### University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

2002

# Stomatal acclimation over a subambient to elevated CO2 gradient in a C3/C4 grassland

H. Maherali Duke University

C. D. Reid *Duke University* 

H. W. Polley USDA-ARS, wayne.polley@ars.usda.gov

H. B. Johnson USDA-ARS

R. B. Jackson Duke University, jackson@duke.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub

Part of the Agricultural Science Commons

Maherali, H.; Reid, C. D.; Polley, H. W.; Johnson, H. B.; and Jackson, R. B., "Stomatal acclimation over a subambient to elevated CO2 gradient in a C3/C4 grassland" (2002). *Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty*. 447.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub/447

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Stomatal acclimation over a subambient to elevated $CO_2$ gradient in a $C_3/C_4$ grassland

H. MAHERALI,<sup>1</sup> C. D. REID,<sup>1</sup> H. W. POLLEY,<sup>2</sup> H. B. JOHNSON<sup>2</sup> & R. B. JACKSON<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708-0340, USA, <sup>2</sup>USDA-ARS, Grassland, Soil and Water Research Laboratory, Temple, TX 76502, USA and <sup>3</sup>Nicholas School of the Environment and Earth Sciences, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

#### ABSTRACT

An investigation to determine whether stomatal acclimation to  $[CO_2]$  occurred in  $C_3/C_4$  grassland plants grown across a range of [CO<sub>2</sub>] (200-550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) in the field was carried out. Acclimation was assessed by measuring the response of stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  to a range of intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> (a  $g_s$ - $C_i$  curve) at each growth [CO<sub>2</sub>] in the third and fourth growing seasons of the treatment. The  $g_s$ -C<sub>i</sub> response curves for Solanum dimidiatum (C<sub>3</sub> perennial forb) differed significantly across [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments, suggesting that stomatal acclimation had occurred. Evidence of non-linear stomatal acclimation to [CO<sub>2</sub>] in this species was also found as maximum  $g_s$  ( $g_{smax}$ ;  $g_s$  measured at the lowest  $C_i$  increased with decreasing growth [CO<sub>2</sub>] only below 400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>. The substantial increase in  $g_s$  at subambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] for S. dimidiatum was weakly correlated with the maximum velocity of carboxylation ( $V_{cmax}$ ;  $r^2$  = 0.27) and was not associated with CO<sub>2</sub> saturated photosynthesis ( $A_{max}$ ). The response of  $g_s$  to  $C_i$  did not vary with growth [CO<sub>2</sub>] in Bromus japonicus (C<sub>3</sub> annual grass) or Bothriochloa ischaemum (C<sub>4</sub> perennial grass), suggesting that stomatal acclimation had not occurred in these species. Stomatal density, which increased with rising [CO<sub>2</sub>] in both  $C_3$  species, was not correlated with  $g_s$ . Larger stomatal size at subambient [CO<sub>2</sub>], however, may be associated with stomatal acclimation in S. dimidiatum. Incorporating stomatal acclimation into modelling studies could improve the ability to predict changes in ecosystem water fluxes and water availability with rising CO<sub>2</sub> and to understand their magnitudes relative to the past.

*Key-words*: grassland ecosystems; stomata; stomatal limitation of photosynthesis; subambient  $CO_2$ ; water use efficiency.

#### INTRODUCTION

Stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  is generally expected to decline in herbaceous plants with an increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> above the current concentration (Field, Jackson & Mooney

Correspondence: H. Maherali. Fax: +1 919 660 7425; e-mail: maherali@duke.edu

© 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd

1995; Knapp *et al.* 1996; Drake, Gonzalez-Meler & Long 1997; Wand *et al.* 1999). There are many important physiological and ecological implications of such a decline. For example, lower  $g_s$  may alter ecosystem hydrology by reducing transpiration and could increase the surface temperature through reduced evaporative cooling (Sellers *et al.* 1996; Jackson *et al.* 1998; Bounoua *et al.* 1999). Reduced  $g_s$ , coupled with increased photosynthesis may also improve the water use efficiency (WUE) of many plants, which is of particular importance for productivity in arid and semi-arid regions (Polley, Johnson & Mayeux 1992; Polley *et al.* 1993; Sage 1995; Field *et al.* 1995; Owensby *et al.* 1999; Smith *et al.* 2000).

A major factor that could alter stomatal responses to CO<sub>2</sub> is the degree to which stomata acclimate to growth  $CO_2$  concentration. Stomatal acclimation to  $CO_2$  would be ecologically important if it either tempered or enhanced the reduction in  $g_s$  with rising CO<sub>2</sub> (Santrüček & Sage 1996; Morison 1998). Acclimation is defined here as a change in stomatal function that occurs when plants are grown in contrasting CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Šantrüček & Sage 1996; Morison 1998). For example, physiological acclimation would be demonstrated if the stomatal behaviour of plants grown at contrasting CO2 concentrations differed when measured at the same CO2 concentration. Stomatal acclimation to CO2 may occur in several ways, including changes in maximum  $g_s$  and stomatal sensitivity to  $CO_2$ . Other responses to CO<sub>2</sub>, such as changes in stomatal morphology (density and size) may also influence  $g_s$  independently of physiological acclimation. Despite its potential importance for regulating plant water loss at high CO<sub>2</sub>, stomatal acclimation has only been examined in a few species (Drake et al. 1997; Morison 1998).

The often dramatic response of terrestrial vegetation to elevated  $CO_2$  has led to greater interest in plant responses to past  $CO_2$  increases (Sage & Coleman 2001). Atmospheric  $CO_2$  was as low as 180  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> during the last glacial maximum and has risen by 37% since the 1700s (Barnola *et al.* 1987; Jouzel *et al.* 1993). Past  $CO_2$  increases have been implicated in shifting global distributions of  $C_3$ and  $C_4$  plants and increases in ecosystem productivity (Polley *et al.* 1993; Ehleringer, Cerling & Helliker 1997). Although studies suggest that carbon assimilation may be affected by subambient  $CO_2$  (Sage & Reid 1992; Tissue *et al.* 1995; Anderson *et al.* 2001; Sage & Coleman 2001), comparatively little is known about stomatal responses to past atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations and their underlying mechanisms.

Recent empirical and modelling studies suggest that plant and ecosystem responses to increasing CO<sub>2</sub> may be non-linear (Ackerly & Bazzaz 1995; Luo, Sims & Griffin 1998; Luo & Reynolds 1999; Anderson et al. 2001). However, a majority of studies have examined plant responses to step increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, generally comparing ambient to twice ambient manipulations (Drake et al. 1997; Wand et al. 1999). Because atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is increasing gradually, results from step change experiments cannot be easily interpolated to intermediate CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, and threshold or non-linear responses to CO<sub>2</sub> may go undetected (Luo & Reynolds 1999). Observations of plants grown across a range of CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Polley et al. 1993) may therefore help refine predictions of ecosystem responses to future CO2 increases (Ackerly & Bazzaz 1995) and determine the magnitude of changes that have occurred since the start of the Industrial Revolution.

In this study, the influence of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on stomatal physiology was examined using experimental chambers that maintain a continuous gradient of CO<sub>2</sub> from 200 to 550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Johnson, Polley & Whitis 2000). A previous study in this  $C_3/C_4$  grassland ecosystem reported 40-80% declines in  $g_s$  along the gradient for several species (Anderson et al. 2001). Stomatal acclimation was examined in three dominant species of this grassland. First, we tested whether stomatal acclimation to CO2 occurred and examined whether there was a threshold or non-linear response to the CO<sub>2</sub> gradient. Second, the widespread occurrence of photosynthetic acclimation to rising CO<sub>2</sub> suggests that stomata may also acclimate to maintain the tight coupling between photosynthesis and conductance. We therefore determined whether stomatal acclimation was associated with changes in photosynthetic acclimation (e.g. Jarvis, Mansfield & Davies 1999). Finally, we examined the implications of variation in stomatal behaviour for carbon gain by assessing its effects on relative stomatal limitation of photosynthesis (Jones 1985) and intrinsic WUE.

#### METHODS

#### Study site and experimental system

The measurements were conducted in a grassland area near the USDA-ARS Grassland, Soil and Water Research Laboratory in Temple, TX ( $31^{\circ}05'$  N,  $97^{\circ}20'$  W). The site has been managed as grassland for the last 50 years and was last grazed by cattle in 1992. Soils are in the Austin black soil series, classified as a fine-silty, carbonatic, thermic Udorthentic Haplustoll with 35–55% clay in the top 40 cm (Johnson *et al.* 2000). To determine the potential for interspecific variation in responses to CO<sub>2</sub>, the study focused on three abundant species with different growth forms and photosynthetic pathways: *Solanum dimidiatum* Raf., a C<sub>3</sub> perennial forb; *Bromus japonicus* L., a C<sub>3</sub> annual grass; and *Bothriochloa ischaemum* (L.) Keng, a C<sub>4</sub> perennial grass. Other dominant species at the site include *Solidago* canadensis L. and *Ratibida columnaris* (Sims) D. Don. Mean annual precipitation (1913–99) is 877 mm and the mean minimum and maximum annual temperatures are 13·2 and 25·9 °C, respectively.  $C_3$  species are mostly active early in the growing season, and  $C_4$  species dominate by mid-summer.

The experimental system that was used consisted of two elongated chambers over parallel and adjacent plots of grassland, each 60 m in length, 1 m wide, and 1 m tall. Air was introduced into one end of each chamber and was progressively depleted of  $CO_2$  by photosynthesis as it was moved down the chamber by a blower. The desired  $CO_2$ concentrations were maintained by automatically varying the rate of air flow. During daytime, the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration gradients in the subambient and superambient chambers ranged from 360 to 200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> and from 550 to 360  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. At night, CO<sub>2</sub> gradients were maintained at 150  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> above daytime levels by reversing air flow and using respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> releases to create the gradient. Treatments began in May 1997 and operated each growing season (mid-February to mid-November) up to and including 2000.

The chambers were divided into 10 sections, each 5 m in length, with chilled-water cooling coils between sections to control temperature and humidity. Each section was enclosed in polyethylene film (which transmitted 85-95% of incident PPFD), and a rubber-coated barrier extended 1 m deep into the soil along the sides of each chamber. To maintain environmental conditions at ambient levels in chambers, air temperature and humidity were controlled by cooling and dehumidifying air before it entered each 5 m section of chamber. Irrigation was applied equally to each 5 m section to match ambient rainfall through July 1999. Thereafter, water was applied such that soil water content in sections matched that of adjacent grassland exposed to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> as measured by neutron attenuation. During the drought years of 1999 and 2000, the total water applied to the chambers was 349 and 381 mm, respectively. There were no consistent effects of CO2 treatment on soil water content during these years (Polley et al. unpublished results). Therefore, stomatal responses to CO<sub>2</sub> were not confounded by CO<sub>2</sub>-induced variation in soil water availability along the gradient. However, it should be noted that interspecific differences might have been affected by water availability because each species was measured at a different time of the year. Additional details of design, construction and operation of these chambers can be found in Johnson et al. (2000).

### Steady-state gas exchange and stomatal acclimation

Steady-state leaf gas-exchange was measured at saturating irradiance with an open gas-exchange system (LI-6400; Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) between 0900 and 1500 h central standard time. Incident irradiance during all measurements was provided by red-blue light-emitting diodes.

Measurements were taken when each species was at its peak abundance, which was June 2000 for S. dimidiatum, April 2000 for Br. japonicus, and August 1999 for Bo. ischaemum. The youngest fully expanded leaf was sampled on three to four plants per section at a minimum of six treatment CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (six chamber sections). Stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  was measured at saturating light levels of 1200, 1600, and 2000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for S. dimidiatum, Br. japonicus and Bo. ischaemum, respectively. A Peltier cooling module maintained leaf temperatures at 20-23, 27-30 and 29-32 °C for Br. japonicus, S. dimidiatum and Bo. ischaemum, respectively, matching the approximate ambient conditions at the time of the measurement. Leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficits (LAVPD) were maintained at levels that permitted the measurement of maximum  $g_s$ , which were 0.9-1.1 kPa for S. dimidiatum and Br. japonicus and 1.4-1.6 kPa for *Bo. ischaemum*. To calculate  $g_s$  and intercellular  $CO_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) a boundary layer conductance of 4.86 mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was used for the grasses and 1.42 mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was used for *S. dimidiatum*. Boundary layer conductance was calculated on the basis of leaf area and fan speed using the energy balance algorithms of the LI-6400. Leaf area was measured with a portable leaf area meter (LI-3100; Li-Cor Inc.) or from leaf dimensions, depending on sample morphology. To examine long-term consequences of CO<sub>2</sub> for stomatal function, measurements were made during the third and fourth growing season of the experiment.

To examine stomatal acclimation to growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, we measured the response of  $g_s$  and the  $C_i/C_a$  ratio to a manipulation of  $C_i$  (by changing the external CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $C_a$ ) within the leaf cuvette. Stomatal conductance versus  $C_i$  (g<sub>s</sub>- $C_i$ ) curves were measured on each species during the same period as steady-state gas exchange. Once clamped in the cuvette, leaves were exposed to the light, LAVPD, and temperature levels described above and the respective growth CO2. After steady-state conditions were achieved, the first measurement was taken, and Ci was then reduced to the CO2 compensation point and raised in steps (Šantrüček & Sage 1996). Stomatal conductance was recorded after steadystate conditions were re-established at each CO<sub>2</sub> level. Steady state was determined when the coefficient of variation of change in water vapour was < 0.05% (generally after 30-50 min).

To measure stomatal density (the number of stomates per mm<sup>2</sup>) and the size of stomata, casts were made of leaves sampled in the field during April 2000. Stomatal size was defined as the length in micrometres between junctions of the guard cells at each end of the stomate (Malone *et al.* 1993), and was therefore related to the maximum potential opening of the stomatal pore, and not the amount of opening that occurs at a given  $C_i$ . Measurements of stomatal density and stomatal size were made on leaf casts (e.g. Williams & Green 1988) for at least six individuals per species at each of six CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (a total of at least 36 plants per species). For each impression, a leaf section located 2–3 cm from the petiole was pressed onto a micro-

scope slide covered with polyvinylsiloxane dental impression material ('Extrude' Medium; Kerr Manufacturing Co., Orange, CA, USA). After the polymer hardened (approximately 5 min), the leaf was removed and the resulting leaf mould was later used as a cast for clear nail polish. Each impression was analysed at 400× (for *S. dimidiatum* and *Bo. ischaemum*) or 100× (for *Br. japonicus*) using a light microscope interfaced with a solid-state TV camera (Model CCD-72-SX; DAGE-MTI Inc., Michigan City, IN, USA) using NIH Image 1.58 (U.S. National Institutes of Health; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/). The stomatal density and stomatal size were sampled on three to six fields-ofview per slide, depending on the variation in the counts, and averaged for each slide.

The relative stomatal limitation of photosynthesis  $(l_g)$  was calculated, based on the response of net photosynthesis (A) to variation in  $C_i$   $(A-C_i$  curves). These curves were recorded concurrently with  $g_s-C_i$  curves on sampled plants and fitted with a non-linear regression model describing an exponential rise to a maximum:

$$A = a[1 - \exp(-bC_i)] + c \tag{1}$$

where *c* is the *y* intercept, 1/b is the rate constant, and a + c is CO<sub>2</sub> saturated *A* ( $A_{max}$ ). This model provided a good fit to our data ( $r^2 > 0.97$ ) and has been used previously for herbaceous plants (Jacob, Greitner & Drake 1995; Reid & Fiscus 1998). The relative stomatal limitation ( $l_g$ ) was calculated using the differential method of Jones (1985):

$$l_{\rm g} = r_{\rm g} / (r_{\rm g} + r^*) \tag{2}$$

where  $r_g$  is the gas-phase resistance to CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (the supply function) and  $r^*$  is the slope of the  $A-C_i$  curve (demand function). We calculated  $r^*$  as the first derivative of Eqn 1 at the operating  $C_i$  and calculated  $r_g$  as  $(C_a - C_i)/A$  at the operating  $C_i$  (Jones 1985). This model was also used to calculate  $l_g$  for the C<sub>4</sub> species because A was not saturated at high CO<sub>2</sub> (550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>; Anderson *et al.* 2001), permitting the calculation of a positive slope for the  $A-C_i$  curve at the operating  $C_i$ .

To examine whether variation in stomatal conductance was associated with the maximum velocity of carboxylation  $(V_{cmax})$  and photosynthetic capacity (Jarvis *et al.* 1999), we compared maximum  $g_s$  ( $g_{smax}$ ), measured at the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> concentration to  $V_{cmax}$  and  $A_{max}$  (Eqn 1).  $V_{cmax}$  was estimated from the biochemical model of von Caemmerer & Farquhar (1981):

$$V_{\rm cmax} = CE\{\Gamma^* + K_{\rm C}[1 + [O_2]/K_{\rm O}]\}$$
(3)

where the constants were  $K_{\rm C} = 43.5$  Pa,  $K_{\rm O} = 23.3$  kPa, and  $\Gamma^*$  (CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point in the absence of mitochondrial respiration in the light) = 4.44 Pa at a temperature of 26 °C (Harley, Webber & Gates 1985; Reid & Fiscus 1998) and an [O<sub>2</sub>] of 21 kPa. Carboxylation efficiency (*CE*), which is the first derivative of Eqn 1 at the CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point, was calculated as

$$CE = ab \times \exp(-bC_{\rm i}) \tag{4}$$

where  $C_i = (1/b) \ln[a/(a - A + c)]$  at A = 0 (Reid & Fiscus 1998).

We note that because the  $A-C_i$  curves were measured during the construction of the  $g_s-C_i$  curves, our calculations of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  may be influenced by changes in the activation state of Rubisco over the course of the measurement. However, our observations of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  using the 'slow' approach were similar to values derived from standard  $A-C_i$  curves measured on these species previously (Anderson *et al.* 2001).

#### Statistical analyses

To examine whether  $CO_2$  treatments influenced  $g_s-C_i$  and  $(C_i/C_a)-C_i$  curves, we used the analysis of repeated measures (ANOVAR) for physiological response curves (e.g. Potvin, Lechowicz & Tardif 1990) in SPSS 10.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).  $C_i$  was the within subjects factor whereas growth  $CO_2$  was the between subjects factor. The statistical significance of relationships between measured variables and growth  $CO_2$  was determined using linear, hyperbolic and power functions in SPSS 10.0. As multiple measurements (three to five) were taken in each treatment section, there was more than one Y for each X (where X = growth  $CO_2$ ). Although means ( $\pm 1$  SE) are presented in figures, all analyses were carried out using individual plants.

#### RESULTS

Steady-state  $g_s$  declined strongly with increasing growth CO<sub>2</sub> for all species (Fig. 1). The decline was non-linear for *S. dimidiatum* {[ $y = a + (b/x^2)$  where a = 191.99 and  $b = 9.27 \times 10^6$ ];  $r^2 = 0.94$ ; P < 0.001}, decreasing by 81% from 200 to 550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. Three-quarters of this decline occurred from subambient to ambient growth CO<sub>2</sub>. The decline in  $g_s$  with growth CO<sub>2</sub> was linear for *Br. japonicus* ( $r^2 = 0.74$ ; P < 0.0001; a 49% decline from 215 to 550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) and weakly non-linear for *Bo. ischaemum* ( $y = ax^b$ , where a = -0.64 and  $b = 8.30 \times 10^3$ ;  $r^2 = 0.76$ ; P < 0.001; a 46% decline from 215 to 540 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). Solanum dimidiatum had the highest overall  $g_s$  among the three species, followed by *Br. japonicus* and *Bo. ischaemum* (Fig. 1).

There was strong evidence for stomatal acclimation in *S.* dimidiatum. The  $g_s-C_i$  response curves for this species differed significantly across CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. Maximum  $g_s$  $(g_{smax}; g_s$  measured at the lowest  $C_i$ ), increased and the  $g_s-C_i$ curves were progressively steeper for growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations below ambient levels (P < 0.05, ANOVAR, Fig. 2A). We also found evidence of a non-linear response of stomatal acclimation to CO<sub>2</sub> as the  $g_s-C_i$  curves did not differ among plants exposed to growth CO<sub>2</sub> > 400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>. These differences in  $g_s-C_i$  curves also indicated that stomatal sensitivity to  $C_i$  was greater in plants grown at less than 400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. Although the ( $C_i/C_a$ )- $C_i$  curves did not differ significantly (P = 0.10) among CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (Fig. 2B), average  $C_i/C_a$  was generally higher in subambient CO<sub>2</sub>-grown plants measured at  $C_i < 400 \ \mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>. This trend is consistent with stomatal acclimation observed in Fig. 2A. Modification of stomatal behaviour in *S. dimidia*tum was not strongly associated with the maximum velocity of carboxylation ( $V_{cmax}$ ). The  $g_{smax}$  was weakly, although significantly, correlated with  $V_{cmax}$  ( $r^2 = 0.27$ ; P = 0.05, Fig. 3A). Variation in CO<sub>2</sub> saturated photosynthesis ( $A_{max}$ ), however, was not correlated with  $g_{smax}$  ( $r^2 = 0.05$ ; P = 0.32; Fig. 3B). In contrast to *S. dimidiatum*, there was no evidence of stomatal acclimation in *Br. japonicus* or *Bo. ischaemum*, as the  $g_s-C_i$  curves (Figs 4A & B) and ( $C_i/C_a$ )– $C_i$  curves (data not shown) were statistically indistinguishable across growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

Interestingly, the stomatal density (Fig. 5A) increased linearly with growth CO<sub>2</sub> in *S. dimidiatum* ( $r^2 = 0.30$ ; P < 0.001) and *Br. japonicus* ( $r^2 = 0.41$ ; P < 0.0001), but decreased linearly with growth CO<sub>2</sub> in *Bo. ischaemum* ( $r^2 = 0.15$ ; P < 0.05). Stomatal size (Fig. 5B), measured as the length between junctions of the guard cells at each end of the stomate, decreased linearly with growth CO<sub>2</sub> in *S. dimidiatum* ( $r^2 = 0.49$ ; P < 0.0001). In contrast, stomatal size increased weakly with growth CO<sub>2</sub> in *Br. japonicus* ( $r^2 = 0.14$ ; P < 0.05) and was not associated with growth CO<sub>2</sub> in *Bo. ischaemum* ( $r^2 = 0.06$ ; P = 0.13).



**Figure 1.** Mean ( $\pm$  1 SE) values of steady-state stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) for *S. dimidiatum* (A), *Br. japonicus* and *Bo. ischaemum* (B) plants grown across a range of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Note the difference in scales on the *Y*-axis between (A) and (B).

Despite higher  $g_s$ , plants grown at lower CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations had greater relative stomatal limitation of photosynthesis ( $l_g$ ) than plants grown at higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Fig. 6). The strongest effect was for *Br. japonicus*, in which the relationship was non-linear (second-order polynomial,  $r^2 = 0.82$ ; P < 0.0001). Stomatal limitation of photosynthesis decreased linearly with increasing growth CO<sub>2</sub> for *S. dimidiatum* ( $r^2 = 0.51$ ; P < 0.001) and *Bo. ischaemum* ( $r^2 = 0.26$ ; P = 0.03). Although *S. dimidiatum* and *Br. japonicus* had similar  $l_g$  at elevated growth CO<sub>2</sub>, they diverged at subambient CO<sub>2</sub>. Among species, stomatal limitation of photosynthesis was inversely proportional to  $g_s$ , being greatest in *Bo. ischaemum*, followed by *Br. japonicus* and *S. dimidiatum*.

Because plants were measured at the same LAVPD across treatments, the intrinsic water use efficiency  $(A/g_s)$  is representative of the water cost of photosynthesis in contrasting growth CO<sub>2</sub> environments. To illustrate the influence of stomatal acclimation to CO<sub>2</sub> on stomatal optimization of water loss relative to carbon gain, we plotted



**Figure 2.** The response of mean  $(\pm 1 \text{ SE}) g_s$  to  $C_i (g_s-C_i \text{ response} \text{ curve; } (A) \text{ and } C_i/C_a \text{ to } C_i [(C_i/C_a)-C_i \text{ response curve; } (B) \text{ for } S.$ *dimidiatum* $plants grown across a range of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. For <math>g_s$  responses, a different letter next to each curve indicates that it is significantly different (P < 0.05, ANOVAR) from the other curves. Symbols correspond to different growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations ( $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>).

© 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd, Plant, Cell and Environment, 25, 557-566



**Figure 3.** The relationship between maximum stomatal conductance ( $g_{smax}$ ; measured at the lowest  $C_i$ ) and  $V_{cmax}$  (A) and  $A_{max}$  (B) as determined from  $g_s-C_i$  response curves. The  $g_{smax}$  was significantly associated with  $V_{cmax}$  ( $r^2 = 0.27$ ; P = 0.05), but was not correlated with  $A_{max}$  (P > 0.05).

 $A/g_{\rm s}$  of all plants measured at a  $C_{\rm a}$  of 200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> (where the strongest evidence for acclimation was observed, Fig. 2A) as a function of their growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Fig. 7A–C). In *S. dimidiatum*,  $A/g_{\rm s}$  increased significantly with growth CO<sub>2</sub> ( $r^2 = 0.59$ ; P < 0.0001). Thus, when measured at 200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>,  $A/g_{\rm s}$  for plants grown at 550  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> was twice that of plants grown at 250  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. In contrast, growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentration had no effect on  $A/g_{\rm s}$  measured at a common  $C_{\rm a}$  for either grass species (Figs 7B & C).

#### DISCUSSION

We found clear evidence for stomatal acclimation to growth  $CO_2$  in *Solanum dimidiatum*, a  $C_3$  perennial forb, based on comparisons of the  $g_s-C_i$  curves among plants grown across a range of  $CO_2$  concentrations. The strongest

#### 562 H. Maherali et al.

response of  $g_s$  to  $C_i$  occurred in plants grown at 250 and 294  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>, followed by plants grown at 354  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2A). In contrast,  $g_s$ – $C_i$  curves did not differ for plants grown at greater than 400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. Although other studies have observed stomatal acclimation from ambient to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Morgan *et al.* 1994; Tuba, Szente & Koch 1994; Chen, Begonia & Hesketh 1995; Šantrůček & Sage 1996; Bunce 2001; Lodge *et al.* 2001), no evidence of such a pattern was found for any species in the present study (Figs 2A & 4). The results indicate that in *S. dimidiatum*, stomatal acclimation to growth CO<sub>2</sub> occurred non-linearly, below an apparent threshold of 350–400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. To our knowledge, this is the first study to document stomatal acclimation to subambient CO<sub>2</sub> in the field.

Neither grass species, *Br. japonicus* or *Bo. ischaemum*, showed evidence of stomatal acclimation to growth CO<sub>2</sub>; there were no differences in the  $g_s$ - $C_i$  response curves for either species across growth CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (Fig. 4A & B). This result was surprising, given systematic differences in absolute photosynthetic rates (Anderson *et al.* 2001) and  $g_s$ (Fig. 1) between the C<sub>3</sub> annual *Br. japonicus* and the C<sub>4</sub> perennial *Bo. ischaemum*. Our observations for *Br. japoni* 



**Figure 4.** The response of mean  $(\pm 1 \text{ SE}) g_s$  to manipulation of  $C_i$   $(g_s-C_i$  response curve) for *Br. japonicus* (A) and *Bo. ischaemum* (B) plants grown across a range of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Symbols correspond to different growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations ( $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>).



**Figure 5.** The response of mean ( $\pm 1$  SE) stomatal density (A) and stomatal size (B) to a range of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> for the three study species. Statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) in the magnitude of each variable among species are indicated by different letters. A \* next to each letter indicates that the slope of the relationship is significantly (P < 0.05) different from zero.

*cus* and *Bo. ischaemum* therefore suggest that stomatal responses to  $CO_2$  in  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  grass species, like growth responses, may be quite similar (Wand *et al.* 1999). In contrast, stark differences in stomatal acclimation to  $CO_2$  between *S. dimidiatum* and *Br. japonicus* indicate that species with similar photosynthetic pathways may have vastly different stomatal responses to  $CO_2$ .

Previously, we observed significant up-regulation of the maximum velocity of carboxylation  $(V_{cmax})$  at subambient growth CO<sub>2</sub> in S. dimidiatum (Anderson et al. 2001). The observation that  $g_s$  is often coupled to photosynthetic capacity (e.g. Wong, Cowan & Farquhar 1979) raises the possibility that stomatal acclimation in S. dimidiatum represents a response to photosynthetic acclimation rather than a direct response to growth CO2. A significant positive relationship was observed between  $g_{smax}$  and  $V_{cmax}$  (Fig. 3A), but variation in  $V_{\rm cmax}$  could only account for 27% of the variation in  $g_{\text{smax}}$ . The CO<sub>2</sub> saturated photosynthetic rate  $(A_{\text{max}})$ , in contrast, was not related to  $g_{\text{smax}}$  (Fig. 3B). Although the mechanism for stomatal acclimation to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is not known, the present results suggest that stomatal acclimation to CO2 may not be driven solely by adjustments in photosynthetic bio-

chemistry (e.g. Bunce 2001; Lodge *et al*. 2001; but see Jarvis *et al*. 1999).

As in previous studies in grasslands, increasing growth  $CO_2$  reduced steady-state  $g_s$  in all species (Fig. 1; Johnson,



**Figure 6.** The response of mean  $(\pm 1 \text{ SE})$  relative stomatal limitation of photosynthesis  $(l_g)$  to increasing growth CO<sub>2</sub> for C<sub>3</sub> (A) and C<sub>4</sub> (B) species. Note the difference in scales on the *Y*-axis between (A) and (B).

#### Stomatal acclimation to $CO_2$ in a $C_3/C_4$ grassland 563

Polley & Mayeux 1993; Jackson *et al.* 1994; Knapp *et al.* 1996; Niklaus, Spinnler & Körner 1998; Lee *et al.* 2001). Our results suggest that stomatal acclimation to  $CO_2$  in *S. dimidiatum* was responsible for driving both the large (81%) and non-linear decrease in steady-state  $g_s$  along the  $CO_2$  gradient (Fig. 1A). For example, steady-state  $g_s$  at a  $CO_2$  concentration of 200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> was 75% higher than it would have been if acclimation had not occurred (based on a comparison of the  $g_s$ - $C_i$  curves of plants grown at 250  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>; Fig. 2A). In contrast,  $g_s$  declined linearly and more modestly (46–49%) with CO<sub>2</sub> in the two grass species in which stomatal acclimation was not observed.

Some studies suggest that decreased stomatal density is a mechanism for reducing  $g_s$  in response to rising CO<sub>2</sub> (Woodward 1987; Beerling & Woodward 1993; Kurschner et al. 1997). Our results from a C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> grassland provide little support for this pattern, as the species showing the strongest decline in  $g_s$  with rising CO<sub>2</sub> (S. dimidiatum, Fig. 1A), actually increased stomatal density with increasing growth CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 5A). Similarly, the relationship between stomatal density and growth  $CO_2$  was very different between Br. japonicus and Bo. ischaemum, species that had nearly identical declines in  $g_{c}$  in response to increased CO<sub>2</sub> along the gradient. Of the three species measured only Bo. ischaemum decreased stomatal density in response to rising CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 5A), but the strength of this relationship was relatively weak ( $r^2 = 0.15$ ). These results suggest that  $g_s$  responses to CO2 need not correlate in magnitude or direction with stomatal density responses to CO2. However, it should be noted that measurements of  $g_s$  and stomatal density were not made at the same time; therefore we cannot rule out the possibility that phenological variation in stomatal morphology also contributed to the lack of correlation between sto-



**Figure 7.** Mean ( $\pm 1$  SE) intrinsic leaf water use efficiency ( $A/g_s$ ) measured at 200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>  $C_a$  for each species in each growth CO<sub>2</sub> treatment.  $A/g_s$  increased significantly with growth CO<sub>2</sub> only in *S. dimidiatum*. Note the difference in scales on the *Y*-axis between (A), (B) and (C).

matal density and  $g_s$ . Nevertheless, our results suggest that the response of stomatal density to  $CO_2$  is not necessarily generalizable across species or growth forms (e.g. Knapp *et al.* 1994).

Stomates were significantly larger in S. dimidiatum plants grown at subambient CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 5B). As a potential developmental response to CO2 starvation, the increased stomatal size facilitates CO2 diffusion into the leaf (Parkhurst 1994) because conductance is proportional to the square of the effective radius of the stomatal pore (Nobel 1991). Therefore, larger stomatal size at subambient  $CO_2$  may contribute to the substantial increases in  $g_s$ that were observed in S. dimidiatum (Fig. 1A). Similarly, small stomatal size at elevated  $CO_2$  could constrain  $g_s$  upon exposure to subambient CO2, as was observed in our study (Fig. 2A). Large stomatal size in S. dimidiatum, however, does not appear to prevent guard cell control of water loss, as  $g_s$  measured at high  $C_i$  was the same for all plants regardless of growth  $CO_2$  (Fig. 2A). A reduction in  $g_s$  for elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-grown S. dimidiatum, despite an increase in stomatal density, also suggests that increased stomatal size may be the primary morphological adjustment implicated in stomatal acclimation to CO<sub>2</sub>. The observation that stomatal size varied weakly with CO<sub>2</sub> treatment in Br. japonicus and Bo. ischaemum (e.g. Malone et al. 1993), where stomatal acclimation did not occur (Fig. 4), is consistent with this view

To determine whether stomatal acclimation could influence CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion and carbon assimilation, relative stomatal limitation of photosynthesis ( $l_g$ ; Jones 1985) was calculated from  $A-C_i$  curves measured concurrently with  $g_{\rm s}$ - $C_{\rm i}$  curves (Fig. 6). In general, the magnitude of  $l_{\rm s}$  was inversely proportional to  $g_s$  among species (S. dimidiatum < Br. japonicus < Bo. ischaemum). Stomatal closure at higher growth  $CO_2$ , however, did not lead to an increase in  $l_g$ , suggesting that the stimulation of photosynthesis by CO<sub>2</sub> more than compensated for any diffusional limits imposed by stomata (Tissue *et al.* 1995; Drake *et al.* 1997). The value of  $l_g$ was approximately similar for both C3 species at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (approximately 10–15%) but  $l_g$  in Br. japonicus increased disproportionately relative to S. dimidiatum at subambient growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. These results suggest that stomatal acclimation may have a significant role in reducing  $l_{g}$  in S. dimidiatum at subambient CO<sub>2</sub> when compared with the non-acclimating Br. japonicus.

Stomatal acclimation, by increasing water loss from the leaf surface, could alter intrinsic WUE (defined here as  $A/g_s$ ). Although rising CO<sub>2</sub> increases WUE in this grassland (Anderson *et al.* 2001), stomatal acclimation appears to modify the trajectory of this response in *S. dimidiatum*. The influence of stomatal acclimation on WUE was apparent in the strong effect that growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentration had on  $A/g_s$  even when plants were measured at the same  $C_a$ . For example,  $A/g_s$  increased significantly with growth CO<sub>2</sub> in *S. dimidiatum* measured at 200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 7A). In contrast,  $A/g_s$  measured at a common 200  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>  $C_a$  did not vary with growth CO<sub>2</sub> in either of the non-acclimating grass species (Fig. 7B & C).

The observation that  $A/g_s$  was lower in S. dimidiatum than it would have been without acclimation suggests that, in the past, this phenotype could have had a negative impact on plant productivity in water-limited grasslands (Polley et al. 1993; Sage 1995; Hsiao & Jackson 1999). Therefore, what is the ecological significance of stomatal acclimation to subambient CO2? One possibility is that maximizing leaf  $A/g_s$  is not necessarily an adaptive response to variation in CO2. For example, maintaining a high  $A/g_s$  may be a disadvantage if conserved water that is stored in soil is lost to competitors or through soil evaporation (DeLucia & Schlesinger 1991; Jones 1993). It is also likely that the strength of stomatal regulation of water loss in a given species is dependent on other correlated physiological and morphological traits (Givnish 1986). For example, weak stomatal control of water loss may be associated with species that have access to stable sources of water via deep roots or that possess xylem that is resistant to drought-induced cavitation (Jones 1993; Jackson, Sperry & Dawson 2000). Given our limited understanding of the whole-plant context of stomatal responses to CO2 and the mechanisms by which these responses occur, the adaptive significance of stomatal acclimation to subambient CO<sub>2</sub> remains uncertain.

It is not known to what degree comparisons of extant plants grown in subambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are representative of actual changes in plant function from the past to the present and future. As we have no information on the genotypes of past populations, it is not known if our study species have evolved in response to rising CO2. We observed strong evidence of stomatal acclimation in S. dimidiatum at growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations as high 294  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, a level which occurred relatively recently (around 1900 AD). Although a step change in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> can act as a selective agent on plant populations (Ward et al. 2000), the relatively short time span involved suggests that populations of a perennial species such as S. dimidiatum may not have evolved substantially in response to the gradual increase in CO2. In consequence, our results suggest that the greatest stomatal response to  $CO_2$  (approximately 76%) in S. dimidiatum has already taken place. Further reductions in  $g_s$  will likely occur as atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increases, but will be of much smaller magnitude. In contrast, the absence of stomatal acclimation in Br. japonicus and Bo. ischaemum suggests that future stomatal responses to rising CO<sub>2</sub> may mimic those of the past in these species.

Our results have implications for modelling efforts aimed at predicting how stomatal responses to CO<sub>2</sub> may feedback on ecosystem hydrology and climate (Henderson-Sellers, McGuffie & Gross 1995; Pollard & Thompson 1995; Sellers *et al.* 1996; Jackson *et al.* 1998). When stomatal acclimation is included in these models, it is usually assumed to occur linearly with CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Sellers *et al.* 1996). In contrast to these assumptions, we observed stomatal acclimation only at subambient CO<sub>2</sub>, a phenomenon that caused reductions in  $g_s$  from subambient to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> to be greater than those observed from ambient to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. The occurrence of stomatal acclimation and substantial reductions in  $g_s$  from pre-industrial to current ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration suggest that large changes in evapotranspiration and soil drainage in grassland ecosystems may have already occurred. Incorporating these physiological perspectives into modelling studies could improve our ability to predict changes in ecosystem water fluxes and water availability with rising CO<sub>2</sub> and to understand their magnitudes relative to the past.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. P. Whitis for operating and maintaining the experimental  $CO_2$  chambers and C. W. Cook and A. Gibson for assistance. We thank L. J. Anderson, C. M. Caruso, D. S. Ellsworth, R. A. Gill, H. J. Schenk and J. Herrick for helpful comments on previous versions of this paper. This research was funded by the National Institute for Global Environmental Change through the US Department of Energy (Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC03-90ER61010). Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DOE, NIGEC or USDA.

#### REFERENCES

- Ackerly D.D. & Bazzaz F.A. (1995) Plant growth and reproduction along CO<sub>2</sub> gradients: non-linear responses and implications for community change. *Global Change Biology* 1, 199– 207.
- Anderson L.J., Maherali H., Johnson H.B., Polley H.W. & Jackson R.B. (2001) Gas exchange and photosynthetic acclimation over subambient to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> grassland. *Global Change Biology* 7, 693–707.
- Barnola J.M., Raynaud D., Dorotkevich Y.S. & Lorius C.D. (1987) Vostok ice core provides 160,000 year record of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* **329**, 408–414.
- Beerling D.J. & Woodward F.I. (1993) Ecophysiological responses of plants to global environmental change since the Last Glacial Maximum. *New Phytologist* **125**, 641–648.
- Bounoua L., Collatz G.J., Sellers P.J., et al. (1999) Interactions between vegetation and climate: radiative and physiological effects of doubled atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Climate* **12**, 309–324.
- Bunce J.A. (2001) Direct and acclimatory responses of stomatal conductance to elevated carbon dioxide in four herbaceous crop species in the field. *Global Change Biology* **7**, 323–331.
- von Caemmerer S. & Farquhar G.D. (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. *Planta* **153**, 376–387.
- Chen X.M., Begonia G.B. & Hesketh J.D. (1995) Soybean stomatal acclimation to long-term exposure to CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmospheres. *Photosynthetica* **31**, 51–57.
- DeLucia E.H. & Schlesinger W.H. (1991) Resource-use efficiency and drought tolerance in adjacent Great Basin and Sierran plants. *Ecology* 72, 51–58.
- Drake B.G., Gonzalez-Meler M.A. & Long S.P. (1997) More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>?

Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **48**, 609–639.

- Ehleringer J.R., Cerling T.E. & Helliker B.R. (1997) C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, and climate. *Oecologia* **112**, 285– 299.
- Field C.B., Jackson R.B. & Mooney H.A. (1995) Stomatal responses to increased CO<sub>2</sub>: implications from the plant to global scale. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **18**, 1214–1225.
- Givnish T.J. (1986) Optimal stomatal conductance, allocation of energy between leaves and roots, and the marginal cost of transpiration. In *On the Economy of Plant Form and Function* (ed. T. J. Givnish), pp. 171–213. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Harley P.C., Weber J.A. & Gates D.M. (1985) Interactive effects of light, leaf temperature, CO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis in soybean. *Planta* **165**, 249–263.
- Henderson-Sellers A., McGuffie K. & Cross C. (1995) Sensitivity of global climate model simulations to increased stomatal resistance and CO<sub>2</sub> increases. *Journal of Climate* 8, 1738–1756.
- Hsiao T.C. & Jackson R.B. (1999) Interactive effects of water stress and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on growth, photosynthesis, and water use efficiency. In *Carbon Dioxide and Environmental Stress* (eds Y. Luo & H. A. Mooney), pp. 3–31. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Jackson R.B., Sala O.E., Field C.B. & Mooney H.A. (1994) CO<sub>2</sub> alters water use, carbon gain, and yield for the dominant species in a natural grassland. *Oecologia* **98**, 257–262.
- Jackson R.B., Sala O.E., Paruelo J.M. & Mooney H.A. (1998) Ecosystem water fluxes for two grasslands in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: a modeling analysis. *Oecologia* 113, 537–546.
- Jackson R.B., Sperry J.S. & Dawson T.E. (2000) Root water uptake and transport: using physiological processes in global predictions. *Trends in Plant Science* 5, 482–488.
- Jacob J., Greitner C. & Drake B.G. (1995) Acclimation of photosynthesis in relation to Rubisco and non-structural carbohydrate contents and in situ carboxylase activity in *Scirpus olneyi* at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in the field. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 18, 875–884.
- Jarvis A.J., Mansfield T.A. & Davies W.J. (1999) Stomatal behaviour, photosynthesis and transpiration under rising CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **22**, 639–648.
- Johnson H.B., Polley H.W. & Mayeux H.S. (1993) Increasing CO<sub>2</sub> and plant–plant interactions: effects on natural vegetation. *Vegetatio* **104/105**, 157–170.
- Johnson H.B., Polley H.W. & Whitis R.P. (2000) Elongated chambers for field studies across atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> gradients. *Functional Ecology* 14, 388–396.
- Jones H.G. (1985) Partitioning stomatal and non-stomatal limitations to photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 8, 95–104.
- Jones H.G. (1993) Drought tolerance and water-use efficiency. In Water Deficits: Plant Responses from Cell to Community (eds J. A. C. Smith & H. Griffiths), pp. 193–204. Bios Scientific Publishers, Oxford, UK.
- Jouzel J., Barkov N.I., Barnola J.M., et al. (1993) Extending the Vostok ice-core record of paleoclimate to the penultimate glacial period. *Nature* 364, 407–412.
- Knapp A.K., Cocke M., Hamerlynck E.P. & Owensby C.E. (1994) Effect of elevated  $CO_2$  on stomatal density and distribution in a  $C_4$  grass and a  $C_3$  forb under field conditions. *Annals of Botany* **74**, 595–599.
- Knapp A.K., Hamerlynck E.P., Ham J.M. & Owensby C.E. (1996) Responses in stomatal conductance to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in 12 grassland species that differ in growth form. *Vegetatio* 125, 31– 41.
- Kurschner W.M., Wagner F., Visscher E.H. & Visscher H. (1997) Predicting the response of leaf stomatal frequency to a
- © 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd, Plant, Cell and Environment, 25, 557-566

future CO<sub>2</sub> enriched atmosphere: constraints from historical observations. *Geologische Rundschau* **86**, 512–517.

- Lee T.D., Tjoelker M.G., Ellsworth D.S. & Reich P.B. (2001) Leaf gas exchange responses of 13 prairie grassland species to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and increased nitrogen supply. *New Phytologist* 150, 405–418.
- Lodge R.J., Dijkstra P., Drake B.G. & Morison J.I.L. (2001) Stomatal acclimation to increased CO<sub>2</sub> in a Florida scrub oak species *Quercus myrtifolia* Willd. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 24, 77–88.
- Luo Y.Q. & Reynolds J.F. (1999) Validity of extrapolating field CO<sub>2</sub> experiments to predict carbon sequestration in natural ecosystems. *Ecology* **80**, 1568–1583.
- Luo Y.Q., Sims D.A. & Griffin K.L. (1998) Nonlinearity of photosynthetic responses to growth in rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: an experimental and modeling study. *Global Change Biology* 4, 173–183.
- Malone S.R., Mayeux H.S., Johnson H.B. & Polley H.W. (1993) Stomatal density and aperture length in four plant species grown across a subambient CO<sub>2</sub> gradient. *American Journal of Botany* 80, 1413–1418.
- Morgan J.A., Hunt H.W., Monz C.A. & Lecain D.R. (1994) Consequences of growth at two carbon dioxide concentrations and two temperatures for leaf gas exchange in *Pascopyrum smithii* (C<sub>3</sub>) and *Bouteloua gracilis* (C<sub>4</sub>). *Plant, Cell and Environment* 17, 1023–1033.
- Morison J.I.L. (1998) Stomatal response to increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **49**, 443–452.
- Niklaus P.A., Spinnler D. & Korner C. (1998) Soil moisture dynamics of calcareous grassland under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Oecologia* **117**, 201–208.
- Nobel P.S. (1991) *Physicochemical and Environmental Plant Physiology*. Academic Press, New York, USA.
- Owensby C.E., Ham J.M., Knapp A.K. & Auen L.M. (1999) Biomass production and species composition change in a tall grass prairie ecosystem after long-term exposure to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change Biology* **5**, 497–506.
- Parkhurst D.F. (1994) Diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> and other gases inside leaves. *New Phytologist* **126**, 449–479.
- Pollard D. & Thompson S.L. (1995) Use of a land-surface-transfer scheme in a global climate model – the response to doubling stomatal resistance. *Global Planetary Change* **10**, 129–161.
- Polley H.W., Johnson H.B., Marino B.D. & Mayeux H.S. (1993) Increase in  $C_3$  plant water use efficiency and biomass over glacial to present CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Nature* **361**, 61–64.
- Polley H.W., Johnson H.B. & Mayeux H.S. (1992) Carbon dioxide and water fluxes of C<sub>3</sub> annuals and C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> perennials at subambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Functional Ecology* **6**, 693–703.
- Potvin C., Lechowicz M.J. & Tardif S. (1990) The statistical analysis of ecophysiological response curves obtained from

experiments involving repeated measures. *Ecology* **71**, 1389–1400.

- Reid C.D. & Fiscus E.L. (1998) Effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and/or ozone on limitations to CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in soybean (*Glycine* max). Journal of Experimental Botany 49, 885–895.
- Sage R.F. (1995) Was low atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> during the Pleistocene a limiting factor for the origin of agriculture? *Global Change Biology* 1, 93–106.
- Sage R.F. & Coleman J.R. (2001) Effects of low atmospheric  $CO_2$  on plants: more than a thing of the past. *Trends in Plant Science* **6**, 18–24.
- Sage R.F. & Reid C.D. (1992) Photosynthetic acclimation to subambient CO<sub>2</sub> (20 Pa) in the C<sub>3</sub> annual *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *Photosynthetica* 27, 605–617.
- Santrüček J. & Sage R.F. (1996) Acclimation of stomatal conductance to a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere and elevated temperature in *Chenopodium album*. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 23, 467–478.
- Sellers P.J., Bounoua L., Collatz G.J., *et al.* (1996) Comparison of radiative and physiological effects of doubled atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on climate. *Science* **271**, 1402–1406.
- Smith S.D., Huxman T.E., Zitzer S.F., Charlet T.N., Housman D.C., Coleman J.S., Fenstermaker L.K., Seemann J.R. & Nowak R.S. (2000) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases productivity and invasive species success in an arid ecosystem. *Nature* 408, 79–82.
- Tissue D.T., Griffin K.L., Thomas R.B. & Strain B.R. (1995) Effects of low and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> annuals II. Photosynthesis and leaf biochemistry. *Oecologia* 101, 21–28.
- Tuba Z., Szente K. & Koch J. (1994) Response of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, water use efficiency and production to long-term elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in winter wheat. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **144**, 661–668.
- Wand S.J.E., Midgley G.F., Jones M.H. & Curtis P.S. (1999) Responses of wild C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>3</sub> grass (Poaceae) species to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: a meta-analytic test of current theories and perceptions. *Global Change Biology* 5, 723–741.
- Ward J.K., Antonovics J., Thomas R.B. & Strain B.R. (2000) Is atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> a selective agent on model C<sub>3</sub> annuals? *Oecologia* 123, 330–341.
- Williams M.H. & Green P.B. (1988) Sequential scanning electron microspcopy of a growing plant meristem. *Protoplasma* 147, 77– 79.
- Wong S.C., Cowan I.R. & Farquhar G.D. (1979) Stomatal conductance correlates with photosynthetic capacity. *Nature* 282, 424–426.
- Woodward F.I. (1987) Stomatal numbers are sensitive to increases in CO<sub>2</sub> from pre-industrial levels. *Nature* **327**, 617–618.

Received 20 July 2001; received in revised form 23 November 2001; accepted for publication 23 November 2001