

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/780312

Structural and mechanical remodeling of the cytoskeleton studied in 3D microtissues under acute dynamic stretch — Source link

Matthew C. Walker, Pauline Rizzuto, Michel Godin, Michel Godin ...+1 more authors Institutions: University of Ottawa, Louis Pasteur University Published on: 06 Oct 2019 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) Topics: Actin remodeling, Filamentous actin and Cytoskeleton

Related papers:

- Structural and mechanical remodeling of the cytoskeleton maintains tensional homeostasis in 3D microtissues under acute dynamic stretch
- · Microfabricated tissue gauges to measure and manipulate forces from 3D microtissues
- · Reciprocal interactions between cells and extracellular matrix during remodeling of tissue constructs
- A computational model of the response of adherent cells to stretch and changes in substrate stiffness
- Physically-induced cytoskeleton remodeling of cells in three-dimensional culture.



SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

Check for updates

OPEN Structural and mechanical remodeling of the cytoskeleton maintains tensional homeostasis in 3D microtissues under acute dynamic stretch

Matthew Walker¹, Pauline Rizzuto², Michel Godin^{3,4,5} & Andrew E. Pelling^{1,3,6,7}

When stretched, cells cultured on 2D substrates share a universal softening and fluidization response that arises from poorly understood remodeling of well-conserved cytoskeletal elements. It is known, however, that the structure and distribution of the cytoskeleton is profoundly influenced by the dimensionality of a cell's environment. Therefore, in this study we aimed to determine whether cells cultured in a 3D matrix share this softening behavior and to link it to cytoskeletal remodeling. To achieve this, we developed a high-throughput approach to measure the dynamic mechanical properties of cells and allow for sub-cellular imaging within physiologically relevant 3D microtissues. We found that fibroblast, smooth muscle and skeletal muscle microtissues strain softened but did not fluidize, and upon loading cessation, they regained their initial mechanical properties. Furthermore, microtissue prestress decreased with the strain amplitude to maintain a constant mean tension. This adaptation under an auxotonic condition resulted in lengthening. A filamentous actin cytoskeleton was required, and responses were mirrored by changes to actin remodeling rates and visual evidence of stretchinduced actin depolymerization. Our new approach for assessing cell mechanics has linked behaviors seen in 2D cultures to a 3D matrix, and connected remodeling of the cytoskeleton to homeostatic mechanical regulation of tissues.

With every breath, heartbeat and movement, cells in our body experience cyclic mechanical stretch, which in turn, creates continually unsteady forces at focal adhesions, across the cell membrane, along cytoskeletal filaments and through the nucleus^{1,2}. In a cell, these forces direct functional and phenotypic behaviors by generating conformational changes, and thereby, alter ligand-receptor affinities^{1,2}. Importantly, this ability of cells to feel and adapt to mechanical forces has been linked to crucial events in normal development and function, as well as disease progression, including bone, muscle, heart and lung disorders, and cancer^{3,4}.

In particular, the well-conserved structural elements that make up the cytoskeleton of eukaryotic cells are in themselves mechanosensitive; in response to dynamic stretch, the cytoskeleton softens (decreased elasticity) and becomes more fluid-like (increased phase lag/hysteresivity)⁵⁻⁸. Then upon stretch cessation, it slowly regains its stiffness and resolidifies⁷. Because the molecular mechanism(s) behind this response remain unclear, visual evidence quantifying cytoskeletal remodeling following cyclic stretching is of large importance to our field⁹⁻ ¹³. Furthermore, whereas softening and fluidization has been observed in response to deformation at the subcellular^{5,6} and single cell levels^{7,8}, the extent of the response remains poorly understood at the tissue-level^{14–16}.

¹Department of Biology, Gendron Hall, 30 Marie Curie, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1N5N5, Canada. ²Université Côte d'Azur, 28 Avenue de Valrose, Nice, 06108, France. ³Department of Physics, STEM Complex, 150 Louis Pasteur Pvt., University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1N 6N5, Canada. ⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, Colonel By Hall, 161 Louis Pasteur, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1N6N5, Canada. ⁵Ottawa-Carleton Institute for Biomedical Engineering, Colonel By Hall, 161 Louis Pasteur, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1N6N5, Canada. ⁶Institute for Science Society and Policy, Simard Hall, 60 University, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1N5N5, Canada. ⁷SymbioticA, School of Human Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, 6009, Australia. [™]e-mail: a@pellinglab.net

Nevertheless, in the body, this response has been linked to the maintenance of airway caliber^{14,15} and the regulation of blood pressure¹⁷. For reasons unknown, however, it is absent in certain pathological disorders. For example, unlike in healthy lungs, stretch from a deep inspiration does not dilate asthmatic airways¹⁸.

Our current understanding of this strain softening behavior has largely come from studying *ex vivo* tissues samples and isolated cells. While studying the mechanical behaviors of intact *ex vivo* samples has furthered our knowledge of tissue-level responses to stretch^{14–16,19}, this method possesses poor resolution for elucidating subcellular remodeling. The use of *ex vivo* tissues may further be hindered by inter-donor variability and low availability of samples. On the other hand, subcellular cytoskeletal remodeling responses and mechanotransduction pathways have in large part been discovered through growing cells in isolations on rigid, flat surfaces. Yet it is known that the physical environment in which a cell is grown alters its mechanical properties and behavior. For example, cells grown on stiff substrates tend to have their actin cytoskeleton arranged into dense stress fibers, and are stiffer, more solid-like and under greater pre-stress when compared to cells on softer substrates^{20–22}.

In addition to matrix stiffness, it is suspected that the mechanical behavior of cells may be further altered by the dimensionality of their environment. In support of this growing hypothesis, culturing cells on a 2D substrate vs. within a more physiologically relevant 3D matrix fundamentally changes the distribution and structure of the cytoskeleton by forcing un-natural apical-basal polarity of adhesion complexes²³. The difference between a rigid, flat, petri dish and a soft 3D extracellular matrix (ECM) may also explain observed disparities in cellular behavior, and the loss of efficacy in costly clinical trials that often occurs when pharmaceutical treatments are developed using conventional 2D cell culture techniques^{24–27}. Thus, there exists a need for new high-throughput cell culture techniques capable of probing mechanical behavior at the cellular and subcellular-levels while maintaining a physiologically relevant soft 3D environment.

To address this need, techniques that allow assessment of the mechanical behavior of cells within reconstituted 3D collagen gels have been a keen interest to the fields of mechanobiology, pharmacology, and tissue engineering²⁸. Specially in regards to their response to stretch, it is known that cells within 3D cultures respond to quasi-static changes in matrix tension through altering their contractility in the opposite direction so to maintain tensional homeostasis throughout the cell culture^{29,30}. In other publications these behaviors following step length changes have been linked to actin depolymerization and subsequent reinforcement responses^{31,32}. It remains unclear, however, whether 3D cultures share the same the mechanical and cytoskeletal remodeling responses under cyclic stretching of intact *ex vivo* tissue samples and isolated cells^{14,15}. That said, during dynamic stretching of 3D cultures, the peak force of subsequent loading cycles has been shown to decrease towards a plateau³³, which is suggestive of an adaptive strain softening behavior. Nevertheless, our field lacks a complete characterization of this mechanical response and of cytoskeletal remodeling in response to dynamic stretch in 3D cell cultures.

Although 3D cell cultures are more physiologically relevant²⁵ and a third dimension may significantly alter our understanding of cell mechanics, 2D culture remains the predominate technique in our field. Among the reasons for this, the centimeter scale of bulk gels used in previous investigations^{34–36} limits the experimental throughput, causes imaging difficulties, produces a high diffusive barrier for nutrients and may slow dynamic responses to soluble factors. These limitations of bulk 3D cell cultures, however, can largely be overcome by shrinking the cell culture size through adopting a Lab-on-a-chip approach. In that regard, Legant et al.337. Microfabricated Tissue Gauges (microtissues) allowed for relatively high-throughput assessment of the rapid dynamics and force generation during cell contraction³⁷. In their model, cells within a collagen matrix and form around pairs of flexible vertical cantilevers into an array of dense, organized structures comparable to ex vivo tissue. High-throughput tensile force measurements can then be calculated from the visible deflection of the cantilevers. More recently, investigators have fixed a magnetic microsphere to one of the cantilevers in each microtissue well, and with magnetic tweezers stretched one microtissue at a time for quasi-static stiffness measurements^{38,39}. The limitations in experimental throughput and actuation range of magnetically driven devices were recently addressed by our Microtissue Vacuum-Actuated Stretcher (MVAS)⁴⁰. In that work, the MVAS allowed for high-throughput visualization of cellular remodeling during stretching due to a mostly planar deformation and following chronic (several days) conditioning.

We now present a new microtissue stretcher, the MVAS-force, which enables measurements of tensile force and dynamic mechanical analysis. In contrast to our previous design, only one of the cantilevers in the MVAS-force is actuated through a regulated vacuum pressure while forces are measured simultaneously from the passive bending in the other cantilever. In this article, this new approach allowed us to assess the mechanical properties of microtissues during dynamic loading and upon loading cessation, and to link the changes in mechanics to sub-cellular remodeling using responses to pharmacological treatments and by directly imaging the cytoskeleton. The findings that can be gained from our approach on a cell's ability, or impaired ability to sense mechanical forces may be critical to understand pathways of development, normal function and disease progression in the body.

Results

Microtissue morphology. Within the MVAS-Force, 3T3 fibroblast cells self-assembled around the cantilevers into dense, highly organized, three-dimensional constructs that morphologically resembled tissue. Top-down and cross sectional views of a fully compacted, representative microtissue are shown in Fig. 1c. As been shown previously^{37,40,41}, the cells compacted the collagen matrix away from the bottom and sides of the well into a tissue freely suspended around the tops of the cantilevers. The average microtissue thickness measured at its center after four days was $97 \pm 2 \,\mu\text{m} \,(\text{n} = 5)$ and was qualitatively uniform along the longitudinal axis.

Maximum intensity projections with orthogonal slices and centrally located magnified views of F-actin and cell nuclei within a representative microtissue at four days are shown in Fig. 1d. Actin was highly polymerized into dense stress fibers that were oriented with the longitudinal axis of the microtissue. The cell nuclei were also mostly aligned with the microtissue and evenly distributed in three dimensions.





Microtissues strain soften to maintain their mean tension. It has been widely reported that acute dynamic stretching changes the mechanical properties of cells grown on 2D surfaces; they become softer (decreased elasticity) and more fluid-like (increased phase lag)^{7,11,42}. In that regard, we started by investigating whether or not 3D microtissues composed of 3T3 fibroblasts share these behaviors by assessing their dynamic mechanical properties under progressively larger strains at 0.25 Hz.

As expected, microtissue storage stiffness (k') decreased in a strain-dependent manner (N = 22, linear regression: $R^2 = 0.97$, p < 0.001), (Fig. 2a). Comparing 9% to 1% strain, the average storage stiffness decreased by $26 \pm 2\%$ (repeated measures t-test: p < 0.001). However, unlike previously published findings on cells in 2D culture⁷ and intact airway muscle tissues strips^{14,15}, where softening was accompanied with a more fluid-like behavior (or fluidization), the phase lag (δ) of microtissues instead decreased with the strain amplitude (linear regression: $R^2 = 0.95$, p < 0.01) (Fig. 2b), indicating a greater amount of energy stored for a given amount dissipated at higher levels of strains. Therefore in contrast to isolated cells⁷ and *ex vivo* tissue strips^{14,15}, fibroblast microtissues become more elastic-like as they soften.

The softening response in microtissues was also accompanied with a decrease to their prestress (T_o) (linear regression: $R^2 = 0.97$, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2c). Comparing 9% strain to 1% strain, the average prestress decreased by $2.7 \pm 0.3 \mu N$ (N = 22, repeated measures t-test: p < 0.001). Importantly together with the softening response, this decrease in prestress led to a mean tension that was invariant with respect to the strain amplitude (linear regression: p > 0.5) (Fig. 2c). This behavior occurred despite a linear increase to the mean microtissue length (L_{avg}) (linear regression: $R^2 = 0.99$, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2d) and is indicative of an intrinsic tensional homeostatic response that cells and tissues possess^{29,30}.

Moreover, since we considered an auxotonic condition, the minimum microtissue length during a loading cycle (L_o , ie. the offset length) increased with the stretch amplitude (linear regression: $R^2 = 0.99$, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2d). Thus, in agreement with previous reports on *ex vivo* tissue strips^{15,19}, the tension regulating ability of microtissues under dynamic stretching resulted in their lengthening.



Figure 2. 3T3 fibroblast microtissues strain soften to maintain a constant mean stress. The storage stiffness, k', (a), phase lag between stress and strain, δ , (b) and prestress, T₀, (c) all decreased with increasing the oscillatory strain amplitude (N = 22). Importantly, the decrease to the stiffness and prestress led to a constant mean stress, T_{avg}, (c) despite a linear increase to mean microtissue length, L_{avg} (d). Under an auxotonic condition, these behaviors increased the offset microtissue length, L_o. To see whether these responses were reversible, microtissues were oscillated under a large amplitude strain until subsequent loading loops overlapped (1) and then suddenly switched to a small amplitude strain (2) (e). Both the storage stiffness (f) and prestress (g) fully recovered to their initial values over 160 seconds with similar rates (N = 8). In this figure, the prestress and mean stress are expressed as the difference from the smallest strain amplitude.

The aforementioned strain softening response was fully reversible upon returning to small amplitude oscillations (Fig. 2e). The stiffness and prestress recoveries are shown in Fig. 2f,g, respectively (N = 8). After 160 seconds, microtissue stiffness recovered to 99 ± 3% of its initial value and the prestress agreed well with initial measurements (both repeated measures t-tests: P > 0.05). The recovery curves of stiffness and prestress followed stretched exponential functions (Eq. 1) with agreeing time (τ) (38 ± 1 vs. 35 ± 6 seconds) and power law constants (β) (0.87 ± 0.01 vs. 0.92 ± 0.08) (SI 1). Additionally, the offset microtissue length recovered as well with the same dynamics (data not shown).

$$F(t) = a * e^{-t/\tau^{0}} + d$$
(1)



Figure 3. Adaptation to oscillatory loading depends upon the loading frequency. Average (N = 6) conditioning cycles from rest at 0.25 Hz and 0.0025 Hz are shown in (**a**,**b**), respectively. In both cases, the prestress decreased with subsequent loading cycles towards a new set point but to a greater extent with faster loading frequencies (**c**). While the mean tension remained elevated when oscillations were slowly applied, it decreased with additional cycles towards the resting tension at 0.25 Hz (**d**). Under an auxotonic condition and when the oscillation frequency was faster than the recovery time constant ($1/f \ll \tau$), these behaviors resulted in a progressive lengthening response (**e**). However, this lengthening response was absent when the oscillation frequency was slower than the recovery time constant ($1/f \gg \tau$).

To determine how the softening behavior developed within microtissues during cyclic loading, the first couple of conditioning cycles from a static state were examined in Fig. 3. At a loading frequency of 0.25 Hz, the mechanical behavior of microtissues adapted over these initial loading cycles (Fig. 3a). In that regard, the prestress decreased towards a new set value (Fig. 3c), while the mean tension promptly increased and then stabilized back to the resting tension (Fig. 3d). As we considered an auxotonic load, these changes resulted in progressive tissue lengthening (Fig. 3e). In contrast, when the loading period (400 seconds) was much slower than the recovery time constant ($1/f \gg \tau$), the degree of the mechanical adaptation was reduced and predominately occurred over the first loading cycle (Fig. 3b–e). Furthermore, when oscillated around an offset strain at this slow rate, the mean tension did not stabilize to its resting tension (Fig. 3d). Therefore tensional homeostasis only occurs when the dynamic loading rate is faster than the rate of tension recovery.

In this section, we have shown that, as with cells in 2D culture, 3D microtissue cultures strain soften to homeostatically maintain their mean tension. Depolymerization of actin filaments^{7,9–11} through the severing capabilities of cofilin¹³ and perturbing of myosin motor binding^{14,15,43–45} are previously hypothesized mechanisms of this response in cells in 2D culture. On the other hand, the involvement of microtubules has been largely overlooked despite their contributions to overall cell mechanics^{46–49} and their dynamic instability⁵⁰. Accordingly, we investigated the contribution of actin, myosin, and microtubules to microtissue strain softening. We begin with examining the involvement of actin microfilaments.

Dynamic stretch remodels and depolymerizes actin. To assess the role of the actin cytoskeleton in strain softening, f-actin was depolymerized with Cytochalasin D (CytoD). As expected, CytoD treatment reduced the resting tension, stiffness and phase lag (Fig. 4a and SI 2). Importantly, CytoD treatment also muted the softening response (N = 16 repeated measures t-test, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4b). In fact the stiffness of CytoD treated microtissues was independent from the strain amplitude (repeated measures t-test, P > 0.05). Upon stretch cessation, CytoD treatment also prevented tension recovery; further demonstrating that CytoD treated microtissues do not





Figure 4. Softening requires an intact actin cytoskeleton. Images of a microtissue prior to and following CytoD treatment are in panel (a). As shown by the dotted red line and the dotted yellow ellipse that outlines the top of the force-sensing cantilever (left), depolymerization of F-actin with Cytochalasin D (CytoD) visibly moved the cantilever outward, indicating a lower resting tension. Importantly, CytoD treatment reduced the stiffness change under large vs. small amplitude stretching (ie. the amount of strain softening) (b). There was also no tension recovery following stretch cessation (c). The scale bar in (a) represents $100 \,\mu m$.

soften (Fig. 4c). These results indicate that strain softening is dependent upon changes to a densely polymerized actin cytoskeleton.

The aforementioned results have shown that f-actin is required for strain softening in microtissues. To further link the softening response to changes in the cytoskeleton, we labeled actin filaments with a live-cell stain. Then by comparing images taken before and following various durations of static resting or oscillatory stretching, we assessed whether stretching increases the rate of actin remodeling. Heat maps of correlation coefficients showing actin remodeling (areas with low correlation coefficients indicate high remodeling) within a centrally located region of the microtissue are in Fig. 5a. Actin remodeling was spatially heterogeneous throughout the microtissue and increased with time under both static and loading conditions. Importantly, compared to the static condition, oscillatory loading significantly increased remodeling of actin filaments (decreased the average correlation coefficient) after one and five minutes (N = 6, repeated measures t-test, P < 0.05) (Fig. 5b).

To investigate whether remodeling arose simply from organizational changes or from depolymerization of filaments, microtissues were fixed and stained immediately following various durations of stretching at 9% strain. Representative images, average heat maps and average f-actin expression per cell (Fig. 6a-c, respectively) all indicated that f-actin rapidly depolymerized with oscillatory stretching (N > 14). The extent of this response (25% reduction), however, was notably less than previous reports in isolated cells in 2D culture (~50% reduction) under a comparable bulk strain amplitude^{12,13} perhaps because of strain shielding caused by cellular rotation within the comparably softer ECM⁴⁰.

Then to show that f-actin repolymerizes following stretch cessation, microtissues were fixed and stained after various durations of recovery following five minutes of stretching. Average heat maps and f-actin expression per cell (Fig. 6b,d) show complete recovery to initial expression values (t-test P > 0.05) (N > 11). Although our time resolution of f-actin expression was poor and the uncertainties are large, the rate of f-actin recovery appeared to be within the same order of magnitude (tens of seconds) as the rate of tension and stiffness recovery, suggesting that the mechanical measurements reflect actin repolymerization. Furthermore, the rate of actin recovery in 3D microtissues was comparable with previous reports in isolated cells^{12,13} and bulk 3D cultures³¹.

Myosin and microtubules do not contribute to strain softening. We have identified that actin filaments play a major role in the strain softening response of 3D microtissues, however, the mechanical behavior of cells^{46–49,51} and microtissues is also highly dependent upon myosin activity and microtubules (Fig. 7a and SI 2). Accordingly, to assess the contribution of myosin and microtubules to strain softening, we examined the response following myosin inhibition with blebbistatin (Bleb) and microtubule depolymerization with nocodazole (Noco).





Myosin inhibition with blebbistatin decreased microtissue stiffness (N = 10, repeated measures t-tests, P < 0.05) and prestress (p < 0.01) (SI 2). Myosin inhibition, however, did not affect strain softening (N = 10, repeated measures t-test, P > 0.05). As expected, it did reduce the extent of tension recovery (Fig. 7c) because of the decrease in prestress that unsurprisingly accompanied myosin inhibition. However, as there was no change in the rate of recovery, myosin cycling was not likely responsible for the recovery following softening (SI 1). Although it is possible that there was incomplete inhibition of myosin motors, it was unlikely according to blebbistatin's measured dose-response curve (SI 3). Moreover, even with incomplete inhibition, one would still expect a decrease in the softening response if perturbing of myosin binding were responsible for the strain softening response of microtissues.

As for microtubules, keeping with the hypothesis that they are mainly compressive elements that oppose acto-myosin activity^{46–49}, microtubule depolymerization increased microtissue stiffness (N = 15, repeated measures t-tests, P < 0.001), and prestress (P < 0.001) (SI 2). Microtubule depolymerization, however, had no effect on strain softening in terms of the percent change to the storage stiffness (P > 0.05) (Fig. 7b). It did increase the absolute tension recovery (Fig. 7c) as expected, because of the increase in prestress that accompanied microtubule depolymerization. However, again the molecular mechanism for softening was not likely affected by microtubule depolymerization, as there was no change to the rate of recovery (SI 1). Interestingly, oscillatory stretching did increase remodeling in microtubules with significant differences from static conditions after one and five minutes of stretching (SI 4) (N = 7, repeated measures t-tests, p < 0.01 and p < 0.05, respectively). However, stretching did not change the degree of microtubule polymerization per cell (SI 4) (N > 14, 1-way ANOVA).

Strain softening is a conserved response for microtissues. We have shown that microtissues composed of 3T3 fibroblasts, mimicking connective tissue, strain soften through actin depolymerization. To assess whether this behavior is unique to fibroblasts or is shared among other cell types, we assessed the strain-softening responses in microtissues composed of human airway smooth muscle cells (HASM) as well as skeletal muscle cells (C2C12). In that regard, both smooth and skeletal muscle microtissues strain softened (linear regression: R²



Figure 6. F-actin depolymerizes with stretching and repolymerizes upon stretch cessation. Representative images after different durations of stretching show that there were fewer actin filaments with longer stretch durations (**a**). F-actin expression in average heat maps was similarly reduced with stretch duration (**b**) (N > 14). Moreover, f-actin expression recovered to initial values upon stretch cessation (N > 11). The average actin expression normalized to the number of cells under various durations of stretching and recovery are shown in (**c**,**d**), respectively. The scale bars in (**a**,**b**) represent 50 and 100 μ m, respectively.

= 0.99, 0.98 P < 0.001, 0.001, respectively) (Fig. 8a). We also found that the phase lag of skeletal muscle microtissues decreased with strain (linear regression: $R^2 = 0.99$, P < 0.001), whereas in smooth muscle microtissues there was no statistically significant trend ($R^2 = 0.43$, P = 0.14) (Fig. 8b). Further like fibroblast microtissues, HASM and C2C12 microtissues shared strain-dependent decreases to their prestress (linear regression: $R^2 = 0.98$, 0.94 P < 0.001, 0.01, respectively) (Fig. 8c). Importantly, again for all cell types, these responses led to an invariant mean stress (linear regression: P > 0.05) (Fig. 8d) despite large changes to their mean lengths (linear regression: both $R^2 = 0.99$, P < 0.001, data not shown), and resulted in microtissue lengthening (ie. L_0 increased with the strain amplitude) (linear regression: linear regression: both $R^2 = 0.95$, P < 0.01) (Fig. 8e).

Upon decreasing the strain amplitude, the stiffness and tension of HASM and C2C12 microtissues recovered as previously observed with the fibroblast cultures (Fig. 8f,g). The offset microtissue length recovered as well (data not shown). The time constant for stiffness recovery in HASM cells was statistically greater than either 3T3 or C2C12 microtissues (1-way ANOVA, P < 0.05) (SI 1). On the other hand, the time constants for tension recovery agreed well with each other (1-way ANOVA, P > 0.05).

Discussion

In this article we aimed to assess the response to acute oscillatory stretch in cells grown in conditions that mechanically and biologically recapitulate the 3D environment that a cell would experience were it in the body. In order to fulfill this goal, we developed a novel approach to allow high throughput measurements of dynamic mechanical properties and direct visualization of the cytoskeleton in 3D microtissue cell cultures. Our approach consists of an array of vacuum-driven actuators to stretch microtissues and optically tracked force-sensors to



Figure 7. Microtubules and myosin do not contribute to softening. Microtissues prior to and following nocodazole and blebbistatin treatments are shown in (**a**). Microtubule depolymerization with nocodazole moved the force-sensing cantilever inward, indicating increased prestress. In contrast, myosin-II inhibition with blebbistatin moved the cantilever outward, indicating decreased prestress. Neither treatment changed the amount of strain softening in terms of percent change (**b**). Furthermore, microtubule depolymerization increased the tension recovery while myosin inhibition decreased recovery (**c**) but neither treatment changed the time constant of the recovery response. The scale bar in (**a**) represents 100 μ m.

.....

measure their mechanical behavior. Advantages and limitations of our approach are summarized in SI 5. In using our approach, we showed that microtissues softened under dynamic stretching by way of actin depolymerization. Furthermore, through this softening behavior, microtissues homeostatically maintained their mean tension, and led to stretch-induced tissue lengthening. In the remainder of this article, we further discuss these findings exhibited in microtissues, by first considering how stretch affects their mechanics, and then secondly, the mechanistic basis of this response through stretch-induced cytoskeletal remodeling.

Microtissues soften under dynamic loading. We showed that the prestress and storage modulus of living 3D microtissue cultures composed of three different cell types decreased with the dynamic strain amplitude. This finding is in agreement with previous reports in cells in 2D culture^{7,42,52}, and *ex vivo* tissue strips^{14,15}. Furthermore, it may reflect an important mechanism through which tissues maintain their lengths, and thereby, homeostasis throughout the body. For example, strain softening may explain how a large tidal stretch from a deep inspiration can open contracted airways in healthy lungs^{14,15} and could contribute to the regulation of blood pressure in arteries¹⁷.

Particularly in regards to ASM tissue strips, dynamic stretching has been shown to cause softening when purposely oscillated around a mean length¹⁴, and lengthening when purposely oscillated around a mean tension¹⁵. Likewise, substantial lengthening and softening have been both reported when ASM tissue strips were oscillated under an auxotonic condition to mimic passive mechanics of the airway wall and parenchymal tethering forces¹⁹. While our results support these reports of softening and lengthening in tissue strips, we concede that there may be geometric and structural differences in the airway wall that attenuate those responses in intact airways¹⁶. Furthermore, whereas previous work has relied on a sophisticated computational model to control servomotors to deliver a physiological auxotonic loading condition¹⁹, for our approach tension and length were coupled through the bending stiffness of the force-sensing cantilever. Perhaps more significant, however, is that a loss of auxotonic tethering forces in asthmatic lungs⁶⁶ may explain why the normal dilating response from a deep inspiration does not occur¹⁸. Although it is unclear how exactly the spring constant of the cantilever relates to parenchymal tethering forces in the lung, changes seen in asthma may be captured in further development of the MVAS-force by simply varying the cantilever stiffness. With that said, we do not anticipate that the coupling of force and length in our current design would affect the observed trends in strain softening and lengthening, nor our observation that microtissues adapt to dynamic stretch to maintain their mean tension.

In addition to softening and lengthening, *ex vivo* tissue strips and cells in 2D culture have long been reported to exhibit a more fluid-like behavior when stretched^{14,15,67-69}. More recently this fluidization response of cells has been associated with that of a class of inert materials called soft glasses (eg. foams, dense emulsions, pastes and slurries)⁷. In contrast to these reports and the soft glassy rheology hypothesis, however, skeletal muscle and fibroblasts microtissues actually became more elastic-like with greater strain amplitudes, and smooth muscle microtissues did not observably phase transition. As such, microtissues either differ in their behavior compared to *ex vivo* tissue strips and cells in 2D culture, or there was a technical artifact in our measurements. With that



Figure 8. Strain softening is a conserved response in microtissue cultures. Microtissues composed of fibroblast (3T3), human airway smooth muscle (HASM), or skeletal muscle (C2C12) cells all strain softened with similar changes to their stiffness (**a**), phase lag (**b**), and prestress (**c**). For all cell types, the mean tension was invariant with the stretch amplitude (**d**) despite a linear increase to their mean lengths (data not shown). Together these behaviors led to an increased offset microtissue length (**e**). 3T3, HASM and C2C12 microtissues also shared similar recovery dynamics upon stretch cessation in terms of the rates of their storage stiffness (**f**) and prestress (**g**) recovery.

said, we do not believe the cause to be the latter because measurements of an elastic standard gave a phase lag of approximately zero as expected (SI 6) and our measurements agreed well with previous reports in similar 3D cell cultures³⁴. A more likely reason, and perhaps a limitation to this work, is that the collagen ECM that we used in culturing our microtissues differs from the composition, and in turn, mechanical behavior of intact tissues. Proteoglycans, for example, control the mass transport of water, and therefore, are a key determinant of energy dissipation⁷⁰ that we did not incorporate into our microtissues. Further in that regard, after removing most of the cellular component, with CytoD treatment, the remaining mechanical behavior, primarily describing the contribution of the matrix, was elastic, contributing little to energy dissipation (SI 2). Thus, a more elastic-like behavior may have been perceived as the cells softened (and perhaps fluidized) because the cellular contribution to the overall mechanical behavior became less significant compared to the elastic matrix. Other possible explanations include locational differences of where mechanics have been assessed on stress-strain curves, and structural differences between microtissues and *ex vivo* samples caused by persistent mechanical conditioning in the body. With this said, it would still be of interest in future work to assess whether or not microtissues follow the

same time-scale invariance⁷¹ that has given traction to the hypothesis that the mechanical behavior of cells follow Sollich's (1997) theory on soft glassy rheology⁷².

Upon strain cessation, we showed that microtissue stiffness returned to pre-intervention values along well-conserved trajectories that could be modeled over three magnitudes of time with a stretched exponential. Stretched exponentials have previously been used to describe relaxation processes in disordered systems^{73,74} and can appear from a linear superposition of simple exponential functions with a nontrivial distribution of relaxation times⁷⁵. It, therefore, should not be overly surprising that such a function can appear from the complex nature of the cytoskeleton and the added intricacies that arise when considering an aggregate of cells. In spite of this, we found that the recovery time constants for prestress and stiffness were between 35 and 43 seconds for all tested treatments that showed a recovery response and cell types (except for stiffness recovery in HASM microtissues). This time constant appears to be within the same order of magnitude as previously reported recoveries in cortical actin stiffness following transient stretching of cells in 2D culture⁷. Unfortunately the authors of that work did not fit their curves to stretched exponentials. They did, however, concede that recovery occurs with timescales that grow with the elapsed time since stretch cessation and is slower than an exponential process, which are characteristic features of stretched exponentials.

We believe that these abovementioned responses are related to the tensional homeostasis behavior that has been previously reported in 3D cell cultures in response to quasi-static loading^{29,30}. In that regard, it is known that cells will change their internal contractility to oppose external mechanical loads to maintain a set point tension. In keeping with this concept, we found that the decrease in the prestress and stiffness of well-adapted microtissues was sufficient to maintain a constant mean tension across increasingly larger strain amplitudes with increasingly greater mean lengths. Thereby, and perhaps most significantly, our work extends tensional homeostasis to conditions of dynamically applied loading. In addition we found that the adaptation response occurred at a physiological loading rates, but as one may expected from living matter capable of repair, it was absent when loading was applied slower than the tension and stiffness recovery time constants.

In the literature strain softening exists in a paradox with a large number of studies reporting strain stiffening and actin reinforcement in response to stretch⁵³. Under a sustained stretch or examining different locations along the stress-strain curve, reconstituted crosslinked actin gels^{54,55}, cells⁵⁶, and 3D cell cultures^{34,57} have all been shown to strain stiffen. This nonlinear effect arises from reorganization of actin filaments^{54,55} and, for tissues, reorientation of cells and percolation of these local effects across the cell culture⁵⁷. Although our loading loops were mostly linear, strain stiffening through nonlinearities in force-strain loops can be observed to a degree at large strain amplitudes (the total harmonic distortion from nonlinearities increased from 0.041 ± 0.002 at 1% strain to 0.152 ± 0.004 at 9% strain (P < 0.0001, repeated measures t-test)). This effect, however, was smaller than the softening behavior produced by dynamic loading. On the other hand, downstream signaling cascades have been reported to cause mechanical stiffening through actin microfilament reinforcement^{52,58-62} as a sort of negative feedback to maintain localized mechanical stress^{63,64}. In that regard, microtissues have previously been shown to stiffen following 15 minutes of dynamic stretching^{38,65}. We have also reported actin reinforcement in microtissues under chronic (2 days) conditioning⁴⁰. Importantly in those investigations quasi-static stiffness measurements and f-actin expression were evaluated following loading and after a period greater than the time-scale of stiffness recovery and actin repolymerization we reported here. That said, over our relatively short experimental window, we did not observe any differences between initial and fully recovered stiffness, prestress, length and f-actin expression measurements.

Stretch depolymerizes actin in microtissues. Although strain softening of cells and tissues has been widely reported^{7,14,15,42,52}, the molecular mechanism(s) behind this response remains unclear. Here we investigated the contributions of actin microfilaments, myosin II motors and microtubules. The remainder of this article is a discussion covering the contribution of each of these cytoskeletal elements in the mechanical behavior of cells and tissues while under dynamic loading.

Firstly, in a cell, the actin cytoskeleton is a filamentous network that gives the cell its shape and opposes tensile forces. In 2D culture, stretching of cells has been reported to depolymerize actin filaments^{9–11}. Our results in 3D cultured microtissues tend to agree with those observations. In brief, we found that 1) f-actin was necessary for strain softening and the recovery response; 2) actin remodeling in living cells increased with stretch; 3) short-term stretch lowered f-actin expression; and 4) upon stretch cessation, f-actin expression recovered along the same timescale as tension and stiffness recovery. These findings strongly suggest that strain softening, at least in part, arises from actin depolymerization. Our observed timescale of actin repolymerization following cyclic stretch cessation also agreed well with previously reported measurements following a step length change in 3D cell cultures³¹ and a transient stretch in isolated cells^{12,13}.

Although the regulation of actin involves multiple proteins and is not fully understood, strain induced actin depolymerization has been recently linked to increased cofilin activity. In that regard, knocking down this actin-severing molecule in cells in 2D culture, reduced softening and their actin filaments remained largely intact following a transient stretch¹³. In addition, myosin Ib has recently been shown to act as a catch-bound actin depolymerase (its affinity for actin, and thus its stress fiber severing capability, strongly increases with the applied load)⁷⁶, and thus may also promote the strain softening behavior of cells and tissues. On the other hand, the actin recovery response is perhaps mediated in part by zyxin facilitated stress fiber repair. In that regard, it has been previously shown that zyxin localizes to sites of stress fiber fragmentation, and knockdown of zyxin reduces the recovery of contractile force in single cells and leads to more rapid dilation in precision cut lung slices following stretch¹². Assessing these proteins in our MVAS-force device may further our understanding of their contributions to the dynamic regulation of the cytoskeleton and the mechanical properties of tissues, and thus, should be a focus of future investigations.

Next in regards to myosin II motors, they regulate the mechanical behavior of cells by generating tension through crosslinking and actively pulling on actin filaments. Strain softening in reconstituted actin-myosin networks has been attributed to the disruption of myosin crosslinks^{43–45}. Also perturbing of the binding of myosin has been implicated in the softening response in airway tissue strips^{14,15}. In contrast to these reports, however, we found that softening in microtissues was invariant on myosin activity and that there was no change to the rate of the recovery response following strain cessation. This strongly suggests that myosin has no role in the softening response of cells in 3D culture.

Lastly as for microtubules, although our understanding of their role in cell mechanics is still being refined⁷⁷, it is thought that they act as compressive struts that oppose actin-myosin contractility, as in tensegrity architecture⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹. Accordingly, and in keeping with several other investigations measuring cell traction forces and stiffness in 2D^{46,78}, we found that depolymerization of microtubules with nocodazole increased microtissue stiffness and prestress (SI 2). Comparably fewer studies have assessed how stretching cells and tissues affects microtubule remodeling and polymerization. That said, microtubules have visually been seen to buckled while cells deform⁴⁸, and in axons grown in 2D culture, microtubules have been shown to disassemble under large (75%) dynamic loading⁷⁹. In contrast, we did not observe any changes to microtubule polymerization and nocodazole treatment had no effect on the softening response. Dynamic stretching did, however, increase microtubule remodeling. Whether stretching directly caused rearrangement of microtubules or they simply remodeled in accordance with actin depolymerization, and whether or not the observed microtubule remodeling contributed to changes in the mechanical behavior of cells are interesting questions for future investigations.

Conclusions

In this article, we presented a new high-throughput approach for assessing both dynamic cell mechanics and for visualization of remodeling at the sub-cellular level in response to stretch within physiologically relevant 3D microtissue cultures. Our approach offers the ability to link behaviors observed in 2D culture to cells within a soft 3D matrix comparable to human tissue, and to connect visual remodeling of the cytoskeleton to changes in mechanical properties. In that regard, we found that fibroblast, smooth muscle, and skeletal microtissue cultures all shared a conserved softening response when dynamically stretched and recovery following stretch cessation. Microtissues responded to maintain their mean stress, and under an auxotonic condition, their response led to lengthening. Furthermore, by directly quantifying cytoskeletal remodeling, these behaviors appeared to arise from rapid actin depolymerization. This suggests that actin microfilaments are sensors of mechanical stretch in cells, and in turn, form a feedback loop to control the mechanical tension of tissues. The ability of cells to feel and react to mechanical stimuli from their environment is an important mechanism for maintaining homeostasis in the body, and as such, further consideration with our approach may reveal critical aspects to fully understanding many pathological disorders.

Methods

Device design. Our original MVAS device⁴⁰ was modified to allow *in situ* measurements of microtissue tension and dynamic stiffness. The MVAS-Force consists of six independently controllable rows of ten microtissue wells (Fig. 1). Vacuum chambers border one side of each row of wells. Within each well, there are two cantilevers spaced apart by 500 μ m. One cantilever is secured on a flexible membrane that deforms to stretch the microtissue when a vacuum is applied through an external electronic regulator (SMC ITV0010) controlled via Labview software (movie 1). The other cantilever acts as a passive force sensor. Its deflection is optically tracked and converted into a force measurement using a spring constant of $k_{cantilever} = 0.834$ N/m estimated with Euler-Bernoulli beam theory and verified with atomic force microscopy (SI 6).

Device fabrication. Devices were fabricated as previously described⁴⁰ with slight modifications and detailed assembly steps are illustrated in SI 7. Briefly, the MVAS-Force consists of three layers fabricated through mold replication from SU-8 (Microchem) masters made with standard photolithographic methods on polished silicon wafers (Universitywafers.com). All photomasks were ordered from CAD Art Services Inc. The top layer of the MVAS-Force comprises the open-top microtissue wells and enclosed vacuum chambers. The thin middle membrane is fabricated with the cantilevers around which the microtissues compact. Finally the bottom layer contains vacuum chambers that match the top layer, and bottom chambers that equalize the pressure on either side of the membrane to minimize out of plane motion. All three layers were cast in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) from the SU-8 negatives with a 10:1 monomer to curing agent ratio and then plasma bonded together. To aid in tracking the bottom of the cantilevers, the middle membrane was fluorescently dyed with Rhodamine B (RhoB).

Cell culture. NIH3T3 fibroblast (ATCC) and C2C12 skeletal muscle (ATCC) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (Hyclone Laboratories Inc.). Human ASM cells (Donor 12) (previously characterized by Gosens *et al.*⁸⁰) immortalized by stable transfection with human telomerase reverse transcriptase were obtained as a generous gift from Dr. William Gerthoffer (University of South Alabama) and maintained in DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen 11330). All culture media was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 mg/ ml streptomycin and 100U/ml penicillin antibiotics (all from Hyclone Laboratories Inc.). Prior to microtissue seeding, cells were grown at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ on 100 mm tissue culture dishes (Fisher) until 80–90% confluent.

Microtissue fabrication. Microtissue fabrication was performed as described previously^{37,41}, with modifications. Briefly, the device was sterilized with 70% ethanol, and treated with 0.2% Pluronic F-127 (P6866, Invitrogen) for two minutes to reduce cell adhesion. 250,000 cells were resuspended in 1.5 mg/ml rat tail collagen type I (354249, Corning) solution containing 1x DMEM (SH30003.02, Hyclone), 44 mM NaHCO₃, 15 mM d-ribose (R9629, Sigma Aldrich), 1% FBS and 1 M NaOH to achieve a final pH of 7.0–7.4. The cell-collagen solution was pipetted into the MVAS-Force and centrifuged to load ~650 cells into each well. The excess collagen was removed and the device was transferred into the incubator for 15 minutes to initiate collagen polymerization. An additional 50,000 cells were added and allowed to adhere to the top of the tissues. Excess cells were washed off. Cell culture media was added and changed every 24 hours.

Force measurements. Microtissue mechanics were deduced from the visible deflection of the force-sensing cantilever while under dynamic loading at 0.25 Hz (unless stated elsewise) (movie 1). Prior to measurements, microtissues were preconditioned until subsequent loading loops were superimposable. All measurements were completed at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

To track cantilever deflection, images of both the tops and bottoms of the cantilevers were captured at 15 frames per second for one minute. The bottom positions were measured by finding their centroids on thresholded fluorescent images of the Rho-B dyed cantilevers. The top of each cantilever was tracked using pattern matching with adaptive template learning in Labview on brightfield images. The deflection of the force sensor was calculated from the difference in the top and bottom positions after accounting for the phase lag caused by the camera delay between the top and bottom images. The deflection was then converted into a force measurement using the cantilever spring constant, k_{cantilever}.

Microtissue strain, ε , was defined as the percent change in the length between the innermost edges of the tops of the cantilevers (Eq. 2):

$$\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\text{length}(t) - \text{length}_o}{\text{length}_o} \times 100$$
(2)

The phase lag, δ , between force and strain was defined as the difference in the phase angles, Φ , at the oscillatory frequency (Eq. 3):

$$\delta = \left\{ \phi_{force} - \phi_{strain} \right\}_{0.25hz} \tag{3}$$

The storage, k', microtissue stiffness was defined as the ratio of the magnitudes of the Fourier Transforms of force and strain at the oscillatory frequency multiplied by the cosine of the phase lag between force and strain (Eq. 4):

$$k' = \left\{ \frac{|FFT[force(t)]|}{|FFT[\varepsilon(t)]|} \right\}_{0.25Hz} \cos \delta$$
(4)

k' describes the amount of energy that is elastically stored for a given deformation, and δ describes the ratio of energy dissipated to energy stored where in purely elastic samples $\tan(\delta) = 0$ and in purely viscous samples $\tan(\delta) = \inf$. Here we defined fluidization as a mechanical measurement of energy dissipation, such that samples with increased δ are deemed to have fluidized. Importantly in this use, fluidization refers to a response that distinct from, yet is often related to, actin depolymerization.

The tension offset or prestress, T_{o} , was defined as the magnitude of the Fourier transform of the microtissue force at 0 Hz minus the half of the peak-to-peak magnitude of the Fourier transform at 0.25 Hz (Eq. 5).

$$T_{o} = \{ |FFT[force(t)]| \}_{0Hz} - \frac{\{ |FFT[force(t)]| \}_{0.25Hz}}{2}$$
(5)

The noise floor for calculating microtissue mechanics and measurements of an elastic standard with our approach are given in SI 6.

To assess the response of microtissue mechanics to stretch, measurements were taken at progressively higher strains. After completing measurements at the largest strain, the recovery response was measured by promptly decreasing the strain amplitude. Stiffness recovery was measured by performing Fourier transforms on intervals spanning three loading cycles.

To assess the role of individual cytoskeletal proteins in contributing to the overall mechanical properties of microtissues and the strain softening behavior, measurements were taken following 20 minute incubations with either 10μ M nocodazole (Noco), a microtubule polymerization inhibitor, 5μ M blebbistatin (Bleb), a myosin-II inhibitor, or 10μ M cytochalasin D (CytoD), an actin polymerization inhibitor. As mechanical properties can vary between microtissues, each microtissue was compared to its own pre-treatment value where indicated. To prevent crossover in responses from multiple drugs, only a single treatment was administrated to a microtissue.

Quantification of cytoskeletal remodeling and polymerization. Images were acquired on a TiE A1-R laser scanning confocal microscope (LSCM) (Nikon) with standard LSCM configurations using appropriate laser lines and filter blocks. To assess actin and microtubule remodeling in living microtissues in response to stretch, cells were loaded with either 0.1 μ M SiR-actin or SiR-tubulin with 1 μ M verapamil 6–12 hours before imaging. Z-stacks were taken before and following 4 seconds (one stretch), one minute and five minutes of static resting and then ~9% stretching at 0.25hz. Imaging was completed with a 60 × 1.2NA water immersion objective to give a centrally located field of view of 212 × 106 μ m (1024 × 512 pixels). Z-stacks were flattened by integrating slices, divided into sub images with a size of 100 × 100 pixels with 10-pixel spacing, and compared with cross-correlation. The correlation coefficient is a measure of how closely images matched before and after a given condition, and thus is inversely proportional to the amount of remodeling (ie. a low correlation coefficient corresponds to a high degree of remodeling).

To assess f-actin expression and microtubule polymerization, microtissues were fixed *in situ* with 3.5% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X for 5 minutes. Microtissues were left in blocking buffer (5% FBS in PBS) for 40 minutes. Microtubules were labeled with α -tubulin primary antibody produced in mouse (Sigma, T6074) and a rabbit anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, A11059). The actin cytoskeleton was stained with Alexa Fluor 546 Phalloidin (Fisher, A22283), and the nuclei were stained with DAPI (Fisher, D1306). To quantify f-actin expression per cell, Z-stacks were flattened by integration, averaged and normalized to DAPI fluorescence. Microtubule polymerization was quantified with the same method except images were first thresholded to remove any signal from nonpolymerized tubulin.

Data analysis and statistics. All numerical data are presented as mean \pm standard error unless indicated otherwise. Statistical tests, as described in the results, were performed using Originlab 8.5 (Northampton, MA), with p < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Data availability

The data generated during the current study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 4 November 2019; Accepted: 21 April 2020; Published online: 06 May 2020

References

- 1. Eyckmans, J., Boudou, T., Yu, X. & Chen, C. S. A Hitchhiker's Guide to Mechanobiology. Dev. Cell 21, 35-47 (2011).
- 2. Ingber, D. E. Cellular mechanotransduction: putting all the pieces together again. FASEB J. 20, 811–27 (2006).
- Mammoto, T., Mammoto, A. & Ingber, D. E. Mechanobiology and developmental control. Annual review of cell and developmental biology 29, 27–61 (2013).
- 4. Ingber, D. E. Mechanobiology and diseases of mechanotransduction. Ann. Med. 35, 564-77 (2003).
- 5. Lenormand, G., Bursac, P., Butler, J. P. & Fredberg, J. J. Out-of-equilibrium dynamics in the cytoskeleton of the living cell. *Phys. Rev.* E 76, 041901 (2007).
- 6. Bursac, P. et al. Cytoskeletal remodelling and slow dynamics in the living cell. Nat. Mater. 4, 557–561 (2005).
- 7. Trepat, X. et al. Universal physical responses to stretch in the living cell. Nature 447, 592–595 (2007).
- Yap, B. & Kamm, R. D. Mechanical deformation of neutrophils into narrow channels induces pseudopod projection and changes in biomechanical properties. J. Appl. Physiol. 98, 1930–1939 (2005).
- Pender, N. & McCulloch, C. A. A. Quantitation of actin polymerization in two human fibroblast sub-types responding to mechanical stretching. J. Cell Sci. 100, 187–93 (1991).
- Costa, K. D., Hucker, W. J. & Yin, F. C.-P. Buckling of actin stress fibers: A new wrinkle in the cytoskeletal tapestry. *Cell Motil.* Cytoskeleton 52, 266–274 (2002).
- Chen, C. et al. Fluidization and Resolidification of the Human Bladder Smooth Muscle Cell in Response to Transient Stretch. PLoS One 5, e12035 (2010).
- 12. Rosner, S. R. *et al.* The actin regulator zyxin reinforces airway smooth muscle and accumulates in airways of fatal asthmatics. *PLoS One* **12**, e0171728 (2017).
- Lan, B. et al. Transient stretch induces cytoskeletal fluidization through the severing action of cofilin. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol 314, L799–L807 (2018).
- Fredberg, J. J. et al. Airway smooth muscle, tidal stretches, and dynamically determined contractile states. Am. J. Respir Crit. Care. Med. 156, 1752–1759 (1997).
- Fredberg, J. J., Inouye, D. S., Mijailovich, S. M. & Butler, J. P. Perturbed equilibrium of myosin binding in airway smooth muscle and its implications in bronchospasm. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 159, 959–967 (1999).
- 16. LaPrad, A. S., Szabo, T. L., Suki, B. & Lutchen, K. R. Tidal stretches do not modulate responsiveness of intact airways *in vitro. J. Appl. Physiol.* **109**, 295–304 (2010).
- Goto, M., VanBavel, E., Giezeman, M. J. & Spaan, J. A. Vasodilatory effect of pulsatile pressure on coronary resistance vessels. *Circ. Res.* 79, 1039–45 (1996).
- Fish, J. E., Ankin, M. G., Kelly, J. F. & Peterman, V. I. Regulation of bronchomotor tone by lung inflation in asthmatic and nonasthmatic subjects. J. Appl. Physiol. 50, 1079–1086 (1981).
- Latourelle, J., Fabry, B. & Fredberg, J. J. Dynamic equilibration of airway smooth muscle contraction during physiological loading. J Appl Physiol 92, 771–779 (2002).
- 20. Yeung, T. et al. Effects of substrate stiffness on cell morphology, cytoskeletal structure, and adhesion. Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton 60, 24–34 (2005).
- 21. Discher, D. E., Janmey, P. & Wang, Y. Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate. Science 310, 1139-43 (2005).
- 22. Lo, C. M., Wang, H. B., Dembo, M. & Wang, Y. L. Cell movement is guided by the rigidity of the substrate. *Biophys. J.* **79**, 144–52 (2000).
- Hakkinen, K. M., Harunaga, J. S., Doyle, A. D. & Yamada, K. M. Direct comparisons of the morphology, migration, cell adhesions, and actin cytoskeleton of fibroblasts in four different three-dimensional extracellular matrices. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 17, 713–24 (2011).
- Edmondson, R., Broglie, J. J., Adcock, A. F. & Yang, L. Three-dimensional cell culture systems and their applications in drug discovery and cell-based biosensors. Assay Drug Dev. Technol. 12, 207–18 (2014).
- 25. Griffith, L. G. & Swartz, M. A. Capturing complex 3D tissue physiology in vitro. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol 7, 211-224 (2006).
- Lee, J., Cuddihy, M. J. & Kotov, N. A. Three-Dimensional Cell Culture Matrices: State of the Art. *Tissue Eng. Part B. Rev.* 14, 61–86 (2008).
- Pampaloni, F., Reynaud, E. G. & Stelzer, E. H. K. K. The third dimension bridges the gap between cell culture and live tissue. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 8, 839–845 (Nature Publishing Group, 2007).
- Billiar, K. L. The Mechanical Environment of Cells in Collagen Gel Models. in 201–245. https://doi.org/10.1007/8415_2010_30. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010).
- Brown, R. A., Prajapati, R., McGrouther, D. A., Yannas, I. V. & Eastwood, M. Tensional homeostasis in dermal fibroblasts: Mechanical responses to mechanical loading in three-dimensional substrates. J. Cell. Physiol. 175, 323–332 (1998).
- Eastwood, M., McGrouther, D. A. & Brown, R. A. Fibroblast responses to mechanical forces. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. H. 212, 85–92 (1998).
- Nekouzadeh, A., Pryse, K. M., Elson, E. L. & Genin, G. M. Stretch-activated force shedding, force recovery, and cytoskeletal remodeling in contractile fibroblasts. J. Biomech. 41, 2964–2971 (2008).
- 32. Lee, S.-L. et al. Physically-Induced Cytoskeleton Remodeling of Cells in Three-Dimensional Culture. PLoS One 7, e45512 (2012).
- Wille, J. J., Elson, E. L. & Okamoto, R. J. Cellular and Matrix Mechanics of Bioartificial Tissues During Continuous Cyclic Stretch. Ann. Biomed. Eng. 34, 1678–1690 (2006).

- 34. Wakatsuki, T., Kolodney, M. S., Zahalak, G. I. & Elson, E. L. Cell mechanics studied by a reconstituted model tissue. *Biophys. J.* **79**, 2353–68 (2000).
- Barocas, A. G., Moon, Tranquillo, R. T. & V. H., M. The fibroblast-populated collagen microsphere assay of cell traction force—part 2: measurement of the cell traction parameter. J. Biomech. Eng. 117, 161–170 (1995).
- Tranquillo, R. T., Durrani, M. A. & Moon, A. G. Tissue engineering science: consequences of cell traction force. *Cytotechnology* 10, 225–50 (1992).
- 37. Legant, W. R. *et al.* Microfabricated tissue gauges to measure and manipulate forces from 3D microtissues. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 10097–10102 (2009).
- Zhao, R. *et al.* Decoupling Cell and Matrix Mechanics in Engineered Microtissues Using Magnetically Actuated Microcantilevers. *Adv. Mater.* 25, 1699–1705 (2013).
- 39. Liu, A. S. et al. Matrix viscoplasticity and its shielding by active mechanics in microtissue models: experiments and mathematical modeling. Sci. Rep. 6, 33919 (2016).
- 40. Walker, M., Godin, M. & Pelling, A. E. A vacuum-actuated microtissue stretcher for long-term exposure to oscillatory strain within a 3D matrix. *Biomed. Microdevices* 20, 43 (2018).
- West, A. R. et al. Development and characterization of a 3D multicell microtissue culture model of airway smooth muscle. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 304, L4–16 (2013).
- Krishnan, R. et al. Fluidization, resolidification, and reorientation of the endothelial cell in response to slow tidal stretches. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 303, C368–75 (2012).
- Semmrich, C. *et al.* Glass transition and rheological redundancy in F-actin solutions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 20199–203 (2007).
- 44. Humphrey, D., Duggan, C., Saha, D., Smith, D. & Käs, J. Active fluidization of polymer networks through molecular motors. *Nature* **416**, 413–416 (2002).
- 45. Smith, D. *et al*. Molecular Motor-Induced Instabilities and Cross Linkers Determine Biopolymer Organization. *Biophys. J.* **93**, 4445–4452 (2007).
- Stamenović, D., Mijailovich, S. M., Tolić-Nørrelykke, I. M., Chen, J. & Wang, N. Cell prestress. II. Contribution of microtubules. Am. J. Physiol. Physiol. 282, C617–C624 (2002).
- 47. Ingber, D. E. Tensegrity: the architectural basis of cellular mechanotransduction. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 59, 575-599 (1997).
- 48. Wang, N. et al. Mechanical behavior in living cells consistent with the tensegrity model. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98, 7765–70 (2001).
- Wang, N., Butler, J. P. & Ingber, D. E. Mechanotransduction across the cell surface and through the cytoskeleton. Science (80-.) 260, 1124–1127 (1993).
- 50. Mitchison, T. & Kirschner, M. Dynamic instability of microtubule growth. Nature 312, 237-242 (1984).
- Vicente-Manzanares, M., Ma, X., Adelstein, R. S. & Horwitz, A. R. Non-muscle myosin II takes centre stage in cell adhesion and migration. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 10, 778–790 (2009).
- 52. Krishnan, R. et al. Reinforcement versus fluidization in cytoskeletal mechanoresponsiveness. PLoS One 4, e5486 (2009).
- 53. Wolff, L., Fernández, P. & Kroy, K. Resolving the Stiffening-Softening Paradox in Cell Mechanics. PLoS One 7, e40063 (2012).
- 54. Gardel, M. L. *et al.* Elastic behavior of cross-linked and bundled actin networks. *Science* (80-.) **304**, 1301–1305 (2004).
- Storm, C., Pastore, J. J., MacKintosh, F. C., Lubensky, T. C. & Janmey, P. A. Nonlinear elasticity in biological gels. Nature 435, 191–194 (2005).
- 56. Trepat, X. *et al.* Viscoelasticity of human alveolar epithelial cells subjected to stretch. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol* 287, L1025–34 (2004).
- Marquez, J. P., Genin, G. M., Zahalak, G. I. & Elson, E. L. The relationship between cell and tissue strain in three-dimensional bioartificial tissues. *Biophys. J.* 88, 778–89 (2005).
- Matthews, B. D., Overby, D. R., Mannix, R. & Ingber, D. E. Cellular adaptation to mechanical stress: role of integrins, Rho, cytoskeletal tension and mechanosensitive ion channels. J. Cell Sci. 119, 508–518 (2006).
- Glogauer, M. et al. Calcium ions and tyrosine phosphorylation interact coordinately with actin to regulate cytoprotective responses to stretching. 11–21 (1997).
- Giannone, G., Jiang, G., Sutton, D. H., Critchley, D. R. & Sheetz, M. P. Talin1 is critical for force-dependent reinforcement of initial integrin–cytoskeleton bonds but not tyrosine kinase activation. J. Cell Biol 163, 409–419 (2003).
- Choquet, D., Felsenfeld, D. P. & Sheetz, M. P. Extracellular matrix rigidity causes strengthening of integrin-cytoskeleton linkages. Cell 88, 39–48 (1997).
- Deng, L., Fairbank, N. J., Fabry, B., Smith, P. G. & Maksym, G. N. Localized mechanical stress induces time-dependent actin cytoskeletal remodeling and stiffening in cultured airway smooth muscle cells. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 287, C440–8 (2004).
- Bershadsky, A. D., Balaban, N. Q. & Geiger, B. Adhesion-Dependent Cell Mechanosensitivity. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 19, 677–695 (2003).
- 64. Balaban, N. Q. *et al.* Force and focal adhesion assembly: a close relationship studied using elastic micropatterned substrates. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **3**, 466–72 (2001).
- 65. Xu, F. *et al.* A microfabricated magnetic actuation device for mechanical conditioning of arrays of 3D microtissues. *Lab Chip* **15**, 2496–503 (2015).
- 66. Hoppin, F. G. Parenchymal mechanics and asthma. in Chest 107, 140S-144S (American College of Chest Physicians, 1995).
- 67. Andrews, G. F. The living substance as such; and as organism. J Morph 12, 1–179 (1897).
- 68. Mathews, A. P. & Whitcher, B. R. The importance of mechanical shock in protoplasmic activity. Am. J. Physiol. Content 8, 300–306 (1903).
- 69. Angerer, C. A. The effects of mechanical agitation on the relative viscosity of amoeba protoplasm. J. Cell Comp. Physiol. 8, 329-345 (1936).
- 70. Frantz, C., Stewart, K. M. & Weaver, V. M. The extracellular matrix at a glance. Journal of Cell Science 123, 4195-4200 (2010).
- 71. Fabry, B. et al. Scaling the microrheology of living cells. Phys Rev Lett 87, 148102 (2001).
- 72. Sollich, P., Lequeux, F., Hebraud, P. & Cates, M. E. Rheology of soft glassy materials. Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2020–2023 (1997).
- 73. Kohlrausch, R. Theorie des elektrischen Rückstandes in der Leidner Flasche. Ann. der Phys. und Chemie 91, 179-213 (1854).
- 74. Williams, G. & Watts, D. C. Non-symmetrical dielectric relaxation behaviour arising from a simple empirical decay function. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **66**, 80 (1970).
- 75. Lindsey, C. P. & Patterson, G. D. Detailed comparison of the Williams-Watts and Cole-Davidson functions. J. Chem. Phys. 73, 3348-3357 (1980).
- 76. Pernier, J. et al. Myosin 1b is an actin depolymerase. Nat. Commun. 10 (2019).
- 77. Ingber, D. E., Heidemann, S. R., Lamoureux, P. & Buxbaum, R. E. controversies in physiology Opposing views on tensegrity as a structural framework for understanding cell mechanics. J. Appl. Physiol. 89, 1663–1678 (2000).
- Rape, A., Guo, W. & Wang, Y. Microtubule depolymerization induces traction force increase through two distinct pathways. J. Cell Sci. 124, 4233–4240 (2011).
- 79. Tang-Schomer, M. D., Patel, A. R., Baas, P. W. & Smith, D. H. Mechanical breaking of microtubules in axons during dynamic stretch injury underlies delayed elasticity, microtubule disassembly, and axon degeneration. 24, (2010).
- Gosens, R. et al. Role of caveolin-1 in p42/p44 MAP kinase activation and proliferation of human airway smooth muscle. Am. J. Physiol. Cell. Mol. Physiol. 291, L523–L534 (2006).

Acknowledgements

M.W. is supported by OGS (Ontario Graduate Scholarship). The authors acknowledge support from individual NSERC Discovery Grants (M.G. and A.E.P.). M.G. acknowledges the Canadian Foundation for Innovation. A.E.P also acknowledges generous support from the Canada Research Chairs program.

Author contributions

M.W. performed data acquisition and analysis and wrote the manuscript. P.R. contributed to data acquisition. M.W., G.M. and A.E.P contributed to the study design. All authors revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64725-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.E.P.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020