

Spinal Tuberculosis: Diagnosis and Management

Mohammad R. Rasouli^{1,2}, Maryam Mirkoohi¹, Alexander R. Vaccaro²,
Kourosh Karimi Yarandi¹, Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar^{1,3}

¹Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Orthopaedics and Neurosurgery, Thomas Jefferson University and the Rothman Institute, Philadelphia, USA

³Research Centre for Neural Repair, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

The spinal column is involved in less than 1% of all cases of tuberculosis (TB). Spinal TB is a very dangerous type of skeletal TB as it can be associated with neurologic deficit due to compression of adjacent neural structures and significant spinal deformity. Therefore, early diagnosis and management of spinal TB has special importance in preventing these serious complications. In order to extract current trends in diagnosis and medical or surgical treatment of spinal TB we performed a narrative review with analysis of all the articles available for us which were published between 1990 and 2011. Although the development of more accurate imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging and advanced surgical techniques have made the early diagnosis and management of spinal TB much easier, these are still very challenging topics. In this review we aim to discuss the diagnosis and management of spinal TB based on studies with acceptable design, clearly explained results and justifiable conclusions.

Key Words: Spinal tuberculosis, Diagnosis, Therapeutics, Drug therapy

Introduction

Although the first documented spinal tuberculosis (TB) cases date back to 5,000-year-old Egyptian mummies, the first modern case of spinal TB was described in 1779 by Percival Pott [1]. Spinal involvement occurs in less than 1% of patients with TB [2,3] but the increasing frequency of TB in both developed and developing countries has continued to make spinal TB a health problem [2,4]. Spinal TB (Pott's disease) is the most common as well as one of the most dangerous forms of skeletal TB and accounts for 50% of all cases of skeletal TB. Although the thoracolumbar junction seems to be the most common site of the spinal column involvement in spinal TB, any part of the spine can be affected [5]. Furthermore, the incidence of neurologic complications in spinal TB varies from 10% to 43% [1].

Recently, the development of multidrug resistant TB, frequency of infection in immunodeficient individuals, more accurate imaging modalities, and advances in spinal reconstruction techniques have all changed the management of Pott's disease [2].

Advanced imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) make the early diagnosis of spinal TB easier and a considerable number of patients with spinal TB are diagnosed earlier and treated more effectively before significant neurological deficits develop. However, patients can still present late with considerable spine deformity [1].

Since the advanced imaging modalities and different treatment protocols are developed during these years and drug resistance mandates applying innovative strategies to treat this serious disease, new reviews are always required to assess modern diagnostic modalities and treatment op-

Received Sep 21, 2011; Revision Dec 2, 2011; Accepted Jan 17, 2012

Corresponding author: **Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, MD**

Department of Neurosurgery, Shariati Hospital, Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Hassan-Abad Square, Imam Khomeini Ave, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran 11365-3876, Iran

Tel: +98-915 342 2682, Fax: +98-216 675 7009, E-mail: v_rahimi@sina.tums.ac.ir

tions.

The objective of this review is to discuss the diagnosis and management of spinal TB according to the recent studies published in the literature.

Materials and Methods

In order to extract current trends in diagnosis and medical or surgical treatment of spinal TB we performed a narrative review with analysis of all the articles available to us published between the years 1990 and 2011.

The reports about different aspects of spinal TB, with acceptable design, clearly explained results and justified conclusions according to the data were included in this review. Since, one of the aims of this review was to discuss the historical aspects of TB management we included articles regardless of their time of publication.

Pathophysiology

There are two distinct types of spinal TB, the classic form or spondylodiscitis, and an increasingly common atypical form which is spondylitis without disc involvement [6]. In adults, the involvement of the intervertebral disc is secondary to spread from adjacent infected vertebra whereas in children it can be primarily due to the vascularized nature of the intervertebral disc. The basic lesion in Pott's disease is a combination of osteomyelitis and arthritis, usually affecting more than one vertebra. The anterior aspect of the vertebral body adjacent to the subchondral plate is commonly involved [7]. Spinal TB can include any of the following: progressive bone destruction leading to vertebral collapse and kyphosis, cold abscess formation (due to extension of infection into adjacent ligaments and soft tissues), spinal canal narrowing by abscesses, granulation tissue or direct dural invasion resulting in spinal cord compression and neurologic deficits [7].

Diagnosis

Differentiating spinal TB from pyogenic and fungal vertebral osteomyelitis as well as primary and metastatic spinal tumors may be difficult when only clinical and radiographic findings are considered [8]. A history of tuberculosis, a positive skin test (its value declines in endemic areas), and an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) may be useful in the diagnosis of spinal TB [8,9]. Biopsy plays a valu-

able role in the diagnosis of spinal TB infection. The use of DNA amplification techniques (polymerase chain reaction or PCR) may facilitate rapid and accurate diagnosis of the disease [10]. Culturing the organisms is slow and may be inaccurate. Nevertheless, it is still a precious diagnostic method in order to recognize the causative germs. In a small number of cases with imaging and clinical findings suggestive of spinal infection, no organism can be cultured despite multiple attempts. Mycobacterial infection as well as fungal involvement should be considered in these cases.

Computed tomography (CT) provides bony detail, while MRI evaluates the involvement of soft tissue and abscess formation. The relative preservation of the disc, rarefaction of the vertebral endplates, anterior wedging, the presence of separate pre- and paravertebral or intra-osseous abscesses with a subligamentous extension and breaching of the epidural space, concentric collapse of vertebral body, ivory vertebra which is seen at conventional radiographs and refers to an increase in opacity of a vertebral body while preserving its size and contours (with no change in the opacity and size of adjacent intervertebral discs), neural arch tuberculosis, circumferential or pan vertebral involvement, extradural tuberculoma, subdural granuloma, intramedullary tuberculoma, and multilevel spinal TB are considered as the diagnostic clues for this disease in various imaging modalities [8,9,11-14]. Significant bone destruction can be detected on plain radiographs or CT scan (Figs. 1, 2) [15]. However, epidural granulomatous tissue or tuberculoma of the spinal cord may not be detected by these tools [8]. Among the various types of imaging modalities, MRI has the ability to diagnose the disease earlier and more accurately than plain radiographs [9]. Although not specific to spinal TB, there is a decrease in signal intensity of the involved bone and soft tissues on T2-weighted images and the increase in intensity of a uniform thin rim enhancement is a pathogenomic finding suggesting either caseation necrosis or a cold abscess in tuberculosis [16]. In the evaluation of spinal TB with isolated involvement of the posterior elements, MRI is also useful in diagnosis and assessment of the treatment response [17].

In comparison to pyogenic discitis, the most distinguishing feature of spinal TB is bony destruction with relative preservation of the intervertebral disc and heterogeneous enhancement. In pyogenic discitis, bone destruction and homogenous enhancement is more frequently observed [18]. The presence of an abscess and bone fragments differentiate spinal tuberculosis from neoplasia and if there is any

doubt an image-guided biopsy is indicated [19]. In 88.5% to 96.4% of the cases, a CT/fluoroscopic-guided fine-needle aspiration cytology biopsy is helpful and yields a diagnosis [20,21].

Classification of Spinal TB

In 1985, Kumar [22] introduced a 4-point classification for posterior spinal TB based on site of involvement and stages of the disease. One of the most important limitations attributed to this classification system was only including posterior spinal TB which is relatively rare.

In 2001, Mehta and Bhojraj [23] introduced a new classification system for spinal TB using MRI findings. They classified patients to 4 groups according to the employed surgical technique. Group A consisted of patients with stable anterior lesions and no kyphotic deformity, who were managed with anterior debridement and strut grafting. Group

B consisted of patients with global lesions, kyphosis and instability, and were managed with posterior instrumentation using a closed-loop rectangle with sublaminar wires plus anterior strut grafting. Group C patients had anterior or global lesions along with a high operative risk for trans-thoracic surgery due to medical comorbidities and probable anesthetic complications. Therefore, these patients underwent posterior decompression with the anterior aspect of the cord being approached through a transpedicular route and posterior instrumentation performed using a closed-loop rectangle held by sublaminar wire. Finally, group D patients had isolated posterior lesions that needed only a posterior decompression [23]. This classification only categorizes thoracic lesions which is the most important limitation of this system.

To overcome the limitations described, Oguz et al. [24] developed a new classification system in which spinal TB is classified in to three main types, with type I lesions being




Fig. 1. (A) Lateral radiography shows severe kyphosis resulting from significant destruction of two contiguous vertebral segments by tuberculosis infection in the thoracolumbar junction (Modified from Rahimi-Movaghar [15], with permission from Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences). **(B)** Schematic representation of the pathology, affecting the intervertebral disc, vertebral bodies, and anterior paravertebral region (orange). The posterior elements are also involved. As a result of such a significant deformity, noticeable compression endangers spinal cord (yellow).

subdivided in to two subtypes. In this classification system, lesions are classified as follows: 1) Type I, one-level disc involvement and soft tissue infiltration without abscess, collapse and neurologic deficit. 2) Type I-A, lesions only limited to vertebra and therefore, manageable with fine needle biopsy and medical therapy. 3) Type I-B, abscess formation exceeds the vertebra and the treatment is debridement using an anterior, posterior or endoscopic approach. 4) Type II, one- or two-level disc degeneration, abscess formation and




Fig. 2. Computed tomography scan of the same case (Fig. 1) demonstrating significant destruction of vertebral posterior elements (Modified from Rahimi-Movaghar [15], with permission from Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences).

mild kyphosis correctable with anterior surgery. Although instability is not seen in this type, neurological deficit may be present. The treatment includes debridement with an anterior approach and fusion with strut tri-cortical graft. 5) Type III, one- or two-level disc degeneration, abscess formation, instability and deformity that cannot be corrected without instrumentation. Decompression and stabilization of the deformity via an anterolateral, posterior, or combined approach is necessary.

Although this classification system provides a practical classification, it has no special focus on posterior lesions and therefore, this can be considered as the main limitation of this classification system [25].

Management

Historically, spinal TB was managed by rest and decreased weight bearing on the diseased vertebrae by application of an immobilizing bandage, and by promoting the natural processes of healing by general hygienic measures [26].

Simple aspiration or drainage of the abscesses [27] and removing the lesion through the confined posterior route [26] were the first surgical approaches introduced for this disease although the results were not promising enough. In 1895, Menard used an anterolateral extrapleural approach which had been developed and modified by other authors for the debridement of diseased tissues, mechanical decompression of the cord, and bone grafting for anterior spinal fusion [28-31]. This was the first approach to provide adequate exposure for the treatment of dorsal lesions [27]. The transpleural anterior approach was initially introduced by Hodgson and Stock [32] and although this approach requires advanced postoperative care, it has been frequently used [28,33].

Posterior spinal fusion had been advocated and used extensively by Albee [34] and Hibbs [35] in the management of spinal TB. Furthermore, in 1946, Alexander performed a lateral decompression with preservation of the spinal stability by avoiding the laminae and posterior intervertebral joints [33].

Nowadays, the systemic treatment with anti-tuberculosis medications before and after the surgical debridement, the careful debridement of the entire focus of infection, and the successful method to reconstruct for spinal stability are the key aspects in the treatment of spinal tuberculosis [36].

For decision making and management of spinal TB, it can be broadly classified as two groups of lesions: those with

neurologic complications and those without [9]. In patients without neurologic deficit, medical therapy is the treatment of choice and surgical intervention may be needed in relatively few cases. In cases with neurologic complications, medical therapy is the first choice again but when indicated, combination of medical and surgical treatments yield the best results. Laminectomy is recommended in patients with posterior complex disease and spinal tumor syndrome. Late onset paraplegia is best prevented by early diagnosis and appropriate treatment. In patients who are expected to have severe (>60 degrees) post treatment kyphosis, one of the surgical goals in the active stage of treatment should be to improve kyphosis [37].

Medical Therapy

Spinal TB is a medical disease and antituberculosis drugs have a main role in the recovery and response of patients [9,38-43]. The efficacy of antituberculosis drugs and other conservative means have been shown in several studies for the treatment of spinal TB in the absence of neurologic deficit, instability, and deformity regardless of presence of paravertebral abscess [38,44-47]. Adequate early pharmacological treatment can prevent severe complications [44]. Combination of rifampicin, isoniazid, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide for two months followed by combination of rifampicin and isoniazid for a total period of 6, 9, 12 or 18 months is the most frequent protocol used for treatment of spinal TB [9]. Tuli's middle-path regimen is widely used with good results [48] and short-course chemotherapy regimens have been demonstrated to have excellent results except in patients younger than 15 years with an initial angle of kyphosis of more than 30 degrees and whose kyphosis increases substantially [49].

In a randomized controlled trial conducted by the Medical Research Council of the UK, the results of surgery and antituberculosis chemotherapy were compared for management of patients with spinal TB [49]. One arm of the trial showed a small but significant advantage of surgery over chemotherapy in preventing progression of kyphosis, but the other arm did not demonstrate superiority of surgery over chemotherapy during long-term follow-up of the patients.

Early diagnosis of spinal TB is very important as adequate early pharmacological treatment can prevent severe complications [44]. Combination of rifampicin, isoniazid, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide for two months followed by combination of rifampicin and isoniazid for a total period of

6, 9, 12 or 18 months is the most frequent protocol used for treatment of spinal TB [9]. The proposed regimen of World Health Organization (WHO) with total duration of 6 months consists of primary treatment with isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol for two months followed by four months of therapy with isoniazid and rifampicin (Table 1) [50]. WHO does not give much attention to spinal TB but the American Thoracic Society recommends 9 months of treatment with the same first drugs consumed for the first two months following by seven months of therapy with isoniazid and rifampicin in the continuation phase, while the Canadian Thoracic Society recommends a total time of treatment as long as 9 to 12 months.

It has been demonstrated that the combination of antituberculous therapy for 6 or 9 months and surgical excision of the lesion with bone grafting is as effective as 18 month treatment [49,51]. Moreover, ultra-short course chemotherapy (i.e., treatment with antituberculosis drugs for less than 6 months) has been reported to be as effective as short course and standard antituberculosis treatment when it is combined with anterior partial excisions of pathological vertebrae, large iliac strut graft, and anterior or posterior internal instrumental fixation [52]. After 4-6 weeks of chemotherapy, tuberculosis symptoms and vertebral pain improves in almost all patients, and the ESR and C-reactive protein (CRP) also decreases [53]. ESR and CRP are reliable parameters evaluating the response to treatment and prognosis of spinal tuberculosis [38,54]. However, prolonging the duration of disease or interval to obtain a negative CRP is associated with poor clinical outcomes [55]. Medical treatment alone even improves the neurological deficit [38]. Thus, generally speaking, surgery is not the most appropriate first choice of treatment in many instances [38].

Some authors suggest combining hyperbaric oxygen therapy with antituberculosis treatment to decrease the duration of treatment [41], but more studies are required to support this finding.

Craniovertebral junction TB with the main manifestation of suboccipital pain and neck stiffness [56] is commonly managed with prolonged antituberculosis treatment combined with rigid external immobilization [40,45]. In at least one study, complete clinical and radiological healing occurred in all patients with conservative management [40].

Different components of medical therapy are shown in Table 2, and indications of medical therapy are demonstrated in Table 3.

Table 1. WHO recommended treatment regimens for different disease categories [50]

Disease category	Tuberculosis patient definition	Treatment regimen	
		Initial phase (daily or three times weekly)	Continuation phase (daily or three times weekly)
I	New smear-positive New smear-negative with extensive parenchymal involvement New severe extra-pulmonary tuberculosis or severe concomitant HIV infection	2 HRZE	4 HR or 6 HE daily
II	Previously treated sputum Smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis - Relapse - Treatment after interruption - Treatment failure	2 HRZES/1 HRZE	5 HRE
III	New smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis Extra-pulmonary tuberculosis	2 HRZE	4 HR or 6 HE daily
IV	Chronic and MDR tuberculosis	Specially designed standardized or individualized regimens	

WHO: World Health Organization, HRZE: Isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol, HR: Isoniazid and rifampin, HE: Isoniazid and ethambutol, HRZES: Isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, and streptomycin, HRE: Isoniazid, rifampicin and ethambutol, HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus, MDR: Multidrug-resistant.

Table 2. Different components of medical therapy

Components of medical therapy
Percutaneous biopsy
Antibiotics (see text)
Brace (such as TLSO) and follow-up imaging at 8 weeks to verify stability
Palliative therapy with pain medications
TLSO: Thoracic lumbosacral orthosis.

Table 3. Indications of medical treatment (note that the majority of cases can be treated non-surgically)

Indications of medical treatment
Organism identified
Antibiotic sensitivity
Single disc space involvement without significant vertebral body destruction
Minimal or no instability
Minimal or no neurologic deficit
Medical co-morbidities such as sepsis or coagulopathy

Indications of Surgical Intervention

The indications for surgery in Pott's disease are cases with neurologic deficit [38,55], paravertebral abscess, spine

instability due to kyphotic deformity (especially in kyphotic angles of 50 to 60 degrees or more which is likely to progress) [9,55,57-62], resistance to the current antituberculosis drugs (which is more encountered nowadays in association with the presence of human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] infection) [9,55], and to prevent/treat complications such as late-onset paraplegia [9].

If surgical treatment of Pott's disease is indicated, delay can cause severe kyphosis, leading to respiratory system dysfunction, painful costopelvic impingement, and paraplegia. It is recommended to perform early surgical intervention to prevent significant spinal instability and neurologic deficit [39].

Teegala et al. [63] developed clinicoradiologic grading from one to three for craniovertebral junction tuberculosis. The higher the grade of the disease, the more association there is with the restriction of active neck movement, severe motor deficit, severe bone destruction (involvement of more than one Denis vertebral column), and cord compression. They recommended early surgical intervention for all patients with grade 3. However, few cases with grade 1 or 2 will need delayed surgery. Employing this scoring system for management of patients with craniovertebral junction TB is associated with rapid recovery, early mobilization, and

Table 4. Indications of surgery (note that medical therapy should always be started as well)

Indications of surgery
Neurological deficit
Emergent surgical intervention should be performed if neurologic deficit exists, unless the deficit is minimal and non-progressive or the patient has medical co-morbidities such as sepsis or coagulopathy.
If the deficit is minimal the patient should be carefully monitored to detect any progression in the symptoms.
Failed medical therapy and progression of disease despite best medical therapy
Chronic pain after medical management
Prominent deformity
Significant instability
Selected cases of epidural abscess (see Table 5)

Table 5. Surgical vs. medical therapy for epidural abscess

Texture of the mass		Liquid-pus (Hyperintense core in T2 with ring enhancement)	Solid phlegmon-granulation tissue (Hypointense or isointense core in T2 with homogenous enhancement)
Focal neurological deficit	Yes	Surgery	Surgery
	No	If an organism is found and the patient can be closely followed or if the length of involvement is very extensive, conservative treatment Consider surgery with no definite bacteriologic diagnosis, remaining or progression despite medical therapy or impossible close follow-up	Conservative treatment

good clinicoradiological outcomes regardless of the initial grade of the disease in patients [61].

Although surgery may not be necessary in advanced stages of craniovertebral junction disease [40], it is recommended for patients with an indefinite diagnosis, initial severe or progressive neural deficit, respiratory distress in the presence of documented mechanical compression, and dynamic instability after conservative treatment [45]. Transoral decompression procedures followed by occipitocervical fusion and antituberculosis drugs have been used with good results in patients with craniocervical junction TB in the presence of cervical myelopathy [64]. In the presence of atlantoaxial dislocation, simultaneous anterior neural decompression via a transcervical retropharyngeal approach, and posterior arthrodesis plus 18 months of antituberculosis chemotherapy have been associated with good long-term results in patients with atlantoaxial dislocation TB [65]

In conclusion, indications for surgery in spinal TB should be limited to tissue sampling when the diagnosis is doubtful, drainage of an abscess in cervical spine (causing difficulty

in swallowing and breathing), drainage of a large paravertebral abscess (that does not respond to 3 to 6 months antituberculosis treatment), persistent or deteriorating neurologic deficits in spite of antituberculosis treatment, recurrent neurologic complications, presence of instability in spinal column, and severe kyphotic deformity [27]. Children may need earlier surgical intervention compared to adults due to their growth potential in order to prevent kyphotic deformity [27].

Indications of surgical intervention are shown in Table 4. Table 5 shows the management of epidural abscesses.

Surgical Techniques

The following techniques are currently used for the treatment of TB spondylitis: 1) posterior decompression and fusion with bone autografts, 2) anterior debridement/decompression and fusion with bone autografts, 3) anterior debridement/decompression and fusion, followed by simultaneous or sequential posterior fusion with instrumentation,

and 4) posterior fusion with instrumentation, followed by simultaneous or sequential anterior debridement/decompression and fusion [55].

The posterolateral or transpedicular approach has been used extensively for the management of spinal TB. This approach is a viable and importantly a safe surgical option for ventral decompression in thoracic spine TB when followed by antituberculosis treatment for 18 months and immobilization in an alkathene shell for 3 months [66,67]. Pedicle screw fixation has also been advocated [9,68].

In the setting of non-equipped medical centers, the anterolateral approach is feasible and safe and provides 360 degree exposure for lesions located in the spine from the second thoracic vertebra down to the fifth lumbar vertebra. Using this approach, anterior debridement, decompression, bone grafting (anterior or posteriorly), posterior implant fixation, and kyphosis correction are all options [27,60]. Some authors suggested that anterior instrumentation in the presence of active disease can be dangerous and may fail or be associated with additional complications [27]. However, in our experience instrumented stabilization in a tubercular infected bed seems to be safe if meticulous debridement is performed [69]. On the other hand, some authors reported series of patients that underwent one-stage anterior interbody autografting and anterior instrumentation with good results [68,70,71]. Regarding the type of bone graft, some authors suggested fresh-frozen allograft and anterior instrumentation which is superior to rib grafts in supporting the anterior spinal column. Although fusion occurs late following the use of allografts, the grafts remain stable [72]. Certainly, reoperation to remove the anteriorly placed implants is complex and is associated with higher risks than the first operation [73]. Supplementary posterior fusion should be considered to prevent postoperative kyphosis when this procedure is performed in children [74].

From a biomechanical view point, neither anterior nor posterior approaches alone can stabilize the spinal column as well as combined approaches in cases of spinal TB. Therefore, several authors have suggested that the combined approach may yield better outcomes and prevent future kyphosis more efficiently [75-78]. Performing posterior instrumentation and fusion combined with anterior debridement and fusion in order to shorten the external immobilization period and hospital stay, obtains good and long lasting correction of kyphosis, and prevents further collapse and graft failure and has been recommended in many recent studies [60,67,75,79-81]. However, changes in sagittal alignment

have shown that this strategy provides limited kyphosis correction [80]. These combined approaches can be specifically beneficial when doing an anterior correction of a fixed kyphotic deformity with concomitant wedge resection of the posterior elements [27]. Combined approaches can be performed in two ways: 1) Anterior-posterior, anterior debridement/decompression and fusion is performed first; 2) Posterior-anterior, posterior fusion with instrumentation is the first stage. There were no differences in clinical or radiological parameters between these two groups, indicating that either of these two surgical techniques may be selected depending on the patient's condition [55]. One-stage surgical management in children with spinal TB by anterior decompression and posterior instrumentation has been shown to be both feasible and effective [82].

Recently, minimally invasive spinal techniques are introduced as alternative surgical approaches to address different pathologies in the spine, even if fusion is indicated [83]. Such techniques including posterolateral endoscopic debridement and irrigation have also been used with good results for the management of spinal TB [84-87].

Combined anterior and posterior fusion is sometimes preferred in young cases without significant co-morbidities with either of the following indications: 1) Both anterior and posterior involvement, 2) More than three segments involved, 3) Significant degree of kyphosis associated with overt destruction of one or two vertebral bodies, 4) Thoracolumbar junction involvement.

Certainly, to achieve the best results, the surgical treatment of choice for each patient should be individualized. According to different reports, considering the following factors could be helpful in order to select the approach [26,27,55,59,60,63,67,73-75,77-82,88].

- 1-Patient's age
- 2-Presence of medical co-morbidities
- 3-Location of bony destruction (anterior, posterior or both)
- 4-Location of the compressive lesion with respect to the dura (anterior, posterior or both)
- 5-Density of the compressive lesion (pus or solid extradural lesion)
- 6-Patient's bone stock
- 7-Number of segments involved
- 8-Degree of kyphotic deformity
- 9-Region of involvement (craniovertebral junction, cervical, cervicothoracic junction, thoracic, thoracolumbar junction, upper lumbar, cauda equina)

Corrections of Kyphotic Deformity during Active and Healing Phases of Spinal TB

Spinal TB is the one of the most common causes for a kyphotic deformity in patients from many parts of the world, and particularly in developing countries. There is an average increase in kyphosis of 15 degrees in all patients treated conservatively, and a deformity greater than 60 degrees may develop in 3% to 5% of patients. The progression of deformity occurs in two separate phases: active phase of the disease (phase-I) and after healing of the lesion (phase-II) [89]. Development of neurologic deficit and paraplegia after healing of the spinal TB lesion is associated with a worse prognosis than when these complications occur during the active phase of disease [37].

Severity of the kyphosis angle before treatment, level of lesion, and patient's age affect the deformity progression. In general, adults have an increase of less than 30 degrees during the active phase with no additional change while children can experience considerable changes even after healing the TB lesion [89]. The severe spinal deformity in children is likely due to the cartilaginous nature of their bone. Rajasekaran et al. [90] demonstrated that considerable morphological changes develop during growth in both the kyphosis fusion mass and the uninvolved levels above and below the lesion in pediatric cases with healed spinal TB, and these changes result in a variable progression of the deformity during growth. Therefore, they recommended regular follow-up and monitoring of these cases until completing the growth period. Progression of deformity during the active phase as well as after healing the lesion can be classified as follows: Type-I, growth causes an enhancement of deformity. Type-I, disease can be subdivided in continuous progression (type-Ia) and after a lag period of three to five years (type-Ib). Type-II, growth causes a decline in deformity. This could occur immediately after the active phase (type-IIa) or after a lag period of three to five years (type-IIb). Type-III, progression shows minimal change during both active and healing phases and is generally observed in patients with limited disease.

In types I, II, and III progression occurs approximately in 39%, 44%, and 17% of child cases respectively, during the growth spurt. Spine-at-risk radiologic signs can be used for recognizing the children who are at high risk for development of progressive deformity. Children younger than seven years of age, with three or more affected vertebral bodies in the thoracic or thoracolumbar spine and two or more 'at-

risk signs', are likely to have progression of the kyphosis with growth and therefore should undergo surgical correction. For the best results, surgery for preventing deformity must be performed relatively early [91], and stabilization with posterior and anterior instrumentation is recommended in patients with severe disease to achieve favorable results [89,91].

A spinal instability score higher than 2 seems to reliably predict patients who will have an increase of more than 30° kyphosis and a final deformity greater than 60°. This can affect the patient's quality of life by potentially causing late onset paraplegia and other neurologic complications [9,59].

Various techniques have been employed to correct the kyphotic deformity in advanced spinal TB. A single-stage posterior Smith-Peterson osteotomy [92] or pedicle subtraction osteotomy (PSO) [93] may not be useful techniques to correct extremely severe kyphotic deformity with a Konstam's angle exceeding 90° [94]. Vertebral column resection through a single-stage anterior-posterior approach is associated with significant blood loss and morbidity with major complications [95]. The traditional two-stage technique allows a thorough decompression with the best long term results, but requires two operations and has increased morbidity [96]. Therefore, recently several reports attempted to introduce new approaches for correction of kyphotic deformity in spinal TB.

A modified multilevel vertebral column resection through a single posterior approach has been introduced for management of deformity. Wang et al. [94] reported results of posterior-only multilevel vertebral column resection for correction of severe spinal TB kyphosis with the Konstam's angle above 90°. Their findings showed that this approach is effective to correct extremely severe Pott's kyphosis. In another study, Deng et al. [97] reported preliminary results on posterior en bloc spondylectomy in patients with spinal TB and angulated kyphotic deformity. They used this technique in 34 patients and the anterior column TB lesion was completely removed by an en bloc spondylectomy with autograft being utilized to stabilize the anterior column. Also, posterior pedicle screw fixation and fusion were performed. These results confirmed that their technique is safe and effective for treatment of spinal TB with a fixed and sharply angulated kyphotic deformity [97].

In severe kyphosis following spinal TB in children, Rajasekaran et al. [98] introduced a new surgical approach. They described a technique of posterior closing-anterior opening osteotomy, which allowed them to correct a rigid post-tu-

bercular deformity as high as 118°. The procedure involved extensive laminectomy, pedicle screw fixation of three levels above and three levels below the apex, a wedge osteotomy at the apex of the deformity from both sides, anterior column reconstruction by appropriate-sized titanium cage and gradual correction of deformity by closing the posterior column using the cage as a hinge [98]. The same approaches were employed in adult patients with good results. This technique has several advantages including approaching just from a posterior, single-stage correction, while allowing for significant correction and fewer complications [99,100].

Careful monitoring and vision of the spinal cord must be performed during deformity correction surgery to prevent elongation of the spinal cord. In cases of late onset paraplegia after healing of the lesion, internal kyphectomy is a viable option and can be performed with acceptable results [59].

In conclusion, although there is paucity of literature on the management of spinal kyphotic deformity caused by TB in children during the healing phase of the disease, combined anterior and posterior osteotomy, deformity correction, and instrumented fusion have been shown to arrest progression of kyphosis and improve neurologic symptoms [101].

Relapse and Recurrence

Using multidrug therapy, the recurrence rate for skeletal TB is approximately 2%, although the relapse rate was much higher when a single drug regimen was prescribed [27]. Long-term multidrug antituberculosis regimens will likely reduce the relapse rate of spinal TB [27].

Multidrug-resistant TB

Currently, multidrug-resistant TB is a global concern and is encountered in 3% of all new cases and 12% of retreatment cases. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis is defined as a resistant organism to rifampicin and isoniazid while 'other drug resistance' is defined as any resistance to another drug [9]. Lack of clinical or radiological improvement, development of a new lesion or a cold abscess, or an increase in bone destruction in spite of medical treatment for 3 to 5 months may indicate multidrug-resistant TB [9].

For the treatment of multidrug-resistant TB, an average of 6 antituberculosis drugs for at least 24 months is recommended [102]. The most recent WHO recommendations are now posted on their website. These call for 5 drugs that

are expected to be effective in the initial intensive phase and 4 drugs that are likely to be effective in the continuation phase. Duration of the initial phase is 6 to 9 months and the total therapy lasts 20 to 24 months. These drugs are not safe and adverse reactions will develop in a considerable number of patients [102]. Therefore, close monitoring of patients for development of adverse reactions is necessary [9]. Also, early surgery may be indicated to confirm the diagnosis, isolate the organism, and reduce the bacterial load [9]. The determinants of a successful outcome in multidrug-resistant TB are as follows: 1) Progressive clinical improvement at 6 months following chemotherapy, 2) Radiologic improvement during treatment, 3) Disease with Mycobacterium TB strains that are resistant to less than or up to three antitubercular drugs and the use of less than or up to four second-line drugs in treatment, and 4) No change of regimen during treatment [102].

Atypical Forms of Spinal TB

Atypical spinal TB is defined as compressive myelopathy with no detectable spinal deformity and the absence of radiological appearance of a typical vertebral lesion [9,13,103]. In other words, any forms of spinal TB that do not manifest with typical clinical and radiologic features of the disease are considered to be atypical spinal TB [14]. An incidence of 2.1% has been reported for atypical TB [104].

Diagnosis of these lesions needs special attention with high clinical suspicion because they are rare and difficult to diagnose. Therefore, they may be diagnosed in the late stages. However, it has been suggested that these lesions can have the same outcome and prognosis as typical spinal TB if diagnosed and treated at the early stages [14]. The treatment principles for patients with atypical spinal TB are similar to those with typical features [14].

Multiple Vertebral Disease

Although the reported incidence of this atypical form of spinal tuberculosis is approximately 7% [14,105], it is estimated that the incidence of this type of spinal TB would be higher if whole spine MRI is performed. In a study on 40 patients, Pandit et al. [106] found that multilevel involvement of the spine was observed in 25% of patients using bone scintigraphy. In another study conducted by Polley and Dunn [107] in South Africa, an incidence of 16.3% (16 out of 98 patients) was found for noncontiguous, multiple level

spinal TB.

Multiple level spinal TB may occur as continuous involvement of two to four contiguous vertebrae, or may affect different levels in different parts of the spine. The lesion in continuity typically is seen in immunodeficient patients and in patients with hemoglobinopathies [14,108-111].

The non-operative treatment of patients with multilevel spinal TB without neurologic deficit is the same as the treatment of a patient with a typical spinal TB. Accurate neurologic examination and MRI before scheduling surgery in patients with multilevel spinal TB is critical to determine the level of compression [106].

Several surgical techniques have been used for the management of multilevel spinal TB. Cavuşoğlu et al. [112] reported long-term results on anterior radical debridement, decompression, and fusion using anterior spinal instrumentation and tibial allograft replacement for management of multilevel spinal TB in 22 patients. Their findings revealed that this technique provides correction of the curvature, prevents further deformation, improves sagittal and coronal balance, and restores neurological function. Ozdemir et al. [113] also suggested that radical debridement followed by anterior spinal stabilization with a structural allograft fibula is effective for interbody fusion and correction of the spinal deformity for the management of multilevel spinal TB.

Zhang et al. [114] used 1-stage anterior debridement, strut autografting, and posterior instrumentation combined with antituberculosis chemotherapy for 12 to 24 months in multilevel TB spondylitis of the upper thoracic region. They suggested that this technique is safe and effective for the management of multilevel TB spondylitis.

Prognosis and Outcome of spinal TB

Effective medical and surgical management of spinal TB has improved outcome of these patients significantly even in the presence of neurologic deficits and spinal deformities [68]. However, since various surgical techniques have been used for the management of spinal TB, reported outcomes are heterogeneous and decision making for the selection of a specific technique in the management of all patients is difficult.

Neurologic complications due to Pott's disease seem to be "relatively benign" if early adequate medical and surgical managements are employed [3]. Younger age and radical surgery in conjunction with antituberculosis chemotherapy have been suggested as favorable prognostic factors [115].

Some of the other more important factors associated with prognosis and the development of deformity during the course of spinal TB have been pointed out in above sections of this review.

REFERENCES

1. Sai Kiran NA, Vaishya S, Kale SS, Sharma BS, Mahapatra AK. Surgical results in patients with tuberculosis of the spine and severe lower-extremity motor deficits: a retrospective study of 48 patients. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2007;6:320-6.
2. Rezai AR, Lee M, Cooper PR, Errico TJ, Koslow M. Modern management of spinal tuberculosis. *Neurosurgery* 1995;36:87-97.
3. Turgut M. Spinal tuberculosis (Pott's disease): its clinical presentation, surgical management, and outcome. A survey study on 694 patients. *Neurosurg Rev* 2001;24:8-13.
4. Barnes PF, Bloch AB, Davidson PT, Snider DE Jr. Tuberculosis in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 1991;324:1644-50.
5. Moorthy S, Prabhu NK. Spectrum of MR imaging findings in spinal tuberculosis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2002;179:979-83.
6. Pertuiset E, Beaudreuil J, Liote F, et al. Spinal tuberculosis in adults. A study of 103 cases in a developed country, 1980-1994. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1999;78:309-20.
7. Hidalgo JA, Alangaden G, Cunha BA, et al. Pott disease: tuberculous spondylitis [Internet]. New York: WebMD LLC; 2008 [cited 2010, Oct 15]; Available from: <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/226141-overview>.
8. Nussbaum ES, Rockswold GL, Bergman TA, Erickson DL, Seljeskog EL. Spinal tuberculosis: a diagnostic and management challenge. *J Neurosurg* 1995;83:243-7.
9. Jain AK. Tuberculosis of the spine: a fresh look at an old disease. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2010;92:905-13.
10. Brisson-Noel A, Aznar C, Chureau C, et al. Diagnosis of tuberculosis by DNA amplification in clinical practice evaluation. *Lancet* 1991;338:364-6.
11. al Arabi KM, al Sebai MW, al Chakaki M. Evaluation of radiological investigations in spinal tuberculosis. *Int Orthop* 1992;16:165-7.
12. Andronikou S, Jadwat S, Douis H. Patterns of disease

- on MRI in 53 children with tuberculous spondylitis and the role of gadolinium. *Pediatr Radiol* 2002;32:798-805.
13. Babhulkar SS, Tayade WB, Babhulkar SK. Atypical spinal tuberculosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1984;66:239-42.
 14. Pande KC, Babhulkar SS. Atypical spinal tuberculosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002;(398):67-74.
 15. Rahimi-Movaghar V. A case report of Pott's disease with unusual involvement of two separate levels of spine and delayed progressive Kyphosis. *Acta Med Iran* 2001;39:54-7.
 16. Desai SS. Early diagnosis of spinal tuberculosis by MRI. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1994;76:863-9.
 17. Narlawar RS, Shah JR, Pimple MK, Patankar T, Castillo M. Isolated tuberculosis of posterior elements of spine: magnetic resonance imaging findings in 33 patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2002;27:275-81.
 18. Chang MC, Wu HT, Lee CH, Liu CL, Chen TH. Tuberculous spondylitis and pyogenic spondylitis: comparative magnetic resonance imaging features. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2006;31:782-8.
 19. Gupta RK, Agarwal P, Rastogi H, Kumar S, Phadke RV, Krishnani N. Problems in distinguishing spinal tuberculosis from neoplasia on MRI. *Neuroradiology* 1996;38 Suppl 1:S97-104.
 20. Kang M, Gupta S, Khandelwal N, Shankar S, Gulati M, Suri S. CT-guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy of spinal lesions. *Acta Radiol* 1999;40:474-8.
 21. Mondal A, Misra DK. CT-guided needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) of 112 vertebral lesions. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 1994;37:255-61.
 22. Kumar K. A clinical study and classification of posterior spinal tuberculosis. *Int Orthop* 1985;9:147-52.
 23. Mehta JS, Bhojraj SY. Tuberculosis of the thoracic spine. A classification based on the selection of surgical strategies. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2001;83:859-63.
 24. Oguz E, Sehirlioglu A, Altinmakas M, et al. A new classification and guide for surgical treatment of spinal tuberculosis. *Int Orthop* 2008;32:127-33.
 25. Samuel S. Comment on Oguz et al.: a new classification and guide for surgical treatment of spinal tuberculosis. *Int Orthop* 2010;34:613.
 26. Ito H, Suchiya J, Asami G. A new radical operation for Pott's disease: report of ten cases. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1934;16:499-515.
 27. Tuli SM. Tuberculosis of the spine: a historical review. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2007;460:29-38.
 28. Kirkaldy-Willis WH, Thomas TG. Anterior approaches in the diagnosis and treatment of infections of the vertebral bodies. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1965;47:87-110.
 29. Arct W. Operative treatment of tuberculosis of the spine in old people. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1968;50:255-67.
 30. Wilkinson MC. Tuberculosis of the spine treated by chemotherapy and operative debridement: a long-term follow-up study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1969;51:1331-42.
 31. Rajasekaran S, Shanmugasundaram TK, Prabhakar R, Dheenadhayalan J, Shetty AP, Shetty DK. Tuberculous lesions of the lumbosacral region: a 15-year follow-up of patients treated by ambulant chemotherapy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1998;23:1163-7.
 32. Hodgson AR, Stock FE. Anterior spinal fusion for the treatment of tuberculosis of the spine. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1960;42:1147-56.
 33. Kohli SB. Radical surgical approach to spinal tuberculosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1967;49:668-73.
 34. Albee FH. Transplantation of a portion of the tibia into the spine for Pott's disease: a preliminary report. *JAMA* 1911;57:885-6.
 35. Hibbs RA. An operation for Pott's disease of the spine. *JAMA* 1912;59:433-6.
 36. Fu Y, Huo H, Xiao Y, Yang X, Xing W, Zhao Y. Combination of intensified anti-tuberculosis with operation for treatment of thoracolumbar tuberculosis. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi* 2009;23:1427-30.
 37. Jain AK. Treatment of tuberculosis of the spine with neurologic complications. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002;(398):75-84.
 38. Bakhsh A. Medical management of spinal tuberculosis: an experience from Pakistan. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2010;35:E787-91.
 39. Kirkman MA, Sridhar K. Posterior listhesis of a lumbar vertebra in spinal tuberculosis. *Eur Spine J* 2011;20:1-5.
 40. Gupta SK, Mohindra S, Sharma BS, et al. Tuberculosis of the craniovertebral junction: is surgery necessary? *Neurosurgery* 2006;58:1144-50.
 41. Topuz K, Kutlay AM, Simsek H, Colak A, Kaya S, Demircan MN. Effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy on the duration of treatment of spinal tuberculosis. *J Clin Neurosci* 2009;16:1572-7.
 42. Moon MS, Moon YW, Moon JL, Kim SS, Sun DH.

- Conservative treatment of tuberculosis of the lumbar and lumbosacral spine. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002;(398):40-9.
43. Bhojraj S, Nene A. Lumbar and lumbosacral tuberculous spondylodiscitis in adults. Redefining the indications for surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2002;84:530-4.
 44. Alothman A, Memish ZA, Awada A, et al. Tuberculous spondylitis: analysis of 69 cases from Saudi Arabia. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2001;26:E565-70.
 45. Chadha M, Agarwal A, Singh AP. Craniovertebral tuberculosis: a retrospective review of 13 cases managed conservatively. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2007;32:1629-34.
 46. Nene A, Bhojraj S. Results of nonsurgical treatment of thoracic spinal tuberculosis in adults. *Spine J* 2005;5:79-84.
 47. Kotil K, Alan MS, Bilge T. Medical management of Pott disease in the thoracic and lumbar spine: a prospective clinical study. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2007;6:222-8.
 48. Tuli SM. Results of treatment of spinal tuberculosis by "middle-path" regime. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1975;57:13-23.
 49. Parthasarathy R, Sriram K, Santha T, Prabhakar R, Somasundaram PR, Sivasubramanian S. Short-course chemotherapy for tuberculosis of the spine. A comparison between ambulant treatment and radical surgery: ten-year report. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1999;81:464-71.
 50. Communicable diseases module: 14. diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis [Internet]. Milton Keynes (UK): The Open University; c2012 [Cited 2012 Nov 27]. Available from: <http://labspace.open.ac.uk/mod/oucontent/view.php?id=452597§ion=1.5>.
 51. Upadhyay SS, Saji MJ, Yau AC. Duration of antituberculosis chemotherapy in conjunction with radical surgery in the management of spinal tuberculosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1996;21:1898-903.
 52. Wang Z, Ge Z, Jin W, et al. Treatment of spinal tuberculosis with ultrashort-course chemotherapy in conjunction with partial excision of pathologic vertebrae. *Spine J* 2007;7:671-81.
 53. Guo LX, Ma YZ, Chen X, Bao D, Luo XB. Clinical study of short-course chemotherapy combined with radical operation in retreating spinal tuberculosis. *Zhongguo Gu Shang* 2010;23:491-4.
 54. Guo LX, Ma YZ, Li HW, Xue HB, Peng W, Luo XB. Variety of ESR and C-reactive protein levels during perioperative period in spinal tuberculosis. *Zhongguo Gu Shang* 2010;23:200-2.
 55. Okada Y, Miyamoto H, Uno K, Sumi M. Clinical and radiological outcome of surgery for pyogenic and tuberculous spondylitis: comparisons of surgical techniques and disease types. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2009;11:620-7.
 56. Krishnan A, Patkar D, Patankar T, et al. Craniovertebral junction tuberculosis: a review of 29 cases. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2001;25:171-6.
 57. Murugappan K, Khandwal P, Upendra B, Jayaswal A. Comment on the new classification of surgical treatment of spinal tuberculosis. *Int Orthop* 2009;33:291-2.
 58. Liu ZX, Zhu H, Qi QL, Liu J. Analysis of the curative effect of 162 cases of thoracic and lumbar tuberculosis. *Zhongguo Gu Shang* 2010;23:497-9.
 59. Jain AK, Dhammi IK, Jain S, Mishra P. Kyphosis in spinal tuberculosis: prevention and correction. *Indian J Orthop* 2010;44:127-36.
 60. Jain AK, Dhammi IK, Prashad B, Sinha S, Mishra P. Simultaneous anterior decompression and posterior instrumentation of the tuberculous spine using an anterolateral extrapleural approach. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008;90:1477-81.
 61. Rajasekaran S, Soundarapandian S. Progression of kyphosis in tuberculosis of the spine treated by anterior arthrodesis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1989;71:1314-23.
 62. Jain AK, Maheshwari AV, Jena S. Kyphus correction in spinal tuberculosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2007;460:117-23.
 63. Teegala R, Kumar P, Kale SS, Sharma BS. Craniovertebral junction tuberculosis: a new comprehensive therapeutic strategy. *Neurosurgery* 2008;63:946-55.
 64. Kotil K, Dalbayrak S, Alan S. Craniovertebral junction Pott's disease. *Br J Neurosurg* 2004;18:49-55.
 65. Sinha S, Singh AK, Gupta V, Singh D, Takayasu M, Yoshida J. Surgical management and outcome of tuberculous atlantoaxial dislocation: a 15-year experience. *Neurosurgery* 2003;52:331-8.
 66. Chacko AG, Moorthy RK, Chandy MJ. The transpedicular approach in the management of thoracic spine tuberculosis: a short-term follow up study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2004;29:E363-7.
 67. Benli IT, Kaya A, Acaroglu E. Anterior instrumentation in tuberculous spondylitis: is it effective and safe? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2007;460:108-16.
 68. Khoo LT, Mikawa K, Fessler RG. A surgical revisita-

- tion of Pott distemper of the spine. *Spine J* 2003;3:130-45.
69. Oga M, Arizono T, Takasita M, Sugioka Y. Evaluation of the risk of instrumentation as a foreign body in spinal tuberculosis: clinical and biologic study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1993;18:1890-4.
 70. Dai LY, Jiang LS, Wang W, Cui YM. Single-stage anterior autogenous bone grafting and instrumentation in the surgical management of spinal tuberculosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2005;30:2342-9.
 71. Sundararaj GD, Amritanand R, Venkatesh K, Arockiaraj J. The use of titanium mesh cages in the reconstruction of anterior column defects in active spinal infections: can we rest the crest? *Asian Spine J* 2011;5:155-61.
 72. Govender S. The outcome of allografts and anterior instrumentation in spinal tuberculosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002;(398):60-6.
 73. Yilmaz C, Selek HY, Gurkan I, Erdemli B, Korkusuz Z. Anterior instrumentation for the treatment of spinal tuberculosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1999;81:1261-7.
 74. Jin D, Qu D, Chen J, Zhang H. One-stage anterior interbody autografting and instrumentation in primary surgical management of thoracolumbar spinal tuberculosis. *Eur Spine J* 2004;13:114-21.
 75. Moon MS, Woo YK, Lee KS, Ha KY, Kim SS, Sun DH. Posterior instrumentation and anterior interbody fusion for tuberculous kyphosis of dorsal and lumbar spines. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1995;20:1910-6.
 76. Bailey HL, Gabriel M, Hodgson AR, Shin JS. Tuberculosis of the spine in children. Operative findings and results in one hundred consecutive patients treated by removal of the lesion and anterior grafting. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1972;54:1633-57.
 77. Chen WJ, Wu CC, Jung CH, Chen LH, Niu CC, Lai PL. Combined anterior and posterior surgeries in the treatment of spinal tuberculous spondylitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002;(398):50-9.
 78. Chen WJ, Chen CH, Shih CH. Surgical treatment of tuberculous spondylitis: 50 patients followed for 2-8 years. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1995;66:137-42.
 79. Talu U, Gogus A, Ozturk C, Hamzaoglu A, Domanic U. The role of posterior instrumentation and fusion after anterior radical debridement and fusion in the surgical treatment of spinal tuberculosis: experience of 127 cases. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2006;19:554-9.
 80. Hirakawa A, Miyamoto K, Masuda T, et al. Surgical outcome of 2-stage (posterior and anterior) surgical treatment using spinal instrumentation for tuberculous spondylitis. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2010;23:133-8.
 81. Fukuta S, Miyamoto K, Masuda T, et al. Two-stage (posterior and anterior) surgical treatment using posterior spinal instrumentation for pyogenic and tuberculous spondylitis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2003;28:E302-8.
 82. Huang QS, Zheng C, Hu Y, et al. One-stage surgical management for children with spinal tuberculosis by anterior decompression and posterior instrumentation. *Int Orthop* 2009;33:1385-90.
 83. Kim MC, Chung HT, Kim DJ, Kim SH, Jeon SH. The clinical and radiological outcomes of minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody single level fusion. *Asian Spine J* 2011;5:111-6.
 84. Jayaswal A, Upendra B, Ahmed A, Chowdhury B, Kumar A. Video-assisted thoracoscopic anterior surgery for tuberculous spondylitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2007;460:100-7.
 85. Kapoor SK, Agarwal PN, Jain BK Jr, Kumar R. Video-assisted thoracoscopic decompression of tubercular spondylitis: clinical evaluation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2005;30:E605-10.
 86. Huang TJ, Hsu RW, Chen SH, Liu HP. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery in managing tuberculous spondylitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000;(379):143-53.
 87. Ito M, Sudo H, Abumi K, et al. Minimally invasive surgical treatment for tuberculous spondylodiscitis. *Minim Invasive Neurosurg* 2009;52:250-3.
 88. Benli IT, Kiş M, Akalin S, Citak M, Kanevetçi S, Duman E. The results of anterior radical debridement and anterior instrumentation in Pott's disease and comparison with other surgical techniques. *Kobe J Med Sci* 2000;46:39-68.
 89. Rajasekaran S. The problem of deformity in spinal tuberculosis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002;(398):85-92.
 90. Rajasekaran S, Prasad Shetty A, Dheenadhayalan J, Shashidhar Reddy J, Naresh-Babu J, Kishen T. Morphological changes during growth in healed childhood spinal tuberculosis: a 15-year prospective study of 61 children treated with ambulatory chemotherapy. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2006;26:716-24.
 91. Rajasekaran S. The natural history of post-tubercular kyphosis in children. Radiological signs which predict late increase in deformity. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2001;83:954-62.

92. Smith-Petersen MN, Larson CB, Aufranc OE. Osteotomy of the spine for correction of flexion deformity in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1969;66:6-9.
93. Thomasen E. Vertebral osteotomy for correction of kyphosis in ankylosing spondylitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1985;(194):142-52.
94. Wang Y, Zhang Y, Zhang X, et al. Posterior-only multilevel modified vertebral column resection for extremely severe Pott's kyphotic deformity. *Eur Spine J* 2009;18:1436-41.
95. Bridwell KH. Decision making regarding Smith-Petersen vs. pedicle subtraction osteotomy vs. vertebral column resection for spinal deformity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2006;31:S171-8.
96. Kalra KP, Dhar SB, Shetty G, Dhariwal Q. Pedicle subtraction osteotomy for rigid post-tuberculous kyphosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2006;88:925-7.
97. Deng Y, Lv G, An HS. En bloc spondylectomy for the treatment of spinal tuberculosis with fixed and sharply angulated kyphotic deformity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2009;34:2140-6.
98. Rajasekaran S, Rishi Mugesh Kanna P, Shetty AP. Closing-opening wedge osteotomy for severe, rigid, thoracolumbar post-tubercular kyphosis. *Eur Spine J* 2011;20:343-8.
99. Kawahara N, Tomita K, Baba H, Kobayashi T, Fujita T, Murakami H. Closing-opening wedge osteotomy to correct angular kyphotic deformity by a single posterior approach. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2001;26:391-402.
100. Rajasekaran S, Vijay K, Shetty AP. Single-stage closing-opening wedge osteotomy of spine to correct severe post-tubercular kyphotic deformities of the spine: a 3-year follow-up of 17 patients. *Eur Spine J* 2010;19:583-92.
101. Chunguang Z, Limin L, Rigao C, et al. Surgical treatment of kyphosis in children in healed stages of spinal tuberculosis. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2010;30:271-6.
102. Pawar UM, Kundnani V, Agashe V, Nene A. Multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis of the spine--is it the beginning of the end? A study of twenty-five culture proven multidrug-resistant tuberculosis spine patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2009;34:E806-10.
103. Naim ur R. Atypical forms of spinal tuberculosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1980;62:162-5.
104. Yalniz E, Pekindil G, Aktas S. Atypical tuberculosis of the spine. *Yonsei Med J* 2000;41:657-61.
105. Sankaran-Kutty M. Atypical tuberculous spondylitis. *Int Orthop* 1992;16:69-74.
106. Pandit HG, Sonsale PD, Shikare SS, Bhojraj SY. Bone scintigraphy in tuberculous spondylodiscitis. *Eur Spine J* 1999;8:205-9.
107. Polley P, Dunn R. Noncontiguous spinal tuberculosis: incidence and management. *Eur Spine J* 2009;18:1096-101.
108. Emel E, Guzey FK, Guzey D, Bas NS, Sel B, Alatas I. Non-contiguous multifocal spinal tuberculosis involving cervical, thoracic, lumbar and sacral segments: a case report. *Eur Spine J* 2006;15:1019-24.
109. Turgut M. Multifocal extensive spinal tuberculosis (Pott's disease) involving cervical, thoracic and lumbar vertebrae. *Br J Neurosurg* 2001;15:142-6.
110. Kulali A, Cobanoğlu S, Ozyilmaz F. Spinal tuberculosis with circumferential involvement of two noncontiguous isolated vertebral levels: case report. *Neurosurgery* 1994;35:1154-8.
111. Rahimi-Movaghar V. Unusual pott's disease with involvement of two separate levels of spine and delayed progressive kyphosis: a case report. *Acta Med Iran* 2001;39:54-7.
112. Cavuşoğlu H, Kaya RA, Türkmenoğlu ON, Tuncer C, Colak I, Aydin Y. A long-term follow-up study of anterior tibial allografting and instrumentation in the management of thoracolumbar tuberculous spondylitis. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2008;8:30-8.
113. Ozdemir HM, Us AK, Oğün T. The role of anterior spinal instrumentation and allograft fibula for the treatment of pott disease. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2003;28:474-9.
114. Zhang HQ, Guo CF, Xiao XG, Long WR, Deng ZS, Chen J. One-stage surgical management for multilevel tuberculous spondylitis of the upper thoracic region by anterior decompression, strut autografting, posterior instrumentation, and fusion. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2007;20:263-7.
115. Park DW, Sohn JW, Kim EH, et al. Outcome and management of spinal tuberculosis according to the severity of disease: a retrospective study of 137 adult patients at Korean teaching hospitals. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2007;32:E130-5.