



Swansea University
Prifysgol Abertawe



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:
Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures

Cronfa URL for this paper:
<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa39050>

Paper:

Deng, S., Li, L. & Li, M. (2018). Stability of direct band gap under mechanical strains for monolayer MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂. *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures*
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physe.2018.03.016>

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.


Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/>

Accepted Manuscript

Stability of direct band gap under mechanical strains for monolayer MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂

Shuo Deng, Lijie Li, Min Li



PII: S1386-9477(18)30240-6

DOI: [10.1016/j.physe.2018.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physe.2018.03.016)

Reference: PHYSE 13082

To appear in: *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures*

Received Date: 13 February 2018

Revised Date: 6 March 2018

Accepted Date: 12 March 2018

Please cite this article as: S. Deng, L. Li, M. Li, Stability of direct band gap under mechanical strains for monolayer MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂, *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.physe.2018.03.016.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

^a School of Logistics Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, 430070, China.

^b Multidisciplinary Nanotechnology Centre, College of Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea, SA1 8EN, UK.

^c Department of Physics, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, 430070, China.

* corresponding email: lijie.li@hotmail.co.uk

Abstract

Single layer transition-metal dichalcogenides materials (MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , WS_2 and WSe_2) are investigated using the first-principles method with the emphasis on their responses to mechanical strains. All these materials display the direct band gap under a certain range of strains from compressive to tensile (stable range). We have found that this stable range is different for these materials. Through studying on their mechanical properties again using the first-principles approach, it is unveiled that this stable strain range is determined by the Young's modulus. More analysis on strains induced electronic band gap properties have also been conducted.

Keywords: direct band gap; 2D materials; elastic properties; first principles.

1 Introduction

The transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), such as MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , WS_2 and WSe_2 have attracted much attention owing to their superior electronic, optical, mechanical and catalytic properties [1-8]. It has been found that the monolayer TX_2 type (T , transition-metal atom; X , chalcogen atom) have several distinctive electronic and optical properties including a direct band gap (the band gap is in the range of 1.1 to 2.0 eV) at the K point in the Brillouin zone [9-11], strong photoluminescence effects[12-15] and the possibility of full optical control of the valley and spin occupation[16-19]. These properties will significantly enhance the potential of using them in various applications such as pressure sensors[20], fast photodetection[21], and nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS) devices[22].

monolayer TX_2 changes from direct type to indirect type, more specifically the band gap has been narrowed, eventually leading to the CBM (conduction band minimum) plunge to below the Fermi level, which implicates that the material becomes exhibiting metal properties. Applying mechanical strains is one of the most promising ways to tune the band gap of the monolayer TX_2 . However, a few theoretical groups reported the relationship between the Young's modulus and the deformation region of direct band gap[26, 29]. The study on the directional dependence of the mechanical strain has not been conducted so far[11, 26]. Hence the critical issue is to conduct a thorough investigation to unveil the relation between their mechanical properties and electronic properties.

In this work, we simulate the Young's modulus of monolayer TX_2 cells and investigate the band structures of hexagonal and orthorhombic monolayer TX_2 cells under a wide range of strain amplitudes in different directions using first-principles methods. This theoretical method has been used in many previous studies in TMDs[23-27]. Our aim is to theoretically explore the relationship between the Young's modulus and the width of the direct band gap region. Furthermore, the directional properties of strains acting on the orthorhombic monolayer TX_2 cells will also be explored. The effect of Young's modulus and various directions of strains on the monolayer TX_2 will be detailed in the following sections of this paper. The phonon spectral properties of these four materials have been studied in reference [11], and our focus was not on studying this property. We also neglect other properties which could be extracted from DFT, as it was simulated, for examples, in references [30, 31] for similar zigzag sheet monolayers with high Young's modulus and strong mechanical anisotropy.

2 Computational method

We start modelling the monolayer TX_2 . By creating two types of crystal cells using the Atomistix ToolKit (ATK)[32] simulation tools, the lattice constants and key bond lengths/angles calculated are listed in Table 1. Based on the lattice constant of Table 1, the initial model of monolayer TX_2 are established. Shown in the Figure 1, the monolayer TX_2 can be viewed as composed of two-dimensional (2D) $X-T-X$ sheets stacked on top of one

Table 1. Lattice parameters of monolayer TX_2

Material	$a(\text{\AA})$	$d(\text{\AA})$	$\theta(\text{\AA})$
MoS ₂	3.160	2.440	80.165
MoSe ₂	3.288	2.524	82.477
WS ₂	3.160	2.450	80.452
WSe ₂	3.290	2.531	82.749




Figure 1. Schematic graphs of the 2D monolayer TX_2 type cells. The x and y lattice vectors are shown. The hexagonal (green) and orthorhombic (red) unite cells are indicated.

The band structures of the monolayer TX_2 type cells are calculated by the density functional theory (DFT) using the ATK. In our DFT calculation, we use a numerical Linear Combination of Atomic Orbitals (LCAO) basis sets, where the method was described in [33]. We use the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the parametrization of Perdew-

conditions. In order to optimize the geometries, we have utilized the Limited-memory Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb Shanno (LBFGS) algorithm[35] with the maximum stress tolerance value of 0.01 eV/\AA^3 . The structure is fully relaxed until the force on each atom becomes smaller than 0.01 eV/\AA . Show in the Figure 2, for the hexagonal cell, in order to maintain the crystal symmetry, the biaxial symmetrical strains ε have to be applied in both the x/y plane. However, for the orthorhombic cell, we can apply biaxial asymmetric strains along the armchair (ε_a) and zigzag (ε_z) directions within the x/y plane. The deformation is simulated by setting the lattice parameter to a fixed larger value and relaxing the atomic positions. The amplitude of deformation is defined as: $\varepsilon = (a - a_0)/a_0$, where a_0 and a are the lattice parameters of the unstrained and strained cells, respectively.





Figure 2. The applied strains directions are indicated by white arrows. (a) Symmetrical biaxial strains on the hexagonal cell. (b) Asymmetrical biaxial strains on the orthorhombic cell.

Using the DFT-GGA calculations, we show that the band gap (E_g) of the monolayer MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , WS_2 and WSe_2 are 1.76 eV, 1.43 eV, 1.95 eV and 1.62 eV, which are very close to recent DFT calculations[11, 13, 36, 37], but slightly smaller than that measured using complementary techniques of optical absorption, photoluminescence and photoconductivity of monolayer TX_2 [11, 15]. Although the PBE exchange correlation functional in DFT underestimates the band gap, the GLLB-Sc and hybrid functional lead to better agreement with experiments. This trend is not generalized, and more often depends on the material and

by approximately 1 eV and 0.45 eV, respectively[38, 39]. Moreover, Ataca *et al* also reported a higher value of the band gap by use G_0W_0 (2.78 eV) and GW_0 (2.50 eV)[38]. Analyzing the aforementioned data, we believe that our choice of the PBE exchange correlation functional for monolayer MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$, WS_2 and WSe_2 is appropriate.

3 Simulation results and discussion

We calculated band structures for various amplitudes of biaxial symmetric compressive and tensile strains on the monolayer TX_2 hexagonal cell, as show in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively. The bold black lines are the band when no strain is applied. At equilibrium, the monolayer TX_2 are direct band gap semiconductors with the conduction band minimum (CBM) and valence band maximum (VBM) at K point. Under strains (Figure 3 and Figure 4), the conduction band is decreasing and the valence band is increasing as the value of strains increase. The band structures have also changed from a direct band gap to an indirect band gap. The electronic states near the CBM and VBM contributed mainly from d_{z^2} , $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{xy} orbitals of the T atom (Mo and W) and the p orbitals of the X atom (S and Se)[11]. The strong coupling between p orbitals of the X atom and $d_{xz} + d_{yz}$ orbitals of the T atom leads to a large splitting between their bonding and antibonding states, which influence the strain-induced band structures.






Figure 4. Band structures of monolayer MoS_2 (a), MoSe_2 (b), WS_2 (c) and WSe_2 (d) corresponding to 0%, 2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, 10% symmetrical biaxial tensile strains, respectively. The shift trend of the CBM and VBM are indicated by orange arrows.

In equilibrium, the monolayer TX_2 are direct band gap semiconductors with the CBM and VBM at the K point. Figure 5 shows the CBM evolution with the applied symmetrical biaxial strains for monolayer TX_2 hexagonal cells into three regimes. (1) In the central regime, monolayer TX_2 retains direct band gap. The deformation ranges ($\Delta\varepsilon$) in which the materials remain in the direct band gap region (R_d) of the CBM-strain curves are 0.04, 0.08, 0.02 and 0.06 for monolayer MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , WS_2 and WSe_2 , respectively. It is deduced that $R_{d-\text{WS}_2} < R_{d-\text{MoS}_2} < R_{d-\text{WSe}_2} < R_{d-\text{MoSe}_2}$. (2) Exceeding gray dashed lines, the tensile and compressive strains turn monolayer TX_2 into indirect band gap semiconductors and the amplitude of the CBM keep decline. (3) Under red dot chain line, the compressive strains turn the CBM lower than 0 eV.




Figure 5. CBM variation of monolayer MoS₂ (a), MoSe₂ (b), WS₂ (c) and WSe₂ (d) with compressive and tensile symmetric strains.

As seen from Figure 6, we depict the spatial distribution of the charge density for the monolayer MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂ under the strain-free state. All of four TMDs are formed by covalent bonding with four electrons from external shells of metal atoms being donated to the counterpart non-metal atoms. The binding energy (E) of these four materials are therefore predominately determined by the bond length (L) between adjacent atoms. Figure 7 shows that the calculated X - X bond length of monolayer MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂ become closer due to Poisson contraction. The X - X bond lengths become smaller by about 0.024 Å, 0.025 Å, 0.023 Å and 0.021 Å per +1% biaxial strains for monolayer MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂, respectively. Moreover, the X - X bond length nearly decreases linearly with the deformation from -10% to +10%. Figure 7 shows a trend of $L_{\text{MoS}_2} < L_{\text{WS}_2} < L_{\text{MoSe}_2} < L_{\text{WSe}_2}$ from -1% to +5% deformation, which is the the most deformation change width of direct band gap region of the CBM-strain curve in Figure 5. From this result, we can




Figure 6. The isosurface plot of the charge density of monolayer MoS₂ and MoSe₂ (a), WSe₂ and WS₂ (b). The isosurface value was taken as 0.05 e/Å³.




Figure 7. Evolution of the X-X bond length with respect to strains.

Young's modulus (Y) of all four TMDs (Y_{MoS_2} , Y_{MoSe_2} , Y_{WS_2} and Y_{WSe_2}) can be calculated using the first-principles methods[26, 29, 36]. Simulation results in Figure 8 shows that stress-strain curve firstly display a nonlinear characteristic, indicating Young's modulus has a

the bonding force (F) and the atomic separation (r), where, $F \propto 1/r^2$. By stretching the material, atomic separation increases, leading to reduced F , which is macroscopically reflected the reduced elastic modulus. The material softening of the monolayer MoS_2 has been reported previously in [29, 40]. Second, Figure 8 shows that in the small strain region (from -0.012 to 0.012), the elastic modulus for these materials are calculated to be $Y_{\text{MoS}_2}=217.6$ GPa, $Y_{\text{MoSe}_2}=171.4$ GPa, $Y_{\text{WS}_2}=218.8$ and $Y_{\text{WSe}_2}=201.5$ GPa, which demonstrate a trend of $Y_{\text{WS}_2} > Y_{\text{MoS}_2} > Y_{\text{WSe}_2} > Y_{\text{MoSe}_2}$.




Figure 8. Stress-strain curve for monolayer MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , WS_2 and WSe_2 .

Macroscopically the inter-atomic bonding force is reflected by the Young's modulus, characteristic of material stiffness defined as stress per unit strain. It is a logical elucidation that a higher Young's modulus leads to a higher inter-atomic bonding force, hence under an identical strain, the material that has a higher Young's modulus will experience a greater stress. For example, the Young's modulus of WS_2 is higher than MoS_2 than WSe_2 than MoSe_2 in Figure 8. Application of tensile strains on WS_2 decreases the S-S bond length. The effect is a reduction in the W- d orbital and S- p orbital coupling, which is revealed as the

demonstrates WS_2 has the lowest stability and resulting in the narrowest deformation region of the CBM-strain curve in Figure 5. Results from the above analysis and data of the Young's modulus, it is deduced that $E_{g-WS_2} > E_{g-MoS_2} > E_{g-WSe_2} > E_{g-MoSe_2}$ and $R_{d-WS_2} < R_{d-MoS_2} < R_{d-WSe_2} < R_{d-MoSe_2}$, which is exactly matching with the results from the first-principles simulations about the band gap and the direct band gap region. It can be concluded that a TX_2 having smaller young's modulus will be more immune to mechanical strains, exhibiting greater electro-mechanical stability.

Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the band structures evolution with the asymmetrical biaxial compressive and the tensile strains along the armchair (ϵ_a) and zigzag (ϵ_z) directions on the monolayer TX_2 orthorhombic cell. We investigate the CBM of the band due to strains in directions between ϵ_a and ϵ_z . Firstly, the simulation was conducted by setting the ϵ_a -direction strains to increase while keeping the ϵ_z unchanged. Then we repeat the calculation when ϵ_z -direction strains increases by a small step. In this way, the strains direction between ϵ_a - and ϵ_z - that leads to the most notable change of the CBM can be obtained. We define a total strains of $\epsilon_t = \sqrt{\epsilon_a^2 + \epsilon_z^2}$. It is seen from the simulation results in the Figure 9 that for the compressive strains, the reduction of the CBM under ϵ_a -direction is faster than ϵ_z -direction. The ϵ_t threshold deformation of the CBM reaching to 0 eV are roughly 13%, 9% and 11% for monolayer MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$ and WSe_2 , respectively. The CBM declines more quickly under a pure ϵ_a - or ϵ_z - direction deformation than the deformations along the 45° angle between ϵ_a - and ϵ_z - direction, which displays a strong directional dependence effect. However, Figure 10 shows for the tensile strains, the reduction of the CBM under uniaxial ϵ_a - or ϵ_z - direction is smaller than biaxial symmetrical deformation both in ϵ_a - and ϵ_z -direction. From Figure 10, it can be concluded that for the tensile strains, the ϵ_t threshold deformation for the CBM reaching to 0 eV are roughly 22.6%, 21.2% and 19.8% for monolayer MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$ and WSe_2 , respectively. For the tensile strains, the effect on the band structures is almost independent on the direction of the strains.




Figure 9. CBM variation of the monolayer MoS₂ (a), MoSe₂ (b) and WSe₂ (c) orthorhombic cell with compressive strains.




Figure 10. CBM variation of the monolayer MoS₂ (a), MoSe₂ (b) and WSe₂ (c) orthorhombic cell with tensile strains.

4 Conclusion

To summarize, the first-principles methods have been used to investigate the electronic and mechanical properties of four monolayer TMD materials - MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂. Hexagonal and orthorhombic cell structures of all these materials have been built and subsequently simulated when subjected to tensile and compressive strains. Main conclusion is deduced that the region of the direct band gap in the CBM-strain curves exhibiting a trend of $R_{d-WS_2} < R_{d-MoS_2} < R_{d-WSe_2} < R_{d-MoSe_2}$, which has been systematically underpinned by further first-principles studies on the stress-strain relation. In the Young's modulus and band gap study, it has been analyzed that the Young's modulus and band gap of these four materials demonstrate a pattern of $Y_{WS_2} > Y_{MoS_2} > Y_{WSe_2} > Y_{MoSe_2}$ and $E_{g-WS_2} > E_{g-MoS_2} > E_{g-WSe_2} > E_{g-MoSe_2}$. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that "softer" monolayer TX_2 have wider direct band gap regions and higher band gap, which is a desirable property for photoluminescence applications. Then, for the orthorhombic cell, the CBM declines more quickly under a pure ϵ_a or ϵ_z direction compressive strains than the strains in between ϵ_a and ϵ_z , which displays a strong directional dependence effect. However, the strains effect on the band structures is

Authors would like to acknowledge China Scholarship Council for support.

References

- [1] L.J. Li, Y. Zhang, Controlling the luminescence of monolayer MoS₂ based on the piezoelectric effect, *Nano Research*, 10 (2017) 2527-2534.
- [2] A. Surrente, D. Dumcenco, Z. Yang, A. Kuc, Y. Jing, T. Heine, Y.C. Kung, D.K. Maude, A. Kis, P. Plochocka, Defect Healing and Charge Transfer-Mediated Valley Polarization in MoS₂/MoSe₂/MoS₂ Trilayer van der Waals Heterostructures, *Nano Letters*, 17 (2017) 4130-4136.
- [3] Q.L. Xiong, J. Zhang, C. Xiao, Z.H. Li, Effects of atomic vacancies and temperature on the tensile properties of single-walled MoS₂ nanotubes, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 19 (2017) 19948-19958.
- [4] K.D. Park, O. Khatib, V. Kravtsov, G. Clark, X.D. Xu, M.B. Raschke, Hybrid Tip-Enhanced Nanospectroscopy and Nanoimaging of Monolayer WSe₂ with Local Strain Control, *Nano Letters*, 16 (2016) 2621-2627.
- [5] R. Addou, S. McDonnell, D. Barrera, Z.B. Guo, A. Azcatl, J. Wang, H. Zhu, C.L. Hinkle, M. Quevedo-Lopez, H.N. Alshareef, L. Colombo, J.W.P. Hsu, R.M. Wallace, Impurities and Electronic Property Variations of Natural MoS₂ Crystal Surfaces, *ACS Nano*, 9 (2015) 9124-9133.
- [6] X.P. Fu, F.F. Li, J.F. Lin, Y.B. Gong, X.L. Huang, Y.P. Huang, B. Han, Q. Zhou, T. Cui, Pressure-Dependent Light Emission of Charged and Neutral Excitons in Monolayer MoSe₂, *J Phys Chem Lett*, 8 (2017) 3556-3563.
- [7] A.J. McKenna, J.K. Eliason, D.J. Flannigan, Spatiotemporal Evolution of Coherent Elastic Strain Waves in a Single MoS₂ Flake, *Nano Lett.*, 17 (2017) 3952-3958.
- [8] Z.H. Yu, Y.M. Pan, Y.T. Shen, Z.L. Wang, Z.Y. Ong, T. Xu, R. Xin, L.J. Pan, B.G. Wang, L.T. Sun, J.L. Wang, G. Zhang, Y.W. Zhang, Y. Shi, X.R. Wang, Towards intrinsic charge transport in monolayer molybdenum disulfide by defect and interface engineering, *Nature Communications*, 5 (2014).
- [9] K.F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan, T.F. Heinz, Atomically Thin MoS₂: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105 (2010) 136805.
- [10] H.R. Gutierrez, N. Perea-Lopez, A.L. Elias, A. Berkdemir, B. Wang, R. Lv, F. Lopez-Urias, V.H. Crespi, H. Terrones, M. Terrones, Extraordinary Room-Temperature Photoluminescence in Triangular WS₂ Monolayers, *Nano Letters*, 13 (2013) 3447-3454.
- [11] C.H. Chang, X.F. Fan, S.H. Lin, J.L. Kuo, Orbital analysis of electronic structure and phonon dispersion in MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂, and WSe₂ monolayers under strain, *Physical Review B*, 88 (2013) 195420.
- [12] J.S. Ross, S.F. Wu, H.Y. Yu, N.J. Ghimire, A.M. Jones, G. Aivazian, J.Q. Yan, D.G. Mandrus, D. Xiao, W. Yao, X.D. Xu, Electrical control of neutral and charged excitons in a monolayer semiconductor, *Nature Communications*, 4 (2013).
- [13] A. Ramasubramaniam, Large excitonic effects in monolayers of molybdenum and tungsten dichalcogenides, *Phys. Rev. B*, 86 (2012) 115409.

- [16] S.F. Wu, J.S. Ross, G.B. Liu, G. Aivazian, A. Jones, Z.Y. Fei, W.G. Zhu, D. Xiao, W. Yao, D. Cobden, X.D. Xu, Electrical tuning of valley magnetic moment through symmetry control in bilayer MoS₂, *Nature Physics*, 9 (2013) 149-153.
- [17] G. Sallen, L. Bouet, X. Marie, G. Wang, C.R. Zhu, W.P. Han, Y. Lu, P.H. Tan, T. Amand, B.L. Liu, B. Urbaszek, Robust optical emission polarization in MoS₂ monolayers through selective valley excitation, *Physical Review B*, 86 (2012) 081301.
- [18] H.L. Zeng, J.F. Dai, W. Yao, D. Xiao, X.D. Cui, Valley polarization in MoS₂ monolayers by optical pumping, *Nature Nanotechnology*, 7 (2012) 490-493.
- [19] T. Cao, G. Wang, W.P. Han, H.Q. Ye, C.R. Zhu, J.R. Shi, Q. Niu, P.H. Tan, E. Wang, B.L. Liu, J. Feng, Valley-selective circular dichroism of monolayer molybdenum disulphide, *Nature Communications*, 3 (2012).
- [20] F.F. Yu, Q.W. Liu, X. Gan, M.X. Hu, T.Y. Zhang, C. Li, F.Y. Kang, M. Terrones, R.T. Lv, Ultrasensitive Pressure Detection of Few-Layer MoS₂, *Adv. Mater.*, 29 (2017) 1603266.
- [21] Y.H. Chang, W. Zhang, Y. Zhu, Y. Han, J. Pu, J.K. Chang, W.T. Hsu, J.K. Huang, C.L. Hsu, M.H. Chiu, T. Takenobu, H. Li, C.I. Wu, W.H. Chang, A.T.S. Wee, L.J. Li, Monolayer MoSe₂ Grown by Chemical Vapor Deposition for Fast Photodetection, *ACS Nano*, 8 (2014) 8582-8590.
- [22] K.F. Mak, K.L. McGill, J. Park, P.L. McEuen, The valley Hall effect in MoS₂ transistors, *Science*, 344 (2014) 1489-1492.
- [23] C.V. Nguyen, V.V. Ilyasov, H.V. Nguyen, H.N. Nguyen, Band gap and electronic properties of molybdenum disulphide under strain engineering: density functional theory calculations, *Mol. Simulat.*, 43 (2017) 86-91.
- [24] M.G. Sensoy, D. Vinichenko, W. Chen, C.M. Friend, E. Kaxiras, Strain effects on the behavior of isolated and paired sulfur vacancy defects in monolayer MoS₂, *Physical Review B*, 95 (2017) 014106.
- [25] P. Lu, X.J. Wu, W.L. Guo, X.C. Zeng, Strain-dependent electronic and magnetic properties of MoS₂ monolayer, bilayer, nanoribbons and nanotubes, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 14 (2012) 13035-13040.
- [26] M. Ghorbani-Asl, S. Borini, A. Kuc, T. Heine, Strain-dependent modulation of conductivity in single-layer transition-metal dichalcogenides, *Physical Review B*, 87 (2013) 235434.
- [27] E. Scalise, M. Houssa, G. Pourtois, V.V. Afanas'ev, A. Stesmans, Strain-induced semiconductor to metal transition in the two-dimensional honeycomb structure of MoS₂, *Nano Research*, 5 (2012) 43-48.
- [28] K. He, C. Poole, K.F. Mak, J. Shan, Experimental Demonstration of Continuous Electronic Structure Tuning via Strain in Atomically Thin MoS₂, *Nano Lett.*, 13 (2013) 2931-2936.
- [29] T.S. Li, Ideal strength and phonon instability in single-layer MoS₂, *Physical Review B*, 85 (2012) 235407.
- [30] S.V. Bondarchuk, B.F. Minaev, Two isomeric solid carbon nitrides with 1:1 stoichiometry which exhibit strong mechanical anisotropy, *New J Chem*, 41 (2017) 13140-13148.
- [31] S.V. Bondarchuk, B.F. Minaev, Two-dimensional honeycomb (A7) and zigzag sheet (ZS) type nitrogen monolayers. A first principles study of structural, electronic, spectral, and mechanical properties, *Comp Mater Sci*, 133 (2017) 122-129.

- [34] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett., 77 (1996) 3865-3868.
- [35] D. Saha, S. Mahapatra, Atomistic modeling of the metallic-to-semiconducting phase boundaries in monolayer MoS₂, Appl. Phys. Lett., 108 (2016) 253106.
- [36] Q.Y. Zhang, Y.C. Cheng, L.Y. Gan, U. Schwingenschlogl, Giant valley drifts in uniaxially strained monolayer MoS₂, Physical Review B, 88 (2013) 245447.
- [37] S. Horzum, H. Sahin, S. Cahangirov, P. Cudazzo, A. Rubio, T. Serin, F.M. Peeters, Phonon softening and direct to indirect band gap crossover in strained single-layer MoSe₂, Physical Review B, 87 (2013) 125415.
- [38] C. Ataca, S. Ciraci, Functionalization of Single-Layer MoS₂ Honeycomb Structures, J Phys Chem C, 115 (2011) 13303-13311.
- [39] A. Kuc, N. Zibouche, T. Heine, Influence of quantum confinement on the electronic structure of the transition metal sulfide TS₂, Physical Review B, 83 (2011) 245213.
- [40] R.C. Cooper, C. Lee, C.A. Marianetti, X.D. Wei, J. Hone, J.W. Kysar, Nonlinear elastic behavior of two-dimensional molybdenum disulfide (vol 87, 035423, 2013), Physical Review B, 88 (2013) 039906.

effect to the compressive strain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT