Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe Technik und Umwelt

Wissenschaftliche Berichte FZKA 5603

Stellar Neutron Capture Cross Sections of the Tin Isotopes

K. Wisshak, F. Voss, Ch. Theis, F. Käppeler, K. Guber, L. Kazakov, N. Kornilov, G. Reffo Institut für Kernphysik

August 1995

FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM KARLSRUHE

Technik und Umwelt Wissenschaftliche Berichte FZKA 5603

STELLAR NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS OF THE TIN ISOTOPES

K. WISSHAK, F. VOSS, Ch. THEIS, F. KÄPPELER, K. GUBER¹, L. KAZAKOV², N. KORNILOV², and G. REFFO³

Institut für Kernphysik

¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6371, USA ²Institute for Physics and Power Engineering, Obninsk, Kaluga Region, Russia ³ENEA, Centro Dati Nucleari, Via Martiri di Monte Sole 4, I–40138 Bologna, Italy

Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Karlsruhe 1995

Als Manuskript gedruckt Für diesen Bericht behalten wir uns alle Rechte vor

> Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH Postfach 3640, 76021 Karlsruhe

> > ISSN 0947-8620

ABSTRACT

The neutron capture cross sections of ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, ¹¹⁶Sn, ¹¹⁷Sn, ¹¹⁸Sn, and ¹²⁰Sn were measured in the energy range from 3 to 225 keV at the Karlsruhe 3.75 MV Van de Graaff accelerator. Neutrons were produced via the ⁷Li(p,n)⁷Be reaction by bombarding metallic Li targets with a pulsed proton beam. Capture events were registered with the Karlsruhe 4π Barium Fluoride Detector, which was improved by replacing crystals with high α background. This allowed to lower the threshold in sum energy and resulted in a significantly increased efficiency for capture events. The experiment was difficult due to the small (n, γ) cross sections of the proton magic tin isotopes, and due to the comparably low enrichment of the rare isotopes ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn. This caused significant corrections for capture of scattered neutrons and for isotopic impurities, but the high efficiency and the spectroscopic quality of the BaF₂ detector allowed to determine these corrections reliably. Typical overall uncertainties of 1–2% could be achieved for the cross section ratios, five times smaller compared to existing data. Based on these results, Maxwellian averaged (n, γ) cross sections were calculated for thermal energies between kT = 10 keV and 100 keV.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

DIE STELLAREN (n,γ) QUERSCHNITTE DER ZINNISOTOPE

Die Neutroneneinfangquerschnitte von ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, ¹¹⁶Sn, ¹¹⁷Sn, ¹¹⁸Sn und ¹²⁰Sn wurden im Energiebereich von 3 bis 225 keV am Karlsruher Van de Graaff Beschleuniger relativ zu Gold als Standard gemessen. Neutronen wurden über die $^{7}Li(p,n)^{7}Be-Reaktion$ durch Beschuß metallischer Li-Targets mit einem gepulsten Protonenstrahl erzeugt. Der zum Nachweis von Einfangereignissen benutzte Karlsruher 4π -Bariumfluorid-Detektor wurde verbessert, indem Kristalle mit hohem α -Untergrund ersetzt wurden. Dadurch konnte die Energieschwelle für den Nachweis von Einfangereignissen reduziert und die Nachweiswahrscheinlichkeit entsprechend verbessert werden. Die Messung wurde durch die kleinen Einfangquerschnitte der protonenmagischen Zinnisotope und die relativ niedrige Anreicherung der seltenen Isotope ¹¹⁴Sn und ¹¹⁵Sn erschwert. Dies hatte signifikante Korrekturen für Streuneutronen und Isotopeneffekte zur Folge, die jedoch aufgrund der hohen Nachweiswahrscheinlichkeit und der spektroskopischen Qualität des BaF₂ Detektors zuverlässig durchgeführt werden konnten. Für die Unsicherheiten der Querschnittsverhältnisse ergaben sich Werte von 1-2%, was im Vergleich zu früheren Arbeiten einer Verbesserung um einen Faktor fünf entspricht. Mit diesen Ergebnissen wurden die stellaren (n,γ) -Querschnitte für thermische Energien von kT = 10 keV bis 100 keV berechnet.

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	EXPERIMENT2.1Experimental Method2.2Samples2.3Measurements	3 3 4 6
3	DATA ANALYSIS3.1Total Cross Sections3.2Capture Cross Sections	6 6 7
4	RESULTS FOR THE NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS	32
5	DISCUSSION OF UNCERTAINTIES	43
6	MAXWELLIAN AVERAGED CROSS SECTIONS	46
7	SUMMARY	51
8	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	51
R	EFERENCES	52

1 INTRODUCTION

The origin of the stable isotopes in the Cd–In–Sn region is characterized by a complex mixture of contributions from the various processes of nucleosynthesis and is presently not well understood [1, 2]. This rather complicated pattern results partly from the influence of the numerous isomers on the reaction flow as illustrated in Fig. 1.

At first one could assume that the s-process neutron captures run through the stable Cd isotopes up to ¹¹⁴Cd. Therefore, ¹¹⁵In and ¹¹⁶Sn should be the lightest isotopes of these elements produced by the s-process. The bypassed isotopes ¹¹³In, ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn are also shielded from the r-process by their stable isobars. Since only even isotopes can survive the hot environment where the p-process is supposed to occur [3, 4] ¹¹³In and ¹¹⁵Sn would not exist at all in such a naive picture. Accordingly, it was suggested [5, 6] that these isotopes owe their existence to the long-lived isomers indicated in Fig. 1. These isomers can be fed either by the s-process or by the r-process, thus providing a possibility for producing these critical isotopes.



Figure 1: Possible s- and r-process paths in the Cd-In-Sn region. Only temperature independent branchings are indicated. (Solid lines: s-process path, dashed lines: post r-process β -decays)

1

A detailed study [1, 2] of the branching at ¹¹³Cd, based on new experimental results for the level scheme of this isotope, showed that only 17% of the observed ¹¹³In abundance, 6% of ¹¹⁴Sn and \sim 50% of ¹¹⁵Sn can be explained by a combined analysis of the s- and r-processes. To attribute the remaining fraction to the p-process is problematic, however, at least for the odd isotopes, which are not produced in due amounts by recent p-process calculations [3, 4].

The aim of the present experiment was to improve this situation by an accurate determinination of the stellar cross sections of the tin isotopes which is an essential prerequisite for detailed s-process analyses. Apart from the puzzling origin of ¹¹³In and ¹¹⁵Sn, there is a general interest in accurate data for ¹¹⁶Sn, an s-only isotope that is not affected by branchings and can, therefore, be used as a normalization point of the $N_s < \sigma >$ curve, similar to the previously measured ¹²⁴Te [7] and ¹⁵⁰Sm [8]. In addition, the complete data set allows for a new determination of the s-abundances of all tin isotopes and for an improved separation of s- and r-process residuals in this mass region.

The experimental situation for the capture cross sections of the tin isotopes is more favorable than in other cases investigated recently [9, 10]. There exists a complete set of data by Timokhov et al. [11] with typical uncertainties of 5 to 8%. In general, older results are in agreement with these data [12, 13], significant discrepancies being found only in case of ¹²⁰Sn, where an activation experiment by Schanz et al.[14, 15] yielded a 20% lower value compared to the data of Timokhov et al. [11]. Unfortunately, the existing data were only determined for neutron energies above 20 keV with rather large uncertainties around this lower limit. Therefore, reliable stellar cross sections could only be calculated for thermal energies larger than kT=30 keV, while stellar model calculations require these data also around kT=10 keV [16, 17].

The present experiment was performed in the neutron energy range from 3 to 225 keV, thus significantly extending the investigated energy range. The uncertainties were reduced to $\sim 1\%$ by using the Karlsruhe $4\pi BaF_2$ detector for the registration of capture events.

The measurement of the (n,γ) cross sections of the tin isotopes is complicated for two reasons:

- The cross sections of the even isotopes are very small due to the magic proton number Z=50. In fact, ¹²⁰Sn exhibits the smallest cross section measured with the Karlsruhe $4\pi BaF_2$ detector up to now. Correspondingly, the ratio of total to capture cross section is unfavorably large, giving rise to large corrections for capture of scattered neutrons.
- The isotopes ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn have low natural abundances of 0.7% and 0.4%, respectively. Therefore, the available sample material was only enriched to 70% in ¹¹⁴Sn and to 45% in ¹¹⁵Sn, resulting in large corrections for isotopic impurities.

While these problems are difficult to handle with conventional TOF methods, the present setup is well suited for treating both corrections properly. This was demonstrated at the more extreme examples of the barium isotopes [9], where the background due to capture of scattered neutrons was even larger, and of the gadolinium isotopes [10] where the corrections for isotopic impurities were also larger than in the present case.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Experiment and data analysis are described in Secs. 2 and 3. The differential cross sections are presented in Sec. 4, the uncertainties are discussed in Sec. 5, and the determination of the stellar cross sections follows in Sec. 6. This report is devoted to a presentation of the experimental results. The astrophysical implications will be addressed in a forthcoming publication [18].

2 EXPERIMENT

2.1 Experimental Method

The neutron capture cross sections of the tin isotopes 114 to 118 and 120 have been measured in the energy range from 3 to 225 keV using gold as a standard. Since the experimental method has been published in detail [7, 8, 19, 20], only a more general description is given here, complemented with the specific features of the present measurement. Neutrons were produced via the ⁷Li(p,n)⁷Be reaction by bombarding metallic Li targets with the pulsed proton beam of the Karlsruhe 3.75 MV Van de Graaff accelerator. The neutron energy was determined by time of flight (TOF), the samples being located at a flight path of 79 cm. The important parameters of the accelerator were: pulse width <1 ns, repetition rate 250 kHz, and average beam current 1.5 μ A. In different runs, the proton energies were adjusted 30 and 100 keV above the threshold of the ⁷Li(p,n)⁷Be reaction at 1.881 MeV. In this way, continuous neutron spectra in the energy range of interest for s-process studies were obtained, ranging from 3 to 100 keV, and 3 to 200 keV, respectively. The lower maximum neutron energy offers a significantly better signal to background ratio at lower energies.

Capture events were registered with the Karlsruhe 4π Barium Fluoride Detector via the prompt capture γ -ray cascades. This detector consists of 42 hexagonal and pentagonal crystals forming a spherical shell of BaF₂ with 10 cm inner radius and 15 cm thickness. It is characterized by a resolution in γ -ray energy of 7% at 2.5 MeV, a time resolution of 500 ps, and a peak efficiency of 90% at 1 MeV. For a comprehensive description see Ref.[19].

In the present experiment, 6 crystals with high intrinsic background rates due to a natural radium contamination (~1000 counts/s for the integral of the four α lines) have been replaced. Two of the new cristals have background rates of 200 counts/s as most of the others, but four crystals exhibit very low radium impurities giving rise to less than 10 counts/s only. With this change the total intrinsic background could be reduced by almost a factor of two. This means that the threshold in the sum energy spectrum of the detector could be reduced from 2.4 to 1.6 MeV while recording the same integral count rate. This improvement has led to a significantly increased detection efficiency (see Sec. 3).

The experiment was divided into three runs, two with the conventional data acquisition technique with the detector operated as a calorimeter, and one with the ADC system for obtaining more detailed spectroscopic information.

2.2 Samples

The samples were metal disks of 22 mm diameter, which were prepared from isotopically enriched metallic tin powder. The relevant parameters of the samples are compiled in Table 1. In addition to the six tin samples, a gold sample, a graphite sample, and an empty position in the sample ladder were used in all runs. A second, three times thinner ¹¹⁶Sn sample was prepared in order to check the corrections for neutron multiple scattering and self-shielding effects.

$Sample^1$	Thickness		Weight	Neutron Binding
	(mm)	$(10^{-3} \mathrm{at/barn})^2$	(\mathbf{g})	Energy (MeV)
¹¹⁶ Sn ³	2.8	10.2780	7.529	6.944
Graphite	1.4	11.9881	0.909	
¹¹⁴ Sn	1.0	3.5616	2.589	7.546
115 Sn	0.3	1.0303	0.755	9.562
¹⁹⁷ Au	0,25	1.5048	1.871	6.513
¹¹⁷ Sn	0.39	1.2434	0.919	9.326
¹¹⁸ Sn	1.8	6.3581	4.737	6.484
Empty				
¹²⁰ Sn	3.7	13.5715	10.280	6.171
$^{116}\mathrm{Sn}^4$	0.90	3.3404	2.447	6.944

Table 1:	SAMPLE	CHARACTERISTICS

¹All samples 22 mm in diameter ²For tin samples: sum of all Sn isotopes ³Used in runs 1 and 3

⁴Used in run 2

The isotopic compositions provided by the supplier are listed in Table 2. As already pointed out, the enrichments in ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn of 70.2% and 45.3% are comparably low. respectively.

The neutron transmissions of the samples were calculated with the SESH code[21], and are generally larger than 95% except for the thick ¹¹⁶Sn and the ¹²⁰Sn samples (Table 3). Normalization of the spectra to equal neutron flux was performed for all samples by means of a ⁶Li–glass monitor located close to the neutron target. The spectra measured with a second ⁶Li–glass detector at a flight path of 260 cm were used for a rough determination of the total cross sections. Though the accuracy of this method is inferior to that obtained in a dedicated experiment as performed by Timokhov et al. [11], the derived total cross sections can be used to test the normalization to equal neutron flux (Sec. 3).

Sample					Isot	ope				
-	112 Sn	114 Sn	$^{115}\mathrm{Sn}$	$^{116}\mathrm{Sn}$	117 Sn	¹¹⁸ Sn	119 Sn	120 Sn	122 Sn	124 Sn
¹¹⁴ Sn	0.26	70.2	0.50	10.23	2.40	6.39	1.94	6.68	0.66	0.74
115 Sn	0.11	0.57	45.3	33.27	5.21	6.83	1.85	5.68	0.56	0.62
^{116}Sn	< 0.03	0.01	0.04	97.6	0.69	0.96	0.16	0.47	0.03	0.04
^{117}Sn	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.84	92.1	5.81	0.39	0.76	0.05	0.05
118 Sn	< 0.06	< 0.03	< 0.06	0.07	0.14	98.5	0.78	0.51	< 0.04	< 0.04
_ ¹²⁰ Sn	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.04	0.03	0.18	0.09	99.6	0.03	0.03

Table 2: ISOTOPIC COMPOSITION (%)

Table 3: NEUTRON TRANSMISSION¹

Sample	Net	Neutron Energy (keV)				
	10	20	100	200		
¹⁹⁷ Au	0.972	0.976	0.984	0.987		
¹¹⁴ Sn	0.985	0.985	0.984	0.983		
115 Sn	0.997	0.997	0.997	0.997		
$^{116}\mathrm{Sn}^2$	0.983	0.982	0.981	0.981		
$^{116}\mathrm{Sn}^3$	0.949	0.948	0.944	0.942		
¹¹⁷ Sn	0.993	0.993	0.993	0.993		
¹¹⁸ Sn	0.970	0.969	0.967	0.966		
¹²⁰ Sn	0.931	0.930	0.927	0.926		

 1 Monte Carlo calculation with SESH code [21].

² Thin sample ³ Thick sample

Table 4: PARAMETERS OF THE INDIVIDUAL MEASUREMENTS

Run	Flight	TOF	Number	Maximum	Measuring	Average	Threshold
	Path	\mathbf{Scale}	of	Neutron	Time	Beam	in Sum
			Cycles	Energy		Current	Energy
	(mm)	(ns/ch)		(keV)	(d)	(μA)	(MeV)
1	786.5	0.7360	263	100	29.6	1.2	1.6
2	785.9	0.7359	293	200	26.6	1.4	1.6
3	786.2	0.7133	295	100	22.0	1.7	1.6

2.3 Measurements

The samples were moved cyclically into the measuring position by a computer controlled sample changer. The data acquisition time per sample was about 10 min, a complete cycle lasting about 1.5 h. From each event, a 64 bit word was recorded on DAT tape containing the sum energy and TOF information together with 42 bits identifying those detector modules that contributed. Three runs were performed using neutron spectra with different maximum energies. The relevant parameters are compiled in Table 4. The data in Run 3 were recorded with the ADC system. The overall recorded information was 27 Gbyte.

3 DATA ANALYSIS

3.1 Total Cross Sections

The total cross sections of the tin isotopes were determined in the neutron energy range from 10 to 200 keV via the TOF spectra measured with the ⁶Li glass detector at a flight path of 260 cm. The total cross sections and the related uncertainties were obtained as described in Ref.[8], and are listed in Table 5. The values deduced for the carbon sample agree with the data from the Joint Evaluated File (JEF) [22] within $\pm 3.0\%$, similar to the results reported in Ref.[8]. The uncertainties were again calculated with the assumption that they are inversely proportional to the fraction of neutrons interacting in the sample, A=1-T, where T is the transmission. For the carbon sample, A=5.4% and an uncertainty of 3% estimated from the comparison with the JEF data. Correspondingly, the A=0.9% of the ¹¹⁷Sn sample yields an uncertainty of 18% for the total cross section. For the samples with low enrichment, the uncertainty was attributed to the main isotope after correction for isotopic impurities. This explains the 36% uncertainty estimated for the ¹¹⁵Sn sample, which has the same transmission as the ¹¹⁷Sn sample, but where ¹¹⁵Sn contributes only 50%.

The data of Timokhov et al. [11], which were obtained in a dedicated experiment using thick samples with transmissions between 60% and 80%, exhibit uncertainties of 0.8–1.4%. Within the accuracy of the present experiment, there is good agreement for ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, ¹¹⁷Sn, and ¹²⁰Sn, whereas our results for ¹¹⁶Sn and ¹¹⁸Sn are higher by 12– 15%. This overall agreement confirms that the uncertainties were estimated reasonably, in particular since the uncertainties of Timokhov et al. at low neutron energies might be larger than the quoted averages due to the poor statistics.

The present setup allows to measure the total cross section with uncertainties between $\sim 2\%$ and $\sim 20\%$ for sample transmissions between 0.92% and 0.99%, respectively. This can be taken as an independent proof for the accuracy obtained in normalizing the spectra of the individual samples to equal neutron flux, since the above results can only be obtained if the uncertainty of this correction is smaller than 0.2%.

3.2 Capture Cross Sections

The analysis was carried out in the same way as described previously [7, 8, 20]. All events were sorted into two-dimensional spectra containing 128 sum energy versus 2048 TOF channels according to various event multiplicities (Evaluation 1). In Evaluation 2, this procedure was repeated by rejecting those events, where only neighboring detector modules contributed to the sum energy signal. With this option, background from the natural radioactivity of the BaF₂ crystals and from scattered neutrons can be reduced. For all samples, the resulting spectra were normalized to equal neutron flux using the count rate of the ⁶Li glass monitor close to the neutron target. The corresponding normalization factors are below 1.0% for all runs. The calculation of the two-dimensional spectra from the data recorded with the ADC system is slightly more complicated and was performed as described in Ref. [8].

In the next step of data analysis, the spectra measured with the empty sample canning were subtracted to remove sample-independent backgrounds. A remaining constant background was determined at very long flight times, where no time-correlated events are expected. In spite of the relatively small (n,γ) cross sections of the tin isotopes, there was no problem in subtracting this background as in case of the barium experiment [9]. The use of metallic samples ensured a significantly smaller scattering to capture ratio, thus avoiding systematic uncertainties due to a TOF overlap with events from the previous pulse.

The two-dimensional spectra of run 3 containing all events with multiplicity >2 are shown in Figs. 2, 3, and 4. Events at low sum energy and large TOF are suppressed by the preprocessing unit coupled to the ADC system.

At this point, the spectra contain only events that are correlated with the sample. The next correction to be made is for isotopic impurities (see Ref.[8] for details). The respective coefficients are compiled in Table 6. Since experimental data exist only for the six investigated isotopes, the following assumptions were made in order to account for the

Neutron Energy			Total	Cross S	lection ((barn)		
(keV)	114 Sn	115 Sn	¹¹⁶ Sn	117 Sn	¹¹⁸ Sn	120 Sn	^{12}C	$^{197}\mathrm{Au}$
10 - 15	7.32	9.19	6.32	9.09	5.78	5.28	5.13	19.4
15 - 20	6.07	9.00	6.69	6.73	5.47	5.58	4.64	13.5
20 - 30	7.26	10.2	6.59	7.08	5.72	5.69	4.93	14.1
30 - 40	7.54	9.06	7.23	7.93	6.13	5.31	4.90	14.3
40 - 60	6.55	8.74	6.71	6.55	5.70	5.58	4.70	12.2
60 - 80	6.92	7.61	6.90	7.18	6.23	6.01	4.58	11.1
80 - 100	7.07	8.19	6.68	7.56	6.43	5.86	4.59	10.5
100 - 150	7.39	12.2	7.21	7.47	6.46	6.17	4.38	10.8
150-200	6.70	8.08	6.13	6.79	6.94	6.83	4.14	9.6
Uncertainty	9.2%	36.%	2.6%	18.%	4.2%	2.1%	3.0%	8.5%

Table 5: THE MEASURED TOTAL CROSS SECTIONS ¹

¹determined from the count rate of the ⁶Li glass neutron monitor at 260 cm flight path

Corrected Spectrum	¹¹⁴ Sn	¹¹⁵ Sn	Measured spectrum ¹¹⁶ Sn ^{1 117} Sn ¹¹⁸ Sn		¹¹⁸ Sn	¹²⁰ Sn	Corrected Sample Thickness $(10^{-3} \text{ at/barn})$
¹¹⁴ Sn ¹¹⁵ Sn ¹¹⁶ Sn ² ¹¹⁷ Sn ¹¹⁸ Sn ¹²⁰ Sn	100 -0.302 -0.038	-3.785 100 -0.879 +0.001	$\begin{array}{r} -3.489 \\ -3.400 \\ 100 \\ -0.104 \\ -0.041 \\ -0.053 \end{array}$	-10.633 -5.407 -6.968 100 -3.491 -1.008	$\begin{array}{r} -3.406 \\ -0.995 \\ -1.482 \\ -1.152 \\ 100 \\ -0.378 \end{array}$	-1.956 -0.467 -0.378 -0.073 -0.237 100	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5142 \\ 0.4665 \\ 10.0273/3.2590 \\ 1.1477 \\ 6.2601 \\ 13.5224 \end{array}$

Table 6: MATRIX FOR THE ISOTOPIC CORRECTION (%)

¹This column refers to the evaluation of runs 1 and 3. In case of run 2 it has to be multiplied by a factor of 3.077 to account for the smaller sample mass.

²This line refers to the evaluation of runs 1 and 3. In case of run 2 it has to be divided by a factor of 3.077 to account for the smaller sample mass

remaining four stable tin isotopes as well: ¹¹²Sn was approximated by the spectrum of ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁹Sn by that of ¹¹⁷Sn, and ^{122,124}Sn by the ¹²⁰Sn spectrum, as the respective isotopes have very similar binding energies. The abundances of these four impurity isotopes were scaled by factors of 1.54, 0.63, 0.92, and 0.44, respectively, according to the their cross section ratios, which were adopted from the work of Timokhov et al. [11]. This simplified treatment was possible because the abundances of the four critical impurity isotopes are always less than 2%.

It is obvious from Table 2 that the ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn samples required rather large corrections for isotopic impurities. The left part of Fig.5 shows the projection of the two-dimensional spectra of ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, and ¹¹⁶Sn on the sum energy axis before this correction. The ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn spectra exhibit structures which are obviously caused by the isotopic impurities. The right part of Fig.5 shows the same spectra after the corresponding corrections. The spectra of the ¹¹⁶Sn sample in the lower part of the figure, illustrate the situation if the correction for isotopic impurities is small (the events around channel 100 are due to capture of scattered neutrons in the odd barium isotopes of the scintillator). In all three cases, the resulting sum energy spectra exhibit very similar shapes, demonstrating the reliability of this correction.

Closer inspection of the spectra in Fig. 5 reveals a feature, which is due to the fact that the first excited states of the compound nuclei ¹¹⁵Sn, ¹¹⁶Sn, and ¹¹⁷Sn occur at 0.5 MeV, 1.3 MeV, and 0.16 MeV, respectively. Since the first excited state is populated in many cascades (see Table 9), and since there is a probability of ~6% that the γ -rays from the transition to the ground state escape from the detector, some of the capture events are not registered at the neutron binding energy but displaced by the energy of the first excited state. Corresponding structures are clearly visible for the ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn

samples, while the resolution is not sufficient in case of ¹¹⁶Sn.

The corrections are indicated in Fig. 6, where the TOF spectra before subtraction of the background from isotopic impurities are shown together with this background. Here, these corrections are much smaller than for the gadolinium isotopes [10], where up to 50% of the measured events had to be subtracted. In the ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn spectra, about 20% of the observed effect is due to isotopic impurities, whereas it is only 2% for ¹¹⁶Sn, and even less for the other isotopes, which are not shown explicitly.

Following the correction for isotopic impurities, the background due to capture of sample scattered neutrons was removed from the spectra by means of the data measured with the carbon sample. This correction is sizable due to the large ratios of total and capture cross sections in the tin isotopes, and was performed in the same way as described in the samarium measurement [8]. The correction is easily evaluated for the even isotopes, but there are some problems with the odd ones. The binding energies of ¹¹⁵Sn and ¹¹⁷Sn are 9.5 and 9.3 MeV respectively, and thus even larger than those of the odd barium isotopes of the scintillator. Therefore, normalization of this correction has to be performed via the even barium isotopes as described in Ref.[7], which is more difficult because of the smaller capture rates of the even barium isotopes. This holds especially for the ¹¹⁵Sn sample, where the low sample mass and the comparably large correction for isotopic impurities lead to a reduced statistical accuracy, which makes it difficult to determine this correction reliably at low neutron energies. Therefore, the resulting ¹¹⁵Sn data are affected by systematic uncertainties below 10 keV which are hard to evaluate.

After this last correction, the final spectra contain only the net capture events of the respective isotopes (bottom spectra in Figs. 2, 3, and 4).

The corrections for capture of scattered neutrons are shown in Fig. 7, and their influence at different neutron energies is given in Table 7 for the runs with maximum neutron energies of 100 keV and 200 keV. Comparison with the respective spectra from the barium experiment [9] shows that the signal to background ratio is significantly better in the present case in spite of the fact that the cross section of ¹²⁰Sn is nearly a factor of two smaller than those of ¹³⁶Ba and ¹³⁷Ba. This reduction of background from scattered neutrons results from the use of metallic samples instead of the carbonate, that had to be used for the barium samples. At 10 keV neutron energy, for example, the signal to background ratio for ¹²⁰Sn is better by factors of 5 and 10 compared to ¹³⁶Ba and ¹³⁷Ba, respectively.

Figure 2: The different steps of background subtraction in the two-dimensional sum energy \times TOF spectra. The data are shown for ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn measured in run 3 with 100 keV maximum neutron energy and an event multiplicity >2. (The original resolution of 128 \times 2048 channels was compressed into 64 \times 64 channels for better readability. Events at low sum energy and large TOF were cut off by the preprocessing unit of the ADC system).





Figure 3: The same as Fig. 2 but for the ¹¹⁶Sn and ¹¹⁷Sn samples. The correction for isotopic impurities was omitted since the difference is not visible in these spectra.



Figure 4: The same as Fig. 3 but for the ¹¹⁸Sn and ¹²⁰Sn samples.



Figure 5: Sum energy spectra of the ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, and ¹¹⁶Sn samples before and after correction for isotopic impurities and capture of scattered neutrons.



Figure 6: TOF spectra of the ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, and ¹¹⁶Sn samples. The background due to isotopic impurities is shown separately.

Sample	σ_t/σ_γ	Maximum Neutron	Signal	/Backgro	und ratio ¹
	at 30 keV	Energy (keV)	neut	ron energ	gy (keV)
			30	20	10
114Sn	52.0	100	3.1	2.2	1.8
$^{115}\mathrm{Sn}$	7.6		4.1	2.6	1.7
$^{116}\mathrm{Sn}$	58.5		2.7	1.7	1.4
117 Sn	16.8		4.5	2.6	1.6
118 Sn	86.2		2.3	1.7	1.5
$^{120}\mathrm{Sn}$	175.		1.9	1.5	1.5
$^{197}\mathrm{Au}$	24.0		10.1	4.4	3.1
114 Sn		200	2.6	2.0	1.6
115 Sn			3.2	2.0	1.3
¹¹⁶ Sn			2.3	1.6	1.4
117 Sn			3.0	2.0	1.3
¹¹⁸ Sn			2.0	1.6	1.4
¹²⁰ Sn			1.7	1.4	1.4
¹⁹⁷ Au			8.2	3.8	3.0

Table 7: SIGNAL/BACKGROUND RATIO FOR RUNS WITH DIFFERENT MAXI-MUM NEUTRON ENERGY

¹the ratios are defined as (effect+background)/background

It is obvious from the spectra of Fig. 7 that individual resonances are resolved in the spectra of ¹¹⁶Sn, ¹¹⁸Sn, and ¹²⁰Sn below 10 keV neutron energy. Individual resonance parameters will be extracted from this part of the spectra by a shape analysis program as for ¹³⁶Ba [23]. However, since the stellar cross section of ¹³⁶Ba was practically independent of how it was determined, from the resonance parameters and simply by averaging the observed yield, this is likely to hold for the tin isotopes, as well.

After subtraction of the background from scattered neutrons, the TOF spectra of Fig.7 were used to determine the cross section shape. For normalization, the two-dimensional data were projected onto the sum energy axis using the TOF region of optimum signal to background ratio as indicated in Fig.7 by dashed boxes. The resulting pulse height spectra are shown in Fig.8 for the events with multiplicity >2. Note, that the threshold in sum energy could be lowered to 1.6 MeV.

In Fig.9, the sum energy spectra of the tin isotopes are shown for different multiplicities. These multiplicities correspond to the number of detector modules contributing per event. The true multiplicities are slightly smaller, because of cross talking effects. In the even isotopes, 30 to 40% of the capture events are observed with multiplicities ≥ 5 , while the respective fraction in the odd isotopes is about 60%. The arrows in Fig. 9 indicate the range of sum energy channels that were integrated to obtain the TOF spectra of Fig. 7, from which the cross section shapes were determined.

Following the spectra of the even isotopes in Fig. 9, there is a systematic trend towards lower multiplicities with increasing mass number due to the decreasing level density in the compound nucleus. Of all isotopes investigated so far with the 4π BaF₂ detector, ¹²⁰Sn represents a remarkable extreme with 14% of the capture events being observed with multiplicity one.

The cross section ratio of isotope X relative to the gold standard is given by

$$\frac{\sigma_i(X)}{\sigma_i(Au)} = \frac{Z_i(X)}{Z_i(Au)} \cdot \frac{\Sigma Z(Au)}{\Sigma Z(X)} \cdot \frac{\Sigma E(X)}{\Sigma E(Au)} \cdot \frac{m(Au)}{m(X)} \cdot F_1 \cdot F_2.$$
(1)

In this expression, Z_i is the count rate in channel *i* of the TOF spectrum, ΣZ is the TOF rate integrated over the interval used for normalization (Fig.7), ΣE is the total count rate in the sum energy spectrum for all multiplicities summed over the normalization interval (Fig.8), and m is the sample thickness in atoms/barn. The factor $F_1 = (100-f(Au))/(100-f(X))$ corrects for the fraction of capture events f below the experimental threshold in sum energy, where X refers to the respective tin sample (Table 8), and F_2 is the ratio of the multiple scattering and self-shielding corrections.

The fraction of unobserved capture events, f, and the correction factor F_1 were calculated as described in detail in Ref.[20]. The required input for this calculation are the individual neutron capture cascades and their relative contributions to the total capture cross section as well as the detector efficiency for monoenergetic γ -rays in the energy range up to 10 MeV. Capture cascades and capture γ -ray spectra of the involved isotopes, were calculated according to the statistical and optical models [24] as in the previous measurements with the 4π BaF₂ detector [7, 8, 9], The calculations are based on the Hauser Feshbach approach. In Table 9, the cross sections are given as a function of cascade multiplicity together with the γ -ray energies of the 20 most probable cascades. The capture gamma-ray spectra are shown in Fig. 10. In contrast to the barium experiment [9] where the intensity of cascades with multiplicity 1 was well reproduced, the calculated values for the even tin isotopes are lower by a factor of two compared to the experimental results in Fig. 9. This indicates either a strong nuclear structure effect or a significant contribution from the direct capture channel.

According to Table 9, less than 40 cascades were required to cover 95% of the capture cross section of the even tin isotopes ^{116,118,120}Sn due to the low binding energies and level densities. The limited number of cascades did not allow to simulate the finite solid angle of the 4π BaF₂ detector by randomly neglecting some gamma transitions. Instead, the spectrum fraction f was calculated for all isotopes assuming a solid angle of $\Omega=1.0$, without neglecting any transitions. This calculation was repeated as described in Ref. [9] with $\Omega=0.94$ for the three isotopes ^{114,115,117}Sn which exhibit a sufficient number of cascades. The difference $\Delta f = f(\Omega=0.94) - f(\Omega=1.00)$ is plotted versus the binding energy of the respective isotope (filled symbols) in the lower part of Fig. 11.

It was found in all previous experiments with the 4π BaF₂ detector that both parameters, f as well as the correction factor F₁, depend linearly on the binding energy of the captured neutron. Therefore, it is plausible that this feature holds also for Δf , so that these values could be determined for ^{116,118,120}Sn by linear extrapolation (open symbols in the lower part of Fig.11), yielding the f-values and the correction factors F₁ in Table 8. The upper part of Fig. 11 shows the final correction F₁ versus the binding energy, again confirming a linear dependence.

	Thresh 1.5	old in Sum 1.6	Energy (MeV) 2.0
f(Au)	3.56		5.28
$f(^{114}Sn)$	2.06		3.28
$f(^{115}Sn)$	1.27		1.72
$f(^{116}Sn)$	2.52		4.16
$f(^{117}Sn)$	1.14		1.59
f(¹¹⁸ Sn)	3.56		6.22
f(120Sn)	3.76		6.16
$F_{c}(114S_{p}/A_{H})$	0.985	0.984	0.979
$F_1(\frac{115}{S_n}/A_n)$	0.985	0.984	0.919
$F_1(^{116}S_n/A_u)$	0.977	0.989	0.988
$F_1(^{117}Sn/Au)$	0.976	0.973	0.963
$F_1(^{118}Sn/Au)$	1.000	1.002	1.010
$F_1(^{120}Sn/Au)$	1.002	1.003	1.009

Table 8: FRACTION OF UNDETECTED CAPTURE EVENTS, f (%), AND THE RE-LATED CORRECTION FACTORS F₁. ¹

¹Solid angle 94%, γ -ray threshold 50 keV

The efficiency of the 4π BaF₂ detector was determined experimentally [25] by measuring the response for monoenergetic γ -rays, which were produced by (p,γ) -reactions on thin ²⁶Mg, ³⁰Si, and ³⁴S targets. In these reactions, certain proton resonances decay predominantly by cascades with only two transitions. Replacing one of the BaF₂ modules by a Ge-detector, and looking for BaF₂-Ge-coincidences, two-dimensional spectra, E_{γ} (Ge) versus E_{γ} (BaF₂), were recorded. The response of the 4π BaF₂ detector for monoenergetic γ -rays was then obtained by selecting those events, where the full energy of the complementary γ -ray is registered in the germanium detector.

Using seven (p,γ) -resonances and an ⁸⁸Y source, the line shapes of 20 γ -transitions in the energy range from 0.843 to 8.392 MeV could be determined. These data were used in the calculation of the spectrum fractions, f, and of the correction factors, F₁, given in Table 8. The resulting sum energy spectra shown in Fig. 12 are in good agreement with the experimental spectra of Fig. 8, thus confirming the calculation of the correction factors F₁.

It is important to note that the lower threshold of 1.6 MeV, which became possible replacing the crystals with the highest radium impurities, resulted in a significantly improved detector efficiency. While the fraction of unobserved capture events in the previous measurements of the samarium and barium cross sections [8, 9] was 7% and 6% for the



Figure 7: TOF spectra measured with the tin samples in run 3 with 100 keV maximum neutron energy. The background due to sample scattered neutrons is shown separately. The region used for the absolute normalization of the cross section is indicated by hatched boxes.



Figure 8: Sum energy spectra of all isotopes measured in run 2 containing events with multiplicity > 2. These spectra were obtained by projection of the two-dimensional spectra in the TOF region below the maximum neutron energy as indicated by hatched boxes in Fig.7



Figure 9: Sum energy spectra of all tin isotopes in dependence of the detector multiplicity. The regions used to determine the cross section shape are indicated by arrows.

Table 9: CALCULATED CAPTURE γ -RAY CASCADES INCLUDING MULTIPLICITIES, PARTIAL CROSS SECTIONS, σ_p , AND γ -RAY ENERGIES OF THE 20 MOST SIGNIFICANT CASCADES

		1	¹⁴ Sn		
$\sigma(30 \text{ ke})$ $\sigma(\text{mul})$	eV)=125 mb 1)=3.9 mb	total o	apture o	cross section ¹	
$\sigma(\text{mul})$	(3)=52.0 mb				
$\sigma(\text{mul})$	(4)=27.1 mb	averag	e multir	olicity <m>=3.0</m>)
$\sigma(\text{mul})$	5) = 6.6 mb		,		
$\sigma(\mathrm{mul})$	(6) = 0.8 mb				
744 cas	cades coveri	ng 95% d	of the cr	oss section	
σ_p	σ_p/σ	$E_{\gamma 1}$	$E_{\gamma 2}$	$E_{\gamma 3}$	$E_{\gamma 4}$
(mb)	(%)			(MeV)	
6.17	4.9	4.848	2.728		
4.70	3.8	4.309	3.267		
4.00	3.2	4.848	2.231	0.497	
3.71	3.0	7.576			
3.46	2.8	4.309	2.770	0.497	
3.43	2.7	3.770	3.806		
2.75	2.2	3.770	3.308	0.497	
2.57	2.1	7.079	0.497		
2.42	1.9	3.232	4.344		
2.05	1.6	3.232	3.847	0.497	
1.61	1.3	2.693	4.883		
1.42	1.1	2.693	4.386	0.497	
1.39	1.1	2.693	2.155	2.728	
1.39	1.1	3.232	1.616	2.728	
1.21	0.97	6.296	1.280		
1.13	0.90	2.155	2.693	2.728	
1.08	0.86	4.848	2.116		
1.07	0.86	3.770	1.077	2.728	
1.00	0.80	2.693	-2.155	2.231	0.497
0.99	<u>0.79</u>	3.232	1.616	2.231	0.497
	$\Sigma = 38.0\%$				

¹ normalized to present experimental result

¹¹⁵ Sn

$\sigma(100 \text{ keV})=356 \text{ mb}$	total capture cross section
$\sigma(\text{mul 1})=14.1 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma(\text{mul } 2) = 36.5 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma(mul 3) = 123.7 mb$	
$\sigma(mul 4) = 131.7 mb$	average multiplicity <m>=3.5</m>
$\sigma(\text{mul 5})=46.4 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma(\text{mul } 6) = 3.6 \text{ mb}$	

341 cascades covering 95% of the cross section

σ_p	σ_p/σ	$E_{\gamma 1}$	$E_{\gamma 2}$	$E_{\gamma 3}$	$E_{\gamma 4}$
(mb)	(%)			(MeV)	
22.3	6.3	4.635	3.663	1.293	
13.4	3.8	9.592			
13.1	3.7	5.298	3.001	1.293	
10.9	3.1	5.298	2.538	0.463	1.293
8.5	2.4	8.299	1.293		
7.7	2.1	5.960	1.875	0.463	1.293
6.4	1.8	5.960	1.520	2.112	
6.2	1.8	3.973	4.325	1.293	
5.8	1.6	5.960	2.339	1.293	
5.0	1.4	3.973	5.619		
4.9	1.4	3.311	4.988	1.293	
4.9	1.4	5.960	1.520	0.819	1.293
4.0	1.1	3.311	6.281		
4.0	1.1	4.635	2.587	1.076	1.293
4.0	1.1	5.298	2.182	2.112	
3.5	0.98	2.649	5.650	1.293	
3.5	0.98	2.649	1.987	3.663	1.293
3.3	0.93	1.987	2.649	3.663	1.293
3.2	0.90	2.649	2.649	3.001	1.293
3.2	<u>0.89</u>	7.835	0.463	1.293	
	$\Sigma = 38.8\%$				

1	1	6	S	n
			D	п

$\sigma(30 \text{ keV})=93.5 \text{ mb}^{-1}$	total capture cross section
$\sigma(\text{mul 1})=2.8 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma({ m mul}\ 2){=}20.9~{ m mb}$	
$\sigma({ m mul}\ 3){=}58.9~{ m mb}$	average multiplicity <m>=2.8</m>
$\sigma(mul \ 4) = 10.9 mb$	

39 cascades covering 95% of the cross section

σ_p	σ_p/σ	$E_{\gamma 1}$	$E_{\gamma 2}$	$E_{\gamma 3}$	$E_{\gamma 4}$
(mb)	(%)			(MeV)	
11.7	12.5	3.650	3.165	0.158	
10.5	11.2	4.171	2.644	0.158	
9.2	9.8	4.693	0.977	0.990	
8.1	8.7	2.607	3.063	0.990	
7.6	8.1	3.128	3.845		
7.3	7.8	2 086	4 888		
5.1	5.5	3.128	3.687	0.158	
4.1	4.4	2.086	4.730	0.158	
2.7	2.9	2.607	1.043	3.324	
2.7	2.9	6.974			
2.4	2.6	6.815	0.158		
1.8	1.9	2.607	1.043	3.166	0.158
1.7	1.8	1.564	3.128	0.977	0.990
1.6	1.7	1.564	2.607	2.644	0.158
1.3	1.4	1.564	2.086	3.165	0.158
1.0	1.1	5.969	1.004		
0.78	0.83	1.043	3.128	2.644	0.158
0.76	0.81	1.043	3.650	0.977	0.990
0.75	0.80	1.043	2.607	3.165	0.158
0.63	0.67	5.794	1.021	0.158	
	$\Sigma = 87.4\%$				

¹ normalized to experimental result

23

1	1	7	S	n
			1.7	11

$\sigma(100 \text{ keV})=340 \text{ mb}$	total capture cross section
$\sigma({ m mul}\ 1){=}12.2~{ m mb}$	
$\sigma(\text{mul } 2) = 52.6 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma(\text{mul }3)=117.5 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma(mul \ 4) = 122.6 mb$	average multiplicity $\langle m \rangle = 3.3$
$\sigma(\text{mul } 5) = 34.6 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma(\text{mul } 6) = 0.5 \text{ mb}$	

968 cascades covering 95% of the cross section

σ_p	σ_p/σ	$E_{\gamma 1}$	$E_{\gamma 2}$	$E_{\gamma 3}$	$E_{\gamma 4}$
(mb)	(%)			(MeV)	
10.1	3.0	9.356			
8.8	2.6	5.927	2.199	1.229	
7.8	2.3	5.269	2.858	1.229	
7.5	2.2	5.927	3.428		
6.8	2.0	4.610	3.516	1.229	
6.3	1.9	5.269	4.087		
5.8	1.7	8.126	1.229		
5.8	1.7	3.952	4.175	1.229	
5.5	1.6	4.610	4.746		
4.7	1.4	3.952	5.404		
4.6	1.4	3.293	4.833	1.229	
4.4	1.3	3.293	2.634	2.199	1.229
3.9	1.1	2.634	3.293	2.199	1.229
3.9	1.1	3.952	1.976	2.199	1.229
3.9	1.1	3.293	6.063		
3.2	0.94	2.634	5.492	1.229	
3.2	0.94	3.293	2.634	3.428	
3.0	0.88	3.952	1.976	3.428	
2.9	0.85	2.634	6.721		
2.7	0.79	2.634	3.293	3.428	
	$\Sigma = 30.8\%$				

,

TABLE 9 (continued)

¹¹⁸ Sn					
$\sigma(30 \text{ ke}) \sigma(\text{mul } 1)$	$V)=58.3 \text{ mb}^{-1}$)=4.8 mb	total o	capture o	cross section	
$\sigma(\text{mul } 2$)=37.9 mb		14		
$\sigma(\text{mul } 3 \sigma(\text{mul } 4$	= 15.2 mb = 0.4 mb	averag	e multip	m > = 2.2	
25 casca	des covering 9	5% of t	he cross	section)	
σ_p	σ_p/σ	$E_{\gamma 1}$	$E_{\gamma 2}$	$\mathrm{E}_{\gamma 3}$	$E_{\gamma 4}$
(mb)	(%)			(MeV)	
7.0	12.0	3.594	2.896	0.024	
6.2	10.6	4.621	1.869	0.024	
6.2	10.6	4.108	1.317	1.066	0.024
6.0	10.3	2.054	4.437	0.024	
5.6	9.6	3.081	2.184	1.250	
3.5	6.0	2.567	3.947		
3.4	5.8	2.567	3.923	0.024	
3.3	5.7	1.540	4.950	0.024	
2.3	3.9	6.514			
2.3	3.9	6.490	0.024		
1.6	2.7	3.081	2.184	1.226	0.024
1.0	1.7	1.540	4.974		
0.98	1.7	5.454	1.060		
0.86	1.5	5.304	1.210		
0.84	1.4	5.594	0.920		
0.74	1.3	5 326	1 164	0 024	
0.64	1.1	5.265	1.250		
0.55	0.94	5.425	1.066	0.024	
0.50	0.86	5.593	0.897	0.024	
0.39	0.67	4.108	1.317	1.089	
	$\Sigma = 92.3\%$				

 1 normalized to experimental result

25

$\sigma(100 \text{ keV})=31.1 \text{ mb}$	total capture cross section
$\sigma(\text{mul 1})=1.8 \text{ mb}$	
$\sigma({\rm mul}\ 2){=}17.9~{\rm mb}$	
$\sigma({\rm mul}\ 3){=}9.1~{\rm mb}$	
$\sigma(\text{mul } 4) = 2.3 \text{ mb}$	average multiplicity <m>=2.4</m>

cascades covering 95% of the cross section)

σ_p	σ_p/σ	$E_{\gamma 1}$	$E_{\gamma 2}$	$E_{\gamma 3}$	$E_{\gamma 4}$
(mb)	(%)			(MeV)	
3.6	11.6	3.543	1.709	0.943	
3.6	11.4	2.531	3.664		
3.4	10.9	4.049	2.146		
3.3	10.5	3.037	2.239	0.925	
2.2	7.2	2.024	4.170		
2.2	7.1	1.518	2.530	1.203	0.943
1.7	5.5	6.201			
1.7	5.4	4.555	1.646		
1.6	5.1	6.141	0.060		
1.5	4.9	4.555	1.640		
0.99	3.2	1.012	4.240	0.943	
0.83	2.6	2.024	3.513	0.657	
0.81	2.6	5.292	0.909		
0.72	2.3	5.179	1.022		
0.68	2.2	5.332	0.869		
0.43	1.4	5.100	1.101		
0.37	$\underline{1.2}$	5.081	1.120		
	$\Sigma = 95.1\%$				



Figure 10: Calculated capture γ -ray spectra for the tin isotopes.



Figure 11: The correction F_1 for unobserved capture events, plotted versus the difference in binding energy between the investigated tin isotopes and the gold standard for two different sum energy thresholds (top), and the difference $\Delta f = f(\Omega=0.94) - f(\Omega=1.00)$ (bottom). Calculated values are indicated by closed symbols, open symbols denote extrapolated values.

even isotopes, respectively, values of 2-4% could now be reached.

The correction for neutron multiple scattering and self-shielding was calculated with the SESH code [21]. Apart from the pairing energies [26], most of the input parameters were adopted from the work of Timokhov et al. [11], who determined these data sets by fitting their measured capture and total cross sections. The good agreement of these cross sections and the present results justifies the choice of these parameters, which are listed in Table 10 together with the calculated total cross sections. The resulting correction factors, MS(X) and F_2 , are compiled in Tables 11 and 12.

For the ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn samples, however, these corrections required special considerations because of the low isotopic enrichments of 70.2% and 45.3%, respectively. They could be calculated either for the true composition of the sample or for that part which remains after the correction for isotopic impurities. Since most samples of the present experiment are very similar in weight and in size, it could be assumed, that subtraction of the isotopic impurities via the spectra of the other samples accounts for the respective contributions to the multiple scattering corrections as well. Therefore, these corrections were calculated as if the two samples consisted of the main isotopes, ¹¹⁴Sn or ¹¹⁵Sn, only. In general, these corrections are small, e.g. below 2% for ^{114,115,117}Sn, the thin ¹¹⁶Sn sample, and for the gold sample, but can be sizable for the other samples as well as at energies below 10 keV. The calculations can be checked via the results obtained for the two ¹¹⁶Sn samples, in particular below 20 keV, where the differences are large enough to produce a significant effect on the observed count rates.



Figure 12: Calculated sum energy spectra of the $4\pi BaF_2$ detector based on the measured lineshapes. These spectra were used to derive the correction F_1 for unobserved capture events.

Parameter		¹¹⁴ Sn	115 Sn	¹¹⁶ Sn	¹¹⁷ Sn	¹¹⁸ Sn	¹²⁰ Sn
Nucleon Number		114	115	116	117	118	120
Binding Energy (MeV)		7.546	9.562	6.944	9.326	6.484	6.172
Pairing Energy (MeV)		1.19	2.51	1.19	2.34	1.19	1.19
Effective Temperature (K)		293	293	293	293	293	293
Nuclear Spin		0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0
Average Radiation	s	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
Width (eV)	р	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Average Level	s	345.	46.	540.	58.	800	1610
Spacing (eV)	$\mathbf{p^1}$	115	20.4	180.	25.8	267	537
Strength Function	\mathbf{S}_{0}	0.2	0.3	0.18	0.21	0.16	0.14
(10^{-4})	S_1	2.8	3.8	2.3	2.6	2.1	1.6
Nuclear Radius	s	5.9	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.6	6.1
(fm)	р	7.8	7.9	8.2	8.5	8.5	8.7
Neutron Energy (keV)		C	alculate	ed total	cross se	ctions (1))
3		6.29	6.90	5.92	6.34	5.46	5.97
5		6.05	6.54	5.70	6.08	5.27	5.79
10		5.90	6.29	5.55	5.90	5.14	5.65
20		5.91	6.28	5.54	5.88	5.14	5.62
100		6.36	6.91	5.88	6.26	5.48	5.76
200		6.56	7.23	6.04	6.43	5.66	5.82
300		6.59	7.29	6.08	6.45	5.72	5.80

Table 10: PARAMETERS FOR THE CALCULATION OF NEUTRON SELF-SHIELDING AND MULTIPLE SCATTERING CORRECTIONS

¹Calculated with the SESH code [21]

Table 11: CORRECTION FACTORS FOR NEUTRON SELF–SHIELDING AND MULTIPLE SCATTERING, MS

Neutron Energy Range				М	IS			
(keV)	¹⁹⁷ Au	¹¹⁴ Sn	115 Sn	116	Sn^1	117 Sn	¹¹⁸ Sn	¹²⁰ Sn
3-5	1.004	0.950	0.998	0.819	0.927	0.998	0.855	0.710
5 - 7.5	1.014	0.972	1.000	0.874	0.953	1.002	0.898	0.770
7.5 - 10	1.019	0.984	1.002	0.907	0.969	1.004	0.923	0.809
10 - 12.5	1.023	0.992	1.003	0.927	0.978	1.005	0.939	0.835
12.5 - 15	1.023	0.996	1.004	0.941	0.984	1.006	0.950	0.855
15 - 20	1.022	1.000	1.005	0.955	0.991	1.008	0.962	0.876
20 - 25	1.021	1.004	1.006	0.968	0.996	1.009	0.972	0.897
25 - 30	1.020	1.006	1.006	0.976	0.999	1.010	0.978	0.912
30 - 40	1.018	1.007	1.007	0.984	1.003	1.010	0.985	0.929

Table 11 continue	ed							
40 - 50	1.017	1.008	1.007	0.991	1.005	1.011	0.991	0.945
50 - 60	1.015	1.009	1.007	0.996	1.007	1.011	0.995	0.956
60 - 80	1.013	1.009	1.007	1.001	1.008	1.011	0.999	0.969
80 - 100	1.012	1.010	1.007	1.006	1.010	1.011	1.003	0.981
100 - 120	1.011	1.010	1.007	1.010	1.011	1.011	1.006	0.990
120 - 150	1.010	1.010	1.007	1.013	1.012	1.011	1.009	0.998
150 - 175	1.009	1.011	1.006	1.017	1.013	1.011	1.012	1.005
175 - 200	1.008	1.012	1.006	1.020	1.014	1.010	1.014	1.011
200 - 225	1.007	1.013	1.005	1.023	1.015	1.010	1.016	1.015
Uncertainty (%)	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.6

¹Thick sample left column, thin sample right column

Table 12:	CORRECTION	FACTORS	FOR	THE	CROSS	SECTION	RATIOS,	F_2	=
MS(Au)/M	MS(X)								

Neutron Energy Range				F ₂			
(keV)	¹¹⁴ Sn/Au	¹¹⁵ Sn/Au	116 Sn	/Au ¹	¹¹⁷ Sn/Au	¹¹⁸ Sn/Au	¹²⁰ Sn/Au
3 - 5	1.057	1.006	1.226	1.083	1.006	1.174	1.414
5 - 7.5	1.043	1.014	1.160	1.064	1.012	1.129	1.317
7.5 - 10	1.036	1.017	1.123	1.052	1.015	1.104	1.260
10 - 12.5	1.031	1.020	1.104	1.046	1.018	1.089	1.225
12.5 - 15	1.027	1.019	1.087	1.040	1.017	1.077	1.196
15 - 20	1.022	1.017	1.070	1.031	1.014	1.062	1.167
20 - 25	1.017	1.015	1.055	1.025	1.012	1.050	1.138
25 - 30	1.014	1.014	1.045	1.021	1.010	1.043	1.118
30 - 40	1.011	1.011	1.035	1.015	1.008	1.034	1.096
40 - 50	1.009	1.010	1.026	1.012	1.006	1.026	1.076
50-60	1.006	1.008	1.019	1.008	1.004	1.020	1.062
60 - 80	1.004	1.006	1.012	1.005	1.002	1.014	1.045
80 - 100	1.002	1.005	1.006	1.002	1.001	1.009	1.032
100 - 120	1.001	1.004	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.005	1.021
120-150	1.000	1.003	0.997	0.998	0.999	1.001	1.012
150-175	0.998	1.003	0.992	0.996	0.998	0.997	1.004
175-200	0.996	1.002	0.988	0.994	0.998	0.994	0.997
200 - 225	0.994	1.002	0.984	0.992	0.997	0.991	0.992
Uncertainty (%)	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.6

¹Thick sample left column, thin sample right column

4 RESULTS FOR THE NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS

The ratios of the neutron capture cross sections of the tin isotopes and of ¹⁹⁷Au are listed in Tables 13 to 18 together with the respective statistical uncertainties. The data are given for the three experimental runs and for the two evaluations discussed in Sec.3. The last column in each table contains the weighted average, the weight being determined by the inverse of the squared statistical uncertainties. Since the cross section ratios depend weakly on energy, the averages for the energy interval from 30 to 80 keV are also included to allow for a better comparison of the individual results. The data are free of systematic differences with respect to the different runs or evaluations. This is particularly important for the comparison of runs 1 and 3, which were made with different data acquisition modes. The only exception may occur for ¹¹⁷Sn, where a systematic difference of $\pm 1.2\%$ is found between evaluations 1 and 2. Though this difference is still within the 2σ limit of the individual statistical uncertainties, it is observed for all three runs and may be due to the problems with the background from sample scattered neutrons as discussed above.

As in the previous measurements with the 4π BaF₂ detector [7, 8, 9], the final cross section ratios were adopted from evaluation 2. The respective mean values are compiled for all runs in Table 19 together with the statistical, systematic, and total uncertainties. The energy bins are sufficiently fine to avoid systematic uncertainties in the calculation of the Maxwellian averaged cross sections (Sec.6). The final uncertainties of the cross section ratios are ~1% for the even, and ~2% for the odd isotopes, which represents a significant improvement compared to previous data [11, 12, 13, 15].

The experimental ratios were converted into cross sections using the gold cross section of Macklin [27] after normalization by a factor of 0.989 to the absolute value of Ratynski and Käppeler [28] (Table 20). The uncertainties of these data can be obtained by adding the 1.5% uncertainty of the reference cross section to the uncertainties of the respective cross section ratios.

The present results are compared to the data of Timokhov et al. [11] in Figures 13 to 15. For these data, accuracies of 5–10% are quoted for 114,115,116,117 Sn and of 10–20% for 118,120 Sn. On average, the present results are systematically lower by 12%, the individual ratios ranging from 0.80 to 1.00. Most of this systematic difference is due to a ~10% smaller gold cross section used by Timokhov et al. for converting the experimental cross section ratios to absolute values. Apart from this problem, all remaining differences are well within the quoted uncertainties [29]. A general comparison with all other data will be discussed in Sec.6 in connection with the stellar cross sections.

Neutron Energy Range	Run	I	Run	Run II		Run III		age
(keV)								0
Evaluation 1								
3 - 5	0.1335	26.4	0.1913	28.9	0.1238	32.7	0.1504	17.1
5 - 7.5	0.1066	18.5	0.1772	15.2	0.1938	13.1	0.1689	8.9
7.5 - 10	0.2447	7.9	0.2673	9.9	0.2297	10.1	0.2470	5.3
10 - 12.5	0.2746	5.4	0.2869	7.0	0.2504	6.5	0.2703	3.6
12.5 - 15	0.2208	5.9	0.1985	8.5	0.1789	7.6	0.2037	4.1
15 - 20	0.2882	2.8	0.2660	3.8	0.2924	3.1	0.2845	1.8
20 - 25	0.2955	2.4	0.3136	2.7	0.2974	2.6	0.3016	1.5
25 - 30	0.2010	2.5	0.2204	2.7	0.2075	2.7	0.2091	1.5
30 - 40	0.2546	1.6	0.2612	1.7	0.2564	1.8	0.2574	1.0
40 - 50	0.2445	1.6	0.2428	1.8	0.2492	1.8	0.2454	1.0
50 - 60	0.2306	1.6	0.2295	1.8	0.2347	1.7	0.2316	1.0
60 - 80	0.2218	1.4	0.2237	1.5	0.2253	1.5	0.2235	0.8
80 - 100	0.2177	1.4	0.2236	1.4	0.2275	1.6	0.2225	0.8
100 - 120	0.2101	1.5	0.2160	1.4	0.2168	1.9	0.2141	0.9
120 - 150	¹ starse		0.2144	1.3			0.2144	1.3
150-175	_	-	0.2150	1.4	-	—	0.2150	1.4
175-200	maging.		0.2242	1.5	_		0.2242	1.5
200 - 225	-	_	0.2271	2.1			0.2271	2.1
30 - 80	0.2379	1.2	0.2393	1.0	0.2414	1.3	0.2394	0.7
Evaluation 2								
3 - 5	0.1370	19.8	0.2133	19.1	0.1225	23.6	0.1629	12.2
5 - 7.5	0.1376	11.2	0.2031	10.4	0.1598	11.8	0.1690	6.5
7.5 - 10	0.2486	5.9	0.2676	7.4	0.2642	6.4	0.2588	3.7
10 - 12.5	0.2601	4.5	0.2563	5.9	0.2490	4.9	0.2553	2.9
12.5 - 15	0.2128	4.8	0.2004	6.6	0.1862	5.8	0.2016	3.2
15 - 20	0.2771	2.3	0.2630	3.0	0.2835	2.5	0.2760	1.5
20 - 25	0.2998	1.9	0.3032	2.2	0.2948	2.0	0.2991	1.2
25 - 30	0.2091	1.9	0.2199	2.2	0.2124	2.1	0.2134	1.2
30 - 40	0.2563	1.3	0.2607	1.4	0.2533	1.4	0.2568	0.8
40-50	0.2464	1.3	0.2421	1.4	0.2458	1.4	0.2449	0.8
50 - 60	0.2320	1.2	0.2282	1.5	0.2305	1.4	0.2305	0.8
60 - 80	0.2183	1.0	0.2165	1.2	0.2183	1.1	0.2178	0.7
80 -100	0.2180	1.0	0.2187	1.2	0.2216	1.2	0.2193	0.7
100 - 120	0.2095	1.2	0.2126	1.2	0.2132	1.6	0.2115	0.8
120 - 150		-	0.2134	1.1	_	—	0.2134	1.1
150 - 175	-	_	0:2131	1.2	_	-	0.2131	1.2
175 - 200	-	-	0.2247	1.2	_	-	0.2247	1.2
200 - 225	—	-	0.2254	1.8	_	-	0.2254	1.8
30 - 80	0.2383	0.8	0.2369	0.7	0.2370	0.9	0.2374	0.5

Table 13: $\sigma(^{114}Sn)/\sigma(^{197}Au)$ AND STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTIES (in %)

.

Neutron Energy Range	Rur	I	Run II		Run III		Average	
(keV)								Ģ
Evaluation 1								
3-5	_				0.6531	34.0	0.6531	34.0
5 - 7.5	0.2781	39.2	_	_	0.3657	37.5	0.3238	27.4
7.5 - 10	0.4155	25.1	_	_	0.5518	22.4	0.4911	16.9
10 - 12.5	0.6120	12.9	0.1189	88.4	0.5574	15.1	0.5833	9.8
12.5 - 15	0.4780	14.4	0.4600	19.7	0.5925	12.3	0.5288	8.5
15 - 20	0.6591	6.3	0.5845	8.9	0.6140	7.0	0.6272	4.1
20 - 25	0.7043	5.1	0.6904	6.1	0.7546	4.8	0.7208	3.0
25 - 30	0.6674	4.2	0.5868	5.2	0.7061	3.9	0.6643	2.5
30 - 40	0.7243	3.1	0.6823	3.1	0.7566	2.7	0.7245	1.7
40 - 50	0.7222	3.1	0.6803	3.1	0.7076	2.8	0.7037	1.7
50 - 60	0.6879	3.0	0.6343	3.2	0.6617	2.7	0.6624	1.7
60 - 80	0.6259	2.7	0.6162	2.6	0.5860	2.3	0.6072	1.4
80 - 100	0.6493	2.6	0.5961	2.5	0.6423	2.3	0.6295	1.4
100 - 120	0.6132	2.9	0.5935	2.5	0.6160	3.0	0.6059	1.6 .
120 - 150	-	—	0.5623	2.3			0.5623	2.3
150 - 175	_	-	0.5741	2.4			0.5741	2.4
175 - 200	-		0.5806	2.6	-	_	0.5806	2.6
200 - 225	-	-	0.5926	3.8	-	_	0.5926	3.8
30 - 80	0.6901	2.4	0.6533	1.7	0.6780	1.8	0.6702	1.1
Evaluation 2								
3-5	-		_	—	0.2332	69.4	0.2332	69.4
5 - 7.5	0.2735	32.0		-	0.2443	43.2	0.2631	25.8
7.5 - 10	0.3954	20.4	0.2540	42.0	0.3511	25.7	0.3626	15.0
10 - 12.5	0.5456	11.4	0.3032	26.8	0.4156	15.4	0.4787	8.8
12.5 - 15	0.4930	11.2	0.5781	12.5	0.4597	12.6	0.5094	7.0
15-20	0.6295	5.1	0.6390	6.5	0.5500	6.2	0.6083	3.4
20 - 25	0.6778	4.0	0.7149	4.7	0.6980	4.1	0.6952	2.4
25 - 30	0.6342	3.3	0.6113	4.0	0.6257	3.4	0.6253	2.0
30 - 40	0.7027	2.2	0.7101	2.5	0.7186	2.3	0.7102	1.3
40 - 50	0.7092	2.1	0.6944	2.5	0.6980	2.3	0.7013	1.3
50 - 60	0.6625	2.1	0.6409	2.6	0.6516	2.2	0.6533	1.3
60 - 80	0.6185	1.7	0.6217	2.1	0.5859	1.9	0.6089	1.1
80 -100	0.6424	1.7	0.6016	2.1	0.6311	1.9	0.6281	1.1
100 -120	0.6060	1.9	0.5949	2.1	0.6274	2.4	0.6075	1.2
120 - 150	_	-	0.5557	1.9		-	0.5557	1.9
150 - 175	—		0.5632	2.0	-	-	0.5632	2.0
175 - 200	_		0.5833	2.1		-	0.5833	2.1
200-225	—		0.5752	3.2	_	-	0.5752	3.2
30 - 80	0.6732	1.4	0.6668	1.4	0.6635	1.5	0.6680	0.8

Table 14: $\sigma(^{115}Sn)/\sigma(^{197}Au)$ AND STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTIES (in %)

Neutron Energy Range	Run	I	Run	II	Run	III	Avera	ge
(keV)								
Evaluation 1								
3 - 5	0.1107	12.0	0.1205	32.3	0.0839	17.9	0.1040	9.6
5 - 7.5	0.1692	4.8	0.1458	13.1	0.1648	6.2	0.1659	3.6
7.5 - 10	0.1344	5.4	0.1445	12.7	0.1395	6.4	0.1372	3.9
10 - 12.5	0.1465	3.9	0.1559	8.9	0.1431	4.4	0.1461	2.8
12.5 - 15	0.1797	3.0	0.1903	6.4	0.1715	3.4	0.1777	2.1
15 - 20	0.1892	1.8	0.2065	3.5	0.1947	2.0	0.1935	1.3
20-25	0.1691	1.7	0.1813	3.2	0.1743	1.9	0.1728	1.2
25 - 30	0.1812	1.4	0.1856	2.4	0.1795	1.5	0.1812	0.9
30 - 40	0.1646	1.1	0.1722	1.8	0.1673	1.2	0.1669	0.8
40 - 50	0.1470	1.1	0.1508	2.0	0.1486	1.3	0.1482	0.8
50 - 60	0.1594	1.1	0.1591	1.9	0.1577	1.2	0.1587	0.7
60 - 80	0.1488	0.9	0.1543	1.6	0.1509	1.1	0.1505	0.6
80 - 100	0.1472	1.0	0.1513	1.5	0.1499	1.1	0.1489	0.7
100 - 120	0.1478	1.0	0.1495	1.6	0.1500	1.3	0.1488	0.7
120 - 150			0.1519	1.4	-		0.1519	1.4
150 - 175	-	_	0.1571	1.5			0.1571	1.5
175 - 200			0.1578	1.6			0.1578	1.6
200 - 225	-	_	0.1666	2.1	-	_	0.1666	2.1
30 - 80	0.1550	0.8	0.1591	1.1	0.1561	0.9	0.1563	0.5
Evaluation 2								
3 - 5	0.0945	11.4	0.1109	25.8	0.0801	14.2	0.0912	8.4
5 - 7.5	0.1755	3.8	0.1796	8.4	0.1572	5.1	0.1701	2.9
7.5 - 10	0.1397	4.2	0.1314	10.4	0.1369	4.9	0.1379	3.0
10 - 12.5	0.1488	3.1	0.1565	6.8	0.1388	3.6	0.1458	2.2
12.5 - 15	0.1800	2.5	0.1910	5.0	0.1672	2.9	0.1766	1.8
15 - 20	0.1837	1.5	0.1896	3.0	0.1839	1.7	0.1845	1.1
20-25	0.1780	1.4	0.1838	2.5	0.1777	1.5	0.1787	1.0
25 - 30	0.1882	1.1	0.1904	1.9	0.1828	1.3	0.1866	0.8
30 - 40	0.1653	0.9	0.1676	1.4	0.1651	1.0	0.1657	0.6
40 - 50	0.1521	0.9	0.1529	1.5	0.1512	1.1	0.1519	0.6
50 - 60	0.1618	0.9	0.1579	1.5	0.1581	1.0	0.1598	0.6
60 - 80	0.1506	0.8	0.1519	1.2	0.1516	0.9	0.1512	0.5
80 -100	0.1492	0.8	0.1505	1.2	0.1498	0.9	0.1497	0.5
100 -120	0.1506	0.8	0.1480	1.2	0.1509	1.1	0.1501	0.6
120 - 150	_	_	0.1510	1.1	_		0.1510	1.1
150 - 175	_		0.1566	1.1	_	_	0.1566	1.1
175 - 200	_	_	0.1580	1.2	_		0.1580	1.2
200 - 225	_	_	0.1683	1.7		_	0.1683	1.7
30 - 80	0.1575	0.6	0.1576	0.8	0.1565	0.7	0.1572	0.4

Table 15: $\sigma(^{116}Sn)/\sigma(^{197}Au)$ AND STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTIES (in %)

Neutron Energy Range	Run	I	Run	Π	Run III		Average	
(keV)								Ċ,
Evaluation 1								
3-5	0.4261	19.4			0.4048	23.5	0.0669	14.9
5 - 7.5	0.3811	12.2	0.0692	86.7	0.2607	22.3	0.3489	10.8
7.5 - 10	0.5944	7.8	0.3575	16.4	0.4462	12.0	0.5246	6.1
10 - 12.5	0.6103	5.7	0.3724	11.9	0.4289	8.5	0.5296	4.5
12.5 - 15	0.6328	4.9	0.5329	7.3	0.4826	6.6	0.5695	3.5
15 - 20	0.6403	2.8	0.5516	4.1	0.5561	3.5	0.5951	1.9
20 - 25	0.7501	2.1	0.7171	2.7	0.7171	2.5	0.7309	1.4
25 - 30	0.6969	1.7	0.6621	2.1	0.6801	2.1	0.6822	1.1
30 - 40	0.6512	1.3	0.6405	1.5	0.6479	1.6	0.6469	0.9
40 - 50	0.6169	1.3	0.6071	1.6	0.6127	1.7	0.6127	0.9
50-60	0.6028	1.2	0.6186	1.5	0.6025	1.6	0.6073	0.8
60 - 80	0.5458	1.0	0.5608	1.3	0.5330	1.4	0.5472	0.7
80 - 100	0.5528	1.0	0.5539	1.3	0.5458	1.5	0.5516	0.7
100 - 120	0.5215	1.2	0.5178	1.3	0.5348	1.8	0.5227	0.8
120 - 150			0.5130	1.1	-		0.5130	1.1
150 - 175	-	-	0.4831	1.3		_	0.4831	1.3
175-200			0.4125	1.5	-	-	0.4125	1.5
200 - 225			0.3872	2.4	_		0.3872	2.4
30 - 80	0.6042	0.8	0.6068	0.8	0.5990	1.2	0.6043	0.5
Evaluation 2								
3 - 5	0.3545	17.9	-		0.3582	18.7	0.3563	12.9
5 - 7.5	0.3697	10.0			0.2876	15.1	0.3447	8.4
7.5 - 10	0.5782	6.1	0.2690	16.5	0.4504	8.4	0.5129	4.8
10 - 12.5	0.5707	4.8	0.3168	10.8	0.4309	6.3	0.4973	3.6
12.5 - 15	0.5948	4.1	0.4506	6.8	0.5093	4.9	0.5402	2.9
15 - 20	0.6169	2.4	0.4969	3.6	0.5719	2.6	0.5774	1.6
20 - 25	0.7213	1.8	0.6538	2.3	0.6912	1.9	0.6941	1.1
25 - 30	0.6634	1.5	0.6172	1.8	0.6394	1.6	0.6430	0.9
30 - 40	0.6347	1.1	0.6151	1.3	0.6265	1.2	0.6263	0.7
40 - 50	0.6069	1.1	0.5849	1.3	0.5938	1.2	0.5965	0.7
50 - 60	0.5992	1.1	0.6019	1.3	0.5942	1.2	0.5983	0.7
60 - 80	0.5430	0.9	0.5489	1.1	0.5285	1.0	0.5401	0.6
80 -100	0.5405	0.9	0.5440	1.1	0.5314	1.1	0.5389	0.6
100 - 120	0.5134	1.1	0.5141	1.1	0.5134	1.4	0.5137	0.7
120 - 150	_	-	0.5037	1.0	_	-	0.5037	1.0
150 - 175	_	-	0.4825	1.1	-	-	0.4825	1.1
175 - 200	_	-	0.4096	1.3			0.4096	1.3
200 -225	_		0.3866	2.0	-		0.3866	2.0
30 - 80	0.5960	0.7	0.5877	0.7	0.5858	0.8	0.5902	0.4

Table 16: $\sigma(^{117}Sn)/\sigma(^{197}Au)$ AND STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTIES (in %)

.

Neutron Energy Range	Run	I	Run	Π	Run	III	Avera	ge
(keV)								
Evaluation 1								
3 - 5	0.1157	12.4	0.0947	23.1	0.0795	21.2	0.1044	9.8
5-7.5	0.0884	9.0	0.0969	11.1	0.0857	12.2	0.0903	6.1
7.5 - 10	0.1365	5.7	0.1476	7.1	0.1375	7.1	0.1399	3.8
10 - 12.5	0.1226	4.8	0.1178	6.7	0.1140	5.9	0.1189	3.3
12.5 - 15	0.1167	4.5	0.1107	6.2	0.1058	5.6	0.1120	3.0
15 - 20	0.1248	2.6	0.1271	3.2	0.1239	3.2	0.1252	1.7
20 - 25	0.1188	2.5	0.1222	2.8	0.1232	2.8	0.1211	1.5
25 - 30	0.1007	2.2	0.0975	2.5	0.1008	2.6	0.0997	1.4
30-40	0.1098	1.6	0.1100	1.6	0.1095	2.0	0.1098	1.0
40 - 50	0.0926	1.7	0.0919	1.8	0.0943	2.1	0.0928	1.1
50-60	0.1013	1.5	0.1060	1.7	0.1036	1.9	0.1035	1.0
60 - 80	0.0988	1.4	0.1027	1.4	0.1005	1.8	0.1007	0.9
80 - 100	0.1011	1.4	0.1001	1.4	0.1008	1.8	0.1006	0.9
100 - 120	0.0967	1.5	0.0992	1.4	0.0991	2.0	0.0983	0.9
120 - 150	_	_	0.1019	1.2	_	_	0.1019	1.2
150 - 175		_	0.1022	1.3		_	0.1022	1.3
175 - 200	-		0.1098	1.3	_		0.1098	1.3
200 - 225	_		0.1099	1.8	_	-	0.1099	1.8
30 - 80	0.1006	1.3	0.1027	1.0	0.1020	1.6	0.1019	0.7
Evaluation 2								
3-5	0.1064	12.1	0.0918	19.2	0.0812	16.9	0.0966	8.8
5 - 7.5	0.0950	7.6	0.0906	10.1	0.0842	10.5	0.0911	5.3
7.5 - 10	0.1395	4.8	0.1496	5.8	0.1433	5.5	0.1435	3.1
10 - 12.5	0.1171	4.4	0.1071	6.1	0.1082	5.2	0.1119	2.9
12.5 - 15	0.1120	4.2	0.1079	5.4	0.1065	4.9	0.1092	2.7
15 - 20	0.1168	2.5	0.1161	3.0	0.1135	2.9	0.1156	1.6
20 - 25	0.1243	2.1	0.1268	2.3	0.1239	2.3	0.1250	1.3
25 - 30	0.1099	1.8	0.1058	2.0	0.1063	2.1	0.1075	1.1
30 - 40	0.1109	1.4	0.1104	1.3	0.1092	1.6	0.1102	0.8
40 - 50	0.0986	1.4	0.0952	1.5	0.0966	1.6	0.0969	0.9
50 - 60	0.1056	1.3	0.1048	1.4	0.1034	1.5	0.1047	0.8
60 - 80	0.1016	1.1	0.1020	1.4	0.1010	1.3	0.1016	0.7
80 -100	0.1036	1.2	0.1002	1.1	0.1003	1.4	0.1014	0.7
100 - 120	0.1020	1.3	0.1014	1.1	0.1013	1.6	0.1016	0.8
120 - 150	_		0.1042	1.1		_	0.1042	1.0
150 - 175	_	_	0.1054	1.0	_		0.1054	1.0
175 - 200	_	_	0.1143	1.0	_		0.1143	1.1
200 - 225	_	_	0.1135	1.1	_	41114A	0.1135	1.6
30 - 80	0.1042	1.0	0.1031	0.7	0.1026	1.1	0.1033	0.5

Table 17: $\sigma(^{118}Sn)/\sigma(^{197}Au)$ AND STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTIES (in %)

,

Neutron Energy Range	Run	I	Run	II	Run	III	Aver	age
(keV)								
Evaluation 1								
3-5	0.0528	23.7	0.0675	25.8	0.0503	29.1	0.0571	15.1
5 - 7.5	0.0726	9.3	0.0754	11.0	0.0848	10.4	0.0773	5.9
7.5 - 10	0.0478	12.6	0.0709	10.8	0.0728	10.5	0.0655	6.6
10 - 12.5	0.0744	6.2	0.0793	7.4	0.0882	6.1	0.0809	3.8
12.5 - 15	0.0698	5.8	0.0696	7.1	0.0781	5.9	0.0728	3.6
15 - 20	0.0829	3.2	0.0894	3.5	0.0906	3.4	0.0874	1.9
20 - 25	0.0650	3.3	0.0710	3.5	0.0745	3.4	0.0701	2.0
25 - 30	0.0618	2.8	0.0581	3.1	0.0619	3.1	0.0607	1.7
30 - 40	0.0560	2.3	0.0548	2.3	0.0573	2.4	0.0560	1.4
40 - 50	0.0549	2.2	0.0543	2.3	0.0570	2.4	0.0554	1.3
50 - 60	0.0643	2.0	0.0669	2.1	0.0638	2.2	0.0650	1.2
60 - 80	0.0608	1.9	0.0621	1.9	0.0626	2.0	0.0618	1.1
80 - 100	0.0596	1.9	0.0600	1.9	0.0603	2.1	0.0599	1.1
100 - 120	0.0577	2.0	0.0611	1.9	0.0605	2.3	0.0597	1.2
120 - 150	_		0.0589	1.8	_	_	0.0589	1.8
150 - 175	-	—	0.0647	1.7	******		0.0647	1.7
175 - 200		. –	0.0635	1.8			0.0635	1.8
200 - 225	_	_	0.0586	2.3		-	0.0586	2.3
30 - 80	0.0590	1.7	0.0595	1.5	0.0602	1.8	0.0595	1.0
Evaluation 2								
3-5	0.0436	26.7	0.0554	26.0	0.0512	23.8	0.0503	14.7
5 - 7.5	0.0751	8.4	0.0836	8.7	0.0774	9.8	0.0787	5.2
7.5 - 10	0.0514	10.6	0.0589	10.9	0.0684	9.1	0.0605	5.9
10 - 12.5	0.0721	5.8	0.0718	6.8	0.0790	5.7	0.0746	3.5
12.5 - 15	0.0632	5.8	0.0588	7.3	0.0697	5.8	0.0646	3.6
15-20	0.0769	3.0	0.0804	3.2	0.0810	3.2	0.0793	1.8
20-25	0.0655	2.9	0.0728	2.9	0.0702	3.0	0.0695	1.7
25 - 30	0.0619	2.4	0.0576	2.7	0.0609	2.7	0.0602	1.5
30 - 40	0.0569	1.9	0.0539	2.0	0.0550	2.1	0.0553	1.2
40 - 50	0.0568	1.9	0.0543	2.0	0.0569	2.1	0.0560	1.1
50 - 60	0.0662	1.7	0.0648	1.8	0.0631	1.9	0.0648	1.0
60 - 80	0.0618	1.5	0.0597	1.5	0.0618	1.7	0.0611	0.9
80 -100	0.0624	1.5	0.0599	1.5	0.0602	1.7	0.0609	0.9
100 - 120	0.0604	1.6	0.0605	1.5	0.0617	1.9	0.0608	1.0
120 - 150		-	0.0594	1.4	_		0.0594	1.4
150 - 175	_	-	0.0660	1.4	-	-	0.0660	1.4
175 - 200	_	-	0.0645	1.4	_	-	0.0645	1.4
200 - 225		-	0.0606	2.0	—		0.0606	2.0
30 - 80	0.0604	1.4	0.0582	1.1	0.0592	1.5	0.0591	0.7

Table 18: $\sigma(^{120}Sn)/\sigma(^{197}Au)$ AND STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTIES (in %)

Energy ¹	$\frac{\sigma(^{114}\mathrm{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\mathrm{Au})}$	Unce	rtaint	y (%)	$\frac{\sigma(^{115}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Unce	ertainty	y (%)	$\frac{\sigma(^{116}\mathrm{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\mathrm{Au})}$	Unce	rtaint	y (%)
(keV)	-(,	stat	sys	tot	. ()	stat	sys	tot	-(,	stat	sys	tot
3-5	0.1629	12.2	0.9	12.2	0.2332	want			0.0912	8.4	0.7	8.4
5 - 7.5	0.1690	6.5	0.9	6.6	0.2631				0.1701	2.9	0.7	3.0
7.5 - 10	0.2588	3.7	0.9	3.8	0.3626	_			0.1379	3.0	0.7	3.1
10 - 12.5	0.2553	2.9	0.9	3.0	0.4787	8.8	1.5	8.9	0.1458	2.2	0.7	2.3
12.5 - 15	0.2016	3.2	0.9	3.3	0.5094	7.0	1.5	7.2	0.1766	1.8	0.7	1.9
15 - 20	0.2760	1.5	0.9	1.7	0.6083	3.4	1.5	3.7	0.1845	1.1	0.7	1.3
20 - 25	0.2991	1.2	0.9	1.5	0.6952	2.4	1.5	2.8	0.1787	1.0	0.7	1.2
25 - 30	0.2134	1.2	0.9	1.5	0.6253	2.0	1.5	2.5	0.1866	0.8	0.7	1.1
30 - 40	0.2568	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.7102	1.3	1.5	2.0	0.1657	0.6	0.7	0.9
40 - 50	0.2449	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.7013	1.3	1.5	2.0	0.1519	0.6	0.7	0.9
50 - 60	0.2305	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.6533	1.3	1.5	2.0	0.1598	0.6	0.7	0.9
60 - 80	0.2178	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.6089	1.1	1.5	1.9	0.1512	0.5	0.7	0.9
80 - 100	0.2193	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.6281	1.1	1.5	1.9	0.1497	0.5	0.7	0.9
100 - 120	0.2115	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.6075	1.2	1.5	2.0	0.1501	0.6	0.7	0.9
120 - 150	0.2134	1.1	0.9	1.4	0.5557	1.9	1.5	2.4	0.1510	1.1	0.7	1.3
150 - 175	0.2131	1.2	0.9	1.5	0.5632	2.0	1.5	2.5	0.1566	1.1	0.7	1.3
175 - 200	0.2247	1.2	0.9	1.5	0.5833	2.1	1.5	2.6	0.1580	1.2	0.7	1.4
200 - 225	0.2254	1.8	0.9	2.0	0.5752	3.2	1.5	3.5	0.1683	1.7	0.7	1.8
Energy ¹	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\mathrm{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\mathrm{Au})}$	Unce	rtainty	y (%)	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\mathrm{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\mathrm{Au})}$	Unce	ertainty	/ (%)	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Unce	rtainty	1 (%)
Energy ¹ (keV)	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Uncer stat	rtainty sys	y (%) tot	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Unce stat	ertainty sys	/ (%) tot	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Unce stat	rtainty sys	v (%) tot
Energy ¹ (keV) 3 - 5	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Uncer stat 12.9	rtainty sys 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Unce stat 8.8	rtainty sys 0.7	7 (%) tot 8.8	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$	Unce stat 14.7	rtainty sys 0.8	$\frac{\text{tot}}{14.7}$
Energy ¹ (keV) 3 - 5 5 - 7.5	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911	Unce stat 8.8 5.3	ertainty sys 0.7 0.7	/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787	Unce stat 14.7 5.2	rtainty sys 0.8 0.8	y (%) tot 14.7 5.3
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8	sys 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1	sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	7 (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9	rtainty sys 0.8 0.8 0.8	v (%) tot 14.7 5.3 6.0
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9	sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	v (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ $\frac{0.3563}{0.3447}$ 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ $\frac{0.0966}{0.0911}$ 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7	ertainty <u>sys</u> 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	y (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6	sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6	ertainty <u>sys</u> 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	7 (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ $\frac{0.0503}{0.0787}$ 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	y (%) tot 14.7 5.3 6.0 3.6 3.7 2.0
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3	ertainty <u>sys</u> 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ $\frac{0.3563}{0.3447}$ 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9	sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ $\frac{0.0966}{0.0911}$ 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1	sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	 7 (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0602	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	y (%) tot 14.7 5.3 6.0 3.6 3.7 2.0 1.9 1.7
$\frac{\text{Energy }^{1}}{(\text{keV})}$ $3 - 5$ $5 - 7.5$ $7.5 - 10$ $10 - 12.5$ $12.5 - 15$ $15 - 20$ $20 - 25$ $25 - 30$ $30 - 40$ 50	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.6	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8	ertainty <u>sys</u> 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	y (%) tot 14.7 5.3 6.0 3.6 3.7 2.0 1.9 1.7 1.4
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965	Unces stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9	sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50 50-60	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965 0.5983	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.6	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969 0.1047	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.8	sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.1</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560 0.0648	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.0	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ \hline 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \end{array}$
$\frac{\text{Energy }^{1}}{(\text{keV})}$ $3 - 5$ $5 - 7.5$ $7.5 - 10$ $10 - 12.5$ $12.5 - 15$ $15 - 20$ $20 - 25$ $25 - 30$ $30 - 40$ $40 - 50$ $50 - 60$ $60 - 80$	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965 0.5983 0.5401	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.5	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969 0.1047 0.1016	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.7	ertainty sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.0</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560 0.0648 0.0611	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ \hline 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50 50-60 60-80 80-100	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965 0.5983 0.5401 0.5389	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.5	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969 0.1047 0.1016 0.1014	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7	ertainty sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.0</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560 0.0648 0.0611 0.0609	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ \hline 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50 50-60 60-80 80-100 100-120	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965 0.5983 0.5401 0.5389 0.5137	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.7	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969 0.1047 0.1016 0.1014 0.1016	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.8	sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.1</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560 0.0648 0.0611 0.0609 0.0608	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0	rtainty sys 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ \hline 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \end{array}$
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50 50-60 60-80 80-100 100-120 120-150	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965 0.5983 0.5401 0.5389 0.5137 0.5037	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.7 1.0	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	y (%) tot 13.0 8.5 5.0 3.9 3.2 2.1 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969 0.1047 0.1016 0.1014 0.1016 0.1042	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.8 1.0	ertainty sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.2</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560 0.0648 0.0611 0.0609 0.0608 0.0594	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 1.4	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ \hline 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50 50-60 60-80 80-100 100-120 120-150 150-175	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965 0.5983 0.5401 0.5389 0.5137 0.5037 0.4825	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.7 1.0 1.1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{sys} \\ \hline 1.4 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} y \ (\%) \\ \underline{tot} \\ 13.0 \\ 8.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.2 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.8 \end{array}$	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969 0.1047 0.1016 0.1014 0.1016 0.1014 0.1016 0.1042 0.1054	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.8 1.0 1.0	ertainty sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.2</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560 0.0648 0.0611 0.0609 0.0608 0.0594 0.0660	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 1.4 1.4	rtainty <u>sys</u> 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ \hline 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$
Energy 1 (keV) 3-5 5-7.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 12.5-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-40 40-50 50-60 60-80 80-100 100-120 120-150 150-175 175-200	$\frac{\sigma(^{117}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.3563 0.3447 0.5129 0.4973 0.5402 0.5774 0.6941 0.6430 0.6263 0.5965 0.5983 0.5401 0.5389 0.5137 0.5037 0.4825 0.4096	Uncer stat 12.9 8.4 4.8 3.6 2.9 1.6 1.1 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.7 1.0 1.1 1.3	rtainty sys 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	$\begin{array}{c} y (\%) \\ \underline{tot} \\ 13.0 \\ 8.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.2 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$	$\frac{\sigma(^{118}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0966 0.0911 0.1435 0.1119 0.1092 0.1156 0.1250 0.1075 0.1102 0.0969 0.1047 0.1016 0.1014 0.1016 0.1014 0.1016 0.1042 0.1054 0.1143	Unce stat 8.8 5.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 1.6 1.3 1.1 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.8 1.0 1.0 1.1	sys 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	<pre>/ (%) tot 8.8 5.3 3.2 3.0 2.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.3</pre>	$\frac{\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})}{\sigma(^{197}\text{Au})}$ 0.0503 0.0787 0.0605 0.0746 0.0646 0.0793 0.0695 0.0602 0.0553 0.0560 0.0648 0.0611 0.0609 0.0608 0.0594 0.0660 0.0645	Unce stat 14.7 5.2 5.9 3.5 3.6 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 1.4 1.4 1.4	rtainty sys 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{y} (\%) \\ \hline \text{tot} \\ \hline 14.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$

Table 19: FINAL NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS SECTION RATIOS OF 114 Sn, 115 Sn, 116 Sn, 117 Sn 118 Sn, AND 120 Sn RELATIVE TO 197 Au

 1 Neutron energy intervals as used for calculating the Maxwellian averaged cross sections



Figure 13: The neutron capture cross sections of 114 Sn and 115 Sn compared to the data of Timokhov et al. [11].



Figure 14: The neutron capture cross sections of ¹¹⁶Sn and ¹¹⁷Sn compared to the data of Timokhov et al. [11].



Figure 15: The neutron capture cross sections of ¹¹⁸Sn and ¹²⁰Sn compared to the data Timokhov et al. [11].

Energy Interval ¹	$\sigma(^{197}\mathrm{Au})^2$	$\sigma(^{114}Sn)$	$\sigma(^{115}Sn)$	$\sigma(^{116}Sn)$	$\sigma(^{117}Sn)$	$\sigma(^{118}Sn)$	$\sigma(^{120}\text{Sn})$
(keV)							
3 - 5	2266.7	369.2	_	206.8	807.6	219.0	114.0
5 - 7.5	1726.7	291.8	<u> </u>	293.8	595.2	157.4	135.9
7.5 - 10	1215.7	314.6	_	167.7	623.5	174.5	73.6
10 - 12.5	1066.7	272.4	510.7	155.5	530.4	119.3	79.6
12.5 - 15	878.0	177.0	447.2	155.0	474.3	95.9	56.8
15 - 20	738.8	203.9	449.4	136.3	426.6	85.4	58.6
20 - 25	600.0	179.5	417.2	107.2	416.5	75.0	41.7
25 - 30	570.8	121.8	356.9	106.5	367.0	61.4	34.4
30 - 40	500.4	128.5	355.4	82.9	313.4	55.2	27.7
40 - 50	433.3	106.1	303.9	65.8	258.5	42.0	24.3
50 - 60	389.6	89.8	254.5	62.3	233.1	40.8	25.3
60 - 80	349.4	76.1	212.7	52.8	188.7	35.5	21.3
80 - 100	298.3	65.4	187.4	44.6	160.7	30.3	18.2
100 - 120	290.1	61.4	176.3	43.6	149.0	29.5	17.6
120 - 150	274.1	58.5	152.3	41.4	138.1	28.6	16.3
150 - 175	263.7	56.2	148.5	41.3	127.2	27.8	17.4
175 - 200	252.6	56.8	147.3	39.9	103.5	28.9	16.3
200 - 225	248.5	56.0	142.9	41.8	96.1	28.2	15.1

Table 20: NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS OF ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, ¹¹⁶Sn, ¹¹⁷Sn, ¹¹⁸Sn, AND ¹²⁰Sn (in mb)

¹as used for calculating the Maxwellian averaged cross sections ²based on the ¹⁹⁷Au data from literature [27, 28]

5 DISCUSSION OF UNCERTAINTIES

The determination of statistical and systematic uncertainties in measurements with the 4π BaF₂ detector has been described in Refs. [7, 8, 20]. The uncertainties of the present tin measurements are somewhere in between those achieved for the samarium [8] and barium [9] isotopes, the two experiments with the 4π BaF₂ detector, which were characterized by the lowest and highest backgrounds. The following discussion concentrates on the particular aspects of the present experiment. The various uncertainties are compiled in Table 21.

As for the even tin isotopes, no systematic differences outside the statistical uncertainties were observed in the data, neither in the different runs nor with the different acquisition modes and evaluation methods (see Tables 13, 15, 17, 18). In these cases, the systematic uncertainty for background subtraction was negligible as for the samarium isotopes. For the odd isotopes, the difficulties of proper background subtraction were described in Sec. 3, and lead to the following consequence for the ¹¹⁵Sn results: As is obvious from Fig. 13, the cross section exhibits very large uncertainties below 10 keV. Since it is impossible to estimate a realistic systematic uncertainty in this region, these data have been withdrawn from the final results presented in Tables 19 and 20. Despite of the fact that they appear in Table 14 and in Fig. 13 for demonstrating the problem, these data should not be used in any further application. In case of ¹¹⁷Sn, an average systematic difference of $\pm 1.2\%$ is found between the results of evaluation 1 and 2. This difference can be attributed to background uncertainties in the reference interval used for normalization of the cross section. Since part of this difference is due to counting statistics, a systematic uncertainty of 1% was assumed for this normalization (see Table 21). In view of the similar background situation, this uncertainty was considered for both odd isotopes, although no such differences were found for ¹¹⁵Sn.

As discussed in Sec 3.1 the measured total cross sections provided an independent check, which confirmed the 0.2% uncertainty of the neutron flux normalization for the different samples.

The isotopic composition was specified by the supplier with an absolute uncertainty of $\pm 0.2\%$. Though it seems to be a conservative estimate according to the very good agreement obtained in the independent measurements of the isotopic composition of the samarium samples [8], this value was adopted as an absolute uncertainty for all isotopes in each sample. Consequently, a relative uncertainty of 0.2% could be assigned to the abundances of the main isotopes in the highly enriched samples, but corresponding uncertainties of 0.3% and 0.4% had to be allowed for ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn, respectively (Table21).

With the adopted 0.2% abundance uncertainty, the even (25.2%) and odd (4.8%) impurity isotopes in the ¹¹⁴Sn sample (Table 2) yield relative uncertainties of 2.0% and 7.2%, respectively. These uncertainties are comparably large, since, for example, the uncertainties of the six even isotopes have to be added in quadrature. The measured count rate between threshold and neutron binding energy (channels 16 to 93) in the sum energy spectrum of ¹¹⁴Sn (Fig. 5) is composed in the following way: 72% are true captures in ¹¹⁴Sn, but 5% are due to the odd, and 13% due to the even impurity isotopes. The resulting systematic uncertainties for the ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn samples were 0.6%, while the specified uncertainty of 0.2% could be adopted for all other isotopes.

The samples with low enrichment are also problematic with respect to the correction for multiple scattering and self-shielding. The multiple scattering effect may either not be completely considered or even overcompensated by subtracting the normalized spectra of the impurity isotopes. This holds certainly if the individual sample masses are significantly different. Therefore, the calculation of the correction factors MS were performed for the total sample as well as for the isotope remaining after the isotopic correction. The respective differences (Table 12) were 0.5% and 0.4% for the ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn samples, nearly independent of the neutron energy. Therefore, 25% of this difference was adopted as an additional systematic uncertainty for these isotopes, analoguously to the procedure used in the gadolinium experiment [10]. For the other isotopes, only the uncertainties provided by the SESH program [21] were considered. This was justified by the reliable set of input data, by which the total and capture cross sections could be reproduced.

Background subtraction in normalization interval						
cross section ratio ^{115,117} Sn/Au	1.0					
Flight path	0.1					
Neutron flux normalization	0.2					
Sample mass (elemental impurities)	0.2					
Isotopic composition $(^{114}Sn/^{115}Sn/^{i}Sn^{1}$ samples)	0.3/0.4/0.2					
Isotopic correction $(^{114}\text{Sn}/^{115}\text{Sn}/^{i}\text{Sn}^{1} \text{ samples})$	0.6/0.6/0.2					
Multiple scattering and self-shielding: F_2						
cross section ratio ^{114,116,118} Sn/Au	0.4					
cross section ratio ^{115,117} Sn/Au	0.3					
cross section ratio ¹²⁰ Sn/Au	0.6					
Undetected events: F ₁						
cross section ratio 114,116,118,120 Sn/Au	0.4					
cross section ratio ^{115,117} Sn/Au	0.8					
total systematic uncertainties						
$\sigma(^{114}{ m Sn})/\sigma({ m Au})$	0.9					
$\sigma(^{115}{ m Sn})/\sigma({ m Au})$	1.5					
$\sigma(^{116}{ m Sn})/\sigma({ m Au})$	0.7					
$\sigma(^{117}{ m Sn})/\sigma({ m Au})$	1.4					
$\sigma(^{118}{ m Sn})/\sigma({ m Au})$	0.7					
$\sigma(^{120}{ m Sn})/\sigma({ m Au})$	0.8					

¹ i stands for mass numbers 116, 117, 118, and 120.

Comparison of the results obtained with the thick and thin ¹¹⁶Sn samples shows no indication for significant systematic differences. For example, the cross section difference in the energy interval from 30 to 80 keV is $\pm 0.2\%$, while the respective correction factors F₂ differ by 1.3%. As noted before, the interval from 5 to 10 keV is most sensitive, since F₂ differs by 8.4% for the two samples (see Table 12), but again the cross sections agree to $\pm 1\%$. This means that all differences are fully accounted for by the statistical uncertainties, thus confirming the reliability of the calculated corrections.

The systematic uncertainties due to undetected events were discussed in detail for the gadolinium experiment [10], where uncertainties of 0.3% for the even and 0.8% for the odd isotopes were estimated for F_1 on the basis of two independent sets of calculated capture cascades, in good agreement with the uncertainties quoted in previous measurements with the 4π BaF₂ detector [7, 8, 9]. Accordingly, the same uncertainties were adopted in the present case, too. An additional uncertainty of 0.3% was considered for the extrapolation of the f values from $\Omega=1$ to $\Omega=0.94$, which is plausible from the lower part of Fig.11.

6 MAXWELLIAN AVERAGED CROSS SECTIONS

Maxwellian averaged cross sections were calculated in the same way as described in Refs. [7, 20]. The neutron energy range from 0 to 700 keV was divided into three intervals according to the origin of the adopted cross sections. The respective contributions I_x are given in Table 22. The main contributions from the interval I_2 are provided by this experiment (Table 20). These data are presented with sufficient resolution to avoid systematic uncertainties that may result from a coarse energy grid.

The contributions I_1 from the energy range from 0 to 3 keV were determined in two different ways. First, the cross section shapes from statistical model calculations were fitted to the present results and at lower energies to the data that were calculated from resonance parameters [30]. In a second calculation, the cross sections of the Joint Evaluated File [22] were normalized to the present data between 5 to 20 keV, the respective normalization factors ranging from 0.5 to 1.7. In case of ¹¹⁵Sn, the extrapolation was made for the interval 0 to 10 keV since no data could be obtained below 10 keV in the present experiment. The quoted uncertainties of 10–15% correspond to the observed differences from the mean, and include the respective systematic uncertainties. For ¹¹⁵Sn, larger uncertainties of 20% had to be admitted.

The energy interval from 225 to 700 keV contributes very little to the Maxwellian average at typical s-process temperatures. Here, the data of Timokhov et al. [11] were used, normalized to the present results in the interval of overlap. Since these data range up to 460 keV, the remaining gap was filled by data from the JEF evaluation. The uncertainties were calculated under the assumption that the uncertainties of the normalized cross sections increase from 2% at 225 keV to 10% at 700 keV neutron energy.

The systematic uncertainties of the Maxwellian averaged cross sections in Table 22 correspond to the uncertainties of the cross section ratios (Table 19) and consider the contributions of the summed intensities, $I_2 + I_3$. The 1.5% uncertainty of the gold standard was not included since it cancels out in most applications of relevance for s-process studies. In most cases, systematic and statistical uncertainties are of the same order. At low thermal energies, however, the statistical uncertainties dominate for all isotopes.

The present results at kT=30 keV are eventually compared in Table 23 with previous experiments and with the compilations of Bao and Käppeler [31] and Beer, Voss, and Winters [32]. The individual results are in fair agreement, with typical differences of ~10%. A somewhat larger discrepancies of 14% was found for ¹²⁰Sn. In all cases, the uncertainties have been reduced significantly by the present experimental technique.

Table 22: MAXWELLIAN AVERAGED NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS OF THE TIN ISOTOPES. THE CONTRIBUTIONS I_x FROM DIFFERENT ENERGY INTERVALS, ΔE , ARE QUOTED SEPARATELY WITH THEIR STATISTICAL UNCERTAINTIES

.....

¹¹⁴ Sn							
ΔE	$\Delta \mathrm{E}$ 0 - 3 keV 3 - 225 keV		225 - 700 keV Thermal Spect		pectru	m	
Data:	see text	this work	from Refs. $[11, 22]^1$				
kT	I ₁	I_2	I ₃	$<\sigma v > / v_T $ (mbar		rn)	
(keV)	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)		stat	sys^2	tot
10	24.1 ± 7.2	223.6 ± 3.8	0.0	247.7	8.1	2.0	8.3
12	17.2 ± 5.1	$207.4 {\pm} 3.0$	0.0	224.6	5.9	1.9	6.2
20	$6.6{\pm}2.0$	161.7 ± 1.5	0.0	168.3	2.5	1.5	2.9
25	4.3 ± 1.3	143.3 ± 1.1	0.1	147.7	1.7	1.3	2.1
30	$3.0{\pm}0.9$	$129.6{\pm}0.9$	0.3	132.9	1.3	1.2	1.8
40	$1.7{\pm}0.5$	$109.9{\pm}0.7$	1.6	113.2	0.9	1.0	1.3
50	$1.1 {\pm} 0.3$	$95.9{\pm}0.5$	4.1 ± 0.1	101.1	0.6	0.9	1.1
52	$1.0 {\pm} 0.3$	$93.5{\pm}0.5$	4.7 ± 0.1	99.2	0.6	0.9	1.1
60	$0.8{\pm}0.2$	$84.9{\pm}0.5$	$7.4 {\pm} 0.2$	93.1	0.6	0.8	1.0
70	$0.6{\pm}0.2$	$75.6 {\pm} 0.4$	11.2 ± 0.4	87.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
80	$0.5{\pm}0.1$	$67.8 {\pm} 0.4$	$15.2 {\pm} 0.6$	83.5	0.7	0.7	1.0
90	$0.3 {\pm} 0.1$	61.0 ± 0.3	$19.0{\pm}0.7$	80.3	0.8	0.7	1.1
100	0.3 ± 0.1	55.1 ± 0.3	22.5 ± 0.9	77.9	1.0	0.7	1.2
			¹¹⁵ Sn				
$\Delta E = 0 - 10 \text{ keV} = 10 - 225 \text{ keV} = 225$		225 - 700 keV	The	rmal S	pectru	m	
Data:	see text	this work	from Refs. $[11, 22]^1$				
kT	I ₁	I_2	I_3	$<\sigma v > / v_T (mb)$)	
(keV)	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)	stat sys ²		_sys ²	tot
10	$212.7 \pm 42.$	$334.4 {\pm} 6.9$	0.0	547.1	42.	5.0	42.
12	$161.3 \pm 32.$	347.2 ± 6.2	0.0	508.5	33.	5.2	33.
20	$69.7 \pm 14.$	339.2 ± 4.3	0.0	408.9	15.	5.1	16.
25	47.2 ± 9.4	3215 ± 37	0.9	260 A	10	4.8	11.
30		021.0±0.1	0.2	300.9	10.		
	34.1 ± 6.8	303.5 ± 3.2	0.2	$\frac{308.9}{338.3}$	7.5	4.5	8.7
40	$34.1 {\pm} 6.8$ 20.1 {\pm} 4.0	303.5 ± 3.2 270.7 ± 2.6	$0.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 3.8 \pm 0.1$	338.3 294.6	7.5 4.8	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5\\ 4.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.7\\ 6.3\end{array}$
40 50	34.1 ± 6.8 20.1 ± 4.0 13.3 ± 2.7	$\begin{array}{c} 303.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 270.7 \pm 2.6 \\ 242.4 \pm 2.3 \end{array}$	0.2 0.7 3.8 ± 0.1 9.6 ± 0.3	338.3 294.6 265.3	7.5 4.8 3.5	$4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 3.8$	$8.7 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.2$
40 50 52	34.1 ± 6.8 20.1 \pm 4.0 13.3 \pm 2.7 12.3 \pm 2.5	$\begin{array}{c} 303.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 270.7 \pm 2.6 \\ 242.4 \pm 2.3 \\ 237.2 \pm 2.2 \end{array}$	0.2 0.7 3.8 ± 0.1 9.6 ± 0.3 11.0 ± 0.3	338.3 294.6 265.3 260.5	7.5 4.8 3.5 3.3	$4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.7$	$8.7 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.0$
40 50 52 60	$\begin{array}{c} 34.1 {\pm} 6.8 \\ 20.1 {\pm} 4.0 \\ 13.3 {\pm} 2.7 \\ 12.3 {\pm} 2.5 \\ 9.4 {\pm} 1.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 303.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 270.7 \pm 2.6 \\ 242.4 \pm 2.3 \\ 237.2 \pm 2.2 \\ 217.6 \pm 2.0 \end{array}$	0.2 0.7 3.8 ± 0.1 9.6 ± 0.3 11.0 ± 0.3 17.2 ± 0.5	338.3 294.6 265.3 260.5 244.2	$7.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.8$	$4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.5$	$8.7 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.5$
40 50 52 60 70	34.1 ± 6.8 20.1±4.0 13.3±2.7 12.3±2.5 9.4±1.9 7.0±1.4	$\begin{array}{c} 303.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 270.7 \pm 2.6 \\ 242.4 \pm 2.3 \\ 237.2 \pm 2.2 \\ 217.6 \pm 2.0 \\ 195.7 \pm 1.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 3.8 \pm 0.1 \\ 9.6 \pm 0.3 \\ 11.0 \pm 0.3 \\ 17.2 \pm 0.5 \\ 25.8 \pm 0.8 \end{array}$	338.3 294.6 265.3 260.5 244.2 228.5	$7.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \end{array}$	$8.7 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.0$
40 50 52 60 70 80	$\begin{array}{c} 34.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 20.1 \pm 4.0 \\ 13.3 \pm 2.7 \\ 12.3 \pm 2.5 \\ 9.4 \pm 1.9 \\ 7.0 \pm 1.4 \\ 5.4 \pm 1.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 303.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 270.7 \pm 2.6 \\ 242.4 \pm 2.3 \\ 237.2 \pm 2.2 \\ 217.6 \pm 2.0 \\ 195.7 \pm 1.8 \\ 176.4 \pm 1.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 3.8 \pm 0.1 \\ 9.6 \pm 0.3 \\ 11.0 \pm 0.3 \\ 17.2 \pm 0.5 \\ 25.8 \pm 0.8 \\ 34.2 \pm 1.2 \end{array}$	338.3 294.6 265.3 260.5 244.2 228.5 216.0	$7.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 2.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \\ 3.2 \end{array}$	$8.7 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.0 \\ 3.8$
40 50 52 60 70 80 90	$\begin{array}{c} 34.1 \pm 6.8 \\ 20.1 \pm 4.0 \\ 13.3 \pm 2.7 \\ 12.3 \pm 2.5 \\ 9.4 \pm 1.9 \\ 7.0 \pm 1.4 \\ 5.4 \pm 1.1 \\ 4.3 \pm 0.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 303.5 \pm 3.2 \\ 270.7 \pm 2.6 \\ 242.4 \pm 2.3 \\ 237.2 \pm 2.2 \\ 217.6 \pm 2.0 \\ 195.7 \pm 1.8 \\ 176.4 \pm 1.7 \\ 159.3 \pm 1.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 3.8 {\pm} 0.1 \\ 9.6 {\pm} 0.3 \\ 11.0 {\pm} 0.3 \\ 17.2 {\pm} 0.5 \\ 25.8 {\pm} 0.8 \\ 34.2 {\pm} 1.2 \\ 42.1 {\pm} 1.5 \end{array}$	338.3 294.6 265.3 260.5 244.2 228.5 216.0 205.7	$7.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \\ 3.2 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$	$8.7 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.0 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.4$

			¹¹⁶ Sn				
$\Delta E = 0 - 3 \text{ keV} = 3 - 225 \text{ keV}$		225 - 700 keV	Thermal Spectrum		ım		
Data:	see text	this work	from Refs. $[11, 22]^1$				
kT	I ₁	I_2	I_3	$<\sigma v > / v_T (mb)$)	
(keV)	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)		stat	sys^2	tot
10	17.2 ± 1.7	153.1 ± 1.6	0.0	197.0	2.3	1.1	2.5
12	12.3 ± 1.2	141.7 ± 1.3	0.0	154.0	1.8	1.0	2.1
20	4.7 ± 0.5	$110.0 {\pm} 0.7$	0.0	114.7	0.9	0.8	1.2
25	$3.1 {\pm} 0.3$	$97.5 {\pm} 0.6$	0.1	100.7	0.7	0.7	1.0
30	2.2 ± 0.2	$88.3{\pm}0.5$	0.2	90.7	0.5	0.6	0.8
40	1.2 ± 0.1	$75.2 {\pm} 0.4$	1.1	77.5	0.4	0.5	0.6
50	$0.8 {\pm} 0.1$	$65.9 {\pm} 0.3$	$2.8 {\pm} 0.1$	69.5	0.3	0.5	0.6
52	0.7 ± 0.1	$64.3 {\pm} 0.3$	$3.2 {\pm} 0.1$	68.2	0.3	0.5	0.6
60	$0.6{\pm}0.1$	$58.6 {\pm} 0.3$	$5.1 {\pm} 0.2$	64.3	0.4	0.4	0.6
70	$0.4{\pm}0.0$	$52.4 {\pm} 0.2$	$7.7{\pm}0.3$	60.5	0.4	0.4	0.6
80	$0.3 {\pm} 0.0$	$47.1 {\pm} 0.2$	$10.4 {\pm} 0.4$	57.8	0.4	0.4	0.6
90	$0.3{\pm}0.0$	42.5 ± 0.2	$13.1 {\pm} 0.5$	55.9	0.5	0.4	0.6
100	$0.2{\pm}0.0$	$38.4 {\pm} 0.2$	$15.5{\pm}0.6$	54.1	0.6	0.4	0.7
			¹¹⁷ Sn				
ΔE	0 - 3 keV	3 - 225 keV	225 - 700 keV	The	rmal S	pectru	ım
Data:	see text	this work	from Refs. $[11, 22]^1$				
kT	I ₁	I_2	I ₃	< 0	σv>/v	T_T (mb)
(keV)	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)		stat	sys ²	tot
10	$49.5 {\pm} 5.0$	$496.7 {\pm} 9.2$	0.0	546.2	11.	6.9	13.
12	35.4 ± 3.5	467.7 ± 7.1	0.0	503.1	7.9	6.5	10.
20	13.5 ± 1.4	378.1 ± 3.5	0.0	391.6	3.8	5.3	6.5
25	$8.8{\pm}0.9$	339.1 ± 2.6	0.1	348.0	2.8	4.7	5.5
30	$6.2 {\pm} 0.6$	308.4 ± 2.1	0.5	315.1	2.2	4.3	4.8
40	$3.5{\pm}0.4$	262.4 ± 1.5	2.2 ± 0.1	268.1	1.6	3.7	4.0
50	$2.3{\pm}0.2$	228.0 ± 1.2	5.7 ± 0.2	236.0	1.2	3.3	3.5
52	$2.1{\pm}0.2$	222.1 ± 1.1	$6.5 {\pm} 0.2$	230.7	1.1	3.2	3.4
60	$1.6 {\pm} 0.2$	200.5 ± 1.0	10.2 ± 0.3	212.3	1.1	2.9	3.1
70	1.2 ± 0.1	$177.6 {\pm} 0.8$	15.2 ± 0.5	194.0	0.9	2.7	2.8
80	$0.9 {\pm} 0.1$	$158.1 {\pm} 0.8$	$20.3{\pm}0.7$	179.3	1.1	2.5	2.7
90	$0.7{\pm}0.1$	$141.5 {\pm} 0.7$	$25.1 {\pm} 0.9$	167.3	1.1	2.3	2.5
100	$0.6 {\pm} 0.1$	$127.2 {\pm} 0.6$	29.5 ± 1.2	157.3	1.3	2.2	2.6

TABLE 22 (continued)

	<u>`````````````````````````````````</u>	,	¹¹⁸ Sn				
ΔE	0 - 3 keV	3 - 225 keV	225 - $700~{\rm keV}$	Thermal Spectrum		ım	
Data:	see text	this work	from Refs. $[11, 22]^1$				
kT	I ₁	I_2	I ₃	$<\sigma v > / v_T (mb)$)	
(keV)	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)		stat	sys^2	tot
10	$16.8 {\pm} 2.5$	109.1 ± 1.7	0.0	125.9	3.0	0.8	3.1
12	12.0 ± 1.8	99.6 ± 1.3	0.0	111.6	2.2	0.7	2.3
20	$4.5 {\pm} 0.7$	$75.0{\pm}0.7$	0.0	79.5	1.0	0.5	1.1
25	$2.9{\pm}0.4$	$66.0{\pm}0.5$	0.0	68.9	0.6	0.5	0.8
30	$2.1{\pm}0.3$	$59.5 {\pm} 0.4$	0.2	61.8	0.5	0.4	0.6
40	1.2 ± 0.2	$50.6 {\pm} 0.3$	0.8	52.6	0.4	0.4	0.6
50	$0.8{\pm}0.1$	$44.4 {\pm} 0.3$	2.0 ± 0.1	47.2	0.3	0.3	0.4
52	$0.7 {\pm} 0.1$	$43.3 {\pm} 0.3$	2.3 ± 0.1	46.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
60	$0.5 {\pm} 0.1$	$39.5{\pm}0.2$	$3.6{\pm}0.1$	43.6	0.2	0.3	0.4
70	$0.4{\pm}0.1$	$35.4 {\pm} 0.2$	5.5 ± 0.2	41.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
80	$0.3{\pm}0.0$	$31.8 {\pm} 0.2$	$7.4{\pm}0.3$	39.5	0.4	0.3	0.5
90	$0.2{\pm}0.0$	$28.7{\pm}0.2$	9.2 ± 0.4	38.1	0.4	0.3	0.5
100	$0.2{\pm}0.0$	$26.0 {\pm} 0.1$	11.0 ± 0.4	37.2	0.4	0.3	0.5
¹²⁰ Sn							
ΔE	0 - 3 keV	3 - 225 keV	225 - $700~{\rm keV}$	The	rmal S	pectru	m
Data:	see text	this work	from Refs. $[11, 22]^1$				
kT	I ₁	I_2	I_3	$<\sigma v > / v_T \text{ (mb)}$)	
(keV)	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)		stat	sys^2	tot
10	$7.0 {\pm} 0.7$	65.8 ± 1.4	0.0	72.8	1.6	0.6	1.7
12	$5.0{\pm}0.5$	59.6 ± 1.1	0.0	64.6	1.2	0.5	1.3
20	$1.9 {\pm} 0.2$	44.3 ± 0.6	0.0	46.2	0.6	0.4	0.7
25°	1.2 ± 0.1	$38.9 {\pm} 0.4$	0.0	40.1	0.4	0.3	0.5
30	$0.9{\pm}0.1$	$35.0 {\pm} 0.4$	0.1	36.0	0.4	0.3	0.5
40	$0.5 {\pm} 0.1$	$29.7 {\pm} 0.3$	0.5	30.7	0.3	0.2	0.4
50	$0.3{\pm}0.0$	$26.1 {\pm} 0.2$	1.2	27.6	0.2	0.2	0.3
52	$0.3 {\pm} 0.0$	$25.4 {\pm} 0.2$	1.4	27.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
60	$0.2{\pm}0.0$	23.2 ± 0.2	$2.2{\pm}0.1$	25.6	0.2	0.2	0.3
70	$0.2{\pm}0.0$	$20.7{\pm}0.2$	$3.3{\pm}0.1$	24.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
80	0.1 ± 0.0	$18.6 {\pm} 0.2$	$4.4 {\pm} 0.2$	23.1	0.3	0.2	0.4
90	$0.1{\pm}0.0$	$16.8 {\pm} 0.1$	5.5 ± 0.2	22.4	0.2	0.2	0.3
100	$0.1 {\pm} 0.0$	$15.2 {\pm} 0.1$	$6.5{\pm}0.3$	21.8	0.3	0.2	0.4

TABLE 22 (continued)

¹normalized to present data

 2 The 1.5% uncertainty of the gold standard is not included, since it cancels out in most applications of relevance for nuclear astrophysics

.

Isotope	Experiment		Evaluation		
	Cross section	Reference	Bao and Käppeler	Beer, Voss, Winters	
	(mb)		[31]	[32]	
¹¹⁴ Sn	132.9 ± 1.8	present work ¹	184	_	
	133 ± 7	Timokhov et al. $[11]^2$			
115 Sn	338.3 ± 8.7	present work ¹	430	-	
	382 ± 18	Timokhov et al. $[11]^2$			
¹¹⁶ Sn	90.7 ± 0.8	$present work^1$	100 ± 19	92 ± 5	
	90 ± 4	Timokhov et al. $[11]^2$			
	92 ± 5	Beer et al. $[13]$			
	104 ± 21	Macklin and Gibbons [12]			
117 Sn	315.1 ± 4.8	present work ¹	402 ± 77	$402~\pm~77$	
	285 ± 14	Timokhov et al. $[11]^2$			
	418 ± 99	Macklin and Gibbons [12]			
¹¹⁸ Sn	61.8 ± 0.6	present work ¹	63 ± 12	63 ± 12	
	68 ± 4	Timokhov et al. $[11]^2$			
	65 ± 13	Macklin and Gibbons [12]			
¹²⁰ Sn	36.0 ± 0.5	$present work^1$	39 ± 7	39 ± 7	
	31.8 ± 1.2	Käppeler et al. [15]			
	41.0 ± 3.3	Timokhov et al. $[11]^2$			
	41 ± 8	Macklin and Gibbons [12]			

Table 23: MAXWELLIAN AVERAGED CROSS SECTIONS AT kT=30 keV COMPARED TO PREVIOUS EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATIONS

 1 The 1.5% uncertainty of the gold cross section is not included, since it cancels out in most applications of relevance for nuclear astrophysics.

² Renormalized to gold cross section used in the present work [29].

7 SUMMARY

The Karlsruhe 4π Barium Fluoride Detector has been improved by replacing the six crystals with the highest α background. In this way, the electronic threshold in the γ -ray spectrum could be reduced to 1.6 MeV, resulting in an improved detection efficiency of 97% and 99% for neutron captures in the even and odd Sn isotopes, respectively.

The total cross sections and the (n,γ) cross sections were measured for the stable isotopes ¹¹⁴Sn, ¹¹⁵Sn, ¹¹⁶Sn, ¹¹⁷Sn, ¹¹⁸Sn, and ¹²⁰Sn. The total cross sections could be determined from 10 to 200 keV with typical uncertainties from 2 to 10%, but for the odd isotopes, where the transmission was larger than 99%, the uncertainties were 20–30%. The neutron capture cross sections were measured from 3 to 225 keV. In this case, corrections for the sizable isotopic impurities of the ¹¹⁴Sn and ¹¹⁵Sn samples could be made reliably due to the spectroscopic qualities of the BaF₂ detector. Maxwellian averaged (n,γ) cross sections were derived for thermal energies from 10 to 100 keV. The astrophysically relevant cross section ratios could be determined with an overall uncertainty of typically 1–2%, an improvement by factors of five to ten compared to existing data. In general the present results agree within ~10% with the data from literature, except for the very small ¹²⁰Sn

An updated analysis of the s-process reaction flow in the mass region of Cd-In-Sn will be presented in a forthcoming publication [18].

8 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank F.H. Fröhner and B. Krieg for providing us with the JEF data. The continuous support of the Van de Graaff crew, D. Roller, E.–P. Knaetsch and W. Seith, who ran the accelerator in a most efficient way, is gratefully acknowledged. We are particularly indebted to G. Rupp for his skill and patience in optimizing the experimental setup.

References

- Zs. Németh, T. Belgya, S.W. Yates, Ch. Theis, and F. Käppeler, Proc. 2nd International Symposium Nuclei in the Cosmos, Eds. F. Käppeler and K. Wisshak, Karlsruhe Germany 6-10 July 1992, IOP Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia (1993) p219.
- [2] Zs. Németh, F. Käppeler, Ch. Theis, T. Belgya, and S.W. Yates, Astrophys. J. 426, 357 (1994).
- [3] N. Prantzos, M. Hashimoto, M. Rayet, and M. Arnould, Astron. Astrophys. 238, 455 (1990).
- [4] W. Howard, B. Meyer, and S. Woosley, Ap. J. 373, L5 (1991).
- [5] D.D. Clayton, Astrophys. J. 244, L93 (1978).
- [6] R.A. Ward and H. Beer, Astron. Astrophys. 103,189 (1981).
- [7] K. Wisshak, F. Voss, F. Käppeler, and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. C 45, 2470 (1992).
- [8] K. Wisshak, K. Guber, F. Voss, F. Käppeler, and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. C 48, 1401 (1993).
- [9] F. Voss, K. Wisshak, K. Guber, F.Käppeler, and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. C 50, 2582 (1994).
- [10] K. Wisshak, F. Voss, F. Käppeler, K. Guber, L. Kazakov, N. Kornilov, M. Uhl, and G. Reffo, report FZKA-5510, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe (1995) and Phys. Rev. C. submitted for publication.
- [11] V.M. Timokhov, M.V. Bokhovko, A.G. Isakov, L.E. Kazakov, V.N. Kononov, G.N. Manturov, E.D. Poletaev, and V.G. Pronyaev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 50, 375 (1990).
- [12] R.L. Macklin and J.H. Gibbons, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37, 166 (1965).
- [13] H. Beer, G. Walter, and F. Käppeler, Astron. Astrophys. 211,245 (1989).
- [14] W. Schanz Diploma Thesis University of Karlsruhe 1990, unpublished.
- [15] F. Käppeler, W. Schanz, K. Wisshak, and G. Reffo, Astrophys. J 410, 370 (1993).
- [16] F. Käppeler, R. Gallino, M. Busso, G. Picchio, and C. Raiteri, Astrophys. J. 354, 630 (1990).
- [17] O. Straniero, R. Gallino, M. Busso, A. Chieffi, C. Raiteri, M. Limongi, and M. Salaris, Astrophys. J. 440, L85 (1995).
- [18] Ch. Theis, Thesis, University of Heidelberg (1995) unpublished.

- [19] K. Wisshak, K. Guber, F. Käppeler, J. Krisch, H. Müller, G. Rupp, and F. Voss, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 292, 595 (1990).
- [20] K. Wisshak, F. Voss, F. Käppeler, and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. C 42, 1731 (1990).
- [21] F. H. Fröhner, Technical report, Gulf General Atomic (unpublished).
- [22] C. Nordborg, H. Gruppelaar, and M. Salvatores, in Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, edited by S. Qaim (Springer, Berlin, 1992), p. 782.
- [23] F. Voss, K. Wisshak, and F. Käppeler, Phys. Ref. C in print
- [24] G. Reffo, F. Fabbri, K. Wisshak, and F. Käppeler, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 80, 630 (1982).
- [25] N. Weber, diplom thesis, University of Karlsruhe (1993).
- [26] A. Gilbert and A.G.W. Cameron, Can.J.Phys. **43**,1446 (1965).
- [27] R. L. Macklin, private communication (unpublished).
- [28] W. Ratynski and F. Käppeler, Phys. Rev. C 37, 595 (1988).
- [29] Z. Y. Bao, private communications 1995.
- [30] J. F. Mughabghab, M. Divadeenam, and N. E. Holden, in Neutron Cross Sections, Vol. 1, Part A (Academic Press, New York, 1981).
- [31] Z. Y. Bao and F. Käppeler, Atomic Data Nucl. Data Tables 36, 411 (1987).
- [32] H. Beer, F. Voss, and R.R. Winters, Astrophys. J. Suppl. 80, 403 (1992).