

Erratum: Stability Criterion for the Localization of an Excess Electron in a Nonpolar Fluid

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. **51**, 2291 (1969); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1672341>

Published Online: 05 September 2003

B. E. Springett, Joshua Jortner and Morrel H. Cohen



View Online



Export Citation

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Stability Criterion for the Localization of an Excess Electron in a Nonpolar Fluid](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **48**, 2720 (1968); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1669506>

[Erratum: Electron Correlation and the Nuclear Spin-Spin Coupling Constant. II. The Generalized Product Approximation with Intergroup Configuration Interaction](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **51**, 2291 (1969); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1672342>

[Erratum: Physical Properties of Aromatic Hydrocarbons. IV. An Analysis of the Temperature Dependence of the Viscosity and the Compliance of 1,3,5-Tri- \$\alpha\$ -naphthylbenzene](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **51**, 2292 (1969); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1672344>



Lock-in Amplifiers
up to 600 MHz



Zurich
Instruments



SCF wavefunction for this molecule and have examined the bonding scheme as described in the localized orbital picture. In Table I we have listed the localized orbitals for ketene. The formaldehyde localized orbitals are also listed for comparison. The coordinate system used in these calculations is the same as that of Ref. 1, with the exception that the direction of our z axis is reversed. From Table I we note in particular, the similarities in the C-H bonds of both molecules and in the sp hybrid orbitals containing the nonbonded electrons. In formaldehyde, there is a second pair of nonbonded electrons, which occupies the oxygen p_y orbital. In contrast, in ketene, there is an additional " π_y "-type bond between carbon and oxygen in the molecular yz plane. In this plane therefore, there are two localized C-O bonds, the σ C-O, and the highly polarized " π_y ".

The amount of triple bond character in the C-O bond can then be measured by the amount of participation of the two p_x electrons of the oxygen atom in the molecular π_x system. We see from Table I that orbitals (7) and (8) represent π bonds, (7) primarily between C_1 and C_2 , and (8) between C_2 and O. The total π bond order between C_2 and O is 0.588, which represents a weakened π bond when compared with the C-O π bond order of formaldehyde, 0.968. However, this bond order is a direct measure of the triple-bond character of the classical C-O double bond in ketene and in this sense, is significant. Thus, the triple-bond character of the C-O bond suggested in Ref. 1 is described directly and quite explicitly in terms of localized CNDO orbitals, in spite of their approximate nature.

* Research supported by the Atomic Energy Commission Grant AT(11-1)-298 and by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Grant NGL 50-002-001.

† Present address: Carnegie-Mellon University, Mellon Institute, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213.

¹ J. H. Letcher, M. L. Unland, and J. R. Van Wazer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **50**, 2185 (1969).

² J. Del Bene and H. H. Jaffé, *J. Chem. Phys.* **50**, 1126 (1969).

³ C. Edmiston and K. Ruedenberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **34**, 457 (1963).

⁴ C. Trindle and O. Sinanoğlu, *J. Chem. Phys.* **49**, 65 (1968).

Errata

Erratum: Stability Criterion for the Localization of an Excess Electron in a Nonpolar Fluid

[*J. Chem. Phys.* **48**, 2720 (1968)]

B. E. SPRINGETT, JOSHUA JORTNER, AND MORREL H. COHEN
Department of Physics, Department of Chemistry, and James Franck Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

D. L. Dexter has brought some numerical errors to our attention. They are as follows. In Table I the

entries under U_p should read -0.38 , -1.47 , and -3.00 and under V_0 (theory) the entries should read $+1.26$, $+0.45$ and -1.13 , both in descending order. The next to last sentence in the left-hand column, page 2725, should read "Now, from the experimental density data³⁷ at 19°K we get $r_s = 2.23$ Å and hence from Eqs. (25) and (27) we get $T = 3.57$ eV, $U_p = -1.41$ eV, and $V_0 = +2.16$ eV."

Table II should be revised as follows, reading from left to right: H_2 , 19°K, 2.17, 2.15, 2.16, 0.138×10^{-2} , 0.029; D_2 , 19°K, 2.59, 2.15, 3.00, 0.072×10^{-2} , 0.015; 3He , 3°K, 1.29, 0.02, 0.65, 0.023×10^{-2} , 0.005; 3He , 1°K, 0.40, 0.85, 0.124×10^{-2} , 0.026; 4He , 3°K, 2.13, 0.21, 1.24, 0.042×10^{-2} , 0.009; 4He , 1°K, 2.18, 0.35, 1.26, 0.065×10^{-2} , 0.014; Ne, 25°K, 3.72, 5.5, 0.45, 8.44×10^{-2} , 1.79. The heading to column 6 in Table II should read $4\pi\beta\gamma/V_0^2$. The second sentence in the last paragraph of the right-hand column on page 2729 should have 0.6 replaced by 1.8.

Our original conclusions remain unaltered.

Erratum: Electron Correlation and the Nuclear Spin-Spin Coupling Constant. II. The Generalized Product Approximation with Intergroup Configuration Interaction

[*J. Chem. Phys.* **49**, 2145 (1968)]

MICHAEL BARFIELD

Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona,
Tucson, Arizona 85721

Equation (2) should be written,

$$\rho_1(\lambda\mu | 1; 1') = P_1(\lambda\mu | 1; 1') \alpha(1) \alpha^*(1') \\ + P_1(\lambda\mu | 1; 1') \alpha(1) \beta^*(1') + \dots \quad (2)$$

The summation in Eq. (4) is over the triplet states, κ . Equation (12) is given by

$$Q_1(0\tau_0 | 1; 1') \\ = \sum_{t_0} [c_0 c_{t_0} - (1/\sqrt{3}) \sum_{R \neq T} c_{Rt} c_{\tau_0, \tau_0}] Q_1(0t_0 | 1; 1') \\ + \sum_{R \neq T} [c_0 c_{\tau_0, \tau_0} - (1/\sqrt{3}) \sum_{t_0} c_{t_0} c_{Rt}] Q_1(0\tau_0 | 1; 1'). \quad (12)$$

Reference 15 should be deleted. Equation (23) is given by

$$H_{RS, RS} = \sum_T H^T(t) + H_{\text{eff}}^R(r_{+1} r_{+1}) + H_{\text{eff}}^S(s_{+1} s_{+1}) \\ + J^{RS}(r_{+1} r_{+1}, s_{+1} s_{+1}) - K_0^{RS}(r_{+1} r_{+1}, s_{+1} s_{+1}) \\ + 2k^{RS}(r_{+1} r_{+1}, s_{+1} s_{+1}), \quad (23)$$

where, for example,

$$H_{\text{eff}}^R(r_{+1}r_{+1}) = H^R(r_{+1}r_{+1}) + \sum_{T \neq R, S} [J^{RT}(r_{+1}r_{+1}, tt) - K^{RT}(r_{+1}r_{+1}, tt)].$$

The factors of $\frac{1}{2}$ should be deleted from Eqs. (24) and (29). Equation (52) is written,

$$k^{AB}(a_{+1}a_{+1}, b_{+1}b_{+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jklm} c_{a0j}c_{a0k}c_{b0l}c_{b0m} \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n-i_{jk}-i_{lm}} \sum_{a,b} g_{jk}^a g_{lm}^b K(a, b). \quad (52)$$

These corrections do not affect the numerical results reported in Sec. V.

Erratum: Dielectric Relaxation, Far-Infrared Absorption, and Intermolecular Forces in Nonpolar Liquids

[J. Chem. Phys. 49, 2551 (1968)]

S. K. GARG AND J. E. BERTIE*

Division of Applied Chemistry, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada

AND

H. KILP AND C. P. SMYTH

Frick Chemical Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey

The second sentence in the last paragraph on page 2559 has become garbled during the printing or editing

process, and is meaningless at present. It should read "The low-frequency side of the band was assumed to drop linearly from the absorption at 17 cm^{-1} to zero absorption, which it reached at about 10 cm^{-1} ."

* Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

Erratum: Physical Properties of Aromatic Hydrocarbons. IV. An Analysis of the Temperature Dependence of the Viscosity and the Compliance of 1,3,5-Tri- α -naphthylbenzene

[J. Chem. Phys. 49, 3678 (1968)]

D. J. PLAZEK AND J. H. MAGILL

Carnegie-Mellon University, Mellon Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Equation (5), page 3680, should read

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \eta = & (7.804 \times 10^3) - (5.764 \times 10^5 T^{-1}) - 4.176 T \\ & + 0.115 T^2 - (1.740 \times 10^{-4} T^3) + (1.375 \times 10^{-7} T^4) \\ & - (4.453 \times 10^{-11} T^5) \dots \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$