Structural evaluation of Ikhwatun shelter building constructed on liquefaction potential area in Padang city, Indonesia

Fauzan1*, Abdul Hakam1, Rina Yuliet1, and Jonathan Vincensius Osman1

¹Departement of Civil Engineering, Universitas Andalas, Padang, Indonesia

Abstract. Indonesia has often experienced seismic natural disasters such as earthquakes and tsunamis especially Padang City, the capital city of West Sumatera, Indonesia. In order to face the future earthquake and tsunami disaster, the local government has built a number of vertical evacuation shelters. One of the shelters is Ikhwatun shelter building located in Koto Tangah Subdistrict of the Padang City. The shelter was built near to the coastal and expected has liquefaction potential. This study is conducted in order to evaluate the shelter to restrain the earthquake and tsunami loads. The building is made of the reinforced concrete structure with the floor area of 2680 m^2 and the high of 22.78 m. Based on the result of the soil evaluation, it was found that the soil deposit in the shelter has high liquefaction potential. Therefore, the upper and lower structures are analyzed using special response spectrum of the earthquake loads for soil liquefaction, which is 1.5 higher than those on the non-soil liquefaction. The analysis result shows that the beams, columns, and foundations are all not able to resist the applied tsunami loads. It is suggested that the building to be strengthened before being used as a vertical evacuation shelter.

1 Introduction

Recently, Indonesia has experienced big seismic triggered disasters regarding earthquakes and tsunamis. The geographical location of Indonesia on the three main plates of the world is the main reason for the disasters. These plates become the resources of seismic activities that sometimes generate tsunamis.

In 2009, Padang, the capital city of the West Sumatra province, Indonesia, has experienced a big earthquake which caused damage to the buildings and infrastructures. The Padang City is also located on the West Coast of Sumatra Island, which wide open to the sea (Indian Ocean) where the active two-plate zone exists. This condition results in Padang City become one of the most earthquake and tsunami prone area. After the 2009 Sumatera earthquake, the government started establishing vertical evacuation shelters in Padang. One of the shelters is the Ikhwatun shelter building, located in Koto Tangah Subdistrict of Padang.

^{*}Corresponding author: <u>fauzanrn@yahoo.com</u>

[©] The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Generally, the sand sediments near to the coastal area in Padang have the liquefaction potential. During the earthquake of September 30th, 2009, the liquefaction phenomena have occurred in Padang which caused the lateral movement, and sand boils as well as the landslides on the embankments [1].

Tsunami shelters are usually built in residential areas near the coastline, where the communities around the shelter can reach easily. The collapsed shelter clearly cannot be used as a post-earthquake shelter. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the existing shelter to ensure that the shelter can resist the earthquake, liquefaction, and tsunami.

2 Evaluation of soil liquefaction potential

Liquefaction is a natural phenomenon that occurs due to seismic shock. If the liquefaction happens, the increased water pressure in soil mass may reduce the soil strength. The liquefaction potential at Ikhwatun Shelter is assessed based on the relative density (Dr) and grain (D50) method [2]. The soil data was obtained from the samples, which is taken from the depth of 1.55–2.00 m with an N-SPT value of 23. The corrected N-SPT (N') value [3] is 19. The relative density value (Dr) is then estimated using Table 1. This value is obtained by interpolating the corrected N-SPT value gave the Dr of 0.485 (48.5%). Fig. 1 shows the sieve analysis data of the sample. Based on this figure, it is obtained the D50 of 0.36 mm.

Table 1. The empirical value for φ, and the volume weight of non-cohesive/ grained soil based on SPT-N correction value (N') [4]							
Compactness Very Loose Loose Medium Dense Very Dens							

Compactness	Very Loose	Loose	Medium	Dense	Very Dense
Relative Density, Dr (%)	0-0.15	0.15-0.35	0.35-0.65	0.65-0.85	0.85-1.00
SPTN-value	0-4	4-10	10-30	30=50	>50
Angle of Internal Friction	25-30	27-32	30-35	35-40	38-43
Unit Weight (moist)	11.0-15.7	14.1-18.1	17.3-20.4	17.3-22	20.4-23.6



Fig. 1. Grain size distribution graph of D50.



No	Depth	N	N'	D50	Dr (%)	Type of soil	Liquefaction potential
1	1.55 - 2.00	23	19	0.36	48.5	Silty Sand	Liquefaction
2	3.55 - 4.00	16	15.5	0.58	43.25	Well-graded sand	Not Liquefaction
3	5.55 - 6.00	26	20.5	0.5	50.75	Well-graded sand with silt	Not Liquefaction
4	7.55 - 8.00	33	24	0.3	56	Well-graded sand with silt	Liquefaction
5	9.55 - 10.00	35	25	0.3	57.5	Well-graded sand with silt	Liquefaction
6	11.55 - 12.00	37	26	0.33	59	Well-graded sand	Liquefaction
7	13.55 - 14.00	6		0	21.67	Silt	-
8	15.55 - 16.00	9		0	31.67	Silt	-
9	17.55 - 18.00	16	15.5	0	43.25	Silt with Sand	-
10	19.55 - 20.00	27	21	0	51.5	Silt with Sand	-
11	21.55 - 22.00	32	23.5	0	55.15	Silt with Sand	-
12	23.55 - 24.00	35	25	0	57.5	Silt with sand	-
13	25.55 - 26.00	39	27	0.19	60.5	Silty sand	Liquefaction
14	27.55 - 28.00	52	33.5	0.23	68.5	Silty sand	Liquefaction
15	29.55 - 30.00	55	35	0.31	70	Well-graded sand with silt	Not Liquefaction

The relative density (Dr) and the grain size distribution (D50) values are plotted into the liquefaction potential graph, as shown in Fig. 2. From the figure, it can be seen that the

values mainly on the right of the 0.3 g lines and the left of the 0.6 g line. It means that the soil will experience liquefaction when the 0.6 g earthquake occurs. Table 2 shows the liquefaction assessment results of soil layers on this shelter. The ground of shelter building can be concluded as high liquefaction potential.

3 Evaluation of building structure

3.1 Structure data

The location of this shelter is close to the coastline, with a distance of 0.56 km from the Padang beach line. Based on the tsunami hazard map of Padang City, the depth of tsunami inundation in the location of the plan is about 4 to 5 m [5]. The tsunami inundation depth is based on the contours and tsunami wave prediction in Padang City.

The structural analysis of shelter building is modeled and analyzed using ETABS 9.7.1 software with linear analysis. The columns and beams of the building structure are shaped as frames. Meanwhile, the floor plates are modeled as slab elements. This 5-floor structure is made of reinforced concrete with the concrete compressive strength, f_c ' of 30 MPa and steel yield strength, f_y of 400 MPa. The building height is 7.82 m for the 1st floor and 3.74 m for 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th floors. The shelter area is 2680 m² with the thickness of the slab of 15 cm. Fig. 3 shows the 3D modeling of the shelter building.



Fig. 3. Modeling of existing structure.

3.2 Loads

The dead and live loads refer to Minimum loads for Building Design and Other Structures of SNI 1727-2013 [6]. The analysis of earthquake load using dynamic analysis (earthquake response spectrum) for Padang City based on SNI 03-1726-2012 and earthquake map of 2017 by making its design of response spectrum. According to Article 5.3, Table 3 SNI 03-1726-2012 or Table 53 SNI 8460-2017, the value of N-SPT of medium soil ranges from 15 to 50 [7, 8]. The N-SPT value of the shelter in the middle ground (SD) is 20.5.

However, the soil at that location has the potential for liquefaction, so it is categorized as special soil (SF) according to Table 3 SNI 03-1726-2012 or Table 53 SNI 8460-2017. Furthermore, to calculate the response spectrum of a special soil (SF), it must follow the article 6.8 or 6.10.1 in SNI 03-1726-2012, which stated that if the spectrum of the maximum-risk-targeted seismic response (MCER) is required, the design response spectrum then should be multiplied by 1.5. Therefore, the design spectral acceleration parameter values for moderate soil, SD1 obtained is 0.6, and the SDS is one were increased by 1.5, so the value of SD1 and SDS will be 0.9 and 1.5, respectively [7, 8]. The result of the response spectrum calculation is plotted in Fig. 4.







Based on the FEMA P-646 [9], the refugee live load was taken 250 kg/m² on evacuation room. The tsunami loads are also calculated based on the FEMA P-646 Code 2012 [9]. The value of each load calculated based on the predicted wave height of the tsunami, the ground elevation of the shelter area, the distance from the shore and other assumptions used, which can be seen in Fig. 5. Table 3 shows the tsunami loads calculation applied to the shelter.

Tsunami load	Force (kg)
Fh Hydrostatic force	-20516.65
Fd Hydrodynamic force	-5719.4
Fs Impulsive force	-8579.05
Fl Impact	-52119.13

Table 3. Tsunami loads calculation.

3.3 Capacity of structure elements

3.3.1 Column capacity

P-M interaction diagram is a diagram illustrating the ability or capacity of the column based on the relationship between the moment and axial load of the column.













Fig. 6-9 show P-M interaction diagrams of columns obtained from the results of the structural analysis. Based on these figures, it can be seen that the bending capacity of the 1st floor columns can resist applied loads, but the shear capacities on K2 column are not strong enough to withstand the applied loads, as seen in Table 4.

Floor	Column Code	Dimension (cm)	Note	Floor	Column Code	Dimension (cm)	Note
	K1	Ø70	ОК		K1	Ø70	NOT OK
Tie		~ ()	ОК			~ ~ ~	OK
Beam	K2	Ø60	ОК	3	K2	Ø60	NOT OK
	K4	Ø30	NOT OK		K4	Ø30	OK
	K1	Ø70	ОК		WO	<i></i>	OK
	1 K2	Ø60	NOT OK		K2	000	NOT OK
I			NOT OK	4	1/2	0.50	NOT OK
	K4	Ø30	ОК		K3	Ø50	OK
	K1	Ø70	ОК		K4	Ø30	OK
2 К2		ОК				OK	
	K2	Ø60	NOT OK	5	K2	Ø60	OK
	77.4	~~~	0.11		К3	Ø50	OK
	K4 Ø30	Ø30	Ø30 OK		K4	Ø30	OK

Tabel 4.	Calulation	results	of	column	shear	capacity.
I aber 11	Curanation	restarts	01	coramin	oneur	eupuenty.

3.3.2 Beam capacity

Tables 5 and 6 show the results of bending and shear capacities of the beam. The bending beam capacity on the tie beam, first floor, and second floor cannot resist the applied loads.

The shear capacity on all of the level is not strong enough to restrain the applied loads.

Floor	Beam	Dimension	Rein	forced bar	Ø Mn	Mn Mu	Note
	Code	(cm)	Tension	Compression	(kNm)	(kNm)	
Tie	S 3	20×40	3 D16	3 D16	66.833	148.806	NOT OK
Beam 0.00			3 D 16	3 D16	87.082	148.806	NOT OK
1	В5	25×40	5 D12	2 D22	219.182	267.232	NOT OK
			2 D22	5 D22	219.182	251.449	NOT OK
	B6	25×40	5 D19	2 D22	147.673	136.224	OK
			2 D19	5 D19	147.673	178.137	NOT OK
	BL-2	20 × 35	3 D16	3 D16	57.200	87.138	NOT OK
			3 D16	3 D16	57.200	44.489	ОК
2	BA	25 × 40	3 D16	3 D16	67.765	78.975	NOT OK
			3 D16	3 D16	67.765	69.799	NOT OK

Table 5. Calculation results of beam bending capacity.

 Table 6. Calculation results of beam shear capacity.

Floor	Beam Code	Dimension (cm)	Shear Bar	Vn (kN)	Vu (kN)	Note
	52	25 70	D13 - 125	426.867	513.519	NOT OK
	B2	35 x 70	D13 - 150	516.274	256.759	OK
I	D4	20 20	Ø10 – 150	84.823	151.652	NOT OK
	В4	20 x 30	Ø10 – 200	100.589	75.826	OK
	D.	25 50	D13 - 125	426.867	513.519	NOT OK
	B2	35 x 70	D13 - 150	516.274	256.759	OK
2	2		Ø10 – 150	84.823	151.652	NOT OK
B4	20 x 30	Ø10-200	100.589	75.826	OK	
2			Ø10 – 150	84.823	151.652	NOT OK
3 B4	20 x 30	Ø10-200	100.589	75.826	OK	
			D13 – 125	426.867	514.499	NOT OK
4 B2	35 x 70	D13 - 150	516.274	257.250	OK	
B4		20 x 30	Ø10 – 150	84.823	153.713	NOT OK
	B4		Ø10-200	100.589	76.856	OK
5	D(25 10	D13 – 125	245.555	193.851	OK
B6	B6	B6 25 x 40	D13 - 150	259.774	96.926	NOT OK

3.3.3 Foundation capacity

Based on SNI 8460-2017 of article 12.2.4.3, the friction resistance at the soil layer with liquefaction potential should be ignored for the pile foundation [8]. In the Ikhwatun shelter, the foundation type is pile with 350 mm diameter and 30 m depth and concrete strength, $f_c' = 60$ MPa. Fig. 10 shows the foundation plan of this shelter.



Fig. 10. Foundation plan.

The soil data was obtained from NSPT test results on the field and the results of the granular analysis in the laboratory [10]. Calculation of foundation capacity was carried out using the calculation of static axial pole capacity with SPT based on Mayerhof method [11].

Type of foundation	Qn (kN)	Qu (kN)	Note
PC1	3487.207	3487.170	OK
PC2	2324.805	4851.900	NOT OK
PC3	1743.603	10110.740	NOT OK
PC4	581.201	601.760	NOT OK

Table 7. Calculation results of foundation bearing capacity

Based on the results of the foundation bearing capacity in Table 7, it can be seen that foundation PC2, PC3 and PC4 has not enough capacity to resist the applied loads.

4 Conclusions

The Ikhwatun shelter building has been built in a high potential of the soil liquefaction. The structural analysis then must consider the spatial condition of the soil as stated by the standard code. Based on the result of the structural analysis, the bending columns capacities can resist the working loads, but shear capacities of the columns may not be able to withstand the applied loads. The beams also have not strong enough capabilities to resist the working loads. Further, the result of the foundation analysis obtains that the capacity of pile foundations is unable to resist the applied loads, especially when the case of earthquake and liquefaction. Then, it is suggested that the shelter must be strengthened before being used as a vertical evacuation building for the earthquake and tsunami.

The authors would like to acknowledge the funding support provided by Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia.

References

- 1. T.L. Youd, C.T. Garris, Jour. of Geotech. Eng. 121, 11 (1995)
- 2. A. Hakam, Proc. of Andalas Civil Engineering Seminar (2016)
- 3. R.R.I. Legrans, S. Imbar, J. of Tekno-Sipil 4, 56 (2011)
- 4. J. E. Bowles, Foundation analysis and design (McGraw Hill, Singapore, 1977)
- 5. Regional Development Planning Board of Padang City, *Tsunami Hazard Map of Padang City year 2008-2028*
- 6. Standar Nasional Indonesia, *Minimum Loads for Building Design and Other Structures SNI 1727-2013* (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, Jakarta, 2013)
- 7. Standar Nasional Indonesia, *Earthquake Resilience Planning Procedure for Building and Non-Building SNI 1726-2012* (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, Jakarta, 2012)
- 8. Standar Nasional Indonesia, *Geotechnical Design Requirements SNI 8460-2017* (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, Jakarta, 2017)
- 9. FEMA, *Guidelines for Design of Structure for Vertical Evacuation from Tsunami* FEMA P646-2012 (Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington DC, 2012)
- 10. Anonim. Road infrastructure, spatial planning, and settlement of west sumatera province, boring log data & land filter analysis shelter ikhwatun report (UPTD Construction & Environment Testing Center, Padang, 2018)
- 11. G.G. Mayerhof, Jour. of the Geotech. Eng. Div. 102, 3 (1976)