NASA Contractor Report 178302
ICASE REPORT NO. 87-31

## ICASE

Strong convergence and convergence rates of APPROXIMATING SOLUTIONS FOR ALGEBRAIC RICCATI EqUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACES


Kazufumi Ito

Contract No. NAS1-18107
May 1987

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665

Operated by the Universities Space Research Association

## MNSA

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

# STRONG CONVERGENCE AND CONVERGENCE RATES 

# OF APPROXIMATING SOLUTIONS FOR ALGEBRAIC RICCATI 

EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACES*

Kazufumi Ito<br>Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems Division of Applied Mathematics Brown University Providence, RI 02912


#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the linear quadratic optimal control problem on infinite time interval for linear time-invariant systems defined on Hilbert spaces. The optimal control is given by a feedback form in terms of solution $\Pi$ to the associated algebraic Riccati equation (ARE). A Ritz type approximation is used to obtain a sequence $\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}$ of finite dimensional approximations of the solution to ARE. A sufficient condition that shows $\Pi^{N}$ converges strongly to $\Pi$ is obtained. Under this condition, we derive a formula which can be used to obtain a rate of convergence of $\pi^{\mathrm{N}}$ to $\Pi$. We demonstrate and apply the results for the Galerkin approximation for parabolic systems and the averaging approximation for hereditary differential systems.
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## 1. Introduction

Assume $Z, U$ and $Y$ are Hilbert spaces. Consider the evolution equation on $Z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{t})=A \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})+B \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}), \quad \mathrm{z}(0)=\mathrm{z}_{0} \in \mathrm{Z} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(t)$ is a $U$-valued control function, $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of strongly continuous semigroup $S(t)$ on $Z$, and $B \in \mathscr{L}(U, Z)$. The Y-valued observation function $y$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})=C \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}), \quad \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $C \in \mathscr{L}(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{Y})$. We interpret the equation (1.1) in the mild sense; the solution of (1.1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{z}_{0}+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}) B \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the minimization problem; minimize the cost functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(u, z_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\|y(t)\|^{2}+\|u(t)\|^{2}\right) d t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to (1.3). Then the following result is well-known [10],[11]:

Theorem 1.1 Assume $(A, B)$ is stabilizable and $(A, C)$ is detectable. Then there exists a unique nonnegative self-adjoint solution $\pi$ to the algebraic Riccati equation in Z :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{*} \Pi+\Pi A-\Pi B B^{*} \Pi+C^{*} C\right) \mathrm{z}=0 \text { for all } \mathrm{z} \in \operatorname{dom}(A), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the optimal solution $u^{0}$ to (1.4) is given by

$$
u^{0}(t)=-B^{*} \Pi T(t) z_{0}
$$

where $T(t)$ is the strongly continuous semigroup generated by $A-B B^{*} \Pi$ and it is uniformly exponentially stable.

Here we have
Definition 1.2 (1) ( $A, B$ ) is stabilizable if there exists an operator $K \in \mathscr{L}(Z, U)$ such that $A-B K$ generates a uniformly exponentially stable semigroup on $Z$.
(2) $(A, C)$ is detectable if there exists an operator. $G \in \mathscr{L}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})$ such that $A-G C$ generates a uniformly exponentially stable semigroup.

The purpose here is to construct a finite dimensional approximation of the optimal feedback gain operator $B^{*} \Pi$. Let us consider a sequence of approximating problems $\left(Z^{\mathrm{N}}, A^{\mathrm{N}}, B^{\mathrm{N}}, C^{\mathrm{N}}\right)$; let $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{N}}$ be a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $Z$ and $P^{N}$ be the orthogonal projection of $Z$ onto $Z^{N}$. Assume $A^{N}: Z^{N} \rightarrow Z^{N}, B^{N}: U \rightarrow Z^{N}$ and $C^{N}: Z^{N} \rightarrow Y$ are continuous. Then consider the Nth approximating problem of (1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minimize} \quad \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left\|C^{\mathrm{N}^{N}}(\mathrm{t})\right\|^{2}+\|\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{dt} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{N}(\mathrm{t})=S^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}_{0}}+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} S^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}) B^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ds} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{A^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0$. Then the optimal control $\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{N}}$ of (1.6) is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=-B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}{ }_{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}} e^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}{ }^{\mathrm{N}}\right) t \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}}}, \quad \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0
$$

where $\quad \Pi^{N}: Z^{N} \rightarrow Z^{N}$ is self-adjoint and satisfies the $N$ th approximating algebraic Riccati equation in $Z^{N}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}+\Pi^{\mathrm{N}} A^{\mathrm{N}}-\Pi^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}+C^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} C^{\mathrm{N}}=0 . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\quad B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{N} \geqslant 1$ yields a sequence of finite dimensional approximations of
the optimal feedback gain [3].
In this paper we first obtain a condition on ( $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{N}}, A^{\mathrm{N}}, B^{\mathrm{N}}, C^{\mathrm{N}}$ ) for which (1.8) admits a unique nonnegative solution $\Pi^{N}$, and $\Pi^{N} p^{N}$ converges strongly to $\Pi$ in 52 . Such a condition has been discussed in [2], [3] but the condition in this paper improves those in [2], [3], i.e., we introduce the uniform detectability condition (see, ( H 3 ) in $\$ 2$, for the definition) which is additional to those considered in [2], and using this condition, we are able to show that there exists an integer $N_{0}$ such that for $N \geqslant N_{0}$

$$
\left\|e^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{M} \mathrm{e}^{-\omega \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0
$$

for positive constants $M \geqslant 1$ and $\omega$ (independent of $N \geqslant N_{0}$ ). This assertion is a part of assumptions in [2, Theorem 2.2]. The uniform detectability condition is satisfied if $C^{*} C$ is coercive, which is assumed in the discussions in [2,p. 693]. Thus, the uniform detectability condition can be regarded as a relaxation of the coercivity assumption mentioned above. Next, under the condition in $\$ 2$ we derive a formula which provides a rate of convergence of $\pi^{N}$ to $\Pi$ and apply the formula for specific examples.

## 2. Uniform Stability and Strong Convergence

We assume the following. Let $S^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{A^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0$
(H1) For each $z \in Z$, we have
(i) $\quad S^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}} \rightarrow S(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{z}$, and
(ii) $S^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})^{*} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{z} \rightarrow S^{*}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{z}$,
where the convergences are uniform in $t$ on bounded subsets of $[0, \infty)$.
(H2) (i) For each $u \in U, B^{N} u \rightarrow B u$ and for each $z \in Z$ $B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \rightarrow B^{*} \mathrm{Z}$.
(ii) For each $z \in Z, C^{N} P^{N} z \rightarrow C z$ and for each $y \in Y$ $C^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \mathrm{y} \rightarrow C^{*} \mathrm{y}$.
(i) The family of the pairs $\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}, B^{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ is uniformly stabilizable: i.e. there exists a sequence of operators $K^{N} \in \mathscr{L}\left(Z^{N}, U\right)$ such that $\sup \left\|K^{\mathbf{N}}\right\|<\infty$ and

$$
\left\|e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} K^{N}\right) t} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\omega_{1} \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0
$$

for some positive constants $M_{1} \geqslant 1$ and $\omega_{1}$.
(ii) The family of the pairs $\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}, C^{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ is uniformly detectable; i.e. there exists a sequence of operators $G^{N} \in \mathscr{L}\left(Y, Z^{N}\right)$ such that $\sup \left\|G^{\mathrm{N}}\right\|<\infty \quad$ and
for some positive constants $\mathbf{M}_{2} \geqslant 1$ and $\omega_{2}$.
Remark (1) Suppose $B^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} B$ and $C^{\mathrm{N}}=C \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}$. Then (H2) holds since it follows from (HI) that $\mathrm{PN}_{\mathrm{z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{z}$ for all $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{Z}$.
(2) The assumption (H3) is closely related to the preservation of exponential stability under approximation in [3,Conjecture 7.1] and it is shown in [2] that (H3) (i) ((POES) in [2]) is satisfied for parabolic systems using the

## Galerkin approximation.

(3) A natural way to argue (H3) is to take $K^{\mathrm{N}}=K \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}$ and $G^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} G$ for some $K \in \mathscr{L}(Z, U)$ and $G \in \mathscr{L}(Y, Z)$ such that $A-B K$ and $A-G C$ generate uniformly exponentially stable semigroups on $Z$.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose (H1)-(H3) are satisfied. Then for each N, (1.8) admits a unique nonnegative solution $\Pi^{N}, \sup \left\|\Pi^{N}\right\|<\infty$, and there exist positive constants $M_{3} \geqslant 1$ and $\omega_{3}$ (independent of $N$ ) such that

$$
\left\|e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} n^{N}\right) t} P^{N}\right\| \leqslant M_{3} e^{-\omega_{3} t}, t \geqslant 0 .
$$

Proof: The proof is based on the arguments in [11]. The existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.8) follow from Theorem 1.1. Since $\left\langle\Pi^{N} P^{N}{ }_{z, z}\right\rangle=\min J^{N}(u, z)$, (H3) (i) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Pi^{N} P^{N} z_{z, z}\right\rangle & \leqslant \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(-K^{N_{z} \mathrm{~N}}(\cdot) ; \mathrm{z}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left\|C^{N_{e}}\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} K^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}}\right\|^{2}+\| K^{\mathrm{N}} e^{\left.\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} K^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}_{P^{\mathrm{N}}}^{z} \|^{2}\right) \mathrm{dt}}\right. \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{\beta}\|\mathrm{z}\|^{2} \quad \text { for some positive constant } \quad \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Pi^{N}$ is self-adjoint, nonnegative definite, this implies that $\left\|\Pi^{N}\right\| \leqslant \beta$. By the variation of constants formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s})\left(G^{\mathrm{N}} C^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{ds} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-G^{\mathrm{N}} C^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0$. Here, from (1.8)

$$
\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{*} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}+\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right)+\Pi^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}+C^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} C^{\mathrm{N}}=0,
$$

so that if $z^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathbf{N}^{*}} n^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}, \quad \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0 \text {, then }, ~}$

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle z^{N}(t), \Pi^{N} z^{N}(t)\right\rangle+\left\|B^{N^{*}} \Pi^{N} z^{N}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|C^{N_{z}}(t)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

Thus, for all $t \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Pi^{N} z^{N}(t), z^{N}(t)\right\rangle & +\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|B^{N^{*}} n^{N} z^{N}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|C^{N^{N}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) d t  \tag{2.2}\\
& \leqslant\left\langle\Pi^{N} P^{N_{z}} z\right\rangle \leqslant \beta\|z\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (2.1), we have for all $t \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{~s})\right\|^{2} \mathrm{ds} \leq \frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{2}}{\omega_{2}}\|\mathrm{z}\|^{2} & +\frac{2 \mathrm{M}_{2}^{2}}{\omega_{2}^{2}}\left(\left\|G^{\mathrm{N}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|B^{\mathrm{N}}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\left\|B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \pi^{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{~s})}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|C^{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{~s})}\right\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{ds}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the Young's inequality. From (2.2), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|z^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})\right\|^{2} \mathrm{dt} \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{2}}{\omega_{2}^{2}}\left(\omega_{2}+2 B \sup \left(\left\|G^{\mathrm{N}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|B^{\mathrm{N}}\right\|^{2}\right)\right)\| \|^{2}
$$

for all $z \in Z$. Therefore, the theorem follows from the Datko's theorem [7].

The following is a consequence of [3, Theorem 6.9] and [2, Theorem 2.2].

Corollary 2.2 Suppose $(A, B)$ is stabilizable and $(A, C)$ is detectable and assume (Hl) $\sim(H 3)$ are satisfied. Then the unique nonnegative solution $\pi^{\mathrm{N}}$ to (1.8) converges strongly to $\pi$, the unique solution to (1.5).

Theorem 2.3 Suppose that $B$ is compact and $B^{N}=P^{N} B$ and that (Hl)(i) and (H3)(i) are satisfied. Then $(A, B)$ is stabilizable.

Proof: Let us consider the case $C=I$ and $C^{N}=P^{N}$ with $Y=Z$. Then it is easy to show that $(A, C)$ is detectable and $\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}, C^{\mathrm{N}}\right), \mathrm{N} \geqslant 1$ are uniformly detectable since ( Hl )(i) implies that for some $M \geqslant 1$ and $\omega$ independent of $N$,
$\left\|\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{Me}^{\mathrm{Ct}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0$. It then follows from Theorem 1.1 and (H3)(i) that for each N , (1.8) with $C^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}$ has a unique solution $\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}$. Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $\left\|\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ for some positive constant $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$. Thus, by Theorem 6.5 in [3], there exists a subsequence of $\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}$ converges weakly to some nonnegative, self-adjoint operator $\hat{\Pi}$. We will show that $\hat{\Pi}$ satisfies (1.5) with $C=I$. Since $P^{N} \hat{\Pi}^{N}=\hat{\Pi}^{N}, B^{N^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{N}=$ $B^{*} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}=B^{*} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}$. Since $B^{*}$ is compact, for each $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{Z}, B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}{ }^{*} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ converges strongly to $B^{*} \hat{n} z$. It now follows from [3, Theorem 6.7] that $\hat{\Pi}$ satisfies (1.5) But since $(A, C)$ is detectable, by $\left[10\right.$, Theorem 3.2], $A-B B^{*} \hat{n}$ generates a uniformly exponentially stable semigroup on $\mathbf{Z}$.
(Q.E.D.)

Remark 2.4 Roughly speaking, Theorem 2.3 means that the uniform stabilizability implies the stabilizability of $(A, B)$. The dual statement of Theorem 2.3 also holds: i.e., suppose $C$ is compact, $C^{N}=C P^{N}$, then ( Hl )(ii) and (H3)(ii) imply that $(A, C)$ is detectable. This statement can be proved by applying the exactly same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 to the dual Riccati equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(A \Sigma+\Sigma A^{*}-\Sigma C^{*} C \Sigma+\mathrm{I}\right) \mathrm{z}=0 \\
& \text { for all } \mathrm{z} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Convergence Rate

In this section, we assume that (H1) and (H3) are satisfied and let $B^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} B$ and $C^{\mathrm{N}}=C \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}$. Moreover, we assume
(H4) For each $z \in Z, \Pi z \in \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)$ and $B$ is compact.
From (1.5), we have for all $z \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$

$$
2\langle\Pi \mathrm{z}, A \mathrm{z}\rangle-\left\langle B^{*} \Pi \mathrm{z}, B^{*} \Pi \mathrm{z}\right\rangle+\langle C \mathrm{z}, C \mathrm{z}\rangle=0
$$

Thus, (H4) and the density of $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ in $Z$ imply that for all $z \in Z$

$$
2\left\langle A^{*} \Pi z, z\right\rangle-\left\langle B^{*} \Pi z, B^{*} \Pi z\right\rangle+\langle C z, C z\rangle=0 .
$$

Define the self-adjoint operator $\hat{n}^{N}=P^{N} \Pi P^{N}$. Then for all $x \in Z^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\langle A^{N^{*}} \hat{n}^{N_{x}}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle-\left\langle B^{*} \hat{\mathrm{n}}^{N_{x}}, B^{*} \hat{\Pi}^{N_{x}}\right\rangle+\left\langle C^{\left.N_{\mathbf{x}}, C^{N_{\mathbf{x}}}\right\rangle+\left\langle\Delta^{N_{\mathbf{x}}}, \mathrm{x}\right\rangle=0, ~}\right. \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta^{N} \in \mathscr{L}\left(Z^{N}\right)$ is a self-adjoint operator defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Delta^{N} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle & =2\left\langle\left(A^{*}-A^{N^{*}} \mathrm{P}^{N}\right) \Pi \mathbf{n}, \mathrm{x}\right\rangle  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\left\langle B^{*}\left(\hat{\Pi}^{N}-\Pi^{N}\right) \mathbf{x}, B^{*}\left(\hat{\Pi}^{N}+\Pi^{N}\right) \mathbf{x}\right\rangle \text { for all } \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{N}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.8), for $x \in Z^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\langle A^{\mathbf{N}^{*}} \Pi^{N_{x}}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle B^{N^{*}} n^{N_{x}}, B^{N^{*}} \Pi^{N_{x}}\right\rangle+\left\langle C^{N_{x}}, C^{N_{x}}\right\rangle=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by subtracting (3.1) from (3.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left\langle\left( A^{N}-\right.\right.\left.\left.B^{N} B^{\mathbf{N}^{*}} \Pi^{N}\right) \mathbf{x},\left(\Pi^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right) \mathbf{x}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left\langle B^{N^{*}}\left(\Pi^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right) \mathbf{x}, B^{\mathbf{N}^{*}}\left(\Pi^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right) \mathbf{x}\right\rangle-\left\langle\Delta^{N} \mathbf{x}, \mathrm{x}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in Z^{N}$. Or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}}\left(\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)-\Delta^{\mathrm{N}}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \times \mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, subtracting (3.3) from (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}-\boldsymbol{n}^{\mathrm{N}} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}}\left(\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)+\Delta^{\mathrm{N}}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \times \mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathbf{N}^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} d \mathrm{t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\left\|\mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\omega_{3} \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0
$$

with $M_{3} \geqslant 1$ and $\omega_{3}>0$. Since $\Pi^{N} P^{N} \rightarrow \Pi$, strongly by Corollary 2.2 and $B$ is compact

$$
\left\|B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right\|=\left\|\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\pi\right) \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} B\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Hence by the variation of constants formula and the Gronwall's lemma,

$$
\left\|\mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \hat{n}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{e}^{\left(-\omega_{3}+\|B\|\left\|B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right\|\right) \mathrm{t}}
$$

It then follows that there exists an integer $N_{0}$ such that if $N \geqslant N_{0}$,

$$
\left\|e^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{N^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) t} P^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\omega_{3}}{2}} t, t \geqslant 0
$$

Now, from (3.4) for all $x \in Z^{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(n^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right) x, x\right\rangle & -\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|B^{N}\left(n^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right) e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} n^{N}\right) t} x\right\|^{2} d t  \tag{3.6}\\
& =-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\langle e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} \pi^{N}\right) t} x, \Delta^{N} e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} n^{N}\right) t} x\right\rangle d t
\end{align*}
$$

and from (3.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(\hat{n}^{\mathrm{N}}-n^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}\right\rangle & -\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|B^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{x}\right\|^{2} \mathrm{dt}  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\langle e^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{x}, \Delta^{\mathrm{N}} e^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{x}\right\rangle \mathrm{dt}
\end{align*}
$$

These inequalities imply that for $x \in Z^{N}$

$$
\left|\left\langle\left(n^{N}-\hat{n}^{N}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right| \leqslant \frac{2 M_{3}^{2}}{\omega_{3}}\left\|\Delta^{N^{N}}\right\|\|x\|^{2},
$$

so that
(3.8) $\quad\left\|\Pi^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right\| \leqslant \frac{2 M_{3}^{2}}{\omega_{3}}\left\|^{\Delta^{N}}\right\|$
where from (3.2)
(3.9) $\quad\left\|\Delta^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant 2\left\|\left(A^{*}-A^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \Pi\right\|+2 \beta\|B\|\left\|\left(B^{*}-B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}}\right) \Pi\right\| \quad$ for all $\quad \mathrm{N} \geqslant \mathrm{N}_{0}$.

## 4. Examples

In this section we discuss the examples in which (H1)~(H4) are satisfied and then apply the formula (3.8) and (3.9) to obtain a convergence rate of $\Pi^{N}$ to $\pi$

### 4.1 Parabolic Systems

Assume $V$ and $H$ are Hilbert spaces and $V \subset H$ with continuous dense injection $i$. Consider a bilinear form $\sigma$ on $V$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\sigma(u, v)| \leqslant c\|u\|\left\|_{V}\right\|_{V} \text { for } u, v \in V  \tag{4.1}\\
& \sigma(u, u) \geqslant \omega\|u\|_{V}^{2}-\rho\|u\|_{H}^{2} \text { for } u \in V \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega>0$. It then follows from [9] that there exists an operator $A \in$ $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(u, v)=\langle-A u, v\rangle_{V^{*}, V} \text { for } u, v \in V, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V \subset H=H^{*} \subset V^{*}$ and $H$ bcing the pivoting space, and that $A$ on H with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dom}(A)=\{x \in H: A x \in H\} \text { dense in } V \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

generates the analytic semigroup on $H$ and $V^{*}$. For given $B \in \mathscr{L}(U, H)$ and $C \in \mathscr{L}(H, V)$ consider approximating problems $\left(Z^{N}, A^{N}, B^{\mathrm{N}}, C^{\mathrm{N}}\right)$; i.e. let $Z^{\mathrm{N}}$ be a sequence of finite dimensional subspace of $V$ and $A^{N}: Z^{N} \rightarrow Z^{N}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-A^{N_{z}, x}\right\rangle=\sigma(z, x) \text { for } \quad z, x \in Z^{N} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P^{N}$ be the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto $Z^{N}$ and assume $B^{N}=$ $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} B$ and $C^{\mathrm{N}}=C \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}$. We assume the approximation condition:

For each $z \in V$ there exists an element $z^{N} \in Z^{N}$ such that $\left\|z-z^{N}\right\|_{V} \leqslant \epsilon(N)$ where $\epsilon(N) \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

It then follows from [2] that ( Hl ) holds and if $(A, B)$ is stabilizable and $(A, C)$ is detectable, then (H3) holds. Thus from Corollary 2.2, $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{N}}$ converges strongly to n. However one cannot apply the formula (3.8)-(3.9) as it is, since $\pi Z \subset \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)$ is the maximal regularity without assuming any regularity of $C$. This can be demonstrated by the following example. Consider the case when $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{L}^{2}(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, and

$$
\sigma(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d x} u(x) \frac{d}{d x} v(x) d x \text { for } u, v \in H_{0}^{1} .
$$

Let us consider the Liapunov equation on $H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \Sigma+\Sigma A+\mathrm{Q}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is self-adjoint operator on $H$. If for each $z \in Z$,

$$
(\Sigma z)(x)=\int_{0}^{1} \phi(x, y) z(y) d y \text { and }(Q z)(x)=\int_{0}^{1} q(x, y) z(y) d y
$$

then $\phi$ satisfies $\Delta \phi+q=0$ with Dirichlet boundary condition,
where $\Delta \phi=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}} \phi+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}} \phi$ for $\phi \in \mathrm{H}^{2}([0,1] \times[0,1])$. In general (e.g., see [6],[8])

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \phi\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \phi\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{dxdy} \leqslant \mathrm{M} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}|q|^{2} \mathrm{dxdy}
$$

This implies $\Sigma L^{2} \subset \operatorname{dom}(A)$ is the maximal regularity.
Hence we will modify the arguments in Section 3 to improve the formula (3.8)-(3.9) for this example. First we note that in (3.2) for $x \in Z^{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle\left(A^{*}-A^{N^{*}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \Pi \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\sigma\left(\mathrm{x},\left(\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}-\Pi\right) \mathrm{x}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{c}\|\mathrm{x}\|\| \|\left(\Pi-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{x} \|_{\mathrm{v}} \text { by } \quad(4.1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus from (3.2)
(4.8) $\quad \gamma=\sup _{\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{v}} \neq 0} \frac{\left|\left\langle\Delta^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}\right\rangle\right|}{\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}} \leqslant 2 \mathrm{c} \sup _{\mathrm{x} \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\left(\Pi-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{x}\right\|_{\mathrm{v}}}{\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathbf{v}}}$

$$
+2 \alpha \beta\|B\| \sup _{\mathrm{x} \neq 0} \frac{\left\|B^{*}\left(\mathrm{n}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{x}\right\|_{\mathrm{v}}}{\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{V}}}
$$

where $\quad\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{H}} \leqslant \alpha\|\mathrm{x}\|_{\mathrm{V}}$.
Lemma 4.1 There exists a positive constant $M$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} n^{N}\right) t} P^{N} x\right\|_{V}^{2} d t \leqslant M\|x\|_{H}^{2} \text {, and } \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{\infty} \| e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} \hat{\Pi}^{N}\right) t} P^{N}\right)\left\|_{V}^{2} d t \leqslant M\right\| x \|_{H}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Let $\xi^{N}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~N}}\right) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0$. Then $\xi^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})$ satisfies

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \xi^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \Pi^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \xi^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0,
$$

so that from (4.5)

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\xi^{N}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\sigma\left(\xi^{N}, \xi^{N}\right)=-\left\langle B^{N^{*}} \xi^{N}(t), B^{N^{*}} \Pi^{N} \xi^{N}(t)\right\rangle_{H}
$$

and from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\xi^{N}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\omega\left\|\xi^{N}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \leqslant\left(\rho+2 \beta\|B\|^{2}\right)\left\|\xi^{N}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration of this inequality with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|\xi^{N}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\omega \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\xi^{N}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|\xi^{N}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left(\rho+2 \beta\|B\|^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\xi^{N}(s)\right\|_{H}^{2} d s
$$

Now the lemma follows from Theorem 2.1.

It then follows from Lemma 4.1 and (4.8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\langle\Delta^{N} e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} n^{N}\right) t} x, e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} n^{N}\right) t} x\right\rangle \\
& \quad \leqslant \gamma \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|e^{\left(A^{N}-B^{N} B^{N^{*}} n^{N}\right) t} P^{N_{x}}\right\|_{V}^{2} d t \leqslant M \gamma\|x\|_{H}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similary for $e^{\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}-B^{\mathrm{N}} B^{\mathrm{N}^{*}} \hat{n}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geqslant 0$. Therefore we obtain, using (3.6) and (3.7),
(4.9) $\quad\left\|\Pi^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right\| \leqslant M y$.
where $\gamma$ is given by (4.8).
Consider the (1-dimensional) parabolic control system [2];

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} z(t, x) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(p(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z\right)+q(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z+r(x) z \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}(x) u_{i}(t) \quad \text { in }(0.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

with boundary condition $z(t, 0)=z(t, 1)=0$, where $p \in C^{1}(0,1)$, being bounded below by a positive constant $\omega, \frac{d}{d x} q, r \in L^{\infty}(0,1)$, and $b_{i} \in L^{2}(0,1), i=1, \ldots, m$. In this case, $H=L^{2}(0,1)$ and $V=H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, and the bilinear form $\sigma$ is given by

$$
\sigma(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[p(x) \frac{d}{d x} u \frac{d}{d x} v-\left(q(x) \frac{d}{d x} u+r(x) u\right) v\right] d x .
$$

$B: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow L^{2}(0,1)$ is defined by

$$
(B u)(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}(x) u_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

and $\operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{2}(0,1) \cap \mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Let us consider the following finite dimensional subspace $Z^{\mathbf{N}}$ of $V$ :

$$
Z^{N}=\left\{z \in H: z(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \alpha_{i} B_{i}^{N}(x), \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

where $B_{i}^{N}(\cdot), i=1, \ldots, N-1$ are the linear $B$-spline elements on the interval $[0,1]$; i.e.,

$$
B_{i}^{N}= \begin{cases}-N\left(x-\frac{i+1}{N}\right), & x \in\left[\frac{i}{N}, \frac{i+1}{N}\right] \\ N\left(x-\frac{i-1}{N}\right), & x \in\left[\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N}\right] \\ 0, & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

Then the approximation condition (4.6) is satisfied [8]. Suppose ( $A, B$ ) is stabilizable and $(A, C)$ is detectable. Then (1.5) has the unique solution $\pi$ and using a similar arguments to those given above to show the regularity of solutions to Liapanov equation (4.7), one can show that for $x \in H$, $\pi x \in$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)$. Since $A^{*}$ is closed in H and $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}$, by the closed graph theorem, there exists a positive constant $k_{1}$, such that $\|\Pi z\|_{H^{2}(0,1)} \leqslant k_{1}\left\|^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}$. Hence the fundamental error estimate (e.g., [8]) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Pi^{n z}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leqslant k_{2}\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]^{2}\|z\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \left\|\Pi z-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \leq k_{3}\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]\|z\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constants $\mathrm{k}_{2}, \mathrm{k}_{3}$. Now it follows from (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$
\left\|\Pi^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right\| \leqslant k\left[\frac{1}{N}\right] \text { for some constant } k
$$

### 4.2 Hereditary Differential Systems

Consider the hereditary differential system in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$;

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=A_{0} x(t)+A_{1} x(t-r)+\int_{-r}^{0} A(\theta) x(t+\theta) d \theta+B u(t)  \tag{4.10}\\
x(0)=\eta \text { and } x(\theta)=\phi(\theta),-r \leqslant \theta<0 \\
y(t)=C x(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the optimal control problem; for given initial data $z=(\eta, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times$ $L^{2}\left(-r, 0 ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, minimize the cost functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u, z)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(|y(t)|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right) d t \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ and the element of $A(\cdot)$ is square integrable. It is shown [1] that (4.10) and (4.11) are equivalently formulated as the problem (1.1) $\sim(1.4)$ on the product space $Z=\mathbb{R}^{n} \times L^{2}\left(-r, 0 ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) ;$ i.e., $z(t)=$ $(x(t), x(t+\cdot)) \in Z \quad$ is the mild solution of (1.1) with

$$
\operatorname{dom}(A)=\left\{(\eta, \phi) \in \mathrm{Z}: \phi \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(-\mathrm{r}, 0) \text { and } \phi(0)=\eta\right\},
$$

for $(\phi(0), \phi) \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$

$$
A(\phi(0), \phi)=\left(\mathrm{A}_{0} \phi(0)+\mathrm{A}_{1} \phi(-\mathrm{r})+\int_{-\mathrm{r}}^{0} \mathrm{~A}(\theta) \phi(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta, \dot{\phi}\right) .
$$

The input operator $B: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ and the output operator $C: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{P}}$ are given by

$$
B u=(B u, 0) \in \mathrm{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad C(\eta, \phi)=\mathrm{C} \eta
$$

Let us consider the averaging approximation [1] of (4.10); let

$$
Z^{N}=\left\{z \in Z: z=\left(a_{0}, \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k} X_{\left[-\frac{i}{N} r,-\frac{i-1}{N}\right.}^{r}\right), \quad a_{k} \in R^{n}, 0 \leq k \leq N\right\} \subset Z
$$

and $A^{N}$ has the matrix representation $\left(Q^{N}\right)^{-1} H^{N}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n(N+1)}$ when $Z^{N}$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}^{n(N+1)}$ by its coordinate vector $\operatorname{col}\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}^{\mathbf{T}}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)$, where the block diagonal matrix $Q^{N}$ and the block Hessenberg matrix $H^{N}$ are given by
with $A_{0}^{N}=A_{0}, A_{i}^{N}=\int-\frac{i-1}{-\frac{i}{N}} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{A}(\theta) d \theta$ and $A_{N}^{N}=A_{1}+\int_{-r}^{-\frac{N}{N}^{N-1}} A(\theta) d \theta$. Note that $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}} B=B$ and $C \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}=C$. Set $B^{\mathrm{N}}=B$ and $C^{\mathrm{N}}=C$. Then $\left(A^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{*}$ has the matrix representation $\left(Q^{N}\right)^{-1} H^{N^{T}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n(N+1)}$. (H1)(i) is proved in [1] and (Hl)(ii) is proved in [3]. Using the arguments in [5], [7] one can show that (H3) is satisfied (ie., (i) is straightforward but (ii) is not so). Thus, the formula (3.8)-(3.9) yields

$$
\left\|\Pi^{\mathrm{N}}-\hat{\Pi}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \leqslant 2\left\|\left(A^{*}-A^{\mathbf{N}^{*}} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \Pi\right\|
$$

By the regularity result in [4], if $A(\cdot) \in H^{1}\left(-r, 0 ; R^{n \times n}\right)$, then

$$
A^{*} \Pi+C^{*} C \in \operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)=\left\{(\mathrm{y}, \psi) \in \mathrm{Z}: \psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\right.$ and $\left.\psi(-\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{y}\right\}$ and $A^{*}(\mathrm{y}, \psi)=$ $\left(\psi(0)+A_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{y},-\dot{\psi}(\theta)+A^{\mathrm{T}}(\cdot) \mathrm{y}\right) \in \mathrm{Z} \quad[3]$. Since $C^{*} C(\eta, \phi)=\left(C^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C} \eta, 0\right) \in \mathrm{Z}$ for $(\eta, \phi) \in \mathrm{Z}$, this implies that if $\Pi z=(\mathrm{y}, \psi)$, then $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}$ so that $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{2}$, and since $A^{*}$ is closed, $\|\psi\|_{H^{2}} \leqslant M\|z\|_{Z}$ for some constant $M$. It then follows from the arguments and error estimate in [1], [3] that

$$
\left\|\left(A^{*}-A^{N^{*}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{y}, \psi)\right\| \leqslant \frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~N}}}\left(|\mathrm{y}|+\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{H}^{2}}\right)
$$

Hence we obtain $\left\|\Pi^{N}-\hat{\Pi}^{N}\right\|=0\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right)$.
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In this paper, we consider the linear quadratic optimal control problem on infinite time interval for linear time-invariant systems defined on Hilbert spaces. The optimal control is given by a feedback form in terms of solution $\pi \quad$ to the associated algebraic Riccati equation (ARE). A Ritz type approximation is used to obtain a sequence $\pi^{N}$ of finite dimensional approximations of the solution to ARE. A sufficient condition that shows $\pi^{N}$ converges strongly to $\pi$ is obtained. Under this condition, we derive a formula which can be used to obtain a rate of convergence of $\pi$ N to $\pi$. We demonstrate and apply the results for the Galerkin approximation for parabolic systems and the averaging approximation for hereditary differential systems.
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