

STABILITY AND UNIQUENESS OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A SEMI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

K.J. BROWN

Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, U.K.

P. HESS

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Rämistrasse 74, CH-8001 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract. Positive solutions of the semilinear elliptic boundary value problem $-\Delta u(x) = g(x)f(u(x))$ on D , $Bu = 0$ on ∂D are studied where D is a bounded region and f is concave. It is proved that every positive non-constant solution is linearly stable and using fixed point index arguments results on existence and uniqueness of positive solutions are deduced. The results obtained are well-known in the case where g is positive on D ; the proof presented in this paper applies also to the case, arising in population genetics, when g changes sign on D .

In this paper, we consider the stability, existence and uniqueness of positive solutions of the semi-linear elliptic boundary value problem

$$-\Delta u(x) = g(x)f(u(x)) \quad \text{in } D; \quad B_\alpha u(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D \quad (1)$$

where D is a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary, $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, and $B_\alpha u(x) = \alpha h(x)u(x) + (1-\alpha)\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is a constant and $h : \partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a smooth function with $h \equiv 1$ when $\alpha = 1$, i.e., the boundary condition may be of Dirichlet, Neumann or mixed type. We shall assume throughout that f satisfies

(f1) $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a smooth function where $I = [0, r]$ or $[0, \infty)$, $f(0) = 0$ and $f''(u) < 0$ for all $u \in I$.

We say that u is a positive solution of (1) if u is a classical solution with $u(x) \in I$ for all $x \in \overline{D}$ and $u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in D$.

Our study of (1) is motivated by the fact that the equation arises in population genetics (see [5]) in which case the function g attains both positive and negative values on D . In the case when $g \equiv 1$ it is well known that (1) has at most one non-constant positive solution when f satisfies (f1) (see e.g., Cohen and Laetsch [4]) but may have multiple solutions when f is convex (see e.g. Amann [1]). At first sight it seems unlikely that a uniqueness theorem should hold for (1) under the assumption (f1) when g changes sign on D as $u \rightarrow g(x)f(u)$ is convex whenever

Received May 22, 1989.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35J65, 35P30.

$g(x) < 0$. However Tertikas has shown in [9] that when $n = 1$ or (1) possesses radial symmetry then (f1) ensures uniqueness of positive solutions even when g may change sign. His proof which is developed initially for problems on all of \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{R}^n uses a geometric shooting-type argument based on an integral identity satisfied by solutions of (1).

We shall give a very short and easy proof that every non-constant positive solution of (1) is stable whether or not g changes sign and then deduce various other results on the existence and uniqueness of solutions. Our stability result strengthens a result in Henry [6] where it is shown by applying the maximum principle to a certain parabolic equation that every non-constant positive solution of (1) with Neumann boundary conditions is non-degenerate.

Our results also complement the existence and uniqueness results obtained in [3] for (1) in the case on Neumann boundary conditions.

Our main theorem depends on the same algebraic strategy as that used in [6] and [9], viz, making use of an identity which does not contain the troublesome function g .

Theorem 1. *Suppose f satisfies (f1). If u is a positive non-constant solution of (1) then the smallest eigenvalue of the linearized problem associated with (1), viz,*

$$-\Delta\psi - g(x)f'(u(x))\psi = \mu\psi \quad \text{in } D; \quad B_\alpha\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D \tag{2}$$

is positive.

Proof: We prove the result only in the case of non-Dirichlet boundary conditions, i.e., $0 \leq \alpha < 1$; the $\alpha = 1$ case is simpler.

It is well-known that a non-negative eigenfunction ϕ is associated with the smallest (principal) eigenvalue μ_1 of (2). Thus

$$-\Delta\phi - g(x)f'(u)\phi = \mu_1\phi \quad \text{in } D; \quad B_\alpha\phi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D. \tag{3}$$

Multiplying (1) by $f'(u)\phi$ and (3) by $f(u)$, subtracting and integrating gives

$$\int_D [\Delta\phi f(u) - \Delta u f'(u)\phi] \, dx = -\mu_1 \int_D f(u)\phi \, dx.$$

Then using the boundary conditions and applying Green's theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_{\partial D} h\phi[uf'(u) - f(u)] \, dS + \int_D \phi|\nabla u|^2 f''(u) \, dx = -\mu_1 \int_D f(u)\phi \, dx. \tag{4}$$

Since f satisfies (f1), $uf'(u) - f(u) \leq 0$ for $u > 0$ and so it follows from (4) that $\mu_1 > 0$. ■

Theorem 1 shows that all non-constant positive solutions of (1) are non-degenerate and stable. This information is sufficient to give non-existence, existence and uniqueness results in a number of contexts given more information on f and g . We shall now assume also that

(f2) $I = [0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} = 0$, i.e., f is sublinear, or

(f3) $I = [0, 1]$ and $f(1) = 0$, e.g., $f(u) = u(1-u)(\gamma(1-u) + (1-\gamma)u)$ as studied in [5] which also satisfies (f1) provided $\frac{1}{3} < \gamma < \frac{2}{3}$.

We prove our results by using the fixed point index in the framework of positive operators in ordered Banach spaces as described by Amann in [2]. We initially discuss only the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions; the other cases are similar but simpler technically.

First we must express (1) as an abstract equation in an appropriate function space. Let e denote the solution of

$$-\Delta u = 1 \quad \text{in } D; \quad u|_{\partial D} = 0.$$

Let $E = C_e(\bar{D}) = \{u \in C(\bar{D}) : \text{there exists a positive constant } \gamma \text{ such that } -\gamma e \leq u \leq \gamma e\}$. Then E is a Banach space with norm $\|u\|_e = \inf\{\gamma > 0 : -\gamma e \leq u \leq \gamma e\}$. The ordering in E is given by the natural cone $P = \{u \in E : u \geq 0\}$.

Let $C > 0$ be chosen sufficiently large so that $u \rightarrow g(x)f(u) + Cu$ is strictly increasing for each x in D for all u in I . Then (1) is equivalent to the operator equation $u = KNu$ where K is the inverse of $-\Delta + C$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions and $N : E \rightarrow C(\bar{D})$ is defined by $Nu(x) = g(x)f(u(x)) + Cu(x)$. It follows from the maximum principle that $KN : E \rightarrow E$ is a compact strongly order-preserving map.

Let $i(KN, U, X)$ denote the fixed point index of KN over an open set $U \subset X$ where X is a retract of E and let $i(KN, u)$ denote the local index of KN at u where $u \in U$ is an isolated fixed point of KN such that a sufficiently small open ball in E centred at u is contained in U (see [2]). The value of $i(KN, u)$ can be determined from the eigenvalues of $KN'(u)$, the Frechet derivative of KN with respect to u .

Lemma 2. *The greatest eigenvalue of $KN'(u)$ is greater than, equal to or less than 1 according as the principal eigenvalue of (2) is negative, zero or positive.*

Proof: Suppose μ is the largest eigenvalue of $KN'(u)$. Since $KN'(u)$ is a positive operator, by the Krein-Rutman theorem there exists a positive eigenfunction ϕ corresponding to μ . Then

$$-\mu\Delta\phi + (\mu - 1)C\phi - g(x)f'(u)\phi = 0 \quad \text{in } D; \quad \phi|_{\partial D} = 0.$$

Thus 0 is the principal eigenvalue of $L(\mu) = -\mu\Delta + (\mu - 1)C - g(x)f'(u)$. It follows from the variational characterisation of eigenvalues that the value of the principal eigenvalue of $L(\mu)$ is an increasing function of μ . But the differential expression in (2) is precisely $L(1)$ and so the result follows. ■

We can now investigate the multiplicity of solutions of

$$-\Delta u - g(x)f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } D; \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D. \tag{5}$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose f satisfies (f1) and either (f2) or (f3).*

- (i) *Suppose that the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta - g(x)f'(0)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions is positive, i.e., 0 is a stable solution of (5). Then (5) has no positive solution.*
- (ii) *Suppose that the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta - g(x)f'(0)$ is negative. Then (5) has exactly one positive solution.*

Proof: Suppose that there exists a positive supersolution $\bar{u} \in E$ of (5). Then

$$-\Delta \bar{u} + C\bar{u} \geq g(x)f(\bar{u}) + C\bar{u} \quad \text{in } D; \quad \bar{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$

Thus $KN\bar{u} \leq \bar{u}$. As $KN0 = 0$, $KN : [0, \bar{u}] \rightarrow [0, \bar{u}]$ where $[0, \bar{u}]$ denote the usual order interval in E . Since $[0, \bar{u}]$ is convex (and so a retract of E), $i(KN, [0, \bar{u}], [0, \bar{u}]) = 1$.

Suppose that u is a positive solution of (5) lying in $[0, \bar{u}]$. By Theorem 1 all eigenvalues of (2) are positive and so by Lemma 2 all eigenvalues of $KN'(u) < 1$. Hence $u - KN'(u)$ is invertible and so u is an isolated solution of $u = KNu$. Suppose that $\{u_k\}$ is a sequence of positive solutions of (5) lying in $[0, \bar{u}]$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = 0$ in E . Thus $KNu_k = u_k$ for all k and so $\lambda = 1$, $u = 0$ is a bifurcation point for $KNu = \lambda u$. A standard bifurcation argument shows that 1 is an eigenvalue of the linear operator $KN'(0)$ and that there is a corresponding positive eigenfunction v . Thus v is a positive eigenfunction of $-\Delta - g(x)f'(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$, i.e., the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta - g(x)f'(0)$ is zero and this is impossible from the hypotheses of the theorem. Hence $u = 0$ is an isolated solution. Therefore, since KN is compact, there can exist only finitely many solutions of $u = KNu$ in $[0, \bar{u}]$, say $0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$. Thus

$$1 = i(KN, [0, \bar{u}], [0, \bar{u}]) = i(KN, P_\rho, 0) + \sum_{j=1}^k i(KN, u_j) \tag{6}$$

where $P_\rho = \{v \in [0, \bar{u}] : \|v\|_e < \rho\}$ and ρ is chosen sufficiently small so that 0 is the only fixed point of KN in P_ρ .

It has been already shown that all the eigenvalues of $KN'(u_j) < 1$ and so $i(KN, u_j) = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ (see [2] Theorem 11.4). Hence (6) becomes

$$i(KN, P_\rho, 0) + k = 1.$$

The hypotheses in (i) [respectively (ii)] ensure that $i(KN, P_\rho, 0) = 1$ [respectively 0] (see [2] Lemma 13.1). Hence (5) has no positive solution in $[0, \bar{u}]$ in case (i) and exactly one positive solution in case (ii).

We complete the proof by constructing appropriate supersolutions \bar{u} . First suppose f satisfies (f2). Choose $K_1 < \text{first eigenvalue of } -\Delta \text{ with Dirichlet boundary conditions}$. Then there exists a constant K_2 such that $\|g\|_\infty f(u) \leq K_1 u + K_2$ for all $u > 0$. If \bar{u} is the unique solution of

$$-\Delta u - K_1 u = K_2 \quad \text{in } D; \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

then $\bar{u} \in E$ and is a supersolution of (5). Since K_2 may be chosen arbitrarily large in the construction, \bar{u} may be chosen arbitrarily large and the proof is complete for this case.

Suppose f satisfies (f3). Then $u \equiv 1$ is a supersolution of (5) and so the iteration defined by $u_1 \equiv 1$ and

$$-\Delta u_{n+1} + C u_{n+1} = g(x)f(u_n) + C u_n \quad \text{in } D; \quad u_{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

gives a monotonic decreasing sequence of supersolutions of (5) converging to the maximal solution of (5). Thus, if $\bar{u} = u_2$, i.e., the unique solution of

$$-\Delta u + Cu = g(x)f(1) + C \quad \text{in } D; \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

then $\bar{u} \in E$ and \bar{u} is a supersolution of (5) which is greater than or equal to the maximal solution of (5). Hence all positive solutions of (5) lie in $[0, \bar{u}]$ and the proof is complete. ■

If $g(x) < 0$ for all x in D , it follows from Theorem 3(i) and is in any case easy to prove directly that (5) has no positive solutions. If $g(x) > 0$ for all x in D or g changes sign on D , then either (i) or (ii) of Theorem 3 may apply and Theorem 3 does not specify what happens when the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta - g(x)f'(0)$ equals zero. This latter case can be understood by considering the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda g(x)f(u) \quad \text{in } D; \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D. \tag{7_\lambda}$$

We consider first the case where g changes sign on D . It is well known that the corresponding linearized problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda g(x)f'(0)u \quad \text{in } D; \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

possesses sequences of eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ and $0 > \lambda_{-1} > \lambda_{-2} \geq \dots$.

Theorem 4. *Suppose f satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3 and g changes sign on D . Then $(7)_\lambda$ has no positive solution if $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_1$ and exactly one positive solution when $\lambda > \lambda_1$.*

Proof: It is shown in [7] that the principal eigenvalue $\mu(\lambda)$ of

$$-\Delta \phi - \lambda g(x)f'(0)\phi = \mu(\lambda)\phi \quad \text{in } D; \quad \phi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

is positive, zero or negative according as $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, $\lambda = \lambda_1$ or $\lambda > \lambda_1$. Thus by Theorem 3, $(7)_\lambda$ has no positive solution if $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and exactly one positive solution if $\lambda > \lambda_1$.

Suppose that $(7)_\lambda$ has a positive solution u_1 when $\lambda = \lambda_1$. If $F : W_{B_1}^{2,p}(D) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^p(D)$ is defined by $F(u, \lambda) = -\Delta u - \lambda g(x)f(u)$ then F has Frechet derivative $F_u(\lambda_1, u_1)(\phi) = -\Delta \phi - \lambda_1 g(x)f'(u_1)\phi$. Hence by Theorem 1, $F_u(\lambda_1, u_1)$ is invertible. Therefore by the implicit function theorem there exists a continuous curve of solutions $(\lambda, u(\lambda))$ passing through (λ_1, u_1) and so there must exist a positive solution of $(7)_\lambda$ for λ just less than λ_1 which is impossible. Hence $(7)_\lambda$ has no positive solution when $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and the proof is complete. ■

The results in the preceding theorem along with standard results on local and global bifurcation show that in the bifurcation diagram for $(7)_\lambda$ in the (λ, u) plane all positive solutions lie on a curve emanating from and lying on the right of $(\lambda_1, 0)$. The same result can be obtained by almost exactly the same arguments when $g(x) > 0$ on D ; this latter result is already well known but the corresponding result for the case where g changes sign appears to be new.

Exactly analogous results hold for mixed boundary conditions, i.e., $0 < \alpha < 1$. In fact it is easy to see that for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ analogues of Theorem 3 and 4 hold

also when assumption (f2) is weakened to $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} < \lambda_1(\alpha)$ where $\lambda_1(\alpha)$ is the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ together with the boundary condition $B_\alpha u = 0$.

The above method does not yield existence results for Neumann boundary conditions when f satisfies (f2); interestingly this is exactly the case dealt with in [3]. In the case of Neumann boundary conditions when f satisfies (f3) the situation is complicated by the fact that $u \equiv 1$ is also a solution of (1) and the stability of the solutions $u \equiv 0$ and $u \equiv 1$ is influenced by the sign of $\int_D g \, dx$. However, by considering the fixed point indices of the constant solutions in the various cases it can be shown that there exists at most one non-constant positive solution and precise results can be given about the existence of solutions. For example

Theorem 5. *Suppose f satisfies (f1) and (f3) and $\int_D g \, dx = 0$. Then*

$$-\Delta u = g(x)f(u) \quad \text{in } D; \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D \quad (8)$$

has a unique non-constant positive solution.

Proof: Equation (8) is equivalent to an operator equation of the form $u = KNu$ where $K : C(\bar{D}) \rightarrow C(\bar{D})$ and N are the natural analogues of the operators used in the Dirichlet case. Since $KN : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $i(KN, [0, 1], [0, 1]) = 1$. It is shown in [8] that the linearized problem

$$-\Delta \phi - g(x)f'(0)\phi = \mu\phi \quad \text{in } D; \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

has negative principal eigenvalue. Hence an argument similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 2 shows that the largest eigenvalue of $KN'(0)$ exceeds 1. Hence, $i(KN, P_\rho, [0, 1]) = 0$. Similarly $i(KN, \hat{P}_\rho, [0, 1]) = 0$ where $\hat{P}_\rho = \{v \in [0, 1] : \|1 - v\| < \rho\}$. Therefore, by the argument used in the proof of Theorem 3, equation (8) has exactly one non-constant solution in $[0, 1]$. ■

Acknowledgement. The work discussed in this paper was done while K.J. Brown was visiting the Mathematics Institute, University of Zurich. K.J. Brown would like to thank the Swiss National Science Foundation for funding the visit and Herbert Amann for some useful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann, *Multiple positive fixed points of asymptotically linear maps*, J. Functional Analysis 17 (1974), 174–213.
- [2] H. Amann, *Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces*, SIAM Review 18 (1976), 620–709.
- [3] C. Bandle, M.A. Pozio, and A. Tesei, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear Neumann problems*, Math. Zeitschrift, 199 (1988), 257–278.
- [4] D.S. Cohen and T.W. Laetsch, *Nonlinear boundary value problems suggested by chemical reactor theory*, J. Differential Equations 7 (1970), 217–226.
- [5] W.H. Fleming, *A selection-migration model in population genetics*, J. Math., Biology 2 (1975), 219–233.
- [6] D. Henry, “Geometric Theory of Parabolic Equation”, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Math., 840, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1981.
- [7] P. Hess, *On bifurcation and stability of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*; in : Dynamical Systems II-Proc. of Florida International Symposium, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco and London (1982), 103–119.

- [8] S. Senn, *On a nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem with Neumann boundary conditions with an application to population genetics*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations 8 (1983), 1199–1228.
- [9] A. Tertikas, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a nonlinear diffusion problem arising in population genetics*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 103 (1988), 289–319.