# UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Sport supplement use predicts doping attitudes and likelihood via sport supplement beliefs

Hurst, Philip; Kavussanu, Maria; Boardley, Ian; Ring, Christopher

DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920

*License:* None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

## Citation for published version (Harvard):

Hurst, P, Kavussanu, M, Boardley, I & Ring, C 2019, 'Sport supplement use predicts doping attitudes and likelihood via sport supplement beliefs', *Journal of Sports Sciences*, vol. 37, no. 15, pp. 1734-1740. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

## Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 20/03/2019

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Journal of Sports Sciences on 12/03/2019, available online: https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920.

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

- 1 Sport Supplement Use Predicts Doping Attitudes and Likelihood via Sport Supplement Beliefs
- 2
- 3 Running title: Sport supplements, beliefs and doping
- 4
- 5 Authors: Philip Hurst<sup>a</sup>\*, Maria Kavussanu<sup>b</sup>, Ian Boardley<sup>b</sup> & Chris Ring<sup>b</sup>
- 6 <sup>a</sup>School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK
- 7 <sup>b</sup>School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitations, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

- 9 \*Correspondence: Philip Hurst, School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church
- 10 University, Canterbury, UK. Email: <a href="mailto:philip.hurst@canterbury.ac.uk">philip.hurst@canterbury.ac.uk</a>
- 11
- 12
- 13 Word count:

# Abstract

The aim of this study was to examine: (a) whether sport supplement use is related to doping 15 16 and (b) whether sport supplement beliefs mediated this relationship. In Study 1, athletes (N 17 = 598), completed measures of sport supplement use, sport supplement beliefs, and doping 18 attitudes. In Study 2, athletes (N = 475) completed measures of sport supplement use, sport 19 supplement beliefs, and doping likelihood. In both studies, sport supplement use predicted 20 doping outcomes indirectly via sport supplement beliefs. Our findings provide novel 21 evidence to suggest that sport supplement users, who strongly believe that sport 22 supplements are effective, are more likely to dope. For anti-doping organisations wishing to 23 prevent doping, targeting an athlete's beliefs about sport supplements may improve the 24 effectiveness of anti-doping prevention programmes. Key words: drug, gateway hypothesis, Incremental Model of Doping Behaviour, nutrition, 25

26 performance enhancement

Introduction

28	According to the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), doping represents an athlete or
29	athlete-support personnel (e.g. coach, physiotherapist, doctor) committing an anti-doping
30	rule violation. Ten violations exist, including: presence of a banned substance in sample; use
31	or attempted use of a banned substance or method; evading, refusing, or failing to submit a
32	sample; whereabouts failure; tampering with doping control; possession of a banned
33	substance or method; trafficking a banned substance or method; administering banned
34	substances or methods; complicity; and prohibited association (WADC, 2015). The most
35	widely recognised anti-doping rule violation is an athlete's use of a banned performance
36	enhancing substance or method.
37	Factors associated with doping have received increased attention in the past decade (see
38	Backhouse, Whitaker, Patterson, Erickson, & McKenna, 2016). Research that identifies such
39	factors is important, as it helps anti-doping organisations and researchers design more
40	effective anti-doping prevention programmes. A large number of factors have been
41	proposed to explain doping in sport. It has been suggested that the use of non-banned sport
42	supplements (e.g., caffeine, creatine and sodium bicarbonate) may increase the likelihood of
43	an athlete doping (Backhouse, Whitaker, & Petroczi, 2013; Boardley, Grix, & Harkin, 2015).
44	However, little research has investigated what accounts for any such relationship. Recent
45	data highlight the potential importance of sport supplement beliefs influencing future
46	doping (Hurst, Foad, Coleman, & Beedie, 2017b). The main rationale for this suggestion is
47	that sport supplement use may lead athletes to develop beliefs about their effectiveness,
48	which in turn, may lead to the development of beliefs about doping substances and
49	influence future doping behaviour. We therefore aimed to extend understanding in the area
50	by 1) investigating whether a relationship exists between sport supplement use and doping,
51	and 2) whether sport supplement beliefs mediates any association.

52 Sport Supplement Use and Doping

53 Sport supplements are widely used by athletes of all ages and abilities, with the aim of 54 enhancing performance, promoting recovery, and correcting or preventing nutrient 55 deficiencies (Maughan et al., 2018). Prevalence of supplement use is between 40-70%, with 56 estimates varying by gender, age, sport type, time of the season, and type of supplement 57 used (Knapik et al., 2016). Whilst use of sport supplement is generally widespread, their use 58 involves risk because supplements can be contaminated with banned substances (Geyer et 59 al., 2004; Geyer et al., 2008). Geyer et al. (2008) analysed 634 sport supplements in 13 60 countries and reported that 15% of sport supplements were contaminated with anabolic 61 steroids and testosterone. Further, Cohen, Bloszies, Yee, and Gerona (2016) reported that of 62 21 supplements sampled, 52.4% contained stimulants. Thus, for athletes using sport 63 supplements, the possibility of failing a drug test through inadvertent means is high. 64 Cross-contamination of a sport supplement occurs as a result of insufficient surveillance and 65 quality control by the sport supplement industry (Geyer et al., 2004). Many supplements by-66 pass the most rudimentary pharmaceutical safeguards and banned substances can often be 67 added to the supplement accidentally or deliberately. Given that the World Anti-Doping 68 Agency (WADA) enforces a "strict liability" under Articles 2.1 and 2.2 of the Code (WADC, 69 2015; p. 141) an athlete can be banned from sport for up to 4 years after using a sport 70 supplement without having to demonstrate "intent, negligence or knowing Use on the 71 Athlete's part". 72

Researchers have suggested that use of sport supplements may over time increase the
likelihood of athletes doping (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b; Petróczi,
2013). Two theoretical frameworks underpinning the sport supplement-doping association
are the *gateway hypothesis* (Kandel, 1975) and the *incremental model of doping behaviour*(IMDB; Petróczi, 2013). Both propose that doping evolves as part of a routine application of
the use of banned performance-enhancing substances and methods.

78 The gateway hypothesis (Kandel, 1975) posited that the use of softer drugs (e.g., alcohol, 79 marijuana), often precedes the use of harder drugs (e.g., cocaine, heroin). In sport, 80 researchers have suggested that the use of sport supplements may similarly facilitate use of 81 banned substances (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hildebrandt, Harty, & Langenbucher, 2012; 82 Hurst et al., 2017b). It is argued that supplement use could have an impact on athletes' 83 tendency to feel comfortable with taking a substance to improve performance and lead to 84 the use of banned substances. Thus, the continued use of sport supplements could precede 85 and increase the likely consumption of banned substances.

86 The incremental model of doping behaviour (Petróczi, 2013) proposes a link between 87 supplement use and doping use based on their common intended outcome of performance 88 enhancement. The model posits that doping is a motivated, goal-directed behaviour, and 89 prolonged involvement in performance enhancement methods can lead to doping. From 90 this perspective, the IMDB can be seen as describing a behavioural translation, in which 91 doping is the eventual outcome of systematic efforts aimed to maximise athletic ability 92 through performance-enhancement methods. In short, the continued use of performance 93 enhancement methods and the search for additional and better performance enhancing 94 methods, could ultimately lead an athlete to dope.

95 Several studies have confirmed a positive association between sport supplement use and 96 doping (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Boardley et al., 2015; Hildebrandt et al., 2012), thereby 97 providing support for both the gateway hypothesis and the IMDB. Qualitative studies have 98 revealed that some athletes dope to improve performance and overcome performance 99 plateaus while taking sport supplements (Boardley et al., 2015). Cross-sectional research has 100 reported that supplement users are three and half times more likely to dope (Backhouse et 101 al., 2013). In a meta-analysis, Ntoumanis, Ng, Barkoukis, and Backhouse (2014) reported that 102 use of sport supplements was one of the strongest predictors of doping (Odds Ratio = 8.24, 103 95% Cl = 5.07 to 13.39). Although this evidence is based solely on athlete testimony, it

suggests that the use of sport supplements represents a risk factor for doping. Further
research is needed to better elucidate the nature of the sport supplement-doping
relationship.

107 It has been suggested that sport supplement users may express more favourable beliefs 108 about their effectiveness compared to non-users (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 109 2017b). In this context, beliefs refer to perceptions of an association between behaviour 110 (e.g., sport supplement use) and outcome (e.g., improvement in performance). Zelli, Mallia, 111 and Lucidi (2010) reported that beliefs accounted for nearly 50% of the variance of 112 adolescents' doping intentions. Moreover, Bloodworth, Petroczi, Bailey, Pearce, and 113 McNamee (2012) suggested that athletes who believed that sport supplementation was a 114 necessity for optimal sports performance were more likely to dope. Further, Hurst et al. 115 (2017b) showed a positive association between athletes' sport supplement use and beliefs 116 about their effectiveness. When considered alongside the main tenets of the gateway 117 hypothesis and IMDB, this evidence suggests that the use of sport supplements may put 118 athletes at greater risk of doping via the development of more positive beliefs about their 119 effectiveness. However, there is relative dearth of research that has investigated sport 120 supplement beliefs and how these may explain the sport supplement use-doping 121 relationship. If users of sport supplements have a positive belief about the effectiveness of 122 sport supplements, it is reasonable to suggest that these beliefs may influence future doping 123 behaviour. The current study was designed to address this gap in our understanding of this 124 relationship and investigate if sport supplement beliefs mediate any association between 125 supplement use and doping.

**126** Doping Attitudes and Likelihood

127 Typically, research on substance use frames the behaviour as one of decision-making and128 the explicit processes involved (Hauw & McNamee, 2015). Accordingly, several researchers

129 have used the Theory of Reasoned Action (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1975) and Theory of Planned 130 Behaviour (Aizen, 1985) to examine athletes' attitudes and likelihood of doping (e.g., 131 Backhouse et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2015; Elbe & Brand, 2016). Attitudes are an evaluation of 132 an object of thought (Bohner & Dickel, 2011) and can be anything that a person may have in 133 mind, ranging from people, groups, ideas and objects. They are stable entities stored in 134 memory and represent evaluative judgements that are constructed in the situation based on 135 current accessible information (Schwarz, 2007). Researchers interested in doping attitudes 136 are therefore aiming to understand athletes' judgements about banned substances. A large 137 body of literature has reported that attitudes are associated with doping use (Backhouse et 138 al., 2013; Whitaker, Long, Petróczi, & Backhouse, 2014) and doping likelihood (Chan et al., 139 2015; Lazuras, Barkoukis, Mallia, Lucidi, & Brand, 2017), and that users of sports 140 supplements show more favourable attitudes towards doping than non-users (Backhouse et 141 al., 2013; Lazuras et al., 2017). 142 The Theory of Reasoned Action also suggests that attitudes are influenced by beliefs (Ajzen 143 & Fishbein, 1975). For example, an athlete who holds strong positive beliefs about the 144 effectiveness of anabolic steroids is expected to have positive attitudes towards them. In 145 turn, this influences the athlete's intention to use anabolic steroids, which ultimately 146 influences their likelihood of using them. There is accumulating evidence to support this 147 model of doping. Petróczi (2007) reported that stronger beliefs about doping were 148 associated with more favourable doping attitudes. Chan et al. (2015) showed that beliefs 149 about the advantages of using banned substances positively predicted doping attitudes and 150 intention to dope. Other studies have shown that athletes who use sport supplements 151 express more positive beliefs about these types of substances than non-users (Backhouse et

al., 2013; Dascombe, Karunaratna, Cartoon, Fergie, & Goodman, 2010). Research examining

- 153 beliefs about banned and non-banned substance use is limited, but there is sufficient
- evidence to suggest that they can influence doping attitudes and likelihood.

# **155** *The Present Research*

156	In sum, research assessed doping attitudes and doping likelihood in order to better
157	understand doping behaviour. In a meta-analysis of the predictors of doping, Ntoumanis et
158	al. (2014) reported that the use of sport supplements was one of the strongest. However, no
159	study has investigated what may mediate the relationship between sport supplement use
160	and doping. We conducted two studies to examine whether sport supplement beliefs
161	mediate any relationships between sport supplement use and doping attitudes/likelihood <sup>1</sup> .
162	In Study 1, we examined the relationships between sport supplement use, beliefs and
163	doping attitudes, and tested two hypotheses. First, we hypothesised sport supplement use
164	would be positively associated with doping attitudes. Second, we hypothesised that this
165	relationship would be mediated by sport supplement beliefs. In an extension to Study 1, in
166	Study 2, we examined the relationships between sport supplement use, sport supplement
167	beliefs, and doping likelihood. We hypothesised that sport supplement use would be
168	positively associated with doping likelihood and that this association would be mediated by
169	sport supplement beliefs.

170

# Study 1

171 Method

- 173 Competitive male (n = 417) and female (n = 191) athletes volunteered to participate in the
- 174 study (mean + SD; age =  $21.2 \pm 4.5$  years, years competing =  $10.8 \pm 5.9$ , hours per week
- training = 6.0 ± 3.7). Athletes had competed at club (26.3%), county (33.3%), regional

**<sup>172</sup>** *Participants* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We use the term doping to refer to doping attitudes and doping likelihood, when we collectively refer to these two variables.

- 176 (24.1%) and national level (16.3%). Athletes participated in individual (31.9%) and team
- 177 sports (69.1%).
- 178 Measures
- **179** Sport Supplement Use
- 180 Athletes were asked to indicate whether they use sports supplements. Responses were
- scored as 0 (no) and 1 (yes).
- **182** Sport Supplement Beliefs

183 We measured sport supplement beliefs using the Sports Supplements Beliefs Scale ((SSBS; 184 Hurst et al., 2017b). This unidimensional instrument designed to assess athletes' beliefs 185 about the effectiveness of sports supplements was developed by Hurst et al. (2017b), who 186 provided evidence supporting the factorial validity of SSBS scores through exploratory and 187 confirmatory factor analyses. The SSBS includes six-statements related to beliefs about sport 188 supplements (e.g. "sport supplements are necessary for me to be competitive"). Athletes 189 indicated their level of agreement to each statement using a Likert-type scale, anchored by 1 190 (strongly disagree) and 6 (strongly agree). The mean of the six statements was computed as 191 a measure of athletes' belief about the effectiveness of sport supplements, with higher 192 scores indicating a more positive belief in their effectiveness. Cronbach alpha values were 193 very good in this study ( $\alpha = .91$ ).

**194** *Doping Attitudes* 

195 We measured doping attitudes with a shortened 5-item version of the Performance

196 Enhancement Attitude Scale (Petróczi, 2006). This version has been reported to have better

- 197 model fit than the original 17-item scale (Nicholls, Madigan, & Levy, 2017). Athletes
- 198 responded to statements that represented their general attitudes towards doping (e.g.,
- 199 "doping is necessary to be competitive") on a six-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1

200 (*strongly disagree*) to 6 (*strongly agree*). The mean of all statements was calculated, with

201 higher scores indicating more positive attitudes towards doping. Cronbach alpha scores have

- been reported to range from .71 to .91 (Petróczi & Aidman, 2009). In the current sample
- 203 internal consistency was very good ( $\alpha$  = .90).

204 Procedure

After obtaining ethical approval from the institutional research ethics committee, athleteswere recruited in person from sport clubs. Stakeholders of sport clubs (e.g., coaches,

207 managers and secretaries) were first contacted via telephone and informed about the study

- 208 purposes. After gaining permission to conduct the study from club stakeholders, athletes
- 209 were recruited in person at the club's training facility. They were informed about the
- 210 purpose of the study, that participation was voluntary, and that honesty in their responses

211 was vital. Athletes did not disclose any personal information (e.g., names, date of births or

212 contact details) and were told that all data would be kept anonymous and the information

they provided would be used only for research purposes. After reading the study

214 information sheet and providing informed consent, athletes completed the measures

215 described above and returned the questionnaire in a sealed envelope.

216 Data Analysis

217 Preliminary data analysis revealed that 10 athletes did not complete the PEAS or SSBS scale.

218 Their data were deleted leaving a final sample size of 598 for further analyses. Eleven

athletes (1.9%) had missing data and Little's Missing Completely at Random test (MCAR;

Little, 1988) indicated that data were missing completely at random ( $\chi^2$  = 17.562, df = 27, p >

.916). Missing values were replaced using a multiple imputation model that generated five

- data sets with maximum number of parameters set at 100. The average value of the missing
- data sets was used for subsequent analysis.

224	We used the PROCESS 2.16 (Hayes, 2013) SPSS macro (model 4) to test direct and indirect
225	(via beliefs) effects of sport supplement use on doping attitudes. Direct effects are the
226	effects of the predictor on the outcome variable that occur separately to the mediator,
227	while indirect effects are the effects of the predictor on the outcome variable via the
228	mediator. Bootstrapping was set at 10,000 samples to control for Type I error (Hayes, 2009;
229	Preacher & Hayes, 2004) and bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals were calculated for all
230	effects. When the confidence interval for indirect effects does not contain zero, this is
231	indicative of mediation. The Completely Standardised Indirect Effect (CSIE) has been
232	reported as the effect size metric and interpreted as 0.01 = small effect, 0.09 = medium
233	effect and 0.25 = large effect (Preacher & Kelley, 2011). The level of statistical significance
234	was set at $p \leq .05$ .
235	Results
236	Descriptive Statistics and Zero-Order Correlations
237	Mean scores indicated that around half of athletes used sport supplements (51%) and
238	overall the sample was characterised by low doping attitudes (mean $\pm$ SD = 2.09 $\pm$ 0.82;

239 median = 2.00) and moderate beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements (mean  $\pm$ 

SD =  $3.01 \pm 1.12$ ; median = 3.17). Zero-order correlations provided support for our first

241 hypothesis, that is sport supplement use was positively associated with attitudes towards

doping (r = .11, p = .005). Also, positive relationships were found between sport supplement

243 use and beliefs about sport supplements (r = .51, p < .001) and between sport supplement

244 beliefs and doping attitudes (r = .26, p < .001).

245 Mediation Analysis

246 We hypothesized that sport supplement beliefs would mediate the relationship between

247 sport supplement use and doping. This hypothesis was also supported as sport supplement

248 use had an indirect effect on doping attitudes via sport supplement beliefs (*b* = 0.22, 95% CI

= 0.14 to 0.31, CSIE = 0.13, 95% CI = 0.09 to 0.19). In contrast, sport supplement use did not = 0.14 to 0.31, CSIE = 0.13, 95% CI = 0.09 to 0.19). In contrast, sport supplement use did not = 0.14 to 0.31, CSIE = 0.13, 95% CI = 0.03, 95% CI = -0.17 to 0.27). Overall the model

251 accounted for 26% of the variance in doping attitudes ( $F_{(2, 593)} = 207.62, p < .001, r = .51$ ).

**252** Results are presented in Figure 1.

253 Discussion

254 Researchers have supported the notion that an athlete's use of sport supplements is related 255 to doping attitudes (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Ntoumanis et al., 2014). However, to date, 256 no study has attempted to understand the process through which sport supplement use 257 may lead to doping. One potential explanation is that over time athletes develop beliefs 258 about supplements. To move beyond simple description of the supplement use-doping 259 relationship and extend understanding in this area, we investigated whether this 260 relationship was mediated by sport supplement beliefs. The support provided for this 261 mediational pathway suggests that use of sport supplements may lead athletes to develop 262 beliefs about their effectiveness, possibly due to perceived improvements in performance. 263 These beliefs, in turn, may lead to the development of favourable attitudes toward doping 264 with possible implications for doping behaviour. The absence of a direct effect of sport 265 supplement use on doping attitudes underscores the importance of beliefs as a mechanism 266 that could explain the link between supplement use and doping attitudes.

267

## Study 2

The results of Study 1 provided evidence consistent with the hypothesis that the relationship
between sport supplement use and doping attitudes is mediated by sport supplement
beliefs. However, the measure we used to assess doping attitudes has been criticised by
some researchers for its poor predictive validity in relationship to doping behaviour (Nicholls
et al., 2017). Specifically, the five-item version of the PEAS represents a mix of governmental
(e.g., "legalising performance enhancement would be beneficial for sport"), moral ("doping

- is not cheating") and functional ("doping is necessary to be competitive") statements.
- 275 Therefore, when using this scale it is not possible to determine which of these sub-
- components of doping attitudes is/are most important.
- As an alternative, researchers have advocated the use of hypothetical scenarios to assess
- doping intentions (e.g., Huybers & Mazanov, 2012; Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring &
- 279 Kavussanu, 2018). Athletes are presented with a hypothetical situation that they may
- 280 encounter in their career and are asked to indicate how likely they would be to use a banned
- substance, if they were in that situation. Doping likelihood is reported to be one of the
- strongest predictors of doping behaviour (Ntoumanis et al., 2014) and has previously been
- shown to identify athletes at risk of doping (Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring & Hurst, 2019;
- 284 Ring, Kavussanu, Simms, & Mazanov, 2018). Therefore, in Study 2, we extended the results
- of Study 1 by aiming to 1) examine the relationship between athletes' use of sport
- supplements and doping likelihood, and 2) determine whether beliefs about the
- 287 effectiveness of supplements mediate this relationship.
- 288 Method
- 289 Participants
- 290 Four-hundred and eighty-one competitive athletes volunteered to participate in the study
- (age =  $20.3 \pm 2.2$  years; years competing =  $5.9 \pm 4.2$ , hours per week training =  $6.3 \pm 4.4$ ).
- 292 The sample comprised mostly males (69.5%), who competed in team (88.8%) and individual
- 293 (11.2%) sports. The highest ever standard at which the athletes had competed at in their
- 294 sport was club (27.6%), county (45.7%), regional (6.7%), and national level (20.0%).
- 295 Measures
- **296** Sport Supplement Use and Beliefs
- **297** These variables were assessed using the same measures described in Study 1.

# 298 Doping Likelihood

299	In line with previous research (Huybers & Mazanov, 2012; Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring &
300	Kavussanu, 2018), we asked athletes to indicate how likely they are to dope during a
301	hypothetical scenario. This scenario focused on the benefits of using a banned substance to
302	help improve performance for a future competition and is presented below:
303	It's the week before the most important competitive game/event of your season.
304	Lately, your performance has been below your best. You don't feel you have the
305	necessary fitness for this competition, and you're concerned about how you'll
306	perform. You mention this to a teammate, who tells you that he/she uses a new
307	substance that has enhanced his/her fitness and performance. The substance is
308	banned for use in sport, but there's no chance that you will be caught.
309	After reading the scenario, athletes were asked to rate how likely they were to use the
310	banned substance on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 ( <i>strongly disagree</i> ) to 7 ( <i>strongly</i>
311	agree).
312	Procedure
313	After gaining ethical approval from the university research ethics committee, athletes were
314	recruited from sports clubs. Recruitment strategy and instructions were identical to those in
315	study 1, and athletes provided informed consent and completed the measures previously
316	described.
317	Data Analysis
318	Preliminary examination of the data revealed that six athletes did not complete the SSBS
319	scale. These were deleted, leaving a final sample size of 475. Two athletes (0.42%) had
320	missing data and Little's MCAR test revealed data were missing completely at random ( $\chi^2 \mbox{=}$

321 5.142, df = 10, p > .882). Missing values were replaced using a multiple imputation model

- 322 that generated five data sets with maximum number of parameters set at 100. The average
- 323 value of the missing data sets was used in subsequent analysis.
- 324 Similar to Study 1, we used the PROCESS 2.16 (Hayes, 2013) SPSS macro (model 4) to test
- direct and indirect effects of sport supplement use on beliefs and doping likelihood.
- 326 Bootstrapping was set at 10,000 samples and bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals were
- 327 calculated for all effects. The CSIE was reported as the effect size metric and the level of
- 328 statistical significance accepted was at  $p \le .05$ .

# 329 Results

- **330** Descriptive Statistics and Zero-Order Correlations
- 331 Descriptive statistics indicated that on average, over two thirds of athletes used
- 332 supplements (69%) and reported relatively moderate beliefs in their effectiveness (mean ±
- SD =  $3.12 \pm 1.41$ ; median = 3.67). Athletes also reported relatively low doping likelihood
- scores (mean  $\pm$  SD = 2.27  $\pm$  1.53; median = 2.00). Supporting our first hypothesis, zero-order
- 335 correlations showed the use of sport supplements was positively associated with likelihood
- of doping (r = .15, p = .002). Further, positive relationships were identified between sport
- supplement use and sport supplement beliefs (r = .46, p < .001), and that stronger sport
- 338 supplement beliefs and likelihood of doping (r = .22, p < .001).
- 339 Mediation Analysis
- 340 Our second hypothesis posited that the relationship between supplement use and doping
- 341 likelihood would be mediated by sport supplement beliefs. As can be seen in Figure 2, sport
- 342 supplement use was not directly related to doping likelihood (b = 0.17, 95% Cl = -0.15 to
- 343 0.50), but was indirectly related to doping likelihood via sport supplement beliefs (b = 0.31,
- 344 95% CI = 0.15 to 0.49, CSIE = 0.09, 95% CI = 0.05 to 0.15). Overall the model accounted for
- 345 21% of the variance in doping likelihood ( $F_{(2, 473)} = 143.52$ , p < .001, r = .46).

# 346 Discussion

347 Similar to Study 1, in Study 2, we found that sport supplement use indirectly predicted
348 doping likelihood via sport supplement beliefs. This finding suggests that users of sport
349 supplements may be more likely to dope because supplement use may lead one to develop
350 beliefs about their effectiveness. In turn, these beliefs may influence doping likelihood.

351

# General Discussion

352 It has been proposed that the use of sport supplements can lead an athlete to dope

353 (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b; Petróczi, 2013). Building on research conducted

on the role of sport supplement use and doping (Backhouse et al., 2013), we examined the

associations between athletes' use of sport supplements and both doping attitudes and

356 doping likelihood, and whether beliefs about the effectiveness of supplements mediated any357 of these associations.

358 In support of our hypotheses, we found that sport supplement use was positively associated

with both doping attitudes (Study 1) and doping likelihood (Study 2). These results are in line

360 with existing cross-sectional research (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hildebrandt et al., 2012),

361 which has reported a positive relationship between sport supplement use and doping. While

362 sport supplements may help athletes meet nutritional targets, train harder, and stay healthy

and injury-free (Maughan et al., 2018), their continued consumption may also lead to a

364 greater willingness to engage in doping (i.e., via the gateway hypothesis or IMDB). If athletes

365 perceive sport supplements as beneficial for performance, they may subsequently be more

366 likely to consider doping. These findings provide some support for the gateway hypothesis

367 and IMDB, namely, that the use of performance enhancing methods (e.g., sport

368 supplements) could increase the likelihood of an athlete doping.

369 To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the mediating role of sport supplement

beliefs in the sport supplement use-doping relationship. Our findings are consistent with the

371 hypothesis that sport supplement beliefs mediate the relationship between sport 372 supplement use and both doping attitudes and likelihood. This suggests that athletes who 373 use sport supplements may develop beliefs about their effectiveness over time and as a 374 result be more likely to dope. This may happen because athletes believe that doping can 375 improve performance to the same, or to a greater extent to that of supplements. In other 376 words, the perceived beneficial effects of sport supplements may augment the belief that 377 they are effective, which in turn may lead to doping. Given the IMDB, which posits that the 378 continued use of non-banned performance enhancing methods can lead to doping (Petróczi, 379 2013), the more an athlete believes in the effectiveness of these types of methods, the 380 more likely they are to dope. Overall, our results underline the potentially important role of 381 sport supplement beliefs in doping.

# **382** *Practical Implications*

383 Our findings have practical implications for organisations and researchers aiming to prevent 384 doping in sport. They show that sport supplement use is indirectly related to doping 385 attitudes and likelihood via beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements. Thus, anti-386 doping prevention programmes need to focus on reducing the belief about the effectiveness 387 of sport supplements. This could be achieved by downplaying their effectiveness during 388 nutritional and anti-doping interventions. There is a body of evidence suggesting that a large 389 proportion of the effectiveness of sport supplements is the result of a *placebo effect* (Beedie 390 et al., 2018; Hurst, Foad, Coleman, & Beedie, 2017a). Informing athletes about the placebo 391 effect could help them to make more informed choices about the use of sport supplements 392 and banned substances, which, in turn, may modify their beliefs about their effectiveness. 393 Alternatively, a more indirect way to modify beliefs could be for practitioners to promote an 394 environment that fosters behaviours away from the use of sport supplements. For example, providing athletes with a "food-first approach" could provide athletes with functional 395

396 alternatives to sport supplementation (Whitaker & Backhouse, 2017). This may indirectly 397 modify an athlete's behaviour in relationship to supplements. For example, instead of an 398 athlete adopting non-natural forms of nutrition, such as powders and pills, that athlete may 399 adopt more natural means of nutrition, and have a reduced belief in the effectiveness of 400 sport supplements. It is reasonable to suggest that based on the results of this and other 401 studies (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b), as well as the gateway hypothesis and 402 the IMBD, a reduction in the use of sport supplements might change an athlete's belief in 403 their effectiveness, and subsequently the chance of that athlete doping.

404 Limitations and Future Research Directions

405 In this multi-study research programme, we have reported some novel findings. However, 406 these need to be interpreted in light of the following limitations. First, both studies are 407 cross-sectional, and, therefore, a causal link between supplement use and doping outcomes 408 cannot be asserted. It could be argued that beliefs about supplements influence supplement 409 use which in turn influences doping. In regards to the latter, we were unable to analyse this 410 relationship as supplement use was measured on a dichotomous scale (i.e. 0 = no, 1 = yes). 411 Future research should examine whether supplement use acts a mediator between 412 supplement beliefs and doping. Similarly, researchers should also investigate how athletes 413 develop beliefs about banned and non-banned substances and whether they are related to 414 future substance use. This could help determine how athletes learn and interpret 415 information about performance enhancing substances, which could be used to facilitate the 416 development of anti-doping educational interventions. Second, the effect sizes between 417 sport supplement use and doping were small (r = .11 and .15, for doping attitudes and 418 likelihood, respectively). This suggests that any potential causal relationship between the 419 use of sport supplements and doping could be influenced by other factors that may be more 420 influential in leading athletes to dope. Third, and like other research in this area (Kavussanu 421 & Ring, 2017; Ring et al., 2018), participants had relatively low doping attitudes and

422 likelihood scores. It is unknown whether the results from this study are similar for athletes
423 with higher scores on these variables. Future research is therefore needed that examines
424 the mediating role of sport supplements and the supplement use-doping relationship in an
425 athletic sample with higher doping scores.

426 Conclusion

427 In conclusion, the results from our research demonstrate that sport supplement use is

428 related to both doping attitudes and doping likelihood. That is, athletes using sport

429 supplements are more likely to report a more favourable attitude to doping and indicate a

- 430 greater likelihood of doping. Moreover, we provide novel evidence to suggest that sport
- 431 supplement users, who have a strong belief in the effectiveness of the supplements, may be
- 432 more likely to dope, and these beliefs may explain the relationship between sport

433 supplement use and doping. For anti-doping organisations and researchers aiming to

- 434 prevent doping, targeting athletes' beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements may
- 435 improve anti-doping prevention programmes. Research investigating the effects of belief-

436 based interventions on sport supplement use in sport is now needed.

437	Reference list
438	
439 440 441 442	Ajzen, I. (1985). From Intentions to Actions: A Theory of Planned Behavior Berlin: Springer. Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1975). Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to theory and research: Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley. Backhouse, S., Whitaker, L., Patterson, L., Erickson, K., & McKenna, J. (2016). Social
443 444	Psychology of Doping in Sport: A Mixed Studies Narrative Synthesis. Montreal, Canada: World Anti-Doping Agency.
445	Backhouse, S. H., Whitaker, L., & Petroczi, A. (2013). Gateway to doping? Supplement use in
446 447	the context of preferred competitive situations, doping attitude, beliefs, and norms. The Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 23(2). 244-252.
448 449	Beedie, C., Benedetti, F., Barbiani, D., Camerone, E., Cohen, E., Coleman, D., Szabo, A. (2018). Consensus statement on placebo effects in sports and exercise: the need for
450 451	conceptual clarity, methodological rigour, and the elucidation of neurobiological mechanisms. <i>European Journal of Sport Science, 18</i> (10). 1383-1389.
452	Bloodworth, A. J., Petroczi, A., Bailey, R., Pearce, G., & McNamee, M. J. (2012). Doping and
453 454	supplementation: the attitudes of talented young athletes. <i>The Scandinavian Journal of Medicine &amp; Science in Sports, 22</i> (2). 293-301.
455	Boardley, I. D., Grix, J., & Harkin, J. (2015). Doping in team and individual sports: a qualitative
456	investigation of moral disengagement and associated processes. <i>Qualitative</i>
457 458	Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 7(5). 698-717. Bohner, G., & Dickel, N. (2011). Attitudes and attitude change. Annual review of psychology,
459	62. 391-417.
460	Chan, D. K., Hardcastle, S., Dimmock, J. A., Lentillon-Kaestner, V., Donovan, R. J., Burgin, M.,
461	& Hagger, M. S. (2015). Modal salient belief and social cognitive variables of anti-
462 463	doping behaviors in sport: Examining an extended model of the theory of planned behavior. <i>Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 16</i> . 164-174.
464	Cohen, P. A., Bloszies, C., Yee, C., & Gerona, R. (2016). An amphetamine isomer whose
465	efficacy and safety in humans has never been studied, beta-
466	methylphenylethylamine (BMPEA), is found in multiple dietary supplements. Drug
467 468	<i>Test Anal, 8</i> (3-4). 328-333. Dascombe, B. J., Karunaratna, M., Cartoon, J., Fergie, B., & Goodman, C. (2010). Nutritional
469	supplementation habits and perceptions of elite athletes within a state-based
470	sporting institute. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 13(2). 274-280.
471	Elbe, AM., & Brand, R. (2016). The effect of an ethical decision-making training on young
472	athletes' attitudes toward doping. <i>Ethics &amp; Behavior, 26</i> (1). 32-44.
473 474	Geyer, H., Parr, M., Mareck, U., Reinhart, U., Schrader, Y., & Schänzer, W. (2004). Analysis of non-hormonal nutritional supplements for anabolic-androgenic steroids-results of
475	an international study. International journal of sports medicine, 25(02). 124-129.
476	Geyer, H., Parr, M. K., Koehler, K., Mareck, U., Schanzer, W., & Thevis, M. (2008). Nutritional
477	supplements cross-contaminated and faked with doping substances. J Mass
478	Spectrom, 43(7). 892-902.
479 480	Hauw, D., & McNamee, M. (2015). A critical analysis of three psychological research programs of doping behaviour. <i>Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 16</i> . 140-148.
481	Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new
482	millennium. Communication monographs, 76(4). 408-420.
483	Hayes, A. F. (2013). Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis:
484 485	A Regression-Based Approach (Methodology in the Social Sciences) New York, NY: Guilford-Press.
405	

486	Hildebrandt, T., Harty, S., & Langenbucher, J. W. (2012). Fitness supplements as a gateway
487	substance for anabolic-androgenic steroid use. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors,
488	26(4). 955-962.
489	Hurst, P., Foad, A. J., Coleman, D. A., & Beedie, C. (2017a). Athletes Intending to Use Sports
490	Supplements Are More Likely to Respond to a Placebo. <i>Medicine &amp; Science in Sports</i>
491	& Exercise (MSSE), 40(9). 1877-1883.
492	Hurst, P., Foad, A. J., Coleman, D. A., & Beedie, C. (2017b). Development and validation of
493	the Sports Supplements Beliefs Scale <i>Performance Enhancement &amp; Health, 5</i> (3). 89-
494	97.
495	Huybers, T., & Mazanov, J. (2012). What would Kim do: A choice study of projected athlete
496	doping considerations. Journal of sport management, 26(4). 322-334.
490 497	Kandel, D. (1975). Stages in adolescent involvement in drug use. <i>Science, 190</i> (4217). 912-
498	914.
498	Kavussanu, M., & Ring, C. (2017). Moral identity predicts doping likelihood via moral
500	disengagement and anticipated guilt. <i>Journal of sport &amp; exercise psychology, 39</i> (4).
501	293-301.
502	Knapik, J. J., Steelman, R. A., Hoedebecke, S. S., Austin, K. G., Farina, E. K., & Lieberman, H. R.
503	(2016). Prevalence of Dietary Supplement Use by Athletes: Systematic Review and
504	Meta-Analysis. <i>Sports Medicine</i> , 46(1). 103-123.
505	Lazuras, L., Barkoukis, V., Mallia, L., Lucidi, F., & Brand, R. (2017). More than a feeling: The
506	role of anticipated regret in predicting doping intentions in adolescent athletes.
507	Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 30. 196-204.
508	Little, R. J. (1988). A test of missing completely at random for multivariate data with missing
509 510	values. Journal of the American statistical Association, 83(404). 1198-1202.
510 511	Maughan, R. J., Burke, L. M., Dvorak, J., Larson-Meyer, D. E., Peeling, P., Phillips, S. M., Geyer, H. (2018). IOC consensus statement: dietary supplements and the high-
512	performance athlete. International journal of sport nutrition and exercise
512	metabolism, 28(2). 104-125.
515 514	
	Nicholls, A. R., Madigan, D. J., & Levy, A. R. (2017). A Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale for adult and adolescent athletes.
515 516	
516	Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 28. 100-104.
517	Ntoumanis, N., Ng, J. Y., Barkoukis, V., & Backhouse, S. (2014). Personal and psychosocial
518	predictors of doping use in physical activity settings: a meta-analysis. <i>Sports</i>
519	Medicine, 44(11). 1603-1624.
520	Petróczi, A. (2006). <i>Measuring attitude toward doping: Further evidence for the psychometric</i>
521	properties of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale. 14th Congress of the
522	European Association for Sport Management. Nicosia, Cyprus.
523	Petróczi, A. (2007). Attitudes and doping: a structural equation analysis of the relationship
524	between athletes' attitudes, sport orientation and doping behaviour. <i>Substance</i>
525	Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy, 2. 34.
526	Petróczi, A. (2013). The doping mindset—Part I: Implications of the Functional Use Theory
527	on mental representations of doping. <i>Performance Enhancement &amp; Health, 2</i> (4).
528	153-163.
529	Petróczi, A., & Aidman, E. (2009). Measuring explicit attitude toward doping: Review of the
530	psychometric properties of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale.
531	Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 10(3). 390-396.
532	Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects
533	in simple mediation models. <i>Behavior research methods, instruments, &amp; computers,</i>
534	36(4). 717-731.
535	Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: quantitative
536	strategies for communicating indirect effects. <i>Psychological methods, 16</i> (2). 93-115.

537	Ring, C., & Hurst, P. (2019). The effects of moral disengagement mechanisms on doping
538	likelihood are mediated by guilt and moderated by moral traits. Psychology of Sport
539	and Exercise, 40. 33-41.
540	Ring, C., & Kavussanu, M. (2018). Ego involvement increases doping likelihood. Journal of
541	Sports Sciences, 36(15). 1757-1762.
542	Ring, C., Kavussanu, M., Simms, M., & Mazanov, J. (2018). Effects of situational costs and
543	benefits on projected doping likelihood. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 34. 88-94.
544	Schwarz, N. (2007). Attitude construction: Evaluation in context. Social cognition, 25(5). 638-
545	656.
546	WADC. (2015). The World Anti-Doping Code. Montreal, Canada: The World Anti-Doping
547	Agency Retrieved from <u>https://www.wada-</u>
548	ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/wada-2015-world-anti-doping-code.pdf.
549	Whitaker, L., & Backhouse, S. H. (2017). Doping in sport: an analysis of sanctioned UK rugby
550	union players between 2009 and 2015. Journal of Sports Sciences, 35(16). 1607-
551	1613.
552	Whitaker, L., Long, J., Petróczi, A., & Backhouse, S. H. (2014). Using the prototype willingness
553	model to predict doping in sport. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in
554	Sports, 24(5). e398-e405.
555	Zelli, A., Mallia, L., & Lucidi, F. (2010). The contribution of interpersonal appraisals to a
556	social-cognitive analysis of adolescents' doping use. <i>Psychology of Sport and</i>
557	<i>Exercise, 11</i> (4). 304-311.
558	

# **Figure Captions**

**Figure 1.** The effects of supplement use on doping attitudes and the mediating role of sport supplement beliefs. *Note*. Values are the unstandardized regression coefficients. \* *p* < .01

**Figure 2.** The effects of supplement use on doping likelihood and the mediating role of sport supplement beliefs. *Note.* Values are the unstandardized regression coefficients. \* *p* < .01