# Statistical Mechanics of a One-Dimensional Lattice Gas

#### D. RUELLE

I.H.E.S., 91. Bures-sur-Yvette

Received April 30, 1968

Abstract. We study the statistical mechanics of an infinite one-dimensional classical lattice gas. Extending a result of VAN HOVE we show that, for a large class of interactions, such a system has no phase transition. The equilibrium state of the system is represented by a measure which is invariant under the effect of lattice translations. The dynamical system defined by this invariant measure is shown to be a K-system.

#### 1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of all integers  $\geq 0$ . We think of the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as the sites of a one-dimensional lattice, each site may be occupied by 0 or 1 particle. If n particles are present on the lattice, at positions  $i_1 < \cdots < i_n$ , we associate to them a "potential energy"

$$U(\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\}) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{\{j_1,\ldots,j_k\} \subset \{i_1,\ldots,i_n\}} \Phi^k(j_1,\ldots,j_k).$$
 (1.1)

The "k-body potential"  $\Phi^k$  is a real function of its arguments  $j_1 < \cdots < j_k$  and is assumed to be translationally invariant i.e., if  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\Phi^k(j_1+l,\ldots,j_k+l) = \Phi^k(j_1,\ldots,j_k)$$
 (1.2)

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^S$  be the product of one copy of the set  $K = \{0, 1\}$  for each point of S;  $K^S$  is the space of all configurations of occupied and empty sites in S;  $K^S$  is compact for the product of the discrete topologies of the sets  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let  $\mathscr{C}(K^S)$  be the Banach space of real continuous functions on  $K^S$  with the uniform norm and  $\mathscr{M}(K^S)$  its dual, i.e. the space of real measures on  $K^S$ .

If  $S \subset T \subset \mathbb{Z}$  we may write

$$K^T = K^S \times K^{T \setminus S} \tag{1.3}$$

and there is a canonical mapping  $\alpha_{TS}: \mathscr{C}(K^S) \to \mathscr{C}(K^T)$  such that

$$\alpha_{TS} \varphi(x_S, x_{T \setminus S}) = \varphi(x_S)$$
 (1.4)

We denote by  $\alpha_{ST}^*$  the adjoint of  $\alpha_{TS}$ :

$$\alpha_{ST}^*\mu(\varphi) = \mu(\alpha_{TS}\varphi). \tag{1.5}$$

19 Commun. math. Phys., Vol. 9

268 D. Ruelle:

It will be convenient to use a functional notation for measures, writing  $\mu(x) dx$  instead of  $d\mu$ . We have then

$$\alpha_{ST}^* \mu(x_S) = \int dx_{T \setminus S} \, \mu(x_S, x_{T \setminus S}) . \tag{1.6}$$

Let  $(a, b] = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : a < i \leq b\}$  be a finite interval of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The Gibbs measure  $\gamma_{ab} \in \mathcal{M}(K^{(a,b]})$  associates to each point  $x = (x_{a+1}, \ldots, x_b)$  of  $K^{(a,b]}$  the mass

$$\gamma_{ab}(x) = e^{-U(S(x))} \tag{1.7}$$

where1

$$S(x) = \{i \in (a, b] : x_i = 1\}. \tag{1.8}$$

The measure  $\gamma_{ab}$  is positive, has total mass

$$Z_{b-a} = \int \gamma_{ab}(x) dx = \sum_{x_{a+1}=0}^{1} \cdots \sum_{x_{b}=0}^{1} \gamma_{ab}(x)$$
 (1.9)

and the corresponding normalized measure is

$$\bar{\gamma}_{a\,b} = Z_{b-a}^{-1} \, \gamma_{a\,b} \,. \tag{1.10}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathscr E$  be the space of sequences  $\Phi=(\Phi^k)_{k\geq 1}$  such that

$$\sum_{l>0} \sum_{0< i_1 < \dots < i_l} i_l |\Phi^{l+1}(0, i_1, \dots, i_l)| < +\infty$$
 (1.11)

if  $\Phi \in \mathscr{E}$ , then

(i) the following limit exists and is finite

$$P(\Phi) = \lim_{b \to a \to \infty} \frac{1}{b - a} \log Z_{b-a} \tag{1.12}$$

it is continuously differentiable on any finite dimensional subspace of E.

(ii) for every finite  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$  there exists  $\varrho_S \in \mathscr{M}(K^S)$  such that

$$\lim_{a \to -\infty, b \to \infty} \alpha_{S,(a,b]}^* \, \bar{\gamma}_{ab} = \varrho_S \,. \tag{1.13}$$

There is a measure  $\varrho \in \mathcal{M}(K^{\mathbb{Z}})$  such that

$$\varrho_{\mathcal{S}} = \alpha_{\mathcal{S}\,\mathbb{Z}}^* \varrho \tag{1.14}$$

for all finite  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\varrho$  depends continuously on  $\Phi$  on any finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathscr{E}$  for the vague topology of measures<sup>2</sup>.

This theorem expresses that a thermodynamic limit (infinite system limit) exists for the statistical mechanics of a one-dimensional lattice system if the condition (1.11) is satisfied. Furthermore the state of the infinite system, described by the measure  $\varrho$ , depends continuously on the temperature and chemical potential, which means that no *phase transi*-

¹ It is customary to write in (1.7) instead of U(S) the expression  $\beta(-n\mu+U'(S))$  where  $\beta^{-1}$  is the temperature,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential and U' is computed by replacing  $\sum_{k\geq 1}$  by  $\sum_{k>1}$  in (1.1). For notational convenience we absorb here  $-\mu$  as  $\Phi^1$  and  $\beta$  as multiplicative constant in the definition of U.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I.e. the  $w^*$ -topology or the weak topology of  $\mathcal{M}(K\mathbb{Z})$  in duality with  $\mathcal{C}(K\mathbb{Z})$ .

tion can occur<sup>3</sup>; the system remains a "gas". If  $\Phi^{l+1} = 0$  for l > 1, then (1.11) becomes

$$\sum_{i>0} i |\Phi^2(0,i)| < +\infty$$
 . (1.15)

This condition ensures that the energy of interaction of all particles at the left of a point of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with all the particles at the right is bounded<sup>4</sup>.

Given  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , the translation  $T^l: i \to i + l$  defines a homeomorphism of  $K^S$  onto  $K^{S+l}$ :

$$T^{l}(\ldots, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots) = (\ldots, x_{-l-1}, x_{-l}, x_{-l+1}, \ldots)$$
 (1.16)

and if  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K^S)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathscr{M}(K^S)$  we define  $^5$   $T^i f \in \mathscr{C}(K^{S+i})$ ,  $T^i \mu \in \mathscr{M}(K^{S+i})$ :

$$T^{l}f(x) = f(T^{-l}x), \quad T^{l}\mu(x) = \mu(T^{-l}x)$$
 (1.17)

so that

$$\mu(T^{l}f) = \int dx \, \mu(x) \, f(T^{-l}x) = \int dx \, \mu(T^{l}x) \, f(x) = T^{-l}\mu(f) \tag{1.18}$$

Since the measure  $\varrho$  is visibly T-invariant in  $\mathscr{M}(K^{\mathbb{Z}})$ , the triple  $(K^{\mathbb{Z}}, \varrho, T)$  is a dynamical system<sup>6</sup>.

**Theorem 2.** The dynamical system  $(K^{\mathbb{Z}}, \varrho, T)$  is a K-system.

This implies that the measure  $\varrho$  is ergodic and satisfies a "cluster property" (see Sec. 2) as one expects for a gas.

## 2. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Let  $\mathbb{N}^* = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : i > 0\}$  and  $K_+ = K^{N^*}$ . For every integer  $m \ge 0$  we may write

$$K_{+} = K^{(0,m]} \times T^{m} K_{+}.$$
 (2.1)

In particular if  $x \in K_+$ ; then  $(0, x) \in K_+$ ,  $(1, x) \in K_+$ .

We let  $F_{\varphi} \in \mathscr{C}(K_{+})$  be given by

$$F_{\Phi}(x) = \exp\left[-\sum_{l \ge 0} \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_l} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l} \Phi^{l+1}(0, i_1, \dots, i_l)\right]$$
 (2.2)

where  $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_i,\ldots)\in K_+$ ,  $x_i=0$  or 1 for each i>0. The continuity of  $F_{\sigma}$  on  $K_+$  is ensured by (1.11). A mapping  $\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}$  of  $\mathscr{C}(K_+)$  into itself is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi}f(x) = f(0, x) + F_{\Phi}(x) f(1, x)$$
 (2.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This result was known when  $\Phi$  has finite range, i.e. when there exists  $L<+\infty$  such that  $\Phi^{l+1}(0,i_1,\ldots,i_l)=0$  for  $i_l>L$  (hence for l>L). In that case  $P(\Phi)$  is real analytic on finite dimensional subspaces of  $\mathscr E$  (is this true also here?). A generalization of this result exists to continuous systems with a "hard core", see VAN HOVE [5].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If  $\Phi^2 \leq 0$  and (1.15) is violated, the existence of a phase transition has been conjectured by M. FISHER [2] and M. KAC (private communications). I am indebted to M. FISHER for correspondence on this point.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> We let formally  $d(T^{i}x) = dx$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The notions of dynamical systems and of K-system are discussed in Arnold and Avez [1] and Jacobs [3].

270 D. Ruelle:

its adjoint  $\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}^*: \mathscr{M}(K_+) \to \mathscr{M}(K_+)$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^* \mu(0, x) = \mu(x) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^* \mu(1, x) = F_{\Phi} \mu(x) \end{cases}$$
 (2.4)

**Theorem 3.** (i) For every  $\Phi \in \mathscr{E}$  there exist  $\lambda_{\Phi} > 0$ ,  $h_{\Phi} \in \mathscr{C}(K_{+})$ ,  $\nu_{\Phi} \in \mathscr{M}(K_{+})$  such that  $h_{\Phi} > 0$ ,  $\nu_{\Phi} \geq 0$ ,  $\nu_{\Phi}(1) = \nu_{\Phi}(h_{\Phi}) = 1$  and  $\tau$ 

$$\mathscr{L}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} h_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} = \lambda_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} h_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\Phi}^* \nu_{\Phi} = \lambda_{\Phi} \nu_{\Phi} . \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) If  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K_+)$  the following limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\lambda_{\Phi}^{-n} \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^n f - \nu_{\Phi}(f) h_{\Phi}\| = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

holds uniformly for  $\Phi$  in a bounded subset of a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathscr{E}$ .

(iii) If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K_+)$  the following limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_{\Phi}^{-n} \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^{*n} \mu = \mu(h_{\Phi}) \nu_{\Phi}$$
 (2.8)

holds for the vague topology of  $\mathcal{M}(K_+)$ .

(iv) On any finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathscr{E}$ ,  $\lambda_{\Phi}$  is continuously differentiable,  $h_{\Phi}$  is continuous for the uniform topology of  $\mathscr{C}(K_{+})$ ,  $v_{\Phi}$  is continuous for the vague topology of  $\mathscr{M}(K_{+})$ .

This theorem will be proved in Sec. 3., here we use it to establish the results announced in Sec. 1. For notational simplicity we shall often drop the index  $\Phi$  from F,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^*$ ,  $\lambda$ , h,  $\nu$ .

Lemma. Let us write

$$L = \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}, \quad L^* = \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}^*.$$
 (2.9)

(i) If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K_+)$ , then

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^{1} \cdots \sum_{n_l=0}^{1} L^{*l} \mu(n_1, \dots, n_l, x) = L^{l} 1(x) \cdot \mu(x). \qquad (2.10)$$

(ii) If  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K_+)$ , then

$$\nu \cdot \alpha_{N^*, N^* + l} T^l f = L^{*l} (\nu \cdot f) .$$
 (2.11)

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\alpha_{S,(0,m]}^*\,\bar{\gamma}_{0\,m}=\nu_S.$$

One can show that  $\nu_{\Phi}$  defined by Theorem 3 (i) is such that

$$v_S = \alpha_{SN^*}^* v$$
.

The measure  $\nu_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  describes thus the state of a system occupying the semi-infinite interval  $(0, +\infty) = \mathbb{N}^*$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For every finite  $S \subset \mathbb{N}^*$  let

We prove (i) by induction on l:

$$\sum_{n_{1}} \cdots \sum_{n_{l+1}} L^{*\,l+1}\,\mu(n_{1},\ldots,n_{l+1},x)$$

$$= \sum_{n_{l+1}} L^{l}\,\mathbf{1}\,(n_{l+1},x) \cdot L^{*}\mu(n_{l+1},x)$$

$$= L^{l}\,\mathbf{1}\,(0,x) \cdot L^{*}\mu(0,x) + L^{l}\,\mathbf{1}\,(1,x) \cdot L^{*}\mu(1,x)$$

$$= L^{l}\,\mathbf{1}\,(0,x) \cdot \lambda^{-1}\mu(x) + L^{l}\,\mathbf{1}\,(1,x) \cdot \lambda^{-1}F(x) \cdot \mu(x)$$

$$= L^{l}\,\mathbf{1}\,(x) \cdot \mu(x) \cdot \mu(x) \cdot \mu(x)$$

$$= L^{l}\,\mathbf{1}\,(x) \cdot \mu(x) \cdot \mu(x) \cdot \mu(x) \cdot \mu(x)$$

To prove (ii) it suffices to apply repeatedly the following identity

$$[\nu \cdot \alpha_{N^*, N^*+1} \ Tf] (n_1, x) = \nu(n_1, x) \cdot f(x) = L^* \nu(n_1, x) \cdot f(x)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \lambda^{-1} \nu(x) \\ \lambda^{-1} F(x) \ \nu(x) \end{cases} \cdot f(x) = [L^* (\nu \cdot f)] (n_1, x)$$

$$(2.13)$$

Let  $\delta \in \mathcal{M}(K_+)$  be the unit mass at  $x_0 = (0, \ldots, 0, \ldots)$ . It is readily checked that

$$\gamma_{0m} = \alpha^*_{(0,m], \mathbf{N}^*} \mathcal{L}^{*m} \delta. \qquad (2.14)$$

By (1.6), (1.9) we have

$$Z_m = \int \mathcal{L}^{*m} \, \delta(x) \, dx = \mathcal{L}^{*m} \, \delta(1) = \delta(\mathcal{L}^m \, 1)$$
 (2.15)

and using (2.7),

$$\lim_{b-a\to\infty}\frac{Z_{b-a}}{\lambda^{b-a}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\delta(\mathscr{L}^n\,1)}{\lambda^n}=\nu(1)\cdot\delta(h)=h(x_0)>0 \qquad (2.16)$$

which implies (1.12) with  $P(\Phi) = \log \lambda_{\Phi}$  and Theorem 1 (i) follows from Theorem 3 (iv).

We study now the limit (1.13) with S = (0, m] (this is sufficient because we may by translation of  $\mathbb{Z}$  map S into (0, m] for some m). Let  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K^{(0,m]})$ , using (2.14), (2.16), part (i) of the Lemma and parts (ii), (iii) of Theorem 3 we get

$$\lim_{a \to -\infty, b \to \infty} \alpha_{(0,m],(a,b]}^* \bar{\gamma}_{ab}(f)$$

$$= \lim_{l,n \to \infty} \alpha_{(0,m],(-l,m+n]}^* \bar{\gamma}_{-l,m+n}(f)$$

$$= \lim_{l,n \to \infty} \alpha_{(l,l+m],(0,l+m+n]}^* \bar{\gamma}_{0,l+m+n}(T^l f)$$

$$= \lim_{l,n \to \infty} Z_{l+m+n}^{-1} \alpha_{(l,l+m],N}^* \mathcal{L}^{*l+m+n} \delta(T^l f) \qquad (2.17)$$

$$= h(x_0)^{-1} \lim_{l,n \to \infty} \sum_{n_1=0}^{1} \cdots \sum_{n_l=0}^{1} \int dx L^{*l+m+n} \delta(n_1, \dots, n_l, x)$$

$$\cdot \alpha_{N^*,(0,m]} f(x)$$

$$= h(x_0)^{-1} \lim_{l,n \to \infty} \int dx L^l 1(x) \cdot L^{*m+n} \delta(x) \cdot \alpha_{N^*,(0,m]} f(x)$$

$$= h(x_0)^{-1} \int dx v(1) h(x) \cdot \delta(h) v(x) \cdot \alpha_{N^*,(0,m]} f(x)$$

$$= \int dx h(x) \cdot v(x) \cdot \alpha_{N^*,(0,m]} f(x) .$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Actually (2.16) is a much stronger statement than (1.12).

This establishes the existence of the limit (1.13) and shows that the measure  $\varrho$  defined by (1.14) satisfies

$$\alpha_{N^* \mathbb{Z}}^* \varrho = h \cdot \nu . \tag{2.18}$$

In view of Theorem 3 (iv), the r.h.s. of (2.17) is a continuous function of  $\Phi$  on finite dimensional subspaces of  $\mathscr E$ . Because of the invariance of  $\varrho$  under T, the same is true of  $\varrho(\alpha_{\mathbb{Z}S}f)$  for every finite  $S\subset\mathbb{Z}$  and  $f\in\mathscr C(K^S)$ . Part (ii) of Theorem 1 follows then from the density of

$$\bigcup_{S} \alpha_{\mathbb{Z},S} \mathscr{C}(K^S)$$

in  $\mathscr{C}(K^{\mathbb{Z}})$  for the uniform topology.

We come now to the study of the dynamical system  $(K^{\mathbb{Z}}, \varrho, T)$ . Let  $\mathscr{B}_1$  be the algebra of all  $\varrho$ -measurable subsets of  $K^{\mathbb{Z}}$  (mod. 0) and  $\mathscr{B}_0$  be the subalgebra consisting of the sets of measure 0 or 1 (i.e.  $\emptyset$  and  $K^{\mathbb{Z}}$  (mod. 0)). The system  $(K^{\mathbb{Z}}, \varrho, T)$  is a K-system if there exists a subalgebra  $\mathscr{A}$  of  $\mathscr{B}_1$  such that

- (i)  $\mathscr{A} \subset T^{-1}\mathscr{A}$ .
- (ii) The union of the  $T^{-1}\mathscr{A}$  generates  $\mathscr{B}_1$ .
- (iii) The intersection of the  $T^l \mathscr{A}$  is  $\mathscr{B}_0$ .

We write

$$K^{\mathbb{Z}} = K^S \times K^{\mathbb{Z} \setminus S} \tag{2.19}$$

and define  $\mathscr{A}$  to be the subalgebra of  $\mathscr{B}_1$  generated by all the sets  $X\times K^{\mathbb{Z}\backslash S}$  where  $X\subset K^S$  and S is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . The properties (i) and (ii) are then clearly satisfied. Let now  $A\in\bigcap_{l\geq 0}T^l\mathscr{A}$  and B be of the form  $X\times K^{\mathbb{Z}\backslash S}$  with  $X\subset K^S$ , S finite  $\subset \mathbb{N}^*$ . For all  $l\geq 0$  the characteristic function of A may be written as  $\alpha_{\mathbb{N}^*,\mathbb{N}^*+l}T^lf_l$ , let also  $f_B\in\mathscr{C}(K_+)$  be the characteristic function of B. Using part (ii) of the Lemma, we get

$$\varrho(A \cap B) = \int dx \, h(x) \cdot \nu(x) \cdot \alpha_{\mathbb{N}^*, \mathbb{N}^* + l} \, T^l f_l(x) \cdot f_B(x) 
= \int dx \, [L^{*l}(\nu \cdot f_l)] \, (x) \cdot h(x) \cdot f_B(x) 
= \int dx \, \nu(x) \cdot f_l(x) \cdot [L^l(h \cdot f_B)] \, (x) .$$
(2.20)

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , (2.7) shows that, for sufficiently large l,

$$||L^{l}(h \cdot f_{B}) - \nu(h \cdot f_{B}) h|| < \varepsilon.$$
 (2.21)

From (2.20) and (2.21) we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho(A \cap B) - \varrho(A) \, \varrho(B)| &= |\int dx \, \nu(x) \cdot f_l(x) \cdot [L^l(h \cdot f_B) \, (x) \\ &- \nu(h \cdot f_B) \, h(x)]| < \varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

and therefore

$$\varrho(A \cap B) = \varrho(A) \varrho(B) . \tag{2.23}$$

By translation, (2.23) remains true for any B of the form  $X \times K^{\mathbb{Z} \setminus S}$  with  $X \subset K^S$ , S finite  $\subset \mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . In particular for

Lattice Gas 273

B=A, we obtain  $\varrho(A)=\varrho(A)^2$  hence  $\varrho(A)=0$  or 1, proving the property (iii) of K-systems and therefore Theorem 2.

Let S be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and define  $f_S \in \mathscr{C}(K^{\mathbb{Z}})$  by  $f_S(x) = 1$  if  $i \in S \Rightarrow x_i = 1$ ,  $f_S(x) = 0$  otherwise. The correlation function  $\bar{\varrho}$  associated to  $\varrho$  is a function of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$\bar{\varrho}(S) = \varrho(f_S) . \tag{2.24}$$

Notice that by Theorem 1,  $\varrho_{\Phi}(S)$  is a continuous function of  $\Phi$  on finite dimensional subspaces of  $\mathscr{E}$ . We have also

$$\lim_{l\to\infty}\bar{\varrho}(S_1\cup T^lS_2)=\bar{\varrho}(S_1)\cdot\bar{\varrho}(S_2) \tag{2.25}$$

a property known as cluster property and which should be possessed by the correlation function of a gas. The cluster property (2.25) is a consequence of strong mixing, which is a property of all K-systems. The entropy of a K-system is  $> 0^{10}$ , this entropy is identical to the mean entropy in the sense of statistical mechanics (see [4]). The K-system property (iii) has here a simple physical interpretation: it is not possible to make the system look different "at finite distances" by imposing restrictions "infinitely far away" on the configurations of the system (absence of long-range order).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section we establish a series of propositions which will result in a proof of Theorem 3.

For  $m \ge 0$  we let  $\mathscr{C}_m = \alpha_{\mathbb{N}^*,(0,m]} \mathscr{C}(K^{(0,m]})$ , i.e.  $\mathscr{C}_m$  is the subspace of  $\mathscr{C}(K_+)$  consisting of those f such that f(x) = f(x') if  $x_i = x_i'$  for  $i \le m$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f \in \mathscr{C}_m$ ,  $f \geq 0$  and  $x_i = x_i'$  for i = 1, ..., k. If  $n \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq m - k$ , then

$$A_k^{-1} \le \frac{\mathscr{L}^n f(x')}{\mathscr{L}^n f(x)} \le A_k \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$A_k = \exp \left[ \sum_{l>0} \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_l > k} (i_l - k) |\Phi^{l+1}(0, i_1, \dots, i_l)| \right].$$
 (3.2)

If  $k \ge m$ , then f(x') = f(x) and (3.1) holds thus for n = 0. If n > 0, (2.3) yields

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}^{n} f(x')}{\mathscr{L}^{n} f(x)} = \frac{\mathscr{L}^{n-1} f(0, x') + F(x') \mathscr{L}^{n-1} f(1, x')}{\mathscr{L}^{n-1} f(0, x) + F(x) \mathscr{L}^{n-1} f(1, x)}.$$
 (3.3)

Using induction on n we may assume that for  $n_1 = 0$ , 1, we have

$$A_{k+1}^{-1} \le \frac{\mathcal{L}^{n-1} f(n_1, x')}{\mathcal{L}^{n-1} f(n_1, x)} \le A_{k+1}$$
(3.4)

<sup>9</sup> See [1] 11.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See [1] 12.31.

and

$$\exp\left[-\sum_{l>0}\sum_{0< i_{1}<\dots< i_{l}>k}|\varPhi^{l+1}(0, i_{1}, \dots, i_{l})|\right] \leq \frac{F(x')}{F(x)} \\
\leq \exp\left[\sum_{l>0}\sum_{0< i_{1}<\dots< i_{l}>k}|\varPhi^{l+1}(0, i_{1}, \dots, i_{l})|\right].$$
(3.5)

Therefore

$$A_k^{-1} \le \frac{\mathcal{L}^{n-1} f(0, x')}{\mathcal{L}^{n-1} f(0, x)} \le A_k \tag{3.6}$$

$$A_k^{-1} \le \frac{F(x') \, \mathcal{L}^{n-1} f(0, x')}{F(x) \, \mathcal{L}^{n-1} f(0, x)} \le A_k \tag{3.7}$$

and (3.1) follows.

Notice that if we write

$$B = \exp \left[ \sum_{l \ge 0} \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_l} |\Phi^{l+1}(0, i_1, \dots, i_l)| \right]$$
 (3.8)

then  $B^{-1} \leq F(x) \leq B$ .

**Proposition 2.** There exist  $v \in \mathcal{M}(K_+)$  and  $\lambda$  real such that  $v \ge 0$ ,  $\|v\| = 1$  and

$$\mathscr{L}^* \nu = \lambda \nu . \tag{3.9}$$

Furthermore  $1 + B^{-1} \leq \lambda \leq 1 + B$  where B is given by (3.8).

The set  $\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K_+) : \mu \ge 0 \text{ and } \mu(1) = 1\}$  is convex, vaguely compact and mapped continuously into itself by

$$\mu \to [\mathscr{L}^*\mu(1)]^{-1}\mathscr{L}^*\mu . \tag{3.10}$$

By the theorem of Schauder-Tychonov this mapping has a fixed point  $\nu$ : (3.9) holds with  $\lambda = \mathcal{L}^*\nu(1) = \nu(\mathcal{L} 1)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L} 1(x) = 1 + F(x)$  and  $B^{-1} \leq F(x) \leq B$ , we have  $1 + B^{-1} \leq \lambda \leq 1 + B$ .

**Proposition 3.** (i) The closed hyperplane  $H=\{f\in\mathscr{C}(K_+): \nu(f)=1\}$  is mapped into itself by  $L=\lambda^{-1}\mathscr{L}$ .

(ii) Let  $f \in \mathscr{C}_m$ ,  $f \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq m$ , then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{K}_+} L^n f(x) \le A_0 \nu(f) \tag{3.11}$$

$$\inf_{x \in K_+} L^n f(x) \ge A_0^{-1} \nu(f) . \tag{3.12}$$

(iii) If  $f \in \mathcal{C}(K_+)$ , the sequence  $||L^n f||$  is bounded by  $A_0 ||f||$ .

(iv) A norm  $|||\cdot|||$  on  $\mathscr{C}(K_+)$  is defined by

$$|||f||| = \nu(|f|) = \int dx \, \nu(x) \, |f(x)| \le ||f||.$$
 (3.13)

(v)  $|||Lf||| \leq |||f|||$  for all  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K_+)$ .

(vi) If  $f \in \mathscr{C}_m$ ,  $\nu(f) = 0$ , and  $n \geq m$ , then

$$|||L^n f||| \le (1 - A_0^{-1}) |||f|||.$$
 (3.14)

(i) follows from

$$\nu(Lf) = \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}^* \nu(f) = \nu(f) , \qquad (3.15)$$

Lattice Gas 275

(ii) follows from (3.1) with k = 0:

$$\begin{split} \nu(f) &= \nu(L^n f) \leq \sup_{x' \in K^+} L^n f(x') \\ &\leq A_0 \inf_{x \in K_+} L^n f(x) \leq A_0 \nu(L^n f) = A_0 \nu(f) \;. \end{split} \tag{3.16}$$

Using (3.11) with m = 0 we have

$$||L^n f|| \le ||L^n |f|| \le ||f|| \sup_{x \in K_+} L^n 1(x) \le A_0 ||f||$$
 (3.17)

which proves (iii).

It is clear that  $|||\cdot|||$  is a semi-norm and that  $|||f||| \le ||f||$ . We conclude the proof of (iv) by showing that if  $f \ge 0$ ,  $f \ne 0$  then |||f||| > 0. We may indeed choose m and  $f' \in \mathscr{C}_m$  such that  $0 \le f' \le f$  and  $f' \ne 0$ , then  $L^m f' \ne 0$  and (3.11) yields

$$|||f||| = \nu(f) \ge \nu(f') \ge A_0^{-1} ||L^m f'|| > 0.$$
 (3.18)

To prove (v) we notice that

$$|||Lf||| = \nu(|Lf|) = \lambda^{-1}\nu(|\mathcal{L}f|) \le \lambda^{-1}\nu(\mathcal{L}|f|) = \lambda^{-1}\mathcal{L}^*\nu(|f|)$$
$$= \nu(|f|) = |||f|||. \tag{3.19}$$

To prove (vi) let  $f_{+}=1/2$  ( $|f|\pm f$ ), we have

$$|||f_{+}||| = \nu(f_{+}) = \nu(f_{-}) = |||f_{-}|||.$$
 (3.20)

On the other hand by (3.12)

$$\inf_{x \in K_{+}} L^{n} f_{\pm}(x) \ge A_{0}^{-1} |||f_{\pm}|||. \tag{3.21}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |||L^{n}f||| &= \nu(|L^{n}(f_{+} - f_{-})|) \\ &= \nu(|L^{n}f_{+} - A_{0}^{-1}|||f_{+}|||) - (L^{n}f_{-} - A_{0}^{-1}|||f_{-}|||)|) \\ &\leq \nu(|L^{n}f_{+} - A_{0}^{-1}|||f_{+}||| + |L^{n}f_{-} - A_{0}^{-1}|||f_{-}||| |) \\ &= \nu(L^{n}(f_{+} + f_{-}) - A_{0}^{-1}(|||f_{+}||| + |||f_{-}|||) \\ &= \nu(L^{n}|f| - A_{0}^{-1}|||f|||) = \nu(|f|) - A_{0}^{-1}|||f||| \\ &= (1 - A_{0}^{-1})|||f||| \end{aligned}$$
(3.22)

which proves (3.14).

Proposition 4. Define

$$\Sigma = \{ f \in \mathscr{C}(K_+) : \nu(f) = 1 , \quad f \ge 0$$

and

$$A_k^{-1} \le \frac{f(x')}{f(x)} \le A_k \quad \text{if} \quad x_i' = x_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, k \} \,.$$
 (3.23)

(i)  $L\Sigma \subset \Sigma$ .

(ii) If 
$$f \in \Sigma$$
, then  $||f|| \le A_0$  and if  $x_i = x_i'$  for  $i = 1, ..., k$ , then  $|f(x') - f(x)| \le A_0(A_k - 1)$ . (3.24)

(iii) The set  $\Sigma$  is convex and compact in  $\mathscr{C}(K_+)$ .

(iv) If  $f, f' \in \Sigma$ , then

$$|||f - f'||| \ge B^{-k}(1 + B)^{-k}(||f - f'|| - 2A_0(A_k - 1))$$
 (3.25)

for all k.

(i) follows from Prop. 3 (i) and the same argument as in the proof of Prop. 1.

If  $f \in \Sigma$ , then v(f) = 1 hence v(f - 1) = 0 and one can choose  $\tilde{x}$  such that  $f(\tilde{x}) \leq 1$  hence  $f(x) \leq A_0 f(\tilde{x}) \leq A_0$ , proving  $||f|| \leq A_0$ . If  $x_i = x_i'$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  we get

$$f(x') - f(x) \le f(x) (A_k - 1) \le A_0(A_k - 1) \tag{3.26}$$

and (3.24) follows by exchanging the roles of x and x'.

The set  $\Sigma$  is clearly convex and closed, since it is bounded and equicontinuous by (ii) the theorem of ASCOLI shows that it is compact, proving (iii).

Let  $f, f' \in \Sigma$ . We can choose  $\tilde{x}$  such that  $|f(\tilde{x}) - f'(\tilde{x})| = ||f - f'||$ . Denote by g the characteristic function of the set  $\{x \in K_+ : x_i = \tilde{x}_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k\}$ , using (ii) we obtain

$$|||f - f'||| = \nu(|f - f'|) \ge (||f - f'|| - 2A_0(A_k - 1)) \cdot \nu(g)$$
(3.27)

and (iv) follows from

$$v(g) = v(L^k g) = \frac{v(\mathcal{L}^k g)}{\lambda^k} \ge \frac{B^{-k}}{(1+B)^k},$$
 (3.28)

where we have used  $F(x) \ge B^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \le 1 + B$  (see Prop. 2.).

**Proposition 5.** (i) There exists  $h \in H$  such that Lh = h (i.e.  $\mathcal{L}h = \lambda h$ ),  $\nu(h) = 1$ .

(ii) If  $f \in H$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|L^n f - h\| = 0$ , more generally if  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K_+)$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} L^n f = \nu(f) h \tag{3.29}$$

in the uniform topology.

(iii) If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K_+)$  the following limit exists in the vague topology

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda^{-n} (\mathscr{L}^*)^n \mu = \mu(h) \cdot \nu. \tag{3.30}$$

By Prop. 4 (i), (iii) the convex compact set  $\Sigma$  is mapped into itself by L which has therefore a fixed point h by the theorem of Schauder-Tychonov, proving (i).

Let  $\tilde{f} \in \Sigma$ , in view of Prop. 4. (i), (ii), we can for each integer n > 0 choose m(n) independent of N such that

$$||(L^N \tilde{f} - h) - g|| < \frac{1}{n!}$$
 (3.31)

for some  $g \in \mathscr{C}_{m(n)}$  with  $\nu(g) = 0$ . Then by Prop. 3. (v), (vi),

$$\begin{aligned} |||(L^{N+m(n)}\tilde{f}-h)||| &\leq |||L^{m(n)}g||| + \frac{1}{n!} \\ &\leq (1-A_0^{-1})|||g||| + \frac{1}{n!} \leq (1-A_0^{-1})|||L^N\tilde{f}-h||| + \frac{2}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.32)

If we put  $M(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(i)$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |||L^{N+M(n)}\tilde{f} - h||| = 0$$
 (3.33)

uniformly in N, using then Prop. 4. (iv), we have thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|L^n \tilde{f} - h\| = 0 \tag{3.34}$$

when  $\tilde{f} \in \Sigma$ . This remains true if  $\tilde{f} \in H$  and  $\tilde{f}$  is a linear combination of elements of  $\Sigma$ , these linear combinations include the elements of  $\mathscr{C}_m$  for all m and are thus dense in H. By Prop. 3 (iii),  $\|L^n f\|$  is bounded for all  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K_+)$ , hence the theorem of Banach-Steinhaus shows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||L^n f - \nu(f) \cdot h|| = 0 \tag{3.35}$$

proving (ii).

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K_+)$ , then for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}(K_+)$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda^{-n} (\mathcal{L}^*)^n \,\mu(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \,\mu(L^n f) = \mu(\nu(f) \cdot h) = \mu(h) \,\nu(f) \qquad (3.36)$$

proving (iii).

**Proposition 6.** Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathscr{E}$  and B a bounded subset of  $\mathscr{F}$ .

- (i) The limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|L_{\Phi}^nf-\nu_{\Phi}(f)\cdot h_{\Phi}\|=0$  holds uniformly in  $\Phi\in B$ .
- (ii)  $h_{\Phi}$  is a continuous function of  $\Phi \in \mathscr{F}$  for the uniform topology of  $\mathscr{C}(K_{+})$ .
  - (iii)  $v_{\Phi}$  is a continuous function of  $\Phi \in \mathscr{F}$  for the vague topology of  $\mathscr{M}(K_{+})$ .
- (iv) Let  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi \in \mathscr{F}$ ,  $\Phi(t) = \Phi + t\Psi$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the function  $t \to \lambda_{\Phi(t)}$  has a derivative

$$\frac{d}{dt} \lambda_{\Phi(t)} = \nu_{\Phi(t)} \left( \mathscr{L}'_{\Phi(t),\Psi} h_{\Phi(t)} \right) \tag{3.37}$$

where  $\mathscr{L}'_{\Phi, \Psi}$  is the bounded operator on  $\mathscr{C}(K_+)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\Phi,\Psi}f(x) = \left[ -\sum_{l \ge 0} \sum_{0 < i_1 < \dots < i_l} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l} \Psi^{l+1}(0, i_1, \dots, i_l) \right] \cdot F_{\Phi}(x)f(1,x)$$
(3.38)

and  $\frac{d}{dt} \lambda_{\Phi(t)}$  is a continuous function of  $\Phi \in \mathscr{F}$ .

Let  $\tilde{f} > 0$  satisfy, for all k and all  $\Phi \in B$ 

$$A_k^{-1} \le \frac{f(x')}{f(x)} \le A_k \text{ if } x'_i = x_i \text{ for } i = 1, ..., k.$$
 (3.39)

Then,  $\nu_{\Phi}(\tilde{f})^{-1}\tilde{f}\in\mathcal{\Sigma}$ . Since  $A_k$ , B depend continuously on  $\Phi\in\mathcal{F}$ , the estimates in the proof of Prop. 5 (ii) can be made uniformly in  $\Phi\in B$ , hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| \nu_{\Phi}(\tilde{f})^{-1} L_{\Phi}^{n} \tilde{f} - h_{\Phi} \| = 0 \tag{3.40}$$

uniformly in  $\Phi \in B$ . Since  $\nu_{\Phi}(\tilde{f}) < \|\tilde{f}\|$ , (i) holds for  $f = \tilde{f} > 0$  satisfying (3.39).

In particular  $L_{\sigma}^{n}$  1 tends to  $h_{\sigma}$  uniformly in  $\Phi \in B$ , and  $||L_{\sigma}^{n}1||^{-1}L_{\sigma}^{n}1| = ||\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}^{n}1||^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}^{n}1|$ , which is continuous in  $\Phi \in B$ , tends uniformly in  $\Phi \in B$  towards  $||h_{\sigma}||^{-1}h_{\sigma}$  which is therefore continuous in  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We have the identity

$$t^{-1}(\lambda_{\Phi+t\Psi} - \lambda_{\Phi}) \nu_{\Phi} \left( \frac{h_{\Phi+t\Psi}}{||h_{\Phi+t\Psi}||} \right) = \nu_{\Phi} \left( t^{-1} \left[ \mathscr{L}_{\Phi+t\Psi} - \mathscr{L}_{\Phi} \right] \frac{h_{\Phi+t\Psi}}{||h_{\Phi+t\Psi}||} \right) \quad (3.41)$$
and, in the norm of operators on  $\mathscr{C}(K_{+})$ ,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \|t^{-1}(\mathscr{L}_{\varPhi+t\varPsi} - \mathscr{L}_{\varPhi}) - \mathscr{L}'_{\varPhi,\varPsi}\| = 0.$$
 (3.42)

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1} (\lambda_{\varPhi + t\varPsi} - \lambda_{\varPhi}) = \nu_{\varPhi} (\mathscr{L}'_{\varPhi, \varPsi} h_{\varPhi})$$
 (3.43)

which proves (3.37);  $\lambda_{\Phi}$  is a continuous function of  $\Phi \in \mathscr{F}$  because of the boundedness of  $|\nu_{\Phi}(\mathscr{L}'_{\Phi, \mathscr{V}} h_{\Phi})|$  for  $\Phi \in B$  (use  $h \in \Sigma$ ).

We may consider  $L^n : f \to L^n_{\Phi} f$  as a bounded operator from  $\mathscr{C}(K_+)$  to  $\mathscr{C}(K_+ \times B)$ . For each  $f \in \mathscr{C}(K_+)$  the sequence  $L^n_{\Phi} f$  is bounded in  $\mathscr{C}(K_+ \times B)$  by Prop. 3 (iii). We have seen that (i) is satisfied for linear combinations of  $\tilde{f} \geq 0$  satisfying (3.39) for all k and all  $\Phi \in B$ , these include again the elements of  $\mathscr{C}_m$  for all m and are thus dense in  $\mathscr{C}(K_+)$ . Applying the theorem of Banach-Steinhaus to the sequence  $L^n$  proves then (i).

Applying (i) to f=1 yields (ii). More generally (i) shows that  $\nu_{\Phi(f)} h_{\Phi}$  is continuous in  $\Phi \in \mathscr{F}$ , using then (ii) we see that  $\nu_{\Phi}(f)$  is continuous in  $\Phi$  for each  $f \in K_+$ , proving (iii). Finally the continuity of the derivative (3.37) follows from the continuity in  $\Phi \in \mathscr{F}$  of  $\nu_{\Phi}$  (by (ii)),  $h_{\Phi}$  (by (iii)) and  $\mathscr{L}'_{\Phi,\mathscr{V}}$ .

# **Bibliography**

- Arnold, V. I., et A. Avez: Problèmes ergodiques de la mécanique classique. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1967.
- FISHER, M. E.: The theory of condensation (Sec. 6.). Lecture given at the Centennial Conference on Phase Transformation at the University of Kentucky, 1965.
- 3. Jacobs, K.: Lecture notes on ergodic theory. Aarhus Universitet (1962-1963).
- ROBINSON, D., and D. RUELLE: Mean entropy of states in classical statistical mechanics. Commun. Math. Phys. 5, 288-300 (1967).
- VAN HOVE, L.: L'intégrale de configuration pour les systèmes de particules à une dimension. Physica 16, 137-143 (1950).

## D. RUELLE Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques F 91 Bures-sur-Yvette