PF$_6$)$_2$ (R = H)

1c Ir(ppy)$_2$(dtbbpy)PF$_6$ (R,R' = H)
1d Ir(^{F}ppy)$_2$(dtbbpy)PF$_6$ (R = F; R' = CF$_3$)

Entry	Catalyst	Azidoformate (R)	Equiv. azide	Yield[a]
1	**1a**	**3a** (–CH$_2$CH$_3$)	5	0%
2	**1b**	**3a** (–CH$_2$CH$_3$)	5	<2%
3	**1c**	**3a** (–CH$_2$CH$_3$)	5	17%
4	**1d**	**3a** (–CH$_2$CH$_3$)	5	<2%
5	**1c**	**3b** (–CH$_2$CH$_2$Cl)	5	50%
6	**1c**	**3c** (–CH$_2$CCl$_3$)	5	77%
7	**1c**	**3c** (–CH$_2$CCl$_3$)	3	65%
8	**1c**	**3c** (–CH$_2$CCl$_3$)	1	41%
9[b]	**1c**	**3c** (–CH$_2$CCl$_3$)	5	50%
10[b,c]	**1c**	**3c** (–CH$_2$CCl$_3$)	5	75%[d]
11[e]	**1c**	**3c** (–CH$_2$CCl$_3$)	5	0%
12	none	**3c** (–CH$_2$CCl$_3$)	5	0%

[a] Yields determined *via* ^{1}H NMR analysis using 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)benzene as an internal standard unless otherwise noted.

[b] Reaction conducted on 0.40 mmol scale with respect to **2**.

[c] Reaction irradiated for 20 h.

[d] Isolated yield.

[e] Reaction conducted in the dark.

Table 2

Scope of aziridination products available by photocatalytic activation. Reactions were conducted using 0.40 mmol of alkene in degassed solvent and irradiated with a 15 W blue LED flood lamp for 20 h. Yields represent the averaged values from two reproduced experiments.

5 n = 1, 62% yield
6 n = 3, 69% yield
7 n = 4, 86% yield (9:1 dr)

8 71% yield

9 62% yield

10 62% yield

11 91% yield[a]

12 77% yield
(10:1 dr)

13 64% yield
(>20:1 dr)

14 77% yield
(14:1 dr)

15 81% yield

16 75% yield

17 77% yield
(9:1 dr)

18 61% yield

19 66% yield[b]

20 75% yield

[a] Isolated as a 13:1 mixture of aziridine to imine (see SI for more information).

[b] Reaction irradiated for 30 h.