

Review Article

Stability of Fractional Order Systems

Margarita Rivero,¹ Sergei V. Rogosin,² José A. Tenreiro Machado,³ and Juan J. Trujillo⁴

¹ Departamento de Matemática Fundamental, University of La Laguna, Tenerife 38271 La Laguna, Spain

² Department of Economics, Belarusian State University, Nezavisimosti Avenue 4, 220030 Minsk, Belarus

³ Department of Electrical Engineering, Institute of Engineering, Polytechnic of Porto, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal

⁴ Departamento de Análisis Matemático, University of La Laguna, Tenerife 38271 La Laguna, Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to José A. Tenreiro Machado; jtm@isep.ipp.pt

Received 26 December 2012; Revised 8 April 2013; Accepted 11 April 2013

Academic Editor: Clara Ionescu

Copyright © 2013 Margarita Rivero et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The theory and applications of fractional calculus (FC) had a considerable progress during the last years. Dynamical systems and control are one of the most active areas, and several authors focused on the stability of fractional order systems. Nevertheless, due to the multitude of efforts in a short period of time, contributions are scattered along the literature, and it becomes difficult for researchers to have a complete and systematic picture of the present day knowledge. This paper is an attempt to overcome this situation by reviewing the state of the art and putting this topic in a systematic form. While the problem is formulated with rigour, from the mathematical point of view, the exposition intends to be easy to read by the applied researchers. Different types of systems are considered, namely, linear/nonlinear, positive, with delay, distributed, and continuous/discrete. Several possible routes of future progress that emerge are also tackled.

1. Classical Stability Analysis

The study of stability of polynomial and related questions for differential equations goes back to XIX century. Hurwitz (or Routh-Hurwitz) criterion [1] is a necessary and sufficient condition for all the roots of a polynomial

$$P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (1)$$

with real coefficients and $a_n > 0$ to have negative real parts. It consists of the following: all principal minors Δ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, of the Hurwitz matrix H are positive.

Here H is a matrix of order n whose j th row is of the form

$$a_{2n-j}, a_{2n-2-j}, \dots, a_{4-j}, a_{2-j}, \quad (2)$$

where $a_k = 0$ if $k > n$ or $k < 0$. Polynomial $P(z)$ satisfying the Hurwitz condition is called a Hurwitz polynomial or, in applications of the Routh-Hurwitz criterion in the stability theory of oscillating systems, a stable polynomial. Exact and approximate methods of Hurwitz factorization were developed intensively (see, e.g., [2, 3]).

Among other criteria concerning zeros distribution of polynomials, we have to mention Mikhailov stability criterion [4]. It states that all roots of a polynomial

$$P(z) = z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (3)$$

with real coefficients have strictly negative real part if and only if the complex-valued function $\zeta = P(i\omega)$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, of a real variable $\omega \in [0, \infty)$ describes a curve (the Mikhailov hodograph) in the complex ζ -plane which starts on the positive real semiaxis and does not cross the origin and successively generates an anticlockwise motion through n quadrants.

An equivalent condition is as follows: the radius vector $P(i\omega)$, as ω increases from 0 to $+\infty$, never vanishes and monotonically rotates in a positive direction through an angle $n\pi/2$.

The Mikhailov criterion gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of a linear differential equation of order n

$$x^{(n)} + a_{n-1} x^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_0 x = 0 \quad (4)$$

with constant coefficients or of a linear system (where the prime symbol denotes first derivative with respect to time):

$$X' = AX, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (5)$$

with a constant matrix A , the characteristic polynomial of which is $P(z)$.

A very general result on the zero location problem for the polynomial is the Hermite-Biehler theorem [5], which states that the roots of $g(z) + ih(z)$ are all on the same side of the real axis when $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ are polynomials with real coefficients if and only if the zeros of $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ are real and alternate. The Hermite-Biehler theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions for Hurwitz stability of real polynomials in terms of an interlacing (alternating) property [6–8]. Notice that if a given real polynomial is not a Hurwitz one, then the Hermite-Biehler theorem does not provide information on its roots distribution.

During the last years, several surveys addressed this topic; see, for instance, [9–12]. This paper, without being exhaustive, is complementary to the contents of such other works. In our paper, we introduced formally a selected set of methods to characterize the stability of fractional systems. It is intended to form a comprehensive text so that readers can follow easily the concepts. Furthermore, the limitations of the known methods are also pointed out, giving readers the opportunity to consider the open problems.

Bearing these ideas in mind, this paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 introduce fundamental aspects, namely, the concepts of quasi-polynomials and fractional quasi-polynomials, respectively. Section 4 addresses the main core of the paper, the stability of fractional order systems, and is divided into eight subsections. The section starts by presenting general fractional order systems. In the next subsections issues associated with linear time-invariant systems are discussed in more details, such as controllers, positive systems, systems with delay, and distributed, discrete-time, and nonlinear systems. Stability of closed-loop linear control systems becomes a major motivation of the paper. Therefore, four examples in Section 5 deal with this topic. Finally, Section 6 outlines several techniques that are presently emerging and draws the main conclusions.

2. Quasi-Polynomials

Pontryagin [13] gave a generalization of the Hermite-Biehler Theorem, which appeared to be very relevant formal tool for the mathematical analysis of stability of quasi-polynomials, that is, of the functions of the following type:

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(z) e^{\lambda_k z}, \quad (6)$$

where $f_k(z)$ are polynomials in z with constant coefficients, and λ_k , $k = 0, \dots, n$, are real (or complex) numbers. By other words, $F(z)$ is a sum, where the terms are the product of and exponential and polynomial function with constant coefficients. In control theory, such exponentials correspond to delays. If λ_k are commensurable real numbers, that is,

$\lambda_k = \lambda \cdot k$, $k = 0, \dots, n$, and $\lambda > 0$, then the quasi-polynomial (6) can be written in the form

$$\delta(z) = P(z, e^z), \quad (7)$$

with

$$P(z, s) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n a_{jk} z^j s^{k\lambda}, \quad z, s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (8)$$

where $P(z, s)$ is a polynomial function of two variables, and then, if $s = e^z$, we get $\delta(z)$.

Thus, from this point of view, the determination of the zeros of a quasi-polynomial (7) by means of Pontryagin theorem can be considered to be a mathematical method for analysis of stabilization of a class of linear time invariant systems with time delay (see, e.g., [14]):

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n a_{jk} x^{(j)}(t + \lambda \cdot k) = 0, \quad (9)$$

where a_{jk} are constant coefficients.

Pontryagin Theorem (see [13]). *Let $\delta(z) = P(z, e^z)$ be a quasi-polynomial of the type (7), where $P(z, s)$ is a polynomial function in two variables with real coefficients. Suppose that the “oldest” coefficient $a_{mm} \neq 0$. Let $\delta(i\omega)$ be the restriction of the quasi-polynomial $\delta(z)$ to imaginary axis. One can express $\delta(i\omega) = f(\omega) + ig(\omega)$, where the real functions (of a real variable) $f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\delta(i\omega)$, respectively. Let one denote by ω_r and ω_i , respectively, the zeros of the functions $f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$. If all the zeros of the quasi-polynomial $\delta(z)$ lie to the left side of the imaginary axis, then the zeros of the functions $f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$ are real, alternating, and*

$$g'(\omega) f(\omega) - g(\omega) f'(\omega) > 0 \quad (10)$$

for each $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Reciprocally, let one of the following conditions be satisfied:

- (1) all the zeros of the functions $f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$ are real and alternate, and the inequality (10) is satisfied for at least one value ω ;
- (2) all the zeros of the function $f(\omega)$ are real, and for each zero of $f(\omega)$ the inequality (10) is satisfied; that is, $g(\omega_r) f'(\omega_r) < 0$;
- (3) all the zeros of the function $g(\omega)$ are real, and for each zero of $g(\omega)$ the inequality (10) is satisfied; that is, $g'(\omega_i) f(\omega_i) > 0$.

Then all the zeros of the quasi-polynomial $\delta(z)$ lie to the left side of the imaginary axis.

In [15] quasi-polynomial of the type

$$F(z) = A(z) + B(z) e^{-\tau z} \quad (11)$$

is studied where $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are polynomials with constant coefficients given by

$$A(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_{m-k} z^k, \quad B(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} z^k. \quad (12)$$

A notion of the principal term, closely connected with the stability problem, is used in this study; namely, the principal term of quasi-polynomial (11) after premultiplying it by $e^{\tau z}$ is the term $c_k z^k e^{\tau z}$ in which the argument of the power z and τ has the highest value for some $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. From the Pontryagin criterion, follows that a quasi-polynomial with no principal term has infinitely many roots with arbitrary large, positive real parts. Hence, the presence of principal term in a quasi-polynomial is a necessary condition for its stability. In [15] the following formula, related zeros of quasi-polynomials z_j and it coefficients are proved:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} \right]_{z=\infty} - \left[\frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} \right]_{z=0}. \quad (13)$$

Stability of systems of differential equations with delay (or, in other words, systems of differential-difference equations)

$$x'(t) = Ax(t - \tau), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (14)$$

with a constant matrix A was also investigated by using properties of quasi-polynomials.

Thus, in [16] the following criterion was proved: *let A be a 2×2 matrix of real constants. Then all zeros of the quasi-polynomial $\Delta(z) = z^2 e^{2z} - \text{tr}(A)ze^z + |A|$ have negative real parts if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\pi}{2} \text{tr}(A) + |A| &> 0, \\ 0 < |A| < \zeta^2 < \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where ζ is the smallest positive root of the equation $y \sin y = -(1/2) \text{tr}(A)$.

Distribution of zeros of quasi-polynomials, related to the coupled renewal-differential system

$$w(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t A(t - \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + by(t), \quad (16)$$

$$y'(t) = Cw(t) + Dy(t), \quad y(0) = y_0,$$

is discussed in [17]). A numerical method for calculation of zeros of quasi-polynomials is proposed, for example, in [18].

Special attention was paid in the last years to (finite and infinite) Dirichlet series. In [19] it was proved that if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(z) e^{-\lambda_k z}, \quad (17)$$

$$z = \sigma + it, \quad \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$P_k(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \quad \text{Re } \lambda_k \uparrow \infty$$

is a convergent Taylor-Dirichlet series, where, as usual, $\mathbb{C}[z]$ means the set of polynomial with constant complex coefficients. The symbol $\lambda_k \uparrow \infty$ denotes $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots <$

$\lambda_n < \dots \rightarrow \infty$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, and satisfies an algebraic differential-difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, f^{(m_1)}(x + h_1), \dots, f^{(m_r)}(x + h_r)) &= 0, \\ G(x, x_1, \dots, x_r) &= \sum C_{k_1, \dots, k_r} x^{k_1} \dots x^{k_r}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where C_{k_1, \dots, k_r} are constant coefficients, and then the set of its exponents $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ has a finite, linear, integral basis.

Different questions related to the series of polynomial of exponents were discussed in [20] (see also references therein and [21]). In [22] the large n asymptotic of zeros of sections of a generic exponential series are derived, where the n th section of the mentioned series mean the the sum of the first n terms of it. In [23] it is given an answer on the question when the reciprocal to the product of Gamma-functions coincide with a quasi-polynomial of type (6).

3. Fractional Quasi-Polynomials

Recently, an attention is paid to the study of linear fractional systems with delays described by the transfer function

$$P(z) = \frac{q_0(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} q_j(z) \exp(-z^r \gamma_j)}{p_0(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} p_j(z) \exp(-z^r \delta_j)} = \frac{N(z)}{D(z)}, \quad (19)$$

where r is such a real number ($0 < r \leq 1$), and the fractional degree nontrivial polynomials $p_j(z)$ and $q_j(z)$ with real coefficients have the forms

$$\begin{aligned} p_j(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_{jk} z^{\alpha_k}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m_1, \\ q_j(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^m b_{jk} z^{\beta_k}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m_2, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where α_k, β_k are real nonnegative numbers and $a_{0n} \neq 0, b_{0m} \neq 0$.

The fractional degree characteristic quasi-polynomial of the system (19) has the form

$$D(z) = p_0(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} p_j(z) \exp(-z^r \delta_j). \quad (21)$$

In the case of a system with delays of a fractional commensurate order (i.e., when $\alpha_k = \alpha \cdot k$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n$); $\beta_k = \alpha \cdot k$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, m$)), one can consider the natural degree quasi-polynomial

$$\bar{D}(\lambda) = \bar{p}_0(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \bar{p}_j(\lambda) \exp(-\lambda^{r/\alpha} \delta_j), \quad \lambda = z^\alpha, \quad (22)$$

associated with the characteristic quasi-polynomial (21) of a fractional order.

In [24] new frequency domain methods for stability analysis of linear continuous-time fractional order systems with delays of the retarded type are proposed. The methods

are obtained by generalization to the class of fractional order systems with delays of the Mikhailov stability criterion and the modified Mikhailov stability criterion known from the theory of natural order systems without and with delays.

The following results concerning stability of the considered system are proved in [24].

(1) The fractional quasi-polynomial (21) of commensurate degree satisfies the condition $D(z) \neq 0$, $\text{Re } z \geq 0$ if and only if all the zeros of the associated natural degree quasi-polynomial (22) satisfy the condition

$$|\arg(\lambda)| > \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (23)$$

where $\arg(\lambda)$ means the principal branch of the multivalued function $\text{Arg}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; that is, $\arg(\lambda) \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

(2) The fractional quasi-polynomial (21) of commensurate degree is not stable for any $\alpha > 1$.

(3) The fractional characteristic quasi-polynomial (21) of commensurate degree is stable if and only if

$$\Delta_{0 \leq \omega < +\infty} \arg(D(i\omega)) = \frac{n\pi}{2}, \quad (24)$$

which means that the plot of $D(i\omega)$ with ω increasing from 0 to $+\infty$ runs in the positive direction by n quadrants of the complex plane, missing the origin of this plane.

(4) The fractional characteristic quasi-polynomial (21) (of commensurate or noncommensurate degree) is stable if and only if

$$\Delta_{-\infty < \omega < +\infty} \arg(\psi(i\omega)) = 0, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{D(z)}{w_r(z)}, \quad (26)$$

and $w_r(z)$ can be chosen, for example, as

$$w_r(z) = a_{0n}(z + c)^{\alpha_n}, \quad c > 0. \quad (27)$$

Remark 1. Stability of fractional polynomials is related to the stability of ordinary quasi-polynomials due to the following relation:

$$a_0 + a_1 z^{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_n z^{\alpha_n} = a_0 + a_1 \cdot e^{\alpha_1 w} + \dots + a_n \cdot e^{\alpha_n w}, \quad (28)$$

where $w = \log z$. Application of formula (28) needs to be very careful since w is now the point on the Riemann surface of the logarithmic function (see, e.g., [22]).

An approach describing the stability of fractional quasi-polynomials in terms of zeros distribution of these polynomials on certain Riemann surfaces is widely used now (see, e.g., survey paper [10] and references therein). Sometimes it is called "root-locus method." The characteristic result obtained with the application of this method is the following [25].

(5) The fractional order system with characteristic polynomial $w(z)$ is stable if and only if $w(z)$ has no zeros in the closed right-half of the Riemann surface; that is,

$$w(z) \neq 0 \quad \forall \text{Re } z \geq 0. \quad (29)$$

The fractional order polynomial $w(z)$ is a multivalued function whose domain is a Riemann surface. In general, this surface has an infinite number of sheets, and thus the fractional polynomial has (in general) an infinite number of zeros. We are interested only in those zeros which are situated on the main sheet of the Riemann surface which can be fixed in the following way: $-\pi < \arg(z) < \pi$.

Recently the notion of robust stability was introduced for systems with characteristic polynomials dependent on uncertainty parameter (see [26–29]). In [25] this notion is applied to the convex combination of two fractional degrees polynomials

$$W(z, q) = \{w(z, q) : q \in Q = [0, 1]\}, \quad (30)$$

$$w(z, q) = (1 - q)w_a(z) + qw_b(z), \quad (31)$$

where q is uncertainty parameter, and $w_a(z)$, $w_b(z)$ are fractional degree polynomials.

The family (30) of fractional degree polynomials is called robust stable if polynomial $w(z, q)$ is stable for all $q \in Q$.

Generalization of the Mikhailov-type criterion (see [24]) to this case has the following form [25].

(6) Let the nominal polynomial $w_a(z)$ be stable. The family of polynomials (30) is robust stable if and only if the plot of the function

$$\vartheta(j\omega) = \frac{w_b(j\omega)}{w_a(j\omega)}, \quad \omega \in \Omega = [0, \infty) \quad (32)$$

does not cross the nonpositive part $(-\infty, 0]$ of the real axis in the complex plane.

4. Stability of Fractional Order Systems

Several applications of the results on stability of the fractional polynomials to the systems describing different processes and phenomena are presented, for example, [30, 31]. Some of these results are closely related to the recent achievements and the theory and applications of fractional calculus and fractional differential equations (see [32–34]). The results in this area need to be systematized as from the point of the ideas, technical point of view.

4.1. General Fractional Order Systems. A general fractional order system can be described by a fractional differential equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} a_n D^{\alpha_n} y(t) + a_{n-1} D^{\alpha_{n-1}} y(t) + \dots + a_0 D^{\alpha_0} y(t) \\ = b_m D^{\beta_m} y(t) + b_{m-1} D^{\beta_{m-1}} y(t) + \dots + b_0 D^{\beta_0} y(t), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $D^\gamma = {}_0 D_t^\gamma$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville ${}^{\text{RL}}_0 D_t^\gamma$ or Caputo ${}^{\text{C}}_0 D_t^\gamma$ fractional derivative [32, 33]. Another form of general fractional order system is due to properties of the Laplace transform [32, 34]. This form represents the system in terms of corresponding transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{b_m s^{\beta_m} + b_{m-1} s^{\beta_{m-1}} + \dots + b_0 s^{\beta_0}}{a_n s^{\alpha_n} + a_{n-1} s^{\alpha_{n-1}} + \dots + a_0 s^{\alpha_0}} = \frac{Q(s)}{P(s)}, \quad (34)$$

where s is the Laplace variable. Here $a_n, \dots, a_0, b_m, \dots, b_0$ are given real constants, and $\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_0, \beta_m, \dots, \beta_0$ are given real numbers (usually positive). Without loss of generality, these sets of parameters can be ordered as $\alpha_n > \dots > \alpha_0, \beta_m > \dots > \beta_0$.

If both sets α -s and β -s constitute an arithmetical progression with the same difference, that is, $\alpha_k = k\alpha, k = 0, \dots, n, \beta_k = k\alpha, k = 0, \dots, m$, then system (33) is called *commensurate order system*. Usually it is supposed that parameter α satisfies the inequality $0 < \alpha < 1$. In all other cases system (33) is called *incommensurate order system*. Anyway, if parameters α and β are rational numbers, then this case can be considered as commensurate one, with $\alpha = 1/N$ being a least common multiple of denominators of fractions $\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_0, \beta_m, \dots, \beta_0$ [35].

For commensurate order system, its transfer function can be thought as certain branch of the following multivalued function:

$$G(s) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^m b_k (s^\alpha)^k}{\sum_{k=0}^n a_k (s^\alpha)^k} = \frac{\bar{Q}(s^\alpha)}{\bar{P}(s^\alpha)}. \quad (35)$$

Since the right hand-side of this relation is a rational function of s^α , then one can represent $G(s)$ in the form of generalized simple fractions. The most descriptive representation of such a type is that for $n > m$,

$$G(s) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{A_{ij}}{(s^\alpha + \lambda_i)^j} \right\}, \quad (36)$$

where $-\lambda_i$ is a root of polynomial $P(z)$ of multiplicity r_i . In particular, if all roots are simple, then the representation (36) has the most simple form

$$G(s) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{s^\alpha + \lambda_i} \right\}. \quad (37)$$

In this case an analytic solution to system (33) is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{s^\alpha + \lambda_i} \cdot (\mathcal{L}u)(s) \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{s^\alpha + \lambda_i} \right\} * u(t) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_i t^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_i t^\alpha) \right) * u(t), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where the symbol “*” means the Laplace-type convolution, and $E_{\mu, \nu}$ is the two-parametric Mittag-Leffler function [36]

$$E_{\mu, \nu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\mu k + \nu)}. \quad (39)$$

In the case of homogeneous fractional order system

$$a_n D^{\alpha_n} y(t) + a_{n-1} D^{\alpha_{n-1}} y(t) + \dots + a_0 D^{\alpha_0} y(t) = 0, \quad (40)$$

the analytical solution is given by the following formula (see, e.g., [10, 37]):

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \sum_{\substack{k_0 + \dots + k_{n-2} = k \\ k_0 \geq 0, \dots, k_{n-2} \geq 0}} (k; k_0, \dots, k_{n-2}) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{a_i}{a_n} \right)^{k_i} \mathcal{E}_k \\ &\quad \times \left(t, -\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}; a_n - a_{n-1}, a_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (a_{n-1} - a_j) k_j + 1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $(k; k_0, \dots, k_{n-2})$ are the multinomial coefficients, and $\mathcal{E}_k(t, y; \mu, \nu)$ is defined by the formula [33]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_k(t, y; \mu, \nu) &= t^{\mu k + \nu - 1} E_{\mu, \nu}^{(k)}(y t^\mu), \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \\ E_{\mu, \nu}^{(k)}(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+k)! z^j}{j! \Gamma(\mu j + \mu k + \nu)} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

is the k th derivative of two-parametric Mittag-Leffler function [32, 38]

$$E_{\mu, \nu}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(\mu j + \nu)} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (43)$$

The stability analysis of the fractional order system gives the following results (see [10, 24, 39, 40]).

Theorem 2. A commensurate order system with transfer function (37) is stable if and only if

$$|\arg(\lambda_i)| > \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (44)$$

with $-\lambda_i$ being the i th root of the generalized polynomial $P(s^\alpha)$.

To formulate the result in incommensurate case, we use the concept of bounded input-bounded output (BIBO) or external stability (see [10, 39]).

Theorem 3. Let the transfer function of an incommensurate order system be represented in the form

$$G(s) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{A_{ij}}{(s^{q_i} + \lambda_i)^j} \right\}, \quad (45)$$

for some complex numbers A_{ij}, λ_i , positive q_i , and positive integer r_i .

Such system is BIBO stable if and only if parameters q_i and arguments of numbers λ_i satisfy the following inequality:

$$0 < q_i < 2, \quad |\arg(\lambda_i)| < \pi \left(1 - \frac{q_i}{2} \right) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p. \quad (46)$$

The result of Theorem 3 was obtained by using the stability results given in [40, 41].

4.2. *Fractional Order Linear Time-Invariant Systems.* Besides the conception of stability, for fractional order linear time-invariant systems

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0D_t^q \mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t), \\ \mathbf{y}(t) &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

the conceptions of *controllability* and *observability* (known as linear and nonlinear differential systems [42, 43]) are introduced too.

In (47) $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an unknown state vector, and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are the control vector and output vector, respectively. Given (constant) matrices \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{C} are of the following size $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$. Positive vector $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, \dots, q_n]^T$ denotes the (fractional) order of system (47). If $q_1 = \dots = q_n = q$, then system (47) is called a commensurate order system.

As in case of ordinary linear differential time-invariant systems, controllability and observability conditions [44] are represented in terms of controllability $C_a = [B|AB|A^2B|\dots|A^{n-1}B]$ and observability $O_a = [C|CA|CA^2|\dots|CA^{n-1}]$ matrices, respectively.

We have to mention also the stability criterion for the system (47) (see [40, 45–48]).

Theorem 4. *Commensurate system (47) is stable if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$|\arg(\text{eig}(\mathbf{A}))| > \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad 0 < q < 2, \quad (48)$$

for all eigenvalues $\text{eig}(\mathbf{A})$ of the matrix \mathbf{A} .

Several new results on stability, controllability, and observability of system (47) are presented in the recent monograph [49] (see also [37, 50–52]) is proposed. We can say that control theory for fractional order systems becomes a special branch of fractional order systems and mention in this connection several important papers developing this theory [53–67]. A number of applications of the fractional order systems are presented in [30, 31, 68].

4.3. *Fractional Order Controllers.* The fractional-order controller (FOC) $\text{PI}^\lambda \text{D}^\delta$ (also known as $\text{PI}^\lambda \text{D}^\mu$ controller) was proposed in [33] as a generalization of the PID controller with integrator of real order λ and differentiator of real order δ . The transfer function of such controller in the Laplace domain has this form

$$C(s) = \frac{U(s)}{E(s)} = K_p + T_i s^{-\lambda} + T_d s^\delta \quad (\lambda, \delta > 0), \quad (49)$$

where K_p is the proportional constant, T_i is the integration constant, and T_d is the differentiation constant.

In [69] a classification of different modifications of the fractional $\text{PI}^\lambda \text{D}^\delta$ controllers (see also [49, 70–72]):

- (i) *CRONE controller* (1st generation), characterized by the bandlimited lead effect:

$$C(s) = C_0 \frac{(1 + s/\omega_b)^r}{(1 + s/\omega_h)^{r-1}}. \quad (50)$$

There are a number of real-life applications of three generations of the CRONE controller [73].

- (ii) *Fractional lead-lag compensator* [49], which is given by

$$C(s) = k_c \left(\frac{s + 1/\lambda}{s + 1/\lambda} \right)^r, \quad (51)$$

where $0 < s < 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (iii) *Noninteger integral* and its application to control as a reference function [74, 75]; Bode suggested an ideal shape of the loop transfer function in his work on design of feedback amplifiers in 1945. Ideal loop transfer function has the form

$$L(s) = \left(\frac{s}{\omega_{gc}} \right)^\alpha, \quad (\alpha < 0), \quad (52)$$

where ω_{gc} is desired crossover frequency and α is the slope of the ideal cut-off characteristic. The Nyquist curve for ideal Bode transfer function is simply a straight line through the origin with $\arg(L(j\omega)) = \alpha\pi/2$.

- (iv) *TID compensator* [76], which has structure similar to a PID controller but the proportional component is replaced with a tilted component having a transfer function s to the power of $(-1/n)$. The resulting transfer function of the TID controller has the form

$$C(s) = \frac{T}{s^{1/n}} + \frac{I}{s} + Ds, \quad (53)$$

where T , I , and D are the controller constants, and n is a non-zero real number, preferably between 2 and 3. The transfer function of TID compensator more closely approximates an optimal transfer function, and an overall response is achieved, which is closer to the theoretical optimal response determined by Bode [74].

Different methods for determination of $\text{PI}^\lambda \text{D}^\delta$ controller parameters satisfying the given requirements are proposed (see, e.g., [69] and references therein).

4.4. *Positive Fractional Order Systems.* A new concept (notion) of the practical stability of the positive fractional 2D linear systems is proposed in [77]. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the practical stability of the positive fractional 2D systems are established. It is shown that the positive fractional 2D systems are practically unstable (1) if a corresponding positive 2D system is asymptotically unstable and (2) if some matrices of the 2D system are nonnegative.

Simple necessary and sufficient conditions for practical stability independent of the length of practical implementation are established in [78]. It is shown that practical stability of the system is equivalent to asymptotic stability of the corresponding standard positive discrete-time systems of the same order.

4.5. Fractional Order Systems with Delay. Fractional order systems with delay meet a number of important applications (see, e.g., [31, 49]). There are several important works about stability of closed-loop fractional order systems/controllers with time delays. Some relevant examples can be found in [79–81].

To describe simplest fractional order systems with delay, let us introduce some notations (see [82]).

Let $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of continuous functions mapping the interval $[a, b]$ to \mathbb{R}^n . One may wish to identify a maximum time delay r of a system. In this case, we are interested in the set of continuous function mapping $[-r, 0]$ to \mathbb{R}^n , for which we simplify the notation to $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $A > 0$ and any continuous function of time $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}([t_0 - r, t_0 + A], \mathbb{R}^n)$, $t_0 \leq t_0 + A$, let $\mathbf{x}_t(\theta) \in \mathcal{C}$ be a segment of function defined as $\mathbf{x}_t(\theta) = \mathbf{x}(t + \theta)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$.

Let the fractional nonlinear time-delay system be the system of the following type:

$${}^C D_t^q \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}_t(t)), \quad (54)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}([t_0 - r, t_0 + A], \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $A > 0$, $\mathbf{q} = (q, \dots, q)$, $0 < q < 1$, and $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. To determine the future evolution of the state, it is necessary to specify the initial state variables $\mathbf{x}(t)$ in a time interval of length r , say from $t_0 - r$ to t_0 ; that is,

$$\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \varphi, \quad (55)$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ is given. In other words $\mathbf{x}(t_0)(\theta) = \varphi(\theta)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$.

Several stability results for fractional order systems with delay were obtained in [82–84]. In particular, in [84], the linear and time-invariant differential-functional Caputo fractional differential systems of order α are considered:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha \mathbf{x}(t) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(k - \alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}(\tau)}{(t - \tau)^{\alpha + 1 - k}} d\tau \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^p \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{x}(t - h_i) + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$k - 1 < \alpha \leq k$, $k - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{0^+}$, $0 < h_0 < h_1 < \dots < h_p = h < \infty$. $\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are matrices of dynamics for each delay h_i , and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the control matrix. Under standard initial conditions, the solution to this problem is represented via Mittag-Leffler function, and the dependence of the different delay parameters is studied.

4.6. Distributed Order Fractional Systems. An example of *distributed order* fractional systems is the system of the following type (see, e.g., [85]) containing the so-called *distributed order fractional derivative*:

$${}^C D_t^\alpha x(t) = A {}^C D_t^\beta x(t) + B u(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (57)$$

where $0 < \beta < \alpha \leq 1$,

$${}_{do} D_t^\alpha (\cdot) = \int_t^\gamma b(\alpha) \frac{d^\alpha (\cdot)}{dt^\alpha} d\alpha, \quad \gamma > l \geq 0, \quad b(\alpha) \geq 0, \quad (58)$$

and ${}_{so} D_t^\alpha (\cdot) = d^\alpha (\cdot) / dt^\alpha$ is a standard (single order) fractional derivative.

Application of such systems to the description of the *ultraslow diffusion* is given in series of articles by Kochubei (see, e.g., [86]).

4.7. Discrete-Time Fractional Systems. There are different definitions of the fractional derivative (see, e.g., [34, 87]). The Grünwald-Letnikov definition, which is the discrete approximation of the fractional order derivative, is used here. The Grünwald-Letnikov fractional order derivative of a given function $f(t)$ is given by

$${}_{a}^{GL} D_t^\alpha f(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{{}_a \Delta_h^\alpha f(t)}{h^\alpha}, \quad (59)$$

where the real number α denotes the order of the derivative, a is the initial time, and h is a sampling time. The difference operator Δ is given by

$${}_a \Delta_h^\alpha f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (t-a)/h \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} f(t - jh), \quad (60)$$

where $\binom{\alpha}{j}$ is the Pochhammer symbol and $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes an integer part of a number.

Traditional discrete-time state-space model of integer order, that is, when α is equal to unity has the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(k+1) &= \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(k), & \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{x}_0, \\ \mathbf{y}(k) &= \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}(k), \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where $\mathbf{u}(k) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathbf{y}(k) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are, respectively, the input and the output vectors, and $\mathbf{x}(k) = [x_1(k), \dots, x_n(k)] \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector. Its initial value is denoted by $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}(0)$ and can be set equal to zero without loss of generality. \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , and \mathbf{D} are the conventional state space matrices with appropriate dimensions.

The generalization of the integer-order difference to a noninteger order (or fractional-order) difference has been addressed in [88] where the discrete fractional-order difference operator with the initial time taken equal to zero is defined as follows:

$$\Delta^\alpha \mathbf{x}(k) = \frac{1}{h^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} \mathbf{x}(k - j). \quad (62)$$

In the sequel, the sampling time h is taken equal to 1. These results conducted to conceive the linear discrete-time fractional-order state-space model, using

$$\Delta^\alpha \mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}_d \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(k), \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0. \quad (63)$$

The discrete-time fractional order system is represented by the following state space model:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(k+1) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{x}(k-j) + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(k), & \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{x}_0, \\ \mathbf{y}(k) &= \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}(k), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where $\mathbf{A}_0 = \mathbf{A}_d - c_1 \mathbf{I}_n$ and $\mathbf{A}_j = -c_{j+1} \mathbf{I}_n$ for $j \geq 2$ with $c_j = -(-1)^j \binom{\alpha}{j}$. This description can be extended to the case of noncommensurate fractional-order systems modeled in [88] by introducing the following vector difference operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^Y \mathbf{x}(k+1) &= \mathbf{A}_d \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(k), \\ \mathbf{x}(k+1) &= \Delta^Y \mathbf{x}(k+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{x}(k-j+1), \\ \Delta^Y \mathbf{x}(k+1) &= \begin{bmatrix} \Delta^{\alpha_1} x_1(k+1) \\ \Delta^{\alpha_2} x_2(k+1) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta^{\alpha_n} x_n(k+1) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Stability analysis of such system is performed, for example, [89] (see also [90, 91]).

The paper [92] is devoted to controllability analysis of discrete-time fractional systems.

4.8. Fractional Nonlinear Systems. The simplest fractional nonlinear system in the incommensurate case is the system of the type

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0 D_t^q \mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t), \\ \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{c}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

It has been mentioned in [39] that exponential stability cannot be used to characterize the asymptotic stability of fractional order systems. A new definition of power law stability was introduced [93].

Definition 5. Trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{0}$ of the system (66) is power law t^{-q} asymptotically stable if there exists a positive $q > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \quad \text{with } t \leq t_0, \quad \exists N = N(\mathbf{x}(\cdot)), \\ \text{such that } \forall t \geq t_0 \implies \|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \leq N t^{-q}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Power law t^{-q} asymptotic stability is a special case of the Mittag-Leffler stability [94], which has the following form.

Definition 6 (definition of the Mittag-Leffler stability). The solution of the nonlinear problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_0} D_t^q \mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t), \\ \mathbf{x}(t_0) &= \mathbf{c} \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

is said to be Mittag-Leffler stable if

$$\|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \leq \left\{ m[\mathbf{x}(t_0)] E_q(-\lambda(t-t_0)^q) \right\}^b, \quad (69)$$

where $E_q(u)$ is the classical Mittag-Leffler function, $\mathbf{q} = (q, \dots, q)$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$, $b > 0$, and $m(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $m(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$, m is locally Lipschitz on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitz constant m_0 .

Among the many methods that have been proposed for the study of “different kinds of stability definitions” of nonlinear fractional order systems (66), we mention perturbation analysis.

Thus, in [95], it is investigated the qualitative behaviour of a perturbed fractional order differential equations with Caputo derivatives that differs in initial position and initial time with respect to the unperturbed fractional order differential equation with Caputo derivatives. In [96], the stability of n -dimensional linear fractional differential systems with commensurate order and the corresponding perturbed systems is investigated. By using the Laplace transform, the asymptotic expansion of the Mittag-Leffler function, and the Grönwall’s inequality, some conditions on stability and asymptotic stability are given. In [97], the stability of nonlinear fractional differential systems with Caputo derivatives by utilizing a Lyapunov-type function is studied. Taking into account the relation between asymptotic stability and generalized Mittag-Leffler stability, the condition on Lyapunov-type function is weakened.

5. Some Examples

In this section, we analyze the stability of the four systems by means of the root locus and the polar diagram. For calculating the root-locus, the algorithm proposed in [98] is adopted. The closed-loop system is constituted by a controller and a plant with transfer functions $C(s)$ and $G(s)$, respectively, and unit feedback.

For studying the stability, the following classical criteria are applied

- (i) ultimate (or critical) gain K_u : $1 + K_u C(s)G(s) = 0$, $\text{Re}(s) = 0$,
- (ii) phase margin PM: $|CG(i\omega_1)| = 1$, $\text{PM} = \arg\{CG(i\omega_1)\} + \pi$,
- (iii) gain margin GM: $\arg\{CG(i\omega_\pi)\} = -\pi$, $\text{GM} = |CG(i\omega_\pi)|^{-1}$.

5.1. Example 1. This example was discussed in [10]. In this case we have $C(s) = K(64.47 + 12.46s)$ and $G(s) = 1/(0.598 + 39.96s^{1.25})$. Figure 1 depicts the root locus where the white circles represent the roots for $K = 1$, $s_{1,2} = -1.0788 \pm i 0.6064$. The system is always stable.

Figure 2 shows the polar diagram for $K = 1$. The corresponding phase margin is $\text{MF} = 1.4720$ rad for $\omega_1 = 1.5195$. Varying the gain, we verify again that the system is always stable.

5.2. Example 2. This example was discussed in [99]. In this case we have $C(s) = K(1 + 1.1694(1/s^{1.1011}) - 0.1517s^{0.1855})$ and $G(s) = e^{-0.5s}/(1 + s^{0.5})$. Figure 3 depicts the root locus where the white circles represent the roots for $K = 1.4098$; namely, $s_{1,2} = -0.6620 \pm i 0.4552$, $s_{3,4} = -2.0323 \pm i 4.1818$. The limit of stability occurs for $K_u = 3.5549$, $s_{1,2} = 0 \pm i 4.5124$.

Figure 4 shows the polar diagram for $K = 1.4098$. The corresponding phase margin is $\text{PM} = 1.1854$ rad for $\omega_1 =$




FIGURE 1: Root locus for $C(s) = K(64.47 + 12.46s)$ and $G(s) = 1/(0.598 + 39,96s^{1.25})$.




FIGURE 3: Root locus for $C(s) = K(1 + 1.1694(1/s^{1.1011}) - 0.1517s^{0.1855})$ and $G(s) = e^{-0.5s}/(1 + s^{0.5})$.




FIGURE 2: Polar diagram for $C(s) = K(64.47 + 12.46s)$, $G(s) = 1/(0.598 + 39,96s^{1.25})$, and $K = 1$.




FIGURE 4: Polar diagram for $C(s) = K(1 + 1.1694(1/s^{1.1011}) - 0.1517s^{0.1855})$, $G(s) = e^{-0.5s}/(1 + s^{0.5})$, and $K = 1.4098$.

1.0478, and gain margin $GM = 2.5201$ for $\omega_\pi = 4.5062$ rad which leads to $K_u = 3.5549$ as the ultimate gain.

5.3. *Example 3.* This example analyzes the system $K/s(s+1)^\alpha(s+2)$ for $\alpha \in \{0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2, 5/2, 3\}$. Since the integer-order cases are trivial, in Figures 5, 6, and 7 are only depicted the fractional-order cases. Table 1 shows the corresponding gain in the limit of stability K_u , the phase margin PM, and gain margin GM for several values of α .

5.4. *Example 4.* This example analyzes the nonminimum phase system $K((s+2)^{\alpha_2}/(s-1)^{\alpha_1})$ for $\alpha_1 = 3.3, \alpha_2 = 2.3$. Figure 8 depicts the root locus. The limit of stability occurs for $K_u = 4.3358, s_{1,2} = 0 \pm i 4.8493$.

Figure 9 shows the polar diagram for $K = 1.0$. The corresponding gain margin is $GM = 4.3358$ for $\omega_\pi = 4.8493$ rad which leads to $K_u = 4.3358$ as the ultimate gain.

6. Future Directions of Research and Conclusions

In the previous discussion, one can outline the main methods applied at the study of stability of ordinary and fractional order systems. These directions are as follows:

- (i) complex analytic methods related to the properties of single- and multivalued analytic functions;
- (ii) methods of geometric functions theory describing the behaviour of polynomials or systems in geometrical terms;
- (iii) methods of linear algebra (mainly matrix analysis);
- (iv) methods of stochastic analysis;
- (v) methods of fuzzy data analysis;
- (vi) perturbation analysis of nonlinear systems;
- (vii) methods of differential equations of fractional order.




FIGURE 5: Root locus and polar diagram for $K/s(s+1)^\alpha(s+2)$, $\alpha = 0.5$.




FIGURE 6: Root locus and polar diagram for $K/s(s+1)^\alpha(s+2)$, $\alpha = 1.5$.




FIGURE 7: Root locus and polar diagram for $K/s(s+1)^\alpha(s+2)$, $\alpha = 2.5$.

TABLE 1: Stability indices of $K/(s(s+1)^\alpha(s+2))$ for $\alpha \in \{0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2, 5/2, 3\}$.

α	K_u	PM	ω_1	GM	ω_π
0		1.332478866	0.4858682677		
0.5	17.0542240216776	1.125696835	0.4639038816	16.97056274	2.828427124
1	6	0.9321940595	0.4457479715	6	1.414213562
1.5	3.3537212820799	0.7492952635	0.4303313791	3.338364465	0.9397638161
2	2.25	0.5751435644	0.4169759154	2.25	0.7071067811
2.5	1.69381413351661	0.4083841882	0.4052247852	1.680695653	0.5691429584
3	1.347	0.2479905647	0.3947560116	1.33607116	0.4774914945




FIGURE 8: Root locus for $K((s+2)^{\alpha_2}/(s-1)^{\alpha_1})$ for $\alpha_1 = 3.3, \alpha_2 = 2.3$.




FIGURE 9: Polar diagram for $K((s+2)^{\alpha_2}/(s-1)^{\alpha_1})$ for $\alpha_1 = 3.3, \alpha_2 = 2.3$.

We can also mention the possibility to apply the study of fractional order stability methods of Padé (or Hermite-Padé) approximation (see, e.g., [100–102]).

In conclusion, this paper reviewed the main contributions that were proposed during the last years for analysing the stability of fractional order systems. Different problems were

addressed such as control, systems including a delay or with a distributed nature, as well as discrete-time and nonlinear systems. The paper presented in a comprehensive and concise way many details that are scattered in the literature and provide researchers a reference text for work in this area.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions that helped to improve the paper. The work was done in the framework of cooperation between Belarusian State University and University La Laguna. The work is partly supported by Project MTM2010-16499 from the Government of Spain.




References

- [1] A. Hurwitz, “Ueber die Bedingungen, unter welchen eine Gleichung nur Wurzeln mit negativen reellen Theilen besitzt,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 273–284, 1895.
- [2] F. J. MacWilliams, “An iterative method for the direct hurwitz-factorization of a polynomial,” *IRE Transactions on Circuit Theory*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 347–352, 1958.
- [3] M. Wunch, *Hurwitz-Faktorisierung von Polynomen und Ganzen Funktionen*, Giessen University: Selbstverlag des Mathematischen Instituts, 1993.
- [4] A. V. Mikhailov, “Methods for harmonic analysis in automatic control systems,” *Avtomat i Telemekh*, vol. 3, pp. 27–81, 1938 (Russian).
- [5] N. G. Čebotarev and N. N. Meïman, “The Routh-Hurwitz problem for polynomials and entire functions,” *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V. A. Steklova*, vol. 26, p. 331, 1949.
- [6] R. Caponetto and G. Dongola, “A numerical approach for computing stability region of FO-PID controller,” *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 350, no. 4, pp. 871–889, 2013.
- [7] A. Datta, M. Ho, and S. Bhattacharyya, *Structure and Synthesis of PID Controllers*, Springer, London, UK, 2000.
- [8] M.-T. Ho, A. Datta, and S. P. Bhattacharyya, “Generalizations of the Hermite-Biehler theorem,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 302/303, pp. 135–153, 1999, Special issue dedicated to Hans Schneider (Madison, WI, 1998).
- [9] C. P. Li and F. R. Zhang, “A survey on the stability of fractional differential equations,” *European Physical Journal*, vol. 193, no. 1, pp. 27–47, 2011.
- [10] I. Petráš, “Stability of fractional-order systems with rational orders: a survey,” *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 269–298, 2009.

- [11] I. Petráš, *Fractional-Order Nonlinear Systems: Modelling, Analysis and Simulation*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2011.
- [12] J. C. Trigeassou, N. Maamri, J. Sabatier, and A. Oustaloup, "A Lyapunov approach to the stability of fractional differential equations," *Signal Processing*, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 437–445, 2011.
- [13] L. S. Pontryagin, "On the zeros of some elementary transcendental functions," *American Mathematical Society Translations*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 95–110, 1955.
- [14] L. Cossi, "Introduction to stability of quasipolynomials," in *Time-Dealay Systems*, D. Debeljkovich, Ed., pp. 1–14, InTech, 2001.
- [15] H. Gorecki and S. Bialas, "Relations between roots and coefficients of the transcendental equations," *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 631–634, 2010.
- [16] T. Hara and J. Sugie, "Stability region for systems of differential-difference equations," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 69–86, 1996.
- [17] R. P. Rhoten and J. K. Aggarwal, "The location of zeros of finite exponential series," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 20, pp. 44–50, 1971.
- [18] È. O. Movsesyan, "Calculation of zeros of a quasipolynomial by the method of the derivative of the argument," *Zhurnal Vychislitel'noi Matematiki i Matematicheskoi Fiziki*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1132–1140, 1986 (Russian).
- [19] F. Wadleigh, "Taylor-Dirichlet series and algebraic differential-difference equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 83–89, 1980.
- [20] A. F. Leont'ev, *Posledovatelnosti Polinomov iz Eksponent*, Nauka, Moscow, Russia, 1980.
- [21] A. F. Leont'ev, "Series and sequences of polynomials of exponentials," *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V.A. Steklova*, vol. 176, pp. 308–325, 1987 (Russian).
- [22] P. Bleher and R. Mallison Jr., "Zeros of sections of exponential sums," *International Mathematics Research Notices*, vol. 2006, Article ID 38937, 49 pages, 2006.
- [23] V. V. Napalkov and S. G. Merzlyakov, "Entire functions of exponential type represented by using the gamma function," *Doklady Mathematics*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 748–750, 2006.
- [24] M. Busłowicz, "Stability of linear continuous-time fractional order systems with delays of the retarded type," *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 319–324, 2008.
- [25] M. Busłowicz, "Robust stability of convex combination of two fractional degree characteristic polynomials," *Acta Mechanica et Automatica*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 5–10, 2008.
- [26] M. Busłowicz, "Robust stability of positive continuous-time linear systems with delays," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 665–670, 2010.
- [27] M. Busłowicz, "Simple conditions for robust stability of positive discrete-time linear systems with delays," *Control and Cybernetics*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 1159–1171, 2010.
- [28] T. Kaczorek, *Selected Problems of Fractional Systems Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2011.
- [29] T. Kaczorek and M. Busłowicz, "Minimal realization for positive multivariable linear systems with delay," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 181–187, 2004.
- [30] J. Sabatier, O. P. Agrawal, and J. A. T. Machado, Eds., *Advances in Fractional Calculus*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2007.
- [31] D. Baleanu, Z. B. Güvenç, and J. A. T. Tenreiro Machado, Eds., *New Trends in Nanotechnology and Fractional Calculus Applications*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2010.
- [32] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [33] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [34] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [35] F. Merrikh-Bayat and M. Afshar, "Extending the root-locus method to fractional-order systems," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2008, Article ID 528934, 13 pages, 2008.
- [36] R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi, "Fractional calculus: integral and differential equations of fractional order," in *Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*, vol. 378 of *CISM Courses and Lectures*, pp. 223–276, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1997.
- [37] R. Caponetto, G. Doongola, L. Fortuna, and I. Petras, *Fractional Order Systems: Modeling and Control Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2010.
- [38] A. M. Mathai and H. J. Haubold, *Special Functions for Applied Scientists*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2008.
- [39] D. Matignon, "Stability result on fractional differential equations with applications to control processing," in *Proceedings of the International Meeting on Automated Compliance Systems and the International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (IMACS-SMC '96)*, pp. 963–968, Lille, France, 1996.
- [40] D. Matignon, "Stability properties for generalized fractional differential systems," in *Proceedings of the Fractional Differential Systems: Models, Methods and Applications*, pp. 145–158, 1998.
- [41] H. Akcay and R. Malti, "On the completeness problem for fractional rationals with incommensurable differentiation orders," in *Proceedings of the 17th World Congress, International Federation of Automatic Control (IFAC '08)*, pp. 15367–15371, Soul, Korea, July 2008.
- [42] L. S. Pontryagin, V. G. Boltyanskii, R. V. Gamkrelidze, and E. F. Mishchenko, *The Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1962.
- [43] Y. Y. Liu, J. J. Slotine, and A. L. Barabasi, "Controllability of complex networks," *Nature*, vol. 473, pp. 167–173, 2011.
- [44] D. Matignon and B. D'Andrea-Novell, "Some results on controllability and observability of nite-dimensional fractional differential systems," in *Proceedings of Computational Engineering in Systems Applications*, pp. 952–956, Lille, France, 1996.
- [45] M. Aoun, R. Malti, F. Levron, and A. Oustaloup, "Numerical simulations of fractional systems: an overview of existing methods and improvements," *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 38, no. 1–4, pp. 117–131, 2004.
- [46] M. S. Tavazoei and M. Haeri, "Unreliability of frequency-domain approximation in recognising chaos in fractional-order systems," *IET Signal Processing*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 171–181, 2007.
- [47] M. S. Tavazoei and M. Haeri, "A necessary condition for double scroll attractor existence in fractional-order systems," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 367, no. 1–2, pp. 102–113, 2007.
- [48] M. S. Tavazoei and M. Haeri, "Limitations of frequency domain approximation for detecting chaos in fractional order systems," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 1299–1320, 2008.

- [49] C. A. Monje, Y. Chen, B. M. Vinagre, D. Xue, and V. Feliu, *Fractional-Order Systems and Controls: Fundamentals and Applications*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2010.
- [50] S. Das, *Functional Fractional Calculus for System Identification and Controls*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2008.
- [51] S. Das and I. Pan, *Fractional Order Signal Processing: Introductory Concepts and Applications*, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.
- [52] W. M. Haddad and V. Chellaboina, *Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Control: A Lyapunov-Based Approach*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 2008.
- [53] K. Balachandran, J. Y. Park, and J. J. Trujillo, "Controllability of nonlinear fractional dynamical systems," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 1919–1926, 2012.
- [54] A. Debbouche and D. Baleanu, "Controllability of fractional evolution nonlocal impulsive quasilinear delay integro-differential systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1442–1450, 2011.
- [55] R. Dorville, G. M. Mophou, and V. S. Valmorin, "Optimal control of a nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary fractional diffusion equation," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1472–1481, 2011.
- [56] M. Hazi and M. Bragdi, "Controllability of fractional integrodifferential systems via semigroup theory in Banach spaces," *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*, vol. 54, pp. 133–143, 2012.
- [57] V. Kavitha and M. M. Arjunan, "Controllability of impulsive quasi-linear fractional mixed Volterra-Fredholm-type integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear Science and its Applications*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 152–169, 2011.
- [58] G. M. Mophou, "Optimal control of fractional diffusion equation," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 68–78, 2011.
- [59] D. Mozyrska and D. F. M. Torres, "Minimal modified energy control for fractional linear control systems with the Caputo derivative," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 210–221, 2010.
- [60] R. Sakthivel, Y. Ren, and N. I. Mahmudov, "On the approximate controllability of semilinear fractional differential systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1451–1459, 2011.
- [61] J. Si and W. Jiang, "The optimal control for a class of fractional differential equations," *International Journal of Biomathematics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 17–24, 2011.
- [62] N. Sukavanam and S. Kumar, "Approximate controllability of fractional order semilinear delay systems," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 151, no. 2, pp. 373–384, 2011.
- [63] Z. Tai, "Controllability of fractional impulsive neutral integrodifferential systems with a nonlocal Cauchy condition in Banach spaces," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 2158–2161, 2011.
- [64] J. Wang and Y. Zhou, "Existence and controllability results for fractional semilinear differential inclusions," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 3642–3653, 2011.
- [65] J. Wang and Y. Zhou, "Analysis of nonlinear fractional control systems in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 74, no. 17, pp. 5929–5942, 2011.
- [66] J. Wang, Y. Zhou, W. Wei, and H. Xu, "Nonlocal problems for fractional integrodifferential equations via fractional operators and optimal controls," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1427–1441, 2011.
- [67] C. Yeroglu and N. Tan, "Absolute stability of uncertain fractional order control systems," *Journal of Applied Functional Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 211–218, 2011.
- [68] V. E. Tarasov, *Fractional Dynamics: Applications of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2010.
- [69] I. Petráš, "Tuning and implementation methods for fractional-order controllers," *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 282–303, 2012.
- [70] Y. Luo and Y. Q. Chen, "Fractional-order [proportional derivative] controller for robust motion control: tuning procedure and validation," in *Proceedings of the American Control Conference (ACC '09)*, pp. 1412–1417, Hyatt Regency Riverfront, St. Louis, Mo, USA, June 2009.
- [71] C. A. Monje, B. M. Vinagre, V. Feliu, and Y. Chen, "Tuning and auto-tuning of fractional order controllers for industry applications," *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 798–812, 2008.
- [72] B. M. Vinagre, C. A. Monje, A. J. Caldern, and J. I. Surez, "Fractional PID controllers for industry application: a brief introduction," *Journal of Vibration and Control*, vol. 13, no. 9-10, pp. 1419–1429, 2007.
- [73] A. Oustaloup, *La Derivation Non Entiere: Theorie, Synthese et Applications*, Hermes, Paris, France, 1995.
- [74] H. W. Bode, *Network Analysis and Feedback Amplifier Design*, Tung Hwa Book Company, 1949.
- [75] S. Manabe, "The non-integer integral and its application to control systems," *ETJ of Japan*, vol. 6, no. 3-4, pp. 83–87, 1961.
- [76] B. J. Lurie, "Three-parameter tunable Tilt-Integral-Derivative (TID) controller," United States Patent, 5371670, 1994.
- [77] T. Kaczorek, "Practical stability of positive fractional 2D linear systems," *Multidimensional Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 231–238, 2010.
- [78] M. Busłowicz and T. Kaczorek, "Simple conditions for practical stability of positive fractional discrete-time linear systems," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 263–269, 2009.
- [79] S. E. Hamamci, "An algorithm for stabilization of fractional-order time delay systems using fractional-order PID controllers," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 1964–1968, 2007.
- [80] S. E. Hamamci and M. Koksál, "Calculation of all stabilizing fractional-order PD controllers for integrating time delay systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 1621–1629, 2010.
- [81] T. Liang, J. Chen, and C. Lei, "Algorithm of robust stability region for interval plant with time delay using fractional order PI^1D^{μ} controller," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 979–991, 2012.
- [82] D. Baleanu, S. J. Sadati, R. Ghaderi, A. Ranjbar, T. Abdeljawad, and F. Jarad, "Razumikhin stability theorem for fractional systems with delay," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, Article ID 124812, 9 pages, 2010.
- [83] D. Baleanu, A. Ranjbar N., S. J. Sadati R., H. Delavari, T. Abdeljawad, and V. Gejji, "Lyapunov-Krasovskii stability theorem for fractional systems with delay," *Romanian Journal of Physics*, vol. 56, no. 5-6, pp. 636–643, 2011.
- [84] M. De la Sen, "Positivity and stability of the solutions of Caputo fractional linear time-invariant systems of any order with internal point delays," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 161246, 25 pages, 2011.

- [85] H. S. Najafi, A. Refahi Sheikhan, and A. Ansari, "Stability analysis of distributed order fractional differential equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 175323, 12 pages, 2011.
- [86] A. N. Kochubei, "Distributed order calculus and equations of ultraslow diffusion," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 252–281, 2008.
- [87] A. V. Letnikov and V. A. Chernykh, *The Foundations of Fractional Calculus*, Neftegaz, Moscow, Russia, 2011 (Russian).
- [88] A. Dzieliński and D. Sierociuk, "Adaptive feedback control of fractional order discrete state-space systems," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Intelligence for Modelling, Control and Automation and the International Conference on Intelligent Agents, Web Technologies and Internet Commerce (CIMCA-IAWTIC'05)*, pp. 804–809, November 2005.
- [89] S. Guermah, S. Djennoune, and M. Bettayeb, "A new approach for stability analysis of linear discrete-time fractional-order systems," in *New Trends in Nanotechnology and Fractional Calculus Applications*, D. Baleanu, Z. B. Güvenç, and J. A. Tenreiro Machado, Eds., pp. 151–162, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2010.
- [90] F. Chen and Z. Liu, "Asymptotic stability results for nonlinear fractional difference equations," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2012, Article ID 879657, 14 pages, 2012.
- [91] P. Ostalczyk, "Stability analysis of a discrete-time system with variablefractional-order control," *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 613–619, 2010.
- [92] J. Klamka, "Controllability and minimum energy control problem of fractional discrete-time systems," in *New Trends in Nanotechnology and Fractional Calculus Applications*, D. Baleanu, Z. B. Güvenç, and J. A. Tenreiro Machado, Eds., pp. 503–509, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2010.
- [93] A. Oustaloup, J. Sabatier, P. Lanusse, R. Malti, P. Melchior, and M. Moze, "An overview of the CRONE approach in system analysis, modeling and identification, observation and control," in *Proceedings of the 17th World Congress, International Federation of Automatic Control (IFAC '08)*, pp. 14254–14265, Soul, Korea, July 2008.
- [94] Y. Li, Y. Chen, and I. Podlubny, "Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional order nonlinear dynamic systems," *Automatica*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 1965–1969, 2009.
- [95] C. Yakar, "Fractional differential equations in terms of comparison results and Lyapunov stability with initial time difference," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, Article ID 762857, 16 pages, 2010.
- [96] F. Zhang and C. Li, "Stability analysis of fractional differential systems with order lying in $(1,2)$," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2011, Article ID 213485, 2011.
- [97] F. Zhang, C. Li, and Y. Chen, "Asymptotical stability of nonlinear fractional differential system with Caputo derivative," *International Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2011, Article ID 635165, 12 pages, 2011.
- [98] J. A. Tenreiro Machado, "Root locus of fractional linear systems," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 3855–3862, 2011.
- [99] D. Valério and J. S. da Costa, "Tuning of fractional PID controllers with Ziegler-Nichols-type rules," *Signal Processing*, vol. 86, no. 10, pp. 2771–2784, 2006.
- [100] A. I. Aptekarev and W. Van Assche, "Scalar and matrix Riemann-Hilbert approach to the strong asymptotics of Padé approximants and complex orthogonal polynomials with varying weight," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 129, no. 2, pp. 129–166, 2004.
- [101] A. I. Aptekarev, "Asymptotics of Hermite-Padé approximants for a pair of functions with branch points," *Doklady Mathematics*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 717–719, 2008.
- [102] A. I. Aptekarev, A. Kuijlaars, and W. Van Assche, "Asymptotics of Hermite-Padé rational approximants for two analytic functions with separated pairs of branch points (case of genus 0)," *International Mathematics Research Papers*, vol. 2008, Article ID rpm007, p. 128, 2008.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

