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#### Abstract

The second paper in this series is devoted to the formulation of a renormalizable perturbation theory of Higgs phenomena (spontaneously broken gauge theories).

In Section II, we reformulate the renormalization prescription for massless Yang-Mills theories in terms of gauge invariant, renormalization counter terms in the action.

Section III gives a group theoretic discussion of Higgs phenomena. We discuss the possibility that an asymmetric vacuum is stable, and show how the symmetry of the physical vacuum determines the mass spectrum of the gauge bosons. We show further that in a special gauge (U-gauge), all unphysical fields can be eliminated.

Section IV discusses the quantization of a spontaneously broken gauge theory in the R-gauge, where, as we show in Section V, Green's functions are made finite by the renormalization counter terms of the symmetric theory (in which the gauge invariance is not spontaneously broken). The R-gauge formulation makes use of redundant fields for the sake of renormalizability.

Section VI is a discussion of the low energy limits of propagators in the R -gauge formulation.


In Section VII we show that the particles associated with redundant fields peculiar to the R -gauge formulation are unphysical, i. e., they do not contribute to the sum over intermediate states.
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## I. INT RODUCTION

In this paper we give a renormalization method and a proof of finiteness of renormalized Green's functions of spontaneously broken gauge theories. For definiteness we consider a very simple model, in which $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge bosons are coupled to a triplet of scalar mesons. There is an extra complication when chiral fermions are included in the model, as pointed out by Veltman, and Jackiw (2)
(2). This difficulty can be circumvented in a realistic model of electromagnetic and weak interactions. We shall not discuss this problem further in this paper, but postpone the discussion until we deal with the renormalizability of a realistic theory in a sequel to this paper.

We give in this paper a method of renormalization which is based on the observation that, in a spontaneous broken symmetry theory, divergences in Feynman integrals can be classified according to, and identified with those of a comparison theory in which the symmetry is not broken. (3) This method is successfully used for the $\sigma$-model and we borrow many concepts and techniques from that study.

Let us summarize the contents of this paper. In Section II, we give a brief recapitulation of the results of the first paper on the renormalization of a massless Yang-Mills theory. We write down
explicitly the effective action in terms of renormalized fields and gauge invariant counter terms. The renormalized version of the Ward-Takahashi identity for the generating functional of renormalized Green's functions is recorded. The reader who is not particularly interested in the details may be able to gather enough background for the subsequent discussions by studying Sections II and $V$ of the previous paper concurrently with this Section.

Section III is a discussion of group theory of Higgs phenomena. To a large extent, this section is a review of Kibble's work. The discussion here is carried out in the context of classical field theory. We show how the instability of the symmetric vacuum arises, and how the symmetry of the physical vacuum determines the mass spectrum of gauge bosons. The study culminates in a theorem, which shows which gauge bosons become massive in a spontaneously broken gauge theory. The theorem is an analogue of that due to Bludman and Klein, ${ }^{(5)}$ which shows in what quantum channels Goldstone bosons appear in a spontaneously broken symmetry theory.

There exists a special choice of gauge in which Goldstone
boson fields combine with gauge boson fields to form massive vector fields with three degrees of polarizations. This is the gauge used by Kibble ${ }^{(4)}$ in his discussion of Higgs phenomena. In this gauge, there are no redundant fields and the physical interpretation of the theory
is straightforward. We shall call this gauge the U-gauge (unitary gauge). Unfortunately the renormalizability of the U-gauge formulation is not obvious, even though indications are that the $T$-matrix in this formulation is renormalizable $(6,7)$

In Section IV, we quantize the simple model mentioned at the beginning in a class of gauges, which includes, in quantum electrodynamics, the transverse Landau gauge and the Feynman gauge. We shall call these gauges collectively as R -gauge (renormalizable gauge). The $R$-gauge formulation contains redundant field components so that the unitarity of the $S$-matrix is not manifest. As we show in Section V, Green's functions in the R-gauge formulation are rendered finite by the renormalization counter terms of the corresponding symmetric theory. Here lies the advantage of this formulation. Since the renormalization counter terms which make the theory finite are gauge invariant, the renormalized Green's functions of a spontaneously broken gauge theory satisfy appropriate Ward-Takahashi identities.

In Section VI we discuss the low energy limits of propagators in the R-gauge formulation.

In Section VII we show that renormalized $T$-matrix elements are independent of the parameter which characterizes a particular R-gauge chosen, and the redundant massless scalar fields peculiar
to the R-gauge formulation are unphysical, i.e., they do not contribute to the sum over intermediate states when one computes the absorptive part of T-matrix elements by the Landau-Cutkosky rule. ${ }^{(8,9)}$ These discussions are based on the Ward-Takahashi identities. For the proof of unitarity of the R-gauge formulation, we have identified the set of relations that are needed. The proof is worked out in detail for intermediate states containing one, two and three such unphysical quanta.

In the sequel we wish to consider the renormalizability aspect of Weinberg's theory of weak and electromagnetic interactions in detail and the equivalence of the $S$-matrix in the $U$ - and $R$-gauges.
II. GAUGE INVARIANT COUNTER TERMS

As Bogoliubov and Shirkov ${ }^{(10)}$ have shown, the $R$-operation can be formally implemented by the inclusion of counter terms in the Lagrangian. The discussion in the previous paper imply that these counter terms are themselves gauge invariant. We can in fact re-express the effective action (I2, 10) in terms of the renormalized field $\underset{\sim}{A}{ }_{r}^{\mu}$ and the renormalized coupling constant gr ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\underset{m}{A}}_{A^{\mu}}=Z_{3}^{\frac{1}{2}}{\underset{m}{A}}_{A_{r}^{\mu}}^{g}=g_{r} Z_{1} / Z_{3}^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and making explicit the renormalization counter terms. With

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{r} Z_{3}
$$

we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d^{4} x & \left\{-\frac{1}{4}\left(\partial^{\mu} A_{r}^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A_{r}^{\mu}-g_{n} A_{m}^{\mu} \times A_{r}^{\nu}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{r}}\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{m}^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left(Z_{3}-1\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} A_{r}^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A_{r}^{\mu}\right)^{2}+\frac{g_{r}}{2}\left(Z_{1}-1\right) A_{m \mu} \times A_{n} \cdot\left(\partial_{m}^{\mu} A_{r}^{r}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\partial^{\nu} A_{m}^{\mu}\right)-\frac{g_{r}^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{Z_{1}^{2}}{Z_{3}}-1\right)\left(A_{m}^{\mu} \times A_{m}^{\nu}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& -i T_{r} \ln \left(1-g_{r} \underset{m}{t} \cdot A_{r}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} / \partial^{2}\right) \\
-i & T_{r} \ln \left\{1+\frac{1}{\partial^{2}-g_{r} \underset{m}{t} \cdot A_{m}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}}\left[\left(\tilde{Z}_{3}-1\right) \partial^{2}-g_{r}\left(\tilde{Z}_{1}-1\right) \underset{\sim}{t} \cdot A_{m}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}{\mathrm{Z}_{3}}=\frac{\tilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{1}}{\tilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{3}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a restatement of Eq. (I 6.4). We may choose $Z_{3}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{k^{2} \rightarrow-a^{2}}\left[\Delta_{\mu \nu}(k)\right]_{r}= & \left(g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{a^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{-a^{2}}  \tag{2.3}\\
& + \text { gauge dependent terms } \\
{\left[\mathscr{H}\left(-a^{2}\right)\right]_{r}=} & -\frac{1}{a^{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and $Z_{1}$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{p^{2}=q^{2}=r^{2}=-a^{2}} \quad i \Gamma_{\lambda \mu r}^{a b c}(p, q, r)=\epsilon^{a b c} \\
& \times\left\{\left[(p-q)_{\nu} q_{\lambda \mu}+(q-r)_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu}+(r-p)_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}\right]^{\prime}+\cdots\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

as we described in Eq. (I 6,7).
Clearly the construction of Eq. (2.1) can be extended when there are matter fields present in the Lagrangian. The part that has to do with the gauge invariance, for the triplet of scalar fields discussed in Part I, is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{r}-g_{r} A_{n \mu} \times \phi_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{2}-1\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{r}\right)^{2}+g_{r}\left[Z_{1}\left(\frac{Z_{2}}{Z_{3}}\right)-1\right] A_{r}^{\mu} \cdot\left(\phi_{r} \times \partial_{\mu} \phi_{n}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} g_{r}^{2}\left[\frac{Z_{1}^{2}}{Z_{3}}\left(\frac{Z_{2}}{Z_{3}}\right)-1\right]\left(A_{m}^{\mu} \times \phi_{r}\right)^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{2}$ may be chosen to ensure the normalization condition for the scalar propagator, Eq. (I 7. 15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k^{2} \rightarrow-a^{2}}\left[\Delta^{-1}\left(k^{2}\right)\right]_{r}=k^{2}+a^{2}-M^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is perhaps useful to rephrase the BPH renormalization procedure in terms of the Lagrangian of (2.1) and (2.6). First we include the regulator term (I 5.7) and other regulator terms in the Lagrangian. Feynman integrals are now finite and we can choose the renormalization constants, $Z$ 's, which depend on the cutoff $\Lambda^{2}$, in such a way that the renormalization conditions (2.2) ~ (2.5) and $(2,7)$ are satisfied. As $\Lambda^{2} \rightarrow \infty$, the renormalized Feynman amplitudes are well-defined and finite.

If we make the scale change

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\underset{\sim}{u}}^{\mu}=Z_{3}{ }^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underset{\sim}{J}{ }^{\mu} \\
& \mathrm{K}_{w}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underset{w r}{\mathrm{~K}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the definition of the generating functional of Green's functions, then functional derivatives of $Z$ with respect to the renormalized sources are the renormalized Green's functions. The Ward-Takahashi identity $(3,13)$ may be written in terms of renormalized quantities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{\alpha_{r}} \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta W}{\delta J_{r \mu}^{a}(x)}+\partial^{\mu} J_{r \mu}^{a}(x) W \\
& -i g_{r} \tilde{Z}_{1} \int d^{4} y d^{4} z\left[J_{r}^{c \mu}(y) g_{\mu v}^{t_{r}}(y-z) t^{c b d} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{r v}^{b}(z)}\right] \\
& \quad \times G_{r}^{d a}\left(z, x ; i \delta / \delta J_{\mu r}\right) W=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g_{\mu \nu}^{t_{r}}(x-y)=g_{\mu \nu} \delta^{4}(x-y)+\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \widetilde{D}_{F}(x-y)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(x, y ; i \delta / \delta J_{m}\right)=\tilde{Z}_{3} G_{r}\left(x, y ; i \delta / \delta J_{m}\right) \\
& G_{\mu}(x, y ; i \delta / \delta J)=\langle x|\left[\partial^{2}-i g_{r} t \cdot \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\mu \mu}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\left(\tilde{Z}_{3}-1\right) \partial^{2}-i g_{r}\left(\tilde{Z_{1}}-1\right) \underset{m}{t} \cdot \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\mu \mu}}\right)\right]^{-1}|y\rangle \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. GROUP THEORY OF HIGGS PHENOMENA

We will describe here the Higgs phenomenon ${ }^{(11,4)}$ in the context of classical (nonquantized) field theory. Alternatively, one may interpret the following discussion as applying to the tree approximation to quantum field theory. The following discussion is essentially a review of Ki bble's work. ${ }^{(4)}$ We include it here, mainly tomake this paper self-contained and to establish notations, terminology and concepts. For simplicity, we shall consider the system of gauge bosons interacting with scalar mesons.

Let G be the local gauge symmetry (compact, but not necessarily semi-simple) of the Lagrangian. We denote by $\left\{L_{a}\right\}$ the set of generations of the group G. The Yang-Mills gauge bosons $\left\{A_{a}^{\mu}\right\}$ belong to the adjoint representation of the group $G$, so they can be put in one-to-one correspondence with the generators $\left\{L_{a}\right\}$. We assume that there are sets of scalar multiplets $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ of dimensionalities $\mathrm{n}_{\alpha}$,

$$
\phi^{(\alpha)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{1}^{(\alpha)} \\
\vdots \\
\\
\phi_{n_{\alpha}}^{(\alpha)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The multiplet $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ transforms like an irreducible representation of the group G. We denote by $\left\{\mathrm{L}^{(\alpha)}\right\}$ the matrix representation of the generators. The renormalizable Lagrangian in which the gauge bosons are coupled in the minimal way is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & \sum_{\alpha}\left(D_{\mu} \phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^{\dagger} \cdot\left(D^{\mu} \phi^{(\alpha)}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a}\left(\partial^{\mu} A_{a}^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A_{a}^{\mu}-g f_{a b c} A_{b}^{\mu} A_{c}^{\nu}\right)^{2} \\
& -V(\phi) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+i g L^{(\alpha)} \cdot A_{m} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{f}{ }_{a b c}$ is the structure constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{a}, L_{b}\right]=i f_{a b c} L_{c} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{V}(\phi)$ is an invariant polynomial in the $\phi^{(\alpha)}$, which is at most quartic in the scalar fields. The Lagrangian (3.2) is invariant under the local gauge transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow & \left(\exp i L_{m}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \underset{m}{\omega}\right) \phi^{(\alpha)} \\
{\underset{n}{\mu}}_{A_{\mu}} \cdot L_{m} \rightarrow & \left(\exp i L_{m} \cdot \underset{m}{w}\right) A_{\mu} \cdot L_{m}\left(\exp -i L_{\sim}^{L} \cdot \underset{\sim}{ }\right) \\
& -\frac{i}{g}\left(\partial_{\mu} \exp i L_{\sim}^{L} \cdot \omega\right)\left(\exp -i L_{\sim}^{L} \cdot \omega\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{a}$ is a function of space-time.
The vacuum expectation values of the scalar fields $\phi^{(\alpha)} \equiv \mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}$ are determined by the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta V(\phi) /\left.\delta \phi_{i}^{(\alpha)}\right|_{\phi=v}=0  \tag{3.7}\\
& \delta^{2} V(\phi) /\left.\delta \phi_{i}^{(\alpha)} \delta \phi_{j}^{(\beta)}\right|_{\phi=v} \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The second condition (3.8) is necessary in order that the physical masses of the scalar particles be nonnegative. The solutions of Eq. (3.7) and (3.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(\alpha)}=\mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be null-vectors in which case the vacuum is invariant under $G$. It may be that the minimum of $V$ occurs at some finite $\mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}$. Let $\{\ell\}$ be the subset of $\{L\}$ which map all of $v^{(\alpha)}{ }_{\text {s }}$ to null-vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{i}^{(\alpha)} v^{(\alpha)}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the set $\{\ell\}$ generates a subgroup $S$ of $G$. We call $S$ the little group of the vacuum.

The nature of the little group $S$ depends on the polynomial
$\mathrm{V}(\phi)$. We give some examples below.
[ Example 1] Let $V(\phi)=\frac{\mu^{2}}{2} \phi^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{2}$, where $\phi$ is an
$n$-dimensional real vector. The group $G$ of invariance is $O(n)$. The parameter $\lambda$ has to be $\geq 0$ in order that $|\phi|$ is bounded, or the Hamiltonian is positive definite. If $\mu^{2} \geq 0$, the minimum of $V(\phi)$ occurs at $\phi=0$ and the little group $S$ is equal to $0(n)$. If $\mu^{2}<0$, the minimum lies in the orbit $|\phi|^{2}=-\mu^{2} / \lambda$. Because of the invariance of $V(\phi)$ under $O(n)$ we can always put $v$ in the standard form

$$
\sim=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{-\mu^{2} / \lambda} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The little group of the vacuum is $0(n-1)$.
[Example 2] Let $M_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\sum_{i=0}^{8}\left(\lambda_{i}\right){ }_{\alpha \beta}\left(s^{i}+i p^{i}\right)$, where $\lambda_{i}, i=0,--8$ are Gell-Mann's $3 \times 3$ matrices with $\lambda_{0}=\sqrt{2 / 3} \mathbb{I}$ and $\alpha, \beta=1,2,3$. $s$ and $p$ are nonets of scalar and pseudoscalar fields. We consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(s, p)= & \alpha \operatorname{Tr}(M M \dagger)^{2}+\beta\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(M M^{\dagger}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& +\gamma(\operatorname{det} M+\operatorname{det} M t)+\delta T_{2}\left(M M^{\dagger}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is $\operatorname{SU}(3) \times \operatorname{SU}(3)$ invariant. Let us concentrate on the case in which parity is conserved, so that the minimum V lies on the hyperplane $p_{i}=0, i=0,--8$. Let us assume that the minimum occurs at

$$
\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{v}
$$

wherev is a $3 \times 3$ hermitian matrix. We can diagonalize $v$ by an SU(3) transformation so $v$ takes form

$$
v=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & & \\
& b & \\
& & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

Eq. (3.7) then demands that

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 \alpha a^{3}+4 \beta a\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \\
+2 \gamma b c+2 \delta a=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and two more equations obtained from the above by cyclic permutations of $a, b$, and $c$. The three equations imply that the three eigenvalues $a, b, c$ cannot be all unequal. Therefore, the little group $S$ cannot be smaller than $\mathrm{SU}(2)$.

When $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ is have nonvanishing vacuum expectation values we can perform nonlinear canonical transformations on $\phi^{(\alpha)} \mathbf{I}_{S}$ and eliminate a certain number of field components from $\mathrm{V}(\phi)$. Let the dimensionalities of $G$ and $S$ be $N$ and $M$, respectively. There are, then, $m=N-M$ generators, $\{t\}$ of $G$, which span the $\operatorname{cosets} S^{-1} G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\ell\}+\{t\}=\{L\} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may choose the generators to be orthonormal with respect to the Cartan inner product. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(\alpha)}=D^{(\alpha)}[\operatorname{expi} \underset{\mu}{\xi} \cdot \underset{\sim}{t}]\left(\mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}+{ }_{\rho}^{(\alpha)}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ has m components and choose $\rho^{(\alpha)}$ 's, such that the mapping

$$
\phi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow\left(\xi, \rho^{(\alpha)}\right)
$$

is canonical [A nonlinear mapping $\phi_{i} \rightarrow \rho_{j}\left(\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}\right)$ is called canonical if $\left.\left(\delta \phi_{i} / \delta \rho_{j}\right)\right|_{\rho}=0$ is a nonsingular matrix ]. Both $\xi$ and $\rho^{(\alpha)^{\prime}}$ s have null vacuum expectation values. The collection of $\rho^{(\alpha)}$ 's will have $\left(\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}\right)-m$ components. Clearly, $V(\phi)$ is independent of the fields since the invariance of $V$ under $G$ implies $\mathrm{V}(\phi)=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{v}+\rho)$. If there were no gauge bosons, the Lagrangian would depend on $\xi$ only through $\partial_{\mu} \xi$, arising from the terms $\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^{\dagger}\left(\partial^{\mu} \phi^{(\alpha)}\right)$ in the Lagrangian. Consequently, the fields $\xi$ would represent massless scalar particles, coupled to other particles gradiently. They would be the Goldstone fields.

When the theory is invariant under local gauge transformations, the $\xi$ fields can be eliminated from the Lagrangian completely. We define the vector fields $B_{\mu}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{m} \cdot A_{m}= & e^{i \underset{m}{\xi} \cdot t_{m}} \underset{m}{L} \cdot{\underset{m}{\mu}} e^{-i \xi \cdot t} \\
& -\frac{i}{g}\left(\partial_{\mu} e^{i \underset{m}{\xi} \cdot t_{m}}\right) e^{-i \underset{m}{\xi} \cdot t_{m}^{t}} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The mapping $\left\{A_{\mu^{\prime}} \phi^{(\alpha)}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left(B_{\mu^{\prime}}, \rho^{(\alpha)}\right)$ expressed in Eqs. (3.11) and (3.12) is a gauge transformation (3.5) which leaves the Lagrangian (3.2) invariant. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{L} & =\sum_{\alpha}\left[\Delta_{\mu}\left(v^{(\alpha)}+p^{(\alpha)}\right)\right]^{\dagger} \cdot\left[\Delta^{\mu}\left(v^{(\alpha)}+p^{(\alpha)}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a}\left(\partial^{\mu} B_{a}^{\nu}-\partial^{v} B_{a}^{\mu}-g_{a b c} B_{b}^{\mu} B_{c}^{\nu}\right)^{2} \\
& -V(v+\rho) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\Delta_{\mu}$ stands for

Some of the gauge bosons are no longer massless. As the vector meson mass term, we have

$$
\mathrm{g}^{2} \sum_{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\dagger} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{v}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~g}^{\mu \nu}
$$

so that the vector meson mass matrix is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)_{\mathrm{ab}}=2 g^{2} \sum_{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\dagger} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to adopt the convention: we order $L_{a}$ 's so that $L_{a}, a=1,2, \ldots M$ form the set $\{\ell\}$. We see from Eq. (3.15) that $M^{2}$ is block diagonal, the upper $M \times M$ diagonal matrix being zero. The lower $\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{m}$ matrix is positive definite (the lower matrix cannot have a null eigenvalue, for if it did, the little group would have a
dimension larger than $M$ ).
Let us summarize the result of this section in a theorem: [ Kibblet ${ }^{\text {s theorem }}{ }^{(4)}$ ] Let $G$ be the gauge symmetry of the Lagrangian and $S, G \supset S$, be the little group of the vacuum. The generators $\{L\}$ of $G$ can be divided into two sets, the generators $\{\ell\}$ of $S$ and the rest $\{t\}$. The gauge bosons corresponding to $\{\ell\}$ are massless. The gauge bosons corresponding to $\{t\}$ are massive. This theorem is an analogue of that of Bludman and Klein ${ }^{(5)}$ to spontaneously broken gauge theories.

If the symmetry is not spontaneously broken, i.e., $G=S$, the gauge bosons are endowed with the two transverse polarizations. If the symmetry is broken, some gauge bosons become massive and have three polarizations. How do the longitudinal components of massive vector bosons come about? We see from Eq. (3.12) that

$$
\underset{m}{L} \cdot{\underset{m}{ }}_{B_{\mu}}=\underset{m}{L} \cdot A_{\mu}^{A}-\frac{1}{g} \underset{m}{t} \cdot \partial_{\mu} \underset{m}{\xi}+0\left({\underset{m}{\xi}}_{2}^{\xi_{m}}\right),
$$

i.e., the would-be Goldstone fields serve as the longitudinal components of the massive vector bosons.

The discussions given above can be generalized to quantum field theory, if we use the generating functional of proper vertices instead of $\mathcal{L}$ in eqs. (3.2), (3.7) and (3.8). This was done for the $\sigma$-model in the last of reference (3).

## IV. QUANTIZATION OF HIGGS PHENOMENA

In the preceding section we disposed of the general group theoretical problem associated with the Higgs mechanism in the context of classical field theory. We shall now proceed to the quantization problem. To be specific we consider a simple model:

SU(2) gauge bosons coupled to an isotriplet of scalar fields. The inclusion of fermions will be discussed in a sequel, when we discuss a more realistic model.

The Lagrangian of this model is, with $\mu^{2}<0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & -\frac{1}{4} F_{m \mu v} \cdot F^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{\mu} \phi_{m}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.1}\\
& -\frac{\mu^{2}}{2} \phi^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{\delta \mu^{2}}{2} \phi_{m}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}-g \underset{m \mu}{A_{\mu}}, \\
& {\underset{m \mu v}{ }}_{F_{m}}=\partial_{\mu} A_{m}-\partial_{\nu} \underset{m \mu}{A_{\mu}}-g A_{m \mu} \times{\underset{m}{ }}_{A_{v}} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\delta \mu^{2}$ is the scalar mass counter term. If $\mu^{2}$ is positive, we can quantize the theory in the manner described in Section II, and choose, for example, $M^{2}-a^{2}=\mu^{2}$, where $M^{2}$ and $a^{2}$ are defined in Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7).

Irrespective of the sign of $\mu^{2}$, we can write the generating functional of the Green's functions as

$$
\begin{align*}
W= & \exp : Z\left[J_{\mu}, K_{m}\right]=\int[d A][d \phi] \\
& x \exp :\left\{S_{\alpha}\left[J_{m \mu}, K\right]+\int d^{4}\left[K_{m} \cdot \oint_{m}-J_{\mu} \cdot A_{m}^{\mu}\right](x)\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{\alpha}$ is the effective action:

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\alpha} & =\int d^{4} x\left[\mathscr{L}(x)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{m}^{\mu}(x)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& -i T_{r} \ln \left(1-g t \cdot A_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \frac{1}{\partial^{2}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The important fact one should bear in mind is that Eq. (4.3) applies equally well to the broken symmetry case as it does to the symmetric case, and therefore the same functional Ward-Takahashi identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta W}{\delta J_{\mu}^{a}(x)}-\int d^{4} y J_{\lambda}^{c}(y) D_{y}^{\lambda}\left[i \delta / \delta J_{m}^{c b} G^{b a}(y, x ; i \delta / \delta J) W\right.  \tag{I7.1}\\
& \quad+i g \int d^{4} y K^{c}(y) t^{c d b} \frac{\delta}{\delta K^{b}(y)} G^{d a}(y, x ; i \delta / \delta J) W=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

holds in the broken symmetry case also.
If we were to write down the Feynman rules for the Lagrangian (4.1) as in the symmetric case, then we would get imaginary masses for scalar bosons. The correct way of generating the perturbation
expansion for the generating functional (4.3) is to expand the $V(\phi)$
about its minimum

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\phi)=\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2} \phi_{2}^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define the free Lagrangian as the quadratic part in the new expansion parameters of the Lagrangian. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_{m}}\right|_{m=v}=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \phi_{i} \partial \phi_{j}}\right|_{\phi=v} \geqslant 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall write

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=v \eta_{m} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}=-\mu^{2} / \lambda \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\eta$ is a unit vector in the isospin space, pointing in the 3 axis, say. We shall denote the components of an isovector transverse to $\eta \mathrm{m}$ by the subscript t : thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{i}=\left(\delta_{i j}-\eta_{i} \eta_{j}\right) \phi_{j} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall further define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta \cdot \phi=v+\psi  \tag{4.11}\\
& \eta_{m} \cdot A_{m}^{\mu}=A^{\mu} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall insist that $v$ is the vacuum expectation value of the field $\underset{\sim}{\eta} \cdot \underset{m}{\phi}=\phi_{3}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\delta Z}{\delta K_{3}(x)}\right|_{J_{\mu}=K_{m}=0}=v \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.9) should really be thought as defining the part

$$
\mu^{2} \text { of } \mu_{o}^{2}=\mu^{2}+\delta \mu^{2}
$$

The generating functional (4.3) may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& W=\int\left[d A_{m}\right][d A]\left[d \phi_{t}\right][d \psi] \\
& \exp i\left\{S_{\alpha}^{0}\left[A_{m}^{\mu}, A^{\mu}, \Phi_{t}, \psi\right]+S^{I}\left[\underset{w}{*}, A^{\mu}, \oint_{m}, \psi\right]\right.  \tag{4.14}\\
& \left.+\int d^{4} x\left[\underset{m}{K_{t}} \cdot \phi_{t}+K(v+\psi)-\underset{m t}{J} \cdot A_{m}^{\mu} A_{\mu}-J^{\mu} A_{\mu}\right](x)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{J}^{\mu}=\mathrm{J}_{3}^{\mu}$. In Eq. (4.14), $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{I}}$ are respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\alpha}^{0} & =\int d_{x}^{4}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{m}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(2 \lambda v^{2}\right) \psi^{2}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{v}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}\right)^{2}+\frac{(g v)^{2}}{2}\left(\eta \times A_{m} \mu\right)^{2} \\
& \left.+g v \eta_{m} \cdot\left(A_{m}^{\mu} \times \partial_{\mu} \phi_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{I}= & \int d^{4} x\left\{\frac{g}{2} A_{m} \times A_{m} \cdot\left(\partial^{\mu} A_{m}^{v}-\partial^{v} A_{m}^{\mu}\right)-\frac{g^{2}}{4}\left(A_{\mu} \times{\underset{m}{ }}_{A_{v}}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& +g_{m \mu} A_{\mu} \cdot\left(\phi_{m} \times \partial^{\mu} \phi_{m}\right)+\frac{g^{2}}{2}\left(\underset{m}{\phi} \times A_{m}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\phi_{m}^{2}+\psi^{2}\right)^{2}-\lambda_{\sim} \psi\left(\psi^{2}+\phi_{t}^{2}\right)-\frac{\delta \mu^{2}}{2}\left(\phi_{t}^{2}+\psi_{m}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.-v \delta \mu^{2} \psi\right\}-i T_{2} \ln \left(1-g{ }_{m} \cdot A_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} / \partial^{2}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The perturbation expansion for the generating functional (4.14) is obtained from the formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W\left[J_{\mu}, K\right]=\left[\exp i v \int d^{4} x K(x)\right]\left\{\operatorname { e x p } i S ^ { I } \left[i \delta / \delta J_{m \mu}, i \delta / \delta J_{\mu}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-i \delta / \delta K_{m},-i \delta / \delta K\right]\right\} W_{0}\left[J_{\mu}, K\right] \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{o}\left[J_{\mu}, K\right]= & \int[d A]\left[d \phi_{t}\right][d \psi] \exp i\left\{S_{\alpha}^{c}\right. \\
& \left.+\int d_{x}^{4}\left[K_{t} \cdot \phi_{t}+K \psi+J_{\mu} \cdot A^{\mu}\right](x)\right\} \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The right hand side of Eq. (4.18) may be evaluated by the elementary method ${ }^{(12)}$ and yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0}\left[J_{\mu}, K\right]=\exp \frac{i}{2} \int d^{4} x \int d^{4} y\left\{K_{m}(x) \cdot \tilde{D}_{F}(x-y) K_{m}(y)\right. \\
& +K(x) \widetilde{\Delta}\left(x-y ; 2 \lambda v^{2}\right) K(y) \\
& -J^{\mu}(x) \tilde{D}_{\mu \nu}^{(\alpha)}(x-y) J^{\nu}(y) \\
& -J_{m}^{\mu}(x) \cdot \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu v}^{(\alpha)}\left(x-y ; g^{2} v^{2}\right) J_{m}^{\nu}(y)  \tag{4.19}\\
& \left.+2 \eta_{m} \cdot{\underset{m}{t}}_{\mu}^{\mu} \times \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu}^{(\alpha)}(x-y) K_{m t}(y)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{D}_{F}(x-y) \quad \frac{1}{k^{2}+i \varepsilon} \\
& \widetilde{\Delta}\left(x-y ; \mu^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{k^{2}-\mu^{2}+i \varepsilon} \\
& \widetilde{D}_{\mu v}^{(\alpha)}(x-y) \quad=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i k \cdot(x-y)}\left[\frac{1}{k^{2}+i \varepsilon}\left(g_{\mu v}-\frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{k^{2}}\right)+\alpha \frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu \nu}{ }^{(\alpha)}\left(x-y ; m^{2}\right) \quad\left[\frac{1}{k^{2}-m^{2}+i \varepsilon}\left(g_{\mu v}-\frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{k^{2}}\right)+\alpha \frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\mu}^{(x)}(x-y) \\
& g v k_{\mu}\left(k^{2}+i \varepsilon\right)^{-2} \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.17), together with Eq. (4.19), gives the Feynman rules and the Dyson-Wick expansion theorem.

It is convenient to expand the Green's functions in powers of $g$ with $g^{2}{ }^{2}$ and $\lambda v^{2}$ fixed (this implies $\lambda \sim g^{2}$ ). It was shown ${ }^{(3)}$ that such an expansion coincides with the expansion in the number of loops in Feynman diagrams.

The interaction Lagrangian in Eq. (4.16) contains the term linear in $\psi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-v \delta \mu^{2} \psi \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi$ is supposed to have no vacuum expectation value:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{\delta Z_{2}}{\delta K_{3}}\right|_{J_{\mu}=K} & =0 \quad-v=0 \\
& =\int[d A]\left[d \phi_{t}\right][d \psi] \psi(x) e^{i\left[S_{\alpha}^{0}+S^{I}\right]} \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

the role of the term (4.21) is to cancel the $\psi$-to-vacuum diagrams with one or more loops (the so-called tadpole diagrams). Let ivS $(v, \lambda)$ be the sum of the contributions from such diagrams. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left[S(v, \lambda)-\delta \mu^{2}\right]=0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which determines $\delta \mu^{2} \equiv \mu_{0}^{2}-\lambda v^{2}$. As we shall see, we can express Eq. (4.23) more elegantly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \Delta_{\phi_{t}}^{-1}(0)=0 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

 is the mathematical expression for the Goldstone theorem. A detailed consideration shows that $\Delta_{\phi_{t}}(0)$ does not suffer from infrared divergence. Contributions fro $m$ intermediate states of two massless particles to the self energy of $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ are explicitly proportional to $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ to within
logarithm, so that $\Delta_{\phi_{t}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)$ is well defined at $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$.
In the next section, we shall show that Green's functions are finite if we choose $\delta \mu^{2}$ to satisfy Eq. (4.23) or (4.24), and renormalize fields and sources according to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(v, \psi, \phi_{t}\right) & =Z_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(v, \psi, \phi_{m}\right)_{r} \\
A_{\mu} & =Z_{3}^{i / 2}\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{r} \\
J_{\mu} & =Z_{3}^{-1 / 2}\left(J_{m}\right)_{r} \\
K & =Z_{2}^{-1 / 2}(K)_{r} \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and coupling constants according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& g=g_{r} Z_{1} / Z_{3}^{3 / 2}=g_{2} \tilde{Z}_{1} / \tilde{Z}_{3} Z_{3}^{1 / 2} \\
& \lambda=\lambda_{r} Z_{4} / Z_{2}^{2} \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}, Z_{4}, \tilde{z}_{1}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{3}$ are to be chosen to make the symmetric theory (the theory with the same $\lambda$ and $g$ but with $\mu^{2}>0$ ) finite. These renormalization can be implemented in Eq. (4.14) if we write $S_{\alpha}^{\circ}$ and $S^{I}$ in terms of renormalized quantities and add to $S^{I}$ counter terms. We shall omit the subscript $r$. The expression $\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{O}}$ remains the same as Eq. (4.15) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{I}=\text { RHS of Eg. (4.16) } \\
& +\int d^{4} x\left\{\left(z_{2}-1\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{m}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi\right)^{2}\right]+\left(z_{1}-1\right) \lambda v^{2} \psi^{2}\right. \\
& -\left(z_{3}-1\right) \frac{1}{4}\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{v}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}\right)^{2}+\frac{(y v)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{z_{1}^{2}}{Z_{3}} \frac{z_{2}}{z_{3}}-1\right)\left(\eta \times A_{\mu}\right)^{2} \\
& +g v\left(z_{1} \frac{z_{2}}{z_{3}}-1\right) \eta \cdot A_{m}^{\mu} \times \partial_{\mu} \phi \\
& +\frac{g}{2}\left(Z_{1}-1\right) A_{\mu} \times A_{m} \cdot\left(\partial^{\mu} A^{v}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}\right)-\frac{g^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{Z_{1}^{2}}{Z_{3}}-1\right)\left(A_{\mu} \times A_{v}\right)^{2} \\
& +g\left(z_{1} \frac{z_{2}}{z_{3}}-1\right) A_{\mu} \cdot\left(\phi \times \gamma^{\mu} \phi\right)+\frac{g^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{z_{1}^{2}}{z_{3}} \frac{z_{2}}{z_{3}}-1\right)\left(\phi \times A_{\mu}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(z_{4}-1\right)\left(\phi_{t}^{2}+\psi^{2}\right)-\lambda v\left(z_{4}-1\right) \psi\left(\phi_{t}^{2}+\psi^{2}\right)  \tag{4.27}\\
& \left.-\frac{\delta \mu^{2}}{2}\left(z_{2}-1\right)\left(\phi_{t}^{2}+\psi^{2}\right)-v \delta \mu^{2}\left(z_{2}-1\right) \psi\right\} \\
& -i T_{r} \ln \left\{1+\frac{1}{\partial^{2}-g_{n}^{t} \cdot A^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}}\left[\left(\tilde{z}_{3}-1\right) \partial^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-g\left(\tilde{Z}_{1}-1\right) t \cdot A_{m}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} / \partial^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

## V. PROOF OF FINITENESS

The discussion in the previous section may suggest to the alert reader that all we have to do to renormalize the Green's functions of the spontaneously broken gauge theory is to construct the generating functional (4.3) of the renormalized Green's functions for $\mu^{2}>0$, and then continue the resulting functional analytically to $\mu^{2}<0$. Unfortunately, the Green's functions are not analytic in $\mu^{2}$ at $\mu^{2}=0,{ }^{(3)}$ so that we need a little bit of machinery to implement the above idea.

Let us set up this machinery. We consider the generating functional of Eq. (4.3) for $\mu^{2}>0$ and expand the generating functional about $\underset{\sim \mu}{J}=0$ and $\underset{m}{K}=\underset{\sim}{\gamma}$, where $\underset{\sim}{\gamma}$ is a constant vector in the isospin space. The expansion coefficients are the Green's functions of the theory whose formal action is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{x}(\underset{\sim}{\gamma})= & \int d^{\mu} x\left[\mathcal{L}(x)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(\partial^{\mu}{\underset{\sim \mu}{\mu}}(x)\right)^{2}+\gamma_{m}^{\mu} \cdot \underset{m}{\phi}(x)\right] \\
& -i T_{\lambda} \ln \left(1-\underset{m}{t} \cdot A_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} / \partial^{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Of course, the action of Eq. (5.1) does not follow from any local Lagrangian which makes sense. The action (5.1) is just our device of connecting the $\mu^{2}>0$ and $\mu^{2}<0$ cases, as we shall see.

The term $\int \mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{x} \gamma \cdot \phi(\mathrm{x})$ induces a vacuum expectation value of $\phi(\mathrm{x})$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)=\delta Z /\left.\delta K_{i}(x)\right|_{J_{\mu}=0, K_{m}=\gamma_{m}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we shall see $\underset{\sim}{v}$ and $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{y}}$ are necessarily parallel, and we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{m}=c \eta_{m}  \tag{5.3}\\
& v(\gamma)=v_{c} \eta_{m} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall now decompose the fields $\phi$ and $A_{\mu}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{m}=\phi_{m}+\eta\left(v_{c}+\psi\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
& A_{m}^{\mu}={\underset{m}{m}}_{A^{\mu}}^{A^{\mu}}+\eta_{m} A^{\mu} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\eta_{m} \cdot \phi_{t}=\eta \cdot \underset{m}{ } \cdot A_{t}^{\mu}=0
$$

The action (5.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{\alpha}\right)=S_{\alpha}^{0}\left(\gamma_{m}\right)+S^{I}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\alpha}^{0}\left(\gamma_{m}^{2}\right)=\int d^{4} x\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{t}\right)^{2}-\frac{m^{2}}{2} \phi_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(m^{2}+2 \lambda_{c}^{2}\right) \psi^{2}\right. \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4}\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{v}-\partial_{v} A_{m}\right)^{2}+\frac{g^{2} v_{i}^{2}}{2}\left(A_{m}^{\mu}\right)^{2}+g_{c} v_{m} \cdot\left(A_{m}^{\mu} \times \partial_{\mu} \phi_{m}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(\partial^{\mu} A_{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}=\mu^{2}+\lambda v_{c}^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { with } v=v_{c}
$$

and $S^{I}$ is given by Eq. (4.16) with $^{\text {except that the linear term in } \psi \text { should }}$
now be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c-v_{c}\left(m^{2}+\delta \mu^{2}\right)\right] \psi \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Eqs. (4.15) and (4.16) are recover from Eqs. (5.7) and (5.9) as we let $c=0$ and $m^{2}=0$. Again, the role of the term (5.9) is to cancel the $\psi$-to-vacuum diagrams with one or more loops. Let iv $S_{c}$ be the sum of the contributions from such diagrams. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{c}\left(m^{2}+\delta \mu^{2}-S_{c}\right)=c \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now give a brief summary of the ensuing argument. We will first show that the Green's functions for the action (5.1) are renormalized by the counter terms of the symmetric theory ( $\mu^{2}>0, c=0$ ). We shall then show that the renormalized Green's functions of the spontaneously broken gauge theory ( $\mu^{2}<0, c=0$ ) are obtained from those of the action (5.1) in the limit $c=0, \mathrm{~m}^{2}=0$. We shall precise the meaning of this limit in due course. In the course of our discussion, it is important to note whether $\mu^{2}$ or $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ is kept fixed.

Following Jona-Lasinio, we will introduce the generating functional I of the proper (i.e., single particle irreducible) vertices. First define
and $\quad-g^{\mu \nu} A_{\nu}{ }^{a}(x)=\delta Z / \delta J_{\mu}^{a}(x)$

The generating functional $\Gamma$ is obtained from $Z$ by a Legendre transformation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma\left[A_{\mu}, \Phi \underline{m}\right]=Z\left[J_{\mu}, K_{\mu}\right] \\
& \quad-\int d^{4} x\left[K \cdot \Phi-J_{m}^{\mu} \cdot A_{\mu}\right](x) \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the Maxwell equations dual to Eqs. (5.8) and (5.9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
-K_{i}^{\prime}(x)=\delta \Gamma / \delta \Phi_{i}(x) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\mu \nu} J_{\nu}^{a}(x)=\delta \Gamma / \delta A_{\mu}^{a}(x) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi(x)\right|_{J_{\mu}=0, K}=\gamma_{m}=v\left(\gamma^{\sim}\right)=v_{c} \eta \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its dual

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma_{i}=\delta \Gamma /\left.\delta \Phi_{i}\right|_{\underset{\sim}{A} A_{\mu}=0, \Phi_{w}=v\left(\gamma_{m}\right)} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the analysis of Jona-Lasinio, the expansion coefficients of $\Gamma$ about $A_{\mu}=0, \underset{m}{\Phi}=\underset{m}{v}(\gamma)$ are the proper vertices for the action (5.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\Pi}\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n} ; y_{1} \cdots y_{m} ; z_{1} \cdots z_{\ell} \mid v\right) \\
&=\left.\frac{\delta^{n+m+\ell} \Gamma\left[A_{\mu}, \Phi\right]}{\delta A_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \delta \Phi_{t}\left(y_{1}\right) \cdots \delta \Phi_{l}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdots}\right|_{A_{\mu}=c ; E(\underset{m}{ }=v} \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have written

$$
\Phi_{m}=\Phi_{m}+\eta_{m} \Phi_{l}
$$

and suppressed all isospin and tensor indices. We define the Fourier transform $\Pi$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(\sum p+\Sigma q+\Sigma \kappa\right) \pi\left(p_{1} \ldots p_{n} ; q_{1} \cdots q_{m} ; h_{1} \ldots n_{k}(v)\right. \\
=\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^{4} x_{i} e^{i p_{i} \cdot x_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} d^{4} y_{j} e^{i q_{j} \cdot y_{j}} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} d^{4} z_{k} e^{i k_{k} \cdot z_{k}}  \tag{5.16}\\
\times \widetilde{\pi}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n} ; y_{i} \cdots y_{m} ; z_{1} \ldots z_{l} \mid v\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The expansion coefficients of $\Gamma$ about $\underset{m \mu}{ }=0, \underline{m}=0$ are the proper vertices $\Pi(--\mid v=0)$ of the symmetric theory. Therefore, we have, for $\mu^{2}>0$, and $\mu^{2}$ held fixed,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{i 2} ; q_{1} \cdots q_{n} ; r_{1} \ldots r_{l} \mid v, g, \lambda\right)  \tag{5.17}\\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(v)^{s}}{s!} \pi(p_{1} \cdots p_{n}, q_{1} \cdots q_{m}, r_{1} \cdots r_{2} \underbrace{00 \cdots 0}_{s} \mid 0, g, \lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.17) expresses a proper vertex for the action (5.1) in terms of those of the symmetric theory which we know how to renormalize. The proper vertices appearing in the right hand side contain $(\ell+s) \phi_{3}-$ lines, of which s lines disappear into the vacuum. We recall from Section II that the renormalized vertex $\prod_{r}\left(\cdots-\mid 0, g_{r}, \lambda_{r}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{n}\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n} ; q_{1} \cdots q_{m} ; r_{1} \cdots r_{l} \cdots r_{l+s} \mid 0, g_{n}, \lambda_{r}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(Z_{3}\right)^{n / 2}\left(z_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(m+l+s)} \\
& \times \Pi\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n} ; q_{1} \cdots q_{m} ; r_{1} \cdots r_{l+s} \mid 0, g_{n} z_{1} z_{3}^{-3 / 2}, \lambda_{n} z_{4} z_{2}^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is finite with an appropriate chose of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}, Z_{4}, \widetilde{Z}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{Z}_{3}$ with $Z_{1} Z_{3}^{-1}=\tilde{Z}_{1} \tilde{Z}_{3}^{-1}$. We define the renormalization of the left hand side of Eq. (5.17) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{2}\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n} ; q_{1} \cdots q_{m} ; r_{1} \cdots r_{l} \mid v_{n}, g_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(Z_{3}\right)^{n / 2}\left(Z_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(m+l)} \\
& \times \Pi\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n} ; q_{1} \cdots q_{m} ; r_{2} \cdots r_{l} \mid Z_{2}^{1 / 2} v_{n}, g_{n} Z_{i} Z_{3}^{-3 / 2}, \lambda_{n} Z_{4} z_{2}^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{r}\left(\cdots \mid v_{r}, g_{n}, \lambda_{r}\right) \\
&=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(v_{r}\right)^{s}}{s!} \pi_{r}(\cdots \underbrace{00 \cdots 0}_{s} \mid 0, g_{r}, \lambda_{r}) \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

It shows that if we renormalize the wave functions and coupling constants, and choose the mass counter term $\delta \mu^{2}$ as in the symmetric theory, then the proper vertex $\pi_{r}\left(\cdots \mid v_{r}\right)$ is finite if $v_{r}=Z_{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{v}$ is.

In the symmetric theory, $\delta \mu^{2}$ and $Z_{2}$ may be chosen to satisfy Eq. (2.7) with $\mu^{2}=M^{2}-a^{2}$, for example. For the purpose of making $\Pi_{\mathrm{r}}(--\mid \quad 0)$ finite, however, we need not choose the finite parts of $\delta \mu^{2}$ and $Z_{2}$ in this manner. For our purpose, it is more convenient to choose $\delta \mu^{2}$ and $Z_{2}$ so that the renormalized propagator for the $\phi_{2}$ fields behave near $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Delta_{\phi_{t}}\left(k^{2}\right)\right]_{\Lambda} \sim\left(k^{2}-m^{2}\right) \quad a_{2} \quad k^{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ is the quantity appearing in Eq. (5.7). Obviously the vertices $\Pi_{r}\left(--\mid v_{r}\right)$ may be regarded as a function of $m^{2}$, rather than of $\mu^{2}$. Henceforth, we shall adopt the renormalization condition (5.21) and consider $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ as an independent variable.

How does one determine $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}$ in Eq. (5.20)? It must be determined from Eq. (5.10) which is the condition that $\Psi$ have null vacuum expectation value. To determine the structure of $S_{c}$, we turn to our sheep, the Ward-Takahashi identity (4.5). We show in Appendix that Eq. (4.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{a b c} \int d^{4} x\left[J_{\mu}^{b}(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\mu}^{c}(x)}+K^{b}(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta K^{c}(x)}\right] W=0 \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Eq. (5.22) with respect to $K$ and taking the limit $\underset{\sim \mu}{J}=0$ and $\underset{m}{K}=\underset{\sim}{y}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{m}^{r} \Delta_{\phi_{t}}(0)=-v\left(\gamma_{m}\right) \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows $\underset{m}{\gamma}$ and $\underset{m}{v}$ are parallel [see Eqs. (5.3) and (5.4)] and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{r}=v_{r} m^{2} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=c Z_{2}^{1 / 2} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.24) is the renormalized version of Eq. (5.10). Thus if $c_{r}$ is finite, so is $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}$.

Let us summarize the results so far. We have shown that the Green's functions for the action (5.1) become finite if we renormalize the fields, sources and coupling constants according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\phi, v)=Z_{2}^{1 / 2}(\phi, v)_{r} \\
& \left(\underset{m}{K}, \gamma_{m}^{\sim}\right)=Z_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(\underset{m}{K}, \gamma_{m}^{\sim}\right)_{r}  \tag{5.26}\\
& A_{m}=Z_{3}^{1 / 2}\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{i} \\
& J_{m \mu}=Z_{3}^{-1 / 2}\left(J_{m \mu}\right)_{r} \\
& g=g_{2}\left(Z_{1} / z_{3}{ }^{3 / 2}\right)=g_{\sim}\left(\tilde{Z_{1}} / \tilde{z}_{3} Z_{3}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \lambda=\lambda_{2}\left(Z_{4} / Z_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and choose $\delta \mu^{2}$ and $Z_{2}$ to satisfy Eq. (5.21), and other $Z^{\prime}$ 's to be those of the symmetric theory. By the regularization method developed in Part I, the renormalization implied in Eqs. (5.18) and (5.20) are
made unambiguous and to preserve gauge invariance. That is to say, the Green's functions constructed from $\Pi_{r}(--\mid v)$ of Eq. (5.20) satisfy the Ward-Takahashi identities generated from Eq. (4.5) by expanding $Z$ about $(\underset{w \mu}{J})_{r}=0$ and $\underset{w r}{K}={\underset{m}{r}}^{x}$.

Equation (5.24) is the Goldstone theorem. In the spontaneously broken case, $c_{r}=c=0$, so that $m^{2}=0$, which is Eq. (4.24). In this case, the renormalization conditions given in this section reduce to those of the last section (actually the prescriptions of $Z_{2}$ differ by a finite factor which is of no import). The finiteness proof of Eqs. (5.20) and (5.24) applies to the spontaneous breaking case (i.e., $c_{r}=0, v_{r}$ finite) a fortiori.

## VI. LOW ENERGY BEHAVIORS OF PROPAGATORS

In this and the following sections we will deal exclusively with renormalized quantities. We shall therefore drop the subscripts $r$ consistently.

From Eq. (4.5) we learn that the longitudinal part of the vector meson propagator is unrenormalized. The derivation of this fact is completely analogous to that given in Sec. 4 of the previous paper. Therefore, the full vector propagator has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\mu \nu}(k)= & \left(g_{\mu \nu}-k_{\mu} k_{\nu} / k^{2}\right) f\left(k^{2}\right) \\
& +\alpha k_{\mu} k_{\nu} /\left(k^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

For the $\mathrm{a}=1$ and 2 components of the vector propagator,
Eq. (6.1) leads to useful relations. Let $\Gamma_{\mu \nu}, \Gamma_{\mu}$ and $\Gamma$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu \nu}(x-y)=\delta^{2} \Gamma\left[A_{\mu}, \Phi_{m}\right] /\left.\delta A_{\mu}^{\prime}(x) \delta A_{\nu}^{\prime}(y)\right|_{A_{\mu}=0, ~ \Phi}=v \\
& \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}(x-y)=\delta^{2} \Gamma\left[A_{\mu}, \Phi\right] /\left.\delta A_{\mu}^{\prime}(x) \delta \Phi^{\prime}(y)\right|_{A_{\mu}}=c, \Phi_{m}=v \\
& \Gamma(x-y)=\delta^{2} \Gamma\left[A_{\mu}, \Phi_{m}\right] /\left.\delta \Phi^{\prime}(x) \delta \Phi^{\prime}(y)\right|_{A_{\mu}=c, \Phi}=\Phi_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu \nu}  \tag{6.3}\\
\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu} \\
\tilde{\Gamma}
\end{array}\right](x-y)=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i k \cdot(x-y)}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^{\mu \nu} \\
\Gamma^{\mu} \\
\Gamma
\end{array}\right](k)
$$

In Eq. (6. 2) $\underset{m}{\mathrm{v}}$ is chosen to be along the third axis of the isospin space, and is determined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi_{i}}\right|_{A_{\mu}=0, \Phi_{w=v}^{v}}=0 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The propagators defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{2} Z /\left.\delta K^{\prime}(x) \delta K^{\prime}(y)\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0}=-\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i k \cdot(x-y)} \Delta\left(k^{2}\right) \\
& \delta^{2} Z /\left.\delta J_{\mu}^{\prime}(x) \delta K^{\prime}(y)\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0}=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i k \cdot(x-y)} \Delta^{\mu}(k) \\
& \delta^{2} Z /\left.\delta J_{\mu}^{1}(x) \delta J_{\nu}^{1}(y)\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0}=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i k \cdot(x-y)} \Delta^{\mu \nu}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proper vertices of Eq. (6.3) are the inverses of each other, in the sense that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma\left(k^{2}\right) & \Gamma_{\lambda}(-k) \\
\Gamma_{\mu}(k) & \Gamma_{\mu \lambda}(k)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
& -g^{\lambda \rho}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta\left(k^{2}\right) & \Delta_{\nu}(-k) \\
\Delta_{\rho}(k) & -\Delta_{\rho \nu}(k)
\end{array}\right) \\
&=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -g_{\mu \nu}
\end{array}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall parametrize the proper vertices of Eq. (6.3) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{\mu \nu}(k)=-g_{\mu \nu} A\left(k^{2}\right)+k_{\mu} k_{\nu} B\left(k^{2}\right) \\
& \Gamma_{\mu}(k)=i k_{\mu} C\left(k^{2}\right) \\
& \Gamma\left(k^{2}\right)=k^{2} D\left(k^{2}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

When the above expressions are substituted into Eq. (6.7), we obtain for $\Delta_{\mu \nu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mu \nu}(k)=\left(g_{\mu \nu}-k_{\mu} k_{\nu} / k^{2}\right) A^{-1}+\frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{k^{2}} \frac{D}{D\left(A-k^{2} B\right)+C} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the longitudinal parts of Eqs. (6.1) and (6.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(A D-B D k^{2}+C^{2}\right)=k^{2} D \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the desired relation.
The propagators in Eq. (6.5) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{\mu v}(k)=\left(g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{k^{2}}\right) A^{-1}+\alpha \frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \Delta_{\mu}(k)=i \alpha \frac{k_{\mu}}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\Delta\left(k^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{k^{2} D\left(k^{2}\right)}-\frac{\alpha}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{C}{D}\right)^{2}
$$

Let us consider the low energy limits of the propagators in Eq. (6.10). By the renormalization condition (5.21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=D(0)=1 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now taking the limit $\mathrm{k}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ in Eq. (6.9), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=-C_{0}^{2} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{o}=A(0), C_{o}=C(0)$. Therefore, in the limit $k^{2} \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{\mu \nu}(k) \sim \frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{c_{c}^{2}} \quad+\text { gauge dependent term } \\
& \Delta\left(k^{2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{k^{2}} \quad+\text { gauge dependent term } \tag{6,13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is instructive to see what happens in the $\mathrm{a}=3$ channel. The invariances of the Lagrangian and the vacuum expectation value under $\phi_{1,3} \rightarrow+\phi_{1,3} \phi_{2} \rightarrow-\phi_{2}$ and $A_{\mu}^{2} \rightarrow+A_{\mu}^{2}, A_{\mu}^{1,3} \rightarrow-A_{\mu}^{1,3}$ imply that $C\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)=0$ in Eq. (6.7). Equation (6.9) becomes

$$
\alpha\left(A-k^{2} B\right)=k^{2}
$$

Writing $A=k^{2} J$ we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu v}(k)=-\left(k^{2} g_{\mu \nu}-k_{\mu} k_{\nu}\right) J-\frac{1}{\alpha} k_{\mu} k_{\nu} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

VII. GAUGE INDEPENDENCE AND THE UNITARITY

OF THE S-MATRIX

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { By using Eq. (2.8) repeatedly, we obtain, for } \mathrm{k} \leq \ell \text {, } \\
& \left.\left(\frac{i}{\alpha}\right)^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}^{\mu_{k}}} \frac{\delta^{k+\ell} i Z}{\delta J_{\mu_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \delta J_{\mu_{k}}\left(x_{k}\right) \delta J_{\nu_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right) \cdots \delta J_{l}\left(y_{l}\right)}\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0} \\
& =\sum_{\text {part }} \sum_{\text {prem }(k)} W^{-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \int d^{4} z_{i} g_{\nu_{i i}, \lambda_{i}}^{t r}\left(g_{j_{i}}-z_{i}\right)\left[-i g^{\tilde{Z}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\lambda_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\times G\left(z_{i}, x_{i} ; i \delta / \delta J\right)\right\}\left.\left[\prod_{m=k+1}^{\ell} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\nu j m}\left(y_{j m}\right)}\right] W\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0} \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sum_{\text {part }}$ is the summation over all possible partitions of $(1,2--l)$ into two subsets, $\left\{j_{i}\right\}, i=1,--k$ and $\left\{j_{m}\right\}, m=k+1,-\cdots \ell$, and $\sum_{\text {perm }}^{\Sigma}$ (k) is the summation over all permutations of $k$ elements of $\left\{j_{i}\right\}$. We have suppressed all references to the isospin which is not crucial in our discussion. We used the symbol $g_{\mu \nu}^{\operatorname{tr}}$ for

$$
g_{\mu v}^{t r}(x-y)=g_{\mu v} \delta^{4}(x-y)+\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \tilde{D}_{F}(x-y)
$$

For $\mathrm{k}=\ell+1$, we have

## LHS of (7.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \sum_{\operatorname{perm}^{\prime}(\ell)} W^{-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \int d_{z_{i}}^{\psi} g_{v_{j i}}^{\hbar} \lambda_{i}\left(y_{j i}-z_{i}\right)\left[-i g \tilde{Z}_{1} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\lambda_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\times G\left(z_{i}, x_{i} ; i \delta / \delta J\right)\right\}\left.\frac{i}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}^{\mu}} W\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0} \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

There are ( $k-1$ ) more equations of this kind in which the privileged rôle of $\left(x_{k}, \mu_{k}\right)$ on the right hand side is taken up by $\left(x_{1}, \mu_{1}\right), \ldots$, $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-1}, \mu_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right)$. For $\mathrm{k}>\ell+1$, we have simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { LHS of }(7.1)=0 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three equations above are the bases of our discussion on the gauge independence and the unitarity of the S-matrix. By the gauge independence of the $S$-matrix, we mean that the on-shell S-matrix is independent of $\alpha$ in the gauge defining term in the action (2.1). The proof given in reference (13) can be carried over to our case. First note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{i}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}^{\mu_{i}}}\right) \frac{\delta^{m} Z}{\delta J_{\mu_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \delta J_{\mu_{m}}\left(x_{m}\right)}\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0}=0 \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a special case of (7.3). Equation (7.4) corresponds exactly to Eq. (6.11) of reference (13), and by the argument given there we conclude that the $T$-matrix is independent of the parameter $\alpha$. We wish, next, to show that the massless scalar particles we encounter in the construction of Green's functions are unphysical, i.e., do not contribute to the sum over intermediate states when we compute the absorptive part of a physical (i.e., on-shell) amplitude by the Landau-Cutkosky rule. ${ }^{(8,9)}$ Recall that there are in general three different massless scalars: the negative metric scalar excitation (the first kind) associated with the transverse vector propagator

$$
\sim \frac{k_{\mu} k_{v}}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{-c_{c}^{2}}
$$

the Goldstone boson (the second kind), with the propagator

$$
\frac{1}{k^{2}}
$$

and the fermion scalars associated with the gauge field quantization (the third kind). We shall assume that all these scalars have the propagators given by $\left(k^{2}+i \epsilon\right)^{-1}$.

Let us begin with the simplest example. Let $\mathrm{T}_{\mu}(\mathrm{k}-\cdots)$ be the amputated Green's function with one vector boson off the mass shell and all other lines on the mass shell. We have shown explicitly the momentum k and the tensor index $\mu$ for the vector boson, but suppressed all other variables. Let $T(\mathrm{k}---)$ be the amputated Green's function with one Goldstone boson off shell (with momentum k) and all other external lines on shell. Consider now the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu}^{(2)}\left(\frac{k^{\mu} k^{\nu}}{k^{2}+i \varepsilon} \frac{1}{-C_{c}^{2}}\right) T_{\nu}^{(1)}+T^{(2)} \frac{1}{k^{2}+i \varepsilon} \cdot T^{(1)} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and compute the absorptive part of this amplitude arising from the two kinds of scalars being on the mass shell. By the Cutkosky rule it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-T_{1}^{(2) *} T_{1}^{(1)}+T_{2}^{(2) *} T_{2}^{(1)} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}=0\right)
$$

is the amplitude for the Goldstone boson (massless particle of the second kind), and

$$
T_{1}=\frac{i k_{\mu}}{c_{0}} T^{\mu}\left(k^{2}=0\right)
$$

is the normalized amplitude for the massless scalar associated with the longitudinal part of the vector propagator (massless particle of the first kind). Since

$$
\left.\frac{i}{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta J_{\mu}(x)}\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0}=0
$$

we have the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\alpha} k_{\mu}\left\{\left[-\left(g^{\mu \nu}-k^{\mu} k^{\nu} / k^{2}\right) A^{-1}+\alpha k^{\mu} k^{\nu}\left(k^{2}\right)^{-2}\right] T_{\nu}+i \alpha \frac{k^{\mu}}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{C}{D} T\right\} \\
& \quad=-i \frac{C}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{i k^{\mu}}{c} T_{\mu}-\frac{1}{D} T\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives, in the limit $\mathbf{k}^{2}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{2} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the expression (7.6) is identically zero, and neither of the scalars contributes to the sum over states.

To proceed further, it is necessary to extract more information from Eqs. (7.1) - (7.3). Let

$$
T_{i_{1} i_{2}}-\cdots i_{s}(1,2, \cdots-s)
$$

be the amplitude for $s$ massless scalar excitations of the first and second kinds, the subscripts $i_{1},---i_{s}$, which take the value 1 or 2 indicating which kinds are involved. We suppress, as before, all references to other particles which are on their mass shells. Let

$$
G_{i_{i}, \ldots i_{s}}\left(1,2, \cdots s \mid\left(j_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(j_{2}, k_{2}\right), \cdots\left(j_{r}, k_{t}\right)\right)
$$

be the amplitude for $s+2 t$ massless scalar excitations, $s$ being either of the first or second kind, and 2 t being of the third kind. The ghost "particles" of the third kind appear in pairs, and their pairings are unambiguous, because the ghost lines are continuous. In the pair ( $j_{n}, k_{n}$ ), the ordering is important, because the ghost line is orientable (say, from the dotted end $j_{n}$ to the undotted end $k_{n}$ ). Equation (7.1) tells us that for $k \leq \ell$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, 1}^{2} \ldots \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{2} T_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{k} \frac{\mid i \ldots 1}{\ell}(1, \ldots k, k+1, \ldots k+l)}=\sum_{\text {part }} \sum_{\operatorname{perm}(k)} G_{\left\{i_{j m}\right\}}(\{j m\} \mid(j, 1), \cdots(j k, k))
\end{align*}
$$

where, as before, $\underset{\text { part }}{\sum}$ means the summation over all possible partitions of $(k+1, k+2, \cdots, k+\ell)$ into two subsets, $\left\{j_{i}\right\}$ $i=1,2, \cdots k$ and $\left\{j_{m}\right\} m=k+1, \cdots k+l$, and $\sum_{\text {perm(k) }}$ means the summation over all permutations of $\left\{j_{i}\right\}, i=1,2,--, k$. For $\mathrm{k}=\ell+1$, Eq. (7.2) tells us that

LHS of Eq. (7.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{i_{k}=1}^{2} \sum_{\text {permed } \ell,} G_{i_{k}}\left(k \mid\left(j_{1}, 1\right),\left(j_{2}, 2\right), \cdots(j \ell, l)\right) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k>\ell+1$, we have from Eq. (7.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { LHS of Eq. }(7.8)=0 \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that Eqs. (7.8)-(7.9) are sufficient to prove that the contributions from three kinds of zero mass excitations always cancel in the sum over intermediate states, no matter how many massless excitations there are in a given intermediate state. To see how it works, let us consider two cases in detail.

Suppose there are two massless scalars in the intermediate states. The unitarity sum is

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}} e^{i \pi\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)} T_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{(2) *}(1,2) T_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{(1)}(1,2) \\
& -G^{(2)^{*}}(1(1,2)) G^{(1)}(1(2,1))-G^{(2)^{*}}(1(2,1)) G^{(1)}(1(1,2)) \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The last two terms have negative signs because the scalars of the third kind are fermions. Equation (7.8) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i 1} T_{i_{1}, 1}(1,2)=G(1(21)) \equiv G(21) \\
& \sum_{i_{2}} T_{i i_{2}}(1,2)=G(1(12)) \equiv G(12) \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and Eq. (7.10) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}} T_{i_{1} i_{2}}(1,2)=0 \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (7.12) and (7.13) allow us to express $T_{11}, T_{12}$, and $T_{21}$ in terms of others:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{11}=T_{22}+G(12)+G(21) \\
& T_{21}=-T_{22}-G(21) \\
& T_{12}=-T_{22}-G(12)
\end{aligned}
$$

When the above expressions are substituted in Eq. (7.11), we find

$$
\mathrm{U}=0
$$

Now consider the case of three massless scalars. We will use the abbreviations $T_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}=T_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}(1,2,3), G_{i_{1}}(1 \mid 23)=$ $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(1 \mid(2,3))$. The unitarity sum is

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=\sum_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} e^{i \pi\left(i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}\right)} T_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}^{(2)^{*}} T_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}^{(1)} \\
& \begin{aligned}
-\sum_{k=1}^{2} e^{i \pi k} & {\left[G_{k}^{(2)^{*}}(1 \mid 23) G_{k}^{(1)}(1 \mid 32)\right.} \\
+ & G_{k}^{(2)^{*}}(1 \mid 32) G_{k}^{(1)}(1 \mid 23)
\end{aligned} \\
& +G_{k}^{(2)^{*}}(2 / 31) G_{k}^{(1)}(2 \mid 13) \\
& +G_{k}^{(2)^{*}}(2 \mid 13) G_{k}^{(1)}(2|3|)  \tag{7.14}\\
& +G_{k}^{(2)^{*}}(3 \mid 12) G_{k}^{(1)}(3 \mid 21) \\
& \left.+G_{k}^{(2)^{*}}(3 \mid 21) G_{k}^{(1)}(3 \mid 12)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The relations among various amplitudes we can get from Eqs. (7.8)-(7.10) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i} T_{i \| 1}=G_{i}(3 \mid 12)+G_{1}(2 \mid 13) \\
& \sum_{i} T_{\mid i 1}=G_{i}(3|2|)+G_{1}(1 \mid 23) \\
& \sum_{i} T_{11 i}=G_{1}(2|3|)+G_{1}(1 \mid 32) \\
& \sum_{i, j} T_{i j 1}=\sum_{j} G_{j}(2 \mid 13)=\sum_{i} G_{i}(1 \mid 23) \\
& \sum_{i, j} T_{i 1 j}=\sum_{j} G_{j}(3 \mid 12)=\sum_{i} G_{i}(1 \mid 32) \\
& \sum_{i, j} T_{i i j}=\sum_{j} G_{j}(3 \mid 21)=\sum_{i} G_{i}(2|3|) \\
& \sum_{i, j, k} T_{i j k}=0 \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The relations (7.15) are enough to show that $U$ of (7.14) is identically zero.

This process can be pushed ad infinitum. We have not found a sufficiently convenient and compact notation to carry out the calculation efficiently for N massless particles. In verifying the cancellation for $\mathrm{N}=4$, for example, it is important to bear in mind the fermion nature of the particles of the third kind, so that in the unitarity sum we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +G^{(2)^{*}}(\mid(12),(34)) G^{(1)}(\mid(21),(43)) \\
& -G^{(2)^{*}}(\mid(12),(34)) G^{(1)}(\mid(41),(23))
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the relative signs!

## APPENDIX

The $\sigma$ Model-like Identity

The simplest way of deriving Eq. (5.22) is to consider a constant gauge transformation on the variables of integration in the functional integral (4.3). We give here an alternative derivation of Eq. (5.22) from Eq. (4.5)

From Eq. (5.22) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\partial^{2}-i t, \sum_{i J_{\mu}}^{\delta} \partial_{\mu}\right)^{a b} \frac{i}{\alpha} \partial_{\lambda} \frac{\delta W}{\sum_{i} J_{\lambda}}+\lambda^{\mu} J_{\mu}^{a} W \\
& +i g\left(J_{\mu} t \cdot \frac{\sum}{\delta J_{\mu}}+K \underset{\sim}{E} \cdot \frac{\Sigma}{\delta K}\right)^{a} W \\
& -i \int d_{y}^{4} \delta(y-x) t^{a c d} D_{y}^{\lambda}[i \delta / \delta J]^{c i d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} G^{b d}(y, x ; i \delta / \Sigma J) W=O \tag{Al}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\epsilon^{a b c} \partial_{\mu}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\mu}^{b}} \partial_{\lambda} \frac{\delta W}{\delta J_{\nu}^{c}}\right]=\epsilon^{a b c} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\mu}^{b}} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} \frac{\delta W}{\delta J_{\nu}^{c}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{a c d}\left\{D_{y}^{\lambda}[i \delta / \delta J]^{c b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} G^{b d}(y, x ; i \delta / \delta J)\right\}_{x=y} \\
& =E^{a c d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}}\left\{D_{y}^{\lambda}[i \delta / \delta J]^{c b} G^{b d}(y, x ; i \delta / \delta J)\right\}_{x=y}
\end{aligned}
$$

we can write all but the third term on the left of Eq. (A1) as divergences of vectors. Equation (5.22) follows upon integration over $x$.
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Page 28, line 1 from top:
logarithem in the Landav gauge for example, so that $\Delta \phi_{t}(0)$ is finite (See Appendix D).

Page 36, line 4-line 12:
to choose $\delta \mu^{2}$ so that $\Delta \phi_{t}(0)$ has the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\phi_{t}}(0)=-\mathrm{m}^{-2} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ is the quantity appearing in Eq. (5.7) (See Appendix D). Obviously the vertices $\Pi_{r}\left(--\mid v_{r}\right)$ may be regarded as functions of $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ rather than of $\mu^{2}$. Henceforth we shall treat $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ defined by Eq. (5.21) as an independent variable.

How does one determine $v_{r}$ in Eq. (5.20)? It must be determined from Eq. (5.10) which is the condition that $\psi$ have a null vacuum expectation value.

Page 38, line 8 -line 9:
remove the parenthetical remark.
Page 39, the last two lines of Eq. (6.2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}(x-y)=\delta^{2} \Gamma\left[A_{\mu}, \Phi\right] /\left.\delta A_{\mu}^{\prime}(x) \delta \Phi^{2}(y)\right|_{A_{\mu}}=\Phi=0 \\
& \tilde{\Gamma}(x-y)=\delta^{2} \Gamma\left[A_{\mu}, \Phi\right] /\left.\delta \Phi^{2}(x) \delta \Phi^{2}(y)\right|_{A_{\mu}}=\Phi \Phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Page 40, the first two lines of Eq. (6.5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{2} Z /\left.\delta K^{2}(x) \delta K^{2}(y)\right|_{J_{\mu}}=K=0 \\
& \delta^{2} Z /\left.\delta J_{\mu}^{\prime}(x) \delta K^{2}(y)\right|_{J_{\mu}=K=0}=\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Page 41, the last line: Remove the entire line.
Page 42, line 1 -line 6: Remove the remaining paragraph and replace it by the following:
Now taking the limit $\mathrm{k}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ in Eq. (6.9), we learn that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k^{2} \rightarrow 0}\left(A D+C^{2}\right)=0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Page 42, the last line:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu \nu}(k)=-\left(k^{2} g_{\mu \nu}-k_{\mu} k_{\nu}\right) J\left(k^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\alpha} k_{\mu} k_{v} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Page 45, line 1 - line 6: Replace it by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mu}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{ie}\right) \mathrm{A}}
$$

The Goldstone boson (the second kind) with the propagator

$$
\frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+i \epsilon\right) D}
$$

and the fermion scalars associated with the gauge field
quantization (the third kind).
Page 45, Eq. (7.5)

$$
T_{\mu}^{(2)} \frac{k^{\mu} k^{\nu}}{\left(k^{\nu}+i \varepsilon\right) A} T_{\nu}^{(1)}+T^{(2)} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+i \varepsilon\right) D} T^{(1)}
$$

Page 46, line 1 - line 7

$$
T_{2}=\lim _{k^{2} \rightarrow 0} T\left(k^{2}\right) / \sqrt{ } D\left(k^{2}\right)
$$

is the amplitude for the Goldstone boson (massless particle of the second kind), and

$$
T_{1}=i \lim _{k^{2} \rightarrow c} k_{\mu} T^{\mu}(k) / / A\left(k^{2}\right)
$$

is the normalized amplitude for the massless scalar particle of the first kind. (The infrared divergences in $D$ and $A$ always cancel the similar ones in the vertices to which the propagators are attached, so that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are free of divergences as $\mathrm{k}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ ). Since ....

Page 46, line 11:

$$
=-i \sqrt{\pi} / k^{2}\left(i k^{*} T / \sqrt{A}-T / \sqrt{\sqrt{x}}\right)=0
$$

## APPENDIX B

Construction of Renormalizable Massive Vector Meson Theories

In this appendix, we pose and discuss the following problem:
How does one construct a theory in which all of the gauge bosons associated with the gauge group $G$ become massive while the vacuum is invariant under the little group $S$, which is not a local gauge group? The construction here may be of interest in providing models of strong interactions.

We shall now consider the following set of groups:

$$
G^{(L)} \times S^{(R)} \supset S^{(L)} \times S^{(R)} \supset S^{(D)}
$$

$S^{(L)}, S^{(R)}, S^{(D)}$ are isomorphic to $S$, and $S^{(D)}$ is the diagonal subgroup of $S^{(L)} \times S^{(R)}$

We construct a theory with the following properties:
(1) The Lagrangian is invariant under local gauge transformations of the group $G^{(L)}$ and constant gauge transformations of the group $S^{(R)}$. $A_{\mu}^{a}$ are the gauge fields associated with the group $G^{(L)}$.
(2) $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ is a set of scalar fields, with nonzero vacuum expectation value $\mathrm{v}^{(\alpha)}$. The little group of the vacuum is $\mathrm{S}^{(\mathrm{D})}$.
(3) All other fields present in the Lagrangian are invariant under transformations of the group $S^{(R)}$.

In the notation of Section III, $\{L\}$ are the generators of $G^{(L)} \times S^{(R)}$,
$\{\ell\}$ the generators of $S^{(D)}$. The generators of $G^{(L)}$ complete the set of generators $\{L\} .\{t\}$ will be this set:

$$
\{t\}+\{\ell\}=\{L\}
$$

Now one can choose fields $\rho^{(\alpha)}$ and $\xi$ such that

$$
\phi^{(\alpha)}=D\left[\exp i \xi \cdot{ }_{m}^{t}\right]\left(v^{(\alpha)}+\rho^{(\alpha)}\right)
$$

Using the local gauge invariance, one cal eliminate the fields and all the gauge fields $A_{\mu}^{a}$ become massive. In this way one has constructed a theory in which there appears a set of massive Yang-Mills fields associated with a given spontaneously broken symmetry $G$, the theory remaining symmetric under a subgroup $S$ of $G$. ( $S$ is not a local gauge group).

In order to illustrate this mechanism, we will give some examples:
(1) Let G and S be isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. $\phi$ belongs to the ( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ ) representation of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$. In this model massive Yang-Mills are associated with an exact $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ symmetry. This is one of the models proposed by 't Hooft. (14)
(2) G is $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(2), \mathrm{S}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. We let $\phi$ belong to a ( $\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}$ ) representation; $\phi^{(R)}$ to a $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) ;(\sigma, \bar{\pi})$ to a $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$. In this way one can construct a model in which a set of massive YangMills fields is associated with the broken chiral symmetry $\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$.
(3) $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(3), \mathrm{S}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. $\phi$ belongs to the $(3, \overline{3})+(\overline{3}, 3)$ representation of $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ or the
$\left(3, \frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(\overline{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ representation of $\operatorname{SU}(3) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$.
(4) $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ can be treated by a combination of the two preceding methods.

## APPENDIX C

Massive Yang-Mills Theory as a Limit of Spontaneously Broken Gauge Theories

In all the models discussed previously, it is easy to see that the masses corresponding to the fields having non-zero vacuum expectation values are free parameters. When these masses become infinite, one finds as a limit ordinary massive Yang-Mills field models. This can be most easily seen in the $U$-gauge, in which the would-be Goldstone bosons have been eliminated.

In this sense these theories, when the masses are finite, can be considered as regularization of the ordinary massive Yang-Mills theories, in the same way as the linear $\sigma$-model can be understood as a regularization of the nonlinear $\sigma$-model. (15) It is possible that this limit, as in the case of the $\sigma$-model, is less singular than the direct power counting of the limiting theory suggests.

We shall study a particular model here, but all the arguments will be completely general.
(1) The Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{4} T_{2}\left\{\partial_{\mu} v_{\nu}-\partial v_{\mu}+i g\left[v_{\mu}, v_{\nu}\right]\right\}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \pi r\left[\partial \mu M+i g\left[v_{\mu}, M\right]\right]\left[\partial^{\mu} M^{\dagger}-i g\left[M^{\dagger}, v^{\mu}\right]\right] \\
& +V(M) \text { r other matter fields. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The gauge group is $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{n}), \mathrm{V}_{\mu}^{\mathrm{b}}$ is a hermitian traceless $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix and M a $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ complex matrix. $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{M})$ is a polynomial in M and $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}$ which can be chosen such that the vacuum expectation value of $M$ is of the form:

$$
\langle M\rangle_{0}=F
$$

where $F$ is a real diagonal matrix. Furthermore, when the masses of the $M$ fields become infinite $V(M)$ gives in the limit in the Feynman path integral a $\delta$ function of the form $\delta\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}^{\dagger}-\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)$. In order to quantize the theory we add to the Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathcal{L} & =-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} T_{n}\left[\partial_{\mu} V^{\mu}-i \lambda\left(F M^{\dagger}-M F\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \left.+T_{\mu}\left\{\bar{c} \partial^{2} c+i g \bar{c} \partial_{\mu}\left[V^{\mu}, c\right]\right\}+\lambda g\left(\bar{c} F M^{+} c+c M F \bar{c}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ and $\bar{c}$ are $n \times n$ matrices representating the usual scalar fermions ghosts, and $\lambda$ can be chosen such that the term -i( $\lambda / \alpha) T_{r} \partial^{\mu} V_{\mu}\left(F M{ }^{\dagger}\right.$ - MF ) cancels the corresponding term in the Lagrangian which is obtained when one replaces $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}}$ by $\mathrm{M}^{\text { }}+\mathrm{F}$. This is the gauge introduced by 't Hoof.

Now in the limit of the infinite mass of scalar fields M the generating functional becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp i Z=\int\left[d V_{\mu}\right][d M] \cdots \prod_{x} \delta\left(M M^{+}-F\right) \operatorname{expi} \int d^{4}[\mathcal{L}+\delta \mathcal{L} \\
&+ \text { pounce terms }] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can make the following change of variable:

$$
M=(\exp ; H) \Omega
$$

where $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}^{\dagger}$, and $\Omega=\Omega^{\dagger}$. The generating functional can now be written:

$$
\exp i Z=\int\left[d V_{\mu}\right][d H] J(H) d \cdots \exp i \int d^{4} x[\mathcal{L}+\delta \mathcal{L}+\text { Secure term }]
$$

where we have used the $\delta$ function, and $J(\mathrm{H})$ is the Jacobian.
(2) Power Counting

It is well known that in the unitary gauge, the most divergent graphs have a superficial degree of divergence $\delta$ of the form:

$$
\delta=6 L
$$

where $L$ is the number of loops. But it has been shown ${ }^{(16)}$ that on the mass shell cancelations occur which reduce the degree of divergence.

We will give here a new derivation of this result, using another gauge. We shall use the following identities in order to calculate the superficial degree of divergence $\delta$ of a graph:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta=4-E_{B}-\frac{3}{2} E_{F}+\sum n_{i}\left(\delta_{i}-4\right) \\
& \delta_{i}=m_{i}+v_{i}^{B}+\frac{3}{2} v_{i} F \\
& E_{B}+E_{F}+2 I=\sum n_{i}\left(v_{i}^{B}+v_{i}^{F}\right) \\
& L=I+1-\sum n_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{B}$ and $E_{F}$ are the number of external bosons (or ghosts)and fermions respectively, $n_{i}$ the number of vertices of type $i, m_{i}$ the number of derivatives at the vertex, $v_{i}^{B}$ the number of bosons and $v_{i}^{F}$ the number of fermions at the vertex i. I is the number of internal lines. A straightforward calculation gives:

$$
\delta=2 L+2-\frac{1}{2} E_{F}+\sum n_{i}\left(m_{i}+\frac{1}{2} v_{i}^{F}-2\right)
$$

Not returning to the Lagrangian one sees that either

$$
v_{i}^{F}=2 \text { and } m_{i}=0
$$

or

$$
v_{i}^{F}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad m_{i} \leq 2
$$

The most divergent contributions are given by $\operatorname{Tr} \partial_{\mu} \mathrm{M} \partial_{\mu} \mathrm{M}^{\dagger}$ with $m_{i}=2$. So,

$$
\delta \leq 2 L+2
$$

A closer examination, using the fact that we are not interested in Green functions with external particles associated to the fields $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}$ and $\bar{c}$, shows actually

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \leq 2 L \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## One Loop Approximation

When the current is conserved, we have

$$
F=f \mathbb{I}
$$

In the one loop approximation the Lagrangian can be replaced by the following effective Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}= & -\frac{1}{4} T \sim\left\{\partial_{\mu} \ddot{V}_{v}-\partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}+i g\left[V_{\mu}, V_{v}\right]\right\}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(\partial^{\mu} V_{\mu}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(f g)^{2} T_{2} V_{\mu}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} f^{2}\left\{\left(\partial_{\mu} H\right)^{2}-2 g^{2} f^{2} H^{2}+i g V^{\mu}\left[H, \partial_{\mu} H\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+T_{\sim}\left\{\vec{c} \partial^{2} c+i g \bar{c} \partial \mu\left[V^{\mu}, c\right]\right\}
$$

With this effective Lagrangian it is clear that the Massless Yang-Mills theory is not the limit of the massive Yang-Mills theory. The massless Yang-Mills theory is obtained for $f=0$. If $f$ is different from zero, one can integrate over $H, c$ and $\bar{c}$, and obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp i Z=\int\left[d V^{\mu}\right] \exp i[S+\text { source r terms }] \Delta_{1}\left(v^{\mu}\right) \Delta_{2}\left(v^{\mu}\right) \\
& \Delta_{1}\left(V^{\mu}\right)=\exp T_{N} \ln \left(\partial^{2}+i g \vec{\partial}^{\mu}\left[v^{\mu},\right]\right) \\
& \Delta_{2}\left(v^{\mu}\right)=\exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2} T_{n} \ln \left(\partial^{2}+\frac{1}{2} i g\left(\vec{\partial}^{\mu}-\zeta_{\mu}\right)\left[V^{\mu},\right]\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the Landau gauge ( $\alpha=0$ ) we have the relation:

$$
\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}=\left(\Delta_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

because the two expressions inside $\operatorname{Tr} \log$ differ only by a term propertional to $\partial_{\mu} V^{\mu}$.

We, therefore, see in this way the origin of the difference of a factor 2 in front of the ghost loops, between the massless and the massive Yang -Mills cases.

Appendix D

Let us consider the contributions of intermediate states of two or more massless particles to the inverse of the propagator $\Delta \phi_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)$. Since the phase space for $N$ mass particles, $\rho_{N}$, goes as $\left(k^{2}\right)^{N-2}$, the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s^{\prime}}{s-k^{2}} \rho_{N}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\left|T_{N}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

is not infrared divergent for $\mathrm{N} \geq 3$.
It suffices, therefore, to consider only the intermediate states of two massless particles. There are two such states: $\phi_{1}(p) \rightarrow \phi_{2}(p-q)+$ $A_{\mu}^{3}(q)$ and $A_{\mu}^{3}(q)+$ the massless scalar associated with the longitudinal part of the $A_{\mu}^{\prime}$ propagator. Since in the Landau gauge the $A_{\mu}^{3}$ propagator is purely transverse:

$$
\left(q^{\mu \nu}-q^{\mu} q^{\nu} / q^{2}\right)\left[q^{2} J\left(q^{2}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

and since any vector to be contracted with $\mu$ or $\nu$ of the above propagator may be expressed as a linear combination of $p_{\mu}\left(p_{\nu}\right)$ and $q_{\mu}\left(q_{\nu}\right)$ we see that the contributions of two massless particles to the self energy are necessarily of order $p_{\mu} p_{\nu}$, disregarding logarithmic factors.
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