

#### Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/850164

# Structural variation, functional differentiation and expression characteristics of the AP2/ERF gene family and its response to cold stress and methyl jasmonate in Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer — Source link 🗹

Jing Chen, Yuanhang Zhou, Qi Zhang, Li Li ...+11 more authors

Published on: 20 Nov 2019 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Gene family, Subfamily, Methyl jasmonate, Gene and Ginseng

#### Related papers:

- Structural Variation, Functional Differentiation and Expression Characteristics of the AP2/ERF Gene Family and Its Response to Cold Stress and Methyl Jasmonate in Panax Ginseng C.A. Meyer
- Identification, characterization and functional differentiation of the NAC gene family and its roles in response to cold stress in ginseng, Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer.
- · Discovery and expression profile analysis of AP2/ERF family genes from Triticum aestivum
- Whole-genome characterization of Rosa chinensis AP2/ERF transcription factors and analysis of negative regulator RcDREB2B in Arabidopsis.
- Characterization of the APETALA2/Ethylene-responsive factor (AP2/ERF) transcription factor family in sunflower.



1	Structural variation, functional differentiation and
2	expression characteristics of the AP2/ERF gene family and
3	its response to cold stress and methyl jasmonate in Panax
4	ginseng C.A. Meyer
5	Short title: Structural and functional Analysis of AP2/ERF
6	gene family in <i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer
7	Jing Chen <sup>1,2</sup> , Yuanhang Zhou <sup>1</sup> , Qi Zhang <sup>1</sup> , Li Li <sup>1</sup> , Chunyu Sun <sup>1,2</sup> , Kangyu Wang <sup>1,2</sup> ,
8	Yanfang Wang <sup>2,3</sup> , Mingzhu Zhao <sup>1,2</sup> , Hongjie Li <sup>1</sup> , Yilai Han <sup>1</sup> , Ping Chen <sup>1</sup> , Ruiqi Li <sup>1</sup> ,
9	Jun Lei <sup>1</sup> , Meiping Zhang <sup>1,2*</sup> , and Yi Wang <sup>1,2*</sup>
10	<sup>1</sup> College of Life Science, Jilin Agricultural University, 2888 Xincheng Street,
11	Changchun, Jilin 130118, China.
12	<sup>2</sup> Research Center for Ginseng Genetic Resources Development and Utilization,
13	2888 Xincheng Street, Changchun, Jilin 130118, China.
14	<sup>3</sup> College of Chinese Medicinal Materials, Jilin Agricultural University, 2888
15	Xincheng Street, Changchun 130118, Jilin, China.
16	
17	These authors contributed equally to this work.
18	Correspondence should be addressed to meiping.zhang@jlau.edu.cn and

19 <u>wanglaoshi0606@163.com</u>

#### 20 Abstract:

The APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor (AP2/ERF) gene family has been shown to play a 21 crucial role in plant growth and development, stress responses and secondary metabolite biosynthesis. 22 Nevertheless, little is known about the gene family in ginseng (Panax ginseng), an important traditional 23 medicinal herb in Asia and North America. Here, we report the systematic analysis of the gene family 24 present in ginseng using several transcriptomic databases. A total of 189 putative AP2/ERF genes, 25 defined as *PgERF001* through *PgERF189*. The 93 *PgERF* genes that have the complete AP2 domain in 26 their open reading frames were classified into five subfamilies, DREB, ERF, AP2, RAV and Soloist. 27 28 The DREB subfamily and ERF subfamily were further clustered four and six groups, respectively, compared to the 12 groups of these subfamilies found in Arabidopsis. Gene ontology categorized these 29 397 transcripts of the 189 *PgERF* genes into eight functional subcategories, suggesting their functional 30 differentiation and they have been especially enriched for the nucleic acid binding transcription factor 31 activity subcategory. The expression activity and networks of the 397 PgERF transcripts have 32 33 substantially diversified across tissues, developmental stages and genotypes. Then, the expression change of six PgERF genes randomly selected from DREB subfamily, i.e., PgERF073, PgERF079, 34 PgERF110, PgERF115, PgERF120 and PgERF128 responding to cold stress suggesting that DREB 35 subfamily genes played an important role in cold resistance of ginseng. Finally, we studied the 36 responses of the PgERF genes to methyl jasmonate (MeJA). 288 (72.5%) of the 397 PgERF gene 37 transcripts responded to the MeJA treatment, with 136 up-regulated and 152 down-regulated, indicating 38 that most members of the PgERF gene family are responsive to MeJA. These results provide resources 39 and knowledge necessary for family-wide functional analysis of the PgERF genes in ginseng and 40 41 related species.

Keywords: Gene family, APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor (AP2/ERF) genes, *Panax ginseng*,
Phylogeny, Functional Differentiation, Co-expression network, cold stress, Methyl Jasmonate (MeJA)

#### 44 1. Introduction

Plants are subjected to numerous biotic and abiotic stresses all time through their growth and 45 development. Therefore, they have developed a variety of mechanisms by producing secondary 46 signaling molecules (e.g., ethylene and jasmonic acid) and response networks at the molecular, 47 biochemical and physiological levels to perceive the external signals from and response to the stresses 48 [1]. It has been documented that a large number of genes are involved in these processes [2]. Therefore, 49 it is important to decipher the regulatory mechanisms of the defense-related genes involved in the signal 50 transduction pathways and the plant responses to these stresses for enhanced and efficient plant genetic 51 improvement [3]. The APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor (AP2/ERF) transcription factors have 52 been demonstrated to be one of the most important gene families actively functioning in plant response 53 to biotic and abiotic stresses by binding to cis-acting elements of downstream target genes [4]. 54

The AP2/ERF family has one or two conserved APETALA2 (AP2) domains (approximately 60 -55 70 amino acids) [5]. Based on the number and amino acid sequence similarities of the AP2 domains, the 56 AP2/ERF family is divided into the DREB (dehydration responsive element binding), ERF, AP2, RAV 57 (Related to ABI3/VP1) and Soloist subfamilies [6],[7]. Both DREB and ERF subfamilies possess a 58 single AP2 domain, with a specific WLG motif, and could be further subdivided into A1 to A6 and B1 59 to B6 groups, respectively [6]. Alternatively, the DREB and ERF subfamilies were also categorized into 60 I to X, and VI-L and Xb-L groups, respectively [7]. The AP2 subfamily has two tandemly repeated AP2 61 domains, while the RAV subfamily has one AP2 domain and one B3 domain that are commonly found 62 in other transcription factors [8]. The Soloist subfamily also has only one AP2 domain. It was classified 63 into an independent subfamily due to its relatively low sequence homology with the DREB and ERF 64 subfamilies [9]. Although the AP2 domain of the AP2/ERF family is highly conserved, its five 65

subfamilies, DREB, ERF, AP2, RAV and Soloist, recognize different DNA cis-acting elements and 66 exhibit substantial functional diversity [10]. Specifically, the members of the AP2 subfamily bind to the 67 GCAC(A/G)N(A/T)TCCC(A/G)ANG(C/T) element and regulate developmental processes of different 68 plant tissues, e.g., embryo, flower, sepal and fruit [11], [12], [13]. The RAVI gene of the RAV subfamily 69 70 was reported to bind to CAACA and CACCTG motifs in Arabidopsis thaliana [14]. The roles of the RAV subfamily in plant development and various biotic and abiotic stresses were investigated in 71 several plant species [15], [16], [17]. The only gene of the Soloist subfamily in Arabidopsis, APD1 72 (At4g13040), worked as a positive regulator of disease defense by up-regulating the accumulation of 73 74 salicylic acid (SA) [18]. The members of the ERF subfamily typically bind to the cis-acting element GCC-box and are involved in the signaling pathways of plant hormone, e.g., ethylene (ET), SA, 75 jasmonic acid (JA) and abscisic acid (ABA), which play an important role in both plant growth and 76 77 development and response to stresses [19], [20], [21]. On the other hand, the DREB subfamily recognizes the conserved CCGAC motif of the dehydration-responsive element present in stress-responsive genes 78 and is associated with the response of plants to abiotic stresses [22], [23], [24]. 79

The AP2/ERF family has been well characterized in the model plants, A. thanliana [6],[7] and 80 Medicago truncatula [25], several crops, such as rice [7], maize [26], sovbean [27], Chinese cabbage 81 82 [10] and grapevine [28], and Populus trichocarpa [29]. However, little is known about the AP2/ERF family in the medicinal herb, *Panax ginseng* (ginseng). *Ginseng* is a perennial of the *Araliaceae* family 83 and has long been cultivated for human medicine in Asia, particularly in China, Korea, and Japan. 84 Ginseng, known as the "king of all herbs" in China, is mainly cultivated in Jilin Province; therefore, it is 85 often known as Jilin ginseng. Ginseng has been widely used as a medicinal herb due to its bioactive 86 components, especially ginsenosides that have been shown to play significant roles in anti-inflammation 87

[30],[31], antitumor [32], and immunomodulation [33]. However, ginseng has been suffering from 88 various biotic and abiotic stresses, which is greatly threating the ginseng production. Therefore, 89 identification, characterization and utilization of the defense-related genes in ginseng are of significance 90 for ginseng breeding and production. In the present study, we comprehensively studied the AP2/ERF 91 family present in Jilin ginseng in several aspects, including gene identification, protein motif 92 characterization, functional categorization and phylogenetic analysis. Moreover, the expression 93 activities and patterns of AP2/ERF genes were also investigated at different developmental stages, in 94 different tissues, different cultivars, under cold stress and under the methyl jasmonate (MeJA) 95 96 treatment. The results of these studies have laid the foundation for deeply functional analysis and utilization of the genes of the AP2/ERF family and provided vital information on the molecular 97 mechanism of plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses in ginseng and related plant species. 98

#### 99 2. Materials and methods

#### 100 2.1 Databases

We previously established a comprehensive transcriptome for Jilin ginseng from 14 tissues (fiber 101 root, leg root, main root epiderm, main root cortex, rhizome, arm root, stem, leaf peduncle, leaflet 102 pedicel, leaf blade, fruit peduncle, fruit pedicel, fruit flesh, and seed), from which 248,993 transcript 103 unigenes (130,557 gene IDs) were assembled [34]. Moreover, we also sequenced and established the 104 databases for the transcriptomes of the roots of 5-, 12-, 18- and 25-year-old plants [34] and the roots of 105 four-year-old plants of 42 genotypes (named from S1 to S42) representing the diversity of Jilin ginseng 106 [35]. In this study, a ginseng line IR826 genome sequence database [36] and another Ginseng Genome 107 Database (http://ginsengdb.snu.ac.kr/index.php) reported by Kim et al. [37] were also used. In addition, 108

a transcriptome database of the adventitious roots of ginseng cv. Cheongsun treated with 200 µM MeJA
for 0, 12, 24 and 48 h, respectively [38] was also consulted.

#### 111 2.2 Identification of *PgERF* genes in ginseng

To identify the genes of the AP2/ERF family in ginseng, the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) 112 profile of the AP2/ERF domain (Pfam: PF00847) and the protein sequences of the AP2/ERF genes 113 downloaded from NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast) were used to query the 248,993 Jilin 114 ginseng transcript unigenes [34] by TBLASTN at E-value  $\leq$  le-6. The obtained sequences were then 115 used as a query to search for homologs in the ginseng line IR826 genome database [36]. Furthermore, 116 TBLASTN were performed again to search the 248,993 transcript unigenes [34] using the homologs as 117 query with E-value  $\leq$  le-6 to maximize identification of the AP2/ERF family genes in ginseng. After 118 merged all these aforementioned results, the identified genes were defined as PgERF for the AP2/ERF 119 genes in ginseng and extracted by a Perl programming software. Finally, the predicted *PgERF* genes 120 analyzed domain database 121 were by the conserved (CDD) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi) Finder 122 and the ORF (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/gorf.html) at NCBI. 123

#### 124 2.3 Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis of *PgERF* genes

The encoded AP2 domain of the *PgERF* genes was aligned using the ClustalW program [39] and an unrooted phylogenetic tree was first constructed from the genes to have a preliminary phylogenetic tree of the *PgERF* genes. Then, another unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using 93 of the predicted *PgERF* genes representing the AP2/ERF family in ginseng and 147 *AtERF* genes previously identified and annotated in Arabidopsis [7]. These two phylogenetic trees were both constructed using

MEGA 5.0 by the Neighbor-Joining method with 1,000 bootstrap replications, using the Poissoncorrection model and the pairwise deletion [40].

#### 132 **2.4 Motif prediction of** *PgERF* **genes**

The putative protein sequences of the above 93 PgERF genes used for construction of the AP2/ERF family phylogenetic tree were subjected to the online software, MEME (multiple EM for motif elicitation, V5.0.3) (<u>http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme</u>) [41] to identify the conserved motifs of these predicted PgERF genes in ginseng. Motif length was set to 6 - 50 amino acids and the maximum number of motifs was set to 25, while other parameters were set as default.

#### 138 2.5 Expression and functional networks of *PgERF* genes

The expression profiles of all putative transcripts of *PgERF* genes identified above were extracted 139 140 by a Perl programming software from the above four transcriptome databases: (1) the 14 tissues of a Jilin ginseng four-year-old plant, (2) the roots of Jilin ginseng 5-, 12-, 18- and 25-year-old plants, (3) 141 the four-year-old roots in 42 Jilin ginseng genotypes and (4) the ginseng cv. Cheongsun adventitious 142 roots treated with 200 µM MeJA for 0, 12, 24 and 48 h, respectively. The expression profiles of the 143 putative transcripts of *PgERF* genes were measured as transcripts per million (TPM) and visualized by 144 expression heatmap using the R programming language and software (http://www.r-project.org/, 145 V3.3.3). Finally, the co-expression networks of these *PgERF* gene transcripts were constructed and 146 analyzed among different tissues and different genotypes of Jilin ginseng using the BioLavout 147 Express<sup>3D</sup> software (Version 3.2) [42]. 148

#### 149 **2.6 Expression activity of** *PgERF* **genes responding to cold stress**

150 Equivalent ginseng hair roots (1 gram) were freshly cut from mature hair roots and cultured with

250 ml 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium in dark culture at 22°C for 30 days. Then, to simulate 151 the cold stress treatments, the 30-days-old hair roots were placed in 4°C for 6 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, 152 respectively. Afterwards, the ginseng hair roots were harvested and stored in -80°C for the RNA 153 isolation and further quantitative real-time PCR analysis. The total RNA of ginseng hair roots was 154 extracted by TRIzol reagent (Bioteke, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, 155 which was further reverse transcribed into cDNA using a PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA 156 Eraser (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan), following the manufacturer's instructions. In this study, quantitative 157 real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) of six PgERF genes, including PgERF073, PgERF079, PgERF110, 158 PgERF115, PgERF120 and PgERF128, was performed. The PgGADPH gene was used as the internal 159 reference. The gene-specific primers used in qRT-PCR were designed by Primer Premier Software 160 161 (version 5) and were listed in Table S1. The qRT-PCR was conducted by an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and SYBR Premix Ex Taq<sup>™</sup> 162 II (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). The qRT-PCR was performed using the following steps: 30 seconds at 163 95°C; forty cycles of 5 seconds at 95°C and 34 seconds at 60°C; one cycle of 15 seconds at 95 °C and 164 60 seconds at  $60^{\circ}$ C; 15 seconds at 95 °C. The relative expression levels of these selected genes were 165 calculated using formula  $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$  [43], and all the experiments were amplified in triplicate. 166

#### 167 **3. Results**

#### 168 **3.1 Identification and classification of** *PgERF* genes

A total of 397 transcripts that were derived from 189 predicted *PgERF* genes, including those containing a partial or complete AP2/ERF domain, were identified. These *PgERF* genes were defined *PgERF001* to *PgERF189*, with a suffix (e.g., -1) for different transcripts derived from the same gene (Table S2). Then, the sequences of 342 AP2/ERF gene transcripts downloaded from Ginseng Genome Database (http://ginsengdb.snu.ac.kr/index.php) were aligned with 397 transcripts identified in this study with identity  $\geq$ 95%, alignment length  $\geq$ 200 bp (about AP2 maximum domain length). As a result,

138 (73%) PgERF genes which contained 302 transcripts (88%) identified in this study were similar to 175 266 (78%) transcripts of the AP2/ERF gene from Ginseng Genome Database, which were supposed to 176 the same genes (Fig.S1 and Table S3). However, the other 51 (27%) PgERF genes, whose sequences 177 were quite different from Korean ginseng AP2/ERF genes, were assigned as newly discovered 178 AP2/ERF genes in ginseng (Fig.S1 and Table S3). The *PgERF* gene transcripts identified in this study 179 had nucleotide sequences ranging from 203 bp to 2,897 bp, with an average length of 1,216 bp. Of 180 181 these 397 PgERF gene transcripts, 176, derived from 96 PgERF genes, had partial AP2 domains, or complete AP2 domains but being outside of open reading frames (ORFs). The remaining 221 PgERF 182 183 transcripts, derived from 93 PgERF genes, had complete AP2 domains within ORFs. Therefore, these 221 PgERF transcripts were further analyzed. The 221 PgERF gene transcripts encode putative 184 proteins with a length varying from 96 to 561 amino acids, with an average length of 256 amino acids 185 (Table S4). Analysis using the ExPASy Server showed that these putative proteins had an isoelectric 186 point between 4.43 (PgERF025) and 11.12 (PgERF180) and a molecular mass ranging from 11.00 kDa 187 (PgERF140) to 62.83 kDa (PgERF159) (Table S4). 188

Sakuma et al. [6] classified that the Arabidopsis AP2/ERF family into five subfamily, ERF, DREB, 189 AP2, RAV and Soloist. We also classified the 93 predicted PgERF genes whose transcripts had 190 complete AP2 domains within ORFs into these five subfamilies, according to the structures and the 191 number of AP2/ERF domains. These five PgERF subfamilies, ERF, DREB, AP2, RAV and Soloist, 192 contained 27, 48, 14, 2 and 2 genes, respectively (Fig. 1). Specifically, two genes, PgERF035 and 193 PgERF084, were classified into the Soloist subfamily due to their low homology with the remaining 194 AP2/ERF genes and their high homology with the Arabidopsis Soloist subfamily gene, AT4G13040. 195 The *PgERF112* gene was the only one that codes one AP2/ERF domain with one B3 domain; therefore, 196 it was classified into the RAV subfamily. Moreover, PgERF171 was also classified into the RAV 197

subfamily, even though it does not contain the B3 domain, because it has a high homology with the 198 Arabidopsis RAV subfamily genes, AT1G51120 and AT1G50680. As seven PgERF genes, PgERF062, 199 PgERF089, PgERF134, PgERF135, PgERF142, PgERF148 and PgERF159, contain two repeated 200 AP2/ERF domains, they were classified into the AP2 subfamily. In addition, another seven PgERF 201 genes, including PgERF020, PgERF045, PgERF048, PgERF076, PgERF101, PgERF132 and 202 PgERF140, were also classified into the AP2 subfamily as they have high sequence similarity with the 203 members of the AP2 subfamily, even though they do not contain two repeated AP2/ERF domains. Of 204 the 75 remaining PgERF genes, 48 and 27 were classified into the ERF and DREB subfamilies, 205 respectively (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the DREB and ERF subfamilies of ginseng were each further divided 206 into six groups, A1 through A6 and B1 through B6, respectively, or these two subfamilies were divided 207 into 12 groups, from I to X, VI-L and Xb-L, based on Nakano et al. [7] (Fig. 1). 208

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of the AP2/ERF gene family present in ginseng and Arabidopsis. The amino acid sequences of the AP2 domain were aligned using Clustal W and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using neighbor-joining method.

#### 212 **3.2** Phylogenetic analysis of the *PgERF* gene family

To determine the phylogeny of the *PgERF* gene family, the 93 predicted *PgERF* genes whose 213 214 transcripts had complete AP2 domains were also used. An unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed from the 93 predicted PgERF genes for the PgERF gene family. The result showed that the PgERF 215 gene family was apparently classified into five clades, corresponding to ERF, DREB, AP2, RAV and 216 Soloist subfamilies (Fig. S2). Then, we constructed an unrooted phylogenetic tree of the PgERF gene 217 family using 147 Arabidopsis annotated AtERF genes as controls based on their conserved AP2 218 domains. The resultant phylogenetic tree clustered the 93 PgERF genes and 147 AtERF genes into 15 219 distinct clades, of which 13 was corresponding to the I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX and X groups of 220

the DREB and ERF subfamilies, and AP2, RAV and Soloist subfamilies of the *PgERF* family, and two,
corresponding to Xb-L and VI-L groups of the DREB and ERF subfamilies, had only *AtERF* genes
(Fig. 2). This result was consistent with the classification of the AP2/ERF family.

#### **3.3 Motif identification and multiple sequence alignment**

Next, the 93 predicted PgERF genes were subjected to conservative analysis for the conserved 225 motifs of their proteins (Fig. 2; Fig. S3). A total of 25 conserved motifs were identified for the putative 226 proteins of the 93 predicted *PgERF* genes, which were herein designated as Motif 1 through Motif 25. 227 Motif 1 to Motif 6 were located in the AP2/ERF domain and the remaining 19 motifs, including Motif 7 228 through Motif 25, were found outside the AP2/ERF domain. The PgERF proteins encoded by gene 229 members of the same subfamily or group contained similar conserved motifs. For example, Motifs 4, 7, 230 15, 16, 17, 21 and 25 were specifically shared by gene members of the AP2 subfamily. Motifs 14 and 231 18 were specifically present within Group I, while Motifs 9 and 20 were only present in the Group III 232 gene members of the ERF subfamily. Motifs 10, 11, 12, 13, and 19 were specific for the DREB 233 subfamily and absent in all four other subfamilies (ERF, AP2, RAV and Soloist subfamilies). These 234 results suggested that most of the 25 motifs were divergent among subfamilies or groups, which might 235 play an important role in their functional divergence [25]. 236

Figure 2. Distribution of conserved motifs among the gene members of the *PgERF* family. Each motif
is represented by a colored box. Box length corresponds to the motif length.

As the featured sequences within the specific domains of transcription factors are critical to their functions [44], the conserved amino acid residues of the AP2 domains were identified for the genes of both DREB and ERF subfamilies. By aligning the amino acid sequences of the AP2 domains of these two subfamilies in ginseng and Arabidopsis, 14 conserved amino acid residues, including 4G, 6R, 8R, 15W, 16V, 18E, 20R, 22P, 39W, 40L, 49A, 52A, 54D and 72N, and 11 conserved amino acid residues,
including 4G, 6R, 8R, 11G, 17I, 30R, 42A, 46Y, 47D, 55G and 63F, were identified for the DREB and
ERF subfamilies, respectively (Fig. S4 and S5). Besides, all gene members of the DREB subfamily and
ERF subfamily obviously contained the two featured conserved elements, including YRG and RAYD,
in their AP2 domains.

#### 248 **3.4 Functional categorization of the** *PgERF* genes

To estimate the functional differentiation of the PgERF family, all 397 transcripts of the 189 249 PgERF genes identified in this study were annotated and functionally categorized using the Blast2GO 250 software (Version 4.1.9) [45]. Surprisingly, only 195 (49%) of the PgERF gene transcripts could be 251 annotated, while the remaining 202 could not be annotated using the database of the Blast2GO software, 252 suggesting the uniqueness of the *PgERF* genes in ginseng. The annotated *PgERF* gene transcripts were 253 categorized into all three primary gene ontology (GO) categories, molecular function (MF), biological 254 process (BP) and cellular component (CC) (Fig. 3A). Of the 195 PgERF transcripts, 186 (95%) were 255 categorized into all the three primary categories, MF, BP and CC. Only one PgERF gene transcript, 256 PgERF069, had functions in both BP and CC categories, while two PgERF transcripts, PgERF135-1 257 and PgERF135-3, and six PgERF transcripts, PgERF152-3, PgERF152-4, PgERF152-1, PgERF152-2, 258 PgERF105-3 and PgERF087, were categorized into BP and CC, respectively. At Level 2, these 195 259 transcripts were further categorized into eight subcategories, including nucleic acid binding 260 transcription factor activity, binding, metabolic process, cellular process, developmental process, 261 organelle, cell part and cell (Fig. 3B). Of the eight subcategories, three subcategories, including nucleic 262 acid binding transcription factor activity, binding and cell part subcategories, have been significantly 263 enriched, which is consistent with the transcription regulation functions of the ERF genes, while the 264

abundances of the remaining five subcategories are either not changed or significantly reduced relativeto the whole genome background control.

267 Figure 3. Functional categorization of the AP2/ERF gene family in ginseng. (A) Venn diagram of the *PgERF* gene transcripts categorized into three primary categories, biological process (BP), 268 molecular function (MF) and cellular component (CC). (B) The subcategories of the PgERF gene 269 transcripts (Level 2). The enrichment of the PgERF gene transcripts in each subcategory was calculated 270 using all the gene transcripts of ginseng as the background control. A single asterisk "\*" indicates the 271 significant difference of the number of *PgERF* gene transcripts categorized into the subcategory from 272 that of all the gene transcripts of ginseng at  $P \le 0.05$ , while double asterisks "\*\*" indicate the difference 273 at a significance level of  $P \le 0.01$ . 274

Furthermore, the PgERF transcripts expressed in the roots of 5-, 12-, 18- and 25-year-old plants, 275 276 14 tissues of the 4-year-old plant, and the roots of 4-year-old plants of 42 genotypes were further categorized (Fig. 4). The *PgERF* transcripts expressed in differently-aged plant roots, different tissues 277 and the roots of different genotypes were all categorized into these eight subcategories, suggesting that 278 279 the functions of *PgERF* transcripts were consistent among developmental stages, tissues or genotypes. Nevertheless, a substantial variation of the categorization in the numbers of the PgERF transcripts 280 categorized into these eight categories (Level 2) was observed across developmental stages, tissues or 281 genotypes. 282

Figure 4. Variation in functional categories of the *PgERF* gene transcripts. (A) Variation in functional categories among the roots of differently aged plants. (B) Variation in functional categories among 14 tissues of a 4-year-old plant. (C) Variation in functional categories among the 4-year-old

plant roots of 42 genotypes.

#### 287 **3.5** Expression profiles and networks of the *PgERF* genes

To profile the activation patterns of PgERF genes, the expressions of all 397 transcripts were 288 quantified in 5-, 12-, 18- and 25-year-old plant roots, 14 4-year-old plant tissues and the roots of 289 4-year-old plants of 42 genotypes. The expressions of the transcripts varied dramatically across 290 291 developmental stages, tissues and genotypes, from silenced (0.0 TPM) to 586.3, 666.0 and 1159.2 TPM, respectively. Of the 397 *PgERF* transcripts, 136 (34.3%), 98 (24.7%) and 83 (20.9%) expressed 292 in all 5-, 12-, 18- and 25-year-old plant roots, all 14 4-year-old plant tissues and the roots of 4-year-old 293 plants of all 42 genotypes, respectively (Tables S5-S7), while 53 (13.4%), 39 (9.8%) and 14 (3.5%) of 294 the 397 transcripts were development-, tissue- and genotype-specific, respectively. Nevertheless, the 295 expression of a transcript varied dramatically across developmental stages, tissues and genotypes. 296

Moreover, we constructed the heatmaps of the PgERF genes expressed at different 297 developmental stages of roots, in different tissues, and across different genotypes to find out whether 298 the expressions of the genes were co-regulated. The results showed that although the expression 299 co-regulation was observed for some of the genes at a developmental stage, a single tissue or a 300 genotype and across developmental stages, it was not apparent across tissues or genotypes (Fig. 5). For 301 instance, PgERF140-12, PgERF046, PgERF089-3, PgERF093-3, PgERF108-1, PgERF184, 302 PgERF118-2 and PgERF170 were apparently co-regulated at a developmental stage and across 303 developmental stages of roots (Fig. 5A). 304

Figure 5. Expression heatmaps of the *PgERF* gene transcripts at different developmental stages, in different tissues and across genotypes. (A) In the roots of different year-old plants. (B) In the 14 tissues of a 4-year-old plant. (C) In the 4-year-old plant roots of 42 genotypes.

308

To determine the functional relationships of the PgERF genes, the co-expression network of the

PgERF transcripts were constructed for 14 tissues of a four-year-old plant and the four-year-old plant 309 roots of 42 genotypes, respectively. Of the 397 PgERF gene transcripts, 364 (91.7%) formed a 310 co-expression network ( $P \le 0.05$ ) in the 14 tissues of the four-year-old plant (Fig. S6A). The network 311 consisted of 364 gene transcript nodes, 5,303 co-expression edges and 17 closer co-expression clusters 312 (Fig. S6A and B). Nevertheless, the tendency of this network formation had no substantial difference 313 from that of the network formed from randomly selected ginseng gene transcripts (Fig. S6C and D). In 314 the four-year-old plant roots of different genotypes, 341 (85.9%) of the 397 PgERF gene transcripts 315 formed a co-expression network ( $P \le 0.05$ ), consisting of 341 gene transcript nodes, 5,606 316 co-expression edges and 24 clusters (Fig. 6A and B). The tendency of this network formation was 317 stronger in terms of number of nodes and number of edges than that of the network formed from 318 randomly selected ginseng gene transcripts (Fig. 6C and D). Together, analysis of these networks 319 320 revealed that the gene members of the *PgERF* gene family were functionally quite independent, even though some of them formed a co-expression network, because the tendency of the network formation 321 was similar to that of randomly-selected unknown genes. 322

Figure 6. Co-expression network of the PgERF gene transcripts in the 4-year-old plant roots of 42 genotypes. (A) The co-expression network constructed from 342 of the 397 PgERF gene transcripts at  $P \leq 0.05$ . (B) 17 clusters of the network. (C) Variation in number of nodes in the network of PgERFtranscripts at different *P*-values. (D) Variation in number of edges in the network of PgERF transcripts at different *P*-values.

#### 328 **3.6** Expression profiles of the *PgERF* genes in responding to cold stress

As a perennial herb, ginseng is frequently suffering from various environmental stresses. However, to date, the molecular mechanisms of the stress tolerance in ginseng were not clearly

clarified. To discover the potential functions of *PgERF* genes in resistance cold stress, the expression 331 patterns of six PgERF genes randomly selected from DREB subfamily, i.e., PgERF073, PgERF079, 332 PgERF110, PgERF115, PgERF120 and PgERF128, in cold-stressed ginseng hair roots were analyzed 333 by qRT-PCR. As shown in Fig.7D and 7E, two members of I group of DREB subfamily, i.e., 334 PgERF115 and PgERF120 were firstly up-regulated but then somewhat different from each other by 335 cold stress. Expression of PgERF115 gradually rose and the highest change showed at cold stress for 336 337 24 h (about 13.06 times higher than the untreated hair roots), and after that time point, the expression level of PgERF115 declined regularly. PgERF120 respond quickly to cold stress, reaching a 26.88 338 339 times higher than the untreated hair roots in cold-stressed ginseng hair roots for 6 h and regularly declined to normal level. Similarly, the expression of PgERF073 and PgERF110, two members of II 340 group, were also somewhat different from each other. The expression of PgERF073 showed similar 341 trends to PgERF115 while the expression of PgERF110 showed similar trends to PgERF120 (Fig.7A 342 and 7C). PgERF079, a member from III group of DREB subfamily, responded rapidly and drastically 343 to the cold stress, whose expression were significantly up-regulated by 1057.05, 274.44, 290.81 and 344 173.03 times in cold-stressed for 6 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h comparing to the untreated hair roots (P <345 0.01) (Fig.7B). PgERF128, which belonged to the IV group of DREB subfamily, exhibited particular 346 trend comparing with the other 5 PgERF genes. As shown in Fig.7F, the expression levels of 347 PgERF128 were rising gradually in ginseng hair roots under cold stress for 6 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h. At 348 72 h, the expression of PgERF128 in cold-stressed ginseng hair roots were significantly up-regulated 349 by 5.68 times than the untreated hair roots (P < 0.01). 350

Figure 7. Expression levels of *PgERF* genes in ginseng hair roots after 6, 24, 48 h and 96 h of cold stress treatment. The values were given as mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate samples. Different letters represent significant differences between the treatment means (p < 0.05, LSD).

354 **3.6 Expression profiles of the** *PgERF* genes in responding to MeJA

MeJA is a plant hormone and a kind of elicitors and has been widely used in regulation of genes 355 involved in ginsenoside biosynthesis in ginseng [38]. Therefore, we further analyzed the expressions of 356 the PgERF genes in the adventitious roots of ginseng treated with MeJA for 0, 12, 24 and 48 h, 357 respectively. The expressions of the PgERF gene transcripts in the control and MeJA-treated 358 adventitious roots varied from silent (0 TPM) to 197.731 TPM (Table S8). Of the 397 PgERF gene 359 transcripts profiled, 173 (43.6%) expressed and 109 (27.5%) silenced in the control and all treated 360 361 adventitious roots, and the remaining 115 (29.0%) either expressed or silenced in these adventitious roots. The expressions of the 288 PgERF gene transcripts expressed the adventitious roots were 362 363 visualized by the expression heatmap (Fig. 8). Overall, all the 288 PgERF gene transcripts responded to the MeJA treatment, with 136 of them up-regulated and 152 down-regulated by MeJA. Among the 364 three treatment times, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h, the responses of these PgERF gene transcripts to MeJA 365 varied from time to time. 366

Figure 8. Expression heatmap of the *PgERF* gene transcripts treated with 200 μM MeJA for 0, 12,
24 and 48 h, respectively.

#### 369 4. Discussion

370 The AP2/ERF gene family has been broadly studied in several plant species of economical or biological importance due to its important roles in various biological processes, including growth and 371 development, and responses to environmental stresses based on genome and transcriptome sequences 372 373 [5]. These species include Arabidopsis [6], rice [7], wheat [46], maize [26], cotton [3], grapevine [28], cucumbers [47] and rubber tree [48]. We have, in this study, comprehensively investigated the 374 AP2/ERF genes in ginseng using several transcriptome databases, including those developed from 14 375 tissues of a four-year-old ginseng plant, the roots of 5-, 8-, 12- and 25-year-old plants and the 376 four-year-old plant roots of 42 diverse genotypes. The *PgERF* gene family in ginseng is also a large 377

gene family, consisting of 189 or more gene members. This result is in consistence with those identified 378 in other plant species such as Arabidopsis [6], rice [7] and grapevine [28]. Although the family size is 379 380 non-comparable with those identified in the other species listed above due to the dramatic variation of gene family size within a plant species [49] and the difference of the databases used for these analyses. 381 the PgERF gene family is unambiguously classified into five subfamilies, ERF, DREB, AP2, RAV and 382 Soloist, as were those identified in Arabidopsis [6], rice [7] and grapevine [28]. These results indicate 383 the PgERF gene family has a similar functional differentiation pattern as those in the three latter 384 species. 385

386 It has been consensus that the conserved motifs of the AP2/ERF transcription factor are crucial to the function of transcription factors, such as nuclear localization and transcriptional activity [7]. The 387 DNA binding domain of AP2/ERF transcription factors, i.e., AP2 domain, was highly conserved in 388 plant species [50], [51]. The AP2 domain of the *PgERF* genes was also found to be highly conserved. 389 This study has identified 14 and 11 completely conserved amino acid residues through all gene 390 members of the DREB and ERF subfamilies, respectively, in both ginseng and Arabidopsis (Figs. S3 391 and S4). In Arabidopsis, the two conservative elements, YRG and RAYD, were shown to be critical to 392 the binding of AP2/ERF transcription factors to the promoter regions of the target genes and modulate 393 394 their expression [29]. The conservative YRG and RAYD elements identified in the AP2 domain of the DREB and ERF subfamilies in ginseng may suggest their necessity for similar functions of the PgERF 395 genes. Nevertheless, subtle variation exists among the amino acid sequences of PgERF transcription 396 factors, which has led to the separation of the DREB subfamily from the ERF subfamily. The difference 397 between the DREB and ERF subfamilies might result in their functional divergence in ginseng. 398 Moreover, the "EIR" in the AP2 domain was found to be shared by all gene members of the ERF 399

subfamily and the vast majority of the gene members of the DREB subfamily in both ginseng and 400 Arabidopsis, while the "EVR" exists only in Group III of the DREB subfamily in both ginseng and 401 Arabidopsis and only in Group II (PgERF061) of the DREB subfamily in ginseng. It has been reported 402 that the sequence similarity of the conserved motifs that exist outside of the DNA binding domain was 403 low [6], [27], [43]. In ginseng, 19 conserved motifs, except for Motif 1 to Motif 6, were identified 404 outside the AP2 domain. The vast majority of these 19 motifs were found to be divergent across 405 subfamilies or even subfamily groups in ginseng. The subfamily/group-specific distribution pattern of 406 these motifs might have led to the functional divergence between subfamilies or groups of the PgERF 407 408 transcription factors.

409 Because different transcripts alternatively spliced from the same gene may have different functions [52], the 397 PgERF transcripts, instead of the 189 PgERF genes, were annotated and 410 functionally categorized in this study. The PgERF transcripts were categorized into eight subcategories 411 at Level 2. Although this result suggested a substantial functional differentiation of the PgERF genes, 412 the differentiation was much smaller than those observed in the *PgNBS* gene family [35], *PgRLK* gene 413 414 family [53] and PgCYP gene family [54] in ginseng. Interestingly, of the eight Level 2 subcategories, only two, especially those in the nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity subcategory, were 415 significantly up-enriched, which is consistent with the roles of the PgERF genes as transcription factors 416 by binding to the promoters of target genes. While the functions of the AP2/ERF genes have been 417 shown to play important roles in plant growth and development, response to stresses and signal 418 pathway in the model plants such as Arabidopsis and rice [5],[55], further research is needed to 419 420 determine the functions of the *PgERF* genes in ginseng.

421 Companioned with their functional differentiation, the expressions of 397 *PgERF* transcripts 422 dramatically varied in a tissue, at a development stage or in a genotype. Moreover, the type and number

of expressed PgERF transcripts also diversified tempo-spatially and across genotypes. The differential 423 expressions of the AP2/ERF genes were previously reported in other plant species, but mainly among 424 tissues [25], [56]. Furthermore, the numbers of the *PgERF* transcripts categorized into each subcategory 425 varied across tissues, developmental stages or genotypes. These variations might be an indication of 426 427 their functional differentiation. On the other hand, co-expression network analysis revealed that most (>86%) of these *PgERF* transcripts express correlatively and tend to form a co-expression network in 428 different tissues or different genotypes. These results suggest that the *PgERF* genes have functionally 429 differentiated, but they are still somehow functionally collaborative. 430

As a perennial herb, ginseng frequently suffers from different kinds of environmental stresses. It 431 432 was reported that members of AP2/ERF superfamily, especially DREB subfamily, played an essential role in response to biotic and abiotic stresses [16],[17],[57]. To tap the potential AP2/ERF genes of 433 DREB subfamily resisting to cold stress in ginseng, the expression of six genes randomly selected from 434 DREB subfamily under cold stress were analyzed using qRT-PCR. The expression of PgERF079, one 435 gene from III group or A1 group of DREB subfamily, was dramatically changed (up to 1057.05 times 436 with a brief period of cold-stressed for 6 h), suggesting it played an extremely important role in freezing 437 tolerance. In fact, A-1 group was considered to be the major regulator of cold-stress responses as 438 overexpressing any one of the three cold-inducible DREB1s, DREB1A/CBF3 (AT4G25480), 439 440 DREB1B/CBF1 (AT4G25490) and DREB1C/CBF2 (AT4G25470), significantly improved freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis [57],[58],[59]. Besides, the expression level of the other five genes from other 441 groups of DREB subfamily, i.e., PgERF073, PgERF110, PgERF115, PgERF120 and PgERF128, also 442 showed significant changes (p<0.01) in cold-stressed ginseng hair roots. Therefore, it speculates that 443 besides A1 group, the other groups of DREB subfamily may also be effective in freezing tolerance in 444

ginseng, either directly or indirectly. Herein, the results of these cold-inducible genes would provide
some valuable information for the functional studies of *PgERF* genes in ginseng in the future.

447 It has been reported that some genes of the AP2/ERF family are involved in response to hormone signals in plants [60], [61], [62]. It was showed that MeJA, as one of the signaling molecules, was rapidly 448 synthesized in plants, when subjected to various biotic and abiotic stresses, and then, induced 449 defense-related responses to the stresses and regulate plant growth and development [63]. MeJA has 450 been also used as an effective elicitor, since it can stimulate the biosynthesis of plant secondary 451 metabolites [64],[65],[66]. The biosynthesis and accumulation of ginsenosides, a cluster of important 452 453 secondary metabolites and the most valuable bioactive components in ginseng, were also reported to be induced by MeJA [67],[68]. This study showed that the addition of exogenous MeJA to adventitious 454 roots dramatically changed the expression of a majority of the *PgERF* gene transcripts. The expressions 455 of some of the transcripts were up-regulated while those of the other down-regulation or inhibited by 456 MeJA, relative to the control not treated by MeJA. Given the demonstrated functions of MeJA in plant 457 responses to biotic and abiotic stresses, growth and development and secondary metabolite biosynthesis 458 in other plant species [57],[60],[61],[62],[63],[64],[65],[66], the PgERF genes may also be involved in 459 these processes, including the biosynthesis of ginsenosides. 460

### 461 **5. Conclusions**

The present study, for the first time, reports identification and systematic characterization of the AP2/ERF family present in ginseng, i.e., the *PgERF* gene family. A total of 189 *PgERF* genes that were actively expressed in 14 tissues of a four-year-old ginseng plant were identified and these genes were alternatively sliced into 397 transcripts. These *PgERF* genes were also classified into five subfamilies

(DREB, ERF, AP2, RAV and Soloist) as those previously identified in Arabidopsis. As expected, the 466 conserved motifs that characterize the AP2/ERF family and several conserved domains were identified 467 among the members of the *PgERF* gene family. Nevertheless, the transcripts of the *PgERF* genes were 468 apparently categorized into eight subcategories by GO, especially into the subcategory for nucleic acid 469 binding transcription factor activity, which indicates their functional differentiation. Along with their 470 functional differentiation, the expressions of the PgERF genes, including the type, number and 471 expression level of their transcripts, have also substantially diversified tempo-spatially and across 472 genotypes. In spite of these differentiations, most of the PgERF genes remain to co-express and form a 473 474 co-expression network, suggesting that most of the genes in the *PgERF* gene family remain functionally correlated. These *PgERF* genes and findings provide resources and knowledge valuable for family-wide 475 functional analysis of the PgERF genes and determination of their roles in plant responses to biotic and 476 477 abiotic stresses, growth and development, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, especially ginsenosides, in P. ginseng and related species. 478

#### 479 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

480 Supplemental information is available with the online version of this manuscript.

#### 481 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by an award from China 863 Project (2013AA102604-3), the Bureau of Science and Technology of Jilin Province (20190201264JC, 20170101010JC, 20180414077GH, 20180101027JC), the Development and Reform Commission of Jilin Province (2016C064, 2018C047-3), and a startup fund from Jilin Agriculture University (201801, https://www.jlau.edu.cn/).

#### 486 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

22

MPZ and YW planned and designed this study; JC and QZ performed the bioinformatic analysis; JC wrote the manuscript; LL, YZ, PC, HL, RL, YH, CS, KW, JL, MZ and YFW prepared the tables and figures. MPZ revised the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### 491 **REFERENCES**

- 492 1. Mawlong I, Ali K, Srinivasan R, Rai RD, and Tyagi A (2015) Functional validation of a
   493 drought-responsive AP2/ERF family transcription factor-encoding gene from rice in
   494 *Arabidopsis. Mol. Breeding* 35, 163.
- 2. Chinnusamy V, Zhu J, and Zhu JK (2007) Cold stress regulation of gene expression in plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 444-451.
- 497 3. Champion A, Hebrard E, Parra B, Bournaud C, Marmey P, Tranchant C, et al. (2009) Molecular
  498 diversity and gene expression of cotton ERF transcription factors reveal that group IXa
  499 members are responsive to jasmonate, ethylene and Xanthomonas. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 10,
  500 471-485.
- 4. Gutterson N, and Reuber TL (2004) Regulation of disease resistance pathways by AP2/ERF
  transcription factors. *Curr. Opin. Plant Bio.* 7, 465-471.
- 5. Francesco L, Masaru OT, and Pierdomenico P (2013) APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor
   (AP2/ERF) transcription factors: mediators of stress responses and developmental programs.
   *New Phytol.* 199, 639-649.
- 506 6. Sakuma Y, Liu Q, Dubouzet JG, Abe H, Shinozaki K, and Yamaguchishinozaki K (2002)
   507 DNA-Binding Specificity of the ERF/AP2 Domain of Arabidopsis DREBs, Transcription
   508 Factors Involved in Dehydration- and Cold-Inducible Gene Expression. *Biochem. Bioph. Res.*

509 *Co.* 290, 998-1009.

- 7. Nakano T, Suzuki K, Fujimura T, and Shinshi H (2006) Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene
  family in Arabidopsis and rice. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 411.
- 512 8. Li MY, Xu ZS, Huang Y, Tian C, Wang F, and Xiong AS (2015) Genome-wide analysis of
- 513 *AP2/ERF* transcription factors in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) reveals evolution and expression 514 profiles under abiotic stress. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 290, 2049.
- 9. Mrunmay Kumar G, Swadhin S, Janesh Kumar G, Subaran S, Nidhi S, Lipika B, et al. (2014) The
- 516 Arabidopsis thaliana At4g13040 gene, a unique member of the AP2/EREBP family, is a
- 517 positive regulator for salicylic acid accumulation and basal defense against bacterial pathogens.

518 *J. Plant Physiol.* 171, 860-867.

- 519 10. Song X, Li Y, and Hou X (2013) Genome-wide analysis of the AP2/ERF transcription factor
   520 superfamily in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *pekinensis*). *Bmc Genomics* 14, 573.
- 11. Nole-Wilson S, and Krizek BA (2000) DNA binding properties of the Arabidopsis floral
   development protein AINTEGUMENTA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28, 4076-4082.
- 523 12. Krizek BA (2009) *AINTEGUMENTA and AINTEGUMENTA-LIKE6* Act Redundantly to Regulate
   524 Arabidopsis Floral Growthand Patterning. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1916-1929.

13. Mi-Young C, Julia V, Rob A, Jemin L, Ryan MQ, Jae-Dong C, et al. (2010) A tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) APETALA2/ERF gene, *SlAP2a*, is a negative regulator of fruit ripening. *Plant J*.
64, 936-947.

14. Kagaya Y, Ohmiya K, and Hattori T (1999) RAV1, a novel DNA-binding protein, binds to bipartite
recognition sequence through two distinct DNA-binding domains uniquely found in higher
plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 27(2), 470-478.

24

531	15. Feng CZ, Yun C, Wang C, Kong YH, Wu WH, and Chen YF (2014) The Arabidopsis RAV1
532	transcription factor, phosphorylated by SnRK2 kinases, regulates the expressions of ABI3, ABI4,
533	and AB15 during seed germination and early seedling development. Plant J. 80, 654-668.
534	16. Min H, Zheng J, and Wang J (2014) Maize ZmRAV1 contributes to salt and osmotic stress tolerance
535	in transgenic arabidopsis. J. Plant Biol.
536	17. Xiao JL, Mo L, Ying Z, Shan H, Rong H, Yun C, et al. (2015) Overexpression of cotton RAV1 gene
537	in Arabidopsis confers transgenic plants high salinity and drought sensitivity. Plos One 10,
538	e0118056.
539	18. Giri MK, Swain S, Gautam JK, Singh S, Singh N, Bhattacharjee L, et al. (2014) The Arabidopsis
540	thaliana At4g13040 gene, a unique member of the AP2/EREBP family, is a positive regulator
541	for salicylic acid accumulation and basal defense against bacterial pathogens. J. Plant Physiol.
542	171, 860-867.
543	19. Fujimoto SM, Usui A, Shinshi H, and Ohme TM (2000) Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element
544	binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene
545	expression. Plant Cell 12, 393-404.
546	20. Luis OAS, and Singh KB (2002) Identification of Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element binding
547	factors with distinct induction kinetics after pathogen infection. <i>Plant Physiol.</i> 128, 1313-1322.
548	21. Brown RL, Kemal K, Mcgrath KC, Maclean DJ, and Manners JM (2003) A role for the GCC-box
549	in jasmonate-mediated activation of the PDF1.2 gene of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 132,
550	1020-1032.
551	22. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, and Shinozaki K (1994) A novel cis-acting element in an Arabidopsis
552	gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low-temperature, or high-salt stress. Plant Cell 6,
	25

554	23. Jiang C, Iu B, and Singh J (1996) Requirement of a CCGAC cis-acting element for cold induction
555	of the BN115 gene from winter Brassica napus. Plant Mol. Biol. 30(3), 679-684.
556	24. Boutilier K, Offringa R, Sharma VK, Kieft H, Ouellet T, Zhang L, et al. (2002) Ectopic expression
557	of BABY BOOM triggers a conversion from vegetative to embryonic growth. Plant cell 14,
558	1737-1749.
559	25. Shu Y, Liu Y, Zhang J, Song, L., and Guo, C (2016) Genome-Wide Analysis of the AP2/ERF
560	Superfamily Genes and their Responses to Abiotic Stress in Medicago truncatula. Front. Plant
561	<i>Sci.</i> 6, 1247.
562	26. Zhou ML, Tang YX, and Wu YM (2012) Genome-Wide Analysis of AP2/ERF Transcription
563	Factor Family in Zea Mays. Curr. Bioinform. 7, 324-332. DOI: 10.2174/157489312802460776
564	27. Zhang GC, Ming Chen X, Xu Z, Guan S, Li LC, Li A, et al. (2008) Phylogeny, gene structures, and
565	expression patterns of the ERF gene family in soybean (Glycine max L.). J. Exp. Bot. 59,
566	4095-4107.
567	28 . Licausi F, Giorgi FM, Zenoni S, Osti F, Pezzotti M, and Perata P (2010) Genomic and
568	transcriptomic analysis of the AP2/ERF superfamily in Vitis vinifera. Bmc Genomics 11, 719.
569	29. Zhuang J, Cai B, Peng RH, Zhu B, Jin XF, Xue Y, et al. (2008) Genome-wide analysis of the
570	AP2/ERF gene family in Populus trichocarpa. Biochem. Bioph. Res. Co. 371, 468-474.
571	30. Je-Hyuk L, Jung-Hun L, Yu-Mi L, Pit-Na K, and Choon-Sik J (2008) Potential analgesic and
572	anti-inflammatory activities of Panax ginseng head butanolic fraction in animals. Food Chem.
573	<i>Toxicol.</i> 46, 3749-3752.
574	31. Tao Y, Yang Y, Kwak YS, Song GG, Kim MY, Man HR, et al. (2017) Ginsenoside Rc from Panax

575	ginseng exerts anti-inflammatory activity by targeting TANK-binding kinase 1/interferon
576	regulatory factor-3 and p38/ATF-2. J. Gins. Res. 41, 127-133.
577	32. Shu G, Jiang S, Mu J, Yu H, Duan H, and Deng X (2018) Antitumor immunostimulatory activity of
578	polysaccharides from <i>Panax japonicus</i> C. A. Mey: Roles of their effects on CD4 + T cells and
579	tumor associated macrophages. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 111, 430-439.
580	33. Scaglione F, Ferrara F, Dugnani S, Falchi M, Santoro G, and Fraschini F (1990)
581	Immunomodulatory effects of two extracts of Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer. Drug. Exp. Clin. Res.
582	16, 537.
583	34. Wang K, Jiang S, Sun C, Lin Y, Rui Y, Yi W, et al. (2015) The Spatial and Temporal
584	Transcriptomic Landscapes of Ginseng, Panax ginseng C. A. Meyer. Sci. Rep. 5, 18283.
585	35. Yin R, Zhao M, Wang K, Lin Y, Wang Y, Sun C, et al. (2017) Functional differentiation and
586	spatial-temporal co-expression networks of the NBS-encoding gene family in Jilin ginseng,
587	Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer. Plos One 12, e0181596.
588	36. Xu J, Chu Y, Liao B, Xiao S, Yin Q, Bai R, et al. (2017) Panax ginseng genome examination for
589	ginsenoside biosynthesis. Gigascience 6, 1-15.
590	37. Kim NH, Jayakodi M, Lee SC, Choi BS, Jang W, et al. (2018) Genome and evolution of the
591	shade-requiring medicinal herb Panax ginseng. Plant Biotechnol J 16: 1904-1917.
592	38. Kang KB, Jayakodi M, Yun SL, Nguyen VB, Park HS, Koo HJ, et al. (2018). Identification of
593	candidate UDP-glycosyltransferases involved in protopanaxadiol-type ginsenoside biosynthesis
594	in Panax ginseng. Sci. Rep. 8, 11744.
595	39. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, and Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of
596	progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap

597 penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673-4680.

- 40. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, and Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: Molecular
- 599 Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and
- 600 Maximum Parsimony Methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 2731-2739.
- 41. Bailey TL, Nadya W, Chris M, and Li WW (2006) MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and
  protein sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, 369-373.
- 42. Athanasios T, Stjin VD, Enright AJ, and Freeman TC (2009) Network visualization and analysis of
   gene expression data using BioLayout Express<sup>3D</sup>. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 1535-1550.
- 43. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
  quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25: 402-408.
- 44. Xu W, Li F, Ling L, and Liu A (2013) Genome-wide survey and expression profiles of the
   AP2/ERF family in castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). *BMC Genomics* 14, 785.
- 45. Ana C, Stefan GT, Juan Miguel GG, Javier T, Manuel T, and Montserrat R (2005) Blast2GO: a
  universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* 21, 3674-3676.
- 46. Zhuang J, Chen JM, Yao QH, Xiong F, Sun CC, Zhou XR, et al. (2011) Discovery and expression
  profile analysis of *AP2/ERF* family genes from Triticum aestivum. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 38, 745-753.
- 47. Hu L, and Liu S (2011) Genome-wide identification and phylogenetic analysis of the *ERF* gene
  family in cucumbers. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 34(4), 624-633.
- 48. Duan C, Argout X, Gébelin V, Summo M, Dufayard JF, Leclercq J, et al. (2013) Identification of
  the *Hevea brasiliensis* AP2/ERF superfamily by RNA sequencing. *BMC Genomics* 14, 30.
- 49. Zhang M, Wu YH, Lee MK, Liu YH, Rong Y, Santos TS, et al. (2010) Numbers of genes in the

- NBS and RLK families vary by more than four-fold within a plant species and are regulated by
   multiple factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, 6513-6525.
- 50. Kizis D, Lumbreras V, and Pagès M (2001) Role of AP2/EREBP transcription factors in gene regulation during abiotic stress. *Febs Lett.* 498, 187-189.
- 51. Li X, Zhang D, Gao B, Liang Y, Yang H, Wang Y, et al. (2017) Transcriptome-Wide Identification,
- 624 Classification, and Characterization of *AP2/ERF* Family Genes in the Desert Moss *Syntrichia* 625 *caninervis. Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 262.
- 52. Carvalho RF, Feijão CV, and Duque P (2013) On the physiological significance of alternative
   splicing events in higher plants. *Protoplasma* 250, 639-650.
- 53. Lin, Y., Wang, K., Li, X., Sun, C., Yin, R., Wang, Y., and Zhang, M (2018) Evolution, functional
  differentiation, and co-expression of the *RLK* gene family revealed in Jilin ginseng, *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 293, 845-859.
- 54. Wang Y, Li X, Lin Y, Wang Y, Wang K, Sun C, et al. (2018) Structural Variation, Functional
  Differentiation, and Activity Correlation of the Cytochrome P450 Gene Superfamily Revealed
  in Ginseng. *The Plant Genome* 11, 1-11.
- 55. Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2012) AP2/ERF family transcription factors in
  plant abiotic stress responses. *BBA Gene Regul. Mech.* 1819, 86-96.
- 56. Guodong R, Jinkai S, Yanfei Z, Caiyun H, and Jianguo Z (2015) Genome-wide analysis of the
   *AP2/ERF* gene family in *Salix arbutifolia*. *Febs Open Bio*. 5, 132-137.
- 57. Liu Q, Kasuga M, Sakuma Y, Abe H, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, and Shinozaki K (1998)
  Two transcription factors, *DREB1* and *DREB2*, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain
  separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and low-temperature-responsive

gene expression, respectively, in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 10, 1391-1406.

- 58. Park S, Lee C-M, Doherty CJ, Gilmour SJ, Kim Y, Thomashow MF (2015) Regulation of the
  Arabidopsis CBF regulon by a complex low-temperature regulatory network. Plant Journal 82:
  193-207.
- 59. Jaglo-Ottosen RK (1998) Arabidopsis CBF1 Overexpression Induces COR Genes and Enhances
  Freezing Tolerance. Science 280: 104-106.
- 647 60. Oscar L, Raquel P, Sánchez-Serrano JJ, and Roberto S (2003) ETHYLENE RESPONSE
- FACTOR1 integrates signals from ethylene and jasmonate pathways in plant defense. *Plant Cell* 15, 165-178.
- 61. Zarei A, Körbes AP, Younessi P, Montiel G, Champion A, and Memelink J (2011) Two GCC
  boxes and AP2/ERF-domain transcription factor *ORA59* in jasmonate/ethylene-mediated
  activation of the *PDF1.2* promoter in Arabidopsis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 75, 321-331.
- 653 62. Moffat CS, Ingle RA, Wathugala DL, Saunders NJ, Knight H, and Knight MR (2012) ERF5 and
   654 ERF6 Play Redundant Roles as Positive Regulators of JA/Et-Mediated Defense against *Botrytis* 655 *cinerea* in Arabidopsis. *PLOS ONE*.
- 656 63. Benevenuto RF, Seldal T, Hegland SJ, Rodriguez-Saona C, Kawash J, and Polashock J (2019)
- Transcriptional profiling of methyl jasmonate-induced defense responses in bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 19, 70.
- 659 64. Chen H, Jones AD, and Howe GA (2006) Constitutive activation of the jasmonate signaling
  660 pathway enhances the production of secondary metabolites in tomato. *Febs Lett.* 580,
  661 2540-2546.
- 662 65. Hyun-Jin K, Feng C, Xi W, and Rajapakse NC (2006) Effect of methyl jasmonate on secondary

663	metabolites of sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum L.). J. Agric. Food. Chem. 54(6), 2327-2332.
664	66. Wang H, Ma C, Li Z, Ma L, Hong W, Ye H, et al. (2010) Effects of exogenous methyl jasmonate
665	on artemisinin biosynthesis and secondary metabolites in Artemisia annua L. Ind. Crop. Prod.
666	31, 214-218.
667	67. Kim YS, Hahn EJ, Murthy HN, and Paek KY (2004) Adventitious root growth and ginsenoside
668	accumulation in Panax ginseng cultures as affected by methyl jasmonate. Biotechnol. lett. 26,
669	1619-1622.

- 670 68. Kim YJ, Zhang D, and Yang DC (2015) Biosynthesis and biotechnological production of
- ginsenosides. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 33, 717-735.

672

















- metabolic process
- binding
- nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity













P-value for network construction



