

# Static universe and cosmic field.

NATHAN ROSEN (Haifa, Israel) (\*)

*A Bruno Finzi nel suo 70<sup>mo</sup> compleanno*

**Summary.** - *It is found that in the presence of the cosmic field, which singles out a time-like direction, a static closed homogeneous isotropic universe of the Einstein type is possible, provided matter is present. On the other hand, a universe of the de Sitter type is not permitted by the field equations.*

Recently [1] it was pointed out that, if one tries to set up a model of the universe which is homogeneous and isotropic and which oscillates without passing through a singular state, one is led to the existence of a scalar field, referred to as a « cosmic field », which is a special case of the  $C$ -field of HOYLE and NARLIKAR [2]. The gradient of this cosmic field singles out a time-like direction in space-time. The presence of such a field requires the introduction of terms in the field equations which are somewhat analogous to the cosmological  $\Lambda$ -term which was introduced by EINSTEIN [3] when he was looking for a closed static universe. The field equations can be written in the form

$$(1) \quad R_{\mu}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} R_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \Lambda \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} + \chi_{, \alpha} \chi^{, \alpha} \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} - 2 \chi_{, \mu} \chi^{, \nu} = -8\pi T_{\mu}^{\nu},$$

where  $\chi$  is a function describing the cosmic field and satisfying the equation

$$(2) \quad \chi^{; \alpha}_{, \alpha} = 0.$$

$\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant, and the other symbols have their usual meanings.

A question which arises is: what is the nature of the solution of the field equations for the case of a homogeneous, isotropic, static universe?

Let us consider the form of the line element in the static, spherically symmetric case. With a suitable choice of coordinates, it can be written

$$(3) \quad ds^2 = -e^{\lambda} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 + e^{\nu} dt^2,$$

---

(\*) Entrata in Redazione il 4 febbraio 1970.

where  $\lambda = \lambda(r)$ ,  $\nu = \nu(r)$ . If the three-dimensional space is homogeneous and isotropic (i.e., a space of constant curvature), one has

$$(4) \quad e^\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}},$$

where  $\frac{1}{R^2}$  can be taken to be positive, negative, or zero, according to the sign of the curvature of the space. The case  $\frac{1}{R^2} > 0$  corresponds to a closed universe.

If we assume that the matter (and radiation) in the universe can be described by a constant density  $\rho$  and a constant pressure  $p$ , the matter being at rest in our frame of reference, then we can write for the energy-momentum density tensor (with  $x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4 = r, \theta, \varphi, t$ ),

$$(5) \quad T_1^1 = T_2^2 = T_3^3 = -p, \quad T_4^4 = \rho, \quad T_\mu^\nu = 0 \quad (\mu \neq \nu).$$

For the line element of Eq. (3), the solution of Eq. (2) which satisfies the condition of isotropy is simply

$$(6) \quad \chi = \alpha t + \beta \quad (\alpha, \beta = \text{const.}),$$

so that

$$(6a) \quad \chi_{.4} = \alpha, \quad \chi_{.k} = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, 3).$$

The field equations (1) give three relations:

$$(7) \quad e^{-\lambda} \left( \frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2} + \Lambda + \alpha^2 e^{-\nu} = 8\pi p,$$

$$(7a) \quad e^{-\lambda} \left( \frac{\nu''}{2} - \frac{\lambda' \nu'}{4} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} + \frac{\nu' - \lambda'}{2r} \right) + \Lambda + \alpha^2 e^{-\nu} = 8\pi p,$$

$$(7b) \quad e^{-\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} - \Lambda + \alpha^2 e^{-\nu} = 8\pi \rho,$$

corresponding to the cases,  $(\mu, \nu) = (1, 1), (2, 2), (4, 4)$ . Here primes denote derivatives with respect to  $r$ .

Let us first take  $\nu = 0$ . Then the solution of Eq. (7) is given by Eq. (4) with

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{R^2} = \alpha^2 + \Lambda - 8\pi p.$$

One that finds Eq. (7a) is then also satisfied. If one substitutes (4) into Eq. (7b), one gets the relation

$$(8a) \quad \frac{3}{R^2} - \Lambda + \alpha^2 = 8\pi\rho.$$

From Eqs. (8) and (8a) one gets

$$(9) \quad \alpha^2 = 4\pi(\rho + p) - \frac{1}{R^2},$$

$$(9a) \quad \Lambda = 4\pi(p - \rho) + \frac{2}{R^2}.$$

If we consider the case of an EINSTEIN universe, characterized by  $\nu = 0$  and  $\lambda$  given by Eq. (4) with  $\frac{1}{R^2} > 0$ , then we see from Eq. (9) that one must have

$$(10) \quad \alpha^2 < 4\pi(\rho + p),$$

so that the EINSTEIN universe cannot be empty.

For the sake of simplicity, let us take  $\Lambda = 0$ . Then one gets

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{R^2} = 2\pi(\rho - p),$$

$$(11a) \quad \alpha^2 = 2\pi(\rho + 3p).$$

We see that one can then obtain a static EINSTEIN universe for any values of  $\rho$  and  $p$ , provided  $\rho > p$  (which is a condition that must hold on the basis of physical considerations).

If we now let  $\Lambda$  be different from zero and consider the case of an empty universe, with  $\rho = p = 0$ , we see from Eq. (9) that

$$(12) \quad \alpha^2 = -\frac{1}{R^2},$$

so that  $\frac{1}{R^2} < 0$ , and we do not have a closed universe.

DE SITTER [4] found a solution of the EINSTEIN field equations, corresponding to a closed, empty universe, for which  $\lambda$  is given by Eq. (4), and  $\nu$  is given by

$$(13) \quad e^\nu = 1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2},$$

with

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{\Lambda}{3},$$

so that one can take  $\frac{1}{R^2} > 0$ .

In the presence of the cosmic field, the situation is quite different. If we substitute (4) into (7b), we get

$$(15) \quad \alpha^2 e^{-\nu} = 8\pi\rho - \frac{3}{R^2} + \Lambda,$$

so that, with  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\nu$  is constant, and Eq. (13) cannot be satisfied. If  $\nu$  is constant, it can be taken to vanish, without loss of generality, and we are then back to the case discussed previously.

We see then that, with the cosmic field present, a de SITTER universe is not possible. The only closed static universe is of the EINSTEIN type and, as we have seen, this is possible only if matter is present.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. ROSEN, *Int. Jour. of Theor. Phys.* **2**, 189 (1969).
- [2] F. HOYLE and J. V. NARLIKAR, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* **A278**, 465 (1964).
- [3] A. EINSTEIN, *Sitzungsber. Berl. Akad.*, 1917, p. 142.
- [4] W. de SITTER, *Proc. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam* **19**, 1217 (1917).

---