

## STIEFEL-WHITNEY HOMOLOGY CLASSES OF $k$ -POINCARÉ-EULER SPACES

Dedicated to Professor Itiro Tamura on his sixtieth birthday

AKINORI MATSUI

(Received October 13, 1983)

**1. Introduction and the statement of results.** Let  $X$  be a polyhedron. It is said to be totally  $n$ -dimensional if there exists a locally finite triangulation  $K$  of  $X$  such that for each  $\sigma \in K$ , an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\tau$  exists in  $K$  satisfying  $\sigma < \tau$  or  $\sigma = \tau$ . (See Akin [1].) A totally  $n$ -dimensional polyhedron  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space if there exist a locally finite triangulation  $K$  of  $X$  and a subcomplex  $L$  of  $K$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $|L|$  is a totally  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional polyhedron or empty.
- (2) The cardinality of  $\{\tau \in K \mid \sigma < \tau\}$  is even for every  $\sigma$  in  $K - L$ , whenever  $\dim \sigma \geq n - k$ .
- (3) The cardinality of  $\{\tau \in K \mid \sigma < \tau\}$  is odd for every  $\sigma$  in  $L$ , whenever  $\dim \sigma \geq n - k$ .
- (4) The cardinality of  $\{\tau \in L \mid \sigma < \tau\}$  is even for every  $\sigma$  in  $L$ , whenever  $\dim \sigma \geq n - k - 1$ .

We usually denote  $\partial X$  instead of  $|L|$ . If  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space, then  $\partial X$  clearly is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space. An  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space  $X$  is closed if  $X$  is compact and  $\partial X$  is empty. If  $k \geq n$ , we said  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler spaces to be  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Euler spaces. (See [10].)

Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space with a triangulation  $K$ . Then the  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney homology class  $s_i(X)$  in  $H_i^{\text{inf}}(X, \partial X; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  is the homology class determined as the  $i$ -skeleton  $\bar{K}^i$  of the first barycentric subdivision  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$  for  $n - k < i \leq n$ . Here  $H_*^{\text{inf}}$  is the homology theory of infinite chains. The Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $k$ -Euler spaces are well defined by Proposition 2.2.

Since an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold  $M$  has a triangulation, the  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney homology class  $s_i(M)$  can be defined as above for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Whitney [16] announced that the  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney homology class of an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold  $M$  is the Poincaré dual of the  $(n - i)$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class  $w^{n-i}(M)$ . Its proof was outlined

by Cheeger [5] and given by Halperin and Toledo [6]. Blanton and Schweitzer [2] and Blanton and McCrory [3] gave the proof by using an axiomatic method. Taylor [15] generalized it to the case of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -homology manifolds by using the method as in [2]. Matsui [10] studied the case of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Poincaré-Euler spaces in another method.

In this paper, we study the case of  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler spaces as in [10]. An  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space  $X$  is said to be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space if the cap products  $[X]_{\cap}: H^i(X, \mathbf{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_{n-i}^{\text{int}}(X, \partial X; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  are isomorphisms for  $0 \leq i < k$ . Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space. Then there exists a proper embedding  $\varphi: (X, \partial X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}, \partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha})$  for  $\alpha$  sufficiently large, where  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+\alpha}) \mid x_{n+\alpha} \geq 0\}$ . (See Hudson [8].) Suppose that  $R$  is a regular neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$ . Put  $\tilde{R} = R \cap \partial \mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$  and  $\bar{R} = \text{cl}(\partial R - \tilde{R})$ . Regard  $\varphi$  as an embedding from  $(X, \partial X)$  to  $(R, \tilde{R})$ . We also call  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$  a regular neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$ . Define  $U(\varphi)$  in  $H^*(R, \bar{R}; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  as the Poincaré dual of  $\varphi_*[X]$ . Then the cup products  $U(\varphi)^{\cup}: H^i(R; \mathbf{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R}; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  are isomorphisms for  $0 \leq i < k$ . We call  $U(\varphi)$  the Thom class of  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$ . Define cohomology classes  $w^i(\varphi)$  by  $w^i(\varphi) = \varphi^* \circ (U(\varphi)^{\cup})^{-1} \circ Sq^i U(\varphi)$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ . Put  $w^{(k)}(\varphi) = 1 + w^1(\varphi) + \dots + w^{k-1}(\varphi)$ . Then there exists a unique cohomology class  $\tilde{w}(X)$  such that  $\tilde{w}(X) \cup w^{(k)}(\varphi) = 1$ . Let  $\tilde{w}(X) = 1 + \tilde{w}^1(X) + \dots + \tilde{w}^n(X)$ , where  $\tilde{w}^i(X)$  is in  $H^i(X; \mathbf{Z}_2)$ . Define  $w^i(X)$  by  $w^i(X) = \tilde{w}^i(X)$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ . We call  $w^i(X)$  the  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class of a  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space  $X$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ . Define  $w^{(k)}(X)$  by  $w^{(k)}(X) = 1 + w^1(X) + \dots + w^{k-1}(X)$ .

Let  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$  be a regular neighborhood of an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$ . We will define homomorphisms  $(e_{\varphi}^k)^{\iota}: \mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  and  $(\partial_{\varphi}^k)^{\iota}: \mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  for  $i < k$ , where  $\mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R})$  is the unoriented differentiable bordism group. We need the following:

**TRANSVERSALITY THEOREM** (Rourke and Sanderson [13] and Buoncris-tiano, Rourke and Sanderson [4]). *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be PL-manifolds. Suppose that  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$  is a locally flat proper embedding and that  $X$  is a subpolyhedron in  $N$ . If  $f(\partial M) \cap X = \emptyset$  or if  $(\partial N, \partial N \cap X)$  is collared in  $(N, X)$  and  $\partial N \cap X$  is block transverse to  $f|_{\partial M}: \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$ , then there exists an embedding  $g: M \rightarrow N$  ambient isotopic to  $f$  relative to  $\partial N$  such that  $X$  is block transverse to  $g$ .*

Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R, \bar{R})$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R})$ . By Transversality Theorem, there exists an embedding  $g: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R \times D^{\beta}, R \times D^{\beta})$  for  $\beta$  sufficiently large such that  $g \cong f \times \{0\}$  and that  $(\varphi \times \text{id})(X \times D^{\beta})$  is block transverse to  $g$ . Let  $Y = (\varphi \times \text{id})^{-1} \circ g(M)$  and let  $\psi: Y \rightarrow X \times D^{\beta}$  be the

inclusion. If  $i < k$ , then  $Y$  is a closed  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Euler space by (1) of Lemma 4.3. Define  $(e_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$  by the modulo 2 Euler number  $e(Y)$  of  $Y$ . Note that  $\psi$  has a normal block bundle  $\nu$  in  $X \times D^k$  from (1) of Lemma 4.3. Define  $(\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$  as  $(\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i(f, M) = \langle \psi^* w^{(k)}(X \times D^k) \cup \tilde{w}(\nu), [Y] \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{w}(\nu)$  is the cohomology class determined by  $w^*(\nu) \cup \tilde{w}(\nu) = 1$ . Now define a homomorphism  $(o_\varphi^k)^i: \mathfrak{R}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  by  $(o_\varphi^k)^i = (\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i - (e_\varphi^k)^i$ . We can state the main theorem of this paper as follows:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space. Take a regular neighborhood  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$  of  $X$  in  $R^{n+\alpha}$ . Then  $[X] \cap w^i(X) = s_{n-i}(X)$  for  $i \leq m$  if and only if  $(o_\varphi^k)^i = 0$  for  $i \leq m$ , where  $m < k$ .*

We can apply this theorem to  $k$ -regular spaces. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit. An  $n$ -dimensional 1-Euler space  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -regular space over  $R$  if a triangulation  $K$  of  $X$  satisfies the following:

- (1) For each  $\sigma$  in  $K - \partial K$ , if  $\dim \sigma = i$ , then  $H_j(Lk(\sigma; K); R) = H_j(S^{n-i-1}; R)$  for  $j \leq k - 1$ .
- (2) For each  $\sigma$  in  $\partial K$ , if  $\dim \sigma = i$ , then  $H_j(Lk(\sigma; K); R) = H_j(pt; R)$  for  $j \leq k - 1$ .
- (3) For each  $\sigma$  in  $\partial K$ , if  $\dim \sigma = i$ , then  $H_j(Lk(\sigma; \partial K); R) = H_j(S^{n-i-2}; R)$  for  $j \leq k - 1$ .

An  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -regular space over  $R$  is  $R$ -orientable if  $H_n^{\text{int}}(X_\alpha, \partial X_\alpha; R) = R$  for each connected component  $X_\alpha$  of  $X$ .

In order to apply our theorem to  $k$ -regular spaces, we need the following:

**PARTIAL POINCARÉ DUALITY THEOREM (Kato [9]).** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit. Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -regular space over  $R$ . Suppose that  $X$  is  $R$ -orientable unless  $R = \mathbf{Z}_2$ . Then the cap products  $[X]_\cap: H^i(X; R) \rightarrow H_{n-i}(X, X; R)$  and  $[X]_\cap: H^i(X, \partial X; R) \rightarrow H_{n-i}(X; R)$  are epimorphisms for all  $i \leq k - 1$  or  $i \geq n - k$  and monomorphisms for all  $i \leq k$  or  $i \geq n - k + 1$ . Here  $H_*$  is the homology theory of infinite chains whenever  $H^*$  is the ordinary cohomology theory, or  $H_*$  is the ordinary homology theory whenever  $H^*$  is the cohomology theory of cochains with compact support.*

In [9], Kato prove this theorem in the case of compact  $k$ -regular spaces over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . But since we can prove this theorem by using the same method as in [9], we do not repeat the proof here.

By our theorem and Partial Poincaré Duality Theorem, we have the following:

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -regular space over  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ . Then  $[X] \cap w^i(X) = s_{n-i}(X)$  for all  $i < k$ .*

In Section 2, we study the Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $k$ -Euler spaces and prove a special product formula for the Stiefel-Whitney homology classes. These are necessary to prove Lemma 5.1. The structure of the bordism group of compact  $k$ -Euler spaces is given in Proposition 3.1. Lemma 3.1 is necessary to prove Lemma 5.1. In Section 4, we give a characterization of Stiefel-Whitney classes via the unoriented differentiable bordism group. In Section 5, we give a characterization of Stiefel-Whitney homology classes via the unoriented differentiable bordism group. Our theorem follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 5.1.

**2. Stiefel-Whitney homology classes.** The purpose of this section is to show that Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $k$ -Euler spaces is well defined and to prove a special product formula for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes.

In order to prove Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, it is convenient to define  $k$ -Euler complexes for ball complexes.

A ball complex  $K$  (cf. [4]) is totally  $n$ -dimensional if for each  $\sigma$  in  $K$  there exists an  $n$ -dimensional ball  $\tau$  in  $K$  such that  $\sigma < \tau$  or  $\sigma = \tau$ . A totally  $n$ -dimensional locally finite ball complex  $K$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler complex if there exists a subcomplex  $L$  satisfying the same conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) as in the definition of  $k$ -Euler spaces in Section 1. We usually denote  $\partial K$  instead of  $L$ . An  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler complex  $K$  is said to be closed if  $K$  is a finite complex and  $\partial K$  is empty. A polyhedron  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space if there exists an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler complex  $K$  such that  $X = |K|$ . We usually denote  $\partial X$  instead of  $|\partial K|$ . Such definition of  $k$ -Euler spaces clearly coincides with that in Section 1.

Let  $K$  be a ball complex. The barycentric subdivision  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$  is defined by  $\bar{K} = \{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_p) \mid \sigma_0 < \dots < \sigma_p, \sigma_i \in K\}$ . Then  $\bar{K}$  can be regarded as a ball complex. Denote the  $p$ -skeleton of  $\bar{K}$  by  $\bar{K}^p$ . We need the following to prove that Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $k$ -Euler spaces is well defined:

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler complex. Then  $\bar{K}^p$  are  $p$ -dimensional  $(p - n + k)$ -Euler complexes such that  $\partial \bar{K}^p = \bar{K}^{p-1}$  for  $n - k < p \leq n$ .*

In order to prove this proposition, we need the following:

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be a totally  $n$ -dimensional locally finite ball*

complex. If  $b \in \bar{K}^{p-1}$ , then the cardinality of  $\{a \in \bar{K} - \bar{K}^p | a > b\}$  is even.

PROOF. If  $p = n$ , then  $\bar{K} - \bar{K}^p$  is empty. Thus we may assume that  $p < n$ . Let  $a = \langle \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_s \rangle \in \bar{K} - \bar{K}^p$  and let  $b = \langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_t \rangle \in \bar{K}^{p-1}$ . Then  $s > t + 1$ . Since the cardinality of  $\{\sigma \in \bar{K} | \sigma_0 < \sigma < \sigma_1\}$  is even for each  $\langle \sigma_0, \sigma_1 \rangle \in \bar{K}$ , the cardinality  $\{a \in \bar{K} - \bar{K}^p | a > b\}$  is even for  $b \in \bar{K}^{p-1}$ . q.e.d.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1. Note that the cardinality of  $\{b \in \bar{K} | a < b\}$  equals the sum of the cardinalities of  $\{b \in \bar{K}^p | a < b\}$  and  $\{b \in \bar{K} - \bar{K}^p | a < b\}$  for  $a \in \bar{K}$ . By Lemma 2.1, the cardinalities of  $\{b \in \bar{K} | a < b\}$  and  $\{b \in \bar{K}^p | a < b\}$  are congruent modulo 2 for  $a \in \bar{K}^{p-1}$ . Therefore  $\bar{K}^p$  is a  $p$ -dimensional  $(p - n + k)$ -Euler complex such that  $\partial \bar{K}^p = \bar{\partial} \bar{K}^{p-1}$  for  $p > n - k$ . q.e.d.

Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space with a ball complex structure  $K$ . Define the  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney homology classes  $s_i(X)$  by  $s_i(X) = j_*[|\bar{K}^i|]$  for  $n - k < i \leq n$ , where  $j: |\bar{K}^i| \rightarrow X$  are the inclusions. Let  $s_{(k)}(X) = s_{n-k+1}(X) + \dots + s_n(X)$ . The Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $k$ -Euler spaces are well defined by the following:

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler complex and let  $L$  be a subdivision of  $K$ . Then  $(j_K)_*[|\bar{K}^i|] = (j_L)_*[|\bar{L}^i|]$  for  $n - k < i \leq n$ , where  $j_K$  and  $j_L$  are the inclusions.

PROOF. Define an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler complex  $W$  and an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler complex  $U$  by  $W = (K \times I - K \times \{1\}) \cup (L \times \{1\})$  and  $U = (\partial K \times I - \partial K \times \{1\}) \cup (\partial L \times \{1\})$ , where  $I = \{\{0\}, \{1\}, [0, 1]\}$ . We can regard  $K$  and  $L$  as subcomplexes of  $W$  by the identifications  $K = K \times \{0\}$  and  $L = L \times \{1\}$ . Put  $\bar{U}^{(i)} = (\bar{U}^i - \partial \bar{U}) \cup \bar{\partial} \bar{U}^{i-1}$ . Then  $\bar{U}^{(i)}$  is an  $i$ -dimensional  $(i - n + k)$ -Euler complex in view of Proposition 2.1. Note that  $\bar{K}^i$  and  $\bar{L}^i$  are  $i$ -dimensional  $(i - n + k)$ -Euler complexes and that  $\bar{W}^{i+1}$  is an  $(i + 1)$ -dimensional  $(i - n + k)$ -Euler complex such that  $\partial \bar{W}^{i+1} = \bar{K}^i \cup \bar{U}^{(i)} \cup \bar{L}^i$  and  $\partial \bar{U}^{(i)} = \partial \bar{K}^i \cup \partial \bar{L}^i$  by Proposition 2.1. Hence  $(j_K)_* [|\bar{K}^i|] = (j_L)_* [|\bar{L}^i|]$ . q.e.d.

The product formula for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes (Halperin and Toledo [7]) may not hold for  $k$ -Euler spaces, but we need the following to prove Lemma 5.1.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space. Then  $s_i(X) \times [D] = s_{i+1}(X \times D)$  for  $n - k < i \leq n$ , where  $D = [-1, 1]$ .

PROOF. Let  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  be ball complexes defined by  $L = \{\{-1\}, \{1\}, [-1, 1]\}$  and  $\bar{L} = \{\langle -1 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle, \langle -1, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle\}$ . Here  $\langle \pm 1 \rangle = \langle \{\pm 1\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle 0 \rangle = \langle [-1, 1] \rangle$  and  $\langle \pm 1, 0 \rangle = \langle \{\pm 1\}, [-1, 1] \rangle$ . Then  $|L| = D = [-1, 1]$

and  $\bar{L}$  is the barycentric subdivision of  $L$ . Let  $K$  be a ball complex such that  $X = |K|$ . Let  $\bar{D}$ ,  $c_i$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{i+1}$  and  $d_{i+2}$  be chains with  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -coefficients defined as follows:  $\bar{D} = \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm 1} \langle \varepsilon, 0 \rangle$ ,  $c_i = \sum \langle \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{i+1} = \sum \langle \langle \sigma_0, \varepsilon \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma_p, \varepsilon \rangle, \langle \sigma_p, 0 \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma_i, 0 \rangle \rangle + \sum \langle \langle \tau_0, \varepsilon \rangle, \dots, \langle \tau_p, \varepsilon \rangle, \langle \tau_{p+1}, 0 \rangle, \dots, \langle \tau_{i+1}, 0 \rangle \rangle$  and  $d_{i+2} = \sum [p] \langle \langle \tau_0, \varepsilon \rangle, \dots, \langle \tau_p, \varepsilon \rangle, \langle \tau_p, 0 \rangle, \dots, \langle \tau_{i+1}, 0 \rangle \rangle$ , where  $\langle \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i \rangle$  ranges over all  $i$ -balls of  $\bar{K}^i$  while  $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{i+1} \rangle$  ranges over all  $(i+1)$ -balls of  $\bar{K}^{i+1}$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq i+1$  and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ . Here  $[p]$  is the class of  $p$  modulo 2. Then  $\partial d_{i+2} - (\tilde{c}_{i+1} - c_i \times \bar{D}) = \sum [i] \langle \langle \tau_0, \varepsilon \rangle, \dots, \langle \tau_{i+1}, \varepsilon \rangle \rangle$ . Since  $\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm 1} \langle \langle \tau_0, \varepsilon \rangle, \dots, \langle \tau_{i+1}, \varepsilon \rangle \rangle$  is exact for each  $\langle \tau_0, \dots, \tau_{i+1} \rangle$ , it follows that  $\tilde{c}_{i+1} - c_i \times \bar{D}$  is exact. Note that  $s_i(D)$ ,  $s_i(X)$  and  $s_{i+1}(X \times D)$  coincide with the homology classes defined by chains  $\bar{D}$ ,  $c_i$  and  $\tilde{c}_{i+1}$ , respectively, for  $n - k < i \leq n$ . Thus  $s_{i+1}(X \times D) = s_i(X) \times [D]$  for  $n - k < i \leq n$ . q.e.d.

**3. Bordism groups of  $k$ -Euler spaces.** Let  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n^k, \partial\}$  be the bordism theory of compact  $k$ -Euler spaces for  $k > 0$ . Then  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n^k, \partial\}$  is a homology theory (See Akin [1]). If  $k = \infty$ , then  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n^k, \partial\}$  is the bordism theory of compact  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Euler spaces. (See Akin [1] and Matsui [10].) Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of polyhedra. Define a homomorphism  $s_{(k)}: \mathfrak{B}_n^k(A, B) \rightarrow H_{n-k+1}(A, B; \mathbf{Z}_2) + \dots + H_n(A, B; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  by  $s_{(k)}(\varphi, X) = \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n \varphi_* s_i(X)$ . Then  $s_{(k)}$  is well defined by Proposition 2.1. Define a homomorphism  $j_{(p,q)}: \mathfrak{B}_n^p(A, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n^q(A, B)$  by  $j_{(p,q)}(\varphi, X) = (\varphi, X)$  for  $p \geq q$ . Then the following holds:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *The homomorphisms  $s_{(k)}: \mathfrak{B}_n^k(A, B) \rightarrow H_{n-k+1}(A, B; \mathbf{Z}_2) + \dots + H_n(A, B; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  are isomorphisms for  $0 < k \leq n$ . The homomorphisms  $j_{(p,q)}: \mathfrak{B}_n^p(A, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n^q(A, B)$  are surjective for  $p \geq q$ .*

**PROOF.** Put  $h_n^{(k)}(A, B) = H_{n-k+1}(A, B; \mathbf{Z}_2) + \dots + H_n(A, B; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  for  $k > 0$ . Define the boundary operator  $\partial_n^{(k)}: h_n^{(k)}(A, B) \rightarrow h_{n-1}^{(k)}(B)$  as that of the ordinary homology theory. Then  $\{h_n^{(k)}, \partial_n^{(k)}\}$  is a homology theory with compact support for  $k > 0$ . Note that  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n^k, \partial\}$  is also a homology theory with compact support and that  $s_{(k)}$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{B}_n^k(A, B)$  to  $h_n^{(k)}(A, B)$  such that  $\partial_n^{(k)} \circ s_{(k)} = s_{(k)} \circ \partial$ . Since  $h_n^{(k)}(pt) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_n^k(pt) = \mathfrak{B}_n^k(pt) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  (cf. [10]) for  $n = 0, \dots, k-1$ , and  $h_n^{(k)}(pt) = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_n^k(pt) = 0$  for  $n \geq k$ , where  $pt$  is the space of one point, the homomorphism  $s_{(k)}$  is an isomorphism. (See Spanier [14].)

Let  $\pi: h_n^{(p)}(A, B) \rightarrow h_n^{(q)}(A, B)$  be the canonical projection. Note that  $s_{(q)} \circ j_{(p,q)} = \pi \circ s_{(p)}$ . Since  $\pi$  is surjective, so is  $j_{(p,q)}$ . q.e.d.

Let  $\xi = (E(\xi), A, \iota)$  be a  $p$ -block bundle over a polyhedron  $A$ . Define  $\bar{E}(\xi)$  as the total space of the sphere bundle associated with  $\xi$ . Then we will define a homomorphism  $(e_i^k): \mathfrak{B}_{p+i}^k(E(\xi), \bar{E}(\xi)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  for  $i < k$ , where  $\mathfrak{B}_{p+i}^k(E(\xi), \bar{E}(\xi))$  is the bordism group of compact  $k$ -Euler spaces. Let  $R$  be a regular neighborhood of  $A$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $j: A \subset R$  be the inclusion and

$p: R \rightarrow A$  be a deformation retraction. Suppose that  $p^*\xi = (E(p^*\xi), R, \iota_R)$  is the induced bundle. Then there exist bundle maps  $(\bar{j}, j): (E(\xi), A) \rightarrow (E(p^*\xi), R)$  and  $(\bar{p}, p): (E(p^*\xi), R) \rightarrow (E(\xi), A)$ . (See Rourke and Sanderson [12].) For each  $(\varphi, X)$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_{p+i}^k(E(\xi), \bar{E}(\xi))$ , there exists an embedding  $\tilde{\varphi}: (X, \partial X) \rightarrow (E(p^*\xi), \bar{E}(p^*\xi))$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \cong j \circ \varphi$ . By the transversality theorem (see [12]), we may assume that  $\tilde{\varphi}(X)$  is block transverse to  $\iota_R: R \rightarrow E(p^*\xi)$ . Let  $Y = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \iota_R(R)$ . Note that the inclusion  $Y \subset X$  has a normal block bundle, the total space of which is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space. Then  $Y$  is a closed  $i$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space. Hence  $Y$  is a closed  $i$ -dimensional  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Euler space whenever  $i < k$ . Define  $(e_i^k)^i(\varphi, X)$  by the modulo 2 Euler number  $e(Y)$  of  $Y$ .

To prove Lemma 5.2, we need the following:

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\nu = (E, M, \iota)$  be a normal  $p$ -block bundle of a proper embedding from a compact  $q$ -dimensional triangulated differentiable manifold  $M$  to  $D^{p+q} = [-1, 1]^{p+q}$ . Let  $U_\nu$  be the Thom class of  $\nu$ . Then  $\langle U_\nu \cup (\iota^*)^{-1}w^*(M), \varphi_*s_{(k)}(X) \rangle = (e_i^k)^i(\varphi, X)$  for every  $(\varphi, X)$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_{p+i}^k(E, \bar{E})$  for  $i < k$ . Here  $s_{(k)}(X) = s_{p+i-k+1}(X) + \dots + s_{p+i}(X)$ .*

**PROOF.** The case  $k = \infty$  was proved in [10]. By Proposition 3.1, we may assume that  $X$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Euler space. Note that  $(e_i^\infty)^i(\varphi, X) = (e_i^k)^i(\varphi, X)$  for  $(\varphi, X)$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_{p+i}^k(E, \bar{E})$  for  $i < k$ . Then  $\langle U_\nu \cup (\iota^*)^{-1}w^*(M), \varphi_*s_{(k)}(X) \rangle = (e_i^k)^i(\varphi, X)$  for  $i < k$ , in view of the case  $k = \infty$ . q.e.d.

**4. A characterization of Stiefel-Whitney classes.** The product formula for Stiefel-Whitney classes (see Milnor [11]) may not hold for  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler spaces, but we need the following to deduce Lemma 4.1 from Lemma 4.2:

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space. Then  $w^i(X \times D) = w^i(X) \times 1$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ , where  $D = [-1, 1]$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$  be a regular neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$ . Let  $U(\varphi)$  and  $U(\varphi \times \text{id})$  be cohomology classes such that  $[R] \cap U(\varphi) = \varphi_*[X]$  and  $[R \times D] \cap U(\varphi \times \text{id}) = (\varphi \times \text{id})_*[X \times D]$ , where  $\text{id}: D \rightarrow D$  is the identity. Then  $U(\varphi \times \text{id}) = U(\varphi) \times 1$ . Note that  $U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^i(\varphi) = Sq^i U(\varphi)$  and  $U(\varphi \times \text{id}) \cup [(\varphi \times \text{id})^*]^{-1}w^i(\varphi \times \text{id}) = Sq^i U(\varphi \times \text{id})$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ . Then  $U(\varphi \times \text{id}) \cup [(\varphi \times \text{id})^*]^{-1}(w^i(\varphi) \times 1) = Sq^i U(\varphi \times \text{id})$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ . Hence  $w^i(\varphi \times \text{id}) = w^i(\varphi) \times 1$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ . Thus  $w^i(X \times D) = w^i(X) \times 1$  for  $0 \leq i < k$ . q.e.d.

Let  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$  be a regular neighborhood of an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$ . Suppose that  $(\tilde{e}_i^k)^i: \mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  is the homomorphism defined for  $i < k$  in Section 1. We need the following to prove our theorem:

LEMMA 4.1. For every  $(f, M)$  in  $\mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R})$ , we have  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (\partial_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$  whenever  $i < k$ . Here  $w^{(k)}(X) = 1 + \dots + w^{k-1}(X)$ .

In order to prove Lemma 4.1, we need the following:

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R, \bar{R})$  be a PL-embedding with a normal block bundle  $\xi$ , where  $M$  is an  $(i + \alpha)$ -dimensional triangulated differentiable manifold. If  $\varphi(X)$  is transverse to  $\xi$  and  $i < k$ , then  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (\partial_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$ .

In order to prove Lemmas 4.2 and 5.2, we need the following:

LEMMA 4.3. Let  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$  be a regular neighborhood of an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$ . Let  $M$  be an  $(i + \alpha)$ -dimensional triangulated differentiable manifold, where  $0 \leq i < k$ . Given a PL-embedding  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R, \bar{R})$  with a normal block bundle  $\xi = (E, M, f_E)$ , suppose that  $\varphi(X)$  is transverse to  $\xi$ . Let  $U_\xi$  be the Thom class of  $\xi$  and  $j_E: E \rightarrow R$  be the inclusion. Define  $Y = \varphi^{-1} \circ f(M)$  and  $X_E = \varphi^{-1} \circ j_E(E)$ . Let  $\varphi_E: X_E \rightarrow E$  and  $\psi_M: Y \rightarrow M$  be embeddings defined by  $\varphi_E = j_E^{-1} \circ \varphi$  and  $\psi_M = f^{-1} \circ (\varphi|_Y)$ . Then the following hold:

- (1)  $Y$  is a closed  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Euler space with a normal block bundle.
- (2)  $(f_E)_*([M] \cap f^*U(\varphi)) = (\varphi_E)_*[X_E] \cap U_\xi$ .
- (3)  $[M] \cap f^*U(\varphi) = (\psi_M)_*[Y]$ .

PROOF. (1) Clearly  $\psi_M^*\xi$  is a normal  $(n - i)$ -block bundle of  $Y$  in  $X$ . Note that  $E$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space. Then  $Y$  is an  $i$ -dimensional  $k$ -Euler space. Hence  $Y$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Euler space, since  $i < k$ . Since  $M$  is compact,  $Y$  is closed.

(2) Note that  $j_E \circ f_E = f$  and  $[E] \cap U_\xi = (f_E)_*[M]$ . Thus  $(f_E)_*([M] \cap f^*U(\varphi)) = ([E] \cap j_E^*U(\varphi)) \cap U_\xi$ . If  $[E] \cap j_E^*U(\varphi) = (\varphi_E)_*[X_E]$ , then  $(f_E)_*([M] \cap f^*U(\varphi)) = (\varphi_E)_*[X_E] \cap U_\xi$ . Hence we have only to prove  $[E] \cap j_E^*U(\varphi) = (\varphi_E)_*[X_E]$ . Let  $\tilde{R} = \text{cl}(R - j_E(E))$  and let  $j_R: (R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}) \rightarrow (R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R})$  be defined by the inclusion. Regard  $j_E$  as a map  $j_E: (E; \tilde{E}, \bar{E}) \rightarrow (R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R})$ , where  $\tilde{E} = \text{cl}(\partial E - \bar{E})$ . Note that  $(j_E)_*[E] = (j_R)_*[R]$  and  $[R] \cap U(\varphi) = \varphi_*[X]$ . Then  $(j_E)_*([E] \cap (j_E)^*U(\varphi)) = (j_R)_* \circ \varphi_*[X] = (j_E)_* \circ (\varphi_E)_*[X_E]$ . Since  $(j_E)_*: H_*(E, \tilde{E}; \mathbf{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_*(R, \tilde{R}; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  is an isomorphism, we have  $[E] \cap (j_E)^*U(\varphi) = (\varphi_E)_*[X_E]$ .

(3) Note that  $[X_E] \cap (\varphi_E)_*U_\xi = (\psi_E)_*[Y]$ , where  $\psi_E: Y \rightarrow X_E$  is the inclusion. By (2), we have  $(f_E)_*([M] \cap f^*U(\varphi)) = (\varphi_E)_* \circ (\psi_E)_*[Y]$ . Note that  $\varphi_E \circ \psi_E = f_E \circ \psi_M$  and that  $(f_E)_*: H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbf{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_*(E, \tilde{E}; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  is an isomorphism. Then  $[M] \cap f^*U(\varphi) = (\psi_M)_*[Y]$ . q.e.d.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.2. We use the notation of Lemma 4.3. By (2)



of Lemma 4.3, we have  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle f^* \circ (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X) \cup w^*(M), (\psi_M)_*[Y] \rangle$ . Let  $\psi_X: Y \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion. Note that  $f \circ \psi_M = \varphi \circ \psi_X$ . Then  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle \psi_X^*w^{(k)}(X) \cup \psi^*(M), [Y] \rangle = \langle \psi_X^*w^{(k)}(X) \cup \psi_M^*\bar{w}(\xi), [Y] \rangle = \langle \psi_X^*w^{(k)}(X) \cup \bar{w}(\psi_M^*\xi), [Y] \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$  by the definition of  $(\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i$ . q.e.d.

**PROOF OF LEMMA 4.1.** Let  $(f, M)$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R})$ . By Transversality Theorem, there exists an embedding  $g: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R \times D^\beta, \bar{R} \times D^\beta)$  such that  $g \cong f \times \{0\}$  and  $(\varphi \times \text{id})(X \times D^\beta)$  is block transverse to  $g$ . By Lemma 4.2, it follows that  $\langle (U(\varphi) \times 1) \cap [(\varphi \times \text{id})^*]^{-1}w^{(k)}(X \times D^\beta), g_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$ . Note that  $w^{(k)}(X \times D^\beta) = w^{(k)}(X) \times 1$  by Proposition 4.1. Hence  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle (U(\varphi) \times 1) \cup [(\varphi \times \text{id})^*]^{-1}w^{(k)}(X \times D^\beta), g_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}w^{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$ . q.e.d.

A characterization of Stiefel-Whitney classes is given by Lemma 4.1 and the following:

**LEMMA 4.4.** *Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of polyhedra. Let  $\Phi^i$  be in  $H^i(A, B; \mathbf{Z}_2)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ . Put  $\Phi^{(k)} = \Phi^0 + \dots + \Phi^{k-1}$ . If  $\langle \Phi^{(k)}, f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = 0$  for every  $(f, M)$  in  $\mathfrak{N}_*(A, B)$ , then  $\Phi^{(k)} = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\langle \Phi^{(k)}, f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle \Phi^0, f_*[M] \rangle$  for  $(f, M) \in \mathfrak{N}_0(A, B)$ , the assumption  $\langle \Phi^{(k)}, f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = 0$  for every  $(f, M)$  implies  $\Phi^0 = 0$ . Suppose that  $\Phi^0 = 0, \Phi^1 = 0, \dots, \Phi^j = 0$ . Then  $\langle \Phi^{(k)}, f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle \Phi^{j+1}, f_*[M] \rangle$  for  $(f, M) \in \mathfrak{N}_{j+1}(A, B)$ . Hence, if  $\langle \Phi^{(k)}, f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = 0$  for every  $(f, M)$ , it follows that  $\Phi^{j+1} = 0$ . By induction on  $j$ , we have  $\Phi^{(k)} = 0$ . q.e.d.

**5. Characterizations of Stiefel-Whitney homology classes.** Let  $(R; \tilde{R}, \bar{R}; \varphi)$  be a regular neighborhood of an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler space  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+\alpha}$ . Suppose that  $(e_\varphi^k)^i: \mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  is the homomorphism defined for  $i < k$  in Section 1. We need the following to prove our theorem:

**LEMMA 5.1.** *For every  $(f, M)$  in  $\mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R})$ , we have  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X] \cap)^{-1}s_{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (e_\varphi^k)^i(f, M)$ , whenever  $i < k$ . Here  $s_{(k)}(X) = s_{n-k+1}(X) + \dots + s_n(X)$ .*

In order to prove this, we need the following:

**LEMMA 5.2.** *Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R, \bar{R})$  be a PL-embedding with a normal block bundle  $\xi$ , where  $M$  is an  $(i + \alpha)$ -dimensional triangulated differentiable manifold. If  $\varphi(X)$  is transverse to  $\xi$  and  $i < k$ , then*

$$\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (e_{\varphi}^k)^i(f, M).$$

PROOF. We use the notation of Lemma 4.3. By (2) of Lemma 4.3, we have  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle w^*(M) \cup f^* \circ (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X), (f_E)_*^{-1}((\varphi_E)_* [X_E] \cap U_{\xi}) \rangle$ . Note that  $j_E \circ f_E = f$ . Then  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle U_{\xi} \cup (f_E^*)^{-1} w^*(M), ((\varphi_E)_* [X_E]) \cap j_E^* \circ (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X) \rangle$ . Since there exists the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{n-i}(X; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \xleftarrow{\varphi^*} & H^{n-i}(R; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{j_E^*} & H^{n-i}(E; \mathbf{Z}_2) \\ \downarrow [X]_{\cap} & & & & \downarrow ((\varphi_E)_* [X_E])_{\cap} \\ H_i^{\text{inf}}(X, \partial X; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}^*} & H_i^{\text{inf}}(R, \text{cl}(R - E); \mathbf{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{(j_E)_*} & H_i^{\text{inf}}(E, \bar{E}; \mathbf{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

and since  $[X]_{\cap}$ ,  $\varphi^*$  and  $(j_E)_*$  are isomorphisms for  $i < k$ , we have  $((\varphi_E)_* [X_E]) \cap j_E^* \circ (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X) = [(j_E)_*]^{-1} \circ \bar{\varphi}_* s_{(k)}(X) = (\varphi_E)_* s_{(k)}(X_E)$ . Let  $(e_{\xi}^k)^i: \mathfrak{B}_n(E, \bar{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  be the homomorphism defined in Section 3. Then  $\langle U_{\xi} \cup (f_E^*)^{-1} w^*(M), (\varphi_E)_* s_{(k)}(X_E) \rangle = (e_{\xi}^k)^i(\varphi_E, X_E)$  by Lemma 3.1. Note that  $(e_{\varphi}^k)^i(f, M) = (e_{\xi}^k)^i(\varphi_E, X_E)$  by definition. Thus  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (e_{\varphi}^k)^i(f, M)$ . q.e.d.

PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1. Let  $(f, M)$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}_{i+\alpha}(R, \bar{R})$ . Then there exists an embedding  $g: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R \times D^{\beta}, \bar{R} \times D^{\beta})$  such that  $g \cong f \times \{0\}$  and  $(\varphi \times \text{id})(X \times D^{\beta})$  is block transverse to  $g$  by Transversality Theorem. By Lemma 5.2, we have  $\langle (U(\varphi) \times 1) \cup [(\varphi \times \text{id})^*]^{-1} \circ ([X \times D^{\beta}]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X \times D^{\beta}), g_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (e_{\varphi}^k)^i(f, M)$  for  $i < k$ . Note that  $s_{(k)}(X \times D^{\beta}) = s_{(k)}(X) \times [D^{\beta}]$  by Proposition 2.3. Then  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = \langle (U(\varphi) \times 1) \cup [(\varphi \times \text{id})^*]^{-1} \circ ([X \times D^{\beta}]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X \times D^{\beta}), g_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{(k)}(X), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = (e_{\varphi}^k)^i(f, M)$  for  $i < k$ . q.e.d.

PROOF OF THEOREM. If  $[X] \cap w^i(X) = s_{n-i}(X)$  for  $i \leq m$ , then  $(e_{\varphi}^k)^i(f, M) = (\tilde{e}_{\varphi}^k)^i(f, M)$  for  $i \leq m$  by Lemmas 4.1 and 5.1. This means  $(o_{\varphi}^k)^i = 0$  for  $i \leq m$ . Conversely, suppose that  $(o_{\varphi}^k)^i = 0$  for  $i \leq m$ . By Lemmas 4.1, 4.4 and 5.1, we have  $U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} w^i(X) = U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1} \circ ([X]_{\cap})^{-1} s_{n-i}(X)$  for  $i \leq m$ . Since  $U(\varphi) \cup (\varphi^*)^{-1}$  and  $[X]_{\cap}$  are isomorphisms for  $m < k$ , we have  $[X] \cap w^i(X) = s_{n-i}(X)$  for  $i \leq m$ . q.e.d.

PROOF OF COROLLARY. Note that  $k$ -regular spaces over  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  are  $k$ -Euler spaces by the consideration of the definitions. Then  $k$ -regular spaces over  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  are  $k$ -Poincaré-Euler spaces by Partial Poincaré Duality Theorem. Let  $\psi: Y \rightarrow X \times D^{\beta}$  be the embedding used to define  $(e_{\varphi}^k)^i$  and  $(\tilde{e}_{\varphi}^k)^i$ . Note that  $\psi$  has a normal block bundle  $\nu$  in  $X \times D^{\beta}$ . Then  $Y$  is an  $i$ -dimensional  $k$ -regular space. Since  $Y$  is compact and  $i < k$ , it follows that  $Y$  is a

closed  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -homology manifold. Hence  $\psi^*w^{(k)}(X \times D^\beta) = w^*(Y) \cup w^*(\nu)$ . Thus  $(o_\varphi^k)^i = 0$  in view of the definition of  $(e_\varphi^k)^i$  and  $(\tilde{e}_\varphi^k)^i$ . Hence  $[X] \cap w^i(X) = s_{n-i}(X)$  for  $i < k$  by Theorem. q.e.d.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. AKIN, Stiefel-Whitney homology classes and cobordism, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 205 (1975), 341-359.
- [2] J. D. BLANTON AND P. A. SCHWEITZER, Axiom for characteristic classes of manifolds, *Proc. Symp. in Pure Math.* 27 (1975), Amer. Math. Soc., 349-356.
- [3] J. D. BLANTON AND C. MCCRORY, An axiomatic proof of Stiefel conjecture, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1979), 409-414.
- [4] S. BUONCRISTIANO, C. R. ROURKE AND B. J. SANDERSON, A geometric approach to homology theory, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes*, 18, 1976.
- [5] J. CHEEGER, A combinatorial formula for Stiefel-Whitney classes, in *Topology of Manifolds*, (Cantrel and Edward, eds.), Markham Publ., 1970, 470-471.
- [6] S. HALPERIN AND D. TOLEDO, Stiefel-Whitney homology classes, *Ann. of Math.* 96 (1972), 511-525.
- [7] S. HALPERIN AND D. TOLEDO, The product formula for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes, *Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc.* 48 (1975), 239-244.
- [8] J. F. P. HUDSON, *Piecewise Linear Topology*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [9] M. KATO, *Topology of k-regular spaces and algebraic sets*, *Manifolds Tokyo 1973*, Univ. of Tokyo Press (1975), 153-159.
- [10] A. MATSUI, Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -Poincaré-Euler spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 35 (1983), 321-339.
- [11] J. MILNOR AND J. STASHEFF, *Characteristic classes*, *Ann. of Math. Studies* 76, Princeton Univ. Press., 1974.
- [12] C. P. ROURKE, Block structures of in geometric and algebraic topology, *Actes, Congress intern. Math. Nice.* (1970), 127-132.
- [13] C. P. ROURKE AND B. J. SANDERSON, Block bundles, I and II, *Ann. of Math.* 87 (1968), 1-28 and 255-277.
- [14] E. H. SPANIER, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [15] L. TAYLOR, Stiefel-Whitney homology classes, *Quart. J. Oxford* 28 (1977), 381-387.
- [16] H. WHITNEY, On the theory of sphere bundles, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 26 (1940), 148-153.

ICHINOSEKI TECHNICAL COLLEGE  
 ICHINOSEKI, 021  
 JAPAN

